

### MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE HIGHWAYS

# STUDY OF THE OPERATIONAL ASPECTS OF ONE-WAY AND TWO-WAY STREETS

Report TSD-TR-101 (I)-69

### INTERIM REPORT

-33

- :4

<u>ે ને</u>

Вy

Nejad Enustun

Conducted By

Traffic Research Section Traffic & Safety Division

in cooperation with

U. S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Bureau of Public Roads

January, 1969

## MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE HIGHWAYS

12

i.

### COMMISSION

Charles H. Hewitt, Chairman Wallace D. Nunn, Vice Chairman Richard F. Vander Veen

State Highway Director	6 9	6	٠	0	٠	Henrik E. Stafseth
Deputy State Highway Director .	æ 6	0	٠	٠	ø	J. P. Woodford
Chief, Bureau of Operations .	6 9	8	٠	٠	¢	G. J. McCarthy
Engineer of Traffic and Safety.	¢ ¢	÷	a	9	9	H. H. Cooper
Traffic Research Engineer	\$ Q	8	8	•	8	Donald E. Orne
Study Engineer	6 P	a	6	•	٠	Nejad Enustun

THE OPINIONS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS EXPRESSED IN THIS PUBLICATION ARE THOSE OF THE AUTHORS AND NOT NECESSARILY THOSE OF THE BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS. 

# CONTENTS

۰,

, , ,

<u>p</u>	age
ABSTRACT	1
INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES	4
STUDY PROCEDURES	7
Kalamazoo Study Area	7
Traffic Surveys in Kalamazoo	9
Accident Data for Kalamazoo	17
Lansing Study Area	19
Traffic Surveys in Lansing	21
Accident Data for Lansing	26
ANALYSIS OF RESULTS	27
Speed and Delay Study Results	29
Cross-Street Speed Study Results	36
Results of Gap Studies	40
Results of Traffic Volume Studies	53
An Approximate Comparison of Average Travel	
Distances	73
Results of Turning-Movement Studies	78
Results of Accident Studies	83
CONCLUSIONS	12
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	15
APPENDICES	16

and and the second s

## LIST OF FIGURES

			Page
Fig.	1:	State Trunklines During Two Study Phases, Kalamazoo	8
Fig.	2:	City of Kalamazoo: Inventory of Streets and Traffic Control – Two-Way Operation	10
Fig.	3:	City of Kalamazoo: Inventory of Streets and Traffic Control – One-Way Operation	12
Fig.	4:	City of Kalamazoo: Traffic and Gap Survey Stations	14
Fig.	5:	City of Kalamazoo: Routes for the "Speed and Delay" and "Cross-Street Running- Time" Surveys - Two-Way Operation	15
Fig.	6:	City of Kalamazoo: Routes for the "Speed and Delay" and "Cross-Speed Running- Time" Surveys - One-Way Operation	16
Fig.	7:	State Trunklines During Two Study Phases, Lansing	18
Fig.	8:	City of Lansing: Inventory of Streets and Traffic Control – Two-Way Operation	20
Fig.	9:	City of Lansing: Inventory of Streets and Traffic Control – One-Way Operation	20
Fig.	10:	City of Lansing: Traffic and Gap Survey Stations	22
Fig.	11:	City of Lansing: Routes for the "Speed and Delay" and "Cross-Street Running- Time" Surveys - Two-Way Operation	24
Fig.	12:	City of Lansing: Routes for the "Speed and Delay" and "Cross-Street Running- Time" Surveys - One-Way Operation	24
Fig.	13:	City of Lansing: Traffic Gaps on Saginaw Street	43
Fig.	14:	City of Kalamazoo: Traffic Gaps on Michigan Avenue at Church Street	49
Fig.	15:	City of Kalamazoo: Traffic Gaps on Kalamazoo Street at Church Street	50

ģ

Ŷ.

÷.

### LIST OF FIGURES

1.1.1.

and the second second

- 1

) ...)

Ξġ

		Page
Fig. 16:	City of Lansing: Peak Traffic	62
Fig. 17:	City of Lansing: Traffic Volume Characteristics	63
Fig, 18:	City of Kalamazoo: Peak Traffic	69
Fig. 19:	City of Kalamazoo: Traffic Volume Characteristics	71
Fig. 20:	Trip Patterns in an Area	74

v.

5

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	1:	City of Kalamazoo: Speed and Delay Comparisons	28
Table	2:	City of Lansing: Speed and Delay Comparisons	33
Table	3:	Speed and Delay Summary	35
Table	4:	City of Kalamazoo: Cross-Street Running- Time Comparisons	37
Table	5 <b>:</b> .	City of Lansing: Cross-Street Running- Time Comparisons	39
Table	6:	Cross-Street Running-Time Over-All Averages	40
Table	7:	City of Lansing Vehicle Gap Study: Hourly Totals of Various Sizes of Gaps	41
Table	8:	City of Lansing: Possible Utilization of Improved Gap Availability	45
Table	9:	City of Kalamazoo Vehicle Gap Study: Hourly Totals of Various Sizes of Gaps	46
Table	10:	City of Kalamazoo: Number of Traffic Gaps of Various Sizes During 15-Minute Periods on Michigan Avenue at Church Street	47
Table	11:	City of Kalamazoo: Number of Traffic Gaps of Various Sizes During 15-Minute Periods on Kalamazoo Avenue at Church Street	48
Table	12:	City of Kalamazoo: Michigan Avenue at Church Street: 15-Minute Traffic Volumes During Gap Surveys	51
Table	13:	City of Kalamazoo: Kalamazoo Avenue at Church Street: 15-Minute Traffic Volumes During Gap Surveys	52
Table	14:	City of Lansing: Traffic Volumes Entering Study Area	56
Table	15:	City of Lansing: Traffic Volumes Leaving Study Area	58

vi.

Page

# LIST OF TABLES

Zi Shenari ang

A start of the sta

. )

Table 16:	City of Lansing: Vehicle-Miles of Travel Within Study Area	60
Table 17:	City of Kalamazoo: Traffic Volumes Entering Study Area	64
Table 18:	City of Kalamazoo: Traffic Volumes Leaving Study Area	65
Table 19:	City of Kalamazoo: Vehicle-Miles of Travel Within Study Area	66
Table 20:	Observed Maximum Hourly Volumes per Lane	72
Table 21:	City of Kalamazoo Turning-Movement Study: Intersection of Kalamazoo and Rose	79
Table 22:	Cumulative Left Turn Lane Stoppages, Kalamazoo Street and Rose Street, City of Kalamazoo	80
Table 23:	City of Lansing Turning-Movement Study: Intersection of Oakland and Logan	82
Table 24:	City of Lansing: Accident Types on Saginaw Street Between Logan and Grand	84
Table 25:	City of Lansing: Accident Types on Saginaw Street between Beltline RR and Logan	85
Table 26:	City of Lansing: Accident Types Within Study Area	86
Table 27:	City of Lansing: Accidents Within Study Area by Day or Night	87
Table 28:	City of Kalamazoo: Accident Types on Michigan Avenue Between Main and Porter	90
Table 29:	City of Kalamazoo: Accident Types on Michigan Avenue Between Lovell and Main	92
Table 30:	City of Kalamazoo: Accident Types on Kalamazoo Avenue	93
Table 31:	City of Kalamazoo: Accident Types Within Study Area	94

Page

viii.

مرد : 1 • • • 1 • • •

## LIST OF TABLES

Page

Table 32:	City of Kalamazoo: Accidents Within Study Area by Day or Night	95
Table 33:	Comparative Accident Summary	98

## LIST OF APPENDICES

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

.

de annie and

the second se

1

lan.

			Page
Appendix	1:	Kalamazoo Accident Study	116
Appendix	2:	Accident Record Form	119
Appendix	3:	Accident-Type Codes	120
Appendix	4:	Lansing Accident Study	121
Appendix	5:	Approximate Calculation of Number and Vehicles Which Can Utilize Various Gap-Size Groups	124
Appendix	6:	(Table I): Detailed Analysis of Traffic Volume Data	<b>12</b> 5
Appendix	7:	(Table II): Summary of Vehicle-Miles of Travel	127
Appendix	8:	(Table III): Summary of Traffic Volumes Leaving the Study Area	128
Appendix	9:	(Table IV): Hourly Volumes	129
Appendix	10:	(Table V): 24-Hour Summary of Vehicle-Miles of Travel	130
Appendix	11:	(Table VI): 24-Hour Summary of Traffic Volumes Leaving the Study Area	131
Appendix	12:	Computer Processing Flow Charts	132
Appendix	13:	City of Lansing: Intersection Accidents in the Study Area	133
Appendix	14:	City of Lansing: Midblock Accidents in the Study Area	139
Appendix	15:	City of Kalamazoo: Intersection Accidents in the Study Area	141
Appendix	16:	City of Kalamazoo: Midblock Accidents in the Study Area	147
Appendix	17:	Detailed Description of Traffic Surveys	149

ix.

#### ABSTRACT

1

By taking advantage of the Michigan Department of State Highways' scheduled plans of conversion of some state trunklines through cities from two-way to one-way traffic operation, a research study was set up to determine in a quantitative way the improvements in traffic operation obtained by the conversion. One-way trunkline systems in the cities of Lansing, Kalamazoo, Pontiac and Port Huron have been under study. Analyses of before-andafter data for Lansing and Kalamazoo are now completed. Studies for Pontiac and Port Huron are progressing according to schedule. This interim report is confined to the studies and the results obtained in Lansing and Kalamazoo.

Parameters of time, convenience and safety have been used in this study to evaluate the quality of the traffic service. Field surveys have been conducted for speed and delay of traffic on selected routes, for gaps in the traffic stream, for volumes at several locations on state trunklines and local streets, and accident analyses have been made based on reports compiled by city police.

Analyses of speed surveys indicated that, on an over-all average basis, travel speed on the trunkline increased from 18.1 to 23.5 miles per hour in Kalamazoo and from 25.3 to 28.2 miles per hour in Lansing. Average delay, calculated by dividing the total stopped time by the trip distance, was reduced by more than 50 percent in Kalamazoo and almost 30 percent in Lansing. Gaps in the traffic stream on the arterial streets at stopcontrolled intersections increased considerably during the oneway operation. In some instances, the total number of gaps showed slight increase, but the increase in the number of gaps of larger sizes was always substantial.

In analyzing the traffic volume data, 15-minute volumes entering and leaving the study area and 15-minute totals of travel in vehicle-miles in the area were examined and compared. In Lansing, traffic volumes entering the area in 24 hours showed a rise of 8.5 percent between the two-way and one-way operation. During the morning peak periods, however, 15-minute volumes entering the area showed a rise of 16.1 percent, which was an indication of the improvement in the capacity of the street network in the area to receive and distribute the traffic.

Similarly, the volumes leaving the area in Lansing in 24 hours showed an increase of 17.4 percent whereas the 15-minute peak volumes in the afternoon rush period increased by 74 percent.

The capacity of the street system to move traffic within the area itself was examined by analyzing the vehicle-miles of travel. In the Lansing area, the peak-period increases in travel between the "before" and the "after" phases of the study were of the order of 13 to 19 percent.

The "after" surveys in Kalamazoo were not taken in the month of October like the "before" surveys, but were taken in May. The

2.

 $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ 

seasonal variations in the peaking characteristics of the various streets made it impossible to compare the peak traffic volumes.

Study results indicated that both in Lansing and Kalamazoo the one-way arterials attracted additional traffic, especially during rush hours, from the rest of the streets in the system, thus helping to alleviate congestion on the local streets.

Analysis of volumes and travel indicated that travel distances within the study corridors have not increased to any appreciable degree, contrary to the general belief that one-way street operation causes excessive trip lengths.

The two cities so far studied have not indicated similar conclusive trends in the safety aspects of the one-way systems. In general, the accident situation in Kalamazoo has improved, but in Lansing, it has not. Some observations of accident analysis common to both cities were that on the trunkline section which changed from two-way to one-way operation there was considerable reduction in rear-end collisions, substantial decrease in all types of midblock accidents, but some indication of rise in pedestrian accidents.

З,

#### INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES

Michigan Department of State Highways, being the state agency in charge of the construction and administration of the state trunkline system including urban extensions often is faced with the problem of selecting new routes through urban areas. This has to be accomplished in cooperation and agreement with the local governments concerned. In recent years, in order to keep up with the increasing traffic needs, resort has often been made to establishing one-way street pairs to carry the state trunkline traffic through such areas. Some local resistance is at times met against converting an existing thoroughfare from the usual two-way traffic to one-way operation. The leaders of such opposition have to be convinced about the benefits to the whole community of these traffic changes before the Department can proceed with the one-way plan.

13

Even though there seems to exist general knowledge about the benefit of one-way streets, specific cases where these benefits were described in a quantitative way are very scarce. It was believed that much aid could be had, in negotiating proposed oneway systems with local authorities and civic leaders, from a documentation of the advantages obtained when actual two-way state trunklines are converted to a one-way street and a parallel local street is added, or in a few instances when the existing two-way state trunkline is abandoned for a new pair of one-way streets. This led to the present study of the operational aspects of one-way and two-way streets. The Transportation

Planning Division of the Department is conducting a parallel study of the influence of one-way highways on land use, housing and property values. These two studies will complement each other and provide factual information on the experiences in a few areas which are representative of similar future trunkline changes.

2

1

ંસ

When the study on the operational aspects was first being considered, eight cities were mentioned for possible areas of research. Actual experience in conducting field surveys on a before-and-after basis, compiling accident data and analyzing and evaluating information for the cities of Kalamazoo and Lansing made it necessary to reconsider the magnitude of the project with due consideration for manpower and funds available. Also, some of the systems which were earlier considered had to be dropped for such reasons as not being a conversion from a two-way to one-way operation, but rather a replacement of an existing one-way pair by a new one; the nature of the project not being a representative sample as far as the objectives of the study are concerned; and postponement of the conversion plans. These circumstances led to the decision to confine the study to four cities, which are Kalamazoo, Lansing, Pontiac and Port Huron.

At this stage of the study, analyses of the data from Kalamazoo and Lansing are completed. However, the one-way system now operating in Lansing is a limited section of the ultimate plan.

and a subsequent evaluation can be made when the construction of the rest of the street system is accomplished.

Since this study will take about two more years to complete, it was decided to prepare this interim report on the results so far obtained in Kalamazoo and Lansing. It is expected that when study data from Pontiac and Port Huron are complete, a reevaluation of all the data will be made and, hopefully, more pronounced trends in the indicated results of one-way traffic operation will be derived from the larger number of sample cities.

6.

55) 17

. 24

#### STUDY PROCEDURES

#### Kalamazoo Study Area

The study area in the City of Kalamazoo is made up of a network of all of the streets included in Figure 1. The area includes a substantial portion of the central business district. During the "before" phase of the study (upper half of Figure 1), Michigan Route 43 crossed this area following Main Street from the west, then Michigan Avenue for the rest of the way. Two other numbered routes also followed Michigan Avenue, one of them only the western section. Business loop for Interstate Highway 94 and business route for U.S. Highway 131 followed Michigan Avenue from the southwest, then joined M-43 at the Main Street intersection. US-131 BR was then distributed into a north-south one-way pair formed by Westnedge Avenue and Park Street. **I-94** BL continued along Michigan all the way to King Highway.

To improve traffic circulation in Kalamazoo, the state trunkline plan was changed to incorporate Kalamazoo Avenue to handle oneway westbound traffic through the city. Main Street from Douglas to Michigan, and Michigan Avenue from Main to Kalamazoo intersection were made into an eastbound one-way thoroughfare. Douglas Avenue, also functioning as a short one-way southbound street, connected the west end of Kalamazoo Avenue with Main Street. To carry a heavy outbound movement, a new diagonal one-way road, Michikal Street, was built carrying southwestbound traffic from the intersection of Kalamazoo and Westnedge to the intersection of Michigan and Main. A connector was also built across Michikal



to handle left-turns from northeastbound Michigan to Elm Street. (Shown in Figure 3.) Kalamazoo Avenue west of Westnedge was improved and resurfaced. Other modifications in the street system, made in preparation for the one-way operation, were the construction of channelizing islands at the intersections of Michigan and Main, Kalamazoo and Douglas, Main and Douglas, Michigan and Portage, and Michigan and Kalamazoo. Necessary revisions were also made in the various traffic control devices. Parking was removed from Kalamazoo Avenue west of Westnedge Avenue and other minor parking regulation changes were made.

The state trunkline scheme according to the one-way plan is seen in the lower half of Figure 1. The new scheme started operating on October 10, 1965. Figure 2 shows the laneage of the principal streets, and parking and other traffic controls during two-way operation in the study area. Figure 3 is the corresponding map for the one-way operation.

#### Traffic Surveys in Kalamazoo

To obtain data representing the quality of traffic operation during the "before" phase of the study, surveys were made between October 19 and October 30, 1964. The sample sizes for the various surveys were based on established methods normally used for similar work by Michigan Department of State Highways.

Volume counts by pneumatic counters were taken at 66 locations. These were shown in Figure 4. The machines recorded the volumes by 15-minute periods.



Time gaps in the traffic stream were measured on Kalamazoo and Michigan Avenues at their intersections with Church Street. Nothing shorter than 6 seconds was recorded, and the gaps were divided into four size-groups of 6 to 10 seconds, 10 to 15 seconds, 15 to 20 seconds, and over 20 seconds.

Turning-movements were counted for 6 hours at the intersections of Kalamazoo and Rose, and Michigan and Lovell. Stoppage of left lanes caused by traffic waiting to make left turns at the Kalamazoo and Rose intersection was recorded in seconds.

 $\left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \right]$ 

The speed-and-delay study runs listed below were made by the so-called floating car method during the "before" period. Total running time and points and durations of all delays were recorded in these runs using automatic recording equipment. (See Figure 5.)

- 1-A. From the intersection of Thompson Street and Main Street, eastbound via Main-Douglas-Kalamazoo-Michigan, to the intersection of Harrison Street and Michigan Avenue.
- 2-A. From the intersection of Harrison and Michigan, westbound via Michigan-Kalamazoo-Douglas-Main, to the intersection of Thompson and Main.
- 3-A. From the intersection of Thompson and Main, eastbound via Main-Michigan, to the intersection of Harrison and Michigan.



- 4-A. From the intersection of Harrison and Michigan, westbound via Michigan-Main, to the intersection of Thompson and Main.
- 5-A. From the intersection of Lovell and Michigan, eastbound via Michigan, to the intersection of Harrison and Michigan.
- 6-A. From the intersection of Harrison and Michigan, westbound via Michigan, to the intersection of Lovell and Michigan.

Total running time only was clocked by a survey car on the six cross-streets which are situated in a general north-south direction and which intersect the one-way pair. These streets and the directions of survey runs were as follows: (See Figure 5.)

- 1. Westnedge (southbound)
- 2. Park (northbound)

- 3. Church (southbound)
- 4. Rose (northbound and southbound)
- 5. Edwards (northbound)
- 6. Pitcher (southbound)

Traffic surveys reflecting the "after" or one-way traffic conditions were taken in Kalamazoo between May 2, 1966 and May 14, 1966. Basically, the same count stations and speed-and-delay survey routes were used during these "after" surveys, except that some modifications were made for new streets and travel routes as necessitated by the one-way operation. Volume counts







Aller (20) Aller (20) 

numbered 89 during the "after" surveys. Traffic gaps and turning movements were counted at the same stations and in the exact manner as the "before" surveys.

Four speed-and-delay study runs as listed below were made during the "after" period. (See Figure 6.)

- 2-B. From the intersection of Harrison and Michigan, westbound via Michigan-Kalamazoo-Douglas-Main, to the intersection of Thompson and Main.
- 3-B. From the intersection of Thompson and Main, eastbound via Main-Michigan, to the intersection of Harrison and Michigan.
- 5-B. From the intersection of Lovell and Michigan, eastbound via Michigan, to the intersection of Harrison and Michigan.
- 7-B. From the intersection of Harrison and Michigan, westbound, via Michigan-Kalamazoo-Michikal-Michigan, to the intersection of Lovell and Michigan.

Running-time surveys on the six cross-streets were repeated for the "after" phase of the study. Additional information describing the traffic surveys in Kalamazoo and Lansing will be found in Appendix 17.

#### Accident Data for Kalamazoo

.

Accident reports compiled by the City of Kalamazoo Police Department were studied for a one-year-before and one-year-after



والمتحم أستريد 

evluation. A period of three months after the change of the traffic operation was skipped before starting the "after" period of the accident study. This was done to give drivers ample time to get used to the new situation and to readjust any traffic devices as might be necessary. A large majority of the streets in the area already described was covered in the canvassing of accident reports. A full list of the streets will be found in Appendix 1.

The details of accident information extracted from the individual police reports can be seen in the recording form in Appendix 2. The classification of the accident types is given in Appendix 3.

### Lansing Study Area

80

5

The Lansing study area includes the street network shown in Figure 7. The area contains part of the northern fringe of the central business district. During the two-way operation of the state trunkline through this area (upper half of Figure 7) Michigan Highway 43 followed Saginaw Street from the west city limits near the Belt Line Railroad east to Center Street. From there east, M-43 was already operating on the Saginaw-Sheridan one-way pair. There were existing one-way streets intersecting the trunkline. These were Pine Street and Capitol Avenue, at that time running northbound, and Walnut Street and Grand Avenue running southbound.

As an intermediate step in the implementation of the one-way operation of M-43 (lower part of Figure 7), a new bridge was built over the Grand River, and Jefferson and Oakland Streets





سې د دې کې د د دې کې نفرې سارونۍ د  were widened, reconstructed and joined to form a continuous westbound trunkline as far as Logan Street. The entire westbound road was then named Oakland Avenue. Median islands on Saginaw Street between Washington Avenue and Center Street were removed and the area converted into a traffic lane. Part-time parking was removed from Saginaw Street, and all other necessary revisions were made in the traffic control devices and parking regulations. The new one-way system went into operation on January 31, 1965. Logan Street operated as a two-way street between Oakland and Saginaw. Saginaw Street west of Logan also operated two-way as before. At this same time, the direction of flow on the north-and-south one-way city streets mentioned earlier was reversed in order to better fit the ultimate city traffic plan to be implemented after the completion of the east-west freeway through Lansing. Thus, Pine and Capitol Avenue became one-way southbound, and Walnut Street and Grand Avenue became one-way northbound.

Figures 8 and 9 show the laneage, parking and other traffic controls in the area during the "before" and "after" phases of the study, respectively.

#### Traffic Surveys in Lansing

Surveys for the sampling of the one-way trunkline operation were taken between July 8 and July 30, 1964. Volume counts by 15minute totals were taken at a total of 48 locations (Figure 10). 24 of these locations are within the western section of the study



area which will go into one-way operation some time in the future. This leaves 24 locations within the area which is now under oneway operation.

Traffic gap surveys, similar to those in Kalamazoo, were conducted at the following seven intersections of Saginaw Street: Seymour, Chestnut, Sycamore, Clayton-Carey, Westmoreland, Cawood and Durant. The last four intersections are outside the present study area.

Six hours of turning-movement counts, similar to those in Kalamazoo, were also recorded at the intersections of Oakland and Logan, Saginaw and Jenison, and Saginaw and Verlinden. Again, the last two intersections are outside of the present study area. Delays caused by traffic waiting to turn left were also recorded.

The following speed-and-delay survey runs were made during the "before" phase of the study: (See Figure 11.)

1-A. From Beltline Railroad, eastbound via Saginaw Street, to the intersection of Cedar and Saginaw.

2-A. From Cedar and Sheridan intersection, westbound via Saginaw, to Beltline Railroad.

Cross-street running time surveys were taken on seven streets. Two of these streets, Jenison and Verlinden, are outside the area of the present study. The remaining five runs were: (See Figure 11.)

1. Washington (southbound)

2. Capitol (northbound)





n<mark>de</mark> orbit, and the idde walls walls and disk water first differ differ differ differ the state the state diffe

- 3. Walnut (southbound)
- 4. Pine (northbound)
- 5. Logan (southbound)

Traffic surveys to reflect the "after" phase of this study (for the area east of Logan Street) were taken between June 28 and July 8, 1966. Basically the same count stations and travel routes were used for the "after" surveys, with the exceptions that counts were not taken for the area west of Logan Street, that modifications were made as necessitated by the one-way system, and that the speed studies were run on the newly established streets and travel directions. Thirty-two volume counts were taken during the "after" survey. Traffic gap studies were repeated at the four intersections that are within the present study area. Turning-movement counts were repeated at the Oakland and Logan intersection.

Speed-and-delay survey routes for the "after" study were as follows: (See Figure 12.)

- 1-B. From Beltline Railroad, eastbound via Saginaw Street, to the intersection of Cedar and Saginaw.
- 2-B. From the intersection of Cedar and Sheridan, westbound via Oakland-Logan-Saginaw, to Beltline Railroad.

Cross-street travel-time runs were also repeated on the five streets. However, due to the change in direction of traffic on four of the city's local streets, which went into effect on the same date as the one-way state trunklines, the travel directions of some of the test trips were different from the "before"
runs, and they were as follows: (Figure 12)

1. Washington (northbound and southbound)

2. Capitol (southbound)

3. Walnut (northbound)

4. Pine (southbound)

5. Logan (northbound and southbound)

### Accident Data for Lansing

Accident reports from Lansing City Police, compiled by the City Traffic Engineer, were studied for a one-year-before and oneyear-after evaluation as in Kalamazoo. Those streets in the area which might have been affected by the one-way trunkline were covered. A full description of these streets will be found in Appendix 4. The extent of detail required for each accident was the same as in the Kalamazoo study. 

#### ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

This study was designed to evaluate the operational changes in the traffic of an urban area which is directly affected by the change from two-way to one-way state trunklines in that immediate area. The changes in the traffic characteristics of the state trunklines themselves and of the adjacent cross-streets have been examined. The trunklines have been studied in greater detail.

1 11,

> The quality of a traffic service in general can be measured by the parameters of time, convenience, safety, distance and cost. The present study mainly deals with the first three. No data have been compiled to include a study of trip distances as affected by the one-way system, such as origin-destination surveys, driver interviews or questionnaires. An indirect exploration was, however, made to examine whether or not any excessive travel was taking place within the confined areas which are being studied. No cost information is included in this study. A separate study, already mentioned, on the influence of one-way highways on land use, housing and property values is expected to throw some light on some of the cost aspects of such projects.

In an over-all evaluation of a street system such as the ones examined in this project, the results are bound to reflect the effects of a whole set of conditions and circumstances in

### TABLE 1

										····		<u>,</u>				· · · · · ·								
DURING TWO	N C	AY (	DPERATI	ΟŅ						DURING O	NE-	WAY	OPERA	TION	-						CHAN	GE IN	:	
Route	Direction	Length (miles)	Date (1964)	Period	Average Running Time	Average Over-Ail Speed(m.p.h.)	Average Number of Stops	Average Delay (sec./mi.)	% Delay Time	Route	Direction	Length (miles)	Date" (1966)	Period	Average Running Time	Average Over-All Speed(m.p.h.)	Average Number of Stops	Average Delay (sec./mi.)	% Delay Time	Average Running Time	Average Over-All Speed(m.p.h.)	Average Number of Stops	Average Delay (sec./mi.)	% Delay Time
<u>i-A</u> From Thompson St. to Harrison St. Via Main-Douglo's-Kalamazao- Michigan Sts.	EB	1.6	10/27-29	Morn.	4' 47"	20.2	4.0	26	15				-								-			
0 u	.	'n		Aft.	5'37"	17.4	4.0 5.4	25 39	14	••• 			1. N.											
<u>2-A</u> Fran Harrison St. to Thompson St. Via Michigan Kalamazoo- Douglas-Main Sts.	WB	1.6	10/27-29	Morn.	4'43"	20.6	2.7	27	16	<u>2-B</u> From Harrison St. to Thompson St. Via Michigan-Kalamezoo- Douglas-Main Sts.	wв	1.6	5/4-6	Morn.	3' 16"	29.5	0.3	3	3	-l'27"	+8.9	-2.4	-24	~13
о и и и		а іт		Noon Aft.	4' 46" 5' 07"	20.2 19.1	2.9 2.9	28 34	16 ·	н а	n	н Ц	5/3-5 5/3-4	Noon Aft.	3'40" 4'38"	26.2 21.3	1.0 2.0	11 28	8 17	-1'06" -0'29"	+6.0 +2.2	-1.9 -0.9	-17 -6	-8 -1
<u>3-A</u> From Thampson St. ta Harrison St Via Main-Michigan Sts.	EB	1.4	10/27,28	Morn.	4' 17"	19.7	2.0	29	16	<u>3-8</u> From Thampson St. to Harrison St. Via Main-Michigan Sts.	EВ	].4	5/4-6	Morn.	3'48"	22.2	I.6	23	4	-0'29"	+2.5	-0.4	-6	-2
н н н қ	н п	°н		Noon' Aft,	4' 32" 5' 09"	18.7 16.6	3.0 3.8	36 45 -	18 20	н ч Н Ц м	et N	u a	5/3-5 5/3-4	Noon Aft.	4'04" 4' 11 <sup>"</sup>	20.8 20.4	1,6 1.8	25 30	14 17	-0'28" -0' 58"	+2_l +3.8	-1.4 -2.0	-11 -15	-4
<u>4-A</u> From Harrison St. to Thompson St. Via Michigan-Main Sts.	wB	1.4	10/27,28	Morn.	4'28"	18.9	4.0	28	15	<u>2-B</u> From Harrison St. to Thompson St. Via Michigan-Kalamazoo- Douglas-Main Sts.	wв	1.6	5/4-6	Morn,	3' 16"	29.5	0.3	3	3	-1'12"	+10.6	-3.7	-25	-12
и в В а		н u	u v	Noon	4'51" 5'49"	17.4	4.0 5.7	34 70	16 28	() IA	н в	n	5/3-5 5/3-4	Noon Aft	3'40" 4' 38"	26.2	1.0	 28	8	-1' E I ''	+ 8.8	-3.0	-23	- 8
<u>5-A</u> From Lovell St. to Harrison St. Via Michigan St. " "	ЕВ	1.3	10/29	Morn.	3' 58"	19.8	1.5	15	8	<u>5-B</u> From Lavell St. to Harrison St. Via Michigan St. " "	EB "	1.3 «	5/4-6	Morn.	3' 03"	25.7	0.3	4	3	-0'55"	+5.9	-1.2	-11	- 5
n a	h	u	u	Aft,	5 40 4 32"	21.4 17.5	2.3	20 38	12	п п	п	u	5/3-5 5/3-4	Noon Aft.	3 40 3'39"	21.4 21.5	0.8 1.2	16 20	10 12	0 -0'55"	0 + 4.0	-0,9 -1,1	- 4 -18	-2 -6
<u>6-A</u> From Harrison St. to Lovell St. Via Michigan St.	₩B ''	1.3 "	10/29 "	Morn Noon	5' 19" 5' 31"	14.7 14.2	5.7 6.3	71 73	29 29	<u>7-B</u> From Harrison St. to Laveil St. Via Kalamazoo-New Rd.(Michikal) "	WB "	1.3 "	5/4-6 5/3-5	Morn Noon	3' 21 <sup>**</sup> 3' 27"	23.5 22.8	0.7 1.0	[] 17	7	1 <sup>1</sup> 58" -2' 04"	+8.8 +8.6	-5.0 -5.3	-60 -56	-22 -18
o u	"	"	'n	Aft.	5' 15''	14.9	5.7	56	23	IT 24	"	บ	5/3-4	Aft.	3' 37°	21.9	1.4	22	13	-1'38"	+7.0	-4.3	-34	-10

CITY OF KALAMAZOO SPEED AND DELAY COMPARISONS

 a na serie and and the the series and and the series and the series and the series and the series and the series

addition to the uni- or bi-directional character of the trunkline traffic. Optimum adjustment of the traffic signals and other traffic control measures, temporal changes in the intensity of land use and in the age and social-group brackets of drivers using the facilities are but a few of these circumstances. This should be kept in mind in reviewing the results of the study.

### Speed and Delay Study Results

The results of speed and delay studies in Kalamazoo are presented in Table 1. This table lists, on the left, six different traffic survey routes used during two-way operation along the then current state trunklines and the proposed additions that would form the new east-west one-way pair. The middle portion of the table lists the travel routes that were followed during the one-way operation which most nearly correspond to the earlier routes. Differences in the results obtained between the "before" and the "after" routes are shown at the right. Averages of several runs (described in STUDY PROCEDURES) for each peak traffic period are given in the table. The first four columns after the route descriptions, in both the two-way and one-way sections, are self explanatory. "Average Running Time" is the average, for each peak period, of the total time spent between the beginning and end of the trip. "Average Over-All Speed" is the average of the overall speeds of the several trips, which are calculated by dividing trip length by running time. "Average Number of Stops" is

30.

the average, for the several trips, of the total delay or stopped time divided by the trip length. "% Delay Time" is calculated by dividing average stopped time by average running time.

In calculating average results, those survey trips which were delayed at railroad crossings because of the presence of trains were discarded because these trips would unjustly distort the before-and-after comparisons.

No corresponding "after" route is given in Table 1 for Route 1-A since it was no longer possible to repeat that trip eastbound on Kalamazoo Avenue during the one-way operation. The alternate route for the same origin and destination is Route 3-A which is compared with Route 3-B of the one-way operation. (Figures 5 and 6.)

Route 2-A was a westbound trip mostly on Kalamazoo Avenue which was not a state trunkline during the two-way operation. During one-way operation this route (Route 2-B) became westbound M-43. In spite of heavier traffic volumes in the "after" period, it will be noticed that a gain of 8.9 miles per hour in average over-all speed was attained during morning peak traffic. 6.0 and 2.2 miles per hour were gained for the noon and afternoon peaks, respectively. Better signal progression was possible during one-way operation, resulting in fewer stops which dropped from an average of 2.7 for morning peak trips to 0.3. Reductions in number of stops during noon and afternoon peaks were also experienced as will be seen in Table 1. Average delay per mile dropped from 27 seconds to 3 seconds, from 28 to 11, and from 34 to 28 for the morning, noon and afternoon peaks, respectively. Percent delay time dropped from 16 to 3, from 16 to 8, and from 18 to 17 for the various peaks.

In examining the amounts of over-all speed gains realized by the one-way operation, it should be remembered that there is a deliberate limit to travel speed through the business district, and in fact, this is an inherent function of the signal progression system.

Route 3-A was the eastbound route for M-43, and remained the same except that it became one-way (Route 3-B). In this eastbound trip, the greater gains in the speeds and in the delay reductions were experienced in the afternoon peak period where the over-all speed went up from 16.6 miles per hour to 20.4, number of stops dropped from 3.8 to 1.8, average delay from 45 seconds per mile to 30, and percent delay time from 20 to 17. The figures for the other peak periods can be seen in the table.

Route 4-A, westbound via Michigan Avenue, was the route followed by M-43 during two-way operation. In Table 1 this is compared with Route 2-B which is now westbound M-43. As it will be seen in the comparison columns, up to 10.6 miles per hour of over-all speed gain is accomplished. Even though Route 2-B was 0.2 mile longer than Route 4-A, average running times decreased by more than one minute.

Routes 5-A and 5-B, for eastbound I-94 BL, are identical trips via Michigan Avenue except that the latter is one-way for most of its length. 55 seconds have been gained in both the morning and afternoon peak trips, and the over-all speed during the morning peak has improved by 5.9 miles per hour.

Route 6-A was the old westbound route for I-94 BL via Michigan Avenue. The new westbound I-94 BL follows Kalamazoo Avenue to its intersection with the newly built Michikal Street, then follows Michikal and Michigan southwestbound. Both routes are equal in length, but about two minutes of running time are gained in the morning and noon trips, and almost as much in the afternoon trips. The gain in speed varies from 7.0 to 8.8 miles per hour.

Total running time and its inverse measure of over-all speed serve to indicate the economy in time. Number of stops is important both for economy of vehicle operation and driver convenience and safety. Amount of delay or actual stopped time has a psychological effect on drivers, and remaining stopped while on a trip is suspected to be more disturbing to a driver than moving slowly. The last three columns for trip evaluation in Table 1 are therefore highly significant in quantifying the level of traffic service. One-way trunkline operation in Kalamazoo has resulted in the elimination of up to five stops during peak periods on some of the study routes, and in a reduction in delays (stopped time) of up to one minute per mile of travel. During two-way operation, the ratio of stopped time to

32.

							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										<u></u>							
										TABLE 2														
										CITY OF LAN	SIN	G		•	•				. ·			• .		
								SF	EED	AND DELAY CO	DMP	ARI	SONS					·.						
			. '	л																				
				·										.1		÷	·							
																							-	
						,										· .							-	
								<u>-</u>		BURING	0.95	19/ 6 34	00504		<u>.</u>						CHAN	<u></u>		
DORING IW	0-% 		JPERAL				o			DORING	Ore E	- WAT	UPER		9 53	(4d	<del>م</del> "	a î				۳۱ عی ق	•.	~
Route	lirectio	Length (miles)	Date (1964)	Period	Average Running Time	Average Over-Al	Average tumber Stops	Average Delay sec./mi	% Delay TIme	Route	irectio	Length miles)	Date (1966)	Period	verag unnin Time	verag ver-Al	Verag mber Stops	verag Delay ec./m	, Dela Time	verag unnin Time	verag ver-A bed(m.	verag imber Stops	Verag Delay ec./m	% Dela 71me
				· ·	ļ.	1 67	. <b>~</b>				6		-		A E	<sup>▼</sup> O a	N Z	<u>ی</u> ک	~	< 5	₹0 ĝ	≦ ź	~	\$
<u>I-A</u> From Beltline RR to Cedar St. Via Saginaw St.	ЕВ	1.9	7/14-16	Morn.	4' 27"	26.0	1,9	15	10	<u>i-B</u> From Bettline RR to Cedar Via Saginaw St.	St. EB	1.9	6/29-30, 7/i	Morn.	3'53"	₹0 g 30.0	1.0	9 9	ି <b>ଅ</b>	< œ - 34"	4 0 Å ∽ +4.0	4 <sub>2</sub> -0.9	-6	-2
<u>I-A</u> From Beltline RR to Cedor St. Via Saginaw St.	ЕВ	1.9	7/14-16	Morn. Noon	4 <sup>1</sup> 27" 4' 33"	26.0 25.2	1,9 2,3	15	10	<u>i-B</u> From Beltline RR to Cedar Via Saginaw St. """	St. EB	1.9	6/29-30, 7/i	Morn. Noon	3'53" 4'03"	₹ <u>0</u> 30.0 28.3	1.0	ୟ ଙ ୨ 12	ିଷ ଜ	- 34" - 30"	4 0 g +4.0 +3.1	4 ⊉ -0.9 -0.9	-6	-2
<u>I-A</u> From Bettiline RR to Cedor St. Via Saginaw St. """"	EB	1.9 и	7/14-16 "	Morn. Noon Aft.	4' 27" 4' 33" 5'00"	26.0 25.2 23.2	1,9 2.3 3.4	15 18 22	10 12 14	<u>i-B</u> From Beitline RR to Cedar Via Saginew St. """	St. EB u	1.9	6/29-30, 7/i 6/28	Morn. Noon Aft.	3'53" 4'03" 4'36"	₹0 <sup>6</sup> 30.0 28.3 25.4	1.0 1.4 2.0	9 12 17	ି ଓ ୨ 12	< œ - 34" -30" -24"	+4,0 +3.1 +2.2	-0.9 -0.9 -1.4	-6 -6 -5	-2 -3 -2
I-A From Beltline RR to Cedor St. Via Saginaw St. """" <u>2-A</u> From Cedor St. to Beltline RR Via Sheridan-Center-Saginaw St	εв " "	1.9 и т 2.1	7/14-16 "" " 7/14-j6	Morn. Noon Aft. Morn,	4' 27" 4' 33" 5'00" 4' 48"	26.0 25.2 23.2 26.3	1,9 2,3 3,4 1.8	15 18 22	10 12 14 7	<u>i-8</u> From Bettline RR to Cedar Via Saginaw St. """ <u>"</u> " <u>2-B</u> From Cedar St. to Bettline Via Ookland-Logan-Saginaw S	St. EB " "	1.9 " " 2.1	6/29-30, 7/1 6/28 6/29-30, 7/1	Morn. Noon Aft. Morn.	3'53" 4'03" 4'36" 4'29"	<ul> <li>₹ 5 m</li> <li>30.0</li> <li>28.3</li> <li>25.4</li> <li>28.4</li> </ul>	1.0 1.4 2.0	9 12 17 8	8 9 12 6	- 34" - 30" -24" -19"	+4.0 +3.1 +2.2 +2.1	-0.9 -0.9 -1.4 -0.7	-6 -6 -5 -2	-2 -3 -2 -1
L-A From Beltline RR to Cedor St. Via Saginaw St. """" <u>C-A</u> From Cedor St. to Beitline RR Via Sheridan-Center-Saginaw St	ЕВ "" ""	1.9  2.1	7/14-16 " " 7/14-i6	Morn. Noon Aft. Morn, Noon	4' 27" 4' 33" 5'00" 4' 48" 4 <sup>-</sup> 53"	26.0 25.2 23.2 26.3 25.9	1,9 2,3 3,4 1,8 1,6	15 18 22 10 9	10 12 14 7 6	<u>i-B</u> From Bettline RR to Cedar Via Saginaw St. """ " " " " <u>2-B</u> From Cedar St. to Bettline Via Ookland-Logan-Saginaw S	St. EB " " RR " "	1.9 " " 2.1 "	6/29-30, 7/1 6/28 6/29-30, 7/1	Morn. Naon Aft. Morn. Noon	3'53" 4'03" 4'36" 4'29" 4'06"	<ul> <li>₹ 0 m</li> <li>30.0</li> <li>28.3</li> <li>25.4</li> <li>28.4</li> <li>30.8</li> </ul>	1.0 1.4 2.0	9 12 17 8	8 9 12 6 0	< & & _ 34" ~30" -24" -19" -47"	4 0 g +4.0 +3.1 +2.2 +2.1 +4.9	-0.9 -0.9 -1.4 -0.7 -1.6	-6 -6 -5 -2 -8	-2 -3 -2 -1 -6
L-A From Beltiline RR to Cedor St. Via Saginaw St. """" <u>2-A</u> From Cedor St. to Beltine RR Via Sheridan-Center-Saginaw St	EB "" swB	1.9 и	7/14-16 11 11 11 12 14-16 11 11 12 14-16	Morn. Noon Aft. Morn. Noon Aft.	4' 27" 4' 33" 5' 00" 4' 48" 4 ' 53" 5' 07"	26.0 25.2 23.2 26.3 25.9 25.0	1.9 2.3 3.4 1.8 1.8 2.0	15 18 22 10 9 12	10 12 14 7 6 8	i-B From Beltline RR to Cedar Via Saginaw St. """" """ <u>2-B</u> From Cedar St. to Beltline Via Ookland-Logan-Saginaw S """"	St. EB u u a	1.9 " " 2.1 "	6/29-30, 7/1 6/28 6/29-30, 7/1 1 6/29-30	Morn. Noon Aft. Noon Aft.	3'53" 4'03" 4'36" 4'29" 4'06" 4'06"	<ul> <li><b>4</b> ⊙ <u>a</u></li> <li>30.0</li> <li>28.3</li> <li>25.4</li> <li>28.4</li> <li>30.8</li> <li>26.0</li> </ul>	1.0 1.4 2.0 1.1 0.2 1.5	<pre>4 0 9 12 17 8 1 1 1 </pre>	8 9 12 6 0	< & & - 34" -30" -24" -19" -47" -20"	4 0 g +4.0 +3.1 +2.2 +2.1 +4.9 +1.0	-0.9 -0.9 -1.4 -0.7 -1.6 -0.5	-6 -6 -5 -2 -8 -1	-2 -3 -2 -1 -6 0
L-A From Beltline RR to Cedor St. Via Saginaw St. """" <u>2-A</u> From Cedor St. to Beitline RR Via Sheridan-Center-Saginaw St	EB "" "WB	1.9 и 2.1 к	17/14-16 11 11 17/14-16 11	Morn. Noon Aft. Morn, Noon Aft.	4' 27" 4' 33" 5'00" 4' 48" 4' 53" 5' 07"	26.0 25.2 23.2 26.3 25.9 25.0	1.9 2.3 3.4 1.8 1.8 2.0	15 18 22 10 9 12	10 12 14 7 6 8	<u>i-B</u> From Beltline RR to Cedar Via Saginaw St. """" """ <u>2-B</u> From Cedar St. to Beltline Via Ooklond-Logan-Saginaw S """"	St. EB u u u RR CR U STS. WB	i.9 " " 2.1 "	6/29-30, 7/1 6/28 6/29-30, 7/1 1 6/29-30	Morn. Noon Aft. Noon Aft.	3'53" 4'03" 4'36" 4'29" 4'06" 4'06"	<ul> <li><b>30.0</b></li> <li>28.3</li> <li>25.4</li> <li>28.4</li> <li>30.8</li> <li>26.0</li> </ul>	1.0 1.4 2.0 1.1 0.2 1.5	9 12 17 8 1	8 9 12 6 8	- 34" -30" -24" -19" -47" -20"	4 0 g +4,0 +3.1 +2.2 +2.1 +4.9 +1.0	- 0.9 - 0.9 - 1.4 - 0.7 - 1.6 - 0.5	-6 -6 -5 -2 -8 -1	-2 -3 -2 -1 -6 0
I-A From Beltiline RR to Cedor St. Via Saginaw St. """" <u>2-A</u> From Cedar St. to Beltiline RR Via Sheridan-Center-Saginaw St	EB "	1.9 п 2.1 п	7/14-16 11 17/14-16 11	Morn. Noon Aft. Noon Aft.	4' 27" 4' 33" 5'00" 4' 48" 4' 53" 5' 07"	26.0 25.2 23.2 26.3 25.9 25.0	1.9 2.3 3.4 1.8 1.8 2.0	15 18 22 10 9 12	10 12 14 7 6 8	i-B From Beitline RR to Cedar Via Saginaw St. """" """" <u>2-B</u> From Cedar St. to Beitline Via Ooklond-Logan-Saginaw S """""	St. EB " " RR " "	1.9 " " 2.1	6/29-30, 7/1 6/28 6/29-30, 7/1 1 6/29-30	Morn. Naon Aft. Morn. Noon Aft.	3'53" 4'03" 4'36" 4'29" 4'06" 4'06"	<ul> <li><b>4</b> ⊙ <i>a</i></li> <li>30.0</li> <li>28.3</li> <li>25.4</li> <li>28.4</li> <li>30.8</li> <li>26.0</li> </ul>	1.0 1.4 2.0 1.5	9 12 17 8 1	8 9 12 6 0	< 62 - 34" -30" -24" -19" -47" -20"	▲ O क +4.0 +3.1 +2.2 +2.1 +4.9 +1.0	- 0.9 - 0.9 - 1.4 - 0.7 - 1.6 - 0.5	-6 -6 -5 -2 -8 -1	-2 -3 -2 -1 -6 0
<u>I-A</u> From Beltline RR to Cedor St. """" <u>2-A</u> From Cedar St. to Beltline RR Via Sheridan-Center-Saginaw St	EB "" " "	1.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9	7/14-16 " 7/14-16 " "	Morn. Noon Aft. Noon Aft.	4' 27" 4' 33" 5'00" 4' 48" 4' 53" 5' 07"	26.0 25.2 23.2 26.3 25.9 25.0	1.9 2.3 3.4 1.8 1.8 2.0	15 18 22 10 9 12	10 12 14 7 6 8	i-B From Beltline RR to Cedar Via Saginaw St. """ """ <u>2-B</u> From Cedar S1. to Beltline Via Ookland-Logan-Saginaw S """	EB " " " " " " " "	1.9 " " " "	6/29-30, 7/1 " 6/28 6/29-30, 7/1 " 6/29-30	Morn. Naon Aft. Noon Aft.	3'53" 4'03" 4'36" 4'29" 4'06" 4'47"	<ul> <li><b>4</b> ⊙ <u>a</u></li> <li>30.0</li> <li>28.3</li> <li>25.4</li> <li>28.4</li> <li>30.8</li> <li>26.0</li> </ul>	1.0 1.4 2.0 1.5	9 12 17 8 1	.8 9 12 6 0 8	< 62 - 34" -30" -24" -19" -47" -20"	4 0 <u>a</u> +4.0 +3.1 +2.2 +2.1 +4.9 +1.0	- 0.9 - 0.9 - i.4 - 0.7 - 1.6 - 0.5	-6 -6 -5 -2 -8 -1	-2 -3 -2 -1 -6 0
L-A From Beltline RR to Cedor St. Via Saginaw St. """"" <u>2-A</u> From Cedor St. to Beitline RR Via Sheridan-Center-Saginaw St """""	EB "" " "	1.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9	7/14-16 11 17 14-16 11 13 14	Morn. Noon Aft. Noon Aft.	4' 27" 4' 33" 5'00" 4' 48" 4' 53" 5' 07"	26.0 25.2 23.2 26.3 25.9 25.0	1.9 2.3 3.4 1.8 1.8 2.0	15 18 22 10 9 12	10 12 14 7 6 8	<u>i-B</u> From Beltline RR to Cedar Via Saginew St. """ <u>2-B</u> From Cedar St. to Beltline Via Ookland-Logan-Saginew S """	EB " " " " " " " " " " " "	1.9 "" " 2.1 "	6/29-30, 7/1 6/28 6/29-30, 7/1 4 6/29-30	Morn. Naon Aft. Noon Aft.	3'53" 4'03" 4'36" 4'29" 4'06" 4'47"	<ul> <li><b>4</b> ⊙ <u>a</u></li> <li>30.0</li> <li>28.3</li> <li>25.4</li> <li>28.4</li> <li>30.8</li> <li>26.0</li> </ul>	1.0 1.4 2.0 1.1 0.2 1.5	9 12 17 8 1	.8 9 12 6 0 8	< 62 - 34" -30" -24" -19" -47" -20"	4 0 <u>a</u> +4.0 +3.1 +2.2 +2.1 +4.9 +1.0	- 0.9 - 0.9 - 1.4 - 0.7 - 1.6 - 0.5	-6 -6 -5 -2 -8 -1	-2 -3 -2 -1 -6 0

and a second and a second

Chi an may dan but and and an and an and an an an an an an

أنكلح والم

,

. Na kata na katala kata na katala na kata נט נט running time (% delay time) during peak traffic was found to be as high as 29%, whereas during one-way operation the highest ratio was found to be 17% even though running time itself was also shorter.

Table 2 contains the results of the speed-and-delay surveys in Lansing. Route 1-A was eastbound M-43 along Saginaw Street when this street was two-way between Logan and Center Streets. Route 1-B is the same trip after Saginaw became one-way. It should be pointed out that these trips include the section of Saginaw Street west of Logan which is still a two-way trunkline. A gain in running time of more than 30 seconds has been attained most of the time on this trip. Optimum speeds of travel have been reached as indicated by average over-all speeds of up to 30 miles per hour during the one-way phase. Sizeable reductions in number of stops, duration of stops and ratio of delay time are seen in Table 2.

Route 2-A for Lansing was westbound M-43 via Saginaw. With the one-way system, this was replaced by 2-B via Oakland Avenue. From the intersection of Oakland and Logan on trip 2-B, the rest of the trip was along two-way streets. Even under this partial one-way operation, and considering the devious route necessitated by the use of Logan Street as a detour between Oakland and westbound Saginaw, a comparison of the before and after data reveals substantial improvement. Travel speeds have approached the optimum, and delays have been reduced for all trips. Almost ideal signal progression was present between Cedar and Logan Streets as evidenced from the field data where one out of the total of 27 westbound runs had any delay on this one-way section.

#### 

# TABLE 3

### SPEED AND DELAY SUMMARY

	Kalamazoo				Lansing	3
	Two-Way	One-Way	Change	Two-Way	One-Way	Change
Average Over-all Speed (Miles per Hour)	18.1	23.1	+5.0	25.3	28.2	+2.9
Average Stops per Mile	2.7	0.8	-1.9	1.1	0.6	-0.5
Average Delay (Seconds per Mile)	39	17	-22	14	10	-4
Average Delay Ratio	18%	11%	-7%	10%	7%	-3%

In order to make a general review of the results of speed-anddelay studies in both cities, an effort has been made in Table 3 to summarize some average values. The figures represent simple averages of the results obtained for the various study trips.

The most significant deduction from Table 3 is that the one-way operation has resulted in larger speed increases and delay elimination in Kalamzoo than in Lansing. It can also be said that traffic flow progression initially was better in Lansing than in Kalamazoo, and therefore, there was more room for improvement in the latter city.

### Cross-Street Speed Study Results

In a grid system of streets made up of state trunklines and local streets, usual efforts to augment traffic capacity and speed along certain arteries result in some sacrifices in the traffic operation on local streets or other state trunklines crossing the arteries in question. One of the parameters of the quality of traffic on a cross-street is travel time. To detect the possibility of having created any excessive delays on the cross-streets due to the one-way trunkline operation, cross-street running time studies were made as outlined before.

Table 4 lists the average results obtained from these crossstreet running-time studies in Kalamazoo. It will be seen by examining the last column that the changes in average running time vary all the way from a reduction of 62 seconds to an 36.

TABLE 4

ll.

da normali

# CITY OF KALAMAZOO CROSS-STREET RUNNING-TIME COMPARISONS

							TWO-V	VAY OPER	ATION	ONE-	WAY OPER	ATION	CHANGE	
			Run	-				<u>Date</u> ( <u>1964</u> )	Period	<u>Average</u> <u>Running</u> Time	<u>Date</u> ( <u>1966</u> )	<u>Period</u>	<u>Average</u> <u>Running</u>	AVERAGE RUNNING TIME
On	Westnedge	Ave.	from	Ranso	om to W.	South	Sts.	10/27-28	Morning Peak	! <sup>'</sup> 47"	5/10	Morning Peak	l' 45"	- 2"
H	0	li I	и	"	11	ŧ	t)	10/22	Morn. off	1' 20"	5/4	Morn. off	ı' 25"	+ 5"
11	н	18	(É	¥I	н	u	Ħ	( <sup>11</sup>	Noon <sup>B</sup>	6"	5/3,9	Noon "	<sup>1</sup> 41"	+ 25"
H	ti	¥1	н	F3	41	н	ŧI	10/28	Aft. off	1' 09"	5/3-4	Aft. off "	1'26"	+ 17"
ŧI	14	H.	18	li	H	43	"	10/22,27	Afternoon "	50"	5/5,9	Afternoon "	1' 51"	+ 10
Ön	Park St. fro	om W.	Sout	h to	Ransom	Sts.		10/27-28	Morning Peak	I <sup>L</sup> 55 <sup>/t</sup>	5/10	Morning Peak	l' 25"	- 30"
લ	<u>н п</u> . н	1	b	н	и	н		10/23	Morn. off "	l' 52"	5/4	Morn, off	i' 40"	- 12"
18	61 EL 11	ŀ	11	п	81	IF		10/22	Noon "	ו' 35"	5/3,9	Noon "	l' 35"	o
IE	ព ។ ព	I	11	ĮĒ	11	81		10/28	Aft. off	l <sup>'</sup> 45"	5/3-4	Aft. off "	1 26"	- 19"
ŧI	18 Iê II	I	н	18	II	н		10/22-27	Afternoon "	1 55"	5/5,9	Afternoon "	ı' 23"	- 32"
On	Church St.	from	Ranso	m to	Academy	Sts.		10/27-28	Morning Peak	2' 03"	5/10	Morning Peak	<sup>1</sup> 4  <sup>"</sup>	- 22"
ŧI	ji \$I	Ħ	¥I.	H	\$) -	It		10/22	Morn. off	t <sup>'</sup> 40"	5/4	Morn. off	I <sup>t</sup> 50"	+10"
ม	16 B	##	\$1	1:	Iŝ	ц		u	Noon "	2'06"	5/3.9	Noon "	2' 04"	- 2"
It	18 8I	н	ч	ŧI	18	14		10/28	Aft. off "	2'03"	5/4	Aft, off	l' 49"	- 4"
Ił	18 18	1t	li	lt	It	16		10/22,27	Afternoon "	2'51"	5/5,9	Afternoon "	i" 49"	-62"
On	Rose St. fr	om W	Sout	h to	Ransom	Sts.	<u>-</u>	10/27-28	Morning Peak	ı' 38"	5/10	Morning Peak	' 50 <sup>''</sup>	+ 12"
	18 18 1	1	<u></u> {]	н	11	\$1		10/22	  Morn.off "	1 48"	5/4	Morn. off	l <sup>1</sup> 45"	-→ 3 <sup>*</sup>
11	n i t	•	14	<b>\$1</b>	\$1	н		N	Noon	1' 48"	5/3,9	Noon	ľ 50''	+ 2"
11	14 H 1	1	11	18	и	18		10/28	Aft. off "	1 59"	5/3	Aft. off	l' 48"	- 11 <sup>0</sup>
IÉ	H IL I	ł	- Iŧ	н	IF	u		10/22,27	Afternoon "	l' 48"	5/5,9	Afternoon "	2' 11"	+23"
On	Rose St. f	rom F	Ranson	to 1	N. South	Sts.		10/27-28	Morning Peak	ı' 32''	5/10	Morning Peak	( 37"	+ 5"
в	11 11	IE	H	H	Ħ	46		10/22	Marn. off	1 58"	5/4	Morn. off	25"	- 33"
٤I	ų 11	11	11	ŧI	ŧI	۶I			Noon "	ı' 32"	5/9	Noon "	ľ 29"	- 3 <sup>°</sup>
\$1	\$I \$I	и	н	11	n	п		10/28	Aft. off	1' 13"	5/4	Aft. off "	ı' 38"	+25"
ii ii	11 11	II	li.	ŧI	It	ŧI		10/22,27	Afternoon "	52"	5/5,9	Afternoon "	l <sup>*</sup> 45 <sup>"</sup>	- 7 <sup>"</sup>
On	Edwards S	t. from	 n E. S	 South	to Ranse	om Sts		10/27-28	Morning Peak	l' 29"	5/10	Morning Peak	l <sup>'</sup> 47''	+ 18"
и ш		• II		IE		n	-	10/22	Morn. off	1 36"	5/4	Morn.off	l' 43"	+ 7"
18	H \$	1 EI		н	16 31	ŧI		10/27	Noon B	131	5/9	Noon	1 <sup>1</sup> 46 <sup>11</sup>	$+15^{11}$
u II	16 1	4 18		н	61 IL	tł		10/2B	Aft off "	2'12"	5/3.4	Aft off "	1'51"	- 21"
Iê	и і	t 11		н	£1 I\$	Iŧ		10/22,27	Afternoon "	2'10"	5/9	Afternoon "	43"	- 27"
0n	Pitcher St	from	Rane	om to	E Sout	h Ste	<u> </u>	10/27-28	Morping Peak	1 <sup>1</sup> 4 4 <sup>11</sup>	5/10	Morning Peak	' 49 <sup>**</sup>	+ 5"
- UN - H	8 H	"		ант 10 #	. 2. 000	जाउ. स		10/22	More off	1' 27"	5/4	Morn off	1' 12"	- 15"
	61 51	lŧ	н	11	14	11		10/22		' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	5/3 0	Moon H	ייב ו' האיי	ن + ۵۱ <sup>۳</sup>
	16 14			,,	 11	11		10/22	A44 -44 14	در ر الرس آر	5/3,8	AF4	بى مى ئارى با	
	, 	н			11			10/28	Alt, OT	در ر البم لو	U/ 3-4	Afternoon "	1 10	U
		.,		ı، ا				10/22,27	ATTERNOON	1 41	5/9	Arternoon	1 20	-21

And which and the most of the second s

increase of 41 seconds. No pattern seems to exist for these variations in the change in running time. Timing of traffic signals to provide for the needed traffic capacity for conflicting street approaches and to provide for progession is the major factor affecting these running times. Slight increase in some of the cross-street running times is a small sacrifice that can be afforded to compensate for even smaller gains in travel time on the trunklines, since these gains benefit much larger volumes of traffic. It can be said, nevertheless, that the introduction of the new one-way trunkline pair has not resulted in delays of any objectionable duration on the cross-streets.

Table 5 presents the average results from the cross-street running-time trips in Lansing. In this city, as mentioned earlier, changes in the directions of travel of the one-way streets crossing the state trunklines were made, concurrently with the operation of the new one-way state trunklines. Consequently, in Table 5 some of the before-and-after comparisons relate to conditions of opposite traffic direction, and this makes those comparisons somewhat inconsistent since the peak traffic patterns are not comparable. However, the information as a whole is valuable again in revealing that no excessive delays have been caused by the new scheme. The last column contains a variety of shortening and lengthening of trip times varying from -10 seconds to +37 seconds. 38.

TABLE 5

A second s

and the second se

in the second second

# CITY OF LANSING CROSS-STREET RUNNING-TIME COMPARISONS

	<u></u>	WAY OPER	ATION			ONE-V	VAY OPER	ATION	CHANGE
<u>Run</u>	<u>Date</u> ( <u>1964</u> )	Period	Average Running Time	<u>Run</u>		<u>Date</u> (1966)	Period	<u>Average</u> <u>Running</u> Time	AVERAGE RUNNING TIME
On Washington Ave from Kilborn to Genesee Sts;	7/14-16	Morning Peak	ן' סח"	On Washington Ave. f to Genesee Sts.	from Kilborn	6/29-30, 7/11	Morning Peak	l' 14"	+ 14"
(I 14	R	Noon "	1'04"	н	н	ч	Noon "	1' 18"	+ 14"
(j D	n	Afternoon "	1' 31"	и	н	6/28-30	Afternoon	ľ 21"	- 10"
On Capitol Ave. from Genesee to Kilborn Sts.	7/14-16	Morning Peak	1' 02"	On Capitol Ave. from Genesee Sts. ( <del>X</del> )	Kilborn to	6/29-30, 7/1	Morning Peak	1' 35"	+ 33"
11 11		Noon "	ı' ı2"	\$1	н	14	Noon "	ł' (4''	+ 2"
13 It	¥1	Afternoon "	l' 09"	I\$	11	6/28-30	Afternoon "	ı' 08"	– I,
On Walnut St. from Kilborn to Genesee Sts.	7/14-16	Morning Peak	l' 07"	On Walnut St. from ( Kilborn Sts. ( <del>X</del> .)	Genesee to	6/29-30, 7/1	Morning Peak	l' 08"	+ 1"
0 D	u	Noon "	1, 08,	n	D	U	Noon "	59"	- 9"
(I B	в	Afternoon	I, 15 <sub>n</sub>	14	15	6/28-30	Afternoon "	l <sup>'</sup> 05"	→ 7"
On Pine St. from Genesee to Kilborn Sts.	7/14-16	Morning Peak	1' 12''	On Pine St. from Kil Genesee Sts.( <del>X</del> )	lborn to	6/29-30, 7/I	Morning Peak	i' 49''	+ 37"
14 · 11	н	Noon "	' [4"	a	II.	i	Noon "	1'31"	+ 17"
(I H	и	Afternoon "	l <sup>'</sup> 24"	H	น	6/28-30	Afternoon "	i' 20"	- 4"
On Logan St. from Hyland to Genesee Sts.	7/14 - 16	Morning Peak	ı' o2''	On Logan St, from H Genesee Sts.	lyland to	6/29-30, 7/1	Morning Peak	l' 28 <sup>8</sup>	+ 26"
jı \$1	n	Noon "	55"	U	น	1 1	Noon "	l' 25"	+ 30"
ii O	a	Afternoon "	1' 03"	fl	¥I	6/28-30	Afternoon "	1' 19"	+ 16"
				On Logan St. from G Hyland Sts.	ienesee to	6/29-30, 7/1	Morning Peak	1' 02"	
				ы	ii		Noon "	l' 09"	
				D	D	6/28-30	Afternoon "	i' i 1''	
				On Washington Ave. fr to Kilborn Sts.	rom Genesee	6/29-30, 7/I	Morning Peak	l' 16"	
				11	11	н	Noon "	55"	
				11	41	6/28-30	Afternoon "	I' 08"	
			NOTE	: ( <del>X</del> )Travel direction wa	s reversed	in the "Afte	r" phase of th	ne study.	

For the sake of an over-all comparison of the results of the before and after surveys in both cities, simple averages of all the peak-period running times have been shown in Table 6. A gain of 2.9 seconds is seen for Kalamazoo. A similar average for all of the off-peak trips in Kalamazoo (not shown in Table 6) yields 99.6 seconds for the two-way period and 95.1 seconds for the one-way. For Lansing, an over-all time loss of 10.6 seconds is indicated. This is to be expected because another traffic artery, Oakland Avenue, which must be crossed by the traffic, has been added for the one-way operation. At every intersection of this added artery with the cross-streets, traffic signals were added, and these played a role in the resultant slight loss in travel time on the cross-streets. No off-peak trial runs have been made in Lansing.

#### Table 6

#### CROSS-STREET RUNNING-TIME OVER-ALL AVERAGES (Peak Periods Only)

	Two-Way	<u>One-Way</u>	Change
Kalamazoo	106.5"	103.6"	-2,9"
Lansing	69.0"	79.6"	+10.6"

### Results of Gap Studies

The gap study is another test of the quality of traffic service on the streets intersecting the one-way trunklines. This applies to streets controlled by stop signs. Any trunkline traffic improvement project cannot ignore its effect on the ease of access from minor streets. The phenomenon that controls this ease of access is the availability of gaps in the traffic stream on the

40.

TABLE 7

# CITY OF LANSING VEHICLE GAP STUDY

Hourly Totals of Various Sizes of Gaps

ON SAGINAW ST. AT SEYMOUR ST:

and the second sec

and a straight with

to the first

12

Gap Sizes (Seconds)	7-8A.M.		8-9/	<b>А.</b> М.	3-41	P.M.	4-5F	Р.М.	5-6F	P.M.	Total (5) H	for ours
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
6-10 sec.	40	40	62	39	58	37	49	43	39	44	248	203
10-15 sec.	18	29	31	22	22	34	13	30	27	32	111	147
15-20 sec.	13	19	10	18	5	18	5	21	9	13	42	89
Over 20 sec.	5	23	3	27	2	21	0	13	7	16	17	100
Total	76		106	106	87	110	67	107	82	105	418	539

# ON SAGINAW ST. AT CHESTNUT ST:

Gap Sizes (Seconds)	7-8 A.M.		8-9 <i>4</i>	ι.М.	3-41	P. M.	4-51	Р. М <i>.</i>	5-61	Р.М.	Total (5) ⊦	for Iours
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
6-10 sec.	49	35	70	34	39	41	27	41	35	34	220	185
10-15 sec.	45	33	49	32	17	37	15	26	20	32	146	160
15-20 sec.	14	18	18	23	4	4	4	]]	9	19	49	85
Over 20 sec.	6	24	7	30	0	27	0	32	3	32	16	145
Total	114	110	144	119	60	119	46	110	67	117	431	575

# ON SAGINAW ST. AT SYCAMORE ST:

Gap Sizes (Seconds)	7-8 A.M.		7-8A.M. 8-9A.M.		3-4F	Р. М.	4-5F	Р. M.	5-61	Р. М,	Total (5) H	for ours
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
6-10 sec.	56	42	57	51	50	40	56	47	58	52	277	232
10-15 sec.	21	36	27	27	23	40	20	34	22	50	113	187
15-20 sec.	12	13	25	14	7	12	5	18	3	19	52	76
Over 20 sec.	18	29	12	47	1	26	1	25	2	24	34	151
Total	107	120	121	139	81	118	82	124	85	145	476	646

(1) major street. Earlier traffic engineering research indicates that a gap of smaller size than six seconds is not utilized by the majority of drivers desiring to cross or to make a turn onto a street from a stopped position. Consequently, no gaps smaller than six seconds have been recorded or analyzed, as mentioned above.

Table 7 shows the numbers and sizes of gaps as surveyed at three intersections in Lansing. The "before" figures relate to the two-way, and the "after" figures relate to the one-way operation on Saginaw Street. Hourly totals during the morning and afternoon peak periods, and 5-hour totals are given. Figure 13 is a graphical representation of the same information, and reveals two significant facts. The first is that more total gaps were available during all but two of the survey hours in the one-way period. The second and more important fact is that there were more of the larger gaps during the one-way operation. It is apparent, therefore, that the one-way project has resulted in considerably better conditions for the side street traffic by shortening the time that drivers had to wait at stop-controlled intersections.

A quantitative evaluation of this improvement would require the calculation of the extra traffic capacity that can be utilized by vehicles entering from the side streets. An approximate method of determining the number of vehicles that could utilize the various sizes of gaps is presented in Appendix 5. No.

42.

<sup>(1)</sup> B. D. Greenshields, D. Schapiro, E. L. Erickson, "Traffic Performance at Urban Intersections", Yale University, Bureau of Highway Traffic, Technical Report 1, New Haven, Connecticut, 1947.



distinction has been made, in this calculation, between vehicles desiring to go straight through or to make a turn. Also, it is assumed that no gap shorter than six seconds will be utilized, and that each car starting from a stopped position will use four seconds of headway. According to this analysis, the number of vehicles that can utilize the various gap size groups are as follows:

<u>Gap size</u>	Vehicles
6-10 seconds	1
10-15 "	2
15-20 "	3
> 20 "	5

The above figures are for cars entering from one leg of the side street. For a full intersection these can be doubled to account for traffic from the opposite leg also.

Applying the above information to the gap study results in Table 7, capacities added to the three intersections in Lansing during five hours of peak traffic are shown in Table 8. This amounts to a total improvement in the capacity of the three streets of 4,178 vehicles in five hours.

Table 9 gives the hourly and 5-hour totals for the number of various sizes of gaps at two intersections in Kalamazoo during the morning and afternoon peak traffic. A further breakdown of this data by 15-minute periods will be found in Tables 10 and 11. Figures 14 and 15 are graphical representations of the 15-minute gap information. They also include, at the upper part, traffic volumes that were counted during the gap surveys.

### TABLE 8

(The second

ettere et alere Signature et al

1

### CITY OF LANSING

### POSSIBLE UTILIZATION OF IMPROVED GAP AVAILABILITY (During 5 Hours of Peak Traffic)

Gap Size (Seconds)	Number of AFTER -	Gaps BEFORE =	Increase in Number of Gaps	Vehicles Per Gap x(*)	Additional Vehicles Which Can Be = Accommodated
On Sag	inaw St. at S	eymour St.	:		
6-10	203	248	-45	2	_90
10-15	147	111	36	4	144
15-20	89	42	47	6	282
>20	100	17	83	10	$\frac{830}{1\overline{166}}$
On Sag	inaw St. at C	hestnut St	¢ 0 0		
6-10	185	<b>22</b> 0	-35	2	-70
10-15	160	146	14	4	56
15-20	85	49	36	6	216
>20	145	16	129	10	$\frac{1290}{1492}$
On Sag	inaw St. at S	ycamore St	a •		
6-10	232	277	-45	2	-90
10-15	187	113	74	4	296
15-20	76	52	24	6	144
>20	151	34	117	10	$\frac{1170}{1520}$

CITY OF KALAMAZOO VEHICLE GAP STUDY Hourly Totals of Various Sizes of Gaps. ON MICHIGAN AVE. AT CHURCH ST. Total for Gap Sizes 8-9 A.M. 3-4 P.M. 4-5 P.M. 5-6 P.M. 7-8 A.M. (5) Hours (Seconds) Before After Before After Before After Before After Before After Before After 6-10 sec. 10-15 sec. 15-20 sec. Т Over 20 sec. L Total

### ON KALAMAZOO ST. AT CHURCH ST.

Gap Sizes (Seconds)	7-8	A.M.	8-9	A.M.	3-4	P.M.	4-5	P.M.	5-6	P.M.	Total (5) H	for Iours
	Before	After	Before	After								
6-IO sec.	70	39	74	27	77	47	71	34	54	35	346	182
10-15 sec.	43	18	15	30	23	51	31	51	30	44	142	192
15-20 sec.	19	23	6	13	2	30	16	16	15	13	58	95
Over 20 sec.	4	49	12	48	5	12	5	16	2	6	38	131
Total	146	129	107	118	107	140	123	117	101	98	584	602

1.44

TABLE 9

46.

 $\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d^2}{dt}}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d^2}{dt}}$ 

TABLE IO

1

# CITY OF KALAMAZOO

Number of Traffic Gaps of Various Sizes During 15-Minute Periods

# On MICHIGAN AVENUE at CHURCH STREET.

		TOTAL GAPS								
PERIOD	6 to 10 s	seconds	10 to 15	seconds	15 to 20	seconds	Over 20	seconds	Two-	One-
1-0,-0	Two-way	One-way	Two-way	One-way	Two-way	One-way	Two-way	One-way	way	way
7:00-7:15 A.M.	35	15	7	7	2 `	10	4	10	48	42
7:15-7:30 A.M.	22	10	10	7	. I	6	1	4	34	27
7:30-7:45 A.M.	13	21	6	9	I	7	0	4	20	41
7:45-8:00A.M.	'2	15	0	3	0	2	0	l	2	21
8:00-8:15A.M.	3	27	2	. 12	0	3	0	3	5	45
8:15-8:30A.M.	l	8	I	8	0	5	0	5	2	36
8:30-8:45A.M.	12	4	2	15	4	3	0	7	18	39
8:45-9:00A.M.	8	22	4	8		3	1	3	14	36
3:00-3:15 P.M.	15	26	0	7	2	0	ł	3	18	36
3:15-3:30P.M.	7	15	3	8	2	2	0	0	12	2 5
3:30-3:45 P.M.	4	23	3	6	0	6	0	5	7	40
3:45-4:00P.M.	1	15	1	9	0	5	0	4	2	33
4:00-4:15P.M.	3	7	I	4	1	4	0	0	5	25
4:15-4:30P.M.	7	11	2	2	2	2	0	3		18
4:30-4:45 P.M.	l	18	0	7	0	J	0	3	1	29
4:45-5:00P.M.	3	17	0	6	0	2	0	I	3	26
5:00-5:15P.M.	2	17	0	7	0		0	3	. 2	28
5:15-5:30P.M.	4	21	1	7	0	- 5	I	3	6	36
5:30-5:45 P.M.	4	18	0	6	0	8	0	5	4	37
5:45-6:00P.M.	14	19	2	10	0	7	0	7	16	43
(5) Hour Total	161	359	45	148	16	82	8	74	230	663

;

TABLE II

# CITY OF KALAMAZOO

Number of Traffic Gaps of Various Sizes During 15-Minute Periods

# On KALAMAZOO AVENUE at CHURCH STREET.

	angeneration and a state of the			and the second secon					gramman and a second				
			GAP SIZES IN SECONDS										
PERIOD	ERIOD 6 to 10 seconds Two-way One-way		O to 15	O to 15 seconds		seconds	Over 20	seconds	Two-	One-			
10/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/1			Two-way	One-way	Two-way	One-way	Two-way	One-way	way	way			
7:00-7:15 A.M.	8	6	4	3	10	9	10	15	32	33			
7:15-7:30A.M.	13	6	25	5	8	4	3	15	49	30			
7:30-7:45 A.M.	29	19	7	8	1	4	0	9	37	40			
7:45-8:00 A.M.	20	8	7	2	0	6	l	10	28	26			
8:00-8:15 A.M.	19	6	8	. 11	5	1	3	15	35	33			
8:15-8:30 A.M.	7	.7	2	4	1	5	l	10	21	26			
8:30 - 8:45 A.M.	19	7	2	. 9	0	3	5	14	26	33			
8:45-9:00 A.M.	19	7	3	6	0	4	3	9	25	26			
3:00-3:15 P.M.	29	10	9	15	I	7	2	5	41	37			
3:15 - 3:30 P.M.	[1	6	6	11	I	5	1	4	19	26			
3:30 - 3:45 P.M.	19	7	3	13	0	12	2	2	24	34			
3:45-4:00 P.M.	18	24	5	12	0	6	0	ł	23	43			
4:00-4:15 P.M.	24	15	14	9	2		0	4	40	29			
4:15-4:30 P.M.	21	4	6	15	8	8	2	5	37	32			
4:30-4:45 P.M.	14	8	3	-16	3		3	4	23	29			
4:45-5:00 P.M.	12	7	8	11	3	6	· 0 ·	3	23	27			
5:00-5:15 P.M.	15	3	5	15	0	2	2	0	22	20			
5:15-5:30 P.M.	3	10	3	12	3	4	0	0	19	26			
5:30 - 5:45 P.M.	15	14	8	10	6	2	0	2	29	28			
5:45-6:00 P.M.		8	14	7	6	5	0	4	31	24			
(5) Hour Total	346	182	142	194	58	95	38	131	584	602			

48.

600 600 TRAFFIC VOLUME TRAFFIC VOLUME 500 500 Traffic Volume One-way Fraffic Volume Two-way 400 400 300 300 Traffic Volume One-way Traffic Volume Two-way 200 200 100 100 50 50 40 40 NUMBER OF GAPS GAPS 30 30 NUMBER OF 20 20 LEGEND 10 10 Two-way Operation One-way Operation TIME TIME P. M. 5:30-5:45 7:45-8:00 3,45-4,00 7:15-7:30 7:30-7:45 8:45-9:00 3:30-3:45 4;45-5:00 7:00-7:15 8:30-8:45 3:15-3:30 4:30-4:45 5,45-6,00 3:00-3:15 4:15-4:30 5:15-5:30 8:00-8:15 8.15-8:30 4:00-4:15 5:00-5:15 A.M. Gap Size over 20" 15" to 20" 10" to 15" FIGURE 14 - CITY OF KALAMAZOO 6" to 10" TRAFFIC GAPS ON MICHIGAN AVE. AT CHURCH ST.

<u>م</u>



## TABLE 12

## CITY OF KALAMAZOO

### Michigan Avenue at Church Street

# 15-Minute Traffic Volumes During Gap Surveys

Period	Volu Two-Wa (10	umes With y Operation -26-64)		Volumes With One-Way Operation (5-5-66)
	Eastbound	Westbound	Total	
7:00 - 7:15A	273	46	319	145
7:15 - 7:30	251	71	322	169
7:30 - 7:45	189	63	252	400
7:45 - 8:00	166	84	250	576
8:00 - 8:15	143	145	288	444
8:15 - 8:30	154	195	349	310
8:30 - 8:45	141	174	315	305
8:45 - 9:00	123	140	263	263
3:00 - 3:15P	186	146	332	318
3:15 - 3:30	192	170	362	292
3:30 - 3:45	205	172	377	273
3:45 - 4:00	182	161	343	316
4:00 - 4:15	225	199	424	367
4:15 - 4:30	223	204	427	293
4:30 - 4:45	252	225	477	293
4:45 - 5:00	181	189	370	252
5:00 - 5:15	1 <b>65</b>	230	395	321
5:15 - 5:30	143	223	366	231
5:30 - 5:45	138	242	380	262
5:45 - 6:00	145	294	439	243

# TABLE 13

# CITY OF KALAMAZOO

### Kalamazoo Avenue at Church Street

# 15-Minute Traffic Volumes During Gap Surveys

Period	Volu Two-Wa (10-2	umes With y Operation 27-64)	н н н н	Volumes With One-Way Operation (5-3-66)
	Eastbound	Westbound	Total	
7:00 - 7:15A	85	73	158	137
7:15 - 7:30	136	57	193	137
7:30 - 7:45	87	63	150	222
7:45 - 8:00	46	66	112	265
8:00 - 8:15	99	87	186	205
8:15 - 8:30	203	79	282	183
8:30 - 8:45	203	77	280	155
8:45 - 9:00	95	58	153	235
3:00 - 3:15P	91	109	200	256
3:15 - 3:30	97	116	213	265
3:30 - 3:45	107	122	229	316
3:45 - 4:00	91	186	277	359
4:00 - 4:15	95	129	224	329
4:15 - 4:30	114	141	255	303
4:30 - 4:45	102	144	246	383
4:45 - 5:00	92	185	277	413
5:00 - 5:15	108	157	265	437
5:15 - 5:30	127	228	355	479
5:30 - 5:45	88	159	247	378
5:45 - 6:00	115	150	265	276

est Sjat Sjat Figure 14 shows the gaps on Michigan Avenue at Church Street. When Michigan Avenue was a two-way trunkline, it carried more traffic than later when it became a one-way trunkline. The 15-minute volume variation graph in Figure 14 indicates, however, a larger peak in the morning during the one-way period. It is natural to expect more and larger traffic gaps as the volume decreases, and yet, it is observed that even with higher volumes, the number and especially the sizes of gaps are larger with one-way traffic. This results from the fact that gaps depend on the directional split of the traffic flow as well as total volume, and when volumes are equal, a one-way street will allow more and larger gaps. Table 12 contains the volume data for this intersection.

Figure 15 is the gap and volume chart for Kalamazoo Avenue at Church Street. Volumes were in general lighter even with the two-way traffic during the "before" phase of the study. In spite of the heavier volumes, the one-way operation made available more and larger gaps as summarized in Table 9. Volume figures for this intersection are given in Table 13.

### Results of Traffic Volume Studies

Volume count data in this study have been used to evaluate the capacity of a system of streets in an area, rather than of single streets or intersections, to move traffic in a unit of time. The areas in question in both cities were the traffic corridors served by the state trunklines already described.

A Burroughs B5500 computer was used to process the volume data. The raw data were received from the field in the form of paper tapes on which 15-minute volumes were printed by the traffic recorders. The records were cumulative volumes by 15-minute increments up to a full hour and reset to zero on the hour. In the office, each count station was identified by key-punching a header card for each tape to show the card number, station location, direction of flow, starting time, and other minor information. The volume records were punched consecutively on data cards following the header card and carrying the same number as the header card. Each data card contained 14 volume records.

The first part of the computer program developed for this study converted the cumulative count records of both the "before" and the "after" surveys to 15-minute volumes. Information on travel distances and the numbers of traffic lanes controlled by each count station during the before and the after phases were introduced into the computer by means of two sets of control cards.

Three tabulation printouts for the analyses of the 15-minute volumes and vehicle-miles of travel were obtained. Appendix 6 shows a sample page of a printout which contains all the basic information for the eight peak-traffic hours for the duration of the counts.

The computer was programmed to search the maximum values of the 15-minute vehicle-miles of travel for each station and then to add these up for all stations to yield an area-wide comparative

54.

99.5 |

table of vehicle-miles by 15-minute periods. A sample of this information can be seen in Appendix 7.

By selecting those stations which counted traffic near the periphery of the study area, on an inbound and outbound basis, choosing the maximum occurring 15-minute volumes at those stations; and adding them together yielded comparative tabulations of entering or leaving traffic totals by 15-minute periods. (Appendix 8).

Additional programs processed the volume data to printout hourly volume information on a continuous 24-hour day basis. Also, vehicle-miles of travel, and entering and leaving traffic during a composite 24-hour day were obtained. Samples of the printouts pertaining to these tabulations can be seen in Appendices 9 to 11.

A flow chart showing the computer-processing of the traffic volume data is presented in Appendix 12.

The purpose in processing the volume data in the manner described above was to examine and compare the traffic flow and capacity characteristics of the study areas during the two-way and oneway phases. Three parameters were used to weigh these characteristics. The first parameter was the ability of the streets in the study area to receive traffic from adjacent areas during a short period of time. The second was the street system's capacity to move traffic within itself in a time period, and the third was the ability to discharge traffic to the adjacent

### Table 14

### CITY OF LANSING

### TRAFFIC VOLUMES ENTERING STUDY AREA

TIME		"BEFORE" PE	RIOD		''AF	TER" PERIOD		% CHANGE			
	Trunklines	City Streets	System Total	Trur	klines	City Streets	System Total	T.L.	City	System Total	
<u>15—Minute Peaks</u> Morning Peak * Noon Peak * 5:00—5:15 P.M.	% of           Volume         System           616         (39.0)           338         (25.9)           426         (20.3)	% of System           965         (61.0)           966         (74.1)           1672         (79.7)	1581 1304 2098	<u>Volume</u> 827 513 649	% of System (45.1) (38.3) (31.5)	% of           Volume         % of           1008         (54.9)           825         (61.7)           1411         (68.5)	1835 1338 2060	+ 34.3 + 51.8 + 52.3	+ 4.5 14.6 15.6	+ 16.1 + 2.6 1.8	
<u>Composite 8—hr.</u> _Total_	11,749 (32.0)	24,951 (68.0)	36,700	17,475	(42.4)	23,745 (57.6)	41,220	+ 48.7	- 4.8	+ 12.3	
Average 24 Hours	21,583 (32.3)	45,337 (67.7)	66,920	30,260	(41.7)	42,325 (58.3)	72,585	+40.2	- 6.6	+ 8.5	

 $\star$  The 15-minute peak times are different in the ''before'' and ''after'' periods.

50 \$

-

area. The most accurate instantaneous measure of any fluctuating flow is a rate during infinitesimal time. The traffic counters recorded volumes by 15-minute periods, and this was used as the shortest interval of time in examining the volume fluctations. These three parameters of entering, circulating and leaving traffic are admittedly somewhat interdependent, especially when the area under consideration is small, nevertheless each has its significance in evaluating the over-all picture.

In Table 14, the summation of inbound traffic counted at the volume stations in Lansing is presented for each of the morning, noon and afternoon 15-minute traffic peaks; for a composite total of the maximum 15-minute volumes counted during eight hours of peak traffic; and for 24 hours of an average week day. The totals are broken down by state trunklines and city streets. Under the category of trunklines, both in the before and in the after periods, are included those streets which were not state trunklines under the two-way operation and were made trunklines under the one-way operation.

Considering first the total network made up of state trunklines and city streets, it is seen in Table 14 that during an average day 66,920 vehicles entered the area in the before period. During the after period, this daily total of entering traffic was counted to be 72,585. This is a growth of 8.5 percent, which took place during the intervening two years, as shown in the last column of Table 14. Examination of the 15-minute morning

# Table 15

### CITY OF LANSING

## TRAFFIC VOLUMES LEAVING STUDY AREA

TIME	"BEFORE" PERIOD						''AF		% CHANGE				
	Trunklines		City Streets		System Total	Trunklines		City Streets		System Total	T.L.	City	System Total
15-Minute Peaks	Volume	% of System	Volume	% of System		Volume	% of System	Volume	% of System			:	
Morning Peak *	548	(36.7)	947	(63.3)	1495	1406	(61.9)	864	(38.1)	2270	.+ 156.6	- 8.8	+ 51.8
12:00-12:15 P.M.	485	(41.4)	687	(58.6)	1172	1208	(55.6)	965	(44.4)	2173	+ 149.1	+40.5	+ 85.4
5:00-5:15 P.M.	858	(43.6)	1108	(56.4)	1966	1869	(54.6)	1552	(45.4)	3421	+117.8	+ 40.1	+74.0
							•. 						ч -
<u>Composite 8-hr.</u> Total	14,687	(42.7)	19,729	(57.3)	34,416	23,826	(44.6)	29,602	(55.4)	53,428	+ 62.2	+ 50.0	+ 55.2
Average 24 Hours	26,652	(42.5)	36,097	(57.5)	62,749	27,566	(37.4)	46,113	(62.6)	73,679	+ 3.4	+ 27.7	+ 17.4

lageb er

\* The 15-minute peak times are different in the ''before'' and ''after'' periods.

i den series Sectores de la composición de la composi Sectores de la composición de la composi Sectores de la composición de la composi Sectores de la composición de 58 \*

peaks, however, discloses that maximum flow into the area changed from 1581 to 1835 vehicles, which is a rise of 16.1 percent.

Another way of examining these peak volumes would be to express them as ratios of the daily volumes. During the before phase, the ratio of the morning 15-minute peak of entering traffic to the daily total was 1581/66,920 = 0.0236. During the after phase, it became 1835/72,585 = 0.0253. Normally, it is to be expected that as the populations of cities grow, the peaks in the traffic volumes become less accentuated. If no changes had been made in traffic facilities, it would be expected that, due to the growth of the greater Lansing area, the ratio of the peak flow to daily flow would be smaller two years later; and yet, the opposite result is observed for the morning peak. This can be attributed to the over-all improvement in the capacity of the street system to receive a larger rate of flow of traffic.

Table 15, which is similar to Table 14, shows the total of vehicles counted as they leave the study area in Lansing. It should be remembered during these discussions that the count stations in any of the cities, whether counting inbound or outbound traffic, were never complete enough to form a closed cordon around the area. This is the main reason why the daily totals for entering traffic in Table 14 and leaving traffic in Table 15 do not agree for the same survey periods. This situation does not, however, detract from the value of the comparison

Contraction of the

ê d

## Table 16

### CITY OF LANSING

### VEHICLE-MILES OF TRAVEL WITHIN STUDY AREA

TIME	"BEFORE" PERIOD						''AF	TER'' P	% CHANGE				
	Trunklines		City Streets		System Total	Trunklines		City Streets		System Total	T.L.	City	System Total
15- Minute Peaks	Volume	% of System	Volume	% of System		Volume	% of System	Volume	% of System				
7:45-8:00 A.M.	648	(54.3)	546	(45.7)	1194	780	(54.7)	647	(45.3)	1427	+ 20.4	+ 18.5	+ 19.5
Noon Peak *	474	(52.6)	. 427	(47.4)	901	422	(46.1)	493	(53.9)	915	-11.0	+ 15.5	+ 1.6
5:00-5:15 P.M.	716	(47.4)	793	(52.6)	1509	926	(54.1)	785	(45.9)	1711	+ 29.3	- 1.0	+13.4
<u>Composite 8-hr.</u> Total	13,701	(51.4)	12,953	(48.6)	26,654	17,662	(54.6)	14,682	(45.4)	32,344	+ 28.9	+13.3	+21.3
Average 24 Hours	24,810	(51.4)	23,504	(48.6)	48,314	33,723	(56.7)	25,662	(43.3)	59,385	+ 35.9	+ 9.2	+ 22.9

10.00

ر جان ہو۔ اور تحصیلات

\* The 15-minute peak times are different in the "before" and "after" periods.

60.

<u> (1997)</u>

ين. در المحمد ال of the before and the after periods since the same stations were used each time even though they did not provide one hundred percent coverage. Another minor reason for disagreement between entering and leaving totals is, naturally, the fact that in most cases counts were not simultaneous but were taken during a span of two to four weeks.

Referring again to Table 15, the change in the 24-hour totals of traffic leaving the area was from 62,749 to 73,679, or a growth of 17.4 percent. The growth in each of the 15-minute peaks, however, were much higher, as will be seen in the last column, varying between 51.8 and 85.4 percent. This unusually high increase in the peak flows is an indication of the freedom of movement that the traffic is experiencing in traveling out of the area in shorter time as a direct result of better traffic service provided by the one-way trunkline operation.

Table 16 is a similar tabulation of the peak and daily travel totals within the Lansing study area, measured in vehicle-miles. These are computed by multiplying the volume counts obtained from stations dispersed within the area, by the travel distance which is controlled by each count station and summing them up. Again, as in the case of inbound and outbound counts, these stations were not all-encompassing, but covered all the important streets quite extensively. The morning and afternoon peaks indicate, respectively, 19.5 and 13.4 percent of increase. The 24-hour increase is 22.9 percent which is comparable with the increases for the peak 15 minutes. In this table, even






#### Table 17

#### CITY OF KALAMAZOO

## TRAFFIC VOLUMES ENTERING STUDY AREA

TIME		"BEFC	DRE" PE	RIOD			"AF	TER" PE	% CHANGE				
	Trunkli	ines	City S	treets	System Total	Trunkli	Trunklines		treets	System Total	T.L.	City	System Total
15-Minute Peaks	Volume	% of System	Volume	% of System		Volume	% of System	Volume	% of System				
7:45-8:00 A.M.	1340	(43.2)	۲64 آ	(56.8)	3104	1380	(49.3)	1419	(50.7)	2799	+ 3.0	- 19.6	- 9.8
Noon Peak *	678	(31.9)	1447	(68.1)	2125	1135	(54.3)	957	(45.7)	2092	+ 67.4	- 33.9	- 1.6
5:00-5:15 P.M.	926	(34.7)	1743	(65.3)	2669	1044	(42.0)	1439	(58.0)	2483	+ 12.7	- 17.4	- 7.0
<u>Composite 8-hr.</u> Total	24,901	(39.4)	38,242	(60.6)	63,143	27,496	(46.9)	31,086	(53.1)	58,582	+10.4	- 18.7	- 7.2
Average 24 Hours	38,967	(40.9)	56,380	(59.1)	95,347	44,999	(46.1)	52,664	(53.9)	97,663	+15.5	- 6.6	+ 2.4

0575

\* The 15-minute peak times are different in the ''before'' and the ''after'' periods.

1

64.

## Table 18

## CITY OF KALAMAZOO

#### TRAFFIC VOLUMES LEAVING STUDY AREA

TIME		"BEF	ORE'' P	ERIOD				'AFTER''	D	% CHANGE			
	Trunkl	ines	City St	reets	System Total	Trunklines		City St	reets	System Total	T.L.	City	System Total
15-Minute Peaks	Volume	% of System	Volume	% of System	*	Volume	% of System	Volume	% of System				
7:45-8:00 A.M.	1038	(40.1)	1553	(59.9)	2591	1158	(42.1)	1591	(57.9)	2749	+11.6	+ 2.4	+ 6.1
11:45-12:00 A.M.	1008	(47.3)	1124	(52.7)	2132	1328	(54.9)	1090	(45.1)	2418	+31.7	- 3.0	+13.4
5:00-5:15 P.M.	1236	(42.6)	1664	(57.4)	2900	1395	(43.5)	1812	(56.5)	3207	+ 12.9	+ 8.9	+10.6
<u>Composite 8-hr.</u> Total	26,803	(43.6)	34,713	(56.4)	61,516	28,387	(44.6)	35,264	(55.4)	63,651	+ 5.9	+ 1.6	+ 3.5
Average 24 Hours	42,148	(42.8)	56,407	(57.2)	98,555	42,440	(40.8)	61,694	(59.2)	104,134	+ 0.7	+ 9.4	+ 5.7

#### CITY OF KALAMAZOO

#### VEHICLE-MILES OF TRAVEL WITHIN STUDY AREA

TIME		٤° E	BEFORE'	PERIOD	)		£	'AFTER''	% CHANGE				
	Trunkli	nes	City S	freets	System Total	Trunklines		City Streets		System Total	T.L.	City	System Total
15– Minute Peaks	Volume	% of System	Volume	% of System	-	Volume	% of System	Volume	% of System				
7:45-8:00 A.M.	1279	(65.9)	661	(34.1)	1940	·1431	(70.5)	599	(29.5)	2030	+11.9	- 9.4	+ 4.6
Noon Peak *	1062	(66.2)	542	(33.8)	1604	940	(63.0)	551	(37.0)	1491	_11.5	+ 1.7	7.0
5:00-5:15 P.M.	1342	(65.4)	710	(34.6)	2052	1462	(68.8)	662	(31.2)	2124	+ 8.9	6.8	+ 3.5
<u>Composite 8-hr.</u> Total	31,218	(66.7)	15,590	(33.3)	46,808	30,349	(68.4)	14,008	(31.6)	44,357	- 2.8	- 10.1	- 5.2
Average 24 Hours	50,515	(69.6)	22,108	(30.4)	72,623	50,773	(68.3)	23,642	(31.7)	74,416	+ 0.5	+ 6.9	+ 2.5
													-

an an an Geologia

. .<u>.</u> //

금방 문 관람값

\* The 15-minute peak times are different in the "before" and the "after" periods.

66

though the peak travel totals do not indicate a relatively sharper rise in comparison to the 24-hour travel totals, as was in the case in "entering" and "leaving" traffic, there is no question but that the street network is able to move the peak loads which have increased substantially between the before and after phases of the study.

Figure 16 shows three graphs depicting the 15-minute peak values, during eight highest hours, of total traffic entering the study area, leaving the area, and traveling within the area in Lansing. Almost all except some of the noon-period peaks are found to be higher for the after period. The most significant differences between the before and after peaks are seen in the graph for leaving traffic.

Figure 17 shows the share which state trunklines and city streets take in Lansing in carrying the traffic, as counted while entering and leaving the area and while circulating within the street network. In all but a few minor cases, these sets of bar charts reveal that the percent of the traffic load carried by the state trunkline has increased. The most pronounced changes in this percentage are seen in the 15-minute peaks of traffic leaving the study area. For example, during the morning peak in the before period, the state trunkline carried 36.7 percent of all traffic leaving the area, whereas in the after period it carried 61.9 percent of this load. This is a relief for the city streets since their burden is lightened by drawing the traffic to the state trunkline during the rush hours.

Surveys to reflect the "before" phase of the study in Kalamazoo were taken during October 1964. The change over to one-way operation had to be delayed until October 10, 1965 since it depended on the completion of construction work. Even at that date, construction on some streets and intersections was incomplete. Considering this and the fact that more time would be needed for local drivers to become accustomed to the new conditions and for making further adjustments to the signals to obtain optimum operation, it was necessary to postpone the "after" surveys until the following year. On the other hand, with the intent of not delaying the after surveys any more than necessary, and relying on some past experience concerning seasonal variations of traffic volumes in Michigan cities, it was decided to conduct these surveys in May 1966, this month having indicated volumes similar to the month of October. This decision was found to be invalid, however, in the light of subsequent detailed volume data. In other words, variations in the daily totals and especially in the peaking characteristics of traffic were found between the Fall and the Spring months. This has made impossible a full comparative evaluation of the volume data.

Tables 17-19 show the analyses of peak traffic volumes entering, leaving and circulating within the Kalamazoo study area. Trunkline and city portions of these volumes are also indicated. As seen in Table 17, the "after" surveys show drops in all the peaks of total entering traffic. Nevertheless, the trunkline

68.



portion of the entering traffic does show gains in all peak periods, as in the case of Lansing. Unlike the total entering traffic, the 15-minute peaks of total leaving volumes in Table 18, are found to indicate increases in the after period. Table 19 represents the peak-period and 24-hour comparisons of travel in the area.

Figure 18 is a graphical representation of the observed maximum 15-minute values for the entering, leaving and circulating traffic totals for eight hours. The effect of the seasonal differences in the peaking characteristics are reflected in these graphs such that some peak volumes were considerably lower in the after period and some were higher. The decreases in the 15-minute volumes are certainly not caused by any deficiency in the traffic capacity of the system of streets but rather they are the result of lower traffic demand during the after surveys. This can be substantiated by the observation that such decreases have been experienced also during noon peaks, which are considerably lower than morning and afternoon peaks, and therefore, restraint due to lack of capacity should not be the reason for the lower flows.

Figure 19, which is a graphical presentation of Tables 17-19, is interesting in showing once again that traffic entering or leaving the study area during peak periods has shifted to the use of state trunklines from the other city streets, as witnessed by percentage figures depicting the shares of the two classes of streets.

70.



OBSERVED	MA	AXIMUM HOURL	Y	VOL	UMES PER LAN	E					
(Three Highest Values)											
CITY		"BEFORE" PERIOD		"AFTER" PERIOD							
	Flow	Count Station	Time	Flow	Count Station	Time					
KALAMAZOO	781	NWB Portage Ave. SE of Michigan Ave.	5P.M.	806	EB Michigan Ave. W of Harrison St.	6 P.M.					
	739	WB Kalamazoo Ave. W of Westnedge Ave.	12A.M.	770	EB Michigan Ave. W of Harrison St.	5P.M.					
	735	EB Michigan Ave. W of Harrison St.	6 P.M.	734	EB Michigan Ave. W of Harrison St.	6 P.M.					
LANSING	691	EB Saginaw St. W of Grand Ave.	6P.M.	639	NB Washington Ave. N of Jefferson St.	6 P.M.					
	666	NB Capitol Ave. S of Saginaw St	6P.M.	620	NB Washington Ave. N of Jefferson St.	5P.M.					
	656	EB Saginaw St. W of Washington Ave.	8 A.M.	587	EB Saginaw St. W of Logan St.	5P.M.					
			-								
					· · ·						

Traffic volume counts for this study were made by machines with pneumatic hoses extending across several lanes. No record of actual lane volumes could therefore be made. The rates of flow per lane were, however, computed by dividing the flow in any direction by the number of lanes used by the traffic. Table 20 gives the highest observed hourly flows per lane. No further analyses of the volumes per lane have been made. An inspection of Table 20 reveals that higher maximum flows per lane existed in Kalamazoo than in Lansing, both under two-way and one-way operation. Also, higher maximum flows per lane were observed during the "after" period than the "before" period in Kalamazoo. The opposite situation was found in Lansing where higher flows were observed during the "before" counts than the "after" counts.

늰

1

#### An Approximate Comparison of Average Travel Distances

The average layman's first reaction to a change to one-way traffic usually is his dislike of the necessity to double back in the opposite direction for some of his usual trips in the city. Even though no specific surveys were planned in this study to obtain data on this so-called adverse travel distance, an indirect investigation using the traffic volume data has been made.

To explain the method used in this investigation, reference will be made to Figure 20. It is supposed that the rectangular area represents a study area in a city. There are four basic categories of trips that affect this area. These are (A) through



After

trips, (B) trips into the area by commuters who live outside the area and work within the area, (C) trips by commuters who live within the area and work outside, and (D) internal trips. To simplify the analysis, it will be assumed that there is one vehicle representing each of these trip categories, and that each vehicle makes two daily trips. Each trip is represented by a line, the full line representing the initial trip and the dashed line the return trip of each vehicle. Dots represent the origins and the arrowheads represent the destinations of these trips. The top sketch shows each of these eight trips and their assumed lengths within the study area.

In the bottom sketch it will be assumed that some new one-way streets were introduced and, hypothetically, this caused lengthening of some of the trips by the original four vehicles. These trip distances are shown in parentheses.

Ś

Remembering that each trip is caused by one vehicle only, a summation of daily vehicle-miles of travel within the area before the one-way operation would be as follows:

	Vehicle-Miles of
Trip	Travel in Area
A-1	3.0
A-2	3.0
B-1	2.0
B-2	2.0
C-1	1.0
C-2	1.0
D-1	1.0
D-2	1.0

Total travel 14.0

75.

In a real situation in a small area, trip category D will be very small in relation to total travel mileage especially where major trunkline traffic traverses the area. In the case of the cities of Kalamazoo and Lansing no surveys were conducted to count the number of internal trips (category D) even though their flow was counted at internal volume-count stations together with the rest of the trips. Entering and leaving traffic was counted at the boundaries of the area and this was made up of category-A, B and C trips. Ignoring the negligible category-D trips in our fictitious area, it can be stated that 14.0 vehicle-miles of travel was the result of four entering and four leaving vehicles, or a total of eight daily vehicles. Average travel length generated by one vehicle counted at the area boundary would then be 14 ÷ 8 = 1.75 miles.

In the after situation, the summation of the vehicle-miles of travel would be as follows:

	Vehicle-Miles of
Trip	Travel in Area
A-1	3.2
A-2	3.0
B-1	2.0
B-2	2,3
C-1	1.2
C-2	1.0
D-1	1.0
D-2	1.1

Total travel 14.8

Average travel length generated by each vehicle counted at the area boundary would now be  $14.8 \div 8 = 1.85$  miles. In this hypo-thetical case, then, there was 0.10 mile of "adverse" travel

76.

distance per vehicle in the after period as compared with the before period.

53

ل. ہ.

÷ΞΫ

Applying this analysis now to the actual situation in Lansing, use will be made of the 24-hour totals of traffic in Tables In the before period, rounding the figures to the 14-16. nearest thousand (since this approximation is within the degree of accuracy which is dependent on the coverage of the volume stations as earlier discussed), the total of entering and leaving traffic, from Tables 14 and 15, was 67,000 + 63,000 =130,000 vehicles. Total travel, from Table 16, was 48,000 vehicle-miles. Consequently, the average travel length generated by each vehicle counted at the area boundary was 48,000 + 130,000 = 0.37 mile. Using the figures from Tables 14-16 corresponding to the after period, the total of entering and leaving traffic was 73,000 + 74,000 = 147,000, and total travel The new average travel length per vehicle was was 59,000. 59,000 ÷ 147,000 = 0.40 mile or 0.03 mile more than the before figure. This is a difference of about 8 percent which is not excessive.

Similar calculations for Kalamazoo, using the information from Tables 17-19, result in average travel length per vehicle crossing the boundary of the study area of 0.38 mile during the "before", and 0.37 mile during the "after" period. This is a decrease rather than an increase; however, considering the limited accuracy of this calculation method, it would be safer to state that there was no difference, even if an apparent reduction may be disregarded.

It is conjectured that shortening of some trips in the after period due to removal of left-turn prohibitions, and choice of new and shorter routes, made possible in some cases with the elimination of congestion at bottlenecks, has offset some of the adverse distances caused by the one-way movements, with the result that trip lengths are kept shorter than might be suspected.

#### Results of Turning-Movement Studies

Turning-movement counts of 6-hour duration were taken in Kalamazoo at the intersection of Kalamazoo Avenue and Rose Street, and at the intersection of Michigan Avenue and Lovell Street. Any stoppage of traffic due to vehicles waiting to turn left were also recorded.

Table 21 shows the comparison of turning-volumes by 15-minute periods during the before and after phases of the study at the intersection of Kalamazoo and Rose. In the after phase, since Kalamazoo Avenue was made one-way westbound, some of the turning-movements were eliminated. 175 vehicles turning left from the north on Rose Street in six hours was thus discontinued. On the other hand, right turns from the north increased by 133 vehicles. From south on Rose, 319 right turns were eliminated and 292 left turns were added. From the east on Kalamazoo, left turns gained 491 and right turns gained 56. The heaviest turning-movement during the before period was the right-turn from the west on Kalamazoo: 444 in six hours. The

78.

ees Ales Nge

## TABLE 21 - CITY OF KALAMAZOO

#### Turning-Movement Study

#### INTERSECTION OF KALAMAZOO & ROSE

	From	N. (	on Ro	se S	t.	From	s.	on R	ose S	lt.	From	ı Е.	on K	alama	zoo		From	n W.	on K	azoo.
	L.T	ırn	Righ	<u>t Tu</u>	rn	Left	Tur	n	Rt.	Turn	Left	<u>Tur</u>	n	Right	<u>Tur</u>	n	L. T	<u>irn</u>	Rt.	Turn
TIME	Before	After	Before	After	Change	Before	After	Change	Before	After	Before	After	Change	Before	After	Change	Before	After	Before	After
6:00-6:15 A 6:15-6:30 A 6:30-6:45 A 6:45-7:00 A	4 3 8 5	tion.	0 3 5 2	0 5 2 8	0 +2 -3 +6	1 3 7 5	0 2 7 12	-1 -1 0 +7	2 2 12 20	ation.	1 3 4 13	1 7 9 10	0 +4 +5 -3	3 5 7 4	3 2 4 7	0 -3 -3 +3	3 3 4 10	V	0 6 16 4	Λ
7:00-7:15 A 7:15-7:30 A 7:30-7:45 A 7:45-8:00 A	4 9 10 6	ay opera	2 5 4 12	13 5 11 10	+11 0 +7 -2	2 4 11 9	6 3 20 17	+4 -1 +9 +8	4 6 12 8	way oper	$     \begin{array}{c}       11 \\       5 \\       6 \\       14     \end{array} $	14 12 33 43	+3 +7 +27 +29	5 6 2 4	7 4 2 7	+2 -2 0 +3	4 4 14 7	f one-wa	6 12 31 51	f one-wa
8:00-8:15 A 8:15-8:30 A 8:30-8:45 A 8:45-9:00 A	5 4 8 4	of one-v	3 3 7 6	5 6 9 15	+2 +3 +2 +9	14 12 10 7	9 10 24 22	-5 -2 +14 +15	13 9 10 13	t of one-	18 15 13 16	38 27 11 55	+20 +12 -2 +39	6 5 8 8	11 9 7 14	+5 +4 -1 +6	13 7 7 7	account c	40 22 21 22	ccount o
3:00-3:15 F 3:15-3:30 F 3:30-3:45 F 3:45-4:00 F	7 9 10 10	account	7 9 15 13	12 15 28 18	+5 +6 +13 +5	18 19 18 12	43 45 22 36	+25 +26 +4 +14	16 16 22 26	n accoun	18 25 28 35	47 69 43 76	+29 +44 +15 +41	5 7 15 12	12 11 14 12	+7 +4 -1 0	5 8 5 7	vest on a	21 20 19 18	vest on a
4:00-4:15 F 4:15-4:30 F 4:30-4:45 F 4:45-5:00 F	5 10 13 10	turns on	7 12 13 21	20 16 25 35	+13 +4 +12 +14	32 19 28 22	51 45 51 50	+19 +26 +23 +28	14 21 24 19	-turns o	18 22 31 30	74 45 61 64	+56 +23 +30 +34	14 6 11 9	17 12 17 17	+3 +6 +6 +8	6 11 9 9	ic from on.	22 22 15 11	c from v on.
5:00-5:15 F 5:15-5:30 F 5:30-5:45 F 5:45-6:00 F	$     \begin{array}{c}       16 \\       8 \\       4 \\       3     \end{array}     $	No left-	12 10 11 5	16 20 17 9	+4 +10 +6 +4	38 27 29 13	44 44 50 39	+6 +17 +21 +26	$17 \\ 14 \\ 12 \\ 7$	No right	24 26 18 6	45 50 28 29	+21 +24 +10 +23	12 13 9 6	13 13 11 12	+1 0 +2 +6	7 3 9 4	No traff operati	24 21 14 6	No traff operatio
6 hr. total	175		187	320	+133	360	652	+292	2 319		400	891	+49	182	238	+56	166		444	

Note: Total of 6-hr. approaching traffic on all legs of intersection: "Before" = 8024 "After" = 9029

Cumulative Left Turn Lane Stoppages Kalamazoo St. and Rose St. City of Kalamazoo, Kalamazoo Co. Tues., Oct. 20, 1964 6-9A & 3-6P Extent of Delay Shown in Seconds

Time:	From the N.	From the S.	From the E.	From the W.
	on Rose St.	on Rose St.	on Kalamazoo St.	on Kalamazoo St.
6-6:15A	4	0	0	0
30	8	0	0	0
45	15	5	10	4
7A	30	15	100	75
7-7:15A	10	0	43	0
30	25	21	10	0
45	50	10	90	40
8A	45	150	150	15
8-8:15A	15	55	170	20
30	20	10	30	10
45	20	0	10	10
9A	10	20	75	10
3-3:15P	15	110	60	20
30	50	80	130	25
45	70	160	120	20
4p	115	75	125	35
4-4:15P	25	130	110	5
30	55	140	115	35
45	120	115	105	85
5P	65	120	180	10
5-5:15P 30 45 6P	130 85 5 10	230 175 120 70	175 80 0	90 60 40 30

80.

heaviest turn during the after period was 891 vehicles turning left from the east on Kalamazoo. The highest 15-minute rate of this movement was 76 which is a rate equal to 305 leftturns per hour.

The left turns at the Kalamazoo and Rose intersection were within the capacity available under the opposing traffic volumes during the before period. However, most of the leftturns caused stoppage of traffic on the lanes which were also used for through traffic. Table 22 shows these delays. Comparison of these delays with the left-turns shown in Table 21 reveals that maximum delays generally occurred at times of maximum left-turning volumes. During the after period, the only left-turn movement conflicting with opposing traffic was the one from the south on Rose Street, and no stoppage of through-lanes due to left-turns was observed.

Turning-movement counts at the intersection of Michigan and Lovell did not contribute any useful information to the study because Lovell was already a one-way street during the before period, and the only left-turn allowed were from this street and caused no problems or lane stoppages.

In Lansing, turning-movement and back-up surveys were made at three intersections, but only one of these is within the limits of this phase of the study. This is Oakland and Logan intersection, and even that is not yet operating in its ultimate condition since Oakland Street west of this intersection is

#### TABLE 23 - CITY OF LANSING

#### Turning-Movement Study

#### INTERSECTION OF OAKLAND & LOGAN

	From	N.	on Lo	ogan		From	ıS.	on L	ogan	From	Е. (	on Oal	clan	d		From	₩.	on Oa	klan	d
	L. T	urn	R. 7	furn		L. I	urn	R. '	Turn	L. T	urn		R.	Turn		L. T	urn	R. 7	lurn	
TIME	Before *	After	Before	After	Change	Before	After	Before *	After	Before *	After	Change	Before *	After	Change	Before	After	Before	After	Change
6:00-6:15A 6:15-6:30A 6:30-6:45A 6:45-7:00A	0 0 0	on.	1 2 1 1	1 2 10 4	0 0 +9 +3	1 1 2 4		0 0 0 0	.u.	0 0 1 0	111 150 199 120	+111 +150 +198 +120	0 0 0 0	17 18 17 28	+17 +18 +17 +28	1 0 1 0		0 1 3 2	3 1 4 4	+3 0 +1 +2
7:00-7:15A 7:15-7:30A 7:30-7:45A 7:45-8:00A	0 0 0	/ operati	3 2 1 1	1 1 2 4	-2 -1 +1 +3	3 1 4 4		1 0 0 0	operatic	1 0 0	68 72 93 110	+67 +72 +93 +110	0 0 0	22 15 25 44	+22 +15 +25 +44	4 0 2 1		2 6 12 6	5 0 10 4	+3 -6 -2 -2
8:00-8:15A 8:15-8:30A 8:30-8:45A 8:45-9:00A	0 0 1 0	f one-way	0 0 0 0	1 4 0 3	+1 +4 0 +3	5 5 7 3	70	0 0 0 0	one-way	0 0 0 0	91 70 78 94	+91 +70 +78 +94	0 0 0 0	31 26 28 28	+31 +26 +28 +28	2 0 2 0	ų	3 5 4 3	3 4 1 0	0 -1 -3 -3
3:00-3:15P 3:15-3:30P 3:30-3:45P 3:45-4:00P	0 0 0 1	ccount o	0 0 1 2	4 4 1 3	+4 +4 0 +1	5 9 7 7	t allowe	0 0 0 1	count of	0 0 1 0	198 151 183 171	+198 +151 +182 +171	0 0 0 0	60 39 62 46	+60 +39 +62 +46	2 5 7 6	t allowed	4 9 12 8	20 5 15 12	+16 -4 +3 +4
4:00-4:15P 4:15-4:30p 4:30-4:45P 4:45-5:00P	0 1 0 0	rns on a	3 1 0 3	2 0 4 5	-1 -1 +4 +2	12 4 4 10	No	0 0 1 1	ns on ac	0 1 1 0	$202 \\ 242 \\ 144 \\ 173$	+202 +241 +143 +173	0 0 0 0	57 63 49 78	+57 +63 +49 +78	5 3 5 4	No	8 4 13 12	19 6 5 6	+11 +2 -8 -6
5:00-5:15P 5:15-5:30P 5:30-5:45P 5:45-6:00P	1 0 0	0 left-tu	4 0 0 0	3 1 3 3	-1 +1 +3 +3	21 11 12 3		1 0 1 1	'ight-tur	1 0 0	213 232 192 140	+212 +232 +192 +140	0 0 1 0	78 95 76 58	+78 +95 +75 +58	3 1 3 2		8 9 7 5	5 3 3 3	-3 -6 -4 -2
6 hr. total	4	Ň	26	66	+40	145		7	0	6	3491	3493	1	1060	105	9 59		146	141	-5

المنتخب ال لي أنفيسيان

\*East Oakland closed to thru traffic

Alter Carries and Carries

•••

82.

ر کید محرف میں 1937ء - محرف میں 1937ء - محرف میں

چېپېپې کړېند د... not yet a state trunkline. During the before survey, northbound left lane was obstructed due to left-turning vehicles during most of the observed period. The longest cumulative time that this lane was stopped was 80 seconds between 5 and 5:15 p.m. All left turns except from the east on Oakland were prohibited during the after survey and therefore there were no back-ups due to left-turns. Table 23 compares the before and after turning movements at this intersection. Perhaps the only important information in this table is the left turns from the east on Oakland. A maximum of 242 left turns in 15 minutes, or an hourly rate of 968 have been counted. This movement takes place on two adjacent lanes and the intersection is signal-controlled.

#### Results of Accident Studies

Degree of traffic safety is a parameter which does not always reflect accurately the change in any one aspect of highway transportation. Recent national research into accident causes has drawn attention to the fact that every traffic accident is usually the result of a series of failures in a system comprising several interdependent elements such as the driver, the vehicle, physical conditions of the roadway, type of land use, quality of traffic flow, traffic control devices, natural and environmental conditions like weather and lighting, traffic law enforcement, general economic conditions, etc. Therefore, it is difficult to evaluate effectively the result of only the change in traffic operation from two-way to one-way. It

#### CITY OF LANSING

#### Accident Types on Saginaw Street

Between Logan (Excluded) and Grand (Included)

Type of Accident	One-Year Before	One-Year After	
Rear-end, straight	73)	26)	
Rear-end involving left turn	) 5) 83	-) 34	
Rear-end involving right turn	5)	) 8 <u>)</u>	
Head-on, straight	-	, Nase	
Head-on involving left turn	9	1	
Sideswipe, same direction	19)	58)	
Sideswipe, opposite direction	3)	2)	
Right angle	41	22	
Involving parking or parked veh	nicle 5	1	
Hitting fixed object	2	6	
Backing vehicle	9	7	
Hitting pedestrian	1	2	
Unknown	].	2013 6	
Total	173	133	

# Rate of total accidents per

million vehicle-miles 24.7

26.8

ار این از این اینځانسی (

Same?

Structure State

j

#### CITY OF LANSING

#### Accident Types on Saginaw Street

#### Between Belt Line RR and Logan (Inclusive)

.

Type of Accident	One-Yea Before	.r	One-Year After	
Rear-end, straight	45)		58)	
Rear-end involving left turn	7)	57	3)	68
Rear-end involving right turn	5)		7)	
Head-on, straight	1			
Head-on involving left turn	6		10	
Sideswipe, same direction	14)	10	19)	0.1
Sideswipe, opposite direction	2)	10	2)	21
Right angle	25		24	
Involving parking or parked vehic	le l		2	
Hitting fixed object	5		3	
Backing vehicle	7		4	
Hitting pedestrian	1		2	
Unknown	2		-	
Total	121		134	

Rate of total accidents per

million vehicle-miles 19.6 21.5

86.

- 181

## CITY OF LANSING

## Accident Types Within Study Area

Type of Accident		One-Year Before	One-Year After	-
Rear-end, straight		147)	163)	
Rear-end involving lef	t turn	16) 174	13)	199
Rear-end involving rig	ght turn	11)	23)	
Head-on, straight		3		
Head-on involving left	t turn	27	25	
Sideswipe, same direct	tion	85)	166)	1 P7 A
Sideswipe, opposite di	Irection	8)	8)	114
Right angle		139	138	
Involving parking or p	parked vehic	Le 29	28	
Hitting fixed object		27	27	
Backing vehicle		24	20	
Hitting pedestrian		2	12	
Unknown		2	1	
	<b>fotal</b>	520	624	

ĺ.

# CITY OF LANSING

#### Accidents Within Study Area by Day or Night

One-Year Before	One-Year After
365	463
123	140
32	21
.1 520	624
	One-Year Before 365 123 32 .1 520

 $\Delta r_{\rm eff} = -\Delta r_{\rm eff} + \Delta r_{\rm eff} +$ 

appears that, at least in the case of Lansing, some of the other elements or their combinations have had stronger adverse effect on safety than the favorable effect of one-way operation per se. It would appear prudent not to arrive at specific conclusions on the accident phase of the study at this time before analyzing the results which are expected from the cities of Pontiac and Port Huron. A full analysis of the results in Lansing and Kalamazoo is, however, presented in the following discussion.

Table 24 compares the accident types on the eastern section of Saginaw Street in Lansing before and after this section was changed to one-way operation. It is at once apparent that substantial reduction has been achieved in rear-end and rightangle collisions. On the other hand, sideswipes have risen very sharply. Over-all performance of the one-way trunkline, expressed in accidents per million vehicle-miles, has worsened.

Table 25 is a similar comparison of the western section of Saginaw Street where traffic has continued to run in both directions. A general upward trend is noted in the number and rate of accidents in this section also.

Table 26 portrays the accident experience of the total area studied in Lansing. Table 27 is a breakdown of the same accidents by day, night and twilight. The number of accidents has gone up from 520 to 624. Sideswipes show an unproportionate increase. This accident type has an affinity to multi-lane

88.

i yi Qira traffic flow, and one-way operation would normally aggravate this condition. Furthermore, there are some locations in Lansing which are conducive to sideswipe accidents. One of these is the transition on Oakland from four to three lanes at the Washington Avenue intersection. In addition to the lane drop at this intersection, there is a slight shift to the left in the alignment of the remaining three lanes, which was the result of a right-of-way problem during the reconstruction of this street. Another hazardous location which has been added with the interim phase of the one-way operation is Oakland-Logan intersection where two of the three westbound lanes are used for left-turns onto two southbound lanes of the four-lane two-way Logan Street.

The two above-mentioned intersections are responsible for an increase of 28 accidents in one year. (See Appendix 13). However, this is not enough to account for the net increase of 104 accidents (Table 26) in the general study area.

- 3

41

After the construction, widening and resurfacing of Oakland Avenue west of Logan Street, as the final phase of this oneway trunkline development, the Oakland-Logan intersection should lose some of its hazardous condition. This is also true for the Saginaw-Logan intersection where turning-movements will be materially reduced after Logan Street ceases to be a state trunkline.

One last remark concerning the accident experience in Lansing will be about the change in the safety record of the Saginaw-

 $\left| \cdot \right|$ 

#### TABLE 28

#### CITY OF KALAMAZOO

#### Accident Types on Michigan Avenue

Between Main (Excluded) and Porter (Included)

Type of Accident	One-Year Before	One-Year After
Rear-end, straight	158)	83)
Rear-end involving left turn	9) 176	19) 107
Rear-end involving right turn	9)	5)
Head-on, straight	1	_
Head-on involving left turn	7	2
Sideswipe, same direction	57)	54)
Sideswipe, opposite direction	-) 57	) 58 4)
Right angle	35	40
Involving parking or parked vehic	le 56	38
Hitting fixed object	9	4
Backing vehicle	10	11
Hitting pedestrian	5	7
Unknown	1	<b>1</b> 221
Total	357	267
Data of total contidents non		

#### Rate of total accidents per

57.5	52.3
	57.5

Grand intersection. During the two-way operation, despite heavy left-turns from westbound Saginaw onto Grand in the presence of opposing traffic, and with considerably higher total traffic volumes on Saginaw Street, there were only three property-damage accidents in one year. During the one-way operation, with the completion of the north leg of Grand Avenue, a four-leg intersection of two one-way streets was formed, and stop-and-go signals were installed. Also, as mentioned earlier, the flow direction on Grand was reversed from southbound to northbound. During this one-year period, 12 propertydamage and five injury-accidents were reported. This experience of rise in accidents upon signal installation is typical of numerous other intersections throughout the State.

The traffic safety record for Kalamazoo has improved in the study streets during the after phase of the study. Table 28 shows the accident experience on that section of Michigan Avenue where traffic was changed to one-way. Appreciable reduction is observed, especially in rear-end collisions and parking accidents. As a control section, the experience on the remaining section of Michigan Avenue where operation remained two-way is presented in Table 29. Table 30 contains the accidents on Kalamazoo Avenue which was a local two-way street during the before period. Even though the total number has increased on this street, the rate has actually decreased since there was heavier traffic volumes as a trunkline. Table 31 is the experience of the study area as a whole. Table 32 is a further breakdown of the same accidents by day or night.

#### CITY OF KALAMAZOO

# Accident Types on Michigan Avenue

Between Lovell and Main (Inclusive)

Type of Accident	One-Year Before	One-Year After
Rear-end, straight	40)	40)
Rear-end involving left turn	1) 42	5) 48
Rear-end involving right turn	1)	3)
Head-on, straight	-	3
Head-on involving left turn	1	1
Sideswipe, same direction	15)	13)
Sideswipe, opposite direction	1)	1)
Right angle	13	3
Involving parking or parked vehicle	2	.1
Hitting fixed object	7	9
Backing vehicle	<b>Q</b> urat	1
Hitting pedestrian	1	<b>P</b> 25
Total	82	80
Rate of total accidents per		
million vehicle-miles	59,5	55.6

92.

13

24

#### CITY OF KALAMAZOO

## Accident Types on Kalamazoo Avenue

Type of Accident	One-Year Before	)	One-Yea 	r 
Rear-end, straight	36)		40)	
Rear-end invovlving left turn	2)	40	11)	51
Rear-end involving right turn	2)		) _)	
Head-on, straight	-		2	
Head-on involving left turn	5		1	
Sideswipe, same direction	26)	0.0	35)	0 7
Sideswipe, opposite direction	4)	30	) 2)	37
Right angle	34		30	
Involving parking or parked vehicle	11		7	
Hitting fixed object	8		4	
Backing vehicle	5		5	
Hitting pedestrian	2		4	
Total	135		141	
Rate of total accidents nor				

	r accrucato	Per	
million ve	hicle-miles	33.5	29.4

## CITY OF KALAMAZOO

## Accident Types Within Study Area

Type of Accident	One-Year Before	One-Year After
Rear-end, straight	422)	336)
Rear-end involving left turn	33) 484	58) 419
Rear-end involving right turn	29)	25)
Head-on, straight	11	11
Head-on involving left turn	33	26
Sideswipe, same direction	263)	269)
Sideswipe, opposite direction	27)	23)
Right angle	205	237
Involving parking or parked vehi	cle 182	144
Hitting fixed object	75	70
Backing vehicle	73	67
Hitting pedestrian	23	22
Unknown	4	3
Total	1380	1291

## CITY OF KALAMAZOO

## Accidents Within Study Area by Day or Night

: 1

1.1.1

		One-Year Before	One-Year After
Day time		950	909
Night time		375	321
Twilight		52	55
Unknown		3	6
	Total	1380	1291

Appendix 15 is a list of the intersections in the study area and their safety record. Attention is called to the intersection of Michigan and Kalamazoo and the intersection of Main and Douglas. These two intersections were signal-controlled during the two-way operation, and the signals were removed by virtue of the one-way operation, with the result that accidents dropped from 22 to 8 at the former intersection, and from 15 to 4 at the latter. This is a reverse of the situation at the Saginaw-Grand intersection in Lansing which experienced a rise in accidents after the installation of signals.

Midblock accidents in the study area by street names in Kalamazoo will be found in Appendix 16.

Table 33 is a general summary of accidents in the two cities, arranged for ease of comparison. There are four sections to this rather long tabulation. Section I contains information on the streets which were changed from two-way trunkline operation to one-way trunkline. Section II contains the results for the same trunkline but where the traffic operation remained twoway. Section III summarizes the experience on the previously non-trunkline two-way street which was made a one-way trunkline. Section IV is for the whole of the streets studied in the area. Finally, Section V contains total figures for the whole city. It will be noticed, on page 108, under Section III-A for the street which changed from two-way non-trunkline to one-way trunkline, that in Lansing a very large increase in accidents, from 9 to 115 a year, has taken place on this section. Ιt

96.

1.46 1.57 1.5 should be pointed out, however, that the former Oakland and Jefferson streets were purely residential access streets with no through-traffic whatever. In fact, this route was discontinuous at two locations, and physically no through-movement was possible. Therefore, traffic volumes and speeds were in no way comparable with the "after" phase when actually a new State trunkline was built, where these streets existed before, to carry heavy traffic, and the accident experience became proportionately severe.

As mentioned earlier, no attempt will be made at this time to draw any general conclusions from the evaluation of accidents in these two cities. When data from the remaining two cities are compiled, Table 33 will be expanded to include the results of their analyses. The only remark which will be made here is the fact that in general all the accident rates, based on traffic volumes, in Kalamazoo are about twice as high as in Lansing. Accident reporting levels may vary from city to city, and this may have caused some of the differences in the general safety records of the two cities even though no evident differences in the procedures used are known to exist, and both cities use the uniform accident report forms designed by the State of Michigan.
# TABLE 33

# COMPARATIVE ACCIDENT SUMMARY

# One Year Before and One Year After Change to One-Way Traffic

		·			(	City of Lansing	C Ka	ity of lamazoo	Pe (T	verage of Change- rcentages wo Cities)
I.	STREE TRUNK	T WH LINE	ICH CHAN TO ONE-	GED FROM TWO-WAY WAY TRUNKLINE: (1)						
	I-A.	Tot	al Accid	ents					•.	
	·	1.	Before:	Number		173		357		
		2.	After:	Number		133		267		:
		3.	Percent	Change in Number		-23.1%		-25.2%		-24.2%
		4.	Before:	Rate per million vehicle-miles		24.7	·.	57.5		
		5.	After:	Rate per million vehicle-miles		26.8		52.3	• • •	
		6.	Percent	change in rate		+8.5%		-9.0%		*
	I-B.	Inj	ury Acci	dents:						
		1.	Before:	Number		39**		53	:	÷
(1)	In L betw	ansi een	ng: Sag Main and	inaw Street between Porter.	Logan and	d Grand.	In Ka	lamazoo:	Michig	an Avenue
*	Resu Incl	lts udes	are diss one fat:	imilar. al.						

100

1.11

86

			TABLE 33	- Sheet 2	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	Average of
				City of Lansing	City of Kalamazoo	Change- Percentages (Two Cities)
	2.	After:	Number	28	27	
	З.	Percent	change in number	-28.2%	-49.1%	-38.7%
	4.	Before:	Rate per million vehicle-miles	5.6	8.5	
	5.	After:	Rate per million vehicle-miles	5.6	5.3	
	6.	Percent	change in rate	0.0	-37.7%	*
I-C.	Pro	perty-dai	mage Accidents:			
	1.	Before:	Number	134	304	
	2.	After:	Number	105	240	
	3.	Percent	change in number	-21.6%	-21.0%	-21.3%
	4.	Before:	Rate per million vehicle-miles	19,1	49.0	
	5.	After:	Rate per million vehicle-miles	21.2	47.1	
	6.	Percent	change in rate	+11.0%	-3.9%	*

ن الاستناط 1

and a second

ىر. مەرىپىيە يېچىقىرى ق

الاستناقات الم

\*Results are dissimilar.

.66

للمنتخذ

		TABLE 33 - Sheet 3	• •	Average of
		City of Lansing	City of Kalamazoo	Percentages (Two Cities)
I-D.	Rear-end Collisions:			
	l. Before	83	176	
	2. After	34	107	
	3. Percent change	-59.0%	-39.2%	-49.1%
I-E.	Sideswipes:			
	l. Before	22	57	
	2. After	60	58	
	3. Percent change	+172.7%	+1.8%	*
I-F.	Right-angle Collisions:			
	l. Before	41	35	
	2. After	22	40	
	3. Percent change	-46.4%	+14.3%	*
I-G.	Pedestrian Accidents:			
	l. Before	1	5	
	2. After	2	7	
*Results	are dissimilar.			
National States	e de la carre d		2008 <del>(</del> 2008 (1078)	512) <u>(12)</u> (12)

			TABLE 33 -	- Sheet 4 City of Lansing	City of Kalamazoo	Average of Change- Percentages (Two Cities)
	3.	Percent change		+100.0%	+40.0%	+70.0%
I-H.	Day	Accidents:				
	1.	Before		123	232	
	2.	After		96	193	
	3.	Percent change		-21.9%	-16.8%	-19.4%
I-J.	Nig	ht Accidents:				
	1.	Before		39	111	
	2.	After		31	63	
	3.	Percent change		-20.5%	-43.2%	-31.9%
I-K.	Twi	light Accidents:				
	1.	Before		11	14	
	2.	After		6	11	
	3.	Percent change		-45.4%	-21.4%	-33.4%
I-L.	Pea	k-traffic Accidents				
	1.	Before	·	94	172	
	2.	After		67	140	

en en

101.

التسبية

TABLE 33 - Sheet 5

		City of Lansing	City of Kalamazoo	Average of Change- Percentages (Two Cities)
	3. Percent change	-28.7%	-18.6%	-23.7%
I-M.	Off-peak Traffic Accidents:			
	1. Before	78	181	
	2. After	66	123	
	3. Percent change	-15.4%	-32.0%	-23.7%
I-N.	Accidents at Signalized Intersections: (2)			
	1. Before	69	147	
	2. After	46	125	
	3. Percent change	-33.3%	-15.0%	-24.2%
I-P.	Accidents at Non-Signalized Intersections: (2)			
	1. Before	36	19	
	2. After	38	21	
	3. Percent change	+5.6%	+10.5%	+8.1%
I-Q.	Midblock Accidents:		e <sup>d</sup> a de la seconda. La	
	1. Before	65	180	

(2) Not including accidents at those intersections where signals were either installed or removed during the one-way operation.

. . 102.

<u>769</u>)

			TABLE 33	- Sheet 6		
				City of Lansing	City of Kalamazoo	Average of Change Percentages (Two Cities)
	2.	After	· .	32	111	
	3.	Percent	change	-50.8%	-38.3%	-44.6%
I-R.	Perc of t	cent chang travel	ge in vehicle-miles	-29.2%	-11.9%	-20.6%
II. A SEC SECTIO REMAIL	TION ON I NED 7	OF SAME ' BUT WHER FWO-WAY:	TRUNKLINE AS IN E OPERATION (3)			
II-A.	Tot	tal Accido	ents:			
	1.	Before:	Number	121	82	
	2.	After:	Number	134	80	
	3.	Percent	change in number	+10.7%	<b>-2</b> ,4%	*
	4.	Before:	Rate per million vehicle-miles	19.6	59,5	
	5.	After:	Rate per million vehicle-miles	21.5	55.6	
	6.	Percent	change in rate	+9,7%	-6.6%	*

al and a second

المراجع القند المراجع المراجع (

الأسكيل

المنابعة المتحلية

(3) In Lansing: Saginaw Street between Beltine Railroad and Logan Street. In Kalamazoo: Michigan Avenue between Lovell and Main.

\* Results are dissimilar.

			TABLE 33	- Sheet 7		
				City of Lansing	City of Kalamazoo	Average of Change- Percentages (Two Cities)
II-B.	Inj	ury Accie	dents:			
	1.	Before:	Number	30	9	
	2.	After:	Number	26	19	
	З.	Percent	change in number	-13.3%	+111.0%	*
	4.	Before:	Rate per million vehicle-miles	4.8	6,5	
	5.	After:	Rate per million vehicle-miles	4.2	13.2	
	6.	Percent	change in rate	-12,5%	+103.0%	*
II-C.	Pro	operty-da	mage Accidents:			
	1.	Before:	Number	91	73	
	2.	After:	Number	108	61	
	З.	Percent	change in number	+18.7%	-16.4%	*
	4.	Before:	Rate per million vehicle-miles	14.7	53.0	
	5.	After:	Rate per million vehicle-miles	17.3	42.4	
						and the second

nen sin stil sin sin se se and de nen se de se se se in he sin

\*Results are dissimilar.

end of the second se

.

		TABLE 33	3 - Sheet 8		Average of
			City of Lansing	City of Kalamazoo	Change- Percentages (Two Cities)
	6.	Percent change in rate	+17.7%	-20.0%	*
II-D.	Rea	r-end Collisions:			
	1.	Before	57	42	
	2.	After	68	48	
	3.	Percent change	+19.3%	+14.3%	+16.8%
II-E.	Sid	eswipes:			
	1.	Before	20	16	
	2.	After	29	14	
	3.	Percent change	+45.0%	-12,5%	*
II-F.	Rig	ht-angle Collisions:			· · ·
	l.	Before	25	13	
	2.	After	24	3	
	3.	Percent change	-4.0%	-77.0%	*
II-G.	Ped	estrian Accidents:			
	1.	Before	1	1	

entra i si Sata da si

and a second

\* Results are dissimilar.

<u>0. 1. 2</u>

ł.

105.

1.1.1

TABLE 33 - Sheet 9

.

			City of Lansing	City of Kalamazoo	Average of Change- Percentages (Two Cities)
	2.	After	2	0	
	3.	Percent change	+100.0%	-100.0%	*
II-H.	Day	Accidents:			
	1.	Before	94	52	
	2.	After	97	52	
	3.	Percent change	+3,2%	0.0	*
II-J.	Nig	sht Accidents:			
	1.	Before	22	26	
	2.	After	31	24	
	3.	Percent change	+40.9%	-7.7%	*
II-K.	Twf	ilight Accidents:			
	1.	Before	5	4	
	2.	After	6	4	
	3.	Percent change	+20.0%	0.0	* .
*Results a	are c	lissimilar.			

106.

 $\overline{\underline{(1,1)}}$ 

	TABL	E 33 - Sheet 10		Average of
		City of Lansing	City of Kalamazoo	Change- Percentages (Two Cities)
II-L.	Peak-Traffic Accidents			
	1. Before	73	44	
	2. After	82	38	
	3. Percent change	+12.3%	-13.6%	*
II-M.	Off-peak Traffic Accidents			
	1. Before	48	38	
	2. After	52	41	
	3. Percent change	+8.3%	+7.9%	+8.1%
II-N.	Accidents at Signalized Intersections:			
	1. Before	55	56	
	2. After	61	-58	
	3. Percent change	+10.9%	+3.6%	+7.3%
II-P.	Accidents at Non-Signalized Intersections:			
	1. Before	22	2	
	2. After	30	0	

S. S. Const.

. .

alad with the

menoria e la contra conservata a con

ىرىمى يېرىمىيى. تىتنىڭ ئىسىگ أنسنت

قرريبه مسي

ور افتدریندا

\*Results are dissimilar.

	TABLE 33	3 - Sheet 11		Average of
		City of Lansing	City of Kalamazoo	Percentages (Two Cities)
	3. Percent change	+36.4%	-100.0%	*
II-Q.	Midblock Accidents:			
	1. Before	44	24	
	2. After	43	22	
	3. Percent change	-2.3%	-8.3%	-5.3%
II-R.	Percent change in vehicle- miles of travel	+0.6%	+0.5%	+0.6%
STREET NON-TRU	WHICH CHANGED FROM TWO-WAY NKLINE TO ONE-WAY TRUNKLINE:	(4)		
III-A.	Total Accidents:			
	1. Before	9	157	
·	2. After	115	149	
	3. Percent change	+1180%	-5.1%	*
III-B.	Injury Accidents:			
III-B.	Injury Accidents: 1. Before	2	26	
	II-Q. II-R. STREET NON-TRU III-A.	3. Percent change II-Q. Midblock Accidents: 1. Before 2. After 3. Percent change II-R. Percent change in vehicle- miles of travel STREET WHICH CHANGED FROM TWO-WAY NON-TRUNKLINE TO ONE-WAY TRUNKLINE: III-A. Total Accidents: 1. Before 2. After 3. Percent change	TABLE 33 - Sheet 11City of Lansing3. Percent change+36.4%II-Q. Midblock Accidents:+36.4%I. Before442. After433. Percent change-2.3%II-R. Percent change in vehicle- miles of travel+0.6%STREET WHICH CHANGED FROM TWO-WAY NON-TRUNKLINE TO ONE-WAY TRUNKLINE: (4)III-A. Total Accidents:91. Before92. After1153. Percent change+1180%	TABLE 33 - Sheet 11City of LansingCity of Kalamazoo3. Percent change+36.4%-100.0%II-Q. Midblock Accidents:.1. Before44242. After43223. Percent change-2.3%-8.3%II-R. Percent change in vehicle- miles of travelSTREET WHICH CHANGED FROM TWO-WAY NON-TRUNKLINE TO ONE-WAY TRUNKLINE: (4).III-A. Total Accidents:1. Before9.2. After1. Before9.1. Before9.2. After1. Before9.2. After1. Before9.3. Percent change+.1. Before9.2. After1. Before9.2. After1. Before.3. Percent change+.1. Before.1. Before.2. After.3. Percent change+.1. Before.3. Percent change+.1. Before.1. Before.2. After.1. Before.3. Percent change.1. Before.3. Percent change.1. Before.2. After.3. Percent change.2. After.3. Percent change <t< td=""></t<>

\*Results are dissimilar.

 (4) In Lansing: Oakland and Jefferson Streets between Logan and Grand, In Kalamazoo: Kalamazoo Street between Douglas and Michigan.

108.

전기 문)

					Average
		· · · · ·	City of Lansing	City of Kalamazoo	Percenta (Two Cit
	3.	Percent change	+1200%	-19.2%	*
III-C.	Pro	operty-damage Accidents:			
	1.	Before	7	131	
	2.	After	89	128	
	3.	Percent change	+1170%	-2.3%	*
III-N.	Acc Int	cidents at Signalized tersections:			
	1.	Before	(5)	94	
	2.	After	58	101	
	3.	Percent change		+7.4%	*
III-P.	Acc Int	cidents at Non-Signalized tersections:			
	1.	Before	6	26	
	2.	After	31	25	
	3.	Percent change	+417%	-3.8%	*

فيدر والمسالم

(5) There were no signalized intersections during the "before" period, and 6 intersections were signalized during the "after" period. (See III-S.)

				TABLE 33	- Sheet 13 City of Lansing	City of Kalamazoo	Average of Change- Percentages (Two Cities)
	III-Q.	Mid	block Accidents:				
		1.	Before		3	37	
		2.	After		26	23	
		З.	Percent change		+767%	-37.8%	*
	III-S.	Num Int	ber of Signalized ersections:	1			
		1.	Before		0	7	
		2.	After		6	6	
IV.	ALL STR	EETS	IN STUDY AREA:				
	IV-A.	Tot	al Accidents:				
		1.	Before: Number		520	1380	
		2.	After: Number		624	1291	
		3.	Percent change :	in number	+20.0%	-6.4%	*
	IV-B.	Inj	ury Accidents:				
		1.	Before		114	188	
		2.	After		133	176	
		3.	Percent change		+16.7%	-6.4%	*

р. 27**---**р. 1915 — 19 1917 — 1917 — 1917 \*Results are dissimilar.

· 通過: 「「「」」「「」」「」」「「」」「」「」」「」」

110.

و محمد بر م میر از همیشنانی 

		Average of				
				City of Lansing	City of Kalamazoo	Change- Percentages (Two Cities)
	IV-G.	Ped	lestrian Accidents:			
		1.	Before	2	23	
		2.	After	12	22	
		3.	Percent change	+500%	-4.3%	*
V.	WHOLE	CITY	ζ:			
	V-A.	Tota	1 Accidents:			
		1.	Before	7,000	5,153	
		2.	After	7,980	5,077	
		3.	Percent change	+14.0%	-1.5%	*
	V-B.	Injı	iry Accidents:			
		1.	Before	1,500	1,084	
		2.	After	1,862	1,020	
		3.	Percent change	+24.1%	-5.9%	*
	V-G.	Pede	estrian Accidents:			
		1.	Before	149	80	
		2.	After	141	97	
		З.	Percent change	-5.4%	+21.2%	*

. بري ...... ور بینی اور استان ر......

ara Salah Sa

a de la compañía de

:

Central S

111.

24,10

#### CONCLUSIONS

With the limited information now available from only two cities for this interim report, some definite conclusions can already be drawn. From the analyses of the results presented in the previous section, the following general observations are substantiated.

One-way state trunklines through cities expedite the movement of large volumes during peak-traffic periods. Improvements of up to 10.6 miles per hour in average over-all speeds during peak periods have been observed after conversion of a street from two-way to one-way operation. Average of speeds on all of the examined routes have been found to increase from 18.1 to 23.1 miles per hour in Kalamazoo and from 25.3 to 28.2 miles per hour in Lansing. Better signal progression has resulted in fewer stops at intersections. In one typical trip through a study area during a morning peak period, the average number of stops has decreased from 6.3 to 1.0. Average delay (stopped time) during such trips have been reduced in one case from 71 to 11 seconds per mile. More gains in expediting traffic were experienced in Kalamazoo than in Lansing. (Lansing one-way scheme is only partially complete.) Travel time on streets crossing the one-way trunklines have not increased to any excessive degree, and even gains in time have been observed in some instances.

One-way operation on the trunklines has caused the number and especially the size of gaps in the traffic stream to increase, with the result that traffic from side streets desiring to cross or turn onto the trunklines has had more opportunity to do so within less time. An approximate evaluation of increased capacity of some typical stop-controlled cross-streets in Lansing showed that 1500 additional vehicles could theoretically enter the trunkline from the two legs of the street during five hours of peak traffic.

In general, higher peak-traffic demands can be accommodated by one-way arterials, as evidenced by 15-minute volume counts whereby traffic entering, circulating within, and leaving the study area have been summed up for evaluation. Up to 74 percent of rise in the 15-minute afternoon-peak totals for traffic leaving the study area have been found, compared with only 17 percent of rise in the 24-hour total for leaving traffic. One-way state trunklines have drawn a larger share of the total traffic in the cities, thus relieving the local streets of congestion and hazard.

Volume studies have indicated in an indirect way that the average length of trips through an area served by one-way arterials have not increased as much as generally suspected.

Back-ups of vehicles caused by other vehicles waiting to turn left at some signalized intersections during two-way trunkline operation were eliminated by the conversion to one-way traffic.

Conclusions on accident studies are deferred until data from the one-way system in Pontiac and Port Huron are analyzed, since few results are in agreement for the cities of Lansing and Kalamazoo.

 $z \cdots$ 

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The study engineer, on behalf of the Michigan Department of State Highways, wishes to extend his appreciation to Messrs. Keith Bushnell and Walter Roth for their suggestions concerning the analyses and evaluation of some of the results of this study; to Mr. Allen Hayes, Traffic Engineer of the City of Lansing, to Captain Adams of Kalamazoo Police Department, and to Messrs. Glenwood Baker and Edwin Miller, District Traffic Engineers, for their guidance and cooperation for compiling accident information; to Mr. Albert McCallum, the former study engineer, for initial planning of the surveys; to Mrs. Jacqueline Hollingsworth for her work in developing the computer programs used in processing the traffic volume data; to Messrs. Herbert Schoepke, Dean Derks and Wilbur Grams for their help in street inventory and drafting work; to the Mesdames Edna Cohen and Dorothy Billings for typing and clerical work; and special recognition to the Transportation Survey and Analysis Section of the Transportation Planning Division who participated in conducting the various traffic surveys and in processing the data.

#### KALAMAZOO ACCIDENT STUDY

Time period before conversion to one-way operation:

October 10, 1964 thru October 9, 1965

Time period after conversion to one-way operation (considering a period of three months for driver acclimatization and readjustment of traffic devices):

January 10, 1966 thru January 9, 1967

"Before" period accidents were studied on the following streets:

Stre	eet	From (Inclus	sive)	To (Inclusive	<u>ə)</u>
1.	North St.	Summer Inter	rsection	Gull Rd. Inte	ersection
2.	Kalamazoo	Douglas	**	Michigan	¥1
3.	Water	Westnedge	tt	Kalamazoo	ŧt
4.	Main	Thompson	**	Michigan	9 T
5.	Michigan	Lovell	11	King Hwy.	11
6.	South St.	Michigan	f 1	Pitcher	**
7.	Lovell	Michigan	**	Pitcher	tT
8.	Douglas	Main	**	North	11
9.	Carmel	Academy	11	Main	11
10.	Stuart	Main	**	North	11
11.	Catherine	Academy	11	Main	11
12.	Main Ct.	South end		Main	11
13.	Woodward	Main Interse	ection	North	11
14.	Elm	Main	**	North	**
15.	Elm Pl.	Elm	11	Eleanor	**
16.	Allen	Michigan	**	Eleanor	*1
17.	Old Orchard Pl.	South end		Eleanor	11

## KALAMAZOO ACCIDENT STUDY

Stre	et	From (Inclus	sive)	To (Inclusiv	e)
18.	Arcadia Ct.	North end		Westnedge In	tersection
19.	Eleanor Pl.	Eleanor St.	Intersection	Kalamazoo	¥1 .
20.	Westnedge	Lovell	11	North St.	
21.	Cooley	Water	11	Willard	11
22.	Park	Lovell	"	North St.	17
23.	Church	Academy	<b>11</b>	North St.	11
24.	Rose	Lovell	12	North St.	11
25.	Burdick	Water	11	North St.	T T
26.	Portage	Michigan	11	Lovell	TT
27.	Edwards	North St.	11	South St.	11
28.	Pitcher	North St.	11	Lovell	* 1
29.	Porter	North St.	tt	Michigan	11
30.	Walbridge	North St.	**	Michigan	17
31.	Harrison	Kalamazoo	*1	North St.	11
32.	Mitchell	Kalamazoo	**	Willard	T1
33.	Greenwich	Kalamazoo	**	Willard	tt
34.	Eleanor	Elm	**	Burdick	**

"After" period accidents were studied on the following streets: Items 1 thru 14 same as for the "before" period. \*\* 11 ŧt. 11 11 11 Items 17 and 18 11 11 11 Items 20 thru 33 " 11 11 From (Inclusive) Street To (Inclusive) 15. Elm Pl. East End Elm Intersection Allen 11 16. Michigan North End

# KALAMAZOO ACCIDENT STUDY

	,		
Stre	et	From (Inclusive)	To (Inclusive)
19.	Eleanor Pl.	South End	Kalamazoo Intersection
34.	Eleanor	Elm Intersection	East End West of Michikal
35.	Eleanor	West End East of Michikal	Burdick Intersection
36.	Michikal	Main and Michigan Intersection	Kalamazoo and Westnedge Intersection
37.	New Connector	Elm Intersection	Michigan Intersection

# APPENDIX 2: ACCIDENT RECORD FORM

# Study on Operational Aspects of One-Way and Two-Way Streets

# ONE-YEAR ACCIDENT RECORD

Thru

 $\frac{Two}{One}$  Way Operation Phase

Street: \_\_\_\_ City:

-

η

j

Ś

Accident Report No.	Severity	Intersection or Midblock	Type (*)	Date	Day of Week	Time	Weather	Pav't. Cond.	Daylight or Dark
									e
				·····					
-									
<u> </u>									, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _,
									, <u></u>
				-					

(\*) See coding sheet

Study on Operational Aspects of One-Way and Two-Way Streets

## ACCIDENT-TYPE CODES

1 - Rear-end, straight

2 - Rear-end involving left-turn

3 - Rear-end involving right-turn .

4 - Head-on, straight

5 - Head-on involving left-turn

6 - Sideswipe, same direction

7 - Sideswipe, opposite direction

8 - Right angle

. 9 - Involving parking or parked vehicle

10 - Hitting fixed object

11 - Backing vehicle

12 - Hitting pedestrian

## LANSING ACCIDENT STUDY

Time period before conversion to one-way operation:

음

الملتنظية

 $\left| \cdot \right|$ 

January 31, 1964 thru January 30, 1965

Time period after conversion to one-way operation (excluding a period of three months for driver acclimatization and readjust-ment of traffic devices):

April 30, 1965 thru April 29, 1966

"Before" period accidents were studied on following streets:

$\underline{\operatorname{Str}}$	eet	From (Inclu	usive)	To (Inclus	sive)
1.	Oakland	Stanley Int	tersection	Wisconsin	Intersection
2.	Jefferson	Pine	*1	Grand	TT
3.	Sheridan	Center St.	91	Cedar	₹1.
4.	Saginaw	Belt Line H	R.R.	Cedar	<b>T1</b>
5.	Stanley	Genesee Int	tersection	Hyland	**
6.	Durant	Genesee	**	Hyland	11
7.	Verlinden	Genesee	11	Hyland	**
8.	Cleo	Verlinden	**	Hyland	**
9.	Cawood	Genesee	ŤŤ	Hyland	ft.
10.	Comfort	Saginaw	· 11	Hyland	11
11.	Drexel	Genesee	**	Jenison	**
12.	Jenison	Genesee	<b>2 7</b>	Hyland	11
13.	Westmoreland	Genesee	ŤŤ	Hyland	**
14.	Carey	Genesee	ŦT	Saginaw	**
15.	Clayton	Saginaw	**	Hyland	**
16.	Bartlet	Genesee	9 T	Saginaw	11
17.	Holten	Oakland	**	Hyland	11
18,	Clyde	Oakland	11	Hy land	**

# LANSING ACCIDENT STUDY

Street		From (Inclusive)		To (Inclusive)		
19.	Logan	Lapeer Inter	rsection	Daleford Inte	ersection	
20.	Princeton	Saginaw	¥†	Daleford	**	
21.	Summerville	Oakland	ŦŦ	Daleford	11	
22.	Butler	Lapeer	17	Saginaw	**	
23.	Chicago	Saginaw	**	Daleford	**	
24.	Edgewood	Oakland	11	Daleford	<b>* 1</b>	
25.	Wisconsin	Saginaw	11	Daleford	<b>? 1</b>	
26.	Sycamore	Lapeer	**	Bluff	<b>††</b>	
27.	Leonard	Madison	**	Jefferson	4.8	
28.	Pine	Lapeer	11	Bluff	81	
29.	Chestnut	Lapeer	<b>11</b>	Lawler	<b>11</b>	
30.	Walnut	Lapeer	TT	Kilborn	89	
31.	Seymour	Lapeer	ŶŤ	Kilborn	**	
32.	Capitol	Lapeer	11	Kilborn	¥1	
33.	Washington	Lapeer	tt	Kilborn	<b>**</b>	
34.	Grand	Lapeer	**	Saginaw	**	
35.	Center	Saginaw	11	Sheridan	**	
36.	Cedar	Saginaw	91	Sheridan	**	

"After" period accidents were studied on following streets:

1.	Oakland	Stanley Inter	section	Cedar	Intersection
2.	Saginaw	Belt Line R.R	Cedar	**	
3.	Stanley	Genesee Inter	section	Hyland	88
4.	Durant	Genesee "		Hyland	**
5.	Verlinden	Genesee "	·	Hyland	**
6.	Cleo	Verlinden "		Hyland	**
7.	Cawood	Genesee "		Hyland	**
8.	Comfort	Genesee "		Hvland	**

122.

# LANSING ACCIDENT STUDY

(1, 1)

and the second second

. 5

Stre	et	From (Inclu	sive)	To (Inclusive)
9.	Drexel	Genesee Int	ersection	Jenison Intersection
10.	Jenison	Genesee	11	Hyland "
11.	Westmoreland	Genesee	*1	Hyland "
12.	Carey	Genesee	ft	Saginaw "
13.	Clayton	Saginaw	tt .	Hyland "
14.	Bartlet	Genesee	1. <b>11</b>	Saginaw "
15.	Holten	Oakland	ŤŤ	Hyland "
16.	Clyde	Oakland	**	Hyland "
17.	Logan	Lapeer	<b>11</b>	Daleford "
18.	Princeton	Saginaw	91	Daleford "
19.	Summerville	Oakland	*1	Daleford "
20.	Butler	Lapeer	"	Saginaw "
21.	Chicago	Saginaw	11	Daleford "
22.	Edgewood	Oakland	£1	Daleford "
23.	Wisconsin	Saginaw	*1	Daleford "
24.	Sycamore	Lapeer	tt.	Bluff "
25.	Leonard	Madison	**	Oakland "
26.	Pine	Lapeer	11	Bluff "
27.	Chestnut	Lapeer	¥ 1	Kilborn "
28.	Walnut	Lapeer	t1	Kilborn "
29.	Seymour	Lapeer	**	Kilborn "
30.	Capitol	Lapeer	11	Kilborn "
31.	Washington	Lapeer	11	Kilborn "
32.	Grand	Lapeer	**	Dead end N. of Oakland
33.	Center Street	Saginaw	97	Oakland Intersection
34.	Cedar	Saginaw	<b>1</b> 1	Oakland "

# APPENDIX 5

# Approximate Calculation of

### NUMBER OF VEHICLES WHICH CAN UTILIZE VARIOUS GAP-SIZE GROUPS

Basic	Assumptions:	1.	No	gap	shorter	than	6	seconds	is
			aco	cepta	able.				

2. Headway used by each car starting from stopped position is 4 seconds.

Gap-size Group I: 6 to 10 seconds Assumed average gap size = 8 seconds

Headway	used	by	1	car	=	4	seconds	(deduct)	
						4	**	non-usable	remainder

Gap	o Group	11: 10	to 15 se	conds			
	Assumed	average	size	==	12	seconds	
	~~ 1		0	Q	0	••	() 8

не	adway	usea	by	2	cars	=	Z	x	4	 Q	,,	(deduct)
	•									$\overline{4}$	11	not usable

- Group IV:More than 20 secondsMinimum size= 21 secondsHeadway used by 5 cars = 5 x 4= 20 " (deduct)

l second not usable

. 、

المريدة المرتبة (1994). المريدة المرتبة (1994)

the second se

#### OPERATIONAL ASPECTS OF UNE-WAY AND THO-WAY STREETS

TABLE 1 - DETAILED ANALYSIS OF TRAFFIC VOLUME DATA

AFTER PERIOD

BEFORE PERINO

				•						
1 2 3 4 5	67	8	9 LÜ	11 12 13	14 15	16	17	19	- 13 20	21 1
7 06.154 .0825 2 64-07-23	54		4.455	+0825 5 66-07-06	64		5.290		+0u1r	237
7 06.304 .0825 2 64-07-23	74		6.105	.0825 5 66-07-06	7 .		0.518		*117.15	2.37
7 06.45A .0825 2 64-07-23	97		8.003	.0825 5 66-07-06	124		16.230	-	+0027	207
7 07.00A .0825 2 64-07-23	129		10.560	.0825 5 66-07-06	191 -		15.756		<ul> <li>+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +</li></ul>	237
6-7 AM	353	177	29.123		458	92		37.785	+0105	> -0085
7 01.154 .0825 2 64-07-23	174		14.355	.0825 5 66-07-06	164		13-530		-0010	207
7 07.30A .0825 2 64-07-23	242		19.965	-0825 5 66-07-06	219		14.068		+0623	207
7 07.45A .0825 2 64-07-23	368		30.360	.0825 5 66-07-06	149		12.418		+0031	257.
7 08.00A .0825 2 64-07-23	597		49.253	·0825 5 66-07-06	503		41.412		-0094	207
7-6 4#	187	94	15.428		1285	251		106.013	+1098	\$ +6163
7 08.154 .0425 2 64-07-25	231		19.058	.0825 5 66-07-06	335		11.473		+0102	2 J <b>7</b>
7 08-30A -0825 2 64-07-23	207		17.078	.0825 5 66-07-06	256		21.120		4 UD 4 9	2.7
7 08.45A .0825 2 64-67-23	21.8		17.985	.0825 5 66-07-06	200		16.500		-upt2	· 207
7 07.004 .0825 2 64-67-23	201		16.583	.0825 5 66-07-06	224		19.480		+0023	2.17
8+9 AM	e57	429	70.703		101>	263		63.571	+0156	> -0226
7 11.154 .0825 2 64-07-23	223		18.398	.0825 5 66-07-06	<b>∠</b> 08		17.100		-0015	207
7 11.30A .0H25 2 64-67-23	214		17-655	.0u25 5 66-07-06	204		10.830		-JULC	237
7 11.45A .0825 2 64-67-23	214		17.655	-U825 5 66-07-06	124		18.480		<ul> <li>€0010</li> </ul>	207
7 12.00P .0225 2 64-67-23	217		17.903	.0825 5 66-07-06	241		L*•8×3		+0024	237
11-12 AM	868	434	71.510		. 877	175		12.353	+0004	1 -0257
7 12.15P .0825 2 64-07-23	282		23.265	.0825 5 66-07-06	285		23.513		€C003	2J7
7 12.30P .0325 2 64-07-23	208		17.160	-0825 5 66-07-06	252		- C . 740		+ü()44	207
7 12.45P .0825 2 64-07-23	239		19.718	-0825 5 66-07-06	261		21.533		<b>*0</b> 022	237
7 01.00P .0H25 2 64-67-23	213		17.573	.0825 5 66-07-06	272		10.315		*60:09	207
12-1 PM	942	471	11.115		1620	26.4		<b>24.15</b> 0 .	+007:	: -0267
7 03.158 .0825 2 54-67-23	244		20.130	.0825 5 66-07-06	224		16.440		-6020	207
7 03.30P .0825 2 64-67-23	215	1	17.738	.0825 5 66-07-06	د ج		21.285		40043	207
7 03.45P .0825 2 64-67-23	303		24.998	-0825 5 66-07-76	370		29-212		♦ しじらち	207
7-04-002 -0825 2 64-07-23	314	<b>5</b> 3 6	25.905	+0825 5 66-07-06	420		22.145		<pre>+0112</pre>	207
3-4 PM	1076	5 3 H	48.770		1260	253		104.445	+0140	) +0285
7 04-154 -0825 2 64-67-25	317		26.153	.0825 5 66-07-06	343				+0031	227
7 04.302 .0025 2 64-07-23	2 H H		23.100	.0825 5 66-0/-06	297		24.5.13		\$400 P	207
7 04.45P .0825 2 64-07-23	357		29.453	-0825 5 66-(7-06	184		31.683		+ 0627	2,17
7 05.00P .0825 2 64-07-23	373		30.773	+U825 5 66-U7-06	444		36+630		+0071	237
<b>ターン ド</b> 岡	1335	005	. 110+138		1475	295		121.523	*0 <b>13</b> 8	5 -6323
7 05.15P .0825 2 64-67-23	519		42.H18	.3825 5 66-07-06	427		41.115		-61,32	207
7-05-30P -0925 2 64-07-23	327		26.978	-0825 5 66-07-06	441		36.342		+6114	2,1
7 05.45P .0825 2 64-07-23	305		25.163	-0P25 5 66-07-06	337		27.803		♦u@ s2	207
7 06.000 .0825 2 64-07-23	226	6.0.0	18.645	·0825 5 60-07-06	287		13.610		+0%61	207
5-6 PM	1377	664	113.603		1552	310		122.040	+0175	· `-0379
			1 77 AAA							
6 PK.IUIAL	06495		511.090		U8- <b>344</b>			737.R82		

125

ا منتخص معند

PAGE NU. 0033

٦.

CHANGES

# TITLES OF COLUMNS IN TABLE I

# "Before" Period:

- 1. Count Station
- 2. Time
- 3. Travel Distance (Miles)
- 4. Moving Lanes
- 5. Date
- 6. 15-Minute Volume
- 7. Hourly Volume
- 8. Hourly Volume Per Lane
- 9. 15-Minute Vehicle-Miles
- 10. Hourly Vehicle-Miles

## "After" Period:

- 11. Travel Distance (Miles)
- 12. Moving Lanes
- 13. Date
- 14. 15-Minute Volume
- 15. Hourly Volume
- 16. Hourly Volume Per Lane
- 17. 15-Minute Vehicle-Miles
- 18. Hourly Vehicle-Miles

## Changes:

- 19. 15-Minute Volume
- 20. Hourly Volume
- 21. Hourly Volume Per Lane
- 1. Count Station

97 23

 $(-1) \in \{$ 

s. Salarahara

ت المعالية

un de

#### OPERATIONAL ASPECTS OF UNE-WAY AND TWO-WAY STREETS

#### TABLE II - SUMMARY OF VEHICLE-MILES OF TRAVEL

#### GRUUP 2

TENE	15-MINUTE VE		
	BEFURE PERIOD	AFTER PERIOD	CHANGE
u6+00 - 06+15 Å⊭	83.0602	100-5717	+17.5115
06.15 - Co.30 AM	145.8342	182+9280	+37.0938
06.30 - 06.45 AM	278.9123	284-8384	+5.9261
06.45 - 07.04 AM	324.8732	2/2-7741	-52.0991
C7.00 - C7.15 AM	246.9527	233.9110	-13.0417
€7.15 - C7.30 AM	268.3260	324 + 2304	+55.9044
07.30 - 07.45 AF	443-2373	512-4063	+19.1690
07.45 - (H.OC AM	661-0223	599.4144	-61.6079
08100 - 08115 AM	510.2288	464-5305	-45.6983
08.15 - 68.36 Am	446-5250	403.4416	-43.0834
U8.30 - C8.45 AM	401-9214	355.6293	-106.2921
08.45 - 09.30 AM	454.8626	352+1806	-102.6820
11.00 - 11.15 AM	511-4322	357+8741	-153.5581
11.15 - 11.30 AM	511.8417	364.9342	-146.9075
11.30 - 11.45 AH	540.1077	402.1542	-177.3535
11.45 - 12.00 PM	501-3297	550+7291	-10.6006
12.00 ~ 12.15 PM	541.5834	506-1447	-35.3887
12.14 - 12.30 PM	484_4245	344-8488	-99.5757
12.30 - 12.45 PM	4/0-1481	424-6552	-65.4929
12.45 - 51.JF PM	470.6310	442.2656	-48.3654
U3.000 - U3.15 2M	489.3273	516.1893	+26.8620
しろんエト ー しろんすご 戸が	553.0450	509+5518	-43.4932
1.3_30 - 1.3_45 PM	642.004(	506.0232	-75.3808
13-45 - 14-30 Pm	635.2881	530-3440	-104.9441
14.00 - 14.1: PM	601-6892	539-ROOX	-61.4884
16.15 - U4.1( PM	573.1616	582.7337	+9.5721
114。36、一 七名。45 伊州	640.5422	599.0:10	-41.4612
64.45 - 65.00 PM	656-1143	611+2223	-44.8920
05.00 - 65.15 PM	/10-0013	662.1968	-47.0045
15-15 - 15-31 PM	618.7114	534+5443	-84.1671
US-31 - US-45 PM	414.3514	471-2143	-23.1371
/5.45 - (8.01 PP	429.1646	363.1782	-64.9864
COMPOSITE B P~ 101AL	15584.6547	14007.7919	-1581.8628

.

ور ا

#### OPERATIONAL ASPECTS OF ONE-WAY AND INU-WAY STREETS

#### TABLE III - SUMMARY OF TRAFFIC VOLUMES LEAVING THE STUDY AREA

GRUUP 28

8 2 ME	15-MINUTE VOLUMES							
	BEFORE PERIOD	AFTER PERIOD	CHANGE					
06.00 - 06.15 AM	227	216	*49					
06.15 - 06.30 AM	367	472	+103					
06.30 - 06.45 AM	698	761	•63					
06.45 - 07.0C AM	786	734	-52					
07.00 - 07.15 AM	629	599	- 30					
07.15 - 07.30 AM	721	756	+ 35					
07.30 - 07.45 AM	1203	1328	+125					
07.45 - 08.00 AM	1553	1591	+38					
08.00 - 08.15 AM	1156	1173	+17					
08.15 - 08.30 AM	851	963	+112 <sup>°</sup>					
U8.30 - 08.45 AM	763	990	+227					
08.45 - 09.00 AM	271	861	+10					
11.00 11.15 AM	856	898	***2					
11.15 - 11.36 AM	943	920	-23					
11.30 - 11.45 AM	1134	962	-172					
11.45 - 12.00 PM	1124	1090	- 34					
12.00 - 12.15 PM	1205	1279	+74					
12.15 - 12.30 PM	1129	1039	90					
12-30 - 12-45 PM	1155	1061	-61					
12.45 - 01.00 PM	1236	1195	-41					
03.00 - 03.15 PM	1148	1382	+234					
03.15 - 03.30 PM	1263	1212	-51					
03.30 - 03.45 PM	1422	1448	+ 26					
03.45 - 04.CL PM	1 184	1390	*6					
04-00 - 04-15 PM	1325	1331	+6					
04.15 - 04.30 PM	1268	1293	+25					
64.30 - 04.45 PM	1426	1451	+25					
04.45 - 05.00 PM	1524	1461	-68					
65.00 - 05.15 PM	1664	1812	+148					
05.15 - 05.30 PM	1523	1399	-194					
C5.30 - 05.45 PM	1154	1245	+91					
05.45 - 06.06 PM	261	872	-89					
COMPOSITE & HR LUTAL	54713	15264	+ + 551					

-----

200

128.

253 07-05-66 1AM 2AM 3AM 4AM 5AM 6AM 7AM 8AM 9AM 10AM 11AM 12PM 1PM 2PM 3PM 4PM 5PM 6PM 7PM 8PM 9PM 10PM 11PM 12AM TOTAL 0079 0085 0086 0118 0115 0118 0083 0083 0082 0084 0065 0049 001067 0069 0029 0059 0021 0009 0015 0032 0087 0076 0068 0061 0054 0076 0070 0081 0104 0134 0116 0092 0073 0100 0079 0046 0055 001608 0030 0029 0047 0023 0010 0014 0029 0094 0078 0042 0067 0077 0090 000635 258 07-05-66 744 84M 94M 104M 114M 12PM 1PM 2PM 3PM 6PM 5PM 6PM 7PM 6PM 9PM 10PM 11PM 12AM 1AM ZAM JAM GAM JAM CAM TOTAL U600 U517 0571 0666 0673 0581 0496 0484 U407 0411 0256 0198 005860 0123 0074 0052 0023 0016 0100 0352 0472 0431 0445 0454 0441 0541 0475 0495 0645 0654 0611 0456 0471 0472 0347 0295 0206 008651 0124 0079 0060 0038 0016 0091 0374 0519 0428 0374 0409 0456 002968 259 07-05-66 1AM 2AM 3AN 4AM 5AM 6AM 7AM 8AM 9AM 10AM 11AM 12PM 1PM 2PM 3PM 4PM 5PM 6PM 7PM 8PH 9PM 10PM 11PM 12AM TOTAL 0529 0440 0525 0707 0744 0646 0451 0448 0399 0359 0213 0184 005645 0148 0191 0051 0022 0017 3044 0210 0538 0386 0396 0415 0449 0524 0495 0509 0768 0735 0692 0454 0502 0398 0313 0237 0183 008699 0144 0220 0062 0039 0027 0056 0205 0540 0372 0360 0341 0425 0485 003276 260 07-05-66 1AN 2AN 3AN 4AN 5AN 6AN 7AN EAN 9AN 10AN 11AN 12PM 1PM 2PM 3PM 4PM 5PN 6PH 7PM 6PM 9PM 10PM 11PM 12AN TOTAL

0288 0253 0224 0332 0380 0301 0177 0187 0152 0133 0108 0060

U396 U352 0391 0412 0432 0375 0329 0322 U270 0234 0176 0116

0031 0015 0007 0006 0009 0035 0118 0351 0165 0126 0137 0184 236 07-05-66 1AM 2AM 3AN 4AM 5AM 6AM 7AM 6AM 9AM 10AM 11AM 12PM 1PM 2PM 3PM 4PM 5PM 6PM 7PM 8PM 9PM 10PM 11PM 12AM TOTAL

0039 0022 0010 0005 0012 0044 0255 0620 0221 0151 0153 0178 0262 0212 0219 0341 0363 0327 0189 0196 0139 0152 0126 0060

0041 0015 0016 0010 0011 0037 0238 0627 0273 0187 0168 0193 0241 0211 0204

235 07=05=66 1AM 2AM 3AM 4AM 5AM 6AM 7AM 8AM 9AM 10AM 11AM 12PH 1PM 2PH 3PM 4PM 5PH 6PM 7PH 8PM 9FH 10PM 11PM 12AM TOTAL 0191 0203 0183 0243 0284 0213 0165 0147 0116 0085 0055 0044 001929 0020 0014 0006 0003 0006 0077 0129 0330 0162 0127 0145 0139 0195 0131 0160 0271 0236 0215 0137 0166 0118 0088 0055 0045 002925

0003 0003 0005 0001 0002 0004 0006 0631 0023 0024 0016 0009 0022 0022 0022 0020 0021 0020 0018 0629 0026 0011 0015 0003 0003 0003 0001 0003 0010 0643 0020 0021 0035 0022 0021 0038 0023 0003 0001 0003 0001 0003 0010 0643 0020 0021 0035 0022 0021 0038 0023 0002 0001 0003 0001 0003 0000 0643 0020 0021 0035 0022 0021 0038 0023

230 07-05-66 1am 2am 3am 4am 5am 6am 7am 8am 9am 10am 11am 12PM 1PM 2PM 3PM 4PM 5PM 6PM 7PM 8PM 9PM 10PM 11PM 12AM TOTAL

0003 0002 0004 0002 0000 0000 0009 0017 0017 0016 0013 0029 0030 0022 0055 0073 0033 0060 0026 0026 0027 0020 0014 0010 000458 0008 0001 0003 0001 0000 0000 0009 0015 0007 0008 0030 0026 0043 0029 0026

229 07-06-66 1am 2am 3am 4ak 5am 6am 7am 8am 9am 10am 11am 12Pm 1Pm 2Pm 3Pm 4Pm 5Pm 6Pm 7Pm 8Pm 9Pm 10Pm 11Pm 12am TOTAL

#### TABLE IV - HOURLY VOLUMES - LANSING

OPERATIONAL ASPECTS OF ONE-WAY AND TWO-WAY STREETS

PAGE 0005

002595

004326

002472

003805

#### **APPENDIX 9**

#### OPERATIONAL ASPECTS OF ONE-MAY AND THO-MAY STREFTS

#### TARLE V - 24-HOUR SUMMARY OF VEHICLE-MILES OF TRAVEL - KALAMAZOO

#### GROUP 2

#### SO-HUR AEHICLE-WILES TIME AFTER PERIOD REFORE PERIOD CMANGE 12 - 01 AM 463.5770 223.4755 ~2'40.1015 01 - 02 AM 349,3359 150,8961 -198,4398 02 - 03 AM 224.0647 102.556R -121,5079 03 - 04 AM 123.9435 59,9038 -64,0397 04 - 05 AM 92,8916 67.8355 -25,4561 05 - 06 AM 151.6944 152.4427 +,7683 06 - 07 AM 776.5092 812,1930 +35,6838 07 - 08 AM 1552.7020 1587,6481 +34,9257 08 ~ 09 AM 1673.0802 1507,1051 °165,9751 09 - 10 AM 1570.0221 1284.4770 -285.5451 10 • 11 AM 1717,9734 1310.0386 -407.9348 11 · 12 PM 2004.0629 1510,7553 -493,3076 12 - 01 PM 1939.1642 1670.1718 -268,9924 01 - 02 PM 1681.1243 1555,2982 °125.6261 02 - 03 PM 1640.1855 1586,3226 -51,6629 03 - 04 PM 2237.1746 2051,4373 \*185.7373 04 - 05 PM 2378.6430 2258.0250 -120.6160 05 - 06 PM 2144.7125 1981,7379 \*162.9746 06 - 07 PM 1574,2430 1260,1297 -314,1133 07 - 08 PM 1450.7132 1362,9290 -87.7842 08 - 09 PM 1157,8755 -74.6089 1083.2666 09 - IO PM 1004,2635 852.3A30 -151.8805 10 - 11 PM 687,0970 584,5654 -102.5316 11 - 12 AM 638.2067 017,3377 -220,8690 COMPOSITE 24 HR TOTAL 29233.3003

25434.5717

·3798.7286

130

2.1.1.1.1

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |G_i| = |G_i|$ 

section and at

Same .

#### OPERATIONAL ASPECTS OF ONE-WAY AND TWO-WAY STREFTS

#### TARLE VI - 24-HOUR SUMMARY OF TRAFFIC VOLUMES LEAVING THE STUDY AREA - KALAMAZOD

#### GROUP 28

	ALUMES				
TIME	REFORE PERIOD	AFTER PERIOD	CHANGE		
12 - 01 AM	1071	539	-532		
MA 50 - 10	677	310	- 367		
02 - 03 AM	4 8 9	256	~233		
03 - 04 AM	306	140	-204		
04 - 05 AM	249	193	-58		
03 - 06 AM	434	640	*6		
06 - 07 AM	1968	2170	+202		
07 4 CS AM	3791	4079	+25B		
08 + 09 AM	3491	3756	+265		
09 = 10 AM	3153	3300	+167		
10 - 11 AM	3567	3494	-73		
11 - 12 PM	1634	3776	+142		
12 - 01 PM	4242	4 a 🔿 a	-168		
01 - 02 PM	4061	4044	-17		
02 = 03 PM	3684	4159	+275		
03 - 04 PM	5068	5262	+194		
04 - 05 P4	5270	5308	+ 36		
05 - 06 PM	4940	5209	×269		
06 - 07 PM	3807	3045	-762		
07 <b>-</b> 08 PM	3630	3504	-124		
08 - 09 PM	2826	2798	-2A		
09 * 10 PM	2077	2136	* 5 9		
10 - 11 PM	1546	1461	-125		
11 - 12 AM	1458	1067	- 391		
COMPUSITE 24 HR TOTAL	64047	64852	-1215		

131.

لأستندره



COMPUTER PROCESSING FLOW CHART



Sec.

# CITY OF LANSING

# Intersection Accidents in the Study Area

Same same

				<u>One-Year "Bef</u>	ore" Period	One-Year "After" Period			
Intersection				Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents		
Saginaw	@	Belt Line RR		3	2	4	4		
17	• •	Stanley		4	_	2	5		
11	11	Durant		<u>.</u>	1	5	-		
11	* *	Verlinden (S)		11	2	20	3		
**	11	Cawood			1	1			
ŦŦ	"	Comfort		4	-	-	-		
11	*1	Jenison (S)		12	7	12	5		
11	11	Westmoreland		1	was	2	-		
5 T	tt	Clayton		-	2	3	MAR.		
11	11	Carey		-	_	2	-		
11	11	Bartlett		-	-	2	-		
11	t t	Logan (S)		18	5	18	3		
t.	11	Princeton		5	1	14	3		
ţţ	17	Butler-Chicago	<b>(</b> S)	11	2.	7			

(S) Signal-controlled intersection
			One-Year "Befo	re" Period	One-Year "After" Period	
	In	tersection	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents
Saginaw	@	Wisconsin	1	_ ·	3	-
* *	tī	Sycamore	3	2	4	-
f 9	17	Pine (S)	7	2	9	3
¥ \$	**	Chestnut	3	1	2	· <u> </u>
	11	Walnut (S)	6	3	11	2
**	**	Seymour	14	6	7	4
ŦŦ	**	Capitol (S)	13	5	4	2
* *	"	Washington (S)	14	6	6	3
7.7	<b>†</b> †	Grand (S-A)	3		12	5
* *	11	Spur RR	1	-		
· • • • • •	11	Center	3	-	6	_
11 11	11	Cedar (S)	34	9	23	10
Oakland	11	Stanley	1	1		
† <b>†</b>	11	Cleo		_	_	1
19	**	Cawood	1	-	_	1
T T	,,	Comfort	1	-	-	1
<b>†</b> Ŧ	tt	Jenison	1		2	٦

(S-A)Intersection was signal-controlled during the "after" period only

Ś.

134.

605, 807 Sec. Sugar

# APPENDIX 13 - Sheet 3

		<u>One-Year</u> "Befo	ore" Period	One-Year "After" Period	
	Intersection	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents
Oaklan	d @ Westmoreland	3	2	1	-
**	" Clayton	C140	-	800	<b>*</b>
11	'' Logan (S-A)	-	1	14	3
T. <b>T</b>	" Princeton	1		3	2
T <b>T</b>	" Summerville			2	-
11	" Chicago	1	-	5	_
17	" Edgewood	500	-	1	-
1 7	" Leonard	-	-	-	1
11	" Pine (S-A)	-		5	2
<b>††</b>	" Chestnut		-	3	2
t T	" Walnut (S-A)	-		3	2
**	" Seymour	-	<del>.</del>	6	6
11	" Capitol (S-A)	-	_	5	3
**	" Washington (S-A)	2	1	14	1
**	" Grand (S-A)		-	5	1
**	" Center	5	1	8	4
**	"Cedar (S)	20	2	27	6

(S) Signal-controlled intersection (S-A)Intersection was signal-controlled during the "after" period only

	<u>One-Year</u> "Befo	re" Period	<u>One-Year "After" Period</u>		
Intersection	Property Damage Accidents	Injury <u>Accidents</u>	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	
Durant @ Genesee	1	1	1	1	
Cleo @ Hyland	1	. <del>-</del> .	-	<b></b>	
Cawood @ Hyland	1	-			
Bartlett @ Genesee	1	-	~	940 9	
Church Court @ Logan	. –		2	-	
Logan @ Rose Ct. & Englewood	4	-	12	3	
Englewood @ Princeton			2	-	
Butler @ Lapeer	1	. –	2		
Chestnut @ Lapeer	- 3	1		. —	
Capitol @ Kilborn		. —	<b>,</b> 1		
" " Madison		1	-	. <b>1</b>	
" Lapeer	<b>2</b> 749		4	1	
Genesee @ Verlinden	1	-	-		
" Westmoreland	-	<b>,1</b>	: <b>**</b>	_ <b>9</b> 440	
Hyland @ Jenison	· _	1	1		
" Westmoreland		-	1	-	
Genesee @ Jenison	2	-	6	3	
Drexel @ Genesee	1	1	1	1	

a de la company de la compa

----

136,

1143

and the second s

د. منابق

é e tê e

1......

	<u>One-Year "Befo</u>	ore" Period	One-Year "After" Period	
Intersection	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	Property Damage Accidents	Injury <u>Accidents</u>
Drexel @ Jenison	2		640	-
Lapeer @ Logan	1	1	2	1
" " Seymour	1		2	1
" " Pine	-	-	878	1
" " Sycamore	2	-	-	***
" " Walnut	3	-	_	_
" "Washington	7	1	10	1
Chicago @ Daleford	-	-	1	-
Daleford @ Logan	1	2	1	-
Daleford @ Princeton	-			1
Kilborn @ Walnut	3	1	-	-
" " Seymour	_	2	1	-
" " Washington	4	-	3	
Madison @ Washington	2	1.		-
Walnut @ Madison	-	1.	-	<b>_</b> .
Madison @ Seymour	- <b></b>	-	2	
Pine @ Madison	2	_	-	

137.

	<u>One-Year "Before" Period</u>		<u>One-Year "After" Period</u>	
Intersection	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents
Grand @ Lapeer	1	<b>-</b> ·	2	_
" " Madison	-	-	1	-
Center @ Monroe	1	-	-	-
Cedar @ Monroe	1	1	-	-
Total intersection accidents	258	81	$\overline{341}$	105
	-			
		· .		

<u>\_\_\_\_</u>

1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 -

an ang sa Tanang sa

n a su se service se tra casarana e e entre e esta com

and and a second se

101210011

138.

NAMES AND A LOOP AND

# APPENDIX 14

Contactor and

# CITY OF LANSING

# Midblock Accidents in the Study Area

	<u>One-Year</u> "Befo	ore" Period	One-Year "After" Period	
Street	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents
Saginaw west of Logan	34	10	37	6
Saginaw east of Logan	59	14*	34	6
Oakland	. 6	2	27	5
Durant	1	0	0	1
Verlinden	-	-	1	-
Cleo	-	60%d	2	-
Comfort	1	-	. —	-
Jenison	2	2	5	-
Westmoreland	3		1	-
Holton	1		-	860
Logan	4	1	. 9	4
Princeton	2	-	5	-
Butler	5		2	
Chicago	-	_	1	1
Wisconsin	1	-		-

\*Includes one fatality

	One-Year "Befo	re" Period	One-Year "After" Period	
Street	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents
Sycamore		1	-	
Pine	1	-		
Chestnut	1	-	3	-
Walnut	1	-	2	1
Seymour	5	-	_	1
Capitol	4	1	3	1
Washington	9	2	14	-
Center	2	<del></del>		<b></b>
Cedar	6		4	2
Total midblock accidents	148	33	150	28
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

- duinad

.

·····

140.

<u>\_\_\_\_</u>

. .....

#### APPENDIX 15

المستعق

1

i.

## CITY OF KALAMAZOO

#### Intersection Accidents in the Study Area

المراجع المراجع

633

بورون بریانی کرد. اورون در این کرد افرونینیسوی کر سامیسا

			One-Year "Befo	ore" Period	One-Year "After" Period		
Intersection		Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	Property Damage Accidents	Injurv Accidents		
Michigan	@ Lovell	(S)	34	4	24	7	
ŤŤ	" South	(S)	2	-		-	
¥ F	" Main	(S)	15	3	21	6	
3 1	" Allen		6	2	-	-	
11	" Westnedge	(S)	44	8	29	2	
**	" Park	(S)	32	8	42	2	
11	" Church		5	2	11	1	
. 11	" Rose	<b>(</b> S)	6	-	19	3	
**	" Burdick	<b>(</b> S)	20		5		
13	" Portage	(S-B)	11	2	10		
* *	" Edwards	<b>(</b> S)	11	4	12	1	
,,	" Pitcher	(S)	11	2	7	3	
11	" Porter		3		6	3	
11	" Walbridge		2	_	1	1	

(S) Signal-controlled intersection

(S-B) Intersection was signal-controlled during the "before" period only.

ليفك فيفت

I and	ntersection		Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents
Michigan	@ Kalamazoo	(S-B)	19	3	7	1
11	" Harrison		9	1	11	5
11	" King	(S)	5	2	2	1
Kalamazoo	o @ Douglas		8	_	5	_
**	" Stuart		3	_	2	Dies.
17	"Woodward		2	2	2	-
"	" Elm		3	-	2	1
. TF	"Westnedge	(S)	10	4	1	-
P T	" Park	(S)	6	4	25	5
ŢŢ	" Church		3	1	2	
**	"Rose	<b>(</b> S)	11	2	21	7
TT	" Burdick	<b>(</b> S)	10	***	18	RT02
Ť Ť	" Edwards	(S)	11	1	9	2
1 F	" Pitcher	(S)	8	5	11	2
77	" Porter &		4	-	2	-
<b>†</b> 1	Waler "Walbridge		· · · ·	_	1	-
(S) Sign (S-B) In	nal-controlled	intersect s signal-o	tion controlled during th	ne "before" pe:	riod only.	

inter et al sente ferre alle falle derbie finde and the sente the sente the sente the sente the sente the sente

#### 

# APPENDIX 15 - Sheet 3

			One-Year "Befo:	re" Period	One-Year "After" Period		
		Intersection		Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents
North	@	Douglas	(S)	3	-	3	1
* *	ŤŦ	Stuart		2	- 	1	_
ŦŤ	*1	Woodward		3	-	3	-
11	11	Elm		1	-	1	1
**	t t	Westnedge	(S)	10	2	-	6000
	11	Park	(S)	3	2	6	7
**	11	Church		-	1	2	2
F. 17	11	Rose	(S)	5	2	8	-
**	11	Burdick	<b>(</b> S)	8	2	7	2
· •	11	Edwards		5	3	2	3
ī <b>1</b>	ŤŤ	Pitcher		4	1	4	1
* 1	t t	Porter		1	2	3	<del></del>
* *	11	Harrison		-	2	1	2
11	* *	Gull		3	1	4	2
11	11	Summer			_	1	
Eleano	$\mathbf{r}$	@ Elm		1	_	_	-
T Ť		" Church		2	1	3	-
11		" Cooley		_			1

(S) Signal-controlled intersection

contract a second second

		One-Year "Before" Period		One-Year "After" Period	
Intersection		Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	Property Damage Accidents	Injury <u>Accidents</u>
Water @ Church		3	2	12	-
South @ Burdick	(S)	4	_	-	
" " Henriett		1	1	1	
Lovell @ Burdick	(S)	4	-	8	_
" John		2		me:	
" " Henriett		· 1	<b>_</b> -	1	
" Jasper		2	1	1	1
Main @ Douglas	(S-B)	15	<b>,</b>	4	_
" " Stuart		3		. 6	54 1940 -
" " Catherine		2	-	2	
" "Woodward		4	<del>, -</del>	. 6	540
" " Elm		5	1	7	-
Douglas @ Forbes		_	1	1	
" Jefferson		2	-	-	1
Catherine @ Academy		 -	1		-
Westnedge @ Lovell	(S)	7	1	9	1.
" " South	(S)	5	1	21	1

a na tanàna amin'ny fisia amin'ny tanàna mandritry dia 2000

(S) Signal-controlled intersection

(S-B) Intersection was signal-controlled during the "before" period only.

CONTRACTOR AND AND AND

یر مسید دید می ۱۹۹۵ - این ۱۹۷۵ کیمیسیون

يە مەمىيە ئەرىسىدى

الىرى بىرى يارىغۇنى ئىرى بىرى يارىغۇنى (....) ارت ا این مدر مستند : اليطينية السينشية

n de la dela Competition

werdd d

		One-Year "Befor	e" Period	<u>One-Year "After" Period</u>	
Intersection		Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents
Westnedge @ Academy		7	NUS	9	
" "Water		5	1	6	-
" " Willard		2	1	-	040
" " Ransom		5	-		2
Park @ Lovell	(S)	15	2	14	2
" " South	(S)	12	1	8	2
" " Academy		11		4	1
" "Water		8	_	19	1
" " Eleanor		5	_	3	4
" " Willard		3	-	1	-
" " Ransom		3	2	3	
Rose @ Lovell	<b>(</b> S)	11	3	13	1
" " South	<b>(</b> S)	10	3	14	1
" "Water	<b>(</b> S)	10	1	8	1
" " Eleanor		6	-	5	1 .
" " Ransom		1	1	2	_
Burdick @ Water	<b>(</b> S)	5	1	2	-
'' '' Ransom		3	-	3	-
	-				

(S) Signal-controlled intersection

	<u>One-Year</u> "Befor	One-Year "Before" Period		One-Year "After" Period	
Intersection	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	
Burdick @ Eleanor	-		3	-	
Edwards @ South	4	-	4	2	
" " Water (S)	3	2	5	1	
" " Ransom	4	1	1	1	
Pitcher @ Lovell	1	1		<b>800</b>	
" " Spring	4	-	1	-	
" " South	8	1.	2	-	
" " Water (S)	5	. 1	5	1	
" " Ransom	1	· _	6	2	
Porter @ Ransom	-		3	1	
albridge @ Ransom	2	1	6	1	
Church @ Ransom	-	-	_	3	
Iarrison @ Ransom	1	_		-	
" " Gull	4	-	<b>3</b> ~	1	
Portage @ Lovell (S)	6	_	9	1	
" " Spring	1	-	2	1	
" " South (S)	13	1	6	1	
Fotal intersection accidents	604	$1\overline{12}$	606	111	

. 1917 - Maria Maria de Carlos de

# APPENDIX 16

نى بىدە يىسىغ

# CITY OF KALAMAZOO

# Midblock Accidents in the Study Area

Same and the second s

لاستار

	One-Year "Before" Period		One-Year "After" Period	
Street	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	Property Damage Accidents	Injury <u>Accidents</u>
Michigan south of Main	22	2	16	6
Michigan east of Main	170	28	114	18
Kalamazoo	33	4	21	2
North	41	12	44	7
Eleanor	3	1	6	-
Water	24	2	30	1
South	51	2	38	1
Lovell	34	6	76	9
Main	28	1	14	-
Douglas	14	3	6	-
Carmel	2	2	7	1
Stuart	3	_	1	-
Catherine	4	-	1	-
Woodward	5	-	3	1
Westnedge	19	1	18	3

Street	One-Year "Before" Period		One-Year "After" Period	
	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents	Property Damage Accidents	Injury Accidents
Park	28	3	19	1
Rose	44	2	26	2
Burdick	8	-	15	
Edwards	4	2	9	3
Pitcher	10	-	21	2
Church	11	- ·	5	2
Porter	1	-	-	1
Walbridge	4	-	3	-
Harrison	1	-	5	4
Portage	23	5	12	1
Cooley	1	-	_	
Total midblock accidents	588	76	510	65

· · · · ·

ي در در در محمد در د al companya A companya 148.

220

8223

#### APPENDIX 17

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF TRAFFIC SURVEYS

#### City of Kalamazoo

The "before" phase of the traffic surveys was conducted between October 19 and October 30, 1964. Volume counts by pneumatic counters were taken at 66 locations which are shown in Figure 4. At five of these locations, the counts were continuous for at least seven days and as long as other traffic surveys were in progress. At the remainder of the locations, 48-hour counts were taken. Actually, the total number of volume counts were much more than 66 since separate counts were taken for each direction of traffic at most locations. Thus, for the "before" surveys, 105 volume counts were taken. The taking of the 48hour counts were spread over a period of 12 days due to the large number, which, of necessity, made such counts non-simultaneous. The machines recorded the volumes by 15-minute periods.

Time gaps in the traffic stream were measured on Kalamazoo and Michigan Avenues at their intersections with Church Street. These were taken one day only from 7 to 9 a.m. and from 3 to 6 p.m., and were totaled by 15-minute intervals. Nothing shorter than 6 seconds was recorded, and the gaps were divided into four size-groups of 6 to 10 seconds, 10 to 15 seconds, 15 to 20 seconds and over 20 seconds.

Turning-movements were counted for six hours, from 6 to 9 a.m. and from 3 to 6 p.m., at the intersections of Kalamazoo and

150.

Rose, and Michigan and Lovell. Stoppage of left lanes caused by traffic waiting to make left turns at the Kalamazoo and Rose intersection were recorded in seconds by 15-minute intervals.

Speed-and-delay study runs listed below were made by the floating car method during the "before" period, where total running time, and points and duration of all delays were recorded in these runs using automatic recording equipment. (See Figure 5)

- 1-A. From the intersection of Thompson Street and Main Street, eastbound via Main-Douglas-Kalamazoo-Michigan, to the intersection of Harrison Street and Michigan Avenue. Three runs were made during each of the three peak periods, morning, noon and afternoon, for three consecutive days.
- 2-A. From the intersection of Harrison and Michigan, westbound via Michigan-Kalamazoo-Douglas-Main, to the intersection of Thompson and Main. Same number of runs were made as in the eastbound runs mentioned above.
- 3-A. From the intersection of Thompson and Main, eastbound via Main-Michigan, to the intersection of Harrison and Michigan. Three runs were made during each of the three peak periods for two days.
- 4-A. From the intersection of Harrison and Michigan, westbound via Michigan-Main, to the intersection of Thompson and Main. Same number of runs were

made as in the eastbound runs mentioned for route 3-A, above.

5-A. From the intersection of Lovell and Michigan, eastbound via Michigan, to the intersection of Harrison and Michigan. Three runs were made during each peak period of one day only.

6-A. From the intersection of Harrison and Michigan, westbound via Michigan, to the intersection of Lovell and Michigan. Same number of runs were made as in the eastbound runs mentioned for route 5-A, above.

Total running time only was clocked by a survey car on the six cross-streets which are situated in a general north-south direction and which intersect the one-way pair. These streets and the directions of survey runs were as follows: (See Figure 5).

- 1. Westnedge (southbound)
- 2. Park (northbound)
- 3. Church (southbound)
- 4. Rose (northbound and southbound)
- 5. Edwards (northbound)
- 6. Pitcher (southbound)

The beginning and the end of all but one of these runs were Ransom Street, which is two blocks north of Kalamazoo Avenue, and South Street, which is two blocks south of Michigan Avenue. The run on Church Street was ended at Academy Street which terminates Church Street on the south.

During the "before" surveys, on each of the streets and directions indicated above, three runs were made during morning peak periods (two of these on the same day and the third the next day), two runs during morning off-peak period (both on the same day), three runs during noon peak (all on the same day), one run during afternoon off-peak, and three runs during afternoon peak (two of them on the same day and the third on another day).

Traffic surveys reflecting the "after" or one-way traffic conditions were taken in Kalamazoo between May 2, 1966 and May 14, 1966. Basically the same count stations and speedand-delay survey routes were used during these "after" surveys, except that some modifications were made for new streets and travel routes as necessitated by the one-way operation.

Volume counts numbered 89 during the "after" surveys. The taking of the 48-hour counts were distributed within a period of 10 days.

Traffic gaps and turning movements were counted at the same stations and in the exact manner as the "before" surveys.

Four speed-and-delay study runs as listed below were made during the "after" period. (See Figure 6.)

2-B. From the intersection of Harrison and Michigan, westbound via Michigan-Kalamazoo-Douglas-Main, to the intersection of Thompson and Main.

152.

- 3-B. From the intersection of Thompson and Main, eastbound via Main-Michigan, to the intersection of Harrison and Michigan.
- 5-B. from the intersection of Lovell and Michigan, eastbound via Michigan, to the intersection of Harrison and Michigan.
- 7-B. From the intersection of Harrison and Michigan, westbound, via Michigan-Kalamazoo-Michikal-Michigan, to the intersection of Lovell and Michigan.

Six runs were made on each of the above routes for each of the peak periods. The morning peaks were covered in three consecutive days, two runs being made the first day, three runs on the next and one on the third day. Noon peaks were also covered in three consecutive days, one run being made the first day, three on the second and two runs on the third day. Afternoon peaks were done in two days, three runs being completed on each day.

Running-time surveys on the six cross-streets were repeated for the "after" phase of the study. On each of the routes, three trips were made during the morning peak period, all on the same day. One trip was made during the morning off-peak period. Three trips were made during the noon peak period, one trip being on one day and two trips on another day. Two trips were made during the afternoon off-peak on two consecutive days. Three trips were made during the afternoon

peak period, one trip being on one day and two trips on another.

#### City of Lansing

The "before" surveys were taken between July 8 and July 30, 1964. Volume counts by 15-minute totals were taken at a total of 48 locations (Figure 10). 24 of these locations are within the western section of the study area which will go into one-way operation some time in the future. This leaves 24 locations within the area which is now under one-way operation. At three of these locations, volume counts were continued for at least seven days and as long as other traffic surveys were in progress. At the remaining 21 locations, counts were recorded for 48 hours. Since a number of the count locations were bi-directional, the actual number of counts took place within a total time span of 23 days.

Traffic gap surveys, similar to those in Kalamazoo, were conducted at the following seven intersections of Saginaw Street: Seymour, Chestnut, Sycamore, Clayton-Carey, Westmoreland, Cawood and Durant. The last four intersections are outside the present study area.

Six hours of turning-movement counts, similar to those in Kalamazoo, were also recorded at the intersections of Oakland and Logan, Saginaw and Jenison, and Saginaw and Verlinden. Again, the last two intersections are outside of the present 154.

study area. Delays caused by traffic waiting to turn left were also recorded.

The following speed-and-delay survey runs were made during the "before" phase of the study: (See Figure 11.)

1-A. From Beltline Railroad, eastbound via Saginaw Street, to the intersection of Cedar and Saginaw.
2-A. From Cedar and Sheridan intersection, westbound via Saginaw, to Beltline Railroad.

These runs were made during three consecutive days and within the morning, noon and afternoon peak periods of each day. For the morning peak data, five runs were made in both directions during the first day, and four runs each during the next two days. For the noon peak, two runs were made during each of the three days. For the afternoon peak, four runs were made during each of the three days.

Cross-street running time surveys were taken on seven streets. Two of these streets, Jenison and Verlinden, are outside the area of the present study. The remaining five runs started or terminated at Kilborn and Hyland Streets which are situated one block north of Jefferson and Oakland Streets, respectively, and at Genesee Street which is two blocks south of Saginaw Street. (See Figure 11.) The names of the crossstreets and the direction of the trips were:

Ì

1. Washington (southbound)

2. Capitol (northbound)

3. Walnut (southbound)

4. Pine (northbound)

5. Logan (southbound)

All of the above trips were made during three consecutive days, and two runs were made during each of the three daily peak periods.

Traffic surveys to reflect the "after" phase of this study (for the area east of Logan Street) were taken between June 28 and July 8, 1966. Basically the same count stations and travel routes were used for the "after" surveys, with the exceptions that counts were not taken for the area west of Logan Street, that modifications were made as necessitated by the one-way system, and that the speed studies were run on the newly established streets and travel directions. Thirty-two volume counts were taken during the "after" survey. The 48-hour counts were all taken at the same time, using as many machines.

Traffic gap studies were repeated at the four intersections that are within the present study area. Turning-movement counts were repeated at the Oakland and Logan intersection.

Speed-and-delay survey routes for the "after" study were as follows: (See Figure 12.)

1-B. From Beltline Railroad, eastbound via Saginaw Street, to the intersection of Cedar and Saginaw.

2-B. From the intersection of Cedar and Sheridan, westbound via Oakland-Logan-Saginaw, to Beltline Railroad.

On each of the above described routes, runs were made during four consecutive days. On the first day three runs were made during the afternoon peak period only; on each of the second and third days three runs were made during each of the morning, noon and afternoon peaks; and on the fourth day, three runs each were made during morning and noon peaks.

Cross-street travel-time runs were also repeated on the five streets. However, due to the change in direction of traffic on four of the city's local streets, which went into effect on the same date as the one-way state trunklines, the travel directions of some of the test trips were different from the "before" runs, and they were as follows: (See Figure 12.)

- 1. Washington (northbound and southbound)
- 2. Capitol (southbound)
- 3. Walnut (northbound)
- 4. Pine (southbound)

5. Logan (northbound and southbound)

The above trips were repeated twice for each of the three peak periods for three days as before, except that they were spread to four days, afternoon peak runs only being done in the first day, and morning and noon peaks only being surveyed on the fourth day.