

September, 1982.

## MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

CARE THE ARE THE ACTOR THE ARE THE ARE

2016

4 GHO & COLOR & GHO &

R. YOK ME YOK

A-VORY - MARK

and share

Control (

10-200 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010

26

40.0

SUC.

60

C. Lac. - Jac. -

2110

#### STATE TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Hannes Meyers, Jr., Chairman Carl V. Pellonpaa, Vice Chairman

William C. Marshall Lawrence C. Patrick, Jr. Weston E. Vivian Rodger D. Young

DHC.

-40-60 P

SHC

100

#### DIRECTOR

John P. Woodford

TOWN ME TOWN TOWN TOWN DIR TOWN TOWN TOWN

, Report No. UMTA – MI – 82 – 5	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
Title and Subtitle MICHIGAN PUBLIC TRANSIT		5. Report Date September, 1982
ATTITUDE AND AWARENESS SU	JRVEY	6. Performing Organization Code
		8. Performing Organization Report No.
Fredricks, Nancy L.		
Performing Organization Name and Add	<b>ess</b>	10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)
Michigan Department of T P.O. Box 30050 Lansing Michigan 48909	ransportation	11. CML
- Soonsoning Agency Name and Address		13. Type of Report and Period Covered
Urban Mass Transportation	portation n Administration	Final Report
400 Seventh Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20590		14. Sponsoring Agency Code
Abstract This report summarizes 1 the general public in S/ determine public attitu (STS). The purpose of t marketing efforts during This report compares the those of the initial second	the results of an initia AGINAW, Michigan. The p des toward, and awarene he follow-up survey was the time from the init e results from the follo survey conducted in 198	l and follow-up telephone survey urpose of the initial survey was ess of, the Saginaw Transit Sys to evaluate the effectiveness of ial survey to the follow-up surv ow-up survey conducted in 1981 w 0. The comparison indicates wh
Abstract This report summarizes if the general public in S/ determine public attitu (STS). The purpose of t marketing efforts during This report compares the those of the initial s marketing efforts have attitude and awareness 1 The major objectives of	the results of an initia AGINAW, Michigan. The p des toward, and awarene he follow-up survey was the time from the init e results from the follo survey conducted in 198 been most successful an evels. this research were threef	l and follow-up telephone survey urpose of the initial survey was ess of, the Saginaw Transit Sys to evaluate the effectiveness of ial survey to the follow-up surv ow-up survey conducted in 1981 w 0. The comparison indicates wh d highlights significant changes
Abatract This report summarizes if the general public in S/ determine public attitu (STS). The purpose of t marketing efforts during This report compares the those of the initial s marketing efforts have attitude and awareness 1 The major objectives of 1. To develop and im transportation depared regarding transit s	the results of an initia AGINAW, Michigan. The p des toward, and awarene he follow-up survey was the time from the init e results from the follo survey conducted in 198 been most successful an evels. this research were three plement a methodology to artments to survey pub ystems in their states.	l and follow-up telephone survey urpose of the initial survey was ass of, the Saginaw Transit Sys to evaluate the effectiveness of ial survey to the follow-up surv ow-up survey conducted in 1981 w 0. The comparison indicates wh d highlights significant changes fold: that could be used by other signific attitude and awareness level
Abatract This report summarizes if the general public in S/ determine public attitu (STS). The purpose of t marketing efforts during This report compares the those of the initial s marketing efforts have attitude and awareness 1 The major objectives of 1. To develop and im transportation depared regarding transit s 2. To provide relevant efforts for public	the results of an initia AGINAW, Michigan. The p des toward, and awarene he follow-up survey was the time from the init e results from the follo survey conducted in 198 been most successful an evels. this research were three plement a methodology to artments to survey pub ystems in their states. market data to STS for u transportation services.	l and follow-up telephone survey urpose of the initial survey was ess of, the Saginaw Transit Sys to evaluate the effectiveness of ial survey to the follow-up surv ow-up survey conducted in 1981 w ow-up survey conducted in 1981 w O. The comparison indicates wh d highlights significant changes fold: that could be used by other st lic attitude and awareness lev use in developing effective market
Abstract This report summarizes if the general public in S/ determine public attitu (STS). The purpose of t marketing efforts during This report compares the those of the initial s marketing efforts have attitude and awareness 1 The major objectives of 1. To develop and im transportation depared regarding transit s 2. To provide relevant efforts for public 3. To assist the Michimarketing efforts w	the results of an initia AGINAW, Michigan. The p des toward, and awarene he follow-up survey was the time from the init e results from the follo survey conducted in 198 been most successful an evels. this research were three plement a methodology f artments to survey pub ystems in their states. market data to STS for t transportation services. gan Department of Transp hich might be appropriate	l and follow-up telephone survey urpose of the initial survey was ess of, the Saginaw Transit Sys to evaluate the effectiveness of ial survey to the follow-up survey ow-up survey conducted in 1981 w 0. The comparison indicates wh d highlights significant changes fold: that could be used by other st lic attitude and awareness lev use in developing effective market portation in determining the type e at the state level.
Abatract This report summarizes if the general public in S/ determine public attitu (STS). The purpose of t marketing efforts during This report compares the those of the initial s marketing efforts have attitude and awareness if The major objectives of 1. To develop and im transportation depared regarding transit s 2. To provide relevant efforts for public 3. To assist the Michimarketing efforts w	the results of an initia AGINAW, Michigan. The p des toward, and awarene he follow-up survey was the time from the init e results from the follo survey conducted in 198 been most successful an evels. this research were three plement a methodology to artments to survey pub ystems in their states. market data to STS for u transportation services. gan Department of Transp hich might be appropriate	l and follow-up telephone survey urpose of the initial survey was to evaluate the effectiveness of ial survey to the follow-up survey ow-up survey conducted in 1981 w 0. The comparison indicates wh d highlights significant changes fold: that could be used by other st lic attitude and awareness lev use in developing effective market portation in determining the type at the state level.
Abstract This report summarizes if the general public in S/ determine public attitu (STS). The purpose of t marketing efforts during This report compares the those of the initial s marketing efforts have attitude and awareness 1 The major objectives of 1. To develop and im transportation deparegarding transit s 2. To provide relevant efforts for public 3. To assist the Michi marketing efforts w Xey Words Attitudes Research Awareness Survey: Marketing Initial Questionnaire Follow- Target Ma	the results of an initia AGINAW, Michigan. The p des toward, and awarene he follow-up survey was the time from the init e results from the follo survey conducted in 198 been most successful an evels. this research were threef plement a methodology for artments to survey pub ystems in their states. market data to STS for us transportation services. gan Department of Transp hich might be appropriate availa be availa No research were for the states availa No research were for the states availa	<pre>1 and follow-up telephone survey urpose of the initial survey was ess of, the Saginaw Transit System to evaluate the effectiveness of ial survey to the follow-up survey ow-up survey conducted in 1981 w 0. The comparison indicates wh d highlights significant changes fold: that could be used by other statistic lic attitude and awareness lev use in developing effective market portation in determining the type at the state level. strictions. This document is able to the public through the nal Technical Information Service ofield, Virginia 22161.</pre>
Abstract This report summarizes if the general public in S/ determine public attitu (STS). The purpose of t marketing efforts during This report compares the those of the initial s marketing efforts have attitude and awareness 1 The major objectives of 1. To develop and im transportation deparegarding transit s 2. To provide relevant efforts for public 3. To assist the Michi marketing efforts w Xey Words Attitudes Research Awareness Survey: Marketing Initial Questionnaire Follow- Target Ma Security Clessif. (of this report)	the results of an initia AGINAW, Michigan. The p des toward, and awarene he follow-up survey was the time from the init e results from the follo survey conducted in 198 been most successful an evels. this research were threef plement a methodology for artments to survey pub ystems in their states. market data to STS for us transportation services. gan Department of Transp hich might be appropriate up = post rket <b>18. Distribut</b> No res availe No res availe No res availe Spring	<pre>1 and follow-up telephone survey urpose of the initial survey was ess of, the Saginaw Transit Systemetes of to evaluate the effectiveness of ial survey to the follow-up survey ow-up survey conducted in 1981 w ow-up survey conducted and awareness level stat could be used by other statelevel w ow-up survey conducted and awareness level w ow-up survey conducted and awareness level w ow-up survey surv</pre>

新潟に

and the second s

(T.T.)

#### DISCLAIMER AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

100

the second

13

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation, Urban Mass Transportation Administration in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

## DOCUMENT PRINTING INFORMATION

Document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

-	A D I		0		\ = ? <del>_</del>	FT 8.31	TO
	11 12 1	- L	111	- C.L.	IAL L	LNI	15
- H	Ani	- <b>F</b>	115			L FA	L .
			<b>U</b> 1				
				1			

ACKI	NOWLEDGEMENTS																Page 1
INTI	RODUCTION	•	•				•		•						•		2
SUR	VEY METHODOLOGY	•								•	•	•					4
SUM	MARY OF MAJOR FINDINGS	•	•						•		•						7
DET	AILED FINDINGS																
	TRANSIT AWARENESS	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•		14
	TRANSPORTATION PATTERNS	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•				•		21
	TRANSPORTATION ATTITUDES	•	• •		•	•		•	•			•			•	•	29
	DEMOGRAPHICS		•	•	•	٠	•	•	•		•				•		37
	ADVERTISING AWARENESS	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•		•		•	41
CON	CLUSIONS	•	•							•	•	•		•	•		52
IMPI	ICATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	•	•			•		•	•		•	•		•			56
APPI	ENDICES	•	•	•			•			•	•	•	•	•			58
Α.	Telephone Survey Questionnaire		•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•			•	59
Β.	Telephone Exchanges Surveyed	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		71
С.	Interview Sampling Results	•			•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		72
D.	Other Members' Transit Usage .		•			•		•	•		•	•		•		•	73
Ε.	Who Other Member?	•	• •	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	74
F.	Often Other Members?	•	• •	•	•	•		•		•	•	•		•			75
G.	Other Members' Trip Purpose?	•	• •	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	76
Η.	Considered Riding the Bus?	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	77
I.	Considered Getting in a Carpool?			•	•	•	•	•	•	•					•		78
J.	Considered Driving Less?		•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	79
К.	Do Gas Prices Affect You?	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	80
L.	Energy Conservation Measure	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	: 	•	•	•	•	•	81
Μ.	Sex by Usage	•	• •	•	- 		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	82
N.	Sex by Age	•	• •	•	•	•		1. •	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	83
0.	Age by Usage		• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	84
P. Q.	Occupation by Sex	•	• •	•				•	•	•		•		•		•	85 86

LIST OF TABLES

- Contraction

Ì

			<u>Page</u>
Dug Sustan Australian			
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•	14
Dus System Name	• • • • • • •		15
	••••••	• •	10
Bus Information		•.•. 	1/
Special Services for the Eldenly		•	10
Special Services for Handicappane		• •	19
lised Buc Services for Handrappers		•	20
		•	21
Dus usage ratterns		•	21
Normoss of Pus Doute			22
Nearness of bus Roule		•	25
Number of Automobiles	• • • • • • •	•	20
Augilability of Vabiala	•••••	•	27
Availability of venicle		с	28
Reasons for Not Riding the Bus	•••••	•	29
Closer Deuter	• • • • • • • •	• •	30
		• •	31
	• • • • • • •	• •	32
		• •	33
		•	30
Sex by irip Purpose		•	3/
	• • • • • • •	•	38
Uccupation by Usage		• •	40
Heard Radio Announcements:		•	41
		•	42
Regularly Listen to Radio?			43
		•	44
		•	45
Regularly watch iv?			46
Seen Newspaper Ads:		•	47
Newspapers		•	48
Regularly Kead Newspaper?	• • • • • • • • • •	•	49
Utner Places - Seen, heard or read ads?		• •	50
Specific Places (Mediums)?	•	•	51

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was prepared by the Marketing and Consumer Services Section, Governmental Relations and Consumer Affairs Division, Bureau of Urban and Public Transportation. The project manager and principal author was Nancy L. Fredricks, Marketing Coordinator for the Bureau of Urban and Public Transportation (UPTRAN). This marketing research project would not have been possible without the cooperation and assistance from the Saginaw Transit System (STS) and the Michigan Public Transit Association of Lansing.

Since this project was conducted within the Michigan Department of Transportation, personnel from many sections, divisions, and bureaus in the department provided invaluable assistance in the preparation of this report. These include the Transportation Surveys Section and the Federal Planning Programs Section of the Bureau of Transportation Planning; the Computer Services Division and the Technical Services Division of the Bureau of Administration; the Word Processing Center of the Bureau of Urban and Public Transportation; and the Public Information Section of the Executive Bureau.

If you desire additional information regarding this project, please write or call:

-1-

Governmental Relations and Consumer Affairs Division Bureau of Urban and Public Transportation Michigan Department of Transportation P.O. Box 30050 Lansing, Michigan 48909 Telephone: (517) 373-6572

### INTRODUCTION

The major objective of this research was to develop and implement a methodology that could be used by other state transportation departments to measure public attitudes toward, and awareness of, fixed-route public transit systems. The information gathered would be used to assist these systems in developing effective marketing efforts for public transportation services, as well as determine the type of marketing efforts which might be appropriate at the state level. This project involved five selected Michigan communities with transit systems receiving assistance under terms of Section 5 of the Urban Mass Transportation Act.

である

In order to design public transportation services to better meet the public's needs, it was necessary first to collect market data which identified these needs. With this information it would then be possible to design service to meet these needs and to prepare promotional material to inform and persuade the public about existing service. A methodology was necessary to collect this information.

The initial survey results on a particular community were provided to the transit system in that community. The transit system was encouraged to use these results in planning and developing its marketing efforts, e.g., the definition of target markets and formulation of goals and strategies for each target segment. Each system was encouraged to develop marketing projects based on this information. The effectiveness of these projects was evaluated by a follow-up survey conducted approximately 21 months after the initial survey to determine the extent to which attitudes and awareness had changed.

The intent of the methodology developed and employed in this project is that it will be adaptable to other state transportation departments' marketing efforts throughout the country. Special Report 181 of the Transportation Research Board suggests that "some agency with an overview capability" develop "a common set of survey questions." It states that "some uniformity along these lines would help develop a common data base that could be used by all

-2-

systems in further research." It suggests that a state department of transportation is one of the "most likely collection centers."

While some Michigan transit systems already are doing some type of telephone marketing research, the value of this type of research conducted at the state level is primarily that of standardization, similar to that developed for what is now the Federal Highway Administration in highway travel surveys during the 1940s. Current efforts to compare marketing research conducted in different communities throughout the country have been severely hampered by the fact that each urbanized area used different questionnaires and techniques. This approach ensures that questions are uniform, that the administration of the survey is consistent in its quality, and that other factors remain stable from community to community.

The approach taken in this research project, to the best of our knowledge, has not been undertaken to date. It is, thus, intended to contribute to the development of a research methodology which is applicable to other state transportation departments throughout the country, as well as provide information which will benefit the State of Michigan and the marketing efforts of Michigan transit systems. Further, this procedure should be relatively easy to implement, given the existence of similar transportation departments throughout the United States.

-3-

#### SURVEY METHODOLOGY

In preparing for this project, several alternative survey methods were considered. One of the most direct surveys would have been to conduct a home interview of residents in the study areas. However, setting up offices in five different cities, training personnel, and incurring travel-related expenses made this option impractical for the time allotted. Instead, it was decided that a telephone interview survey would be best.

修器

NAMES -

The goal for each community was to collect 1,000 interviews. It was estimated that meeting this goal would require about twice as many telephone calls to account for number changes, no answers, interview refusals, etc. Each interview solicited responses to a 38-item questionnaire (Appendix A) regarding attitudes and awareness of local public transportation services. In order to ensure that the interviews were adequately distributed throughout the transit service area, a systematic sample selection process was used. This process established a sample universe made up of those telephone exchanges that correspond geographically with the existing transit service area. A copy of the telephone exchanges used for drawing the sample for Saginaw is provided in Appendix B of this report.

The actual telephone numbers were selected by using a separate ratio developed for each city. This ratio was determined by counting the total number of directory pages containing the universe exchanges and then multiplying this amount by the average number of residential telephone numbers per page (businesses, governmental agencies and other nonresidential services were excluded). This latter figure was then divided by 2,000 and produced the ratio of 1:20 for Saginaw.

This ratio meant that one telephone number was selected for each of 20 numbers on the telephone directory page. The results of this selection process produced both an alphabetical and geographical distribution of samples. Results of this selection process, indicating how many telephone numbers were called for each exchange prefix, are shown for Saginaw in Appendix B.

-4-

Appendix C provides a breakdown of the actual number of interviews completed versus the number attempted.

All interviews were conducted from the Lansing office over state leased lines. Additional telephone lines were installed with special headset attachments to aid the interviewer in recording citizen responses. Because the questionnaire was quite extensive, experimental interviews were conducted prior to starting the initial survey. Modifications were made and interviewing commenced January 23, 1980, and ended June 6, 1980. The interviews were conducted during the hours of 12 noon - 8 p.m., Monday through Thursday. Post-survey interviewing started October 12, 1981, and ended December 8, 1981, during the hours of 9 a.m. to 6 p.m., Monday through Thursday and 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. on Friday. Each interview took about five minutes to complete and, in general, the public was very cooperative with this effort.

Data from completed questionnaires were edited and coded on to special coding forms designed especially for this survey. Data from the coding forms were keydisked onto a magnetic tape. Quantitative data, read from the magnetic tape, were entered onto a disk file. The editing program was run and data were read to determine if any data were invalid. Corrections were made to invalid data in an effort to obtain as many valid interviews as possible. The report program was run on validated data, and frequency distributions were established for the total sample. The frequency distributions indicate the number and percentage of respondents answering in each specific way to a specific question. (Computer printouts of data are available for inspection at the Bureau of Urban and Public Transportation, Michigan Department of Transportation, Transportation Building, Lansing, Michigan.)

(TEST)

The data in this report are analyzed by demographic factors and frequency of bus usage. As used in this report, the terms heavy user, moderate user, light user, other user, and nonriders are defined as follows:

Heavy user - Daily or almost every day Moderate user - Once a week Light user - Once a month or once a year Other user - A frequency mentioned other than the above frequencies Nonriders - Respondents who have not used the bus service during the past year The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) was used for the analysis of the quantitative data. This statistical computer package was used in conjunction with the Burroughs 7700 computer. The data were crosstabulated into contingency tables and subsequently statistically analyzed by means of the chi-square test. Crosstabulation provides a joint frequency distribution of cases according to two or more classificatory variables. The chi-square test determines the significance of deviations from the expected frequencies. Given the nature of a pre- and post-survey, and because the number of interviews taken differed, pre to post, this type of statistical analysis was deemed appropriate to test the data.

Throughout this report many tables summarize the crosstabulations, basically by ridership groups. Only in areas of significant crosstabulations are the findings discussed in detail.

SUMMARY OF MAJOR FINDINGS

The major findings of the study are summarized below. Each is discussed more fully in the body of the report and is accompanied by tables displaying the relevant data.

## Transit Awareness

Awareness of a bus system in the Saginaw area among respondents was at 74 percent in the pre-survey and 84 percent in the post-survey.

Thirty-two percent (32%) of the pre-survey respondents and 48 percent of the post-survey respondents correctly identified the transit system in Saginaw.

The majority of bus riders were aware of the cost to ride the bus. Most nonriders, however, did not know the cost for a ride on the bus.

The majority of bus riders knew how often the bus came by. Most nonriders, though, indicated "no" or "don't know" to this question.

Both bus riders and nonriders reported they knew how to obtain bus information.

in the state of the

The majority of bus riders and nonriders were aware of special bus services for elderly people and handicapped people.

#### Transportation Patterns

Most respondents, pre (90 percent) and post (87 percent), had not used the bus service during the preceding year.

Of those who had used the bus service, light users comprised 47 percent of pre-survey riders and 44 percent in the post-survey.

Heavy users rode the bus mainly for <u>shopping</u>, <u>work</u>, and <u>school</u> purposes, whereas moderate users rode basically to go <u>shopping</u> and for <u>personal</u> <u>business</u>. Light and other users indicated <u>shopping</u> as their primary purpose for riding the bus.

が変換

Other household members of bus riders and nonriders rode basically for <u>personal business</u>, <u>shopping</u>, and <u>school</u> purposes in both pre- and post-surveys.

Most bus riders live within one or two blocks of the nearest bus route. Nonriders, however, were about evenly distributed between the "1 to 2 blocks," "1 mile or more," and "don't know" responses.

"Car" was cited as the usual means of transportation. The highest percentage occurred for nonriders; the lowest percentage was recorded for heavy users.

The number of automobiles in a household varied by bus rider groups:

The majority of bus riders and nonriders normally have a vehicle available to them. The only exceptions were post-survey heavy and moderate users.

### Transportation Attitudes

The most frequently mentioned reason nonriders cited for not riding the bus was "don't need to, I have a car," followed by "doesn't stop near me or I live in the country," and "no reason."

Overall, most bus riders and nonriders believed the bus fare was "just right."

The majority of bus riders and nonriders indicated they would not use the bus more if the bus routes were closer or if the bus came by more frequently.

Bus riders, and to a lesser extent, nonriders, believed the bus system serves the areas to which they most frequently travel.

Most pre- and post-survey bus riders had considered riding the bus more because of rising gasoline prices. The reverse was true for nonriders.

Most bus riders and nonriders indicated they had not considered getting in a carpool because of rising gasoline prices.

Most bus riders and nonriders had considered driving less with the rising gasoline prices.

Gasoline prices apparently affected both bus riders and nonriders.

An overwhelming majority of pre- and post-survey bus riders and nonriders view the bus service as a viable, valuable energy conservation measure.

The opinion of most bus riders and nonriders toward improvements in STS's bus service is that no changes were needed. Opinions regarding five improvements showed an overall decline in the follow-up survey. Only two improvements showed an increased need.

## Demographics

#### Sex:

Contraction of the local data

In general, female bus riders and nonriders outnumbered male bus riders and nonriders in both surveys.

<u>Shopping</u> was the most frequently mentioned purpose for using the bus service by males and females in both surveys. Pre-survey males followed with work, <u>school</u>, and <u>visits or recreation</u> (each cited with a 16 percent frequency). Post-survey males and pre- and post-survey females second ranking was <u>personal</u> <u>business</u>.

Age:

-<u>16-20</u> year-old riders used the bus primarily for <u>shopping</u> and <u>school</u> purposes

同語

<u>Shopping</u> also was mentioned more frequently by riders 21-39 years old, 40-60 years old, and older than 60 years.

As the age groups increased in years, the percentage of males comprising each age group tended to decrease. The reverse was true for females; as the age groups increased in years, so did the percentage of females comprising each age group.

The majority of bus riders were between 21-39 years old and <u>older than 60</u> years in both surveys. Most nonriders were between 21-39 years old and 40-60 years old.

Occupation:

Nineteen percent (19%) of the pre-survey males were <u>skilled/semi-skilled</u>, followed by 17 percent <u>retired</u>, and 14 percent <u>professional</u>. Twenty-eight percent (28%) of the post-survey males indicated they were <u>retired</u> and 12 percent, each, for skilled/semi-skilled and unskilled labor.

Pre- and post-survey females reported an identical ranking of <u>homemaker</u> (40 percent/38 percent), <u>retired</u> (19 percent/24 percent), and <u>professional</u> (10 percent/7 percent).

-Students comprised the following age groups:

54%, pre-survey 16-20 years old 60%, post-survey 16-20 years old -Homemakers, comprised the following age groups:

32%, pre-survey 21-39 years old 31%, post-survey 21-39 years old 44%, pre-survey 40-60 years old 45%, post-survey 40-60 years old

Sector Sector

C.C.C.D

<u>-Retirees</u> were reflected more in the older than 60 age group.

<u>Retired</u>, <u>homemaker</u>, and <u>student</u> were the three most frequently mentioned occupations by bus riders. Nonriders reported <u>homemaker</u>, <u>retired</u>, and <u>professional</u>.

#### Advertising Awareness

NOTE: Please see specific sections on "Advertising Awareness" (pg. 41) and "Conclusions" (pg. 52) for more detailed findings.

<u>Radio</u> - Even though the majority of bus riders and nonriders indicated they regularly listen to the radio, most reported that they had not heard any STS radio announcements.

> Those bus riders and nonriders who did hear STS radio announcements heard them more frequently on WSAM, WSGW, and WWWS.

協設

间感

<u>Television</u> - Even though the majority of bus riders and nonriders indicated they regularly watch TV, most reported that they had not seen any STS television announcements.

Those bus riders and nonriders who did see STS TV announcements reported each of the following TV stations at least once: WEYI-TV, WNEM-TV, WJRT-TV, and WUCM-TV.

<u>Newspapers</u> - The majority of bus riders and nonriders indicated they regularly read a local newspaper. When asked if they had seen any STS newspaper ads, most of the pre-survey bus riders replied "no." However, most post-survey responses were "yes or think so." Most nonriders indicated "no."

> These bus riders and nonriders who did see STS newspaper ads reported the <u>Saginaw News</u> more than any other newspaper.

Other Media

Exposure

When respondents were asked if there were any other places they had seen, heard or read advertisements or otherwise obtained information about STS, "billboards," "displays," "other" media, and "ads for stores/institutions which mention that they can be reached by bus" were the most common places cited.

"Displays" and "other" places were the two mediums showing an overall pre to post increase in recognition.

Consequences

1000

Contraction of the second

國國

## **Bus System Awareness**

The first question in the survey asked respondents, "Is there a city bus system in the Saginaw area?" An overwhelming majority of respondents in both the initial and follow-up survey were aware of the existence of a bus system in the Saginaw area. Responses are summarized below: 

<u>City Bus System?</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total Respondents</u>				
		<u>%</u>				
Yes or think so	Pre Post	74 84				
No	Pre Post	20 6*				
Don't know	Pre Post	6 <u>10</u> *				
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 1,089)				
	Post	100% (N = 1,000)				

\*There is a significant difference at the .001 level between the pre and post "no" response, and at the .005 level for the "don't know" response. Post-survey results show an increased awareness of STS over pre-survey results.

-14-

#### Bus System Name

The second question asked respondents to name the bus system in the Saginaw area. Summarized below are the responses to this question.

<u>Response</u>		<u>Total Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Saginaw Transit System	Pre Post	32 26
Saginaw Transit	Pre Post	-0- 22*
Other responses (included names which sound similar to the Saginaw Transit System, route destination names, and incorrect responses)	Pre Post	18 6*
Don't Know	Pre Post	50 <u>46</u>
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 803)
	Post	100% (N = 837)

\*There is a significant difference at the .001 level between the two surveys regarding the "Saginaw Transit" and the "other responses" categories. Adding the Saginaw Transit System (STS) and Saginaw Transit (ST) responses together produces an increase in recall, pre to post, from 32 percent to 48 percent.

물건 이 가 좋지? 그는 소문을 통과

#### Cost for Bus Ride

The following table summarizes responses to the question, "How much does it cost for a ride on the bus?" The results indicate the majority of bus riders were aware of the cost to ride the bus. Most nonriders, however, replied "don't know." 'At the time of the initial survey, April - June 1980, the cash fare was 35 cents. Subsequent to this, the fare was raised to 40 cents. The follow-up survey occurred in November and December 1981, just prior to another STS fare increase to 50 cents. The possibility of this fare increase was reported in the newspaper during post-survey interviewing, and may account for 10 percent of the Total Respondents, who responded "more than 40¢."

開設

		alah sebagai s	BUS R10				
<u>Cost</u>		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>×</u>
More than 35¢	Pre	5	8	11	12	4	4
More than 40¢	Post	16	0	12	5	10*	10
35¢	Pre	53	23	31	38	5	8
40¢	Post	32	38	40	57	8	13
Less than 35¢	Pre	5	15	22	25	6	7
Less than 40¢	Post	12	12	17	5	4	5
Senior	Pre	16	31	17	12	1	3
Citizen Rate	Post	32	13	12	9	1	3
Pass/Punch	Pre	21	0	8	0	0	1
Card	Post	8	6	0	5	0	1
Don't know	Pre	0	15	11	13	84	77
	Post	0	31	19	19	77	68
Other	Pre Post		8 	0 	0 	0 	0 
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 724)	100% (N = 800)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 717)	100% (N = 827)

\*There is a significant difference at the .005 level between the pre and post "more than current cash fare" response for <u>nonriders</u>. The 6 percent increase could be due to reports of an impending fare increase by the press at the time of the post-survey.

## Bus Frequency

and the second se

Respondents were asked if they knew how often the bus came by. The majority of bus riders indicated "yes" to this question. Most nonriders, though, indicated "no" or "don't know," as the following table shows:

Bus Frequency		Heavy	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Light</u> <u>%</u>	Other <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Yes	Pre Post	84 88	62 63	70 60	75 57	11 15	18 22
No	Pre Post	11 0	7 12	8 13	0 0	36 17*	33 15
Don't know	Pre Post	5 12	31 25	22 27	25 43	53 67*	49 62
Other	Pre Post	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 	0 1
Totals	Pre (	100% N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 724)	100% (N = 800)
	Post (	100% N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 721)	100% (N = 831)

\*There is a significant difference, pre to post, between the "no" response (.001 level) and the "don't know" response (.05 level) for <u>nonriders</u>. Post-survey results show a 4 percent increase in bus frequency awareness.

-17-

## **Bus Information**

The item "Do you know how to obtain bus information?" produced the following results. The majority of bus riders and nonriders indicated they knew how to obtain bus information with the amount of usage not an issue.

			Bus Ride				
Bus Information		<u>Heavy</u>	<u>Moderate</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> * <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Yes	Pre Post	90 96	92 81	72 83	75 62	54 64	57 66
No	Pre Post	10 4	0 19	28 15	25 24	40 31	37 29
Don't know	Pre Post	0 	8 	0 2	0 _ <u>14</u>	6 5	6 5
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 724)	100% (N = 800)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 719)	100% (N = 829)

\*There is a significant difference at the .05 level between the two surveys due to a change in the distribution of <u>nonrider</u> responses. Most pre-survey nonriders (54 percent) and significantly more post-survey nonriders (64 percent) replied they knew how to obtain bus information, yet chose not to use their local bus service.

# Special Services for the Elderly

に図

No.

Respondents were asked if STS had special bus services for elderly people. The majority of bus riders and nonriders were aware of these services as the following table indicates:

		Bus Rider Usage					
Elderly Services		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Yes or think so	Pre Post	90 96	77 82	75 81	76 86	79 80	69 74
No	Pre Post	5 0	8 6	6 4	12 0	7 3*	13 4
Don't know	Pre Post	5 	15 <u>12</u>	19 <u>15</u>	12 	14 <u>17</u>	18 
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 724)	100% (N = 800)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 721)	100% (N = 831)

\*There is a significant difference at the .01 level between the pre and post "no" response for <u>nonriders</u>. Awareness of HANDI-CAB service has increased slightly. This slight increase is expected, since the service has not been heavily marketed.

# Special Services for Handicappers

As with elderly services, respondents were asked if STS had special bus services for handicapped people. The pattern of responses is about the same as the previous question. The majority of bus riders and nonriders were aware of these services as the following table indicates:

			Bus Ric	ler Usage			
Handicapper Se	<u>ervices</u>	Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>*</u>
Yes or think so	Pre Post	95 100	84 88	89 83	75 91	80 86	71 80
No	Pre Post	0 0	8 6	0 4	12 0	7 3*	11 4
Don't know	Pre Post	5 0	8 6	11 _13	13 9	13 _ <u>11</u>	18 16
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 724)	100% (N = 800)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 721)	100% (N = 831)

\*There is a significant difference at the .05 level between the pre and post "no" response for nonriders. Awareness of HANDI-CAB service has increased by 6 percent.

#### TRANSPORTATION PATTERNS

# Transit Usage

In response to the statement, "Have you personally used the bus service during the past year?" the majority of respondents said "no" in both the pre- and post-surveys.

Used Bus Service?	Total Respondents				
Yes	Pre Post	<u>%</u> 10 13			
No	Pre Post	90 <u>87</u>			
Totals	Pre (N	100% = 805)			
	Post (N	100% = 832)			

Those respondents who indicated they had used the bus service during the past year were characterized as heavy, moderate, light or other users based upon their frequency of using bus services. Following is a breakdown of bus usage patterns:

	<u>Usage</u>			<u>%</u>
	Heavy	- Daily or almost every day	Pre Post	25 23
	Moderate	- Once a week	Pre Post	17 14
	Light	- Once a month or once a year	Pre Post	47 44
	Other	<ul> <li>A frequency mentioned other than the above frequencies</li> </ul>	Pre Post	11 19
t SAN Balan	Totals		Pre	100% (N = 176)
			Post	100% (N = 110)

-21-

# Trip Purpose

Question No. 6, "For what purpose(s) do you use the bus service?" provided for four choices. The major (first choice) trip categories for travel by public transit bus are shown in the following table. Heavy users rode the bus for <u>shopping</u>, <u>work</u>, and <u>school</u> purposes. Moderate users mentioned <u>shopping</u> and <u>personal business</u>. Light and other users indicated <u>shopping</u> as their primary purpose for riding the bus. 

			Bus Rider Usage						
(First Choice) Purpose		Heavy <u>%</u>	Moderate <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>			
Work	Pre Post	22 28	0 12	0 4	13 5	7 11			
Personal business	Pre Post	<b>11</b> 4	27 38	11 17	12 5	14 16			
Shopping	Pre Post	33 40	46 31	52 48	38 70	45 47			
Schoo1	Pre Post	, eta 17a <b>22</b> 0a <sup>n</sup> - 1a <u>12</u> 0an -	ана аларын <b>9</b> °сайына 9 аларын <b>9</b> аларын 10	ani ani <b>11</b> isina. Manjari <mark>11</mark> manjari is	12 0	14 7			
Visits or recreation	Pre Post	6 0	0 0	17 8	13 15	11 6			
Dining	Pre Post	0 0	0 0	0 0	12 0	1 0			
Medical	Pre Post	6 8	18 13	0 2	0 0	4			
When I don't have a car/ when car is in garage	Pre Post	0 0	0 6	6 8	0 5	3 6			
Other	Pre Post	0 <u>8</u>	0 0	3 2	0 	1 <u>3</u>			
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 18)	100% (N = 11)	100% (N = 35)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 72)			
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 20)	100% (N = 109)			

-22-

#### Other Household Members Transit Usage

Given that a respondent rides the bus is it likely that other household members also ride? Most bus riders and nonriders reported a higher percentage of "no" responses in both the pre- and post-surveys (see Appendix D).

Those respondents who indicated that other members of their household had used the bus service during the past year were asked "who" this member was. The most frequently mentioned responses were children, spouses, and "other" members. The "children" response increased, pre to post, from 45 percent to 53 percent (see Appendix E). This supports STS's increase in School Tripper ridership.

Respondents were then asked: "How often do other members use the bus service?" Heavy users indicated in both pre- and post-surveys a higher percentage of heavy usage by other household members. Pre-survey moderate users indicated primarily moderate usage; post-survey results showed moderate and light usage. Light users reported light usage by other members of the household. And results for nonriders show a tendency towards heavy usage (see Appendix F).

Question No. 9, "For what purpose(s) do the other members use the bus service?" provided for four choices. Appendix G shows the major (first choice) trip categories for travel by public transit bus. Other household members of bus riders and nonriders rode basically for <u>personal business</u>, shopping, and school purposes in both pre- and post-surveys.

-23-

## Nearness of Bus Route

The item, "How far do you live from the nearest bus route?" revealed that the majority of bus riders live within one or two blocks of the nearest bus route. Nonriders, however, were about evenly distributed between the "one or two blocks," "one mile or more," and "don't know" responses.

Overall, Saginaw residents have noticed that route improvements have brought the bus closer to their home, as witnessed by the 8 percent increase in "one or two blocks" responses.

-24-

						Non-	Total
<u>Distance</u>		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>%</u>	<u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Respondents <u>%</u>
1 or 2 blocks	Pre	69	69	61	88	23	28
	Post	84	75	67	57	31	36
3 or 4 blocks	Pre	11	16	14	12	10	10
	Post	12	13	17	14	9	10
1/4 to 1/2	Pre	5	15	8	0	7	7
mile	Post	0	6	6	10	5	5
1/2 – 1 mile	Pre	5	0	0	0	3	3
	Post	0	0	2	9	3	3
1 mile or	Pre	5	0	11	0	30	28
more	Post	4	0	6	5	28	25
Don't know	Pre	5	0	6	0	27	24
	Post		6				_ <u>21</u>
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 724)	100% (N = 800)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 718)	100% (N = 828)

Bus Rider Usage

K STOR

Contraction of the local distance of the loc

Constant of

Contraction of the second

Contraction of the local data

# Usual Transportation Mode

Question No. 34, "What is your usual means of transportation?" provided for two choices. The major (first choice) responses are shown below:

Present of

		Bus Rider Usage					
(First Choice) Usual Mode		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Car	Pre Post	37 24	62 44	59 71	50 62	91 94	87 88
Bus	Pre Post	53 64	8 25	8 12	13 29	0 0	2 3
Friends or relatives take me	Pre Post	0 4	15 19	22 · 13	25 9	6 6	7 8
Bike, motor- cycle	Pre Post	5 0	0 0	3 0	0 0	1 0	1 0
Senior Citizen's or Handicapper Van	Pre Post	5 4	15 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 0
Usually walk	Pre Post	0 	0 <u>12</u>	8 	12 	2 	2 
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 723)	100% (N = 799)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 721)	100% (N = 831)

"Car" was cited as the usual means of transportation. The highest percentage occurred for nonriders; the lowest percentage was recorded for heavy users. Heavy users primarily rely on the bus for their transportation needs.

-26-

#### Number of Automobiles

000

The item, "How many automobiles does your household have?" resulted in the following breakdown:

			Bus Rid				
<u>Number of</u>	Automobiles	Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Light</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
1	Pre Post	42 24	54 37	39 50	25 29	30 36	31 37
2	Pre Post	5 16	15 19	14 31	38 24	45 42	43 39
3	Pre Post	0 0	0 0	11 2	12 • 14	14 12	13 11
4 or more	Pre Post	- 5 0	0 0	5 4	0 5	6 6	5 5
0	Pre Post	48 <u>60</u>	31 <u>44</u>	31 <u>13</u>		5 	8 8
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 724)	100% (N = 800)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 721)	100% (N = 831)

Bus riders, pre and post, basically reported no cars or only one auto in their household. As expected, nonriders reported two or more cars. Overall, the number of one car households is increasing, while two and three car households are declining. The potential for new bus riders is increasing as the number of one car households increases.

-27-

# Availability of Vehicle

The question, "Is a vehicle normally available for your use?" produced the following results:

			Bus Ri				
<u>Vehicle Available</u>		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Yes	Pre	47	69	56	50	90	85
	Post	12	38	71	48	87	81
No	Pre	48	31	39	25	7	12
	Post	72	56	21	43	8	13
Sometimes	Pre	5	0	0	13	2	2
	Post	16	0	4	5	4	4
Other	Pre	0	0	5	12	1	1
	Post	0	<u>6</u>	4		1	2
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 724)	100% (N = 800)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 721)	100% (N = 831)

Pre-survey heavy users were about evenly split between the "yes" and "no" responses, while 72 percent in the post-survey replied they did not normally have a vehicle available for their use. Most pre-survey moderate users indicated "yes," while 56 percent in the post-survey replied "no." Light and other users and nonriders reported they did normally have a vehicle available in both surveys.

-28-

## TRANSPORTATION ATTITUDES

#### Reasons for Not Riding the Bus

The respondents classified as nonriders, i.e., those who had not used the bus service during the previous year, were asked, "Is there any particular reason why you don't ride the bus?" Pre- and post-survey results indicate "don't need to, have a car" as the primary reason for not riding the bus by nonriders. Second and third ranking for both surveys was "doesn't stop near me, or I live in the country," and "no reason."

This question provided for four choices. The following table summarizes the responses for nonriders first choice:

(First Choice) <u>Reasons for Not Riding the Bus</u>	<u>Pre %</u> *	<u>Post %*</u>
Don't need to, have a car	48	63
Doesn't stop near me or I live in the country	28	17
No reason	13	11
Doesn't go <u>where</u> I want to go	4	2
It's inconvenient Other	3 2	2 4
Just never thought about it or got around to it	2	
Totals	100% (N = 720)	100% (N = 715

\*There is a significant difference at the .001 level between the two surveys due to a change in the distribution of responses for <u>nonriders</u>. Pre to post results show a significant increase in the percentage of nonriders who don't ride the bus because they have a car. Eleven percent (11%) fewer said the bus "doesn't stop near me, or I live in the country," indicating that these nonriders appear to be aware that STS route changes and additions have brought the bus closer to them.

## Fairness of Cost

) :

Respondents were asked their opinions regarding the cost for a bus ride. The following table shows that most bus riders and nonriders believed the fare was "just right." It is difficult to judge what, if any effect, talk of an impending 10¢ fare increase had on the high level of support for the post-survey 40¢ fare.

			Bus Rid				
Do You Think <u>This Fare is:</u>		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Too Much	Pre	5	10	6	0	6	6
	Post	4	18	18	6	7	9
Not enough	Pre	0	0	3	0	5	4
	Post	4	0	2	6	4	4
Just right	Pre	95	90	78	86	79	81
	Post	84	82	77	88	76	78
Don't know	Pre	0	0	10	0	7	6
	Post	4	0	3	0	11	7
Other	Pre	0	0	3		3	3
	Post					2	
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 10)	100% (N = 32)	100% (N = 7)	100% (N = 115)	100% (N = 183)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	$\frac{100\%}{(N = 11)}$	100% (N = 39)	100% (N = 17)	100% (N = 167)	100% (N = 259)
# **Closer Routes**

A STATE OF

C. L. J

Contraction of the second

Question 13 asked respondents, "Would you use the bus more if the bus routes were closer?" The table below highlights the results:

			Bus Ric	der Usage			Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
<u>Closer Routes</u>		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u>	Non- riders <u>%</u>	
Yes	Pre	39	23	20	12	20	21
	Post	9	7	18	5	15	14
No	Pre	22	54	65	50	48	48
	Post	82	86	74	50	55	58
Don't know	Pre	0	0	3	0	3	2
	Post	0	0	0	0	2	2
Maybe	Pre	17	8	6	0	13	12
	Post	0	7	2	15	12	11
Probably not	Pre	5	15	6	38	16	16
	Post	0	0	2	20	15	13
Other	Pre	17	0	0	0	0	1
	Post	9	0	4	_ <u>10</u>	1	
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 18)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 34)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 529)	100% (N = 602)
	Post	100% (N = 23)	100% (N = 14)	100% (N = 46)	100% (N = 20)	100% (N = 538)	100% (N = 641)

Considering the response categories of "no" and "probably not" together, the majority of bus riders and nonriders indicated that closer bus routes would not induce them to use the bus more. The pre to post decrease in "yes" responses for bus riders could indicate that recent route changes have met the needs of some riders.

-31-

# Frequency of Service

Respondents were asked if they would use the bus more if it came by more The results, as shown below, indicate that bus riders and frequently. nonriders would not use the bus more if it came by more frequently. The overall decrease in "yes" responses appears to indicate that service changes have met the needs of some respondents.

			Bus Ric				
<u>More Frequent</u>	<u>Service</u>	Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>×</u>	<u>Other</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> * <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Yes	Pre	19	0	15	·17	15	15
	Post	14	17	9	17	5	7
No	Pre	25	88	50	50	66	58
	Post	52	75	79	42	84	78
Don't know	Pre	13	0	8	0	1	4
	Post	0	0	6	8	2	3
Maybe	Pre	12	0	12	0	7	8
	Post	10	0	0	16	3	4
Probably not	Pre	19	12	15	33	11	14
	Post	14	8	6	17	5	7
Other	Pre Post	12 10	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 	
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 26)	100% (N = 6)	100% (N = 98)	100% (N = 154)
	Post	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 12)	100% (N = 33)	100% (N = 12)	100% (N = 178)	100% (N = 256)

\*There is a significant difference at the .05 level between the two surveys due to a change in the distribution of <u>nonrider</u> responses. Pre to post results show a percentage decrease in "yes" responses and an increase in "no" and "probably not" (considered together).

-32-

#### Travel Areas Served

8

The item, "Does the bus system serve the areas to which you most frequently travel?" revealed the following results. There is a difference in response between riders and nonriders. The majority of riders replied that the bus system served the areas they frequently traveled (pre/post = 75 percent/76 percent - 100 percent), whereas this was only true for 39 percent of the pre-survey nonriders and 61 percent of the post-survey nonriders.

			Bus Ride				
<u>Serve Areas</u>		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Yes	Pre Post	100 100	92 88	84 92	75 76	39 61*	44 65
No	Pre Post	0 0	0 12	8 0	13 14	33 15*	30 14
Don't know	Pre Post	0 	<u> </u>	8 8	12 <u>10</u>	28 24	26 <u>21</u>
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 724)	100% (N = 800)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 721)	100% (N = 831)

\*Among <u>nonriders</u> there is a significant difference at the .001 level between the pre and post "yes" and "no" response. Significantly more post-survey nonriders indicated that the bus system served the areas they frequently traveled. The addition of Fashion Square Mall service could account for much of the change.

-33-

## Effects of Gasoline Prices

Question 18 was a four-part question relating to the rising gasoline prices of the last few weeks before each survey. Respondents were asked if they had considered: a) riding the bus, b) getting in a carpool, c) driving less, or d) if gas prices affect them?

The attitude of most pre- and post-survey bus riders was that they had considered riding the bus more because of rising gasoline prices. (Those bus riders who indicated "no" to this question had evidently not considered riding the bus more than their current riding patterns.) Most nonriders, however, replied "no" to this question (see Appendix H).

Most bus riders and nonriders indicated they had not considered getting in a carpool because of rising gasoline prices (see Appendix I).

In general, bus riders and nonriders had considered driving less with the rising gasoline prices (see Appendix J).

The results indicate that gasoline prices apparently affected both bus riders and nonriders. Those bus riders who indicated "no" to this question may depend on STS for their primary transportation needs (see Appendix K).

## Energy Conservation Measure

Respondents were asked if they thought of the bus service as a viable, valuable energy conservation measure. The table, as shown in Appendix L, indicates an overwhelming majority of bus riders and nonriders view the bus service as a viable, valuable energy conservation measure.

-34-

# Improvements

-----

and and a second

Question 20 asked respondents what improvements they would like to see in the city bus system that would cause them to use the bus more often. This question provided for four choices. The results, as shown below, indicate most bus riders and nonriders, pre and post, replied "no changes needed." The only exception was for pre-survey heavy users who indicated "other" improvements.

Overall, improvements in STS since the initial survey appear to be meeting the needs of Saginaw residents. Opinions regarding more convenient routes, closer stops, more frequent service, more bus shelters, and better route and schedule information declined in the follow-up survey. Expanded service hours and "other" improvements were the only areas showing an increased need among Saginaw residents.

# Bus Rider Usage

(First Choice) Improvements		<u>Heavy</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Lower fares	Pre	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Post	0	0	2	0	0	0
More conven-	Pre	11	8	8	25	7	7
ient routes	Post	4	6	0	0	4	4
Closer stops	Pre	5	8	6	0	10	10
	Post	0	0	6	5	10	9
More frequent	Pre	5	0	3	0	1	1
service	Post	0	0	0	0	0	0
More bus	Pre	5	0	0	12	0	1
shelters	Post	8	0	0	0	0	0
Faster	Pre	0	0	3	0	0	0
service	Post	0	0	4	0	0	0
Expanded	Pre	0	0	5	0	2	2
service hours	Post	24	12	2	14	3	4
Better route and schedule information	Pre Post	0 0	0 0	5 0	0 0	6 3	5 3
Other	Pre	48	15	14	25	12	13
	Post	4	19	9	9	17	16
No changes	Pre	26	69	56	38	57	56
needed	Post	60	63	77	67	59	60
I would not use the bus in any case	Pre Post	0 	• 0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>5</u>	5 	<u>5</u> <u>4</u>
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 723)	100% (N = 799)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 47)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 718)	100% (N = 827)

#### Sex

In general, female bus riders and nonriders outnumbered male bus riders and nonriders in both surveys. The table, as shown in Appendix M, illustrates the percentage of male and female respondents across ridership and nonrider groups.

The table below shows the percentage of male and female bus riders and their first choice for purpose of using the bus service:

		Bus Ride	rs	
(First Choice) Purpose	<u>Pre (%)</u>	<u>Male</u> <u>Post (%)</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>emale</u> <u>Post (%)</u>
Shopping	37	36	47	51
Work	16	14	4	10
Schoo1	16	4	13	9
Visits or recreation	16	4	9	7
Personal business	10	21	15	14
When I don't have a car/ when car is in garage	5	14	2	2
Dining	0	0	2	0
Medical	0	4	6	5
Other	0	3	<u></u>	2
Totals	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 28)	100% (N = 53)	100% (N = 82)

Males, pre to post, reported an increase in "personal business," "when I don't have a car/when car is in garage," "medical," and "other" purposes. Females, pre to post, reported an increase in "shopping" and "work" uses.

"Shopping" was the most frequently mentioned purpose for using the bus service by males and females in both surveys. Pre-survey males followed with "work," "school," and "visits or recreation" (each cited with a 16 percent frequency). Post-survey males, and pre- and post-survey females followed with "personal business."

-37 -

By purpose, the following distribution of age groups was found for all respondents in the surveys:

10.489 (N

			Ag	e Groups			
(First Choice) Purpose		16-20 <u>Years</u> <u>%</u>	21-39 <u>Years</u> <u>%</u>	40-60 <u>Years</u> <u>%</u>	0lder Than <u>60 Years</u> <u>%</u>	No <u>Response</u> <u>%</u>	
Work	Pre	0	14	10	4	0	
	Post	5	18	11	6	0	
Personal	Pre	0	23	10	16	0	
business	Post	14	5	22	24	0	
Shopping	Pre	43	32	50	52	100	
	Post	48	42	45	55	0	
School	Pre	36	18	10	0	0	
	Post	24	8	0	0	0	
Visits or recreation	Pre	14	9	10	12	0	
	Post	9	5	11	3	0	
Dining	Pre	0	0	0	4	0	
	Post	0	0	0	0	0	
Medical	Pre	0	0	10	8	0	
	Post	0	8	0	6	0	
When I don't have a car	Pre	7	0	0	4	0	
when car is in garage	Post	0	11	11	0	0	
Other	Pre	0	4	0	0	0	
	Post	0	3	0	<u>6</u>	0	
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 14)	100% (N = 22)	100% (N = 10)	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 1)	
	Post	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 38)	100% (N = 18)	100% (N = 33)	.0% (N = 0)	

<u>Sixteen to 20</u> year-old riders used the bus primarily for <u>shopping</u> and <u>school</u> purposes. <u>Shopping</u> also was mentioned more frequently by riders, <u>21-39</u> years old, <u>40-60</u> years old, and the <u>older than 60</u> years age group.

Age

-38-

As the age groups increased in years, the percentage of males comprising each age group tended to decrease. For example, 37 percent of the pre-survey males were in the 16-20 year-old group compared with 20 percent, older than 60 years. The reverse was true for females, i.e., as the age groups increased in years, so did the percentage of females comprising each age group. Sixty-three percent (63%) of the pre-survey females were in the 16-20 year-old group compared with 80 percent, older than 60 years (see Appendix N).

Appendix O lists the various age groups with the percentage of bus riders and nonriders comprising each age group. The <u>21-39</u> year-old group and the <u>older</u> <u>than 60</u> years group contained the highest percentage of bus riders. Most nonriders were between 21-39 years old and 40-60 years old.

# Occupation

-

By sex, the distribution of occupations is shown in Appendix P. In rank order, 19 percent of the pre-survey males were <u>skilled/semi-skilled</u>, 17 percent <u>retired</u>, and 14 percent <u>professional</u>. Twenty-eight percent (28%) of the post-survey males were <u>retired</u> and 12 percent, each, for <u>skilled/semi-skilled</u> and <u>unskilled labor</u>. Pre- and post-survey females reported an identical ranking of <u>homemaker</u> (40 percent/38 percent), <u>retired</u> (19 percent/24 percent), and professional (10 percent/7 percent).

By age groups, the distribution of occupations is shown in Appendix Q. As expected, the majority of respondents between the ages of 16-20 were <u>students</u>. Approximately a third of the pre- and post-survey respondents between the ages of 21-39 were <u>homemakers</u>. First ranking for 40-60 year-old respondents also was <u>homemaker</u>. <u>Retired</u> was cited more frequently by the older than 60 years group.

Based upon ridership groups, the distribution of occupations is shown in the following table. <u>Student</u>, <u>homemaker</u>, and <u>retired</u> were the three most frequently mentioned occupations by bus riders. Nonriders primarily reported homemaker, retired, and the professional categories.

			Bus Rid	ler Usage			
(First Choice) Occupation		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>×</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> * <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
General office/	Pre	5	0	3	0	6	5
clerical	Post	4	0	2	0	4	4
Management	Pre	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Post	0	0	2	5	2	1
Government	Pre	5	0	0	12	1	1
	Post	0	0	2	0	1	1
University	Pre	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Post	0	0	0	0	0	0
Proprietor	Pre	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Post	0	0	2	0	2	1
Professional	Pre	5	· 7	6	0	13	11
	Post	8	0	7	4	7	7
Sales	Pre	0	0	10	0	4	3
	Post	0	6	2	0	5	4
Skilled/semi-	Pre	5	8	0	25	7	6
skilled	Post	0	0	2	5	5	5
Technica]	Pre	5	0	0	0	2	2
	Post	8	7	4	0	2	2
Service worker	Pre	11	0	3	0	5	5
	Post	8	0	2	0	3	4
Unskilled	Pre	0	0	3	0	4	4
labor	Post	0	7	2	5	5	4
High school or college student	Pre Post	16 13	8 13	19 15	- 12 19	5 6	6 7
Homemaker	Pre	16	23	22	13	27	29
	Post	8	7	17	24	30	28
Retired	Pre	21	46	28	38	16	19
	Post	34	27	28	24	21	25
Not employed	Pre	11	8	6	0	<u>6</u>	6
	Post	<u>17</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>7</u>	
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 32)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 657)	100% (N = 729)
	Post	100% (N = 24)	100% (N = 15)	100% (N = 47)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 705)	100% (N = 812)

<u> Series</u>

\*There is a significant difference at the .05 level between the two surveys due to a change in the distribution of nonrider responses. Significant changes include a decrease, pre to post, in the percentage of general office/clerical, professional, and skilled/semi-skilled occupations, and an increase in the percentage of retired. -40-

# ADVERTISING AWARENESS

# Radio Station Listening

Respondents were asked if they had heard any STS radio announcements. The majority of bus riders and nonriders indicated they had not heard any STS radio announcements. Those who did hear announcements were noted more in the post-survey than in the pre-survey. (Heavy and other users were the only exceptions.)

The following table shows the results to the question:

나라 옷에 물질을 가지 않는 것이 많이 많이 많이 많이 많이 했다.		landaru n <u>a sana sana sana sana sana</u>		승규는 방법에 가지 않는 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이다.			
Heard <u>Announcements</u> ?		<u>Heavy</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Yes or think so	Pre Post	32 32	15 25	22 38	50 43	23 31*	23 32
No	Pre Post	63 64	85 75	78 62	50 57	74 65	74 64
Don't know	Pre Post	5 4		0 0	0 	3 4	3 <u>4</u>
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 723)	100% (N = 799)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 47)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 720)	100% (N = 829)

Bus Rider Usage

\*There is a significant difference at the .05 level between the pre and post "yes or think so" response for <u>nonriders</u>. There was an increase, pre to post, in the percentage of nonriders who heard STS radio announcements.

-41-

Listed below are Saginaw radio stations with the percentages of respondents who heard announcements on specific radio stations.

The most frequently mentioned stations by riders and nonriders were WSAM, WSGW, and WWWS. Since the initial survey, STS advertised regularly on WSGW, WWWS, and WSAM, and occasionally on WKCQ, WHNN and, W106. The survey results mirror STS's radio advertising buys.

Bus Rider Usage

				<u></u>			
<u>Radio Statio</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Heavy</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Light</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Other </u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
W106	Pre	0	0	0	0	4	3
	Post	12	50	0	11	7	8
WGER	Pre	0	0	0	0	1	2
	Post	0	0	0	0	2	2
WHNN	Pre	0	0	12	0	5	5
	Post	0	0	0	0	4	3
WKCQ	Pre	0	0	0	0	3	2
	Post	0	0	0	0	1	1
WKNX	Pre	0	50	12	0	3	<b>4</b>
	Post	0	0	0	0	2	1
WRDD	Pre	0	0	0	25	0	1
	Post	0	0	0	0	0	0
WSAM	Pre	0	0	0	0	13	11
	Post	12	0	12	11	13	13
WSGW	Pre	0	0	0	0	12	11
	Post	25	0	19	0	21	20
WWWS	Pre	33	0	38	25	5	8
	Post	25	25	38	45	8	12
Other	Pre	17	0	0	25	6	6
	Post	13	0	6	11	6	6
Don't know	Pre	50	50	38	25	48	47
	Post	<u>13</u>	_ <u>25</u>	25	22	<u>36</u>	<u>34</u>
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 6)	100% (N = 2)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 4)	100% (N = 155)	100% (N = 175)
	Post	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 4)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 9)	100% (N = 202)	100% (N = 239)

-42-

Respondents were asked if they regularly listen to the radio. The majority of bus riders and nonriders replied "yes," as indicated in the table below:

2

			Bus Rid				
<u>Regularly Listen</u> ?		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Yes	Pre Post	74 72	62 69	72 81	75 67	76 62*	75 63
No	Pre Post	26 28	38 31	28 19	25 33	22 37*	23 36
Other	Pre Post	0	0 	0 	0 	2 1	2 1
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 724)	100% (N = 800)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 47)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 720)	100% (N = 829)

\*There is a significant difference among <u>nonriders</u> between the two surveys due to a change in the "yes" response (.05 level) and "no" response (.001 level). The results indicate that fewer post-survey nonriders are regular radio listeners, compared to pre-survey findings.

-43-

# **Television Station Viewing**

As with radio, respondents were asked if they had seen any STS television Most bus riders and nonriders had not seen any STS television announcements. announcements; however, those who had were noted more in the post-survey than the pre-survey.

Sec.

			Bus Ric					
Seen Announcements?		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light %	<u>0ther</u> <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>	
Yes or think so	Pre Post	5 24	8 19	6 13	0 24	9 17*	9 17	
No	Pre Post	90 68	92 81	94 79	100 76	88 78	88 78	
Don't know	Pre Post	5 8	0 <u>0</u>	0 8	0 	3 	3 5	
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 723)	100% (N = 799)	
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 47)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 720)	100% (N = 829)	

The following table lists the responses to this question:

\*There is a significant difference at the .001 level between the pre and post "yes or think so" response for <u>nonriders</u>. More post-survey nonriders reported they had seen STS TV announcements. This could be due to STS's greater visibility on the TV newscasts. (STS has never purchased TV advertisements.)

-44-

Listed below are Saginaw TV stations with the percentage of respondents who saw announcements on specific TV stations.

1111

<u>TV Stations</u>		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	0ther <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
WEYI	Pre	100	0	0	0	12	15
Ch. 25	Post	17	0	0	0	7	6
WNEM	Pre	0	0	0	0	15	15
Ch. 5	Post	0	0	25	0	10	10
WJRT	Pre	0	100	50	0	28	28
Ch. 12	Post	0	0	0	0	2*	2
WUCM	Pre	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ch. 19	Post	67	33	50	50	44*	45
Other	Pre	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Post	0	0	0	0	0	0
Don't know	Pre	0	0	50	0	44	41
	Post	_ <u>16</u>	<u>67</u>	25	_ <u>50</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>37</u>
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 1)	100% (N = 1)	100% (N = 2)	0% (N = 0)	100% (N = 68)	100% (N = 72)
	Post	100% (N = 6)	100% (N = 3)	100% (N = 4)	100% (N = 4)	100% (N = 105)	100% (N = 122)

Bus Rider Usage

\*There is a significant difference at the .001 level between the pre and post "WJRT" and "WUCM" response for <u>nonriders</u>. Since the initial survey, STS appeared twice on the "Day by Day" show on WUCM. It appears as though this TV exposure resulted in significant publicity for the system. Respondents were asked if they regularly watch television. As with radio, the majority of bus riders and nonriders indicated they regularly watch TV. The responses to this question are tabulated as follows:

<u>Regularly</u> <u>Watch</u> ?		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Yes	Pre Post	79 92	85 81	89 85	88 71	76 72	76 73
No	Pre Post	21 8	15 13	11 15	12 29	22 27	22 25
TV's broken or don't have TV	Pre Post	0 0	0 6	0 0	0 0	C O	0 1
Other	Pre Post	0 0	0 	0 		2 1	2 1
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 723)	100% (N = 799)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 47)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 720)	100% (N = 829)

-46-

#### Newspaper Readership

87-7-3 2

Respondents were asked if they had seen any STS newspaper ads. Most pre-survey bus riders and nonriders said "no." Post-survey responses for bus riders were primarily "yes or think so;" nonriders were nearly equal between "yes" and "no" responses.

		Bus Rider Usage						
Seen Ads?		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Light</u> * <u>%</u>	<u>Other </u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>	
Yes or think so	Pre Post	32 60	31 56	33 62	38 48	25 46*	26 48	
No	Pre Post	63 40	61 44	61 36	37 48	70 50*	69 48	
Don't know	Pre Post	5 0	8 	<u>6</u> 2	25 	5 <u>4</u>	5 	
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 723)	100% (N = 799)	
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 47)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 719)	100% (N = 828)	

\*There is a significant difference at the .05 level between the two surveys due to a change in the distribution of responses for <u>light</u> users. Among <u>nonriders</u> there is a significant difference at the .001 level between the pre and post "yes or think so" and "no" response. STS advertised heavily in the newspaper since the initial survey, and the significant increase in recall for light users and nonriders mirror the newspaper buys.

-47-

Listed below are Saginaw area newspapers with the percentages of respondents who saw ads in specific newspapers. An overwhelming majority of bus riders and nonriders saw STS newspaper ads more often in the <u>Saginaw News</u> than in any other newspaper.

			Bus Rider Usage					
<u>Newspapers</u>		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>*</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>	
Saginaw News	Pre Post	100 100	75 100	100 100	100 100	97 100	96 100	
Other	Pre Post	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 0	2 0	
Don't know	Pre Post	0 	25 	0 	0 	2 	<u>2</u> <u>0</u>	
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 6)	100% (N = 4)	100% (N = 12)	100% (N = 3)	100% (N = 175)	100% (N = 200)	
	Post	100% (N = 15)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 30)	100% (N = 9)	100% (N = 312)	100% (N = 374)	

-48-

Respondents were asked if they regularly read a local newspaper. The majority of bus riders and nonriders replied "yes" to this question. Heavy and light users and nonriders reported a pre to post increase in newspaper readership, as indicated in the table below:

(Linual)

Martin Street

C C

			Bus Ric	er Usage				
<u>Regularly Read</u> ?		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u>	Light %	<u>Other</u> <u>%</u>	Non- riders %	Total <u>Respondents</u> %	
Yes	Pre Post	48 76	69 56	55 77	88 62	66 69	65 69	
No	Pre Post	26 16	8 25	28 17	0 14	21 22	21 22	
Sometimes	Pre Post	26 8	23 19	14 6	12 24	12 9	13 9	
Other	Pre Post	0	0 	3 	0 0	1 	1 	
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 724)	100% (N = 800)	
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 719)	100% (N = 829)	

-49-

#### Other Media Exposure

Respondents were asked if there were any other places they had seen, heard or read advertisements or otherwise obtained information about STS. Most of the bus riders and nonriders indicated they had not obtained information about STS from any other source than those previously listed.

		Bus Rider Usage						
Other Places?		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Heavy Moderate</u>		<u>Other %</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> * <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>	
Yes or think so	Pre Post	42 24	31 19	14 27	38 43	22 28	22 28	
No	Pre Post	58 76	61 81	83 69	50 43	74 64	74 64	
Don't know	Pre Post	0 0	8 0	3 	12 14	4 8	4	
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 722)	100% (N = 798)	
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 718)	100% (N = 828)	

The following table shows the responses to this question:

\*There is a significant difference at the .001 level between the two surveys due to a change in the distribution on <u>nonrider</u> responses. The results indicate a pre to post increase in the percentage of nonriders who obtained information about STS from sources other than radio, TV, and newspaper announcements.

19

Of those who had obtained information from another place, "billboards," "displays," "other" media, and "ads for stores/institutions which mention that they can be reached by bus" were the most common places cited.

"Displays" and "other" places were the two mediums showing an overall pre to post increase in recognition.

			Bus Ri	der Usage				
<u>Places?</u>		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>	
Billboards	Pre	25	50	20	0	27	27	
	Post	0	0	28	50	11*	13	
Bulletin	Pre	12	0	0	33	5	6	
boards	Post	0	0	0	0	5	4	
Displays	Pre	12	0	0	0	4	4	
	Post	17	67	27	12	15*	16	
News	Pre	0	0	20	67	18	17	
articles	Post	0	0	9	13	18	17	
0ther	Pre	38	25	0	0	37	35	
	Post	33	33	27	25	43	41	
Ad for stores/ institutions which mention that they can								
be reached by	Pre	13	25	60	0	9	11	
bus	Post			<u>9</u>		<u>8</u>	9	
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 4)	100% (N = 5)	100% (N = 3)	100% (N = 158)	100% (N = 178)	
	Post	100% (N = 6)	100% (N = 3)	100% (N = 11)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 190)	100% (N = 218)	

The specific breakdown is as follows:

لاستخد

Contra Co

\*There is a significant difference at the .05 level between the pre and post "billboards" and "displays" responses for <u>nonriders</u>. STS Transit Information Racks and Energy Expo displays could account for the 11 percent increase in "displays" responses.

## CONCLUSIONS

常常な

The main purpose of the follow-up survey was to evaluate the effectiveness of STS marketing efforts during the time from the initial survey to the follow-up survey. The section on "Advertising Awareness" clearly shows that <u>newspapers</u> were remembered by more respondents, followed by radio, "other" media, and television. Inspection of the Total Respondents column in the table below, shows that pre-survey recall of newspaper ads was 26 percent, increasing to 48 percent in the post-survey. Radio followed with 23 percent recall in the pre-survey, increasing to 32 percent in the post-survey. "Other" media increased in recognition from 22 percent in the pre-survey to 28 percent in the post-survey. And television increased overall in pre to post recall from 9 percent to 17 percent.

The medium which received the most increase in recognition, pre to post, varied depending on the ridership group reporting. Follow-up results for <u>newspapers</u> show a higher percentage of recall over initial survey results for <u>heavy</u>, <u>moderate</u>, and <u>light</u> users, and <u>nonriders</u>. For heavy users there was a 28 percent increase; moderate users, 25 percent; light users, 29 percent; and nonriders, 21 percent. Follow-up results for <u>television</u> show a higher percentage of recall over initial survey results for <u>television</u> show a higher percentage of recall over initial survey results for <u>other</u> users with a 24 percent increase.

The table below highlights these findings and summarizes parts from four tables in the section on "Advertising Awareness:"

			Bus Ride	er Usage			
MEDIUM Respondents Who Heard, Saw, or Read Ads		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
RADIO "Yes or think so"	Pre Post	32 32	15 25	22 38	50 43	23 31	23 32
TELEVISION "Yes or think so"	Pre Post	5 24	8 19	6 13	0 24	9 17	
NEWSPAPER "Yes or think so"	Pre Post	32 60	31 56	33 62	38 48	25 46	26 48
"OTHER" "Yes or think so"	Pre Post	42 24	31 19	14 27	38 43	22 28	22 28

-52-

<u>Newspapers</u> may have received more recognition, pre to post, by heavy, moderate, and light users, and nonriders, because it was used extensively as part of STS's marketing efforts during the time from the initial survey to the follow-up survey. Residents in the STS service area were exposed to newspaper advertising (along with other media) for the following projects:

Total System Revision - to introduce STS route and schedule improvements.

Passport Bus Pass - to inform riders of the convenience of the monthly bus pass and stimulate sales.

1981 Energy Expo - to increase recognition of STS.

Shop By Bus - to inform the public of STS service to shopping centers

New Bus Introduction - to inform residents of new bus features.

Saginaw students and parents were informed of the convenience of the Student Bus Pass via newspapers. Saginaw Township residents were informed of the new bus, and downtown monthly parkers and Saginaw area commuters were informed of the cost savings by commuting to work via STS buses.

Newspapers were an effective medium for the bus riders and nonriders previously mentioned, because the survey results indicated that, overall, newspaper readership increased, while radio and television use declined. Those respondents who regularly read the newspaper increased, pre to post, from 65 percent to 69 percent. Radio and television use declined from 75 percent to 63 percent, and 76 percent to 73 percent, respectively. The table below highlights these findings and summarizes parts from three tables in the section on "Advertising Awareness:"

-53-

			Bus Rider Usage					
MEDIUM Respondents Who Regularly Listened, Watched or Read Medium		<u>Heavy Moderate</u>		Light <u>%</u>	<u>0ther</u> <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>	
RADIO	Pre	74	62	72	75	76	75	
"Yes"	Post	72	69	81	67	62	63	
TELEVISION	Pre	79	85	89 .	88	76	76	
"Yes"	Post	92	81	85	71	72	73	
NEWSPAPER	Pre	48	69	55	88	66	65	
"Yes"	Post	76	56	77	62	69	69	

図の説

認該

 $\sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n\\ i=1,\dots,n\\ i=1,\dots$ 

STS implemented an aggressive marketing program during the interim from pre-survey to post-survey interviewing. In addition to radio, television, and newspapers, they also made use of a variety of other mediums. These include the following:

Coupons

Timetables

Exterior and interior bus signs

Posters

Ceremonies

Transfer Point Signs

1980 and 1981 City Calendars

School Tripper Service Timetables

Monthly pass

Counter cards

Sales forms

Santa Promotion (Santa suit and candy canes) Saginaw area Telephone Directory - yellow pages ad Fliers Form letters Surveys Window Decals Ride 'n Shop Ticket Slide-tape presentation Commuter Computer Contest Key Tags Bus Displays

Reception

N

Premiere Ticket

STS's goal of increased ridership was met when more than 1.1 million riders were served in 1981. This represented an increase of 48 percent over 1980 figures. This was attributable not only to the marketing efforts, but also, in part, to the introduction of STS's fleet of advanced-design Grumman Flxible buses in the fall of 1981. The increase in ridership also was achieved despite reports of an impending fare increase by the press at the time of the post-survey.

# IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

行构成

The first objective of this research was to develop and implement a methodology that could be used by other state transportation departments to survey public attitude and awareness levels regarding transit systems in their states. In light of this, it appears appropriate to identify the following limitations of this marketing research survey in an effort to assist these departments, should they attempt to replicate this study.

- 1. It is suggested that the follow-up survey be conducted during the same time of year as the initial survey. This would prevent any seasonal fluctuation from affecting the results, such as a heavier expenditure of advertising dollars in one part of the year over another. The original intention of this study was that the follow-up survey be conducted one year after the initial survey; however, a lapse of approximately 21 months occurred. This was due to departmental personnel cuts in the Surveys Section and the longer than expected lead time to install additional temporary telephone lines.
- 2. The initial and follow-up telephoning should be conducted on the same days, and during the same time of day, i.e., consistent interviewing days and hours from pre-survey to post-survey. Interviews for the initial survey were conducted during the hours of 12 noon - 8 p.m., Monday through Thursday. Post-survey interviewing was conducted during the hours of 9 a.m. to 6 p.m., Monday through Thursday and 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. on Friday. Again, this was due to scheduling problems in the Surveys Section.
- 3. Use of a closed-end questionnaire, one in which the possible answers are prescribed for the respondents, limits valuable information that could be gained if an open-end questionnaire had been used. An open-end questionnaire is one to which the respondent is free to answer in his own words. (Question 2., which asks for the specific name of the transit system in each city, was the only open-end question; all other questions were closed-end.) The sheer size of the sample and scope of the study precluded the use of an open-end questionnaire.

The marketing efforts from the initial survey to the follow-up survey were not consistent among the five transit systems. This also was due to personnel cuts, budget cutbacks, and the independent marketing efforts of each transit system. If the marketing efforts had been consistent, a comparison could be made among the transit systems in an attempt to obtain insights about transit marketing effectiveness. Nevertheless, each transit system was provided with the reports of the other four systems. In this way, an exchange of information took place, which led to a sharing of strengths and weaknesses among the systems. Improvement in awareness, image, and ridership are goals shared by all transit systems.

IJ

1000

4.



APPENDIX A

2nd 3rd 4th	PUBLIC	TRANSIT	"ATTITUDE	AND AW	ARENESS"	SURVEY
RESPONDENT:						
ADDRESS:					REFUS	AL:
PHONE NUMBE	R:				COMPLI	ETION:

#### INTERVIEWER INITIALS:

\*\* INSTRUCTIONS TO INTERVIEWERS \*\* ALL INSTRUCTIONS TO INTERVIEWERS ARE CAPITALIZED. <u>DO NOT</u> READ THESE THINGS TO THE RESPONDENT. EVERY-THING PRINTED IN this typeface IS TO BE READ TO THE RESPONDENT. BELOW THE RESPONDENT IS INDICATED BY "R."

**RESCHEDULE:** 

1.

2.

3.

EACH TIME YOU TRY A PHONE NUMBER, NOTE IN THE BOXES (UPPER LEFT) THE DAY AND THE HOUR OF THE DAY. IF NO ONE ANSWERS, GO ON TO THE NEXT PERSON TO BE CALLED. IF THE PHONE IS ANSWERED, BUT NO "R" WHO IS OLD ENOUGH (I.E., OLDER THAN 16) IS THERE, ATTEMPT TO FIND OUT THE BEST TIME TO CALL AGAIN AND NOTE THAT TIME AND DAY DOWN IN THE RESCHEDULE BOX (MID-RIGHT).

IF AN APPROPRIATE "R" DOES ANSWER, INTRODUCE YOURSELF AS A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN - AND SAY . . .

Hello, my name is \_\_\_\_\_\_, with the Department of Transportation. The Department of Transportation is conducting a survey to help in planning bus service in the \_\_\_\_\_\_ area. Your assistance will be greatly appreciated. The questions will take a few minutes of your time. Is this a convenient time for me to speak with you? IF "YES," CONTINUE. IF "NO," ASK FOR RESCHEDULE TIME AND NOTE ABOVE. My first question is: (DETERMINE WITHOUT ASKING) "R" is \_\_\_\_\_MALE, \_\_\_\_ FEMALE):

Is there a city bus system in the \_\_\_\_\_area?

-59-

	- 1 A A	and the second second		and the set
Δ	a states	VES OR	THINK SO	
: <u>17</u>			THTMK 20	

B\_\_\_\_ NO (IF NO, GO TO QUESTION 32)

C\_\_\_\_ DON'T KNOW (GO TO QUESTION 32)

2. What is the name of it?

3. Have you personally used the bus service in \_\_\_\_\_ during the past year?

國際

A YES (IF YES, GO TO 5)

B\_\_\_\_NO (IF NO, GO TO 4 THEN 7)

C\_\_\_\_ DON'T KNOW (GO TO 4 THEN 7)

4. Is there any particular reason why you don't ride the bus?

NO A DON'T NEED TO, HAVE A CAR B DOESN'T STOP NEAR ME, (OR) I LIVE IN THE COUNTRY C. D DOESN'T GO WHERE I WANT TO GO DOESN'T GO WHEN I WANT TO GO E TAKES TOO LONG F COSTS TOO MUCH G H <u>\_</u> IT'S INCONVENIENT IT'S UNRELIABLE Ι J IT'S UNCOMFORTABLE κ IT'S NOT SAFE I DON'T LIKE BUSES L М I DON'T LIKE THE PEOPLE WHO RIDE BUSES JUST NEVER THOUGHT ABOUT IT OR GOT AROUND TO IT . N

0\_\_\_\_\_OTHER

5. How often do you use the bus service? (MENTION THE 5 OPTIONS)

A	ONCE A YEAR
B	ONCE A MONTH
C	ONCE A WEEK
D	ALMOST EVERY DAY

-60-

					The second se	A. A
			the second se			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			and the second	a substantia a state a fill substant a	(a) a state of the state of	A. A. S. S. M.
	→▲ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					the set of
						2
		the second s				
	The second s	and the set of the set of the			A second as an easily of the second secon	(1) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (2) (2)
		1				1 1 1 1 1 1 1

6. For what purpose(s) do you use the bus service?

							1.100				
п.			S				1.37.11	1V ·			
А.			1.1.1		1.1			(R. 1		- N	
ιι				S. A. 1			1101	<b>NIN</b>		 	
- <u>1</u>			_			5.0	コミジント	1.2	1.1	2 a c	
	_	_									

- B\_\_\_\_\_ PERSONAL BUSINESS
- C\_\_\_\_\_SHOPPING
- D\_\_\_\_\_SCHOOL

and the second

10000

- E\_\_\_\_\_VISITS OR RECREATION
- F\_\_\_\_DINING
- G\_\_\_\_\_ MEDICAL
- H\_\_\_\_ WHEN I DON'T HAVE A CAR/WHEN CAR IS IN GARAGE
- I\_\_\_\_\_OTHER (SPECIFY )
- 7. Have any other members of your household used the bus service during the past year?
  - A\_\_\_\_YES B\_\_\_\_NO (IF NO, GO TO 10) C\_\_\_\_DON'T KNOW (GO TO 10)

IF THEY MENTION WHO, CHECK:

- 7a. A\_\_\_\_HUSBAND/WIFE
  - B\_\_\_\_\_SON/DAUGHTER/CHILDREN
  - C\_\_\_\_\_MOTHER/FATHER
  - D\_\_\_\_\_ROOMMATE
  - E\_\_\_\_OTHER (SPECIFY \_\_\_\_\_)

8. How often do other members use the bus service? (MENTION THE 5 OPTIONS)

Α	ONCE A	YEAR						
B	ONCE A	MONTH						i. A
C	ONCE A	WEEK						
D	ALMOST	EVERY DAY						
Ε	DAILY							
F	OTHER							
				-6	1-			) 

9. For what purpose(s) do the other members use the bus service?

- A WORK
- B PERSONAL BUSINESS
- C\_\_\_\_\_SHOPPING
- D\_\_\_\_\_SCHOOL
- E\_\_\_\_\_VISITS OR RECREATION
- F\_\_\_\_DINING
- G\_\_\_\_\_ MEDICAL
- H\_\_\_\_WHEN I DON'T HAVE A CAR/WHEN CAR IS IN GARAGE
- I OTHER (SPECIFY \_\_\_\_\_)
- 10. How much does it cost for a ride on the bus?
  - A MORE THAN ¢ B ¢ C LESS THAN ¢ D SENIOR CITIZEN RATE E PASS/PUNCH CARD F DON'T KNOW (GO TO 12) G OTHER (GO TO 12)
- 11. Do you think this fare is:
  - A\_\_\_\_ TOO MUCH
  - B\_\_\_\_NOT ENOUGH
  - C\_\_\_\_ JUST RIGHT
  - D\_\_\_\_ DON'T KNOW
  - E\_\_\_\_OTHER
- 12. How far do you live from the nearest bus route?

-62-

- A\_\_\_\_ONE OR TWO BLOCKS
- B\_\_\_\_\_THREE OR FOUR BLOCKS
- C\_\_\_\_QUARTER MILE TO HALF MILE
- D\_\_\_\_HALF MILE TO ONE MILE
- E\_\_\_\_ ONE MILE OR MORE
- F\_\_\_\_DON'T KNOW (GO TO 14)

13. Would you use the bus more if the bus routes were closer?

A YES B NO C DON'T KNOW D MAYBE E PROBABLY NOT F OTHER

Ĺ.,

ALC: NO

14. Do you know how often the bus comes by?

- A YES
- B\_\_\_\_ NO

C\_\_\_\_DON'T KNOW (GO TO 16)

D\_\_\_\_DOESN'T SEEM TO FOLLOW SCHEDULE/IT VARIES

E OTHER (GO TO 16)

15. Would you use the bus more if it came by more frequently?

- A YES
- B\_\_\_\_NO

C\_\_\_\_ DON'T KNOW

D\_\_\_\_\_MAYBE

E\_\_\_\_ PROBABLY NOT

F\_\_\_\_OTHER

16. Does the bus system serve the areas to which you most frequently travel?

- A YES
- B NO
- C\_\_\_\_ DON'T KNOW

17. Do you know how to obtain bus information?

A YES B NO C DON'T KNOW

-63-

- 18. With the rising gas prices of the last few weeks, have you considered:
  - A \_\_\_\_\_ RIDING THE BUS?
  - B\_\_\_\_\_ GETTING IN A CARPOOL?
  - C\_\_\_\_ DRIVING LESS?
  - D\_\_\_\_DO GAS PRICES AFFECT YOU?

# Response:

- A DON'T KNOW
- B\_\_\_\_\_HAVEN'T THOUGHT ABOUT IT
- C\_\_\_\_ OTHER
- D\_\_\_\_YES
- E\_\_\_\_\_NO
- 19. Do you think of the bus service as a viable, valuable energy conservation measure?
  - A\_\_\_\_ YES B\_\_\_\_ NO C\_\_\_ DON'T KNOW

修改

20. What improvements would you like to see in the city bus system that would cause you to use the bus more often?

-64-

- A \_\_\_\_ LOWER FARES
- B\_\_\_\_MORE CONVENIENT ROUTES
- C\_\_\_\_ CLOSER STOPS
- D\_\_\_\_MORE FREQUENT SERVICE
- E\_\_\_\_\_MORE BUS SHELTERS
- F\_\_\_\_ FASTER SERVICE
- G\_\_\_\_\_MORE COURTEOUS DRIVERS
- H\_\_\_\_EXPANDED SERVICE HOURS
- I\_\_\_\_\_ AVAILABLE CHANGE
- J\_\_\_\_BETTER TRANSFER SYSTEM
- K \_\_\_\_\_BETTER ROUTE AND SCHEDULE INFORMATION
- L\_\_\_\_OTHER
- M\_\_\_\_\_NO CHANGES NEEDED

- N I WOULD NOT USE THE BUS IN ANY CASE
- 21. During the past year the transit authority has advertised its service in local newspapers and on radio stations:

Have you heard any radio announcements?

- A\_\_\_\_\_YES (GO TO QUESTION 22) OR THINK SO
- B\_\_\_\_ NO (GO TO QUESTION 23)
- C\_\_\_\_ DON'T KNOW (GO TO QUESTION 23)
- D\_\_\_\_OTHER

100 million

("R" MAY ALSO ANSWER Q.23 HERE. IF SO, COMPLETE 23 AND GO TO Q.24.)

22. On which station(s) did you hear the announcements? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

-65-

NSING		<u>GR</u>		<u>KZ00</u>		
WCER	Α	WCUZ	Α	WAOP	Α	
WFMK	В	WFFX	В	WBUK	В	
WILS	C	WFUR	C	WIDR	C	
WITL	D	WCSG	D	WKMI	D	
WJIM	E	WEHB	E	WKPR	E	
WKAR	F	WGRD	F	WKZO	F	
WUNN	G	WJBL	G	WMUK	G	
- WVIC	Н	WJFM	H	WQLR	Н	
OTHER	I	WJPW	I	WYYY	I	
DON'T	J	WKWM	J	OTHER	J	
- KNOW	K	WLAV	K	DON'T	K	
	L	WMAX		KNOW		
	M	WOOD				
	N	WVGR				
	0	WYGR				
	Р	WZZM				

WAAM	Α	W106
WCBN	В	WGER
WEMU	С	WHNN
WIQB	D	WKCO
WNRS	E	WKNX
WPAG	F	WMPX
WRCN	G	WRCI
WSDS	H	WRDD
WYFC	I	WSAM
OTHER	J	WSGW
DON'T	K	WWWS
KNOW	L	WXOX
	M	OTHER
	N	DON'T
		KNOW

6

SAGINAW

AA

Do you regularly listen to the radio? 23.

> YES A NO B

LA

B

C

D

.1

RADIO IS BROKEN OR DON'T HAVE RADIO C

OTHER DON'T KNOW

D OTHER

24. Have you seen any TV announcements?

> YES (GO TO QUESTION 25) OR THINK SO A

NO (GO TO QUESTION 26) B

DON'T KNOW (GO TO QUESTION 26) С

("R" MAY ALSO ANSWER Q.26 HERE. IF SO, COMPLETE 26 AND GO TO Q.27.)

On which station(s) did you see the announcements? (CHECK ALL THAT 25. APPLY)
<u>LANSING</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>KZ00</u>	<u>AA</u>	<u>SAGINAW</u>		
AWILX (Ch.10) BWJIM (Ch.6) CWJRT (Ch.12) DWKAR (Ch. 23) EWUHQ (Ch. 41) FOTHER GDON'T KNOW	A WOTV (Ch.8) B WKZO (Ch. 3) C WUHQ (Ch.41) D WZZM (Ch. 13) E OTHER F DON'T KNOW	AWKZO (Ch.3) BWUHQ (Ch.41) CWOTV (Ch.8) DWZZM (Ch.13) EOTHER FDON'T KNOW	A WTVS (Ch. B WJIM (Ch. C WILX (Ch. D WJBK (Ch. E WDIV (Ch. F WXYZ (Ch. G OTHER H DON'T KNOW	56) A WEYI (Ch.25) 6) B WJRT (Ch.12) 10) C WUCM (Ch.19) 2) D WNEM (Ch.5) 4) E OTHER 7) F DON'T KNOW		
26. Do you	regularly watch TV?					
<u>A</u>	YES					
В	NO					
<u>C</u> D	TV IS BROKEN OR DON' OTHER	T HAVE TV				
27. Have yo	u seen any	newspaper ads?				
<b>A</b>	YES (GO TO QUESTION	28) OR THINK SO				
B	NO (GO TO QUESTION 2	9)				
C	DON'T KNOW (GO TO QU	IESTION 29)				
D	OTHER					

Contraction of the local division of the loc

Summer and

And and a second se

("R" MAY ALSO ANSWER Q.29 HERE. IF SO, COMPLETE 29 AND GO TO Q.30.)

-67-

28. In which of the papers did you see the ads? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

#### LANSING

A	STATE JOURNAL
B	MSU STATE NEWS
C	E.L. TOWNE COURIER
D	LANSING STAR
E	WHEELER DEELER
F	OTHER
G	DON'T KNOW

#### GRAND RAPIDS PRESS GRAND RAPIDS TIMES GRAND VALLEY SHOPPERS' GUIDE NORTH KENT LEADER THE PHOTO REPORTER OTHER

AA

GR

「「ない」

DON'T KNOW

A\_\_\_\_\_ B\_\_\_\_\_

C

D

#### KZ00

Α	KZOO GAZETTE
В	PORTAGE HERALD-HEADLINER
C	THREE RIVERS COMMERCIAL
D	OTHER
E	DON'T KNOW
a estas atent	

에는 article Market	A.A. N	IEWS		
	E.M.U.	EASTI	ERN	ECHO
	MICHIC	AN DA	ILY	
	YPSILA	NTI P	RESS	
n series.	OTHER			
	DON'T	KNOW		

#### SAGINAW

Α	SAGINAW	NEWS
В	OTHER	
C	DON'T K	NOW

29. Do you regularly read a local newspaper?

- A
   YES

   B
   NO

   C\_\_\_\_\_\_SOMETIMES
- D\_\_\_\_OTHER
- 30. Are there any other places that you have seen, heard or read advertisements or information about the transit system?

-68-

- A YES (GO TO QUESTION 31) OR THINK SO
- B\_\_\_\_NO (GO TO QUESTION 32)
- C\_\_\_\_DON'T KNOW (GO TO QUESTION 32)
- D OTHER

31. Where?

- A BILLBOARDS
- B BULLETIN BOARDS
- C DISPLAYS
- D\_\_\_\_\_NEWS ARTICLES
- E OTHER

	F	AD FOR STORES/I	NSTITUTIONS	WHICH MENTI	ON THAT THEY CAN	BE REACHED
n an Araba Araba	BY BUS					
		· ·	· · · ·	А.		
32.	Does		have speci	al bus servi	ces for elderly pe	ople?
	Α	YES	•			
e de participante de Contra de la contra de la Contra de la contra d	B	NO				
	C	THINK SO			ages surgers the states	
	D	DON'T KNOW				an dari Marina
33.	Does _		have speci	al bus servi	ces for handicappe	d people?
	Α	YES			A SECTION AND A	
	B	NO				
	C	THINK SO				
	D	DON'T KNOW			ana ang ang ang	
		_				· .
34.	What i	s your usual mea	ns of trans	portation?		:
· .	A	CAR			an an an an Araba Saba Saba Saba Tarang Saba Saba Saba Saba Saba Saba Saba Saba	
n di periodo La constante de	B	BUS	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
					n in de Brandes (1999) Bendersen Der 1999 - De Brandes (1999)	
	ש ר			M4 <b>™</b>		
	С	FRIENDS UR RELA	LIVES TAKE	ME DD-4-43-9404		
	۱	SENIOR CITIZEN'	L S OD HANDIC	ADDED VAN		
a na ana in Bana an	ч н	USHALLY WALK	J UN HANDIG	AFFER YAN		
	T	HITCHHIKE				
	1	OTHER				
	ĸ	I GO A VARIETY	OF WAYS			
				e		
35.	How ma	ny automobiles d	oes your ho	usehold have		
	Nerseles. Ner					
tion and a second s Second second second Second second	A	1		na na sana anti Antipina antipina ang Antipina antipina ang		
	В	2				
	C	<b>3</b> ahi nuov noh di		sende i la la	hara ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana	
	D	4 or more				
	Е.,	0				

-69-

Survey of

36. Is a vehicle normally available for your use?

• A	YES	
B	NO	a se
C	SOMETI	MES
D	OTHER	

37. Which of these age groups are you in?
A OLDER THAN 60 YEARS
B BETWEEN 40 AND 60 YEARS
C BETWEEN 21 AND 39 YEARS
D BETWEEN 16 AND 20 YEARS
E NO RESPONSE

38. What is your occupation?

 A\_\_\_\_\_
 GENERAL OFFICE/CLERICAL content of the second statement of the second sta

D UNIVERSITY

E\_\_\_\_\_ PROPRIETOR

F\_\_\_\_ PROFESSIONAL

G SALES

H\_\_\_\_\_SKILLED/SEMI-SKILLED

I TECHNICAL

J\_\_\_\_\_SERVICE WORKER

K UNSKILLED LABOR

L\_\_\_\_\_HIGH SCHOOL OR COLLEGE STUDENT

M\_\_\_\_\_ HOMEMAKER

N\_\_\_\_ RETIRED

0\_\_\_\_NOT\_EMPLOYED

P\_\_\_\_ OTHER

Q\_\_\_\_\_ REFUSED

That was my last question . . . thank you so much for your time! Good-bye!

-70-

#### APPENDIX B

Contraction of the second

Contraction of the second

--

#### SAGINAW

#### TELEPHONE EXCHANGES SURVEYED

### Numbers Called

Exchange <u>Prefix</u>	<u>Pre-Survey</u>	Post-Survey
752 753	140 192	238 240
754 755	185 183	201 197
770 777 781	49 220 215	28 240
790 792	215 43 259	120 334
793 799	207 180	188 204
Totals	1,873	1,990

-71-

#### APPENDIX C

4

## SAGINAW INTERVIEW SAMPLING RESULTS

	<u>Pre-Survey</u>	<u>Post-Survey</u>
Start Date	April 28, 1980	November 25, 1981
Finish Date	June 6, 1980	December 8, 1981
Ratio	1:20	1:20
Interviews Taken	1,098	1,000
Disconnected or Changed	159	205
Refusals	255	246
Businesses*	25	70
No Answer**	336	<u>469</u>
Numbers Called	1,873	1,990

-72-

\*Businesses were not included in the surveys.

\*\*Numbers tried three times with no answer.

#### APPENDIX D

#### OTHER MEMBERS TRANSIT USAGE

Other Members' <u>Transit Usage</u>		Heavy <u>%</u>	Moderat <u>%</u>	te Light	0ther <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> * <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Yes	Pre Post	37 44	39 50	28 26	25 29	5 7	V\0007 11
No	Pre Post	63 52	46 50	72 70	75 71	95 92	92 97
Don't know	Pre Post	0 4	15 0	0 4	0 0	0 <u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 721)	100% (N = 797)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 47)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 716)	100% (N = 825)

Bus Rider Usage

\*There is a significant difference at the .05 level between the two surveys due to a change in the distribution of <u>nonrider</u> responses. A slight increase occurred in the percentage of nonriders who reported that other household members had used the bus services.

-73-

## APPENDIX E

# WHO OTHER MEMBER?

				uer usaye			
Who Other Member?		Heavy <u>%</u>	Moderate <u>%</u>	Light <u>×</u>	0ther <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u>
Husband/wife	Pre Post	17 22	60 29	30 29	0 0	14 17	22 18
Son/daughter/ children	Pre Post	33 45	20 29	30 29	100 50	54 61	45 53
Mother/father	Pre Post	17 11	0 14	10 14	0 17	7 2	8 7
Roommate	Pre Post	17 11	0 0	0 0	0 0	4 0	4 1
Other	Pre Post	16 11	20 28	30 28 <u>28</u>	0	21 	21 
Totals		100% (N = 6)	100% (N = 5)	100% (N = 10)	100% (N = 1)	100% (N = 28)	100% (N = 50)
a an ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann an	Post	100% (N = 9)	100% (N = 7)	100% (N = 7)	100% (N = 6)	100% (N = 46)	100% (N = 75)
			a an traigh.	nana Ang ing ing ing ing Ang ing ing ing ing ing ing ing ing ing i			
		ta da karangan A					
			•				

-74-

Bus Rider Usage

# APPENDIX F OFTEN OTHER MEMBERS?

And a state of the state of the

Often Other <u>Members?</u>		Heavy <u>%</u>	Moderate <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Heavy	Pre	43	0	11	50	35	29
usage	Post	82	14	23	33	51	47
Moderate	Pre	14	60	22	0	28	27
usage	Post	0	43	0	0	16	13
Light	Pre	14	40	56	0	31	33
usage	Post	9	43	77	0	19	26
Other	Pre	29	0 ·	<u>11</u>	50	6	<u>11</u>
usage	Post	9	0	<u>0</u>	<u>67</u>	_ <u>14</u>	<u>14</u>
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 7)	100% (N = 5)	100% (N = 9)	100% (N = 2)	100% (N = 32)	100% (N = 55)
	Post	100% (N = 11)	100% (N = 7)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 6)	100% (N = 49)	100% (N = 86)

### Bus Rider Usage

-75-

## APPENDIX G

### OTHER MEMBERS' TRIP PURPOSE?

(First Choice) Other Members Trip Purpose		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light	<u>Other</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Work	Pre	0	20	10	50	6	9
	Post	9	14	0	0	14	10
Personal	Pre	0	0	10	50	16	12
business	Post	0	29	15	0	6	8
Shopping	Pre	43	80	10	0	31	32
	Post	36	29	46	50	31	35
Schoo1	Pre	57	0	40	0	41	38
	Post	37	0	31	17	39	33
Visits or	Pre	0	0	20	0	3	5
recreation	Post	0	14	0	33	6	7
Medical	Pre	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Post	18	0	0	0	2	3
When I don't have a car/ When car is in garage	Pre Post	0 0	0 <u>14</u>	10 <u>- 8</u>	0 0	3 2	4
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 7)	100% (N = 5)	100% (N = 10)	100% (N = 2)	100% (N = 32)	100% (N = 56)
	Post	100% (N = 11)	100% (N = 7)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 6)	100% (N = 49)	100% (N = 86)

## Bus Rider Usage

-76-

#### APPENDIX H

#### CONSIDERED RIDING THE BUS?

Contraction of the second

Considered Riding the Bus?		Heavy M	<u>oderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> * <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Don't know	Pre Post	0 0	7 0	5 2	0 0	1 1	1
Haven't thought about it	Pre Post	0 4	8 0	0 0	0 14	3 9	<b>3</b> 8
Other	Pre Post	16 12	8 6	3 4	13 14	2 2	3 3
Yes	Pre Post	74 80	69 75	64 61	50 62	25 30	29 35
No	Pre Post	10 4	8 <u>19</u>	28 <u>33</u>	37 _10	69 <u>58</u>	64 <u>53</u>
Totals	Pre do (	100% N = 19) (	100% N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 724)	100% (N = 800)
	e Post	100% N = 25)	100%	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 720)	100% (N = 830)

#### Bus Rider Usage

\*There is a significant difference at the .001 level between the two surveys due to a change in the distribution of <u>nonrider</u> responses. Pre to post results show an increase in "haven't thought about it" responses and a decrease in "no" responses.

-77-

#### APPENDIX I

### CONSIDERED GETTING IN A CARPOOL?

승규는 것이 많이 많이 많이 했다.			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Considered Getting in <u>a Carpool?</u>		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	Other <u>%</u>	Non- riders*	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Don't know	Pre	0	0	0	0	1	noŭ <b>1</b>
	Post	0	0	0	0	0	0
Haven't thought about it	Pre Post	0 8	0 0	3 0	0 19	2 3	2 4
Other	Pre	21	8	22	25	4	5
	Post	8	6	6	9	2	2
Yes	Pre	16	23	31	38	37	36
	Post	16	25	27	10	36	34
No	Pre	63	69	44	37	56	56
	Post	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>67</u>	62	<u>59</u>	<u>60</u>
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N =8)	100% (N = 724)	100% (N = 800)
	01 <b>Post</b> 3001	100%	100% (00)	100% 200	100%	100%	100%
	- M) - (15 a	(N = 25)	(N)= 16)	(N)= 48)S	(N = 21)	(N = 720)	(N = 830)

Bus Rider Usage

<u> <u>San</u></u>

\*There is a significant difference at the .05 level between the two surveys due to a change in the distribution on <u>nonrider</u> responses. Pre to post results show an increase in "no" responses, indicating a declining interest in carpools.

#### APPENDIX J

F C

And the second second

Contraction of the second

### CONSIDERED DRIVING LESS?

Considered Driving Less?		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Don't know	Pre Post	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 0
Haven't thought about it	Pre Post	0 4	0 0	0 0	0 10	$\frac{1}{1}$	1 1
Other	Pre Post	21 20	8 13	25 10	25 14	3 3	5 4
Yes	Pre Post	42 56	54 56	56 67	50 38	71 70	69 69
No	Pre Post	37 <u>20</u>	38 <u>31</u>	19 <u>23</u>	25 <u>38</u>	25 25	25 26
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 724)	100% (N = 800)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 720)	100% (N = 830)

#### Bus Rider Usage

#### APPENDIX K

#### DO GAS PRICES AFFECT YOU?

Do Gas Prices Affect You?		Heavy <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>%</u>	Non- riders <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Don't know	Pre	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Post	4	0	0	0	1	0
Haven't thought about it	Pre Post	0 4	0 0	0 0	0 5	0 0	0 1
Other	Pre	16	0	19	25	2	4
	Post	8	6	6	9	1	2
Yes	Pre	63	69	64	63	86	84
	Post	64	63	67	62	78	76
No	Pre	21	31	17	12	12	12
	Post		<u>31</u>	<u>27</u>	24	20*	
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 723)	100% (N = 799)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 720)	100% (N = 830)

#### Bus Rider Usage

12

\*There is a significant difference at the .005 level between the pre and post "no" response for <u>nonriders</u>. Apparently, concern over rising gas prices has decreased as gas prices have stabilized.

# APPENDIX L ENERGY CONSERVATION MEASURE

Contraction of the second

Contraction of the second

Contraction of the second

( Section of the sect

	the second second second						
Energy <u>Measure</u>		Heavy <u>%</u>	Moderate <u>%</u>	Light <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Yes	Pre Post	90 88	100 94	94 90	75 100	91 92	91 92
No	Pre Post	0 4	0 6	6 6	0 0	3 4	3 4
Don't know	Pre Post	10 <u>8</u>		0 4	25 	6 4	6 
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 723)	100% (N = 799)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 715)	100% (N = 825)

Bus Rider Usage

### APPENDIX M

## SEX BY USAGE

際にあ

E Same

Sex		<u>Heavy</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Moderate</u> <u>%</u>	Light	<u>Other</u> <u>%</u>	Non- <u>riders</u> <u>%</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> <u>%</u>
Male	Pre Post	26 16	15 44	22 23	50 24	30 29	28 28
Female	Pre Post	74 <u>84</u>	85 <u>56</u>	78 <u>77</u>	50 <u>76</u>	70 <u>71</u>	72 72
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 723)	100% (N = 799)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N =21)	100% (N = 720)	100% (N = 830)

## Bus Rider Usage

-82-

APPENDIX N

## SEX BY AGE

		in de la companya de La companya de la com La companya de la com		Age Groups		
<u>Sex</u>		16-20 <u>Years</u> <u>*</u>	21-39 <u>Years</u> <u>%</u>	40-60 <u>Years</u> <u>%</u>	01der than <u>60 Years</u> <u>%</u>	No <u>Response</u> <u>%</u>
Male	Pre Post	37 39	33 30	24 23	20 26	14 22
Female	Pre Post	63 <u>61</u>	67 	76 <u>77</u>	80 <u>74</u>	86 _78
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 84)	100% (N = 432)	100% (N = 323)	100% (N = 233)	100% (N = 14)
	Post	100% (N = 85)	100% (N = 364)	100% (N = 261)	100% (N = 280)	100% (N = 9)

-83-

## APPENDIX 0

Ś

100 S

## AGE BY USAGE

							a hina a sa sa
Age Groups		Heavy	<u>Moderate</u> %	<u>Light</u>	Other %	Non- <u>riders</u>	Total <u>Respondents</u> %
		<u></u>	<u>~</u>			<u>//</u>	
16-20 years	Pre Post	16 16	8 19	25 19	12 24	7 8	8 9
21-39 years	Pre Post	42 36	31 50	20 29	50 33	44 39	40 36
40-60 years	Pre Post	10 8	15 6	19 23	0 14	29 28	30 26
Older than 60 years	Pre Post	32 40	46 25	33 29	38 29	19 24	21 28
No response	Pre Post	0 	0 	3 	0 	1 1 1	1 1 1
Totals	Pre	100% (N = 19)	100% (N = 13)	100% (N = 36)	100% (N = 8)	100% (N = 722)	100% (N = 798)
	Post	100% (N = 25)	100% (N = 16)	100% (N = 48)	100% (N = 21)	100% (N = 721)	100% (N = 831)

### Bus Rider Usage

-84-

	ΔDD			
n an		TION BY SEX		
		Se:	<u></u>	
(First Choice) Occupations	<u>Pre (%)</u>	<u>Male</u> Post (%)	<u>Pre (%)</u>	<u>emale</u> <u>P</u> ost (%
General office/clerical	1		7	5
Management	3	3	1	1
Government	2	3	0	0
University	0	0	0	0
Proprietor	1	2	1	1
Professional	14	6	10	7
Sales	6	6	2	4
Skilled/semi-skilled	19	12	2	2

)

Concession of the second

Skilled/semi-skilled	19	12	2	2
Technical	4	<b>2</b> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u>	1	2
Service worker	5	5	4	3
Unskilled labor	8	12	3	1
High school or college student	t 9	9	5	6
Homemaker	1		40	38
Retired .	17	28	19	24
Not employed	<u>    10</u>	_10	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Totals	100% (N = 273)	100% (N = 268)	100% (N = 722)	100% (N = 706)

## APPENDIX Q

OCCUPATION BY AGE

<u>Canage</u>

嚻

		Age Groups					
(First Choice) Occupations	<u>Y</u>	16-20 ears <u>%</u>	21-39 <u>Years</u> <u>%</u>	40-60 <u>Years</u> <u>%</u>	01der than <u>60 Years</u> <u>%</u>	No <u>Response</u> <u>%</u>	
General office/ clerical	Pre Post	1 1		5 4	1 0	0 0	
Management	Pre Post	2 1	2 2	2 2	1 0	0 0	
Government	Pre Post	1 0	2 2	0 2	0 0	0 0	
University	Pre Post	0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0\end{array}$	0 0	0 0	0 0	
Proprietor	Pre Post	0 0	1 2	1 3	1 1 1	0 0	
Professional	Pre Post	3 1	15 10	15 10	1. 1. 1.	0 0	
Sales	Pre Post	6 9	3 7	5 4	0 1	0 0	
led -skilled	Pre Post	3 1	11 8	5 5	1 1	0 0	
ca1	Pre Post	1 1	4 4	1 2	0 0	0 0	
/ice .rker	Pre Post	6 5	5 5	6 5	eb.d. (1 1 1	0 0	
ıskilled labor	Pre Post	5 4	4 6	6 5	0 0	0 0	
High school or college student	Pre Post	54 60	3 3	0 1	1 0	0 100	
Homemaker	Pre Post	5 5	32 31	44 45	12 15	100 0	
Retired	Pre Post	0 0	0 0	5 8	80 79	0 0	
Not employed	Pre Post	13 _ <u>12</u>	9 14	5 <u>4</u>		0 0	
Totals	Pre (N	100% = 83)	100% (N = 401)	100% (N = 301)	100% (N = 210)	100% (N = 2)	
	Post (N	100% = 85)	100% (N = 357)	100% (N = 254)	100% (N = 278)	100% (N = 1)	

-86-