

## MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT

# OF <br> 1, <br> 7. <br> <br> STATE HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION 

 <br> <br> STATE HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION}
Michigan's
Statewide Transportation
Modeling System
Volume XI
COMPUTER RUN TIMES: AN AID IN
SELECTING STATEWIDE TRAVEL MODEL
SYSTEM SIZE
547 Zone Vs 2262 Zone
February 22,1974

## STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

E. V. Erickson

## Chairman

Peter B. Fletcher

Charles H. Hewitf Vice Chairman

Carl V. Pellonpaa

## DIRECTOR

John P. Woodiord

WILLIAM G. MILLIKAN, GOVERNOR
DEPARTMENT OP STATE HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION
STATE HIGHWAY界 BUILDING - FOET OFF'EE DFAWERK - LANEING, MICHIGAN 48 OO 4 JOHN P. WOODFORD, DIRECTOR

February 11, 1974

Mr. Sam F. Cryderman Deputy Director Bureau of Transportation Planning

Dear Mr. Cryderman:
The Transportation Survey and Analysis Section of the Transpotation Planning Division is pleased to present Volume XI in a series of reports dealing with Michigan"s "Statewide Traffic Forecasting Model". This volume documents the computer run times of alternate assignments for both the 547 and the 2262 zone systems.

shies done to offer other states the advantage of our experience whenpeternimfig system size. By comparing the differences in run times between our two systems, it was hoped that other states could obtain a better idea of the size of system they may wish to use for the "uping" of their own statewide models.

This report was prepared by Mr. Lawrence J. Swick of the Statewide Studies Unit.

Sincerely,


Keith E. Bushnell, Engineer Transportation Survey and Analysis Section
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## INTRODUCTION

As stated in the introductory letter, the primary purpose of this report is to list corresponding run (central processor unit) times for two statewide systems. One system contains 547 zones and the other contains 2262 zones. By comparing the run times and related methods of processing traffic assignments, it was hoped han other states could o of in a better idea of the size of model they may wish to employ relative to their own specific computer capabilities.

By describing the system (Burroughs 5500) used by Michigan, other users will at least have information to use as a comparison
 when choosing the level of assignment sophistication they may wish to employ. The report is very brief and does not cover all aspects of the system selection process Michigan, however, would be more than willing to discuss with other states any problems they may be having in this area.

## MICHIGAN'S COMPUTER SYSTEM



## MICHIGAN'S COMPUTER SYSTEM

The following list is a description of the computer hardware system presently in use at the Michigan Department of State Highways and Transportation. The programs discussed in the next section are processed by this system.

The information on the following page is supplied only as technical reference material for those computer system analysts who may wish to make hardware comparisons.

# MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE HIGHWAYS <br> AND TRANSPORTATION B-5500 

| \# | Code | Description |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | B5280 | Basic System |  |
| 1 | B 5281 | Processor B |  |
| 1 | B5005 | Aux. Mem. | 1.2 us cycle time, 330 kcs |
| 8 | B 5260 | Memory Units | 4 us cycle time |
| 8 | B425 | Tape Drives | $90 \mathrm{1ps}$ @ 72 kcs |
| 2 | B329 | Printer | 1040 imp, 132 pos |
| 1 | B124 | Reader | 800 cpm |
| 1 | B303 | Punch | 100 cpm |
| 3 | B5282 | I/0 Channels |  |
| 3 | B871 | Print Positions (132) |  |
| 3 | B872 | Extended Mag. Tape |  |
| 2 | B5470 | Disk Control |  |
| 3 | B471 | Disk Electronics Units |  |
| 11 | B475 | Disk Storage Modules | $9.6 \times 106$ @ 20 mil access, 316 kcs for every 8 char. 1 parity char. |
| 1 | B451 | Expanded Disk Control |  |
| 1 | B249 | Data Transmission Control | Up to 16 tu 's |
| 2 | B487 | ```Data Transmission Terminal Unit``` |  |
| 1 | B873 | B487 capability |  |
| 9 | 980 | TWX/TY line adapter (Model | 1) |
| 9 | 103A | Data Sets (modems) | . |
| 9 |  | Voice Grade Lines |  |

## 547 ZONE SYSTEM

The 547 zone syatem is comprised of 508 Lastate zones (figure 1) and 39 outstate zones (Figure 1-a). It contains 20,623 miles of trunkife and county roads (chis includes centroid distances as shown in Figure 2). There are a total of 3,566 1inks in the system, 547 centroids and 2,008 nodes. The following outlines will list the programs and corresponding CPUs for (1) a total single traffic assignment, and (2) a normal calibration series. The calibration series is longer because of the additional travel evaluations and print programs. A small definition of purpose accompanies each program so that other assignment package programs can be compared. The Michigan Department of State Highways and Transportation uses the Burroughs 5500 Transportation Planning package which was developed in conjunction with the Pennsylvania Department of Highways, the Federal Highway Administration and Alan M. Voorhees, Inc.



540 ZONE TRAFFIC FORECASTING SYSTEM
outstate analysis zones
FIGURE 1-A
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FIGURE 2

## REPRESENTATIVF

547 ZONE TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT SERIES

| PROGRAM |  | DESCRIPIION | CPU TIME |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TP NET | Q01402 | (Creates, updates and edits network) | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \mathrm{Min} \\ & 1 \mathrm{HR} \end{aligned}$ | (Update) <br> (Print Net) |
| TP TREE | Q01403 | (Determines and describes minimum network tree paths between zones) | 3 HRS |  |
| TP SKIM | Q01404 | (Accumulates and describes cost paths between zones using tree output) | 45 Min |  |
| CAR | Q10105 | (Generates car trip table based on socioeconomic variables) | 40 Min |  |
| TRUCK | Q10105 | (Generates truck trip table based on socioeconomic variables). | 40 Min |  |
| VACATION | Q10103 | (Generates vacation trip table based on socioeconomic variables) | 25 Min |  |
| CAR MOD | Q01413 | ```(Modifies trips between zones based on calibration factors)``` | 15 Min |  |
| TRK MOD | Q01413 | ```(Modifies trips between zones based on calibration)``` | 11 Min |  |
| VAC MOD | Q01413 | (Modifies trips between zones based on calibration factors) | 13 Min |  |
| MNIP | Q01412 | ```(Adds car, truck and vacation trip tables together)``` | 35 Min |  |


| TP LOAD | Q01405 | (Assigns trips to network) | 75 | Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TP NAPS | Q01422 | (Adjusts assignment from summer weekday to AADT using given factors) | 5 | Min |
| PREPLOT | Q01151 | (Prepares tape to be used for plotting) | 5 | Min |
| The total state network system, for plotting purposes is broken |  |  |  |  |
| into 4 regions. |  |  |  |  |
| PLOT* | Q01153 | (REG 1) | 12 | Min |
| PLOT* | Q01153 | (REG 2) | 10 | Min |
| PLOT* | Q01153 | (REG 3) | 5 | Min |
| PLOT* | Q01153 | (REG 4) | 5 | Min |

* 

Does not include physical plotting times.

REPRESENTATIVE CPU TIMES FOR ONE RUN OF PROGRAMS IN A NORMAL 547 ZONE CALIBRATION SERIES


| Program |  |  | (阳 गlme <br> o nearest min.) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TP PRIN | Q01410 | (VAC TM) | 29 | Min. |  |
| TP PRIN | Q01410 | (CAR TM) | 31 | Min. |  |
| PLOTS | Q01153 | Region 1 | 22 | Min. | * |
|  | Q01153 | Region 2 | 15 | Min. | * |
|  | Q01153 | Region 3 | 9 | Min. | * |
|  | Q01153 | Region 4 | 7 | Min. | * |
|  | Q01153 | Region 5 | 5 | Min。 | * |
| TP EVAL | Q01425 |  |  | Min. |  |

* Does not include Actual Plotting
Time on Cal Comp Plotter.


## 2262 ZONE SYSTEM



The 2262 zone system is comprised of 2223 instate zones (Figure 3) and 39 outstate zones (Figure 4). It contains 30,189 miles of trunkline and county roads (includes centroid distances). There are 10,491 links in the system, 2262 centroids and 4,930 nodes (Figure 5). The following figures w111 list the programs and corresponding CPU's for a total single traffic assignment.
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For processing purposes with the larger system, "block" runs were necessary to make the corresponding CPU times reasonable. By blocking, we mean that the total zones within the 2262 system were stratified and only predetermined groups were processed within each unit. To 111 ustrate, the 2262 system was broken down as follows:

1 Zones 1 - 377

2 Zones 378-754

3 Zones 755-1131

4 Zones 1132-1508

5 Zones 1509-1885
6 Zones 1866-2262

Trees were built for the above zone segments as were they skimmed and loaded and then combined to form a total assignment. Each "tree build" segment took approximately 4 hours for a total of 24 hours and each load segment took four hours for a total of 24 hours. The trip builder programs (Q10105, Q10103) and the other specified programs were run continuously until they were completed. For purposes of clarity a designation of "C" for continuous and "S" for segmented will be used in the following figure to define the types of run times associated with each program.

PROGRAM
(c) TP NET

Q01402
1 HR

Q01403

(s) | TP TREE | Q01403 |
| ---: | :---: |
| SEGMENT | 1 |
| SEGMENT | 2 |
| SEGMENT | 3 |
| SEGMENT | 4 |
| SEGMENT | 5 |
| SEGMENT | 6 |

4 HRS
4 HRS
4 HRS
4 HRS
4 HRS
4 HRS
24 HRS
1.5 Min

15 Min
TIME

$$
10
$$

UPDATE

REMARKS

PRINT (2 VOL FLDS)

BUILD

| (s) TP SKIM | Q01404 |
| ---: | :---: |
| SEGMENT | 1 |
| SEGMENT | 2 |
| SEGMENT | 3 |
| SEGMENT | 4 |
| SEGMENT | 5 |
| SEGMENT | 6 |

1. $H R$

1 HR
1 HR
1 HR
1 HR
1 HR
6 HRS
(c) UTILITY PROGRAM

TO COMBINE SKIMS
TRIP TABLE BUILDERS:
(c) CAR Q10105
(c) TRUCK
(c) VAC

Q10105
Q10103

10 Min

15 HRS
15 HRS
7 HRS 15 Min.


## COMPUTER RUN TIME COMPARISONS



## Plotting CAPABILITY NOTE

It is extremely important that any initial modeling effort be accompanied by a basic computer plotting capability. The initial building and operation of even minimal modeling efforts without a plotter would be extremely difficult if not fruitless.

The Michigan Department of State Highways and Transportation has used a digital incremental plotter for this type of work and has on order for future efforts a modular automatic drafting system with a 40 i.p.s. capability. The choice of plotting systems is definitely up to each individual user but the fact of its definite need cannot be over-emphasized.

## CONCLUSION



The purpose of the report has been accomplished if other agencies now have a better idea of the processing times involved in model application. Future computer technology may alter the concepts and times involved here but at least a groundwork for comparison has been laid.

The Statewide Studies Unit would be glad to assist anyone with future inquires regarding model system size and related processing times: Please contact. . .

Mr. Richard E. Asch
Statewide Studies Unit
Transportation Planning Division
Michigan Department of State Highways and Transportation Drawer K, Lansing, Michigan 48904

Phone No. 1-517-373-2663.

