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## INTRODUCTION

The major objective of this research was to develop and implement a methodology that could be used by other state level public transportation agencies to measure public aftitudes towards and awareness of fixed-route public transit systems. The information gathered would be used to assist these systems in developing effective marketing efforts for public transportation services. This project involved five selected Michigan communities with transit systems receiving assisfance under terms of Section 5 of the Urban Mass Transportation Act.

In order to design public transportation services to better meet the public's needs it was necessary first to collect market data which identified these needs. With this information it would then be possible to design service to meet these needs and to prepare promotional material to inform and persuade the public about existing service. A methodology was necessary to collect this information.

State personnel used this data to compare public attitude/awareness information from each system with ridership data which was currently available from each system in order to evaluate possible correlations between ridership and awareness levels. Evaluation also was made of existing ridership data collection procedures. Data also was used by state personnel to determine the type of marketing efforts which might be appropriate at the state level.

The market data on a particular community was provided to the transit system in that community. The transit system was encouraged to utilize this data in planning and developing its marketing efforts, e.g., the definition of target markets and formulation of goals and strategies for each target segment. Each system was encouraged to develop marketing projects based on this information. The effectiveness of these projects will be evaluated by a follow-up survey to be conducted approximately a year after the initial survey to determine the extent to which attitudes/awareness have changed.

The intent of the methodology developed and employed in this project is that it will be adaprable to other state level public transportation marketing efforts throughout the country. Special Report 181 of the Transportation Research Board suggests that "some agency with an overview capability" develop "a common set of survey questions." It stafes that "some uniformity along these lines would help develop a common data base that could be used by all systems in further research." It suggests that a state Department of Transportation is one of the "most likely collection centers."

While some Michigan transit systems already are doing some type of telephone marketing research, the value of this type of research conducted at the state level is primarily that of standardization, similar to that developed for what is now the Federal Highway Administration in highway travel surveys during the 1940's. Current efforts to compare marketing research conducted in different communities throughout the country have been severely hampered by the fact that each urbanized area used different questionnaires and techniques. This approach ensures that questions are uniform, that the administration of the survey is consistent in its quality and that other factors remain stable from community to community.

The approach taken in this research project, to the best of our knowledge, has not been undertaken to date. It is, thus, intended to contribute to the development of a research methodology which is applicable to other states or regions throughout the country, as well as provide information which will benefit the State of Michigan and the marketing efforts of Michigan transit systems. Further, this procedure should be relatively easy to implement, given the existence of similar transportation agencies throughout the United States.

In preparing for this project, several alternative survey methods were considered. One of the most direct surveys would have been to conduct a home interview of residents in the study areas. However, setting up offices in five different cifies, training personnel, and incurring travelrelated expenses made this option impractical for the time allotted. Instead, it was decided that a telephone interview survey would be best.

The goal for each community was to collect 1,000 interviews. It was estimated that meeting this goal would require about twice as many telephone calls to account for number changes, no answers, interview refusals, etc. Each interview solicited responses to a 38 -item questionnaire (Appendix A) regarding attitudes and awareness of local public transportation services. In order to ensure that the interviews were adequately distributed throughout the transit service area, a systematic sample selection process was utilized. This process established a sample universe made up of those felephone exchanges that correspond geographically with the existing transit service area. Copies of the telephone exchanges used for drawing samples for each community are provided in Appendix B through Appendix F of this report.

The actual telephone numbers were selected by using a separate ratio developed for each city. This ratio was determined by counting the total number of directory pages containing the universe exchanges and then multiplying this amount by the average number of residential telephone numbers per page (businesses, governmental agencies and other nonresidential services were excluded). This latter figure was then divided by 2,000 and produced the following ratios:

| Community | Ratio |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ann Arbor | $1: 20$ |
| Grand Rapids | $1: 59$ |
| Kalamazoo | $1: 34$ |
| Lansing | $1: 47$ |
| Saginaw | $1: 20$ |

This ratio meant that one telephone number was selected for interview out of a range of 20 to 59 numbers listed. The results of this selection process produced both an alphabetical and geographic distribution of samples. Results of this selection process, indicating how many telephone numbers were called for each exchange prefix, are shown for each city in Appendix $B$ through Appendix $F$. Appendix $G$ provides a breakdown of the actual number of interviews completed versus the number attempled.

All interviews were conducted out of the Lansing office over state leased lines. Additional telephone lines were installed with special headset attachments to aid the interviewer in recording citizen responses. Because the questionnaire was quite extensive, experimental interviews were conducted prior to starting the survey. Modifications were made and interviewing commenced January 23, 1980 and ended June 6, 1980. The interviews were conducted during the hours of 12:00 noon - 8:00 p.m., Monday through Thursday. Earlier hours and Fridays were not considered appropriate times for this type of survey. Each interview took about five minutes to complete and, in general, the public was very cooperative with this effort.

Data from completed questionnaires were edited and coded on to special coding forms (see Appendix $H$ ) designed especially for this survey. Data from the coding forms were keydisked onto a magnetic tape. Quantitative data, read from the magnetic tape, were entered onto a disk file. The editing program was run and data were read to determine if any data were invalid. Corrections were made to invalid data in an effort to obtain as many valid interviews as possible. (The raw data from this survey is the property of the State of Michigan. Any requests for that data will be considered.) The report program was run on validated data, and frequency distributions were established for the total sample. The frequency distributions indicate the number and percentage of respondents answering in each specific way to a specific question.

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) was used for the analysis of the quantitative data. This statistical computer package was used in conjunction with the Burroughs 7700 computer. "Crosstabulation," a type of statistical analysis, was performed in this survey.

Crosstabulation is a joint frequency distribution of cases according to two or more classificatory variables. Throughout this report many crosstabulation analyses were performed. These analyses, along with data from the frequency distributions, are summarized in tables throughout the body of the report.

SUMMARYOF MAJOR

STATEWIDE

FINDINGS

Respondents' awareness of various aspects of the transit services varied. Most people were aware of the existence of a bus system in their area. However, responses to naming the bus system varied within each transit system involved in the survey. Overall, most respondents were unaware of the cost to ride the bus. Frequency of bus service, i.e., how often the bus comes by, is also not known by the majority of total respondents. However, knowing how to obtain bus information is high. Most respondents were aware of special bus services for elderly people and handicapped people.

## Bus System Awareness

The first question in the survey asked respondents, "ls there a city bus system in your area?" Overall, 87 percent of the respondents ( $N=4,905$ ) indicated "yes or think so," 11 percent ( $N=633$ ) replied "no," and 2 percent ( $N=108$ ) indicated "don't know."

## Bus System Name

The second question asked respondents to name the bus system in their area. Summarized below are the responses to this question for each of the five transit sysfems.

| Transit Area | Response | Total Respondents |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | \% |
| Ann Arbor | Ann Arbor Transportation Authority | 600 | 60 |
|  | *Other responses | 106 | 11 |
|  | Don't know | 284 | 29 |
|  | Totals | 990 | 100 |
| Grand Rapids | Grand Rapids Area Transit Authority | 280 | 27 |
|  | Grand Rapids Transit Authority | 136 | 13 |
|  | Grand Rapids Transit | 81 | 8 |
|  | *Other responses | 141 | 14 |
|  | Don't know | 383 | 38 |
|  | Totals | 1,021 | 100 |


*Other Responses include names which sound similar to the correct transit company names, and route destination names, as well as incorrect responses.

The results indicate that, of the five areas, Lansing area residents had the highest percentage of respondents giving the correct name of their transit company (91 percent). The area with the largest percentage of respondents not knowing the correct name was Saginaw, with 50 percent responding "don't know" to this question.

## Cost for Bus Ride

The following table summarizes responses to the question, "How much does it cost for a ride on the bus?" Respondents were categorized into four separate bus rider groups and a nonrider group, based on the following classifications of transit usage . . .

Heavy Usage - Daily or almost every day
Moderate Usage- Once a week
Light Usage - Once a month or once a year
Other Usage - A frequency other than the above frequencies
Nonriders - Respondents who have not used the bus service during the past year.
(Throughout the report this classification will be referred to when describing the four rider groups and the nonrider group).

Since not all transit systems involved in the survey charge the same basic cash fare, the cash fare response category is shown as "Current cash fare." As expected, the majority of riders knew the current cash fare. The degree of usage did not make a difference, except in the case of nonriders. Also expected, 61 percent of the nonriders do not know the cost for a ride on the bus (see table below).

| Cost | Bus Rider Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heavy |  | Moderate |  | Light | \% | Other | \% | NonRiders <br> No. | Total Respondents |  |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. |  | No. |  |  | \% | No. | \% |
| More than current cash fare | 14 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 53 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 132 | 4 | 213 | 4 |
| Current cash fare | 221 | 54 | 111 | 47 | 368 | 49 | 68 | 61 | 772 | 23 | 1,538 | 31 |
| Less than current cash fare | 51 | 12 | 40 | 17 | 135 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 287 | 9 | 531 | 11 |
| Senior citizen rate | 52 | 13 | 47 | 20 | 69 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 82 | 2 | 260 | 5 |
| Pass/Punch card | 55 | 13 | 14 | 6 | 18 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 34 | 1 | 129 | 3 |
| Don't know | 7 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 105 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 2,058 | 61 | 2,196 | 45 |
| Other Totals | $\frac{11}{411}$ | $\frac{3}{100}$ | $\frac{5}{236}$ | $\frac{2}{100}$ | $\frac{11}{759}$ | $\frac{1}{100}$ | $\underline{112}$ | $\frac{0}{100}$ | $\frac{11}{3,376}$ | $\frac{0}{100}$ | $\frac{36}{4,903}$ | $\frac{1}{100}$ |

## Bus Frequency

Respondents were asked if they knew how often the bus came by. The majority of bus riders indicated "yes," they knew how often the bus came by (see table below). Considering the response categories of "no" and "don't know" together, the majority of nonriders ( 78 percent) are unaware of the frequency of bus service in their area.

Bus Rider Usage

| Bus Frequency | Bus Rider Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heavy | Moderate |  |  | Light | Other |  | \% | Nonriders | Total Respondents |  |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. |  | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Yes | 363 | 88 | 188 | 80 | 451 | 59 | 78 | 70 | 711 | 21 | 1,788 | 37 |
| No | 21 | 5 | 22 | 9 | 165 | 22 | 25 | 22 | 1,467 | 44 | 1,704 | 35 |
| Don't know | 21 | 5 | 22 | 9 | 126 | 16 | 8 | 7 | 1,153 | 34. | 1,339 | 27 |
| Doesn't seem to follow Schedule/it varies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | I | 2 | 1 | 13 | 2 | I | 1 | 31 | 1 | 50 | 1 |
| Other | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | $\underline{17}$ | 0 |
| Totals | 411 | 100 | 236 | 100 | 759 | 100 | 112 | 100 | 3,371 | 100 | 4,898 | 100 |

Bus Information
The ifem, "Do you know how to obtain bus information?" produced the following results:

|  | Bus Rider Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heavy |  | Moderate |  | Light | Other |  |  | Nonriders | Total Respondents |  |  |
| Bus <br> Information | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Yes | 387 | 94 | 216 | 92 | 669 | 88 | 97 | 86 | 2,240 | 66 | 3,622 | 74 |
| No | 19 | 5 | 17 | 7 | 77 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 975 | 29 | 1,103 | 22 |
| Don't know | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 158 | 5 | $\underline{178}$ | 4 |
| Totals | 411 | 100 | 235 | 100 | 758 | 100 | 112 | 100 | 3,373 | 100 | 4,903 | 100 |

The majority of the bus rider groups indicated they knew how to obtain bus information, with amount of usage not an issue. Interestingly, 66 percent of the nonriders also replied "yes" to this question, yet chose to not use their local bus service.

Special Services for the Elderly

Respondents were asked if their local bus system had special bus services for elderly people. The responses are as follows.

|  | Bus Rider Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heavy |  | Moder |  | Light |  | Other |  | Nonriders |  | Total esponde |  |
| Elderly Services | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Yes or think so | 364 | 89 | 196 | 83 | 632 | 83 | 91 | 81 | 2,624 | 78 | 4,259 | 75 |
| No | 19 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 50 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 281 | 8 | 538 | 10 |
| Don't know | 26 | 6 | 27 | 12 | 77 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 466 | 14 | 848 | 15 |
| Totals | 409 | 100 | 236 | 100 | 759 | 100 | 112 | 100 | 3,371 | 100 | 5,645 | 100 |

The majority of respondents ( 75 percent) were aware of the availability of special bus services for the elderly. However, awareness is slightly related to the degree of usage; the more a person rides the bus, the more likely the person would be aware of the services available to the elderly.

Special Services for Handicappers

As with elderly services, respondents were asked if their local bus system had special bus services for handicapped people. The following table highlights the results:

| Handicapper Services | Bus Rider Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heavy |  | Moderate |  | Light |  | Other |  | Nonriders | Total Respondents |  |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Yes or think so | 372 | 91 | 198 | 84 | 643 | 85 | 86 | 77 | 2,641 | 79 | 4,296 | 76 |
| No | 16 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 46 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 281 | 8 | 530 | 9 |
| Don't know | 23 | 5 | 24 | 10 | 70 | 9 | 17 | 15 | 454 | 13 | 826 | 15 |
| Totals | 411 | 100 | 236 | 100 | 759 | 100 | 112 | 100 | 3,376 | 100 | 5,652 | 100 |

The pattern of responses is about the same as the previous question. The majority of respondents ( 76 percent) were aware of the availability of services for handicappers. There is also a relationship between amount of transit usage and the degree of awareness of the services available.

In general, the respondent who uses the transit system often is more likely to be aware of such things as fare, scheduling, bus information and awareness of special services for the elderly and handicappers, than the person who uses the system infrequently or not at all. Approximately three out of four respondents knew how to obtain bus information. Even though their responses indicate they don't use the transit system, two-thirds of the nonriders still know how to obtain bus information. Overall, transit system usage is directly related to fransit system awareness.

## TRANSPORTATION PATTERNS

Most respondents had not used the bus service during the preceding year. However, the majority of respondents who had used the bus service rode mainly to go shopping and to go to work. Similar results were found for other household members. Overall, most respondents lived within one or two blocks of the nearest bus route, cired "car" more than any other mode as their usual means of transportation and had two or more automobiles in their household; thus they normally had a vehicle available for their use.

## Transit Usage

In response to the statement, "Have you personally used the bus service during the past year?" the majorily of respondents ( 69 percent) who answered the question said "no." More than 30 percent of the individuals said "yes," and nearly I percent indicated they did not know.

Those respondents who indicated they had used the bus service during the past year were characterized as heavy, moderate, light or other users, based upon their frequency of using bus services. Following is a breakdown of current bus usage patterns:

| Respondents | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Heavy usage | 410 | 28 |
| Moderate usage | 232 | 15 |
| Light usage | 749 | 50 |
| Other usage | 112 | 7 |
| Totals |  | 1,503 |

## Trip Purpose

Question No. 6, "For what purpose(s) do you use the bus service?" provided for four choices. The major (first choice) trip categories for travel by public transit bus are shown in the following table:

|  | Heavy |  | Moderate |  | Light |  | Other | Total Respondents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Choice <br> Purpose | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Work | 184 | 46 | 37 | 16 | 89 | 12 | 23 | 21 | 332 | 23 |
| Personal business | 23 | 6 | 19 | 8 | 70 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 112 | 8 |
| Shopping | 83 | 21 | 113 | 49 | 339 | 46 | 37 | 34 | 570 | 39 |
| School | 82 | 20 | 26 | 12 | 40 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 156 | 11 |
| Visits or recreation | 15 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 39 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 75 | 5 |
| Dining | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | I | 2 | 0 |
| Medical | 6 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 36 | 2 |
| When 1 don't have a car/ When car is in garage | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 113 | 15 | 20 | 18 | 139 | 9 |
| Other | 6 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 27 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 44 | 3 |
| Totals | 403 | 100 | 229 | 100 | 735 | 100 | 109 | 100 | 1,466 | 100 |

Overall, most respondents ( 39 percent) indicated "shopping" as their main purpose for using the bus. The second most frequently mentioned reason for bus travel was "work" ( 23 percent), followed by "school" (II percent), "car unavailable" ( 9 percent), and personal business ( 8 percent). When analyzed by amount of usage, the heavy users did so predominantly for work ( 46 percent), while the other three groups used the bus mainly for shopping.

Other Members Transit Usage

Given that a respondent rides the bus, is it likely that other household members also ride? Responses to the question relating to transit usage by other members of the household are summarized on the following table:

Bus Rider Usage

|  | Heavy | Moderate |  |  | Light | Other |  |  | Nonriders | Total Respondents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Members <br> Transit Usage | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Yes | 183 | 45 | 95 | 40 | 287 | 40 | 36 | 32 | 418 | 12 | 1,020 | 21 |
| No | 224 | 54 | 134 | 57 | 455 | 57 | 76 | 68 | 2,920 | 87 | 3,819 | 78 |
| Don't know | 4 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 51 | 1 |
| Totals | 411 | 100 | 236 | 100 | 754 | 100 | 112 | 100 | 3,365 | 100 | 4,890 | 100 |

More than three out of four respondents said "no," other family members had not used the bus service during the past year. Within the four bus rider groups, 54 percent of the heavy users replied "no" to this question, followed by 57 percent each for moderate and light users, and 68 percent of other riders. As expected, nonriders reported the highest percentage ( 87 percent) of "no" responses.

Those respondents who indicaied that other members of their household had used the bus service during the past year were asked "who" this member was. In rank order, 41 percent ( $N=414$ ) were children, 30 percent $(N=298)$ were spouses, 13 percent $(N=128)$ other, 12 percent $(N=121)$ were roommates, and 4 percent ( $\mathrm{N}=42$ ) were parents.

Responses to the question, "How often do other members use the bus service?" are summarized below, based upon frequency of use:

| Household Members | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 355 |
| Heavy usage | 214 | 35 |
| Moderate usage | 378 | 21 |
| Light usage | 67 | 37 |
| Other usage |  | 7 |
| Totals | 1,014 | 100 |

These figures were compared with those recorded for the respondents who rode the bus. Household members who ride the bus have a higher percentage of heavy users and moderate users, and a lower percentage of light riders than respondents who ride the bus.

## Other Members' Trip Purpose

Question No. 9, "For what purposes(s) do the other members use the bus service?" provided for four choices. The major (first choice) trip categories for travel by public transit bus are shown below:

| First Choice Purpose | Other Members' Trip Purpose |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% |
| Work | 259 | 26 |
| Personal business | 55 | 5 |
| Shopping | 369 | 36 |
| School | 196 | 19 |
| Visits or recreation | 70 | 7 |
| Dining | 0 | 0 |
| Medical | 18 | 2 |
| When I don't have a car/ |  |  |
| When car is in garage | 35 | 4 |
| Other | 13 | 1 |
| Totals | 1,015 | 100 |

Comparing this information with total respondents' trip purpose reveals an identical ranking, with the exception of the fourth purpose. "Other household members" four th ranking was "visits or recreation," as compared to "car unavailable" for all respondents.

The item, "How far do you live from the nearest bus route?" revealed the following distances:


Overall, the majority of respondents live within one or two blocks of the nearest bus route. This is regardless of the amount of usage.

Despite the fact that 44 percent of the nonriders also live within one to two blocks of the nearest bus route, they have not used the bus service during the past year. One-fifth ( 20 percent of the nonriders) reported a distance of a mile or more.

## Usual Transportation Mode

Question No. 34, "What is your usual means of transportation?" provided for two choices. The major (first choice) responses are shown below:

Bus Rider Usage

| First Choice Usual Mode | Heavy |  | Moderate |  | Light |  | Other |  | Nonriders |  | Total Respondents |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Car | .173 | 42 | 139 | 59 | 585 | 77 | 88 | 78 | 3,055 | 93 | 4,699 | 83 |
| Bus | 179 | 44 | 43 | 18 | 25 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 265 | 5 |
| DART | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Taxi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 0 |

Friends or relatives $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll} & 11 & 2 & 17 & 7 & 46 & 6 & 6 & 5 & 125 & 4 & 277 & 5\end{array}$

Bike, motor$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll} & 5 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 11 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 8 & 0 & 29 & 1\end{array}$

Senior citizen's or handi-

| capper van | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 28 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Usually walk | 16 | 4 | 25 | 11 | 75 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 21 | 1 | 250 | 4 |
| Hitchhike | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Other | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 35 | 1 |

1 go a variety

| of ways | $\frac{20}{41}$ | -5 | -6 | -2 | -9 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -14 | -1 | -52 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Totals | 411 | 100 | 236 | 100 | 759 | 100 | 112 | 100 | 3,274 | 100 | 5,652 | 100 |

The majority of total respondents ( 83 percent) cited "car" as their usual means of transportation. As expected, the nonrider group reported the highest percentage ( 93 percent) of "car" responses as their usual mode.

Forty-four percent ( $44 \%$ ) of the heavy riders reported "bus," followed by 42 percent indicating "car." This pattern of responding was reversed for moderate riders with 59 percent citing "car," followed by 18 percent reporting "bus." Light riders ( 77 percent) and other riders ( 78 percent) responded almost equally with "car" as their usual means of transportation. Second ranking for light riders ( 10 percent) and other riders ( 9 percent) was "usually walk."

Number of Automobiles

The item, "How many automobiles does your household have?" resulted in the following breakdown:

Bus Rider Usage

| Number of Automobiles | Heavy |  | Moderate |  | Light |  | Other |  | Nonriders |  | Total Respondents |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| 1 | 170 | 42 | 102 | 43 | 304 | 40 | 52 | 46 | 1,118 | 33 | 2,032 | 36 |
| 2 | 108 | 26 | 55 | 23 | 259 | 34 | 42 | 38 | 1,545 | 46 | 2,312 | 41 |
| 3 | 27 | 7 | 14 | 6 | 71 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 385 | 11 | 577 | 10 |
| 4 or more | 14 | 3 | 15 | 7 | 43 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 192 | 6 | 293 | 5 |
| 0 | 89 | 22 | 50 | 21 | 80 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 135 | 4 | 432 | 8 |
| Totals | 408 | 100 | 236 | 100 | 757 | 100 | 112 | 100 | 3,375 | 100 | 5,646 | 100 |

The preceding table shows that 56 percent of the respondents reported having two or more automobiles. The majority of nonriders ( 63 percent) also have two or more cars.

Within the bus rider groups, heavy users ( 42 percent) and moderate users ( 43 percent) reported only one automobile in their household. However, light users and other users indicated two or more cars (49 percent each).

## Availability of Vehicle

The question, "Is a vehicle normally available for your use?" produced the following results:

Bus Rider Usage

|  | Heavy |  | Moderate |  | Light |  | Other |  | Nonriders |  | Total Respondents |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Available | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Yes | 230 | 56 | 135 | 57 | 583 | 77 | 86 | 77 | 3,045 | 90 | 4,697 | 83 |
| No | 144 | 35 | 76 | 32 | 118 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 220 | 7 | 678 | 12 |
| Sometimes | 26 | 6 | 16 | 7 | 31 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 83 | 2 | 179 | 3 |
| Other | 11 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 25 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 28 | 1 | 95 | 2 |
| Totals | 411 | 100 | 236 | 100 | 757 | 100 | 112 | 100 | 3,376 | 100 | 5,649 | 100 |

Even though the majority of the four bus rider groups indicated they did normally have a vehicle available for their use, the percentage was lower for heavy users ( 56 percent) and moderate users (57 percent), compared to light users and other users (77 percent each). As expected, most nonriders ( 90 percent) normally have a vehicle available to them.

Thirty-five percent ( 35 percent) of the heavy users and 32 percent of the moderate users do not normally have a vehicle available to them. These percentages for "no" responses were more than those recorded for light users or other users.

Crosstabulations were also performed between responses to the number of automobiles in a household and the availability of a vehicle to respondents. More than nine out of ten respondents ( 94 percent) who reported having two, three or four automobiles normally had a vehicle available for their use. However, the percentage was slightly lower for respondents who had only one auto in their household (82 percent). Those respondents with no automobile in their household (87 percent) do not normally have access to a vehicle.

## TRANSPORTATION ATTITUDES

The most frequently mentioned reason nonriders cited for not riding the bus was "don't need to, I have a car." Most respondents believed the bus fare was just right, even though their responses for the cost of a bus ride varied. The majority of respondents indicated they would not use the bus more if the bus routes were closer, or if the bus came by more frequently. However, most respondents believe the bus system serves the areas to which they most frequently travel.

The effect of rising gasoline prices on respondents, overall, is varied. One-half of the total sample indicated they had not considered riding the bus, and nearly six out of ten respondents said they had not contemplated joining a carpool. Most respondents, though, considered driving less and indicated that gas prices did affect them.

A favorable attitude was held by more than nine out of ten respondents towards the bus service as being a valuable energy conservation measure. The opinion of most respondents towards improvements in the local bus system is that no changes were needed.

## Reasons for Not Riding the Bus

The respondents classified as nonriders, i.e., those who have not used the bus service during the previous year, were asked: "Is there any particular reason you don't ride the bus?" This question provided for four choices. The following table summarizes the responses for nonriders' first choice:


The primary reason for not riding the bus given by approximately 46 percent of the nonriders was "don't need to, have a car." The second reason was "doesn't stop near me, (or) I live in the country," indicated by more than 18 percent of the nonriders. Nearly 17 percent of this group did not give a reason.

## Fairness of Cost

The following results are from the question asking respondents their opinions regarding the cost for a bus ride:

| Do You Think This Fare Is: | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Just right |  |  |
| Too much | 2,279 | 86 |
| Not enough | 167 | 6 |
| Don't know | 92 | 3 |
| Other | 73 | 3 |
| Totals |  | 40 |

Eighty-six percent ( $86 \%$ ) of the respondents believed the fare was "just right."

## Closer Routes

Question 13 asked respondents: "Would you use the bus more if the bus routes were closer?" The table below highlights the results:

| Closer Routes | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 596 | 14 |
| Yes | 2,690 | 62 |
| No | 69 | 2 |
| Don't know | 308 | 7 |
| Maybe | 626 | 14 |
| Probably not | 57 | 1 |
| Other | 4,346 | 100 |

Considering the response categories of "no" and "probably not" together, the majority of respondents ( 76 percent) indicated they would not use the bus more if the bus routes were closer. Further analysis revealed that most of the bus riders who responded this way live within one or two blocks of the nearest bus route. This was true even for the nonrider group. Thus, it would appear that closer bus routes would not induce respondents to use the bus more.

However, 21 percent of the respondents indicated "yes" or "maybe" closer bus routes might lead them to use bus services more. With further analysis, the distance from the bus route was not differentiated by how heavily the respondent uses the bus system. Most nonriders ( 60 percent), however, who live a mile or more away, replied they might use the bus more if the bus routes were closer.

## Frequency of Service

Respondents were asked if they would use the bus more if it came by more frequently. The results are shown below:

Bus Rider Usage

| More Frequent Service | Heavy |  | Moderate |  | Light |  | Other |  | Nonriders |  | Total Respondents |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Yes | 58 | 16 | 15 | 8 | 40 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 53 | 7 | 175 | 10 |
| No | 200 | 54 | 121 | 64 | 284 | 62 | 57 | 72 | 530 | 70 | 1,191 | 64 |
| Don't know | 14 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 43 | , |
| Maybe | 23 | 6 | 19 | 10 | 32 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 42 | 5 | 119 | 6 |
| Probably not | 66 | 18 | 28 | 15 | 93 | 20 | 8 | 10 | 111 | 15 | 308 | 17 |
| Other | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 19. | 1 |
| Totals | 367 | 100 | 190 | 100 | 459 | 100 | 79 | 100 | 758 | 100 | 1,855 | 100 |

The majority of respondents indicated they would not use the bus more if it came by more frequently. There were no significant differences between the bus rider groups and the nonrider group.

## Travel Areas Served

The item, "Does the bus system serve the areas to which you most frequently travel?" revealed the following results:

| Serve Areas | Bus Rider Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heavy |  | Moderate |  | Light |  | Other |  | Nonriders |  | Total Respondents |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Yes | 389 | 95 | 215 | 91 | 608 | 82 | 89 | 80 | 1,696 | 50 | 3,007 | 61 |
| No | 16 | 4 | 18 | 8 | 101 | 13 | 18 | 16 | 1,041 | 31 | 1,201 | 25 |
| Don't know | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 37 | 5 | 4 | 4 | -635 | 19 | $\begin{array}{r}689 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 14 |
| Totals | 409 | 100 | 236 | 100 | 746 | 100 | 111 | 100 | 3,372 | 100 | 4,897 | 100 |

There is a difference in response between riders and nonriders. The majority of riders replied that the bus sysiem served the areas they frequently traveled ( 80 percent - 95 percent), whereas this was only true for half of the nonriders ( 50 percent).

## Effect of Gasoline Prices

Question 18 was a four-part question relating to the rising gasoline prices of the last few weeks before the survey. Respondents were asked if they had considered a) riding the bus? . . . b) getting in a carpool? . . . c) driving less? . . . d) if gas prices affect them? The following tables list the responses to each of the questions:

| Bus Rider Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Moderate Light | Other | Non- <br> riders | Total |  |  |  |  |

Considered Riding

| the Bus? | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Don't know | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 41 | 1 |


| Haven't thought <br> about it | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 92 | 3 | 115 | 2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other | 35 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 23 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 90 | 3 | 164 | 3 |  |
| Yes | 308 | 76 | 177 | 75 | 470 | 63 | 60 | 54 | 1,120 | 33 | 2,133 | 44 |  |
| No | 62 | 15 | 41 | 17 | $\underline{235}$ | $\underline{31}$ | $\underline{46}$ | $\frac{41}{2,040}$ | $\underline{60}$ | $\underline{2,447}$ | $\frac{50}{}$ |  |  |
|  | Totals | 409 | 100 | 236 | 100 | 749 | 100 | 111 | 100 | 3,372 | 100 | 4,900 | 100 |

Apparently the attitude of most bus riders was that they have considered riding the bus more because of rising gasoline prices. The majority of nonriders, however, indicated "no," they have not considered riding the bus. Those bus riders who also indicated "no" to this question, have evidently not considered riding the bus more than their current riding patterns. This was reflected more for light users and other users than for either heavy or moderate users.

Bus Rider Usage

| Considered Getting |  |  | Moderate |  | Light |  | Other |  | Nonriders |  | Total Respondents |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Don'f know | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 27 | 1 |
| Haven't thou about it | 10 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 21 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 61 | 2 | 102 | 2 |
| Orher | 30 | 7 | 18 | 8 | 34 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 99 | 3 | 193 | 4 |
| Yes | 88 | 22 | 56 | 24 | 254 | 34 | 29 | 26 | 1,246 | 37 | 1,670 | 34 |
| No | 278 | 68 | 154 | 65 | 435 | 58 | 74 | 67 | 1,953 | 58 | 2,911 | 59 |
| Totals | 409 | 100 | 235 | 100 | 749 | 100 | \||1 | 100 | 3,376 | 100 | 4,903 | 100 |

Most respondents, bus riders and nonriders together, indicated they had not considered getting in a carpool, because of rising gasoline prices.

Bus Rider Usage

|  | Heavy |  | Moderate |  | Light |  | Other |  | Nonriders |  | Total <br> Respondents |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considered Driving Less? | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Don't know | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Haven't thought about it | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 34 | 1 | 46 | 1 |
| Other | 36 | 9 | 21 | 9 | 40 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 88 | 3 | 195 | 4 |
| Yes | 259. | 63 | 149 | 63 | 525 | 70 | 58 | 52 | 2,474 | 73 | 3,476 | 71 |
| No | 109 | 27 | 64 | 28 | 180 | 24 | 45 | 41 | 771 | 23 | 1,177 | 24 |
| Totals | 409 | 100 | 236 | 100 | 749 | 100 | 111 | 100 | 3,377 | 100 | 4,904 | 100 |

Most respondents have considered driving less with the rising gasoline prices.

Bus Rider Usage

| Do Gas Prices | Bus Rider Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heavy |  | Moderate |  | Light |  | Other |  | Nonriders |  | Total Respondents |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Haven't thought about it | + 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 1 | 59 | 1 |
| Other | 14 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 50 | 2 | 92 | 2 |
| Yes | 291 | 71 | 162 | 69 | 622 | 83 | 87 | 78 | 2,864 | 85 | 4,048 | 83 |
| No | 100 | 25 | 63 | 27 | 103 | 14 | 21 | 19 | 405 | 12 | 695 | 14 |
| Totals | 409 | 100 | 236 | 100 | 749 | 100 | 111 | 100 | 3,376 | 100 | 4,904 | 100 |

The results indicate that gasoline prices apparently affect both bus riders and nonriders, although less so for moderate users and heavy users. Approximately one out of four of the heavy users and moderate users are not affected by gasoline prices. This may be due to the fact that they depend on the local transit system for their primary transportation needs.

Included in the section on Transportation Patterns was a summary of the results relating to the question which asked respondents if a vehicle was normally available to them for their use. Of the respondents who answered "yes" or "sometimes," 64 percent had nevertheless considered riding the bus. Seventy-six percent ( $76 \%$ ) had considered getting in a carpool, and 49 percent had considered driving less as gas prices escalate.

## Energy Conservation Measure

Respondents were asked if they thought of the bus service as a viable, valuable energy conservation measure. The table below shows the results:

| Energy Measure | Heavy |  | Moderate |  | Light |  | Other |  | Nonriders |  | Total Respondents |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Yes | 392 | 96 | 226 | 97 | 718 | 96 | 105 | 94 | 3,151 | 93 | 4,623 | 94 |
| No | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 98 | 3 | 121 | 3 |
| Don't know | 13 | 3 | - 5 | 2 | 18 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 121 | 4 | 158 | 3 |
| Totals | 409 | 100 | 233 | 100 | 749 | 100 | 111 | 100 | 3,370 | 100 | 4,902 | 100 |

An overwhelming majority of bus riders and nonriders alike view the bus service as a viable, valuable energy conservation measure.

## Improvements

Question 20 asked respondents what improvements they would like to see in the city bus system that would cause them to use the bus more often. This question provided for four choices. The results are shown as follows for respondents' first choice:

Bus Rider Usage

| First Choice Improvements | Heavy |  | Moderate |  | Light |  | Other |  | Nonriders |  | Total Respondents |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% No |  | \% |
| Lower fares | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
| More convenien routes | $12$ | 3 | 9 | 4 | 61 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 201 | 6 | 289 | 6 |
| Closer stops | 14 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 45 | 6 | , | 1 | 271 | 8 | 344 | 7 |
| More frequent service | 32 | 8 | 10 | 4 | 26 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 53 | 2 | 125 | 3 |
| More bus shelters | 7 | 2 | I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 27 | 1 |
| Faster service | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 9 | , | 2 | 2 | 31 | 1 | 51 | 1 |
| More courteous drivers | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Expanded servic hours | $50$ | 12 | 23 | 10 | 47 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 107 | 3 | 237 | 5 |
| Available change | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Better transfer system | 11 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 37 | 1 | 73 | 1 |
| Better route and schedule information | 15 | 4 | 8 | , | 31 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 100 | 3 | 159 | 3 |
| Other | 71 | 17 | 35 | 15 | 71 | 10 | 29 | 25 | 320 | 10 | 528 | 11 |
| No changes needed | 177 | 44 | 128 | 55 | 426 | 57 | 54 | 48 | 2,118 | 63 | 2,915 | 60 |
| I would not use the bus in any case | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 3 | 96 | 2 |
| Totals | 407 | 100 | 235 | 100 | 747 | 100 | 111 | 100 | 3,362 | 100 | 4,887 | 100 |

Within the bus rider groups most respondents indicated no changes were needed in the city bus system. However, second ranking was "other" improvements, which included responses other than the options provided. The next most frequently mentioned improvement was "expanded service hours." The only exception to the third ranking was for light users who indicated "more convenient routes."

Nonriders agreed with bus riders in general that no changes were needed, followed by "other" improvements. However, their third ranking was "closer stops." This response supports the earlier finding that 60 percent of the nonriders who live a mile or more from the nearest bus route indicated they might use the bus more if the bus routes were closer.

Generally, people have favorable aftifudes toward the transit systems. They believe the bus service is a viable conservation measure, the fares are reasonable, the location of routes and frequency of service are adequate. Use of an automobile is the main reason for not riding the bus. Most people believe improvements are not needed. Nonriders may be nonriders because they do not live near enough to have access to the transit system.

## DEMOGRAPHICS

About twice as many females as males comprised the total sample in this survey. Males and females traveled by bus, first of all to go shopping. The second purpose was to travel to and from work. The majority of respondents were 21 to 39 years of age.

Overall, about a third ( 32 percent) of the females indicated they were housewives, and nearly one in five (19 percent) of the males reported they were high school or college students. Approximately three times as many bus riders were students, compared with nonriders. About one in four of the nonriders reported they were housewives.

Sex

The following table illustrates the percentage of male and female respondents across ridership and nonrider groups:

|  | Bus Rider Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heavy |  | Moderate |  | Light |  | Other |  | Nonriders |  | Total Respondents |  |
| Sex | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Male | 157 | 38 | 69 | 29 | 272 | 36 | 36 | 32 | 1,141 | 34 | 1,675 | 35 |
| Female | 254 | 62 | 167 | 71 | 487 | 64 | 76 | 68 | 2,231 | 66 | 3,215 | 65 |
| Totals | 411 | 100 | 236 | 100 | 759 | 100 | 112 | 100 | 3,372 | 100 | 4,890 | 100 |

In total, 35 percent of the respondents were male, 65 percent female. This proportion was about the same, across the rider and nonrider groups, except for moderate users, with 29 percent male and 71 percent female.

The table below shows the number and percentage of male and female bus riders and their first choice for purpose of using the bus service:

Bus Riders

| First Choice | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purpose |  | \% | No. | \% |
| Work | 135 | 26 | 198 | 21 |
| Personal business | 49 | 9 | 66 | 7 |
| Shopping | 153 | 29 | 420 | 44 |
| School | 74 | 14 | 84 | 9 |
| Visits or recreation | 27 | 5 | 50 | 5 |
| Dining | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Medical | 8 | 2 | 28 | 3 |
| When I don't have a car/ |  |  |  |  |
| When car is in garage | 63 | 12 | 78 | 8 |
| Other : | 17 | 3 | 27 | 3 |
| Totals | 526 | 100 | 953 | 100 |

The results show that females traveled by bus to go shopping almost one and a half times as often as males ( 44 percent versus 29 percent, respectively). Travel to or from work by bus is slightly higher for males ( 26 percent) than females (21 percent).

Age

By sex, the following distribution of age groups was found for all respondents in the survey:

|  | Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 16-20 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 21-39 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 40-60 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ |  | Older Than 60 Years |  | No <br> Response |  |
| Sex | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Male | 202 | 45 | 998 | 38 | 387 | 27 | 299 | 26 | 7 | 21 |
| Female | $\underline{246}$ | - 55 | 1,639 | 62 | 1,035 | 73 | 843 | 74 | 26 | 79 |
| Totals | 448 | 100 | 2,637 | 100 | 1,422 | 100 | 1,142 | 100 | 33 | 100 |

As the age groups increased in years, so did the percentage of females comprising each age group. For example, 55 percent of the respondents were female in the 16 -20-year-old age group, compared with 74 percent females older than 60 years. The reverse was true for males, i.e., as the age groups increased in years, the percentage of males comprising each age group decreased.

The fable below lists the age groups and shows the percentage of bus riders and nonriders comprising each age group:

|  | Bus Rider Usage |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heavy | Moderate | Light | Ofher | Nonriders | Total Respondents |
| Age Groups | $N=$409 <br> $\underline{\%}$ | $\frac{236}{\underline{\%}}$ | $\frac{749}{\underline{\%}}$ | $\frac{111}{\%}$ | $\frac{3,376}{\underline{\%}}$ | $\frac{4,881}{\underline{\%}}$ |
| 16-20 years | 15 | 22 | 13 | 10 | 5 | 8 |
| 21-39 years | 52 | 33 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 46 |
| 40-60 years | 15 | 13 | 19 | 22 | 27 | 25 |
| Older than 60 years | 18 | 31 | 19 | 16 | 18 | 20 |
| No response | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Totals | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

The majority of respondents were between 21 and 39 years old ( 46 percent). This is true for both riders and nonriders, and is not differentiated by the amount of usage. However, riders who use the bus system moderately have almost similar percentages in the 21-39-year old group and the older than 60 years group.

Occupation

By sex, the following distribution of occupations was found for all respondents in the survey:

| First Choice | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupations | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| General office/clerical | 23 | 1 | 321 | 9 |
| Management | 84 | 4 | 61 | 2 |
| Government | 54 | 3 | 50 | 1 |
| University | 33 | 2 | 32 | 1 |
| Proprietor | 27 | 1 | 26 | 1 |
| Professional | 268 | 14 | 432 | 11 |
| Sales | 108 | 6 | 116 | 3 |
| Skilled/Semi-Skilled | 206 | 11 | 60 | 2 |
| Technical | 105 | 6 | 51 | I |
| Service worker | 77 | 4 | 178 | 5 |
| Unskilled labor | 142 | 8 | 90 | 2 |
| High school or college student | 354 | 19 | 338 | 9 |
| Housewife | 13 | 1 | 1,183 | 32 |
| Retired | 264 | 14 | 649 | 17 |
| Not employed | 80 | 4 | 98 | 3 |
| Other | 41 | 2 | 47 | 1 |
| Refused | --2 | - | -15 | - 0 |
| Totals | 1,881 | 100 | 3,747 | 100 |

More than three out of ten females were housewives, followed by 17 percent who indicated they were retired. Nineteen percent (19\%) of the males were students, followed by 14 percent each for the professional and retirement categories.

By age groups, the following distribution of occupations was found for all respondents in the survey:

|  | Age Groups |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 16-20 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21-39 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40-60 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | Older Than 60 Years | No Response |
| First Choice | $N=223$ | 710 | 258 | 310 | 6 |
| Occupations | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| General office/clerical | 2 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 0 |
| Management | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Government | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| University | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 16 |
| Proprietor | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Professional | 1 | 16 | 15 | 2 | 0 |
| Sales | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Skilled/Semi-Skilled | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| Technical | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Service worker | 2 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 0 |
| Unskilled labor | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| High school or college student | 75 | 28 | 2 |  | 50 |
| Housewife | 3 | 13 | 33 | 8 | 17 |
| Retired | 0 | 0 | 4 | 80 | 0 |
| Not employed | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 17 |
| Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

As might be expected, the table indicates that 75 percent of the respondents between the ages of 16 and 20 were students. However, the percentage of students decreased to 28 percent in the 21 to 39 -year-old age group. One out of three respondents were housewives in the 40 to 60 age bracket, and 80 percent of the respondents older than 60 years were retired.

Based upon ridership groups the distribution of occupations was found as follows:

|  | Bus Rider Usage |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heavy | Moderate | Light | Other | Nonriders | Total Respondents |
| First Choice | $N=409$ | 236 | 746 | 111 | 3,330 | 4,832 |
| Occupations | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| General office/clerical | 8 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Management | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Government | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| University | 2 | 0 | I | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Proprietor | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Professional | 10 | 6 | 13 | 11 | 14 | 12 |
| Sales | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| Skilled/Semi-Skilled | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Technical | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Service worker | 7 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 5 |
| Unskilled labor | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| High school or college student | 27 | 29 | 22 | 24 | 8 | 12 |
| Housewife | 8 | 15 | 17 | 16 | 23 | 21 |
| Retired | 16 | 27 | 16 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| Not employed | 5 | I | 3 | I | 3 | 3 |
| Other | 3 | 1 | I | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

The results show that approximately one in four of the bus riders were high school or college students, while only 8 percent of the nonriders fell into this category. More moderate users (27 percent) indicated they were retired than did the other ridership groups. Nearly one in four (23 percent) of the nonriders reported they were housewives.

It appears that the majority of bus riders are high school or college students. The majority of nonriders are housewives. Since the nonrider group is the dominating group, 21 percent of the total respondents are housewives, also.

The typical respondent in this survey was female, whose occupation was housewife, and who used the bus system for shopping or work purposes. The males of the survey use the bus system for shopping and work also, and are predominantly high school or college siudents, professionals, or are retired.

## ADVERTISING AWARENESS

Respondents were asked if they had been exposed to any local transit system advertising. Of those who had been exposed, most respondents cited newspapers, followed by "other" places, radio and television. The particular radio station, television station, or newspaper most frequently mentioned varied, depending on the community surveyed. Most respondents reported they regularly watch TV, followed by listen to the radio and read newspapers.

## Radio Station Listening

Respondents were asked if they had heard any local transit system radio announcements. The following table shows the percentage of respondents and their reply to each response category:

|  | Bus Rider Usage |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heavy | Moderate | Light | Other | Nonriders | Total Respondents |
| Heard |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Announcements | $N=\frac{409}{\underline{\%}}$ | $\frac{236}{\underline{\%}}$ | $\frac{749}{\%}$ | $\frac{111}{\%}$ | $\frac{3,374}{\underline{\%}}$ | $\frac{4,903}{\%}$ |
| Yes or think so | 23 | 20 | 29 | 36 | 28 | 27 |
| No | 74 | 78 | 69 | 63 | 70 | 71 |
| Don't know | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

The majority of bus riders and nonriders alike indicated they had not heard any local transit system radio announcements. There were no major differences between the rider and nonrider groups. However, within the rider groups, heavy and moderate users ( 74 percent and 78 percent, resper fively) were nore likely nol to bave heard any radio announcements than light and other users. ( 69 percent and 63 percent, respectively). This may be due to the fact that the majority of announcements are aired during the morning hours of 7-9 a.m. Thus, heavy and moderate users would not hear the announcements because they are traveling to work by bus during these hours.

Listed below are tables for each community indicating the percentages of respondents who heard announcements on specific radio stations:

## ANN ARBOR

| Radio Stations | Bus Riders | Nonriders |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WAAM | $35 \%$ | $34 \%$ | Total Respondents |
| WIQB | 12 | 4 | $34 \%$ |
| WNRS | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| WPAG | 2 | 6 | 1 |
| WYFC | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| Other | 7 | 7 | 3 |
| Don't know | -39 | 46 | 7 |
| Totals | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 43 |
|  | $(N=57)$ | $(N=106)$ | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $N=163)$ |

The radio station on which most respondents heard Ann Arbor Transportation Authority (AATA) announcements was WAAM. There were no major differences between the bus rider and nonrider groups.

GRAND RAPIDS

| Radio Stations | Bus.Riders | Nonriders | Total Respondents |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WCUZ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| WFFX | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| WFUR | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| WGRD | 9 | 6 | 6 |
| WJFM | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| WKWM | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| WLAV | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| WMAX | 23 | 2 | 3 |
| WOOD | 0 | 30 | 29 |
| WYGR | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| Other | 36 | 2 | 3 |
| Don't know | $100 \%$ | 42 | 41 |
| Totals | $(\mathrm{N}=56)$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{~N}=160)$ | $(\mathrm{N}=216)$ |

Grand Rapids Area Transit Authority (GRATA) radio announcements were heard on WOOD by approximately one out of four bus riders, and three out of ten nonriders.

| Radio Stations | Bus Riders | Nonriders | Total Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WBUK | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| WKMI | 28 | 29 | 30 |
| WKPR | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| WKZO | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| WMUK | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| WQLR | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| WYYY | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Other | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Don't know | 29 | 29 | 30 |
| Totals | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{~N}=131) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{~N}=255) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ (N=382) \end{array}$ |

WKZO was more frequently cited by bus riders and nonriders as the radio station where they heard Metro Transit System announcements. This was followed by radio station WKMI.

LANSING

| Radio Stations | Bus Riders | Nonriders | Total Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WFMK | 24\% | 19\% | 21\% |
| WILS | 13 | 10 | 11 |
| WITL | 12 | 13 | 13 |
| WJIM | 11 | 15 | 13 |
| WKAR | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| WVIC | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Don't know | 27 | 31 | 29 |
| Totals | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{~N}=132) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{~N}=239) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ (N=371) \end{array}$ |

Bus riders and nonriders heard Capital Area Transportation Authority (CATA) radio announcements more often on WFMK than on any other station. The second most frequently reported station for bus riders was WILS, and W JIM for nonriders.

SAGINAW

| Radio Stations | Bus Riders | Nonriders | Total Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WI06 | 0\% | 4\% | 3\% |
| WGER | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| WHNN | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| WKCQ | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| WKNX | 10 | 3 | 4 |
| WRDD | 5 | 0 | I |
| WSAM | 0 | 13 | 11 |
| WSGW | 0 | 12 | 11 |
| WWWS | 30 | 5 | 8 |
| Other | 10 | 6 | 7 |
| Don't know | 40 | 48 | 47 |
| Totals | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{~N}=20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ (N=155) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ (N=175) \end{array}$ |

Three out of ten of the bus riders indicated WWWS as the radio station where they heard Saginaw Transit System announcements. One out of ten bus riders heard announcements on WKNX. Thirteen percent of the nonriders reported WSAM as the station, followed by 12 percent citing WSGW.

Respondents were asked if they regularly listen to the radio. The responses to this question are tabulated below:

Bus Rider Usage


The majority of bus riders and nonriders indicated they regularly listen to the radio. Within the bus rider groups light and other users listen somewhat more frequently than either heavy or moderate users.

## Television Station Viewing

As with radio, respondents were asked if they had seen any local transit system television announcements. The following table lists the responses to this question:

|  |  |  | Rider U |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heavy | Moderate | Light | Other | Nonriders | Total Respondents |
| Seen Announcements? | $N=\frac{409}{\underline{\%}}$ | $\frac{236}{\%}$ | $\frac{749}{\%}$ | $\frac{111}{\underline{\%}}$ | $\frac{3,370}{\underline{\%}}$ | $\frac{4,899}{\underline{\%}}$ |
| Yes or think so | 20 | 23 | 21 | 15 | 20 | 20 |
| No | 77 | 74 | 76 | 85 | 77 | 77 |
| Don't know | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Most respondents had not seen any local transit system television announcements. There were no major differences between bus rider groups and nonriders.

Listed below are tables for each community, indicating the percentages of respondents who saw announcements on specific television stations:

| ANN ARBOR |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TV Stations | Bus Riders | Nonriders | Total | Respondents |
| WJIM-TV | 9\% | 4\% |  | 6\% |
| WDIV-TV | 0 | 4 |  | 2 |
| WXYZ-TV | 9 | 4 |  | 6 |
| Other | 9 | 4 |  | 6 |
| Don't know | 73 | 84 |  | 80 |
| Totals | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (N=11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{~N}=25) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{~N}=36) \end{gathered}$ |

The majority of respondents reported they did not know where they saw the AATA TV announcements. There were no major differences between the bus rider and nonrider groups.

| TV Stations | Bus Riders | Nonriders | Total Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WOTV-TV | 36\% | 36\% | 36\% |
| WKZO-TV | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| WUHQ-TV | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| WZZM-TV | 20 | 18 | 19 |
| Don't know | 39 | 43 | 42 |
| Totals | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{~N}=69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ (N=141) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{~N}=210) \end{array}$ |

GRATA TV announcements were reportedly seen on WOTV Television by 36 percent of both bus riders and nonriders. WZZM-TV was indicated by one out of five bus riders and 18 percent of the nonriders.

| TV Stations | Bus Riders | Nonriders |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total Respondents |
| WKZO-TV | $74 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| WUHQ-TV | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| WOTV-TV | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| Don't know | $\underline{23}$ | $\underline{20}$ | $\underline{22}$ |
| Totals | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | $(N=92)$ | $(N=197)$ | $(N=289)$ |

Television station WKZO-TV was reported by approximately three out of four respondents as the TV station where they saw Metro Transit System announcements. There were no major differences between the bus rider and nonrider groups.

LANSING

| TV Stations | Bus Riders | Nonriders |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WILX-TV | $16 \%$ | $17 \%$ | Total Respondents |
| WJIM-TV | 62 | 59 | $17 \%$ |
| WJRT-TV | 0 | 1 | 61 |
| WKAR-TV | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| WUHQ-TV | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | $\underline{10}$ | 1 | 0 |
| Don't know | $100 \%$ | $\underline{1}$ | 1 |
| Totals | $(N=127)$ | $100 \%$ | 20 |
|  |  | $(N=218)$ | $100 \%$ |
|  |  | $N=345)$ |  |

The majority of respondents reported WJIM-TV as the TV station where they saw CATA announcements. WILX-TV was the second most frequently mentioned station. There were no major differences between the bus rider and nonrider groups.

SAGINAW

| TV Stations | Bus Riders | Nonriders |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $25 \%$ | $12 \%$ | Total Respondents |
| WEYI-TV | 0 | 15 | $13 \%$ |
| WJRT-TV | 50 | 28 | 14 |
| WNEM-TV | 0 | 1 | 29 |
| Other | $\underline{25}$ | $\underline{4}$ | 1 |
| Don't know | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 43 |
| Totals | $(\mathrm{N}=4)$ | $(\mathrm{N}=68)$ | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $(\mathrm{~N}=72)$ |

Twenty-nine percent of the respondents reported WNEM-TV as the TV station where they saw Saginaw Transit System announcements. WJRT-TV was the second most frequently mentioned station (14 percent), closely followed by WEYI-TV (I3 percent).

Respondents were asked if they regularly watch television. The responses to this question are tabulated as follows:

|  | Heavy | Moderate | Light | Other | Nonriders | Total Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regularly Watch | $N=\frac{408}{\%}$ | $\frac{236}{\underline{\%}}$ | $\frac{748}{\%}$ | $\frac{111}{\%}$ | $\frac{3,375}{\%}$ | $\frac{4,900}{\%}$ |
| Yes | 69 | 76 | 73 | 78 | 76 | 75 |
| No | 29 | 23 | 25 | 22 | 22 | 23 |
| TV is broken or don't have TV | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Other | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

As with radio, the majority of bus riders and nonriders indicated they regularly watch TV. There were no significant differences between bus rider groups and nonriders.

## Newspaper Readership

Respondents were asked if they had seen any local transit system newspaper ads. The following table shows the responses to this question:

|  | Bus Rider Usage |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heavy | Moderate | Light | Other | Nonriders | Total Respondents |
| Seen Ads | $N=\frac{409}{\underline{\%}}$ | $\frac{236}{\underline{\%}}$ | $\frac{748}{\%}$ | $\frac{111}{\%}$ | $\frac{3,374}{\underline{\%}}$ | $\frac{4,901}{\underline{\%}}$ |
| Yes | 52 | 45 | 46 | 46 | 38 | 41 |
| No | 47 | 51 | 52 | 50 | 59 | 56 |
| Don't know | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Other | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Mosi of the bus rider groups and nonriders said "no" they had not seen any local transit system newspaper ads. Within the ridership groups, however, the percentage of users ( $45-52$ percent) who reporfed they had seen ads was greater than for nonriders ( 38 percent).

Listed below are tables for each community indicating the percentage of respondents who saw advertisements in specific newspapers:

ANN ARBOR

| Newspapers | Bus Riders | Nonriders |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total Respondents |
| Ann Arbor News | $94 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| Michigan Daily | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Ypsilanti Press | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| Other | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Don't know | - | 1 | 1 |
| Totals | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | $(N=186)$ | $(N=267)$ | $(N=453)$. |

The majority of respondents indicated the Ann Arbor News as the newspaper where they saw the AATA advertisements. There were no major differences between bus riders and nonriders.

GRAND RAPIDS

| Newspapers | Bus Riders | Nonriders | Total Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grand Rapids Press | 94\% | 95\% | 94\% |
| Grand Rapids Times | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Don't know | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Totals | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ (N=109) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ (N=199) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (N=308) \end{gathered}$ |

GRATA newspoper advertisements were seen in the Grand Rapids Press by most respondents. There were no major differences between the bus riders and nonriders.

KALAMAZOO

| Newspapers | Bus Riders | Nonriders | Total Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kalamazoo Gazette | 97\% | 95\% | 96\% |
| Other | 1 | 1 | I |
| Don't know | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| Totals | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{~N}=207) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{~N}=348) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ (N=555) \end{array}$ |

The Kalamazoo Gazette was most frequently reported by bus riders and nonriders as the newspaper where they saw Metro Transit System advertisements.

LANSING

| Newspapers | Bus Riders | Nonriders | Total Respondents |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Journal | $80 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| MSU State News | 12 | 6 | 8 |
| E.L. Towne Courier | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Lansing Star | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Don't know | -3 | 1 | 2 |
| Totals | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | $(N=184)$ | $(N=281)$ | $(N=465)$ |

Respondents saw CATA newspaper ads more often in the State Journal than any other newspaper. Nonriders saw the ads more frequently than bus riders ( 93 percent versus 80 percent).

SAGINAW

Newspapers
Saginaw News Other
Don't know
Totals

Bus Riders

| $96 \%$ |
| :---: |
| 0 |
| -4 |
| $100 \%$ |
| $(\mathrm{~N}=25)$ |

Nonriders | $97 \%$ |
| :---: |
| 1 |
| 2 |

100\% ( $N=175$ )

Total Respondents
97\%

| 1 |
| :--- |
| 2 |

100\%
( $\mathrm{N}=200$ )

The Saginaw News was the leading newspaper where respondents reported they saw Saginaw Transit System advertisements. There were no major differences between bus riders and nonriders.

Respondents were asked if they regularly read a local newspaper. The responses to this question are tabulated below:

|  | Bus Rider Usage |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heavy | Moderate | Light | Other | Nonriders | Total Respondents |
| Regularly Read | $N=\frac{408}{\%}$ | $\frac{235}{\%}$ | $\frac{749}{\%}$ | $\frac{111}{\%}$ | $\frac{3,375}{\%}$ | $\frac{4,900}{\%}$ |
| Yes | 65 | 67 | 66 | 72 | 69 | 68 |
| No | 23 | 20 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 21 |
| Sometimes | 11 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 10 |
| Other | 1 | $\underline{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

The majority of bus riders and nonriders regularly read a local newspaper. The results indicate no major differences between bus rider groups and nonriders.

Other Media Exposure

Respondents were asked if there were any other places that they had seen, heard, or read advertisements, or otherwise obtained information about the local transit system. The following table shows the responses to this question:

## Bus Rider Usage

|  | Heavy | Moderate | Light | Other | Nonriders | Total Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Places | $N=\frac{407}{\underline{\%}}$ | $\frac{236}{\%}$ | $\frac{475}{\%}$ | $\frac{111}{\%}$ | $\frac{3,367}{\%}$ | $\frac{4,890}{\%}$ |
| Yes or think so | 35 | 29 | 32 | 33 | 27 | 29 |
| No | 59 | 65 | 63 | 60 | 68 | 66 |
| Don't know | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Most of the respondents indicated they had not obtained information about the transit system from any other source than those previously listed. Of those who had obtained information from another place, the breakdown is as follows:

Bus Rider Usage


The most common source given was billboards, followed by "other" places. Within the ridership groups "other" users, however, reversed this trend, with a first ranking of "other" places, followed by billboards.

In conclusion, most respondents watch television, listen to the radio and read a newspaper on a regular basis. However, most reported they had not seen, heard or read advertisements through any of these media. This seemed to hold true without much difference between riders and nonriders. The next largest source of information about the transit system was billboards, an outdoor medium.

## APPENDICES

$\qquad$
$\qquad$ REFUSAL:

PHONE NO.:
COMPLETION:

INTERVIEWER INITIALS:

*     *         * INSTRUCTIONS TO INTERVIEWERS * * * RE-SCHEDULE:

ALL INSTRUCTIONS TO INTERVIEWERS ARE CAPITALIZED.
1.
2.
3.

TO THE RESPONDENT. BELOW THE RESPONDENT IS
INDICATED BY "R"。

EACH TIME YOU TRY A PHONE NUMBER, NOTE IN THE BOXES (UPPER LEFT) THE DAY AND THE HOUR OF THE DAY. IF NO ONE ANSWERS, GO ON TO THE NEXT PERSON TO BE CALLED. IF THE PHONE IS ANSWERED, BUT NO "R" WHO IS OLD ENOUGH (I.E., OLDER THAN 16) IS THERE, ATTEMPT TO FIND OUT THE BEST TIME TO CALL AGAIN AND NOTE THAT TIME AND DAY DOWN IN THE RESCHEDUL.E BOX (MID-RIGHT).

IF AN APPROPRIATE "R" DOES ANSWER, INTRODUCE YOURSELF AS A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN - AND SAY ...

Hello, my name is $\qquad$ , with the Michigan Department of Transportation. The Department of Transportation is conducting a survey to help in planning bus service in the area. Your assistance will be greatly appreciated. The questions will take a few minutes of your time. Is this a convenient time for me to speak with you? IF "YES," CONTINUE. IF "NO," ASK FOR RESCHEDULE TIME AND NOTE ABOVE. My first question is: (DETERMINE (WITHOUT ASKING) "R" is $\qquad$ MALE, $\qquad$ FEMALE):

1. Is there a city bus system in the $\qquad$ area?
A _ YES OR THINK SO
B NO (IF NO, GO TO QUESTION 32)
C ——DON'T KNOW (GO TO QUESTION 32)
2. What is the name of it?
3. Have you personally used the bus service in $\qquad$ during the past year?
$\stackrel{\text { YES (IF YES, GO TO 5) } \mathrm{B}}{\mathrm{C}} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { DO (IF NO, GO TO } 4 \text { THEN 7) }\end{aligned}$
4. Is there any particular reason you don't ride the bus?

| A | NO. |
| :---: | :---: |
| B | DONT NEED TO, HAVE A CAR. |
| C | DOESN'T STOP NEAR ME, (OR) I LIVE IN THE COUNTRY. |
| D | DOESN'T GO WHERE I WANT TO GO. |
| E | DOESN'T GO WHENT WANT TO GO. |
| F | TAKES TOO LONG. |
| G | COSTS TOO MUCH. |
| H | IT'S INCONVENIENT. |
| 1 | IT'S UNRELIABLE. |
| J | IT'S UNCOMFORTABLE. |
| K | IT'S NOT SAFE. |
| L | I DONT LIKE BUSES. |
| M | I DON'T LIKE THE PEOPLE WHO RIDE BUSES. |
| N | JUST NEVER THOUGHT ABOUT IT OR GOT AROUND TO IT. |
| O | OTHER. |

5. How often do you use the bus service? (MENTION THE 5 OPTIONS)
A $\qquad$ ONCE A YEAR
B $\qquad$ ONCE A MONTH ONCE A WEEK
D

6. For what purpose(s) do you use the bus service?
A
B $\qquad$ WORK
C $\qquad$
PERSONAL BUSINESS
D $\qquad$ SCHOOL

| E | VISITS OR RECREATION |
| :--- | :--- |
| F | DINING |
| G | MEDICAL |
| H | WHEN I DONT HAVE A CAR/ |
| WHEN CAR IS IN GARAGE |  |
| W OTHER (SPECIFY |  |

7. Have any other members of your household used the bus service during the past year?
A $\qquad$ YES
B $\qquad$ NO (IF NO, GO TO IO)
C $\qquad$ DONT KNOW (GO TO 10)

IF THEY MENTION WHO, CHECK:
7a. A HUSBAND/WIFE B_SON/DAUGHTER/KIDS
$\square$ ROOMMATE $\qquad$ OTHER (SPECIFY $\qquad$ MOTHER/FATHER
D $\qquad$ )
8. How offen do other members use the bus service? (MENTION THE 5 OPTIONS)
A
ONCE A YEAR
$B$ ONCE A MONTH
$\stackrel{D}{E}+$
ALMOST EVERY DAY
C
ONCE A WEEK
DAIL. Y
9. For what purpose(s) do the other members use the bus service?
A
$\mathrm{B}=$
$\mathrm{C}=$
$\mathrm{D}=$
WORK

| VISITS OR RECREATION |
| :--- |
| $\underset{F}{E} \quad$ DINING |
| GEDICAL |
| $H$ |
| HHEN I DON'T HAVE A CAR/ |
| WHEN CAR IS IN GARAGE |

10. How much does it cost for a ride on the bus?

D
$\mathrm{E}=$ SENIOR CITIZEN RATE
$\mathrm{F}=$ PASS/PUNCH CARD
$\mathrm{G}-$
DONT KNOW (GO TO I2)
OTHER (GO TO 12)
II. Do you think this fare is:
A
TOO MUCH $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{DONT}$ KNOW
OTHER
11. How far do you live from the nearest bus route?
A $\qquad$ ONE OR TWO BLOCKS

| $D$ |
| :--- |
| $E$ | HALF MILE TO ONE MILE

B $\qquad$ THREE OR FOUR BLOCKS QUARTER MILE TO HALF MILE

13. Would you use the bus more if the bus routes were closer?

14. Do you know how often the bus comes by?
A
YES
B NO
${ }_{C}^{B}=-$
$\underset{E}{C}=$
DONT KNOW (GO TO 16) DOESNT SEEM TO FOLLOW SCHEDULE/IT VARIES OTHER (GO TO I6)
15. Would you use the bus more if it came by more frequently?

16. Does the bus system serve the areas to which you most frequently travel?
A $\qquad$ YES
B $\qquad$ NO
C $\qquad$ DONT KNOW
17. Do you know how to obtain bus information?
A $\qquad$ YES
B $\qquad$ NO
C $\qquad$ DON'T KNOW
18. With the rising gas prices of the last few weeks, have you considered...

A $\qquad$ RIDING THE BUS?
B
B
GETTING IN A CARPOOL?
C $\quad$ DRIVING LESS?
D——DO GAS PRICES AFFECT YOU?
Response:
A $\qquad$
$\stackrel{B}{C}$
C-
DON'T KNOW
D
$\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{YES}$ HAVEN'T THOUGHT ABOUT IT OTHER
19. Do you think of the bus service as a viable, valuable energy conservation measure?
A $\qquad$ YES $\qquad$ NO
C $\qquad$ DON'T KNOW
20. What improvements would you like to see in the city bus system that would cause you to use the bus more often?

| A | LOWER FARES | H | EXPANDED SERVICE HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | MORE CONVENIENT ROUTES | 1 | AVAILABLE CHANGE |
| C | CLOSER STOPS | J | BETTER TRANSER SYSTEM |
| D | MORE FREQUENT SERVICE | K | BETTER ROUTE AND |
| E | MORE BUS SHEL TERS |  | SCHEDULE INFORMATION |
| F | FASTER SERVICE | L | OTHER |
| G | MORE COURTEOUS DRIVERS |  | NO CHANGES NEEDED |
|  |  | N | I WOULD NOT USE THE BUS |

During the past year the Transit Authority has advertised its service in local newspapers and on local radio stations:
21. Have you heard any $\qquad$ radio announcements?

A $\qquad$ YES (GO TO QUESTION 22) OR THINK SO
B $\qquad$ NO (GO TO QUESTION 23) DON'T KNOW (GO TO QUESTION 23)

D $\qquad$ OTHER
22. On which station or stations did you hear the announcements? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

23. Do you regularly listen to the radio?

24. Have you seen any $\qquad$ TV announcements?

A $\qquad$ YES (GO TO QUESTION 25) OR THINK SO
B $\qquad$ NO (GO TO QUESTION 26)
C $\qquad$ DON'T KNOW (GO TO QUESTION 26)
("R" MAY ALSO ANSWER Q. 26 HERIE. IF SO COMPLETE 26 AND GO TO Q.27.)
25. On which station or stations did you see the announcements? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

| LANSING | GR | KZOO | AA | SAGINAW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A WILX (Ch. 10 ) | A WOTV(Ch.8) | A WKZO (Ch.3) | A WTVS (Ch.56) | A WEY1 (Ch.25) |
| B WWJiM (Ch.6) | B WKZO (Ch.3) | B WUHQ (Ch.41) | B WJIM (Ch.6) | B WJRT (Ch.12) |
| C-WJRT (Chal 2) | C-WUHQ (Ch.41) | C-WOTV (Ch.8) | C-WILX ( $\mathrm{Ch}, 10$ ) | C-WUCM (Ch.19) |
| D WKAR (Ch.23) | D-WZZM (Ch.13) | D-WZZM (Ch.13) | D-WJBK (Ch.2) | D WNEM (Ch.5) |
| E_WUHQ (Ch.41) | E-OTHER | E-OTHER | E-WDIV (Ch.4) | E-OTHER |
| F OTHER | F_DON'T KNOW | F_DON'T KNOW | F-WXYZ (Ch.7) | F_DON'T KNOW |
| G_DON'T KNOW |  |  | G-OTHER <br> HDON'T KNOW |  |

26. Do you regularly watch TV?

| A | YES |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{B}=$ | NO |
| $\mathrm{C}=$ | TV IS BROKEN OR DON'T HAVE TV |
| $\mathrm{D}=$ | OTHER |

27. Have you seen any $\qquad$ newspaper ads?

| A | YES (GO TO QUESTION 28) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | ("R" MAY ALSO ANSWER Q. 29 HERE. |  |
| C | NO (GO TO QUESTION 29) |  |
| D | DONTT KNOW (GO TO QUESTION 29) | IF SO, COMPLETE 29 AND GO TO |
| OTHER | Q.30.) |  |

28. In which of the papers did you see the ads? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

|  | LANSING |  | GR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | STATE JOURNAL | A | GRAND RAPIDS PRESS |
| B | MSU STATE NEWS | B | GRAND RAPIDS TIMES |
| C | E.L. TOWNE COURIER | C | GRAND VALLEY SHOPPERS' GUIDE |
| D | LANSING STAR | D | NORTH KENT LEADER |
| E | WHEELER DEALER | E | THE PHOTO REPORTER |
| F | OTHER | F | OTHER |
| G | DON'T KNOW | G | DON'T KNOW |
|  | KZOO |  | AA |
| A | KZOO GAZETTE | A | A.A. NEWS |
| B | PORTAGE HERALD-HEADLINER | B | E.M.U. EASTERN ECHO |
| $\mathrm{C}-$ | THREE RIVERS COMMERCIAL | C | MICHIGAN DAILY |
| D | OTHER | D | YPSILANTI PRESS |
| E | DON'T KNOW | E | OTHER |
|  | SAGINAW |  |  |
| A | SAGINAW NEWS |  |  |
| B | OTHER |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}^{-}$ | DON'T KNOW |  |  |

29. Do you regularly read a local newspaper?
A $\qquad$ YES
B $\qquad$ NO
C $\qquad$ SOMETIMES
30. Are there any other places that you have seen, heard or read advertisements or information about the transit system?

A
B $\qquad$ YES (GO TO QUESTION 3I) OR THINK SO
NO (GO TO QUESTION 32)
C
 DON'T KNOW (GO TO QUESTION 32) OTHER
31. Where? A


BILLBOARDS F
F AD FOR STORES/
BULLFTIN BOARDS
DISPLAYS
INSTITUTIONS WHICH
MENTION THAT THEY
CAN BE REACHED BY BUS
NEWS ARTICLES OTHER $\qquad$ have special bus services for elderly people?
A
C $\qquad$ THINK SO
D DON'T KNOW
YES
NO
$\qquad$
32. Does
33. Does $\qquad$ have special bus services for handicapped people?
A $\qquad$ YES
B $\qquad$ NO
… NO
C $\qquad$

THINK SO
34. What is your usual means of transportation?
A

B _... BUS
$\mathrm{C}-\cdots \mathrm{DART}$
1 ————TAXI
E—FRIENDS OR RELATIVES TAKE ME
F BIKE, MOTORCYCLE
$\qquad$

SENIOR CITIZEN'S OR HANDICAPPER VAN

H
1
OTHER
I GO A VARIETY OF WAYS
35. How many automobiles does your household have?

| $A$ |
| :--- |
| $B-\quad 1$ |

C $\qquad$ 3
4 OR MORE
0
36. Is a vehicle normally available for your use?
A D- YES B $\qquad$ NO $\qquad$ SOMETIMES
37. Which of these age groups are you in?

```
A _OL_OLER THAN }60\mathrm{ YEARS OLD
B ———BETWEEN }40\mathrm{ AND }60\mathrm{ YEARS OLD
C ———BETWEEN 2I AND 39 YEARS OLID
D ——.....BETWEEN 16 AND 20 YEARS OLD
E --..- NO RESPONSE
```

38. What is your occupation?

| A | GENERAL OFFICE/CLERICAL |
| :--- | :--- |
| B | MANAGEMENT |
| C | GOVERNMENT |
| E | PRIVERSITY |
| F | PROPRIETOR |
| G | PROFESSIONAL |
| H | SALES |
|  | SKILLED/SEMI-SKILLED |

N
P $\qquad$
TECHNICAL SERVICE WORKER UNSKILLED LABOR HIGH SCHOOL OR COLLEGE STUDENT
M HOUSEWIFE RETIRED NOT EMPLOYED OTHER REFUSED

That was my last question . . . Thank you so much for your time! Good bye!

## APPENDIX B

## ANN ARBOR

## TELEPHONE EXCHANGES SURVEYED



## APPENDIX C

GRAND RAPIDS

## TELEPHONE EXCHANGES SURVEYED



## APPENDIX D

KALAMAZOO

## TELEPHONE EXCHANGES SURVEYED



## APPENDIX E <br> LANSING <br> TELEPHONE EXCHANGES SURVEYED



## APPENDIX F

## SAGINAW

## TELEPHONE EXCHANGES SURVEYED

| Exchange <br> Prefix | Numbers <br> Called |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 752 |
| 753 | 140 |
| 754 | 192 |
| 755 | 185 |
| 770 | 183 |
| 777 | 49 |
| 781 | 220 |
|  | 75 |
|  | 793 |
|  | 793 |
|  | 259 |
|  | 207 |
|  | 799 |



## APPENDIX G

Interview Sampling Results

|  | Ann Arbor | Grand Rapids | Lansing | Kalamazoo | Saginaw | Totals | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Date | 3-6-80 | 2-11-80 | 1-23-80 | 2-21-80 | 4-28-80 | 1-23-80 |  |
| Finish Date | 3-18-80 | 2-21-80 | 2-11-80 | 3-6-80 | 6-6-80 | 6-6-80 |  |
| Ratio | 1:20 | 1:59 | 1:47 | 1:34 | 1:20 |  |  |
| Interviews Taken | 1,193 | 1,196 | 1,175 | 1,200 | 1,098 | 5,862 | 58.3 |
| Disconnected or Changed | 183 | 80 | 242 | 80 | 159 | 744 | 7.4 |
| Businesses* | 21 | 44 | 41 | 32 | 25 | 163 | 1.6 |
| Refusals | 180 | 313 | 224 | 176 | 255 | 1,148 | 11.4 |
| No Answer ${ }^{* *}$ | 520 | 454 | 391 | 440 | 336 | 2,141 | $\underline{21.3}$ |
| Numbers Called | 2,097 | 2,087 | 2,073 | 1,928 | 1,873 | 10,058 | 100.0 |

*Businesses were not included in survey.
**Numbers tried three times with no answer.


