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## STUDY OF DOWEL BAR COATINGS IN BOND STRESS

The second section of this investigation deals with the study of various types of paints, asphalts, tars, oils and greases used as coatings on dowel bars to destroy the bond between the steel bar and concrete. The materials were rated according to effect of relieving bond stress, application of coating and thickness of film applied. To ascertain these results the following procedure was used.

Each bar was coated for 7-1/2 in. of its length at one end and a cap fitted over the painted end for $2-1 / 2 \mathrm{in}$. of its length. These bars were molded in 6 by 12 in . cylinders and imbedded in the center of cylinders to a depth of 7 in . After a 7 -day curing period the bars were removed from the concrete cylinders by pulling on a tension machine with the head moving at the rate of 0.314 in . per min. with resultant stress required to extract dowel recorded. An average of three tests for each type of material was considered sufficient for a comparative analysis. Although the rate at which the bars were removed from concrete cylinders does not coincide with the action of dowel and pavement in slab structure, the results contained herein afford a relative comparison between various coated and uncoated bars, five tests being made on the latter, It is the assumption that the research as conducted in the laboratory is a true indication of effectiveness of coatings in field practice.

In Table I of dowel bar stresses the various types of coatings investigated are listed including results of determinations of drying time, load required to extract dowel, and bond stress. The initial load represents the total load required to break initial bond and final load represents the constant total load while removing dowel. These loads are in turn transferred to bond stress in pounds per square inch of surface area both for initial and constant strain. The bond stress was computed for a surface
area of $\mathbf{1 0 . 6}$ sq. in. which is the total area of bar in contact with the concrete as 2.5 in . is covered with the sleeve cap allowing a 4.5 in . effective length of dowel bar.

On the basis of least bond stress the material best suited for dowel bar coating is grease, both universal and cup, but the feature of application is unfavorable for use in actual construction. Next in efficiency for relieving bond is two coats of tar, $\mathrm{TP}-\mathbf{2}$, but in this case the film of tar is excessively heavy, approximately $1 / 16$ in., defeating the purpose of the dowel bar in transferring of load.

A further study was made of materials most suitable for use by measurement of film applied to bars. Five bars were dipped in each of the following materials: Red lead, $\mathrm{RC}-1, \mathrm{RC}-2, \mathrm{AE}-5$, and Chicago Paint Works paint. A determination of film thickness on bars coated with the above materials was made and the results are compiled in Table II. From the combined observations of method of application, effect of reducing bond stress and thickness of coating applied, the five recommended types are rated in the following descending order: $\mathrm{RC}-1$, coating 0.001 in, ; Chicago Paint Works,
 ing 0.013 in . All of the above approved materials are easily applied without heating by one dipping with excess material permitted to drain off,

## Conclusions

From the results of this investigation of typical effective coating would be a material that could be applied in a thin film and dry to touch in a few hours without acquiring the hard finish of lacquer.

Red lead, asphaltic oils RC-1, and RC-2, and a commercial product manufactured by the Chicago Paint Works are most satisfactory for combination of breaking bond, ease of application and a minimum film. Both one and two coat tests were conducted, but it was discovered that one thickness was sufficient and as effective. Linseed oil coating
over paint did not decrease the bond stress. The remaining materials listed gave bond stress results much in excess of above mentioned and are not considered efficient for the purpose intended.

TABULATION OF DOWEL BAR STRESSES

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Specimen } \\ \text { No. }_{4} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Coating | Dry to Touch | Total Load |  | Bond Stress <br> lb. per sq. in. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Initial | Final | Initial | Final |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | Uncoated | Avg. | 4650 <br> 4940 <br> 5190 <br> 5350 <br> 4560 <br> 4938 | $\begin{aligned} & 2340 \\ & 2160 \\ & 1970 \\ & 3700 \\ & 1950 \\ & 2424 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 438 \\ & 466 \\ & 490 \\ & 505 \\ & 431 \\ & 465 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 221 \\ & 204 \\ & 186 \\ & 349 \\ & 184 \\ & 229 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | Universal <br> Grease | Avg. | 0 0 0 0 | 0 70 0 23 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 7 0 2 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | Cup <br> Grease | Avg. | $\begin{gathered} 180 \\ - \\ - \\ \hline 180 \end{gathered}$ | 90 75 60 75 | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ - \\ - \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 7 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 13 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | Red Lead 1 coat | 24 hr . <br> Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & 150 \\ & 280 \\ & 193 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & 150 \\ & 280 \\ & 193 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 14 \\ & 26 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 14 \\ & 26 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 16 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | Red Lead 2 coats | 24 hr 。 <br> Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & 280 \\ & 290 \\ & 280 \\ & 283 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 160 \\ & 180 \\ & 185 \\ & 175 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 27 \\ & 26 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 17 \\ & 17 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 19 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | Red Lead <br> Linseed <br> Oil <br> 1 coat | Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & 490 \\ & 650 \\ & 520 \\ & 553 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 220 \\ & 310 \\ & 290 \\ & 273 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \\ 61 \\ 49 \\ 52 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 29 \\ & 27 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 22 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | Red Lead <br> Linseed <br> Oil <br> 2 coats | Avg, | $\begin{aligned} & 280 \\ & 270 \\ & 260 \\ & 270 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & 160 \\ & 170 \\ & 160 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 25 \\ & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 15 \\ & 16 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |

Table I-cont'd

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Specimen } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Coating | Dry to Touch | Total Load |  | Bond Stress <br> 1b. per sq. in. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Initial | Final | Initial | Final |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 25 \\ & 26 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RC-1 } \\ & 1 \text { coat } \end{aligned}$ | 3 hr . | 310 | 270 | 29 | 25 |
|  |  |  | 350 | 270 | 33 | 25 |
|  |  |  | 320 | 270 | 30 | 25 |
|  |  | Avg. | 350 | 270 | 33 | 25 |
|  |  |  | 333 | 270 | 31 | 25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 29 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | RC-2 <br> 1 coat | 2 hr . | 390 | 290 | 37 | 27 |
|  |  |  | 280 | 260 | 26 | 25 |
|  |  | Avg. | 410 | 320 | 39 | 30 |
|  |  |  | 360 | 290 | 34 | 27 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & 32 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | RC-2 <br> 2 coats | 2 hr . | 380 | 290 | 36 | 27 |
|  |  |  | 360 | 280 | 34 | 26 |
|  |  | Avg. | 430 | 270 | 41 | 25 |
|  |  |  | 390 | 280 | 37 | 26 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 35 \\ & 36 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | Chicago <br> Paint <br> Works <br> Pent. 52 <br> 1 coat | 2 hr . | 350 | 140 | 33 | 13 |
|  |  |  | 270 | 150 | 25 | 14 |
|  |  |  | 280 | 190 | 26 | 18 |
|  |  | Avg. | 400 | 220 | 38 | 21 |
|  |  |  | 325 | 175 | 31 | 17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 39 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | Chicago <br> Paint <br> Works <br> Pent. 52 <br> 2 coats | 2 hr | 750 | 370 | 71 | 35 |
|  |  |  | 280 | 150 | 26 | 14 |
|  |  |  | 290 | 160 | 27 | 15 |
|  |  | Avg. | 440 | 227 | 42 | 21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & 42 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | AE-5 <br> 1 coat | 1 hr | 720 | 500 | 68 | 47 |
|  |  |  | 620 | 510 | . 59 | 48 |
|  |  |  | 720 | 580 | 68 | 55 |
|  |  | Avg. | 687 | 530 | 65 | 50 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 45 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | AE-5 <br> 2 coats | 1 hr . | 500 | 300 | 47 | 28 |
|  |  |  | 380 | 220 | 36 | 21 |
|  |  |  | 390 | 260 | 40 | 25 |
|  |  | Avg. | 423 | 260 | 37 | 25 |

Table I-Cont ${ }^{\text {d }}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Specimen } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Coating | Dry to Touch | Total Load |  | Bond Stress <br> $1 \mathrm{lb}_{.}$per sq . in. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Initial | Final | Initial | Final |
| $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & 48 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | Linseed Oil |  | 780 | 450 | 74 | 42 |
|  |  |  | 780 | 500 | 74 | 47 |
|  |  |  | -- | 440 | - | 42 |
|  |  | Avg. | 780 | 463 | 74 | 44 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 51 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | \#7 Black + <br> Linseed <br> Oil <br> 1 coat |  | 780 | 480 | 74 | 45 |
|  |  |  | 730 | 440 | 69 | 42 |
|  |  |  | 660 | 390 | 62 | 37 |
|  |  | Avg. | 723 | 437 | 68 | 41 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 54 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | \#7 Black + <br> Linseed <br> Oil <br> 2 coats |  | 590 | 420 | 56 | 40 |
|  |  |  | 490 | 330 | 46 | 31 |
|  |  |  | 400 | 300 | 38 | 28 |
|  |  | Avg. | 493 | 350 | 47 | 33 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 57 \\ & 58 \end{aligned}$ | 50/50 WOA <br> Mineral <br> Spirits <br> 1 coat | 1 hr . <br> Avg. | 940 | 560 | 89 | 53 |
|  |  |  | 1060 | 680 | 100 | 64 |
|  |  |  | 990 | 680 | 93 | 64 |
|  |  |  | 997 | 640 | 94 | 61 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 59 \\ & 60 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | TCP-2 <br> 1 coat | 30 min , | 680 | 500 | 64 | 47 |
|  |  |  | 860 | 660 | 81 | 62 |
|  |  |  | 500 | 370 | 47 | 35 |
|  |  | Avg. | 680 | 510 | 64 | 48 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ 63 \\ 64 \end{array}$ | AE-1 <br> 1 coat | 1 hr | 1180 | 780 | 111 | 74 |
|  |  |  | 730 | 450 | 69 | 42 |
|  |  |  | 1030 | 570 | 96 | 54 |
|  |  | Avg. | 980 | 600 | 92 | 57 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 66 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | SC-1 <br> 1 coat |  | 600 | 370 | 57 | 35 |
|  |  |  | 1420 | 760 | 134 | 72 |
|  |  |  | 1450 | 1010 | 137 | 95 |
|  |  | Avg。 | 1157 | 713 | 109 | 67 |

Table I - Cont ${ }^{\text {d }}$ d

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Specimen } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Coating | Dry to Touch | Total Load |  | Bond Stress lb . per sq. in. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Initial | Final | Initial | Final |
| $\begin{aligned} & 68 \\ & 69 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | MC-1 $1 \text { coat }$ | 24 hr . <br> Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & 1120 \\ & 1440 \\ & 1280 \\ & 1280 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 860 \\ 1080 \\ 1020 \\ 987 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106 \\ & 136 \\ & 121 \\ & 121 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \\ 102 \\ 96 \\ 93 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 71 \\ & 72 \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ | \#7 Black <br> 1 coat | 1 hr . <br> Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & 1530 \\ & 1260 \\ & 1450 \\ & 1413 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1090 \\ 910 \\ 1190 \\ 1063 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144 \\ & 119 \\ & 137 \\ & 133 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103 \\ 86 \\ 112 \\ 100 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & 75 \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ | \#7 Black <br> 2 coats | 1 hr . <br> Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & 1530 \\ & 1310 \\ & 1590 \\ & 1477 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1080 \\ 880 \\ 1010 \\ 990 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144 \\ & 124 \\ & 150 \\ & 139 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 102 \\ 83 \\ 95 \\ 93 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 78 \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | \#7 Black <br> Tar Base <br> 1 coat | 1 hr . <br> Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & 1510 \\ & 1520 \\ & 1580 \\ & 1537 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1110 \\ & 1010 \\ & 1040 \\ & 1053 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 142 \\ & 143 \\ & 149 \\ & 145 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 \\ 95 \\ 98 \\ 99 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 81 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ | Barrett <br> Black <br> Tar Base <br> 1 coat | 1 hr Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & 1840 \\ & 3050 \\ & 3230 \\ & 2707 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1560 \\ & 2880 \\ & 3120 \\ & 2520 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 174 \\ & 288 \\ & 305 \\ & 256 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 147 \\ & 272 \\ & 294 \\ & 238 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & 84 \\ & 85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{TP}-2 \\ & 1 \text { coat } \end{aligned}$ | 30 min. <br> Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & 3420 \\ & 2750 \\ & 4940 \\ & 3703 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3230 \\ & 2430 \\ & 3890 \\ & 3183 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 322 \\ & 260 \\ & 465 \\ & 350 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 305 \\ & 229 \\ & 367 \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 86 \\ & 87 \\ & 88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{TP}-2 \\ & 2 \text { coats } \end{aligned}$ | 30 min. <br> Avg. | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 60 \\ 400 \\ 173 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 30 \\ 320 \\ 130 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 6 \\ 38 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 3 \\ 30 \\ 12 \end{array}$ |

Table I-Contrd

| Specimen No. | Coating | Dry to Touch. | Total Load |  | Bond Stress lb. per se. in. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Initial | Final | Initial | Final |
| 89 | Lansing | 1 hr . | 5120 | 4000 | 483 | 378 |
| 90 | Paint \& |  | 6280 | 6250 | 593 | 590 |
| 91 | Color |  | 5380 | 4950 | 508 | 467 |
|  | Pent. 4 <br> 1 coat | Avg. |  | 5067 | 527 |  |
| 92 | Lansing <br>  <br> Color <br> Pent. 4 <br> 2 coats | 1 hr . | 6150 | 6000 | 580 | 566 |
| 93 |  |  | 5370 | 5190 | 507 | 490 |
| 94 |  |  | 6800 | 6180 | 641 | 583 |
|  |  | Avg. | 6107 | 5790 | 577 | 546 |
| 95 | Pavement <br> Lacquer <br> White <br> 1 coat | 5 min | 7280 | 7080 | 687 | 668 |
| 96 |  |  | 7580 | 6960 | 715 | 657 |
| 97 |  |  | 8080 | 7460 | 763 | 705 |
|  |  | Avg | 7647 | 7167 | 720 | 677 |
| 98 | Pavement <br> Lacquer <br> White <br> 2 coats | 5 min . | 7500 | 7310 | 708 | 690 |
| 99 |  |  | 7020 | 6810 | 662 | 643 |
| 100 |  |  | 7640 | 7000 | 720 | 660 |
|  |  | Avg. | 7387 | 7040 | 697 | 664 |
| 101 | Std. Oil Co <br> Black <br> Pent. 97 <br> 1 coat | 1 hr . | 670 | 510 | 63 | 48 |
| 102 |  |  | 760 | 630 | 72 | 59 |
| 103 |  |  | 700 | 530 | 66 | 50 |
|  |  | Avg. | 710 | 557 | 67 | 52 |

TABLE II

DETERMINATION OF FILM THICKNESS
MEASUREMENTS IN INCHES

| Coating | Diameter by Micrometer |  |  |  |  | Average Diameter | Difference Averages | Average Film Thickness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Red Lead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coated | 0.778 | 0.785 | 0.781 | 0.779 | 0.789 | 0.782 |  |  |
| Uncoated | 0.757 | 0.754 | 0.757. | 0.758 | 0.756 | 0.756 | 0.026 | 0.0130 |
| RC-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coated | 0.758 | 0.757 | 0.759 | 0.760 | 0.761 | 0.759 |  |  |
| Uncoated | 0.757 | 0.757 | 0.755 | 0.754 | 0.750 | 0.755 | 0.004 | 0.002 |
| RC-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coated | 0.765 | 0.767 | 0.763 | 0.765 | 0.764 | 0.765 |  |  |
| Uncoated | 0.756 | 0.755 | 0.758 | 0.754 | 0.756 | 0.756 | 0.009 | 0.0045 |
| AE-5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coated | 0.767 | 0.769 | 0.766 | 0.768 | 0.767 | 0.767 |  |  |
| Uncoated | 0. 754 | 0.757 | 0.750 | 0.756 | 0.758 | 0.755 | 0.012 | 0.0060 |
| Chicago Paint Work |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coated | 0.761 | 0.762 | 0. 762 | 0. 764 | 0.763 | 0.762 |  |  |
| Uncoated | 0.753 | 0.754 | 0.756 | 0,754 | 0.752 | 0.754 | 0.008 | 0.0040 |

