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## INTRODUCTION

## Study Purpose

This study is the product of the Safety Programs Unit, located within the Traffic and Safety Division, of the Michigan Department of Transportation. The unit's goal is to reduce accidents, injuries, and fatalities on the state highway system to the maximum extent possible within the framework of available resources. In pursuing this goal, the unit analyzes accident data in a prioritized manner and develops traffic safety measures to reduce specific concentrations of accidents and accident types. These are documented in individual district wide reports. Metro District is divided further into reports for each county.

## Location

This report documents our review of St. Clair County as indicated on the cover. Accident data was reviewed for intersection and midblock locations using the methods identified below. Accident data for 1988 was also reviewed for each location.

## Study Methods

MALI (Michigan Accident Location Index) and MIDAS (Michigan Dimensional Accident Surveillance) computer programs were used to identify and analyze the study locations.
Locations selected included:

1. Intersection locations with right angle, head-on left turn and rear end accident patterns (using intersection accidents only) above predefined thresholds for 1985-1987. (See thresholds page 23.)
2. Midblock locations in half mile segments with accident patterns (using intersection and midblock accidents) above predefined thresholds for 1983-1987. (See thresholds page 23.)
3. Locations with three or more fatal accidents (using intersection and midblock accidents) for 1979-1987 in a half mile segment.

After identification, all locations were reviewed by the author in conjunction with the district traffic and safety engineer and other appropriate Traffic and Safety Division personnel. The review included a discussion of the significant accident patterns and potential accident countermeasures which are summarized in this report. Locations at which no countermeasures were recommended, for various reasons, are also included with minimal discussion.

## Implementation

Implementation of the recommended countermeasures is an essential element of this traffic safety improvement program. Every effort was made to ensure that all recommendations were deemed appropriate, desirable, feasible, and cost-effective by the review team and/or by those responsible for initiating implementation. The review team for this report was Joseph E. Bassil, Metro District, Patricia A. Schafer, Electronic Systems Unit, Leo L. Arens, Safety Programs Unit and Linn P. Smith, Safety Programs Unit.


# Summary of Recommended Actions 

## Location

2. I-94 conn. @ Garfield
3. M-25 @ Krafft Road

## Recommended

Action
Notes Implementing Unit

| Signal Study | Counts Ordered <br> $12-05-89$ | ESU |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Signal Study | Counts Ordered <br> $12-05-89$ | ESU |

All-Red Phase New permit dated ESU 11-22-89, sent out with memo dated 12-08-89

## "ACTION" LOCATIONS

## 1. I-69 BL@ 32ND STREET

(C.S. 77023; M.P. 16.68)

## GEOMETRIC AND OPERATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS

The northbound and southbound traffic movement is on 32nd Street.

| North Leg: | \# of lanes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | speed limit (mph) |  |$\quad$| no left-turn lane |
| :--- |
| South Leg: |

The eastbound and westbound traffic movement is on I-69 BL.
East Approach

West Approach
4 \# of lanes
55 speed limit (mph)
55 speed limit (mph)
yes left-turn lane
yes right-turn lane
yes right-turn lane
no left-turn lane
yes right-turn lane
Comments: The eastbound and the westbound approaches are separated by a median.

## DESCRIPTION OF TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES:

Presently 32 nd Street is under stop control with stop signs placed in the median crossover. A flashing beacon is also present giving the right of way to vehicles traveling on I-69 BL.

## ACCIDENT SUMMARY (1985-1987):

Reported accidents totaled 35 for the three years studied with 15 accidents resulting in injuries.

The following accident types exceeded their three-year predetermined thresholds:


## RECOMMENDATIONS:

In agreement with the Electronic Services Unit and Metro District, a signal study will be performed.

|  | 1985 |  | 1986 |  | 1987 |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TYPE OF ACCIDENT | NO. | \% | NO. | $\%$ | NO. | $\%$ | NO. | \% | NO. | \% |
| LEFT TURN - SAME DIRECTION | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| OPPOSING LEFT TURN | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| REAR-END | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 |  |  | 4 | 11 |
| ANGLE | 7 | 58 | 8 | 80 | 12 | 85 |  |  | 26 | 74 |
| SIDESWIPE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| PEDESTRIAN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| HEAD-ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| DRIVEWAY-RELATED | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| FIXED OBJECT | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| OTHERS | 1 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 2 | $\sigma$ |

PAVEMENT CONDITION

| WET | 2 | 17 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 41 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DRY | 9 | 75 | 8 | 80 | 12 | 92 | 29 | 83 |
| SNOWY-ICY | 1 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |

LIGHT CONDITION

| DAY | 11 | 92 | 6 | 60 | 13 | 0 | 30 | 86 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAWN OR DUSK | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| NIGHT | 1 | 8 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |

ACCIDENT SEVERITY

| FATAL ACCIDENTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (NO. OF PERSONS) | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| INJURY ACCIDENTS | 6 | 50 | 4 | 40 | 5 | 38 | 15 | 43 |
| (NO. OF PERSONS) | 12 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 25 |  |
| PROPERTY DAMAGE ONLY | 6 | 50 | 6 | 60 | 8 | 62 | 20 | 57 |
| TOTAL ACCIDENTS | 12 |  | 10 |  | 13 |  | 35 |  |
| TOTAL INJURIES | 12 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 25 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## 2. I-94 CONN. @ GARFIELD ST.

(C.S. 77111; M.P. 27.78)

## GEOMETRIC AND OPERATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS

The northbound and southbound traffic movement is on I-94 conn.

| North Approach: | 3 \# of lanes | no left-turn lane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 55 speed limit (mph) | no right-turn lane |
| South Approach: | 3 \# of lanes | no left-turn lane |
|  | 55 speed limit (mph) | no right-turn lane |

Comments: The I-94 conn. is a six lane divided roadway.
The eastbound and westbound traffic movement is on Garfield Street.
East Leg:

West Leg: $\quad \frac{2}{35}$ \# of lanes
no left-turn lane
no right-turn lane
no left-turn lane
no right-turn lane

Comments: Two way traffic is carried on this city street.
DESCRIPTION OF TRAFEIC CONTROL DEVICES:
Presently traffic is controlled by stop signs facing the eastbound and westbound approaches. Yield signs are in place in the median crossover also facing the eastbound and westbound approaches.

## ACCIDENT SUMMARY (1985-1987):

Reported accidents totaled 34 for the three years studied with 9 accidents resulting in injuries. The following accident types exceeded their three-year predetermined thresholds:

| Accident Type | Threshold | Accidents/yr | Direction |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underline{85} 86 \quad 87$ | $\underline{N}$ | S | E | W |  |
| Angle | 15 | $4 \quad 8 \quad 11$ | 2 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 23 |

## RECOMMENDATIONS:

In agreement with the Electronic Systems Unit and the Metro District, a signal study will be performed at this intersection. Along with the study at this location, a separate signal study will be performed at the intersection of M-25 @ Garfield. This additional study is of interest because the intersection of M-25 @ Garfield is within 1500 feet of the intersection of I-94 conn. @ Garfield.

|  | 1985 |  | 1986 |  | 1987 |  | NO. |  | total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| type of accident | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |  | \% | No. | \% |
| LEFT TURN - SAME DIRECTION | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| OPPOSING LEFT TURN | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 6 |  |  | 2 | $\sigma$ |
| REAR-END | 1 | 20 | 3 | 23 | 3 | 19 |  |  | 7 | 21 |
| ANGLE | 4 | 80 | 8 | 62 | 11 | 69 |  |  | 23 | 68 |
| SIDESWIPE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| PEDESTRIAN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| HEAD-ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| DRIVEWAY-RELATED | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| FIXED OBJECT | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 1 | 5 |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| OTHERS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 3 |

PAVEMENT CONDITION

| WET | 2 | 40 | 4 | 31 | 2 | 13 | 8 | 24 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DRY | 3 | 60 | 9 | 69 | 13 | 81 | 25 | 74 |
| SNOWY-ICY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 3 |

## LIGHT CONDITION

| DAY | 5 | 0 | 9 | 69 | 13 | 81 | 27 | 79 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DAWN OR DUSK | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| NIGHT | 0 | 0 | 3 | 23 | 3 | 19 | 6 | 18 |

ACCIDENT SEVERITY

| FATAL ACCIDENTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (NO. OF PERSONS) | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  | 2 | 40 | 3 | 23 | 4 | 25 | 17 |  |
| INJURY ACCIDENTS | 3 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | 26 |  |
| (NO. OF PERSONS) | 3 | 60 | 10 | 77 | 12 | 75 | 74 |  |
| PROPERTY DAMAGE ONLY |  |  | 13 | 15 | 34 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ACCIDENTS | 5 | 7 | 7 | 17 |  |  |  |  |

## GEOMETRIC AND OPERATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS

The northbound and southbound traffic movement is on M-25.

| North Leg: | 5 \# of lanes | yes left-turn lane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 50 speed limit (mph) | no right-turn lane |
| South Leg: | 6 \# of lanes | yes left-turn lan |
|  | 50 speed limit (mph) | yes right-turn lane |

Comments: M-25 carries an ADT of 21,000 .
The eastbound and westbound traffic movement is on Krafft Road.

| East Leg: | \# of lanes |  |  | no left-turn lane |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{45}$ speed limit (mph) | no right-turn lane |  |  |  |
| West Leg: | \# of lanes |  |  |  | no left-turn lane |
|  | $\underline{45}$ speed limit (mph) | $\underline{\text { no right-turn lane }}$ |  |  |  |

## DESCRIPTION OF TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES:

A solid state controller and 12 inch signal heads are in place at this intersection. The controller runs with two dials. Dial one operates Monday thru Friday 6:00 a.m. thru 11:00 a.m. as well as Saturday and Sunday from 6:00 a.m. thru 10:30 p.m. Dial two operates 11:00 a.m. to $10: 30$ p.m. Monday thru Friday. A flasher schedule operates from $10: 30$ p.m. thru 6:00 a.m. daily.

## ACCIDENT SUMMARY (1985-1987):

Reported accidents totaled 49 for the three years studied with 15 accidents resulting in 30 injuries.

The following accident types exceeded their three-year predetermined thresholds:

| Accident Type | Threshold | Accidents/yr |  |  | Direction |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 86 | 87 | N | $\underline{S}$ | E | W |  |
| Angle | 15 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 16 |

## RECOMMENDATIONS:

In agreement with the Electronic Systems Unit and the Metro District, all-red phases will be incorporated into the timing permit. The all-red phases will be placed after the M-25 green/yellow and also after the Krafft Road green/yellow.

DATE RANGE: $1 / 01 / 85-12 / 31 / 87$
LOCATION: M-25 @ KRAFFT ROAD
$7713200.360-00.420$

TYPE OF ACCIDENT

LEFT TURN - SAME DIRECTION
OPPOSING LEFT TURN
REAR-END

ANGLE

SIDESWIPE

PEDESTRIAN

HEAD-ON

DRIVEWAY-RELATED
FIXED OBJECT

OTHERS

PAVEMENT CONDITION
WET
DRY
SNOWY-ICY

LIGHT CONDITION

| DAY | 9 | 90 | 10 | 83 | 17 | 63 | 36 | 73 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAWN OR DUSK | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| NIGHT | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 9 | 33 | 11 | 22 |

ACCIDENT SEVERITY

| FATAL ACCIDENTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (NO. OF PERSONS) | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| INJURY ACCIDENTS | 4 | 40 | 6 | 50 | 5 | 19 | 15 | 31 |
| (NO. OF PERSONS) | 7 |  | 9 |  | 14 |  | 30 |  |
| PROPERTY DAMAGE ONL | 6 | 60 | 6 | 50 | 22 | 81 | 34 | 69 |
| TOTAL ACCIDENTS | 10 |  | 12 |  | 27 |  | 49 |  |
| TOTAL INJURIES | 7 |  | 9 |  | 14 |  | 30 |  |



## "NO ACTION" LOCATIONS

1985-1987 INTERSECTION HIGH-ACCIDENT LISTING (Stats \& Threshold \& \%)
DISTRICT 9 CALCULATED AT 0.5 STD DEV


REMARKS: See action location No 1.

| 7702317.23 | BL-69 | 24TH | KIMBALL TWP | TOTAL ACCIDENTS | 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 LOCATIONS | 1 Way |  | Urban/Signa 1 | Less than 10,000 |  |
| Right Angle | 29 | 9.411 | 12.189 | 16.89 |  |
| Left Turn | 16 | 4.409 | 4.189 | 6.39410 |  |

REMARKS: All red phase ordered in timing permit issued 4-12-89. Left turn and right angle accidents should be reduced with all red phase installed.

| LEFT TURN |  | RIGHT ANGLE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB- 7 | 85-14 | NB- 3 | 85-8 |
| SB-13 | 86-4 | SB-14 | 86-12 |
| WB- 0 | 87-10 | * WB- 3 | 87-9 |
| EB- 6 | 88-8 | EB-9 | 88-21 |

NO ACTION


REMARKS: Signal installation is scheduled for this intersection. This should reduce angle accidents below threshold limits. An all red phase has been included in timing permit.
RIGHT ANGLE
NB-16 85-21
SB-15 86-19
WB- 0 87-29
EB- 1 B8-38
NO ACTION

| 77023 | 18.24 | BL-69 | 10TH ST | PT. HURON CY | TOTAL ACCIDENTS | 75 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 LOCATIONS | 1 Way |  | Urban/Signal | Less than 10,000 |  |  |
| Right Angle | 21 | 9.411 | 12.189 | 16.89 | 10 |  |
| Left Turn | 22 | 4.409 | 4.189 | 6.394 | 10 |  |
| Rear-End | 26 | 8.946 | 9.962 | 14.44 | 20 |  |

REMARKS: Accidents problems have been addressed with a change in the signal timing permit. New permit includes an all-red phase. Twelve inch signal heads to be installed also.

| REAR-END | LEFT TURN | RIGHT ANGLE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB-885-7 | NB- 2 85- 3 | NB- 4 85-6 |
| SB-7 86-14 | SB- 1 86-6 | SB- 5 86-7 |
| WB- 0 87-5 | WB- 0 87-13 | WB- 088 -8 |
| EB-788-7 | EB-888-5 | EB- 588 - 8 |

NO ACTION

1985-1987 INTERSECTION HIGH-ACCIDENT LISTING (Stats \& Threshold \& \%) DISTRICT 9


REMARKS: Accidents just at threshold. Angle accidents may be do to the geometrics of the intersection. Timing permit includes adequate yellow time.
RIGHT ANGLE
NE- 2 85- 7
SW-4 86- 3
W-787-5
E- 2 88- 3
NO ACTION

| 77032 | 04.15 | BL-94, BL-69 QUAY ST | PT. HURON CY | TOTAL ACCIDENTS 58 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 220 LOCATIONS | 4 Lane- 2 Way | Urban/Signal | 10,000 | to 20,000 |  |  |
| Rear-End | 32 | 8.787 | 13.427 | 17.82 | 25 |  |

REMARKS: Timing revised and signal modernization completed in 1985. of the 32 rear-end accidents, $20 \%$ were on wet pavement. No accident pattern formed; therefore, no recommendation at this time.

## REAR-END

NB-15 85-14
SB-17 86-8
WB- 0 87-10
EB- 0 88-12
NO ACTION

| 7703205.25 | BL-94, BL-69 ST | STONE ST | PT. HURON CY | TOTAL ACCIDENTS | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93 LOCATIONS | 5 Lane-2 Way | Urban/Signal | 10,000 to | 20,000 |  |
| Rear-End | $28 \quad 10.873$ | 14.828 | 20.26 | 25 |  |

REMARKS: Signal modernization completed in 1982 (included 12 inch signal heads). New timing permit includes adequate time and an all red phase. No correctable accident pattern; therefore, no recommendation.
$\frac{\text { REAR-END }}{\mathrm{N}-285-8}$
S-6 86-6
W-8 87-14
NW- 3 88-13
SE- 6
NO ACTION


REMARKS: Traffic loop installed in 1987. Rear-end accidents have been reduced to five in 1987 and five in 1988; therefore, no recommendation is needed at this time.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { REAR-END } \\
& \hline \text { SE- } 185-8 \\
& \text { WB- } 786-12 \\
& \text { EB-16 } 87-5 \\
& \text { OT- } 188-5
\end{aligned}
$$

NO ACTION

| 7709100.35 | BL-69, BL-94 10TH | ST | PT. HURON CY. |  | TOTAL ACCIDENTS | 105 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 238 LOCATIONS | 5 Lane-2 Way | Urban/Signal | Greater | than | 20.000 |  |
| Rear-End | $71 \quad 30.139$ | 36.853 | 51.92 | 30 |  |  |

REMARKS: New signal installed in 1985. This location is to have right turn arrows placed for two weeks during a detour that involves heavy traffic at this six-legged intersection. A review of the accident reports reveals that the accidents are well distributed in all directions. of the 71 rear-end accidents, 30 were on wet pavement (42\%). No recommendation at this time.

REAR-END
NW- 1 85-25
SW- 2 86-28
SE- 2 87-18
NE- 7 88-22
0T-59
NO ACTION

| 77091 | 00.84 | BL-94, BL-69 | HANCOCK ST | PT. HURON CY. | TOTAL ACCIDENTS 88 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 238 LOCATIONS | 5 Lane-2 Way | Urban/Signal | Greater than 20,000 |  |  |  |
| Right Angle | 38 | 10.090 | 14.239 | 19.28 | 20 |  |

REMARKS: A review of the accident reports reveals accidents are distributed from all directions. Fifteen percent of the accidents are during flasher stage, while another fifteen percent are driveway related. This intersection is involved with the Bluewater bridge project, and will have permanent phasing incorporated into signal timing permit. The signal phasing should reduce angle accidents be low threshold levels.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { RIGHT ANGLE } \\
\hline \text { N-12 } & 85-12 \\
\text { S-8 } & 86-13 \\
\text { E- } 8 & 87-13 \\
\text { W-10 } & 88-15
\end{array}
$$

NO ACTION

1985-1987 INTERSECTION HIGH-ACCIDENT LISTING (Stats \& Threshold \& \%)
DISTRICT 9 CALCULATED AT 0.5 STD DEV


REMARKS: Rear-end accidents on the increase. Of the 39 rear-ends, $29 \%$ occurred on wet pavement. From a review of the accident reports, accidents are well distributed and are most likely caused from a trailing car watching the traffic on $\mathrm{M}-25$ and not paying attention to the vehicle in front of them. Another $21 \%$ of the rear-end accidents occurred at the drive way before the intersection. Joe Bassil of Metro District office has requested a signal study at this location as a seperate action from this surveillance review.

| REAR-END |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| NW-15 | $85-9$ |
| SE-18 | $86-10$ |
| E- 4 | $87-20$ |
| W- 2 | $88-20$ |

## NO ACTION

| 7709102.36 | US-25 | 24TH A | AVE FT | T.GRATIOT T |  | TOTAL | ACCIDENTS | 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 LOCATIONS | 2 Lane-2 | Way | Urban/Signal | Less |  | 10,000 |  |  |
| Rear-End | 26 | 6.767 | 8.303 | 11.69 |  | 20 |  |  |

REMARKS: This location was found to be the intersection of M-25/Lymburner @ M-136 Keewahdin, reference No. 77132-01-001. Concentration of rear-end accident are on the northbound approach. of the 26 rear-end accidents, $38 \%$ were on a wet surface. Twelve inch signal heads already in place. No correctable accident pattern formed.

## REAR-END

NW-0 85- 6
SE- 2 86- 6
S- 2 87-9
$\mathrm{N}-14$ 88-5
NO ACTION

| 7709102.75 | M-136 | KRAFFT | ROAD FT | T.GRATIOT T | - | TOTAL ACCIDENTS | 44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 175 LOCATIONS | 2 Lane-2 | Way | Rura 1/F lasher | Less | than | 10,000 |  |
| Right Angle | 20 | 5.718 | 4.846 | 7.705 |  | 10 |  |

REMARKS: This intersection has been redesigned to make appropriate turn lanes in preparation for a signal to be installed in 1990 (project no. 29130). No further recommendation needed at this time.

RIGHT ANGLE
NW-085-3
SW- 3 86-10
E-7 87-7

$$
W-1088-7
$$

NO ACTION


REMARKS: New timing permit installed 2-24-89. The permit included adequate yellow time. Due to miscoding of the accident reports, there were only six angle accidents at the intersection during the study period. No correctable accident pattern formed; therefore, no recommendation at this time.

| REAR-END | RIGHT ANGLE |
| :--- | :--- |
| NB- $085-3$ | NB- $985-1$ |
| SB- $586-7$ | SB- $286-3$ |
| WB- $587-13$ | WB- $587-3$ |
| EB-13 88-4 | EB- $088-1$ |
| NO ACTION |  |


| 77111 | 27.60 | I-94 CONN. | HANCOCK ST | PT. HURON CY. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 LOCATIONS | 6 Lane-Divided | Urban/Signal | Less than 10,000 |  |
| Right Angle | 11 |  | 10 |  |

REMARKS: Accidents are just over threshold. The right angle accident are evenly distributed from all directions. No correctable accident pattern formed. No recommendation at this time.

RIGHT ANGLE
NB- 2 85-3
SB- 3 86-4
WB- 3 87-4
EB- 4 88- 3
NO ACTION

| 77111 | 27.78 | I-94 CONN. GARFIELD ST | PT. HURON CY. | TOTAL ACCIDENTS 26 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 397 LOCATIONS | 4 Lane-Divided | Urban/No Signal | 10,000 | to 20,000 |  |  |
| Right Ang le | 22 | 3.400 | 1.146 | 2.846 | 15 |  |

REMARKS: See action location No. 2

| 7713200.39 | M-25 | KRAFFT | ROAD F | F.GRATIOT T |  | TOTAL | ACCIDENTS | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 LOCATIONS | 5 Lane-2 Way |  | Rural/Signal | 110,000 |  | 20,000 |  |  |
| Right Angle | 16 |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |



REMARKS: Concentration of accidents south of Mechanic Street. No correctable accident pattern; therefore, no recommendation.

PARKING
NS- 9
SS-11
NO ACTION

| 77023 | 16.58 | 17.08 | BL-69 | Non-Freeway Less than 10,000 | 95 | TOTAL ACCIDENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

REMARKS: Four out of the five bicycle accidents were caused from the bike riders going the wrong way down a one way street. No correctable accident pattern found.

NO ACTION

1983-1987 MIDBLOCK HIGH-ACCIDENT' LISTING (Stats \& Threshold \& \%)

| DISTRICT 9 <br> ACC <br> TYPE | $\#$ <br> ACC | STD <br> DEV | MEAN | UCL | THRESHOLD <br> NUMBER | CALCULATED AT 0.5 STD DEV <br> PERCENT <br> OF TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 77023 | 18.08 | 18.58 | BL-69 | Non-Freeway Less than 10,000 | 182 | TOTAL ACCIDENTS |

Ped/Bike $7 \quad 6$

REMARKS: All six bicycle accidents in different locations. Four out of the seven accidents were caused by the bike rider riding down the wrong way of a one way street.

NO ACTION

| 77031 | 00.00 | 00.50 | BL-94 | Non-Freeway Less than 10,000 | 57 | TOTAL ACCIDENTS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wet | 23 | 20 | $40 \%$ OF $57=22$ |  |  |  |

REMARKS: Concentration of accidents at the intersection of M-25 © Range road. An analysis of the wet accidents was conducted. A reduction of two wet accidents per year was calculated assuming an over lay project would reduce wet accidents to the district average of $26 \%$; therefore, a pavement friction test is not necessary at this intersection.

NO ACTION

| 77032 | 03.50 | 04.00 | BL-94,BL-69 | Non-Freeway 10,000 to 20,000 | 261 | TOTAL ACCIDENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

REMARKS: Twenty one parking accidents between Quay and Grand River. Based on a field review and discussion with Joe Bassil of Metro District, no action is recommended.
_ PARKED VEHICLE ACCIDENTS
Pine-Lapeer- 2
Lapeer- 6
Quay- 6
Quay-Grand RIver-21
Grand River- 6
Grand River-McMorán- 7
McMoran- 6

## NO ACTION



| Dark | 41 | 30 | $50 \%$ OF $79=39$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-turn/F-0bj | 26 | 20 |  |

REMARKS: Streets lights at all city intersections. No concentration of accidents. No correctable accident pattern has been formed; therefore, no recommendation at this time.


NO ACTION

| 77051 | 07.50 | 08.00 | M-29 | Non-Freeway | 10,000 to 20,000 | 58 | TOTAL ACCIDENTS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O-turn/F-Obj | 20 | 20 | $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |
| Hd-On/SS-Mt | 12 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |

REMARKS: Alignment for this half-mile stretch is slightly curved. The head-on accidents are caused from people crossing the yellow line into the path of oncoming traffic. No spot concentration of accidents of fixed object accidents. No action is recommended at this time.

| OVERTURN/FIXED |  |  |  | OBJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{Y R}{}$ | $\frac{A C C}{}$ | $\frac{\text { INJ }}{1}$ |  |  |
| 83 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| 84 | 2 | $F-1,0$ |  |  |
| 85 | 5 | 4 |  |  |
| 86 | 3 | 0 |  |  |
| 87 | 7 | 0 |  |  |


| HEAD ON |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{Y R}{83}$ | $\frac{A C C}{1}$ | $\frac{\text { INJ }}{2}$ |
| 84 | 2 | 0 |
| 85 | 3 | 0 |
| 86 | 7 | 8 |
| 87 | 2 | 2 | OBJECT HIT


| SIGN- | 2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| DITCH- | 7 |
| TREE- | 1 |
| MAILBOX- | 6 |

NO ACTION

| 77052 | 00.50 | 01.00 | M-29 | Non-Freeway | 10,000 to 20,000 | 89 | TOTAL ACCIDENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-turn/ | -0bj | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |  |

REMARKS: Accidents just at threshold levels. A review of the accident reports reveals that there wasn't any spot concentrations of accidents. No correctable accident pattern formed; therefore, no recomnendation at this time.

| O-TURN/F-OBJ |  |  | OBJECTS HIT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YR | ACC | INJ | SIGN- | CURB- 3 |
| 83 | 8 | 4 | POLE- | OFF RD.- 2 |
| 84 | 3 | 0 | DITCH- |  |
| 85 | 1 | 1 | TREE- |  |
| 86 | 5 | 1 | BUILD- |  |
| 87 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| NO AC | IION |  |  |  |

1983-1987 MIDBLOCK HIGH-ACCIDENT LISTING (Stats \& Threshold \& \%)

| DISTRICT 9 |  |  | MEAN | UCL | Calculated at 0.5 STd dev |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACC | \# | STD |  |  | THRESHOLD |  | CENT |  |
| TYPE | ACC | DEV |  |  | NUMBER |  | total |  |
| 7705212.50 | 13.00 | M-29 | Non-Freeway | Less th | 0,000 | 31 | TOTAL | CCIDENTS |
| Train | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

REMARKS: Each train accident occurred in a different location. One accident occurred at Wills Street, and the second accident occurred at Davis Road. The accident at Davis Road involved the injury of one person, while the accident at Wills Street was injury free.
NO ACTION

| 77111 | 24.00 | 24.50 | $\mathrm{I}-94$ | Freeway | 10,000 to 20,000 | 102 | TOTAL ACCIDENTS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Icy | 42 | 30 | $30 \%$ of $102=30$ |  |  |  |  |

REMARKS: Concentration of accident occurred in 1985 (21). No spot location of accident within the half mile; therefore, no recommendation.

| $\xrightarrow{\text { ICY }}$ |
| :---: |
| 83-6, 0 inj |
| 84-7, 3 inj |
| 85-21, 7 inj |
| 86-9, 5 inj |
| 87-6, 2 in |

NO ACTION

| 77111 | 26.32 | 26.82 | US-25 CONN. Non-Freeway Less than 10,000 | 38 | TOTAL ACCIDENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 21 | 20 | $30 \%$ oF $38=11$ |  |
| Icy | 22 | 15 |  |  |  |
| 0-turn/F-0bj | 22 |  |  |  |  |

REMARKS: During the five year study period, only four injuries occurred as a result of fixed object accidents, and only one as a result of icy road conditions. Of the 22 fixed object accidents, 12 occurred on icy pavement. No correctable accident pattern; therefore, no recomendation at this time.

| OBJECTS HIT |  |  | ICY |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | YR | INJ |
| G.R.- | 4 |  | $83-3$ | 1 |
| SIGN- | 4 |  | $84-2$ | 0 |
| DITCH- | 9 |  | $85-12$ | 0 |
| PIER- | 1 |  | $86-2$ | 0 |
| CONC. BAR.- | 1 |  | $87-2$ | 0 |
| ON. RD. - | 2 |  |  |  |
| NO ACTION |  |  |  |  |


| 77051 | 05.50 | 06.00 | $M-29$ | Non-Freeway 10,000 to 20,000 | 66 | TOTAL ACCIDENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Fatal 4

REMARKS: One fatality was a pedestrian accident, one was a bicycle accident, and one was a motorcycle accident. The motorcycle and the pedestrian accident invoived drunk drivers. Each accident occurred in a different location. No correctable accident pattern formed; therefore, no recommendation at this time.
NO ACTION

## SAFETY PROGRAMS UNIT THRESHOLDS

## Intersections

Three Years 1985-1987
0.5 Standard Deviation Above Mean

| Accident <br> Type | Minimum <br> $\mathrm{ADT}<10,00$ | Threshold <br> $10,000<\mathrm{ADT}<20,000$ | ADT>20,000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angle | 10 | 15 | 20 |
| Head-On <br> Left-Turn | 10 | 15 | 20 |
| Rear-End | 20 | 25 | 30 |
|  | 0.5 Segments (including intersections) <br> Five Years 1983-1987 |  |  |


| Accident <br> Type | ADT<10,000 | Minimum Threshold <br> $10,000<$ ADT $<20,000$ | ADT $>20,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Minimum |
| :---: |
| \% of Total |

0.5 Segments (including intersections)

Nine Years 1979-1987
Any 0.5 mile segment (including intersections) with a minimum of three fatal accidents in nine years.

## district wet accident percentages

| YEAR | D/1 | D/2 | D/3 | D/4 | D/5 | D/6 | D/7 | D/8 | D/9 | Stwd. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | 10.6\% | 11.9\% | 16.5\% | 13.6\% | 21.9\% | 20.5\% | 20.3\% | 21.2\% | 23.4\% | 20.9\% |
| 1977 | 19.5\% | 17.8\% | 21.1\% | 18.7\% | 24.3\% | 24.1\% | 22.2\% | 23.3\% | 26.6\% | 24.3\% |
| 1978 | 16.0\% | 14.5\% | 19.7\% | 17.3\% | 21.2\% | 20.3\% | 18.8\% | 20.6\% | 22.9\% | 21.0\% |
| 1979 | 17.3\% | 16.9\% | 20.3\% | 17.2\% | 23.7\% | 22.1\% | 23.5\% | 23.9\% | 27.2\% | 24.2\% |
| 1980 | 16.8\% | 13.7\% | 18.4\% | 16.7\% | 23.5\% | 19.7\% | 20.1\% | 21.4\% | 23.7\% | 21.7\% |
| 1981 | 15.8\% | 14.3\% | 17.4\% | 16.2\% | 20.7\% | 20.9\% | 19.4\% | 22.4\% | 25.9\% | 22.2\% |
| 1982 | 17.1\% | '16.7\% | 19:9\% | 18.5\% | 22.1\% | 20.8\% | 19.6\% | 21.8\% | 25.6\% | 22.5\% |
| 1983 | 16.6\% | 15.6\% | 19.9\% | 18.9\% | 22.3\% | 20.7\% | 19.5\% | 21.1\% | 26.4\% | 22.7\% |
| 1984 | 15.4\% | 16.5\% | 19.6\% | 18.0\% | 22.6\% | 21.5\% | 21.7\% | 22.3\% | 27.2\% | 23.6\% |
| 1985 | 16.7\% | 14.8\% | 18.4\% | 18.2\% | 25.1\% | 22.9\% | 20.1\% | 21.8\% | 27.1\% | 23.8\% |
| 1986 | 18.7\% | 15.7\% | 20.9\% | 19.6\% | 24.5\% | 23.5\% | 21.8\% | 22.5\% | 26.3\% | 24.0\% |
| 1987 | 18.1\% | 16.7\% | 21.2\% | 18.4\% | 25.1\% | 21.8\% | 22.3\% | 22.0\% | 24.8\% | 23.1\% |
| 1988 | 15.1\% | 15.2\% | 20.2\% | 18.9\% | 23.3\% | 20.1\% | 19.3\% | 21.3\% | 22.6\% | 21.3\% |
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