

**POST CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER TREATMENT
MAXIMUM EXTENT PRACTICABLE (MEP)
STATEMENT OF CONSTRAINTS**

ROUTE	CONTROL SECTION/PRN	JOB NUMBER	
PROJECTWISE link to plans PROJECTWISE link to screening tool output			
MEP requests submitted after environmental certification may result in project delays to restudy environmental impacts.			
Road section If project not a road, describe	Urban	Rural	NA
Existing drainage system	Enclosed	Open Ditch	Both
Proposed drainage system	Enclosed	Open Ditch	Both
Does receiving water have a TMDL?	Yes	No	
Are TMDL requirements met?	Yes	No	NA
Water Quality – Treatment standard is to provide 80% sediment removal runoff from the 90% non-exceedance storm .			
Does project meet water quality treatment goal?	Yes	No	
Water quality treatment volume required:		Ft ³	
Water quality treatment volume provided:		Ft ³	
List water quality post construction stormwater control measures (PC-SCMs) included as part of the project:			
LIST WATER QUALITY PC-SCMs CONSIDERED BUT DETERMINED TO BE IMPRACTICAL:			
List all PC-SCMs considered for the project and the reason(s) for not including them as part of the project. Be as descriptive as possible.			
Reasoning can include: PC-SCMs don't fit within existing R.O.W. and project scope does not otherwise require R.O.W., steep existing grades (slopes do not allow for storage or treatment), drainage improvements are not part of the project, obstructions within the R.O.W. (utilities, drainage, etc.), high water table, and/or other (describe).			
Water Quality PC-SCM Considered	Reason(s) for Rejecting		

Channel Protection – Treatment standard is to infiltrate increased runoff from the 2-year, 24-hour storm from areas with increased imperviousness.

Does project meet channel protection treatment goals? Yes No N/A¹

¹If "N/A", continue to Signatures, otherwise continue with form.

Increased impervious area: Ft²

Channel protection infiltration volume required: Ft³

Channel protection infiltration volume provided: Ft³

If infiltration provided is less than the treatment volume required:

Soil infiltration rate in potential PC-SCM area(s): In/Hr

Will detention be provided to fully store the additional runoff not being infiltrated? Yes No² N/A

² If "No", list reason(s) detention is impracticable below.

List channel protection PC-SCMs included as part of the project:

LIST CHANNEL PROTECTION PC-SCMs CONSIDERED BUT DETERMINED TO BE IMPRACTICAL:

If channel protection goal is not met, list all PC-SCMs considered for the project and the reason(s) for not including them as part of the project. Be as descriptive as possible - include additional sheets as necessary.

Reasoning can include: PC-SCMs don't fit within existing R.O.W. and project scope does not otherwise require R.O.W., steep existing grades (slopes do not allow for storage or treatment), obstructions within the R.O.W. (utilities, drainage, etc.), high water table, poor draining soils, and/or other (describe). Include reasoning why areas with acceptable infiltration are not being used.

Channel Protection PC-SCM Considered

Reason(s) for Rejecting

Submit completed form to the Stormwater Program Manager (PotvinC@Michigan.gov).

SIGNATURES

PROJECT MANAGER	SIGNATURE	DATE
ASSOCIATE REGION/DEVELOPMENT ENGINEER	SIGNATURE	DATE
STORMWATER STEERING COMMITTEE CHAIR	SIGNATURE	DATE

Stormwater Steering Committee Review: Approved Additional information needed