HE 147.6 .M5 v.15-A

# tatewide X Transportation Analysis & Research

MICHIGAN'S STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION MODELING SYSTEM VOLUME XV-A

RAILROAD FINANCIAL

STATEWIDE RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT JANUARY, 1976

Statestille

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

## MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT

OF

## STATE HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

## BUREAU OF TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

MICHIGAN'S STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION MODELING SYSTEM VOLUME XV-A

RAILROAD FINANCIAL

STATEWIDE RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT JANUARY, 1976

## STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

E. V. Erickson

Chairman

Vice Chairman

Charles H. Hewitt

Peter B. Fletcher

Carl V. Pellonpaa

DIRECTOR

John P. Woodford

HIGHWAY COMMISSION

PETER B. FLETCHER CHAIRMAN Ypsilanti

CHARLES H. HEWITT VICE CHAIRMAN Grosse Pointe Farms

CARL V. PELLONPAA COMMISSIONER Ishpeming

HANNES MEYERS, JR. COMMISSIONER Zeeland

#### STATE OF MICHIGAN



WILLIAM G, MILLIKEN, GOVERNOR

#### DEPARTMENT OF STATE HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

STATE HIGHWAYS BUILDING, 425 WEST OTTAWA PHONE 517-373-2090 POST OFFICE DRAWER K, LANSING, MICHIGAN 48904

JOHN P. WOODFORD, DIRECTOR

January 21, 1976

Mr. Sam F. Cryderman, Deputy Director Bureau of Transportation Planning Michigan Department of State Highways and Transportation P.O. Drawer K Lansing, Michigan 48904

Dear Mr. Cryderman:

This is the first in a series of reports the Highway Planning Division will be presenting on procedures developed to monitor the simulated social, economic, and environmental impacts caused by or related to the implementation of proposed railroad plans. While a subsequent report describes those procedures utilized to predict the impact of a plan's implementation on the economic well-being of the surrounding community, this report documents techniques used to describe the economic consequences of such implementation on the finances of a particular railroad company.

The techniques described herein are to a large degree those developed by the United States Railroad Association (USRA). Special attention is given to those portions of the technique that have been modified by this Division to reflect a more realistic Michigan approach. The Michigan version of the USRA procedures have been adopted solely for short term evaluation. Future railroad analysis will be performed using techniques which have the "systems" concept at their analytical core. These procedures, to be used in long range planning, are currently in development.

This report was prepared by Mr. Mark D. DuBay of the Statewide Transportation Planning Procedures Section under the supervision of Mr. Richard E. Esch, Manager.

Sincerely,

R. J. Lilly, Administrator Highway Planning Division





MICHIGAN The Great Lake State

## RAILROAD FINANCIAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

ΒY

## MARK D. DUBAY

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	1
INTRODUCTION	4
A GENERAL DISCUSSION: THE USRA APPROACH TO VIABILITY ANALYSIS	10
A GENERAL DISCUSSION: THE MICHIGAN PPROACH TO VIABILITY ANALYSIS	27
THE USRA COMPUTER PROGRAM	35
MODIFICATIONS TO THE USRA PROGRAM – THE MICHIGAN VERSION	82
CONCLUSION	109



#### PREFACE

Since early in 1974, the Statewide Transportation Planning Procedures Section of the Michigan Department of State Highways and Transportation has been developing automated techniques for the planning and evaluation of statewide multi-modal transportation systems. Volume XIII of the Section's report series entitled "Michigan Goes Multi-Modal" documents those procedures utilized in the definition of a state rail network and several computer programs which make the network invaluable to the future modeling of statewide rail traffic and commodity flows. A critical step in realizing a rail modeling capability within the Department was accomplished in July 1975 when a three part report (Volume XIV) was written detailing the technical development of commodity flow matrices based on both a 1% and a 100% sample. This development will eventually enable the Department to accurately model rail traffic patterns by railroad company and to estimate many of its associated costs and revenues. While these "tools" will give the Department a systematic means of monitoring traffic patterns and, therefore, a basis for making preliminary planning decision, they do not provide answers to the economic issues that may arise around suggested alternative plans. A means of evaluating proposed rail configurations was thought to be the necessary next step in developing a comprehensive rail planning This technique, in the final form, should give state officials the system. ability to select (from the State's perspective) an economically "optimal" plan.

的民

The United States Rail Association (USRA) has computerized a procedure which fulfills, to some extent, Michigan's short run need for a rail evaluation process. Although USRA has been criticized for its analytical approach to several aspects of financial accounting, Viability Analysis, as it has come

-1-

to be called, has generally been recognized as being conceptually sound. Because the Department had access to its use, thus negating those costs it would have incurred in developing its own approach, and because it was thought that its analytical flaws were not insurmountable, the technique was adopted as the third critical piece in the State's rail system planning process until a better system could be devised.

Subsequent to introductory remarks concerning background information on the nation's rail crisis, congressional formation of USRA and actions taken by USRA in its move to formulate a solvent rail company (ConRail), the report enters a detailed discussion of viability analysis in both its original form and its form as modified to meet the needs of state transportation officials. Examples of the printed output from both the USRA and Michigan (modified) models shall be included in the final section of this document.

A current list of other reports dealing with the Statewide Model's development and application is presented here for your convenience.

## STATEWIDE TRAVEL MODELING SERIES

Volume	I	Objectives and Work Program
Volume	I-A	Region 4 Workshop Topic Summaries
Volume	I-B	Single and Multiple Corridor Analysis
Volume	I-C	Model Applications: Turnbacks
Volume	I-D	Proximity Analysis: Social Impacts of Alternate Highway
		Plans on Public Facilities
Volume	I-E	Model Applications: Cost-Benefit Analysis
Volume	I⊸F	Air and Noise Pollution System Analysis Model
Volume	I-G	Transportation Planning Psychological Impact Model
Volume	I-H	Level of Service Systems Analysis Model: A Public Inter-
		action Application
Volume	I-J	Service-Area Model
Volume	I-K	Effective Speed Model: A Public Interaction Tool
Volume	IL	System Impact Analysis Graphic Display
Volume	I-M	Modeling Gasoline Consumption
Volume	II	Development of Network Models
Volume	III	Multi-Level Highway Network Generator ("Segmental Model")
Volume	III-A	Semi-Automatic Network Generator Using a "Digitizer"
Volume	v	Part A Travel Model Development: Reformation-Trip Data
		Bank Preparation
Volume	v	Part B Development of the Statewide Socio-Economic Data
	•	Bank for Trip Generation-Distribution
Volume	VT	Corridor Location Dynamics
Volume	VI-A	Environmental Sensitivity Computer Mapping
Volume	VII	Design Hour Volume Model Development
Volume	VII-A	Capacity Adequacy Forecasting Model
Volume	VII-B	Modeling Major Facility Opening Impact on DHV
Volume	VIII	Statewide Public and Private Facility File
Volume	IX	Statewide Socio-Economic Data File
Volume	X-A	Statewide Travel Impact Analysis Procedures
Volume	X-B	Statewide Social Impact Analysis Procedures
Volume	X-C	Statewide Economic Impact Analysis Procedures
Volume	XI	Computer Run Times - An Aid in Selecting Statewide Travel
		Model System Size
Volume	XIII	Michigan Goes Multi-Modal
Volume	XIII-A	Multi-Modal Mobility and Accessibility Analysis
Volume	XIV-A	Commodity Flow Matrix - Ann Arbor Railroad
Volume	XIV-B	Commodity Flow Matrix - Penn Central Railroad
Volume	XIV-C	Commodity Flow Matrix Michigan Railroads 1% Sample
Volume	XV-A	Railroad Financial Impact Analysis
Volume	XV-B	Railroad Community Impact Analysis
Volume	XV-C	Railroad Environmental Impact Analysis
Volume	XVI	Multi-Modal Analysis: Dial-a-Ride
Volume	XVII	Statewide Intermodal Impact Analysis - Truck and Pailroad
		',

## INTRODUCTION



#### INTRODUCTION

The problems which underlie the bankruptcy of the Penn Central Transportation Company, after only two years of existence, and six other lesser railroad companies in the Northwest and Midwest Regions of the Nation are complex as they are numerous. Basically, the rail industry's financial as difficulties stem from three factors: 1) changes in technology, 2) shifts in government policy, and 3) a reorientation of the economy's transportation needs. It was the Penn Central's collapse in 1970 that startled the federal congress to action. Since this company alone employed over 90,000 people, operated 20,000 miles of railroad covering 16 states and served 55 percent of the Nation's manufacturing plants, the Penn Central formed a truly integral part of the Nation's total transportation system. On January 2, 1974, Congress enacted the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 and mandated the formation of the United States Rail Association (USRA) which was to devise a "plan" to revitalize the rail industry in the region through the establishment of the Consolidated Rail Corporation (ConRail). Decisions as to which of the bankrupt rail lines would be included in the new federal corporation were to be based on an economic analysis of each rail segment within the region.

This analysis, popularly known as "Viability Analysis", utilized traffic and revenue information from the carrier's waybill file to determine the revenue associated with all traffic which had, in 1973, either its origin or destination or both its origin and destination at stations along a study segment. Known system level fixed costs were employed within the analysis as a basis for quantifying seven different types of costs which were then subtracted from revenue estimates to determine the economic viability of a line. Viability was defined as a line showing a net profit after all related costs are subtracted

-4-

from total revenue. If a profit was indicated through the analysis; if the segment was viable, it would be a likely candidate for inclusion within the ConRail system. If not, it would be eligible for federal subsidy not to exceed a two-year period subsequent to ConRail's taking legal control.

The USRA's version of Viability Analysis suffers from two basic flaws which have often been cited as causing an understatement of profitability for many infrequently traveled (i.e., light-density) branch lines. While these lines may admittedly be of marginal value when analyzed in isolation, it may be shown, when considered from a system perspective, that they are of significant importance in generating a profit for the national transportation industry. The first criticism, then, is leveled at the method in which USRA determined a line's total revenue potential. Their analysis took on a traditional economic perspective in that it determined the revenue associated with a particular segment based solely upon the traffic which had its origin and/or destinations at stations along that line. This approach is valid when one wishes to know the amount of revenue made by the line's owner. Since USRA wanted to evaluate questionable branch lines, it logically did so in terms of the branch's impact on its probable revenue and expenses. But ConRail is to be a solution to a national transportation problem, and, therefore, it should have evaluated the line's impact on the welfare of the entire nation - not merely upon its own existence. Sound public policy requires that each branch line be evaluated from the national system point of view. To illustrate this problem, a carload of industrial goods which travels to New York City via a solvent carrier but has its origin on a bankrupt Penn Central line in the Detroit area would have its revenue credited only to the bankrupt line for the length of its journey on this line (or a percentage thereof) despite its generating revenue for the other

-5-

solvent carrier. The earnings of the solvent carrier are not attributed to the branch. If the bankrupt line was abandoned, its profit and the nation's profit would be reduced by that amount less expenses.

The second major criticism of the methodology often cited is that USRA equates variable costs with avoidable costs. The assumption is that while certain costs may be unavoidable with abandonment of only a single line, they become avoidable in a massive abandonment of light density lines. This concept is employed to justify the substitution of variable cost for avoidable cost of both off-branch and on-branch train operations. One can accept that if a branch line which generates a few carloads a year is abandoned, the carrier will realize savings of freight car costs and some fuel costs as a result of one or two fewer cars being connected to a (main line) train. It is also not difficult to accept that these cars and their lading have some effect upon maintenance of way, loss and damage and switching costs. But it is not reasonable to assume or accept a theory which states that a few carloads, spread over an entire year, moving either outbound or inbound and carrying several different commodity types would cluster with other such carloads in a manner which would relieve the railroad of the need to operate an entire or several entire trains. The proposition, upon which a portion of the USRA analysis rests, that the variable cost of a whole train on the main line can be avoided by abandoning any single branch, is unacceptable.

The Railroad Planning Procedures Report published by the U.S. Department of Transportation cites four methods of revenue and cost allocation popularly used in the assessment of branch line profitability. The USRA method which is discussed therein suffers from the basic conceptual problems reviewed above. The "car ownership" method is useful because of its ease of application - i.e., the procedure is short and easy to complete. Because of this attribute,

-6-

however, the approach is overly simplistic. It does not fully or realistically capture both direct and indirect costs associated with the operation of a branch line. Perhaps the "best" method of financially assessing both branch and main line rail operations is by means of the "Full Allocation" method. This procedure takes into consideration all revenues associated with each freight trip by allocating them on a per mile basis to all segments used in the trip. Once cost categories associated with those actions necessary to make individual freight movements have been identified, cost allocations may, likewise, be made on a per mile basis. Since a rail network has been developed for the State and because trip tables based upon a 1% sample of all freight movement within the State are now available, work may now begin on the development of a "Full Allocation" cost and revenue methodology. Such a system will, upon completion, give state rail planners the ability to realistically monitor the financial impact of alternate rail plans. The "Full Allocation" method will permit the adoption of "system" plans which will have been derived from a true "systems" approach.

Because this allocation method remained in the research and development stage at a time when the State was required to submit a "State Rail Plan", the Department was forced to adopt the already operational USRA methodology. With this adoption came some of the USRA approach's inherent weaknesses. The problem of misallocation of branch line revenue could not, for example, be resolved without a fundamental shift in analytical emphasis. Therefore, the revenue estimates in all figures included throughout this report should be taken to mean carrier revenue as opposed to statewide system revenue. The USRA model's second flaw - that of confusing which costs are avoidable and unavoidable with branch line abandonment - is, however, correctable and it, to a large degree, has been removed from the Michigan version of the analysis.

-7-

The remainder of this report shall focus on the operation of the USRA model and those program modifications which have been implemented in converting the analysis to a more realistic Michigan approach. A general discussion of the two models shall be followed by a more technical discussion for those who may be interested in adopting or employing the various models for their own purposes.

 $\left| \cdot \right|$ 

Before moving to this presentation, it should be pointed out that the viability analysis is only one technique which has been made operational on Control Data Corporation's 6600 computing system in anticipation of meeting federal requirements concerning the rail reorganization and a future state need of determining branch line subsidies. Figure 1 shows a flow diagram of the "Railroad Impact Analysis Process" as it presently exists within the Statewide Transportation Planning Procedures Section. While Viability Analysis emphasizes the financial impact of rail abandonment on the revenue and expenses of a rail carrier, the Community Impact Analysis (B-9) developed by R. L. Banks and Associates determines the economic consequences of rail abandonment upon the populace of the surrounding region. A program adopted from the State of Indiana estimates the environmental impacts resulting from a rail abandonment. (See Volume XV-B "Railroad Community Impact Analysis" and Volume XV-C "Railroad Environmental Impact Analysis".) From Figure 1, it should become obvious that these three programs share common input files. They are all basically "driven" by a traffic file which has recorded within it, carloads, tons, and revenue generated and attracted to particular stations along a rail segment. As this traffic file is manipulated in simulation of proposed rail abandonments, the rail impact analysis process is able to quickly monitor the suspected consequences. This report shall deal exclusively with the traffic, line characteristic, cost factor and "other" files which provide the inputs to the Viability Analysis. These files have been highlighted in Figure 1.

-8-





-9-

## A GENERAL DISCUSSION -THE USRA APPROACH TO VIABILITY ANALYSIS



ALC: Commission

A GENERAL DISCUSSION - THE USRA APPROACH TO VIABILITY ANALYSIS

₹~a

14

2

Figure 2 shows a generalized flow diagram of the analysis process designed by USRA. The final output generated by the computerized routine is a "Basic Branch Line Evaluation Report". It was upon the basis of this report that USRA made many preliminary planning decisions concerning the inclusion of rail segments within the ConRail system. As mentioned above, a line segment had a good possibility of being included in the Federal rail corporation, if, after a series of operating costs were subtracted from the estimated revenues, it was able to show a net profit. Figure 3 is a reproduction of the "Evaluation Report" for a single segment of the bankrupt Ann Arbor Railroad. One can readily identify the ten individual cost figures labeled simply "costs" on the flow diagram. The sequential deduction of each of these costs from, in the first case, the estimated revenue and in all other cases from the residual of revenue above costs, has resulted in a series of tests by which the USRA analyst has been able to judge the viability of each line. The following discussion shall be devoted to an explanation of this process and the data and procedures used therein.

It can be seen from Figure 2 that a carrier's revenue for a particular rail segment is determined from information contained within the waybill abstract. This abstract is the official record of all traffic which has either its origin or destination or both its origin and destination at stations along a rail segment. Data contained in this file describes the number of carloads, short haul miles and the amount of tonnage and revenues for all traffic by a seven-digit commodity code. USRA aggregated this information to a two-digit system. (See Figure 4.) Figures 5-A and 5-B show the "Traffic and Revenue Report" for the same Ann Arbor segment presented above. This report is printed on a line specific basis with each execution of the viability computer routine. The data contained therein is a simple summary of that which is contained within the waybill file.

-10-



٠

-12-

ayaa yaha tahan tahata di kacamaa ka daa

## 010 ANN ARBOR RAILROAD 13

1300 DUNDEE – OWOSSO

ر درایا رسور میشور از ا 

## ====== BASIC BRANCH LINE EVALUATION REPORT ======

LINE 1)	CARRIER BRANCH REVENUE	921400.
LINE 2)	ON-BRANCH OPERATING COSTS	357357.
LINE 3)	TEST I NET REVENUE AFTER ON-BRANCH OPERATING COSTS	564043.
LINE 4)	NORMAL BRANCH MAINTENANCE COSTS	501843.
LINE 5)	TEST II NET REVENUE AFTER NORMAL BRANCH MAINTENANCE COSTS	62200.
LINE 6)	RETURN ON BRANCH SALVAGE VALUE	237330.
LINE 7)	TEST III NET REVENUE AFTER RETURN ON BRANCH SALVAGE VALUE	-475130.
LINE 8)	BRANCH OVERHEAD COSTS	33878.
LINE 9)	TEST IV NET REVENUE AFTER BRANCH OVERHEAD COSTS	-209008.
LINE 10)	PROPERTY TAXES ON BRANCH	0.
LINE 11)	TEST V NET REVENUE AFTER PROPERTY TAXES ON-BRANCH	-209008.
LINE 12)	OFF BRANCH OPERATING COSTS	429501.
LINE 13)	TEST VI NET REVENUE AFTER OFF BRANCH OPERATING COSTS	-638510.
LINE 14)	OVERHEAD BRIDGE TRAFFIC REVENUE	0.
LINE 15)	TEST VII NET REVENUE AFTER ADDING BRIDGE TRAFFIC REVENUE	-638510.
LINE 16)	REHABILITATION COST TO FRA TRACK CLASS I	0.
LINE 17)	TEST VIIIA NET REVENUE AFTER IMPROVING TO TRACK CLASS I	-638510.
LINE 18)	REHABILITATION COST TO FRA TRACK CLASS II	170184.
LINE 19)	TEST VIIIB NET REVENUE AFTER IMPROVING TO TRACK CLASS II	-808693.

### STANDARD TRANSPORTATION COMMODITY CODE

## **Major Group Headings:**

- 01 Farm Products
- 08 Forest Products
- 09 Fresh Fish or Other Marine Products
- 10 Metallic Ores
- 11 Coal
- 13 Crude Petroleum, Natural Gas, or Gasoline
- 14 Nonmetallic Minerals; except fuels
- 19 Ordnance or Accessories
- 20 Food or Kindred Products
- 21 Tobacco Products
- 22 Basic Textiles
- 23 Apparel; aao. other finished textile products or knit apparel
- 24 Lumber or Wood Products; except furniture see 25
- 25 Furniture or Fixtures
- 26 Pulp, paper, or Allied Products
- 27 Printed Matter
- 28 Chemicals or Allied Products
- 29 Petroleum or Coal Products
- 30 Rubber or Miscellaneous Plastics Products
- 31 Leather or Leather Products
- 32 Stone, Clay, or Glass Products
- 33 Primary Metal Products
- 34 Fabricated Metal Products; except Ordnance see 19, Machinery see 35 or 36, or Transportation Equipment - see 37
- 35 Machinery; except Electrical see 36
- 36 Electrical Machinery or Equipment; aao. supplies
- **37** Transportation Equipment
- 38 Instruments or Photographic Goods; aao. optical goods, watches or clocks
- 39 Miscellaneous Products of Manufacturing
- 40 Waste or Scrap Materials; viz. scrap or waste materials not identified by industry producing

- 41 Miscellaneous Freight Shipments
- 42 Containers, Shipping, Returned Empty; aao. carriers or devices
- 44 Freight Fowarder Traffic
- 45 Shipper Association or Similar Traffic
- 46 Miscellaneous Mixed Shipments; except forwarder see 44, Shipper Association see 45
- 47 Small Packaged Freight Shipments

## **FIGURE 4**

## 010 ANN ARBOR KAILROAD

## TRAFFIC AND REVENUE REPORT

1300 DUNDEE - OWOSSO

2012

بي بي من من چن فت بي		===== LOO	CAL TRAFF	IC				CO	NRAIL TR	AFFIC =====		
					SHORT HA	UL					SHORT H	AUL
STCC	CARS	TONS	\$CONR	AIL STOTAL	MILES	STCC	CARS	TONS	\$CONR	AIL STOTAL	MILES	
1	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	1	7.	611.	1749.	1749.	552.	
11	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	11	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
14	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	14	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
<b>20</b> ·	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	20	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
22	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	22	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
24	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	24	0.	0.	<b>.</b>	0.	0.	
25	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	25	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
26	1.	41.	188.	188.	0.	26	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
28	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	28	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
29	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	29	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	SC
L 30	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	30	1.	6.	323.	323.	326.	m
f 32	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	32	0.	0.	0.	· <b>0.</b>	0.	Ţ
33	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	33	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
34	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	34	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
35	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	35	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
36	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	36	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
37	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	37	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
40	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	40	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
41	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	41	0,	0.	0.	0.	0.	
42	0.	· <b>0.</b>	0.	0.	0.	42	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
47	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	47	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
<b>50</b> <sup>°</sup>	1.	41.	188.	188.	0.	50	8.	617.	2072.	2072.	878.	

## 010 ANN ARBOR RAILROAD

## 1300 DUNDEE – OWOSSO

## TRAFFIC AND REVENUE REPORT

		LOCA	L TRAFFIC =					CONRA	AIL TRAFFIC	) ======		
				S	HORT HAU	JL				1	SHORT HAI	UL
STCC	CARS	TONS	\$CONRAII	STOTAL	MILES	STCC	CARS	TONS	\$CONRAII	L STOTAL	MILES	
1	151.	11594.	24899.	120917.	9716.	1	158.	12205.	26648.	122666.	10268.	. *
11	15.	982.	2376.	6749.	700.	11	15.	982.	2376.	6749.	700.	
14	146.	11108.	27777.	97616.	10764.	14	146.	11108.	27777.	97616.	10764.	
20	66.	2730.	6866.	90525.	3769.	20	66.	2730.	6866.	90525.	3769.	
22	6.	83.	401.	2184.	220.	22	6.	83.	401.	2184.	220.	
24	1141.	48138.	212804.	1554594.	211596.	24	1141.	48138.	212804.	1554594.	211596.	
25	1100.	10970.	86089.	388221.	53497.	25	1100.	10970.	86089.	388221.	10866.	
26	103.	3406.	14073.	60949.	10866.	26	104.	3447.	14261.	61137.	12964.	
28	395.	32452.	69048.	389760.	12964.	28	395.	32452.	69048.	389760.	12964.	
30	71.	990.	4750.	18648.	1322.	30	72.	996.	5073.	18971.	1648.	- 11
32	186.	10414.	22253.	125926.	11264.	32	186.	10414.	22253.	125926	11264.	60
33	32.	2177.	10229.	51548.	6395.	33	32.	2177.	10229.	51548.	6395.	HE
34	12.	102.	657.	3130.	576.	34	12.	102.	657.	3130.	576.	မှာ
35	20.	519.	4496.	16525.	1721.	35	20.	519.	4496.	16525.	1721.	æ
36	1.	9.	288.	641.	280.	36	1.	9.	288.	641.	280.	
37	3561.	88398.	400919.	2139011.	118214.	37	3561.	88398.	400919.	2139011.	118214.	
40	19.	337.	1549.	11808.	567.	40	19.	377.	1549.	11808.	567.	
41	48.	599.	2624.	18752.	1117.	41	48.	599.	2624.	18752.	1117.	
42	54.	711.	5242.	17808.	1660.	42	54.	711.	5242.	17808.	1660.	
47	0.	<b>99</b> .	1955.	12535.	0.	47	0.	<b>99</b> .	1955.	12535.	0.	
50	7330.	234230.	919140.	5212270.	464317.	50	7339.	234888.	921400.	5214530.	465195.	

i santari

al garage

-15-

The various labels within this report indicate that there are three types of traffic associated with each segment - i.e., Local, ConRail, and Interline. The matrix labeled "Total" is, as the title suggests, a summation of the three other traffic arrays. All data associated with traffic generated or attracted to a segment is placed within one of the three matrices on the basis of their origin and termination. Local traffic is defined as that traffic which has both its origin and destination at stations along a study segment. To be considered "ConRail", traffic must have either its origin or destination at stations along those segments of a carrier scheduled to become part of the ConRail system. Interline traffic is that traffic which has either its origin or destination on segments of a solvent rail carrier and its origin or destination on (at stations along) a segment of the proposed ConRail system. Mentioning this traffic differentiation is important because it is around these definitions that certain costing procedures utilized within the analysis have been developed. To illustrate the three types of traffic, please refer to Figure 6 which depicts a portion of the state's rail network. Each line is labeled as belonging to a particular rail company and are broken into fictitious numbered segments by brackets. An example of a local trip, then, is one which has its origin on the Penn Central Segment 6902 at the Ida station and its destination on the same segment at Fredeman. A ConRail trip may have its origin on the Ann Arbor Segment 1801 at Samaria and its destination on Segment 1802 at Milan while a trip that is classified as interline might have its origin on the Penn Central Segment 6902 at Ida and its termination on the Ann Arbor Segment 1802 at Milan. It should be mentioned that a trip which has its origin on the D.T. & I. Segment 2101 at Deerfield and travels to the Whittaker station on D.T. & I.'s Segment 2202 via the Ann Arbor Railroad is not counted in any of the

ki-

-

-16-

## FIGURE 6

## **A PORTION OF THE MICHIGAN**

 $t_{1,1}^{-1,n}$ 

1

## **RAILROAD SYSTEM**



traffic files related to the Ann Arbor Railroad. Although certain costs and revenues result from the Deerfield-to-Whittaker trip, none are accounted for in the USRA analysis of the Ann Arbor Railroad. This, as suggested above, is a major flaw of the USRA technique.

-

Notice that row fifty (STCC 50) within the "Traffic and Revenue Report" (Figures 5-A and 5-B) is a total of the previous forty-seven commodity types. The fourth column (labeled \$ ConRail), fiftieth row in each of the three traffic matrices is a total revenue estimate for traffic of that type. The fourth column, fiftieth row of the "Total" traffic array is, then, the total carrier revenue figure appearing in the "Evaluation Report". In this case, the rail line is estimated to make the Ann Arbor Railroad \$921,400 a year. This total revenue information, as mentioned, is taken directly from the summarized waybill file and is printed in the "Evaluation Report" without further manipulation. All other traffic data specific to each of the Standard Transportation Commodity Codes (STCC) are used as input to the cost estimating procedures developed by USRA.

Several additional files are also used as input to the USRA model. These include the "Railroad Cost Factors Data" and the "Line Questionnaire Data Items". Figure 7 shows an example of this information for the Ann Arbor line discussed above. The "Questionnaire Data" was, as Figure 2 indicates, largely provided by the carrier involved. The railroad completed a systematic questionnaire dealing with each line segment individually. Since some information was incomplete, USRA staff was required to develop techniques to estimate the missing data. For example, a relationship involving the number of shippers and carloads handled was devised to calculate siding and yard miles in those cases where this information was not provided by the carrier. Overhead items such as: traffic expense, transportation superintendence; signals and interlockers; stationery and printing;

-18-

### LINE QUESTIONNAIRE DATE ITEMS

#### RAILROAD COST FACTOR DATA

753

ITEM	1}	CARD NUMBER ONE	1.000	CF 1)	LOCOMOTIVE COST PER HOUR	12.1000
ITEM	2)	RAILROAD CODE	10.000	CF 2)	TWO-MAN CREW COST PER HOUR	18.2400
ITEM	3)	FIRST STATE	MI	CF 3)	THREE-MAN CREW COST PER HOUR	25.3300
ITEM	4)	SECOND STATE		CF 4)	FOUR-MAN CREW COST PER HOUR	32.4200
ITEM	5)	THIRD STATE		CF 5)	FIVE-MAN CREW COST PER HOUR	39.3600
ITEM	6)	SEGMENT CODE	1300	CF 6)	STATION EMPLOYEE ANNUAL COST	15469.0000
ITEM	7)	LINE LENGTH IN MILES	86.800	CF 7)	CABOOSE COST PER MILE	.0420
ITEM	8)	SINGLE TRACK MILES	86.800	CF 8)	CABOOSE COST PER DAY	8.7000
ITEM	9)	MULTI-TRACK MILES	0.000	CF 9)	REGULAR INDIRECT MAINTENANCE COST FACTOR	.3761
ITEM	10)	SIDING AND YARD MILES	29.000	CF 10)	VARIABLE MAINT. TUNNELS AND SUBWAYS	0.0000
ITEM	11)	ANNUAL TRIPS	260.000	CF 11)	VARIABLE MAINT. BRIDGE-TRESTLE-CULVERT	.0451
ITEM	12)	LOCOMOTIVES	1.000	CF 12)	VARIABLE MAINT. STATION + OFFICE BLDGS.	0.0000
ITEM	13)	RATED HORSEPOWER	2500.000	CF 13)	VARIABLE MAINT. ROADWAY BUILDINGS	0.0000
ITEM	14)	CREW SIZE	3.000	CF 14)	VARIABLE MAINT. WHARVES AND DOCKS	.0088
ITEM	15)	HOURS SERVING BRANCH	12.000	CF 15)	VARIABLE MAINT. COAL/ORE WHARVES + DOCKS	0.000
ITEM	16)	SERVING YARD TO BRANCH MILES	.500	CF 16)	VARIABLE MAINT. TOFC/COFC TERMINALS	0.0000
ITEM	17)	STATION EMPLOYEES	0.000	CE 17)	VARIABLE MAINT, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	0177
ITEM	18)	IM TUNNELS AND SUBWAYS	0.000	CF 18)	VARIABLE MAINT, SIGNALS AND INTERLOCKS	0.000
ITEM	19)	IM BRIDGE-TRESTLE-CULVERT	1.000	CF 19)	VARIABLE MAINT, JOINT MAINTENANCE DR	.0314
ITEM	201	IM STATION + OFFICE BUILDINGS	1.000	CF 20)	VARIABLE MAINT, JOINT MAINTENANCE CR	- 2069
ITEM	21)	IM ROADWAY BUILDINGS	1.000	CF 21)	STEEL GROSS SCRAP VALUE PER MILE	29912 5000
ITEM	221	IM WHARVES AND DOCKS	0.000	CF 22)	GOOD TIES, GROSS SCRAP VALUE EACH	5 0000
ITEM	231	IM COAL/ORE WHARVES + DOCKS	0.000	CF 23)	FAIR/POOR TIES GROSS SCRAP VALUE FACH	0.000
ITEM	24)	IM TOEC/COEC TERMINALS	0.000	(F 24)	DISMANTLING AND REMOVAL COST PER MILE	9000.0000
ITEM	25)	IM COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	1.000	CF 25)	BATE OF RETURN ON NET SCRAP VALUE	0830
ITEM	26)	IM SIGNALS AND INTERLOCKERS	1,000	CF 26)	MAINTENANCE OF WAY SUPERVISION	150 0000
ITEM	27)	JOINT MAINTENANCE DR	0.000	CF 27)	TRANSPORTATION SUPERVISION	3879
ITEM	281	JOINT MAINTENANCE CR	0.000	CF 28)	MOW-CLERICAL SUPPT. ACCONT	.0529
ITEM	29)	PERCENT TIES GOOD	.100	CF 29)	STATION CLERICAL	5 0892
ITEM	301	OVRHD TRAFFIC EXPENSE	1,000	CE 30)	UPGRADING TURNOUTS	1033 6001
ITEM	31)	OVEND TRANSP SUPERINTENDENCE	1.000	CF 31)	LIPGRADING GRADE CROSSINGS	5367.050
ITEM	321	OVRHD SIGNALS + INTERLOCKERS	1.000	CF 32)	UPGRADING COST PER TIE INSERTED	32.380
ITEM	33)	OVEND STATIONERY + PRINTING	1 000	CF 33)	UPGRADING COST PER MILE OF TRACK	33856 101
ITEM	34)	OVRHD INSUBANCE	1 000	CE 34)	GROSS TON MUE UNIT COSTS	0015
ITEM	351	PROPERTY TAXES ON BRANCH	0.000	CE 35)	TERMINAL SWITCHING COST PER CARLOAD	20.946
ITEM	361	CARD NUMBER TWO	2 000	CE 36)	INTERCHANCE SWITCHING COST PER CAR	5 8824
ITEM	371		10,000	CF 37)	IN POUTE SWITCHING COST PER CAR	3 3 749
ITEM	381	FIRST STATE	10.000 MI	CE 38)	SVSTEM AVERAGE TRAIN SPEED	18 014
ITEM	30)			CF 30)		2816 000
ITEM	40)			CF 40)	MAINTENANCE SIDING AND YARD TRACKS	2939 0000
ITEM	41)	SEGMENT CODE	1300		ACRES OF LAND PER TRACK MILE	7 2700
	421	NUMBER OF TIES TO ERA CLASS I	0,000	CF 41)		500 000
	42)	MUES OF TRACK TO FRA CLASS I	0.000	CE 42)		5887
	433	NUMPER OF TIES TO FRA CLASS U	29400.000	CF 43)		0.000
	44)	MUES OF TRACK TO FRA CLASS II	0.000	CE 44}		0.0000
	451	NUMBER OF GRADE CROSSINGS	123.000	CF 40)		0.0000
	401		123.000	UF 40)		0.0000
II⊑IVI IT⊂NA	4/)	AUTIONIZED INNETABLE SILED	40.000	UF 4/}		0.0000
I L C'IAE	40)	OVERHEAD BRIDGE CARLOADS	000	UF 48}		0.0000

FIGURE 7

and insurance are all designated as either zero or one. This scheme indicates whether the computerized analysis is to apply these costs or not -- a one indicates yes while a zero implies no. Property taxes on branch lines are always zero since neither the carrier nor any governmental agency provided the information at the time the system was being designed and developed. All remaining items on the line questionnaire data item list were taken directly from the carrier questionnaire. The "Railroad Cost Factor Data" consists of cost components developed on a railroad specific basis. A manual compiled by the Rail Services Planning Office (RSPO) entitled Cost Development Procedures Manual gives a detailed explanation of how each of the forty-three cost factors appearing in Figure 7 were devised. Basically, many of the procedures have been adopted, with varying degrees of modification, from costing techniques already in use by the Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) and by the American Association of Railroads (AAR). Several of these procedures will be quickly evaluated when this report enters a discussion of the approach devised by the Department in an attempt to better estimate the various costs utilized within the USRA technique. For those who wish to review a complete presentation of these procedures, it is suggested that the reader obtain a copy of the above mentioned manual. The simplified flow chart of the analysis process employed by USRA (Figure 2) indicates that "other" inputs are used in the computation of the costs incurred by operating a certain rail segment. These inputs are cost factors developed by USRA staff to describe: loss and damage cost for net ton; car mile costs; car day cost; car tire weight; empty return ratio; circuity of local and interline traffic. Still, other inputs describe normalized maintenance expenses as a function of traffic density and the car-day/trip-frequency table reports the number of days a car will remain on branch as a function of service frequency and type of movement (see Figures 8-A and 8-B).

23

-20-

## DIRECT MAINTENANCE CLASS/COST TABLE

0 MILLIONS OF GROSS TON MILES CLASS	.200	5.000	10.000	15.000	20.000	25.000	30.000	35.000	40.000	9999.000
0 DIRECT MAINTENANCE COSTS PER MILE	2601.	3079.	3471.	6035.	7463.	8906.	10279.	12399.	13393.	13600

## CAR-DAY/TRIP-FREQUENCY TABLE

0]	FREQ	LCL SEGMENT	LCL.SYSTEM	INTERLINE
	1	19.00	11.00	11.00
	2	15.29	8.29	8.29
	3	12.05	6.38	6.38
	4	11.07	5.82	5.82
12	5	10.43	5.63	5.63
<u>н</u> і І	6	9.14	4.64	4.64
	7	8.00	4.00	4.00

i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	19 XXX 900 i	<b>ME</b>	COMCODITYCLAS	CTC FOR	MLLOWS and a	<u></u>	
0 STCC	LOSS DAMAGE	CAR MILE CO	ST CAR DAY COST	CAR TARE	EMPTY RETURN	CIRCUITY, LOC	AL CIRCUITY, INTE
	\$ / NET TON	\$ / CAR MILE	\$ / CAR DAY	WEIGHT	RATIO	RR TRAFFIC	TRAFFIC LINE
1	.226900	.029000	2.890000	28.059000	1.760300	1.100390	1.163940
8	.185100	.071000	1.800000	28.400000	1.753500	1.105960	1.179480
9	.185100	.026000	3.160000	30.880000	1.677500	1.097070	1.150300
10	.011250	.025000	2.730000	27.100000	1.827900	1.100530	1.152976
11	.007080	.024000	2.720000	26.920000	1.838100	1.100220	1.150760
13	.185100	.160000	.067000	31.400000	2.143800	1.100100	1.199700
14	.006200	.028000	2.720000	27.500000	1.850200	1.100700	1.158890
19	.185100	.026000	3.250000	29.800000	1.652500	1.105660	1.166630
20	.454900	.040000	3.110000	31.500000	1.964500	1.100200	1.167040
21	3.177600	.028000	2.340000	32.000000	1.762800	1.107500	1.167760
22	.185100	.026000	3.320000	30.100000	1.642400	1.103140	1.160560
23	.185100	.028000	3.400000	33.850000	1569600	1.096560	1.166300
24	.082200	.028000	2.980000	30.480000	1.753000	1.100220	1.172050
25	2.353600	.025000	2.910000	27.890000	1.567500	1.108240	1.168210
26	.269800	.027000	3.360000	30.070000	1.662000	1.108080	1.168690
27	.185100	.024000	4.050000	32.870000	1.721000	1.085730	1.140620
28	.104800	.080000	2.020000	31.030000	1.932900	1.101220	1.185280
N 29	.039200	.128000	.850000	31.140000	2.051400	1.100116	1.191930 🛱
iĭ 30	.987500	.026000	3.210000	28.650000	1.588500	1.092730	1.145030
31	.185100	.026000	3.310000	30.480000	1.618500	1.094610	1.154880 🐱
32	.086800	.031000	3.130000	32.370000	1.837000	1.101640	1.175890
33	.128900	.025000	3.070000	28.900000	1.837600	1.099450	1.154930
34	.462900	.025000	3.050000	29.520000	1.746800	1.100130	1.156710
35	1.424800	.024000	3.420000	30.780000	1.800800	1.090110	1.150310
36	1.905400	.028000	3.600000	32.500000	1.708000	1.103010	1.163330
37	1.174600	.021000	7.900000	35.600000	1.927100	1.096234	1.163070
38	.185100	.034000	3.890000	35.710000	1.720300	1.097390	1.161210
39	.185100	.026000	3.100000	30.300000	1.573500	1.103260	1.162490
40	.185100	.028000	2.730000	27.400000	1.787300	1.102780	1.157630
41	.185100	.026000	3.400000	31.700000	1.626000	1.096960	1.155060
42	.185100	.027000	3.800000	34.700000	1.645900	1.096180	1.153150
44	.984800	.027000	3.720000	34.700000	1.574700	1.087480	1.142120
45	.873700	.026000	3.900000	35.500000	1.598100	1.083920	1.137810
46	.185100	.028000	4.300000	40.290000	1.567000	1.073340	1.124760

•

Companya Color

With this brief discussion of the various computerized input files employed by USRA complete, we now enter a presentation of the "Intermediate Calculations" suggested in the generalized flow diagram. Figure 9 is a reproduction of the report obtained through the analysis of the above described Ann Arbor segment. Each of the ten major cost estimates shown in both the flow diagram and the "Evaluation Report" are, with a few exceptions, aggregates of several component costs which appear in this listing. The following discussion shall focus on which of these intermediate calculations are used in the final estimate of the major costs categories employed within the Evaluation Report. No attempt is made here to describe how each of the component costs are actually calculated but are presented merely to suggest which type of costs were considered important by USRA staff. The extended labels of each cost should prove sufficient to give the reader a general idea of the nature of each cost being accounted for.

#### -- On-Branch Operating Costs (ONBOC) --

ONBOC can be determined through a simple summation of the following intermediate calculations: LUHC, CHC, SEC, OBFCC, OBCAB and LOTSC. (See Figure 9 for specific values) This can be verified by actually adding the various component costs.

ONBOC = LUHC + CHC + SEC + OBFCC + OBCAB + LOTSC 357357 = 37752 + 79029 + 0 + 236411 + 4157 + 7

LUHC = Locomotive Unit Hour Cost CHC = Crew Hour Cost SEC = Station Employee Cost OBFCC = On-Branch Freight Car Cost OBCAB = On-Branch Caboose Cost LOTSC = Local Traffic Switching Cost

-- Normal Branch Maintenance Costs (NBMC) --

NBMC = NBMM + NBMO

501843 = 384556 + 117286

NBMM = Branch Maintenance NBMO = Siding/Yard Track Maintenance 

## LISTING OF INTERMEDIATE CALCULATIONS

1300

DUNDEE - OWOSSO

. منظمة أيتناء منظمة أ 

1)	LUH	LOCOMOTIVE UNIT HOURS	3120.00000	31)	RETNSV	RETURN ON NET SCRAP VALUE	237330.19170
2)	LUHC	LOCOMOTIVE UNIT HOURS COST	37752.00000	32)	MOWS	M O W SUPERINTENDENCE	13020.00000
3)	СН	CREW HOURS	3120.00000	33)	TRANS	TRANS. SUPERINTENDENCE	1210.24800
4)	CHC	CREW HOURS COST	79029.60000	34)	MCLAC	MOW CLERICAL SUPPORT, ACCIDE	14137.90588
5)	SEC	STATION EMPLOYEE COST	0.00000	35)	TCLAC	TRANSP CLERICAL SUPPORT, ACC	5510.23200
6)	FREAK	FREQUENCY DECIMAL NUMBER	5.00000	36)	OBCM	OFF-BRANCH CAR MILES	310233.25675
7)	FQ	FREQUENCY WHOLE NUMBER	5.00000	37)	ОВТТМ	OFF-BRANCH TARE TON MILES	8960410.29518
8)	OBCMC	ON-BRANCH CAR MILE COSTS	19003.21080	38)	OBNTM	OFF-BRANCH NET TONE MILES	8108308.22635
9)	OBCDC	ON-BRANCH CAR DAY COSTS	217407.88100	39)	OBGTM	OFF-BRANCH GROSS TON MILES	17068718.52153
10)	OBFCC	ON-BRANCH FREIGHT CAR COSTS	236411.0918	40)	GTMC	GROSS TON MILE COSTS	25603.07778
11)	СМ	CABOOSE MILES	45136.00000	41)	CIS	CARS RECEIVING IND. SWITCH	8.00000
12)	СМС	CABOOSE MILE COSTS	1895.71200	42)	IS	INDUSTRY SWITCHING COSTS	167.57520
13)	CBDAYS	CABOOSE DAYS	260.00000	43)	CI	CARS INTERCHANGED	13361.00000
14)	CBDC	CABOOSE DAYS COST	2262.00000	44)	ICSC	INTERCHANGE SWITCHING COSTS	78594.74640
15)	OBCAB	ON-BRANCH CABOOSE COST	4157.71200	45)	NIS	NUMBER INTERTRAIN SWITCHES	15853.00000
16)	LOTSC	LOCAL TRAFFIC SWITCHING	6.74960	46)	ITSC	INTERTRAIN SWITCHING COSTS	53500.70440
17)	GT	GROSS TONS	788269.82400	47)	TSC	TOTAL SWITCHING COSTS	132263.02600
18)	GTC	GROSS TONS CLASS MILLIONS	.78827	48)	LDC	LOSS AND DAMAGE COST	145648.11976
19)	DMC	DIRECT MAINTENANCE COSTS	267257.20000	49)	ICSD	INTERCHANGE SWITCHING DAYS	6680.35058
20)	IMC	INDIRECT MAINTENANCE FACTOR	.43890	50)	RTD	RUNNING TIME DAYS	717.54654
21)	NBMM	BRANCH MAINTENANCE	384556.38508	51)	ITSD	INTERTRAIN SWITCHING DAYS	8113.58314
22)	NBMO	SIDING/YARD TRACK MAINT.	117286.37910	52)	OBSD	OTR OFF-BRANCH SWITCHING DAYS	32.00000
23)	TTM	TOTAL TRACK MILES	115.80000	53)	OBCD	OFF-BRANCH CAR DAYS	15543.48026
24)	TSSV	GROSS SCRAP VALUE, STEEL	3463867.50000	54)	OFBCDC	OFF-BRANCH CAR DAY COSTS	77125.06616
25)	TSVGT	GROSS SCRAP VALUE, GOOD TIES	122214.40000	55)	OFBCMC	OFF-BRANCH CAR MILE COSTS	11466.32543
26)	TSVFPT	GROSS SCRAP VALUE F/P TIES	0.00000	56)	OFBFCC	OFF-BRANCH FRT CAR COSTS	88591.39159
27)	LSV	GROSS SALVAGE VALUE, LAND	315518.00000	57)	SCO ·	STATION CLERICAL	37395.44160
28)	GS∨	GROSS SCRAP VALUE	3901599.90000	58)	FRAI	UPGRADE TO TRACK CLASS I	0.00000
29)	TDRC	DISMANTLE AND REMOVAL COSTS	1042200.00000	59)	FRA2	UPGRADE TO TRACK CLASS II	1701 3.57371
30)	NSV	NET SCRAP VALUE	2859399.90000	60)	DELTA	FRA2 LESS FRA1	170183.57371

يەسپەردۇ. مەلكىتىدى م

FIGURE 9

— Return On-Branch Salvage Value (ROBSV) — —

ROBSV = RETNSV

237330 = 237330

RETNSV = Return on Net Scrap Value

-- Branch Overhead Costs (BOC) --

BOC + MOWS + TRANS + MCLAC + TCLAC

33878 = 13020 + 1210 + 14137 + 5510

MOWS = M.O.W. Superintendence TRANS = Transportation Superintendence TCLAC = Transportation Clerical Support, Accident MCLAC = M.O.W. Clerical Support, Accident

-- Property Taxes on Branch --

This estimate differs from others since it uses line questionnaire data as a basis for its calculation exclusively. Although a calculation is attempted, line ten within the Evaluation Report will always be set to zero since no line -- specific property tax information -- exists. 17. .

-- Off-Branch Operating Costs (OFBOC) --

OFBOC = GTMC + TSC + LDC + OFBFCC + SCO

429501 = 25603 + 132263 + 145648 + 88591 + 37395

GTMC		Gross Ton Mile Costs
TSC	-	Total Switching Costs
LDC	=	Loss and Damage Costs
OFBFCC	=	Off-Branch Freight Car Costs
SCO		Station Clerical

The analysis technique designed by USRA ignores the costs and revenues associated with bridge traffic. Bridge traffic is defined as that traffic which uses a rail segment as a means of completing its trip but has neither its origin nor its termination along that segment. The computerized routine will always set line fourteen within the Evaluation Report to zero.

REHAB1 = FRA1

0 = 0

FRA1 = Upgrade to Track Class I

REHAB2 = FRA2

1.12 million

170184 = 170184

FRA2 = Upgrade to Track Class II

Once the nine totals have been estimated for the cost categories described above using the procedures just reviewed, they are employed in the Evaluation Report to conduct a series of tests. The squential subtraction of each cost total from the revenue estimate yields "a test" in which the USRA analyst was able to determine the economic viability of a rail segment. The analyst was, through this testing procedure, able to isolate which cost categories were contributing most heavily to the profit or loss of the line.

## A GENERAL DISCUSSION -THE MICHIGAN APPROACH TO VIABILITY ANALYSIS



direction of the

A GENERAL DISCUSSION - THE MICHIGAN APPROACH TO VIABILITY ANALYSIS

1

Constant of

The USRA estimates (using their version of Viability Analysis) that the Ann Arbor Railroad lost in 1973 approximately \$638,310 by operating its rail line from Dundee to Owosso. Many people familiar with railroad economics believe this estimate has been inflated through the inclusion of certain costs not actually applicable to the branch line situation. The designers of the USRA model have equated variable costs with avoidable costs. From their point of view, when the traffic which was generated and/or attracted to an abandoned segment is shifted to main line segments, as they assume it will be, it will cause the railroad industry to become more efficient since fewer trains and trackage will be needed to move the same amount of traffic. If only a single branch line were abandoned, its traffic would have an insignificant impact on the operation of trains in the area. But as more and more lines are abandoned, the variable costs associated with the operation of those lines can be avoided to a large extent because a single train can now be used to pull, for example, one hundred cars where prior to branch line abandonment, perhaps ten trains were used. This assumes, of course, that these one hundred cars can be collected at the same time of year and are headed in the same direction. This, as pointed out above, seems very unlikely to occur. Therefore, all those costs included by the USRA as being avoidable with abandonment should be modified to more accurately reflect the situation as this Department perceives it. The following is a discussion of those costs which have been lowered to reflect the fact that many costs, as included by the USRA, are actually unavoidable, i.e., they will be incurred by the railroad company regardless of the operation of a branch line or not. Since these costs are unavoidable, they should not be allocated to any specific type of rail segment -- light-density branch lines included.

-27-

#### -- On-Branch Operating Costs -- \*

As has been shown in the above presented formula, this cost category has five components: 1) Locomotive, 2) Freight-train car, 3) Caboose, 4) Crew-Related and 5) Station-Related Costs. Each category will be presented in turn.

### 1) LOCOMOTIVE

The USRA charges locomotive-related cost at a rate of \$16.39 per locomotive unit-hour which were advanced as variable on a systemwide basis. They were applied to branch line locomotive operation by apportionment. Because of this, their avoidability as to specific light density lines is questioned. While the elimination of one light density line may save locomotive miles or hours, it is highly unlikely that it would save an amount equivalent to that generated by a complete unit. A locomotive can only be disposed of in toto i.e., since it is an indivisible piece of equipment, there is no potential savings of a locomotive; the disposition is complete or not at all. Abandoning a line will save hours or miles of locomotive operation and therefore their associated costs, but this will certainly not include those costs associated with the complete unit. Locomotive-related costs avoided by reduction in miles or hours operated are, then, rightly charged to branch lines. USRA has overstated such costs, however, as a result of using system average unit costs. Light-density branch lines are generally severed by low-horsepower locomotives which pull fewer loads and empties, providing infrequent service over short distances as compared with system operations. The nature of the work performed on light-density lines is similar to that done in yards. Therefore, the expenses per unit-hour should be associated with yard locomotive expenses per unit-hour. When this fact is realized and adjustments are made to the total cost of locomotive operation on branch lines, the \$16.39 unit-cost utilized by USRA is significantly reduced.

-28-

<sup>\*</sup>The unit cost magnitudes used for illustration are, in all cases, those from the Penn Central Railroad.
For a discussion of how the various sub-costs were reduced in response to this shift in economic perspective, one should consult the Task 3 Report prepared by R. L. Banks and Associates in a new estimate of locomotive unit-costs - \$9.93. It is this figure that will be used in the future execution of the Michigan version of the USRA model.

2) FREIGHT-TRAIN CAR COSTS

While the freight train car costs developed on a mileage basis for "typical" cars by commodity type seem reasonable, it is hoped that in future applications of the Michigan Model these costs can be refined by using a sevendigit STC code rather than the two-digit system used in the original USRA model.

3) CABOOSE COSTS

( -

This Department has removed the \$8.70 per caboose-day that was used by USRA in their calculation of on-branch operating costs on the basis that discontinuance of service on a specific branch line is not likely to aid a rail company in the avoidance of such costs. As in the case of locomotives, a caboose is indivisible and associated costs can be avoided only when it can be shown that traffic on a branch line is of such a magnitude that it requires the services of a caboose in and of itself. This will not normally occur in a branch line situation.

4) CREW COSTS

It is unreasonable to assume, as the USRA methodology does, that the cost of a train crew can be saved with the abandonment of a line when the crew works the line for only a few hours per day or week as part of its regular assignment. Where such a savings is demonstrated, these costs are properly associated with the line's operation and accounted for in the "Evaluation Report". USRA also used an overtime wage scale in situations not deemed appropriate. Straight-time wages are generally charged to branch line operations, but when

-29-

it is found that a crew serving a branch regularly works overtime which could be eliminated or reduced by discontinuance of service on the branch, even though the crew continues to work elsewhere, the branch crew time is charged, in the Michigan methodology, at overtime rates.

5) STATION EMPLOYEE COSTS

The costs charged by USRA to branch lines as a result of manning rail stations are system averages. The Michigan methodology will, in the future, incorporate a more realistic "direct" cost of the employees involved.

#### -- Normal Branch Maintenance Cost --

This cost category is comprised of two component types - direct and indirect maintenance costs. While USRA's calculation of direct normal maintenance seems appropriate, their charges to indirect branch maintenance are incorrect. The following is a list of those costs which cannot be avoided with branch line abandonment as USRA contends, unless they can solely be related to a specific line.

- 1. MISECELLANEOUS STRUCTURES
- 2. ROADWAY MACHINES
- 3. DISMANTLING RETRIEVAL EQUIPMENT
- 4. SMALL TOOLS AND SUPPLIES
- 5. RIGHT-OF-WAY EXPENSE
- 6. PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT MAINTENANCE
- 7. REMOVING SNOW, ICE AND SAND

Since these costs are unavoidable, they are excluded from the Michigan methodology. Several studies have shown that when the non-avoidable nature of these charges are taken into account, most branch line maintenance costs have been overstated by approximately 20 percent.

#### - – Return on Net Salvage Value – –

The USRA has assumed that each bankrupt rail company forgoes 8.3% of the salvage value of a rail line in the form of an opportunity cost by keeping the line in service. There is no debate with either the logic of the opportunity cost or its assigned interest rate. The Michigan accounting approach differs with that used by USRA in that it does not value the steel, ties, and land associated with each line as highly as did USRA. Since most track in Michigan is less than the 100-pound weight assumed by the association and because it considerably over and understated the value of land per acre along various Michigan lines, the net salvage value per mile of \$24,562 is not satisfactory. The long term rail planning process will gather line-specific data concerning both the value of land per acre and rail weight per mile.

#### -- Branch Overhead Costs --

Present statistics have required the USRA to analyze each branch line individually. While it is true that in the aggregate, the discontinuance of branch line services or several lines may impact system-level overhead costs, it is not correct to assign such savings to specific branch lines because they are not generated as a result of operating any particular line. They are incurred simply as a result of conducting business. It is recognized that overhead costs may be saved through discontinuance of services in some cases - e.g., when the level of traffic on a line reach certain magnitudes, the Michigan methodology is based on this reasoning.

#### -- Property Taxes --

Currently, line specific property tax data does not exist for Michigan branch lines. This information, as well as other applicable tax data, will be collected and utilized in future runs of the analysis.

-31-

#### -- Off-Branch Operating Costs --

The USRA has assumed that branch line discontinuance will, in the aggregate, permit the savings of many road-train crews and similar train-related (variable) cost categories. This assumption may be true; but, in the present context, where the statute has required the assessment of avoidable cost on a line-specific basis, it is irrelevant. The Michigan method is based on the view that abandonment of no single marginal light density line would, by itself, reduce the number of trains on the main-line system and therefore would not significantly impact the carrier's overhead costs. In addition, USRA charged loss and damage costs to branch lines on all interline traffic when, in fact, these costs are shared between the involved roads. The Michigan methodology makes an appropriate adjustment to overhead costs by reducing loss and damage costs on interline traffic by one half.

#### – – Branch Overhead Traffic – –

The USRA has cited the extreme difficulty of dealing with overhead (bridge) traffic in an efficient manner as reason for excluding it from its light density line evaluation. Such exclusion understates the importance of certain branch lines to the profitable operation of the system. USRA, in devising its "system" plan, failed to take into account, system implications of proposed network alterations. It assumed that branch line traffic would continue to move albeit via a different routing. No attempt was made to monitor the impact that this traffic rerouting would have upon the costs and revenues of those branch and main lines which would be required to carry the additional traffic burden. Perhaps one of the best means of approaching this bridge traffic problem would be through the use of the "Full Allocation" method mentioned in the introduction

-32-

in conjunction with the standard transportation procedures of trip table construction, network building and traffic assignment. Given that the use of such procedures is beyond the short-range capabilities of this Department, the USRA methodology might be made more realistic by estimating that portion of on branch expenses which are not avoided with abandonment. From this perspective, then, the expense of moving overhead traffic on the branch should be deducted from the avoidable expense charged to the branch.

Where a reasonable determination of the new routing of overhead traffic can be made, any greater (or lesser) mileage, as compared with movement over the branch, should be taken into account. If the new routing is longer, the added expense would serve further to reduce the expenses to be avoided by branch discontinuance.

#### -- Rehabilitation Cost --

The USRA has determined the expense of rehabilitating branch lines by applying cost factors on a segment-by-segment basis to the number of ties needing replacement and the number of grade crossings and turnouts needing repair. The cost factors utilized were:

COST	PER	TIE INSERTED	\$32.38
COST	PER	MILE OF RAIL INSTALLED	\$33,856.10
COST	PER	TURNOUT	\$1,033.60
COST	PER	GRADE CROSSING	\$5,367.05

There has been an extended debate over whether these cost factors and the replacement estimates are valid. For example, USRA found need to repair an extremely large number of grade crossings. There is a real question as to whether repair of all of these crossings is needed to bring the line up to FRA Class I. In addition, turnouts have been, without any reference to reality, estimated upon the basis of a set number per mile. A special study was conducted

-33-

by this Department to calculate actual replacement needs at costs which reflect the line specific situation. It is the results of this study that will be used in any future use of the Michigan analysis.  $\frac{w_{1}}{w_{1}} = \frac{w_{1}}{w_{1}} \frac{w_{2}}{w_{1}} \frac{w_{1}}{w_{1}} \frac{w_{2}}{w_{1}} \frac{w_{1}}{w_{1}} \frac{w_{2}}{w_{1}} \frac{w_{2}}{w$ 

# THE USRA COMPUTER PROGRAM



#### THE USRA COMPUTER PROGRAM

It was mentioned in the introduction to this report that subsequent to a generalized discussion of both the USRA and Michigan versions of Viability Analysis, a more technical presentation of the analysis would be undertaken. This section begins that presentation. Those not interested in the internal logic of the program should skip to the next section where an extensive comparison of the differences in the two techniques is made on a single Penn Central study segment.

6

The following pages include a full listing of the "Viability Analysis" computer program as received from USRA. The program (see Figure 10) originally written in Fortran IV for an IBM 370 and compiled via the FORTGCLG compiler, has been modified to run on the CDC 6600 SCOPE batch operating system. While several modifications have been made to allow more efficient program execution, the majority of changes to the IBM version have been made simply to permit the program's compilation on the CDC system by means of the 6600 "Fortran Extended" compiler. Those conversant with the Fortran programming language should be able to detect which portions of the program are used in computing the output data which have been printed in both the "Table of Intermediate Calculations" and the "Basic Branch Line Evaluation Report". This process should be facilitated by the numerous "comment" statements which have been inserted for purposes of identification and description. Additional diciphering of the program has been provided with the inclusion of a list of "Variable Definitions" (Figure 11) and a "Flow Diagram" (Figure 12). The variable definitions list indicates a variable's name as used within the program, the number of elements specific to each variable (e.g., IPTSW(9)), a short description of the variable, its type (i.e., I = Integer, L = Logical, etc.) and its function (i.e., Con = Control, In = Input, Out = Output and Int = Intermediate).

-35-

Once the reader is familiar with this list, he may begin to follow the flow of the program's logic as presented in the diagram. Although certain questions concerning the program's operation may remain after reviewing the material in these three figures, one should have a fairly good "feel" for the overall manipulation of data within the program. The more important input files have already been identified and examples of each presented above. Several other input files are not actually used in the calculation of the intermediate costs but rather are simply "header" data which are used in the labeling of the printed output (see the variable definition list). Familiarity with the control variables should prove useful in a more complete comprehension of the flow diagram. The meaning and use of the Intermediate calculations as shown in the definition list should, by now, be clear. Note: It should be pointed out that those variables which relate to the program statements which begin at line 740 (follow the numbering scheme at the far left of the program listing) are not of importance to us here. They provide the analyst with a series of statistical summaries descriptive of a line's operating characteristics. No mention of these tables has been made before and none shall be again. For this reason, one need not concern himself with the last four pages of both the list of variable definitions and the program flow chart, unless, of course, he wishes to know the nature of these summary tables. A study of the initial portions of all three figures (i.e., up until the variable TESTLS is first employed) should prove sufficient to give one considerable knowledge of the way in which the program determines those costs printed in each "Branch Line Evaluation Report".

Perhaps a better means of helping one to comprehend the viability program would have been to trace how each of the various input files are utilized within the analysis on a statement by statement basis. The mere size of the input files and the complexity of the program in terms of the number of calculations

-36-

made has, however, prevented the adoption of this approach. Several manuals are already in existence and could be consulted if one desires to gain a deeper understanding of the more technical aspects of the program's operation.\*

\*Viability Analysis Programmer's Manual, United States Railway Association, Washington, D.C. 20595

\*Cost Development Procedures Manual Rail Services Planning Office Washington, D.C. 20423

and and a second se

1.2210400 1.22100400

 $\frac{\partial_{\mu}^{2}\partial_{\mu}^{2}}{\partial_{\mu}} = \frac{\partial_{\mu}^{2}\partial_{\mu}^{2}}{\partial_{\mu}} + \frac{\partial_{\mu}^{2}}{\partial_{\mu}} + \frac{\partial_{\mu}^$ 

## FIGURE 10

. .

	prosection pro- section of the section of the secti			چې د دې دې د د د د د لوغې د د د د					,			Sember Log				<u>(1</u> )				
	PRO	RAM	MCHRL	. <b>1</b> 1.1		•	1 -	CD	C 6600	FTN''V3	0-P380	0PT=1	07/01/75	10.2	6.32.		PAGE	, F 1	1	
			Pf	ROGRAM M	CHRL (IN	PUT.OUT	PUT, TAP	ELITAPE	2, TAPE4	4. TAPE 9.	TAPE10	,	PLUS3		1					
		s.	111/ IT/	APE14.TA	PE16,TA	PE17,TA	PE20,TA	PE13=0U	TPUT)			•	PLUS3		2	•				
		4	C' · !∵DE	CLARE .V	ARIABLE	S AS IN	TEGER O	RREAL	AND SET	T ARRAY	SIZE		USRA		4. 	· ·				
	·		RE	AL LOCA	L(50,5)	CONRL (	50+5)+I	NTER (50	•5)•ST(	CC(50,7)	• TCD (50	)) <b>+</b>	USRA		5.					
	5		1 SE	G(48),T	ASK (19)	•CF(48)	•CD(7•3	)+DRM(2-	\$10)\$5	IAIE(3)	SEGMNI	• • •	USRA		57					
			י אי רוכ	MCLAC+1	CSD1+11:	501. M - NAMO -	NSV-15-	NTS TOS			ITNT (50.	5).	USRA		# R					•
			210	SO.1TSP	IMC FINDMI )+L IMC ▲ T(	ATAI (50	45)	MT241C2	C911301	FDC FCOR	111111.003		USRA		9		i a			
			11/1	JTEGER A	AR FO R	R+R+TAP	NI TAPN	2+ÓUT+EI	RR • ERR(	ORS+RNAM	E(10),		USRA	1	0					
	10		10	H(48,10	),TH(19	+15)+SE	GROW (48	+10) +NAI	ME (15)	PAGE , ST	UBS (72)	9).	USRA	1	1					
,			1201	AAR+C2A	AR JCCF I	•ERR1•E	RR2,ERR	3,ERR4					USRA	1	2		•			ł
				INTEGER	₹ <b>11</b> ,A	CTUAL .P	OSITŇ∌M	IN, WHER	E,WHLIS	ST (9) • Té	• TAPN4		USRA	1	3.					
			L	OGICAL	ENDF								PLUS3		3.					
	•			INTE	GER T	2(500),	T5(500)						USKA	 1	Het - ⊫					
	ຸ 15‴			DIM	ENSION .	IFTRE (9	*10)	•	•				USRA UCDA ·		2		•		•	
		•			719160	9001C				•			USRA	1	7					
					1600.	, 14/500\	۰.						USRA	. 1	A					·
		*			HAT.	K8FW1.	TTRYPS.	TEGOOD					USRA	I	9			•	•	
	20		10 B	OGICAL	ĨFI,IFI	INIFIII	• IFIV•I	FV, IFVI	, IFVII	,IFVIII,	IFVIIB	IFBAD	'I USRA	Ž	Ó		•			
			11	REAL OL	TLST (72	) MOWS						• •	<sup>11</sup> USRA	. 2	i -					
			:1+6	COMMON/C	UTLST/	LUH, LU	HC, CH,	CHC, SI	ÉC, FRI	EAK; RFC	DBCMC	C, 08CD	CUI USRA	2	2			· .		
	•	. •	<b>1</b> 1 C	BFCC+ C	M. CMC.	CBDAYS	· CBDC,	OBCAB+1	LOTSCO	GT. GTC.	DMC+	[MC 🔊	USRA	2	3		· . ·	· .		
			' <b>2</b> 'N	IBMM, 'NE	MO. TTM	, TSSV,	TSVGT,	TSVFPT	. LSV.	GSV. TO	RC: NSI	1.	USRA	2	4	• •				
	25		13 · P	RETNSV	MOWS TI	RANS M		CLAC+OB	CM3 081 TSC2 11	TTM; 08N	11M9 080	5TM 9		2	5		•			
			5 1	210. ITS	13, 13, 1 10, 085D	. 08CD.	OFRCDC	ADERCMC	• OF8F4	CC, SCO,			USRA	2	7 '					
ယ့်			· 6 F	RAL FR	A2, DEL	τΑ ΤΑ		, 01 O GII O		,		•	USRA	2	8		\$			
Ϋ́				REAL TE	STLS (18	) • TESTK	(14) .LS	V.LOTSC					USRA	2	9 -	•	2	·	· · · ·	
	30			DIMENSI	ON SNAM	(500,15	). RORI	(500,3)	, DOUT	(30)			USRA	3	0					· .
		6.1.1	`	INTEGER	SNAM		•	ŧ +					USRA	3	1					
				DIMEN	ISION IT	ALY (9+2	)						USRA	<u>ال</u>	<u> </u>		•	· ·	· · ·	
		. (	C									•	USRA	<u>ال</u>	3			. •		
		•		REAL	. OUTLT (	500,16)							USRA	<b>.</b>	4 r			•.	-	
· .	35			LOGIC	CAL IPTS	W(9)								ງ: ເ	3 4 · ·					. • •
				INIEC	STON TO	U V (0)				- *		•	USRA	ר ג	0 7	• •				
				DIMEN DIMEN	ISTON IP.	A (9) (2)					•		PI IIS4		° 1		•	•		
				FOUIVA	LENCE C	(2) ZIP(1)•	LUH)			1			USRA	3	å	,			· .	
	40		. Dł	TA ENDE	7.FALSE	•/						•	PLUS3		4	<i></i>	· · ·		· · · · ·	/
			-	DATA	MINE/-1.	/					•		USRA	3	9		$M_{\rm eff} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} $			· .
			D#	ATA IFTR	T/4H10	0,4HII-	-,4HIII	-,4HIV-	-,4HV	-P,4HVI-	-,4HVI	(	PLUS2	,	ļ		• .			
			. 1	2#4HVII	(1+4HN+8)	R#4HNOR	M94H RE	T #4HBRA	N94HROI A.AHATY	PE94HOFF V .4490/		/Es		4. 4	2	•••		•		
	45		2	2*4M=A 3860TMC	94HANU	11440AL C.AHDAN	094HUKN C.4HON	94000 V 9.64VED	U948881 H.44781	1 94NOK/ YE.44CH	1.4HD	18. 18.	USRA		3	• •		. 5	•	
	43	•.	- S - G	2444011	N.AHRAT	Сэтокай Тааны М	4 . 4 HR AN	C.AHFAD	4HS (	ONA4HPF6	2A.4HR11	)6.	USRA	. 4	4 4	•	· ·	· ·		
			5	2*4HG 1	R,4HNG	C,4HINT	E.4HH S	A+4HCOS	T,4H H	RA,4HTIN	G,4HE)	T.	USRA	4	5			• .*	• `• .	· _
			· · 6	2#4HACK	+4HOST	S+4HNAN	C•4HLVA	G+4HS	,4HNCI	H ,4H CC	S+4HRAF	Fi	USRA	4	6 <sup>`</sup>	· · .			: `	•
			7	2#4HT0	F94H	+4HE C	0•4HE	•2#4H	94H]	TS 94HJ	C 92#4	HRA C.	USRA	4	7					•
	50		8	4H 9	4HSTS +	5+4H	,2₩4HL	ASS+7*4	H 92	2#4H I	1		PLUS2		2.		. •	+ .	•	÷
		•	1 - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A -	DATA	TAPN474	4/						•	USRA	4	9 4	·				
		· · ·	_	DATA	0018/9/	,0UIC/1	0/						USRA	5	U 2					
			D4	ATA ITAL	1/4HI	94H11	94HIII	94HIV	94HV	<b>9</b>			PLUS		<b>კ</b>				••	
	55		. 1	4HV1 9	9HVII ) 1 15773	2*4HV1I 5.7.9.1	1,13,14	,4H=/ 17,10/	a 9411-	-6 /		·	HED2	5	3		· ·			
	22		i e t	UAIA WP	ICT31/343		*'4T38T3	******		· .	•		USICA		ч.ғ.					
			2										-							
							÷				•		•							

							•				
PROGRAM	MCHRI	L	CDC	C 6600	FTN	V3.0-P380	OPT=1	07/01/75	10,26.32.	PAGE	2
· · ·		DATA 1PX/3.5.7.9	·11·13·15·17·19/ :					USRA	54		
	$(1,\ldots,n_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$	DU 3333 1=1.9						USRA	55	· · · · · · · ·	•
	7777	TPISW(I)=_TRUE_		· .				USRA	56		•
	C ·	I I DU I I U U U U	· · ·		•		· · · ·	USRA	. 57	•	
60	• · ·	1 N=100		· · ·	• •			USRA	58		,
		FOUND#0						ÚSRA.	59		
	C I	T GOILE JO	•	•				ÚSRA	60		. '
	v	EM=100			· '.			USRA	6] .		
		WHAT= TRUE	•		: .			PLUSS	2. Sec. 1. Sec. 3.		
65		TET#: TRUE.			•			USRA	64		
		TETT= TRUE			•	• • • • • • •		USRA	65		
· .		IFTIT=, IRUE.		. ·			•	USRA	66		• :
•		TETV=.TRUE.	• • •	. · .		. ·		USRA	67	·	:
		IFV=.TRUE.	•			• •		USRA	68	•	
70		TEVI= TRUE		· .	:	,		USRA	69		·
15		TEVITE, TRUES			•	· · ·		USRÁ:	70	· · · · ·	
		IFVIII=.TRUE.		•••				USRA	71	· · ·	
		TEVITE: TONE.				· · . ·	•	USRA	72		
		TERADE FALSE.						USRA	73'		
~~ ·	<u> </u>	ALCADESTALSES ACCION MUMOROS TA LA	CTCAL TARE UNITE -	TADE	1 - T		-	2 HSPA	74		
15	L .1	ASSIGN NUMBERS IV LU	UTOUT DATA - EQU -	~ IAFL. 50000		ACCC	CF TAFL		75		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>ل</u>	= CARD DATAS UUT = 0	UIFUI DAIAS ERR -	ERNOR	MESSI	NUCO			· · · · · ·	•	
	-			-	•	•		PLUSD			
•					• 1	· · ·	· .	HCDA	70		
<b>.</b> .	. (			:			•.	USKA	. 70		· · .
ុទប	l					•		HSPA	80	•	
		LARORS-V	•				· .	HISPA	81		1
	L C	7500 OUT OUTOUT 1	TCT				• •	USPA	82		
	L.		131				an in a	USRA	83	•	
05	616	710(1)=0.0						HSPA -	84	1. A.	
65	010	23F137-980 F1A1176 OUTOUT SEOUE	NĈE	,				USRA	85		
• .	C . 1111	TALIZE CON OF SECOE	NCE	•				USRA	86		
	C	HOLTE/14-21111						USPA	87	•	· ·
	•	WELTE (10,21))	-		1.1			USRA	· 88	• •	
0.0		WRITE(20,2111)						HSPA	80	· · · · ·	•
90							•	LICDA	90		
							•	LICDA	01 ·		
	~	WRITE (13,2111)					•	USRA	71 00		
•	2111	FORMALLIH +5X+5H	)		. •		-	USRA	92		
	С		•	• .	+	•		USRA	04		
95	<u> </u>	LINES=0	· · ·					USKA	. 74 ·		1
•		NAD LOCAL TO SECHEN	T. LOCAL TO EVETE		2617 61		DAVE	USDA	96		
• • •		READ LOCAL TO SEGMEN	TH LUCAL TO STATE	19 ANU. Jutoe	INIC	REING CAR	UNIS		07 07	111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 11	
	ι,	FUR 1 10 7 DA	I FREQUENCE OF SET	VATCE				PLIST	7		
100	r (	SEAD(TAPNE1001 SEAD(TAPNE1001	T. 11. (-). 31. (T.). 71					LISP'A	99	6 T.S	
. 100		ACADITAPNESOUT MAINTENA	190790-190791-1977 190790-190791-1977	- 10 ED	TCHT	CLASSES	•	LISPA	90		<i>`</i> •
	U = - 1	VEAD DIVEOF MAINIENA Devoltadno 011 (1000	(1, 1), (=),10),101	27 E.U.	-1001	VERGGED		HSDA -	100	· .	•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(CAUTIAFNE)017 (108M	VPU) PPAME 7720190-1910191-11	161	۰.			LICDA	101	· · · · ·	•
· · ·		CAD CALLKUAD NUMBER	- AND NAME: NNAME(T),T-1 - 105			•			103		
100	~ 5	(EAUIIAPNG1193) RR11 NEAD COST EACTOR (CA	NUNCE					USRA	102	21 A.	
TAD	U 1	KEAD LUST FAULUK HEAD	11/1 11 14 141 141 4-1 11/1 141 141 141 141 141	1 . 0 1				USKA LICDA	104		
		READ( FAPN2 - 106) ((CF)	H(1))))=1010)01=1	1140)	•			USKA	104		
	្រា	READ 48 CUST FACTORS				•		USRA	105	,	
		IF (WHAI) WRITE (14)	5003)				,	USRA	100		
	ł	READ(TAPN2,1021) (CF			. ·			USRA	107		
110		. IF (WHALFWRITE(I4+5	UU4)(Cr(1)s1=1s8)	•	•	•		USRA	100		÷.,

1,

a and a second

tyre effi 1936

<u>11 1. 17</u>

-40-

	PROGRAI	и мсня	RL			CDC	6600	FTN V3.	0-P380	0PT=1	07/01/75	10.2	5.32.	F	AGE	_3	
				. 10231 /06		<b>`</b>					IISRA	1.0	9	· · ·		•	
	1		IE (LHA)	10227 (C) 1 upite(14	50043 (CF4	1).[=9.]	<u>د</u> ۱۰	· · ·	•		USRA	11	5 ·				1 - F
		•		) WRIJE(144 9.1023) (CE	7/11.1=17.2	4) 4)	<b>U</b> ,		1.11.1	· ·	USRA	11		•			
<i>,</i> ,			TE, IWHA	.,	5004) (CE (T	-,. ),1=17,2	4)				USRA	11	2.	1.1.1.1.1.1.1	•		
	115		READITARNA	(CF	(I) I=25.3	2)	* 0 .	1997 - 1998 - 1997 - 19		. ·	USRA	· 11	3	•			•
		. '	TE (WHAT)	WRITE(14.5	5004) (CF(1)	,I=25,32	)	-			USRA	11	*				_
		• •	READITAPN	.1022) (CF	(1),1=33,4	0)	• .		•.		USRA	11	5		,		
			IF (WHAT)	WRITE (14,5	6004) (CF(1)	,I=33,40	)		•	•	USRA	110	5		1	-	
	•		READ (TAPNA	(CF	·(I),I=41,4	8)					USRA	11	7			,	
	120		IF (WHAT)	WRITE(14,5	5004) (CF(I)	,I=41,48	)				USRA	11	9	•	•		
		5003	FORMAT(1H)	+* COST	F FACTORS *	<b>)</b>				•	PLUS		+		•		- 1
		5004	FORMAT	/8(1X+F13.	6)/)						USRA	120	0.				
		С	READ COST	AND WEIGHT	DATA FOR	50 STCC	CLASSI	FICATIO	NS		USRA	12	L ·				•
			DO 808 1=1	,50		. *					USRA	122	2.				
	125	,	READ (TAPN2	.101) (ST	=Le(L.I) 33	1,7)				· · .	USRA	12:	3		r		`
		808	CONTINUE								USRA	124	<u>+</u> .		•		•
		С	READ TASK	HEADINGS			•				USRA	12	<b>)</b>		•		
			DO 809 I=1	,19							USRA	120	5				
			READ (TAPN2	(TH	1(I,J),J=1,	15)			• *•			12					
	130	809	CONTINUE					÷		,	USRA	14	3			•	
		C	READ SEGME	NT DATA HE	ADINGS						USRA	14	<b>.</b>				
			DO 810 I=J	.,48							USRA	13		•			
		,	READ(TAPN	(SE	GROW(I,J),	J=1,10)	•				USRA	. 13.	l	· . ·	1		
		810	CONTINUE							•	USRA	· 13	2	•			· ·
	132	CREA	AD HEADINGS	TUR INTER	MEDIAIE CA	LUULATIO	ND				USRA	13	Ч		• •	• `	
<b>,</b> .		· .	READ (TAPN2	(STU	IBS (1.J) J=	1.9)			÷ .		USRA	13	5			1.00	
		851	CONTINUE				· · ·	-			USRA	13	5		•		
<u>ь</u>		CBEI	FORE LINE-	Y-LINE ANA	LYSIS DO-L	OOP PRI	NT OUT	T8	•		USRA	13	7	•	1.1		1
	140	С		•		•					USRA	13	9		·		-
		Č	STCC A	RAY OF COM	MODITY CLA	SS FACTÓ	RS .	· `.		•	USRA	13	9				•:
		Č	DRN ARE	AY OF DIRE	ECT MAINTEN	ANCE COS	T CLAS	SSES/FAC	TORS		USRA	· 14	0		••	• • •	· .
		C	CD ARR/	Y OF CAR D	DAY COSTS B	Y FREQUE	NCY/TY	E SERVI	CE .		USRA	14					
			PAGE=1								USRA	14	5 .				
	145		WRITE(14,	0) PAGE	٠.	•			•		USRA	. 14	3				
			WRITE(14,	)))						,	USRA	14	4				`
			DO 321 K=	,,50				:			USRA	.] 4	5		• •		
			IF(STCC(K	1).NE.0.00	)) WRITE(14	192) Kol	STCC(	(,),,J=]	,7)		USPA	14	6	-	•	•	
		321	CONTINUE			T					USRA	14	<b>.</b> .		• • •	•	
	150	CNO	W LISE ON	HE SAME PA	AGE OF CONS	IANIS IN ONDING D	IDECT	ARRAT U	F URUS: ANCE CO		USRA	14	9 9	· · · ,		· · ·	
		C	NINAUE CLASS	ES AND THE	TK CONNESE	ONDING B	TUCC	172.01 LIS		0010	LISPA	15	0				· · ·
		CPE	R MILE PAGE=PAGE:	1 .	1 A.		•			,	PLUS3		š		•	· · `	•
			WRITE (14.9	3) PAGE	· · ·		. · `	· ·			USRA	15.	2			• ·	
	155		WRITE()4.	4) (DRM(1.	1) • . (=1 • 10)	·					USRA	15	3 .	· .	. •		
•	1		WRITE(14,	5) (DRM(2)	(1), J=1,10)				1.1		USRA	15	4		· · · ·		1997 - 19
	. •	CN01	N LIST CAR-	DAY/TRIP-F	REQUENCY T	ARLE			•		USRA	. 15	5				1 A
	1.		WRITE(14,9	6)	•						USRA	15	6		· .	. : .	· .
	•	~	WRITE(14)	7)							USRA	15	7				· .
	160		00 801 1=	,7							USRA	15	8.	•			
			WRITE(14)9	8) I, (CD(1	(;J);J=1;3)				,		USRA	15	9				
		801	CONTINUE		•	- 14 - 14	•	•			USRA	16	0	· 1			•
			WRITE (14)	2112)	•			÷			USRA -	16	1 ·	. • •		· ·	•••
			READ(16+16	(44)							PLUS3		<b>)</b>		· ·		
	165		DO 305 (	IN=1,500		•	•	,			USRA	. 16	2				
			4		,						-						

)

	P	ROGRAM	мсн	RL	CDC 6600	FTN V3.0-P380	OPT=1	07/01/75	10.26.32.	PAGE
•			•	READ (16+1044) ARR + SEGMNT + (N/	AME(J),J=1,15),			PLUS	5	1
				state(J), J=1,3)	- •	· .		USRA	164	
·				TE (FOE (16) ) 306 - 802	· · · · ·		•	PLUS	6	
			802	CONTINUE			1	PLUS	. 7	
	170		.004		• • •	· · ·	•	USRA	165	
	110		205	TO CHINE - CONT		-		NSPA	166	
	-	•	303	T4 UNINJ = SELOMIN I	· .			USRA	167	
			300					LICOX	169	
				REWIND ID				LISDA	160	
. ·				POSINN#1 TACT=3	•			USRA LICDA	170	• •
	112			1AUI-1 TE (MUAT) WUTTE (14 . 5001) 74	•	•	۰ ۴	USRA	171	,
				IF (WGAT/WAITE(14,5001/10	( ( NIAL ) - NIA IM ) - T 4 3			HISPA	172	
				TE (MHAITWRITE (14) DUCT (14)	4 ( IAIA ) 8 IAIA⇔T 8 1 O 1			USKA	173	
	•		C	DO 0000 MUNT 1000	•	· ·		HCDA	174	
	•	. · · ·		DO 9000 NN=1.1000				USKA	114	
	180	·		READ(TAPN1;300)SEGMNT		•		PLUSS	· . ¢	,
			300	FORMAT(10X,A4)	•		•	PLUSJ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				IF(EOF(1))10001,308				USRA	119	
			308	CONTINUE	•			USRA	190	
				T2(NN)=NN	·			USRA	181	
	185	. •	9000	T3(NN) = SEGMNT				USRA	182	-
			10001	T1 = NN-1		•		USRA .	183 .	
				IF (WHAT) WRITE (14.5001)	T1 ·			USRA	184	
	•		5001	FORMAT()H1+5X+[6)			•	USRA	185	
			5002	E0EMAT(/25(1X+44))				USRA	186	•
	100		JUUL	1F (WHAT) WOTTF (14.5002)	(T3(NN), NN=1, T1)			USRA	• 187	
	190		C .	TI IS THE NUMBER OF SEGMEN	T RECORDS ON TAPE	1		USRA	188	•
			č	T2/I) WHERE I IS 1-500 AND	WILL HE & POSITI	ONAL POINTER	•	USRA	189 '	
			č	TO WHICH PECORD ON TA	APET THAT A SEGME	NT CODE OF		HSRA	190	
			č	T3(I) MAY BE FOUND.	APEI INALA JEONE			USRA	191 1	1
	105		C	15(1) HAT DE 1000044	6 0			HISDA	102	
	190					•		USRA	193	•
				$\Delta CTUAL = 1$	. '			USRA	194	
								LICDA	105	1
				FUSIIN -1				LISPA	196	
	200				• ·			USRA	107	· · ·
	200			NBSK-V				USRA	177	-
				IFLAG=U			•	USRA	198	
		· -	CBE	GIN LINE-BY-LINE FEASIBILIT	Y ANALYSIS CALCUL	ATIONS		USRA	199	
			C	INITIALIZE ERROR FLAGS - MT	D= MISSING TAPE D.	AIAt		USRA	200	
			C	MSD= MISSING SEGMENT DATA+ 1	ERRI= SEGMENT NUM	BER MISMATCH+		USRA	201	
	205		Ç.	ERR2= CARD SEQUENCE ERROR+	ERR3= RAILROAD C	ODE MISMATCH+		USRA	202	· · ·
			С	ERR4= STATE CODE MISMATCH		-		USRA	203	- 1 - <b>- +</b>
				READ (TAPN4+103)	•			PLUSS	3	
			590	MTD=0				USRA	204	
	÷			MSD≠0				USKA	205	· · ·
	210			ERR1=0				USRA	206	
		,	·.	ERR2=0				USRA	207	•
				ERR3=0				USRA	208	• •
				ERR4=0				USRA	209	•
				IFLAG = 0		· · ·	· .	USRA	210	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -
	215		С	READ LINE SEGMENT CHARACTER	RISTICS DATA			USRA	211	
			600	READ (TAPN4, 103) (SEG(J), J=1	,48)		· ·	PLUS	9 .	÷
				IF (EOF (4))700,601	-			USRA	213	
			601	CONTINUE				USRA	214	
		· .		DOUT(2) = SEG(7)		·	, i .	USRA	215	
	220			DOUT(3) = SEG(12)			•••	USRA	216	
										· · ·

66

ooninnin Sectori -42-

are and the second rate for the two fields

	PR	OGRAM	мсн	s entres sustain allunds sustaines quit RL	CDC	6600	FTN V3.0-P380	0PT=1	07/01/75	10.26.32.	PAGE
		•	,	DOUT (4) # SEG (14)			•		USRA	217	
	,			15 (SEG(1) .NE. 9.0 08. SEG(36)	NE	9.01	60 TO 611.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	USRA	218	
				WEITE (FPR. 167) SEG(6)					USRA	219	
			· .	NRSP=NRSR+1					PLUS3	13	
	225	•		60 10 612	· •	• . •		· · · ·	USRA	221	
	6. fe 4		611	CONTINUE	•				USRA 😔	222	· · · ·
			<b>V</b> & 5	IF (SEG(1) .E0. 1.0 .AND. SEG(36	) .EQ.	2.0	60 TO 612		🐪 USRA 🖗	223	
				WRITE(FRR.160) SEG(6)					USRA	224	
		•		FRRORS=FRRORS+1		• •			PLUS3	- 14	
	230			BACKSPACE TAPN4		· ·			USRA	226	
	- 20			60 TO 590		• ••			USRA	227	
•			612	CONTINUE		•			USRA	228	
			026	I INES=PINES+1		,			PLUS3	15	
				DO 10100 I=1.71	·			•••••••	USRA	230	
	235			IF(T3(T),FQ,SFG(6)) GO TO 10200		•		· · · · ·	USRA	231	
	239		10100	CONTINUE	• ,			• • •	USRA	232	•
			2 W 2 W V	WRITE(ERR, 10101) SEG(6)					USRA	233	
			10101	FORMAT(1H) . /5X . * NO TAPE REC	ORD FO	DUND 1	TO MATCH REQ*.		PLUS	: 10	
			*****	1 #EST FOR SEGMENT * , A4 . **)				•	PLUS	11	· ·
	240			DO 10111 I=1,T6		÷		•	USRA 🚊	236	
	- • •			IF (T4(1), F0, SFG(6))G0 T0 10112					USRA	237	•
			10111	CONTINUE		•		•	USRA	238	•
				WRITE (ERR. 10102) SEG(6)	•	۰.			USRA	239	
			10102	FORMAT()H) /# NO DATA FOUND	TO MA	атсн з	REQUEST FOR #+		PLUS	12	. 1
	245	•	10102	1 *SEGMENT *+A4+* NO CALCULATIONS	WILL	BE M	ADE*)	••	PLUS	13	
	<b>4</b> 40			ERRORS=ERRORS+1			· .	· · ·	PLUS3	16	
1	·			60 TO 590			. · · · ·	• • .	USRA	243	
÷			10112	CONTINUE				. · · .	USRA	244	
ω	1			READ(16,1044)					PLUS3	17 -	1
•	250			DO 10113 II=1+I					USRA	245	
			,	READ(16,1044)ARR, SEGMNT, (NAME(	ا=لو (ل	1,15)	Ð.		USRA	246	
		• • •	ł	* (STATE(J)+J=1+3)	- ·				USRA	. 247 .	
			10113	CONTINUE					USRA	248	•
				REWIND 16			•		USRA	249	
	255		С				•	• .	USRA	250	
				TOTAL(50+1) = 0.0					USRA	251	•
				GO TO 181				1.	USRA	252	
			10200	POSITN = 1		•	٠		USRA	253	
				IF (POSITN .EQ. ACTUAL) GO TO 1	0500		•	1.	USRA	254	•
	260			IF (POSITN .GT. ACTUAL) GO TO 1	0300	· ·			USRA	255	
	-	• • • •		N = ACTUAL - POSITN			· · · ·		USRA	256	
	• -			D0 10210 I = $1.N$		• • •	• • • 1	· · ·	USRA	257	
				BACKSPACE TAPN1	. '		•		USRA	258	
			10210	ACTUAL = ACTUAL - 1	•			.•	USRA	259	· · ·
	265		· · ·	GO TO 10500				•	USRA	260	
			10300	N = POSITN - ACTUAL		. •	•		USRA	201	
				DO 10310 I=1.N				•	USRA	202	•
•				READ (TAPN1 + 103)	•			· ·	PLUSZ	5	1. J.
			10310	ACTUAL=ACTUAL+1				•	PLUS3	18	
	270		10500	CONTINUE			,		USRA	200	•
			⊂ Ç	READ LINE SEGMENT TRAFFIC AND REV	ENUE [	ATA			USRA	200 1	
•				CALL REED (TAPN), AAR, STATE, SEGMNT,	NAME+L	LOCAL	CONRL INTER, EI	VDF.)	PLUS3	19	
				IF (ENDF) 60 TO 700					PLU23	<u> </u>	
			6111	CONTINUE				•	PLUS	1/	·
	275			BOUT(I) = SEGMNI		•			USKA		. ,

	PROGRAM	MCHRL		CDC 6600	FTN V3.0-P380 OP	YT=1 07	/01/75	10.26.32.
		ACTUA	L=ACTUAL+1 ).NE.SEG(3).OR.STATE(1	).NE.SEG(38)	) ERR4=1		PLUS3 USRA	21 274
	· · ·	IF (STATE (2	) .NE.SEG(4) .OR.STATE(2	) • NE • SEG (39)	) ERR4=1	•	USRA	275
	200	IF (STATE (3	) •NE • SEG (5) •OR • STATE (3	) •NE•SEG(40)	) ERR4=1			276
•	280 L	, PRINI ERRO	R MESSAGES AND COUNT EN	MNT.CIAAR.C2		• •	USRA	- 278
		IF (ERR4.EG	.1) WRITE(ERR.162) SEG	MNT, (SEG(I),	I=3,5),	•	USRA	279
		1 (SEG(I)+I	=38,40) . (STATE(I), I=1,	3)			USRA	280
· .		IF (ERR2.EQ	<pre>.1) WRITE(ERR,160) SEG</pre>	MNT			USRA	281
•	285	IF (ERP1.NE	+0) WRITE (ERR+163) SEG	(6),SEG(41),	SEGMNI	• .		282
		IF (EKKI®EV FDDARS=FRR	APS+1	0 100		•	PHIS	22
		WRITE (ERR)	164) SEGMNT	<i>,</i> .			USRA	285
		IF (ERR1.EQ	$\bullet 1)  \text{IFLAG} = 2$	· ·	- (	-	USRA	286
	290	IF (ERR1.EQ	.1) GO TO 590		•		USRA	287
		IFLAG=1		•		•	USRA	288
		. IF (ERR1.EQ	.2) GO TO 590	,		-	USRA	289
		IF (ERR2+EQ	(.1) GO TO 590	·. ·	· .			290
	205	11 (EKKJ.EU 15 (EDD4.E)	11 60 10 590		· ·	• •	USRA	292
	C 75	CREATE CON	INT AND TOTAL ARRAYS		•		USRA	293
		180 DO 201 I=1	,50				USRA	294
		DO 202 J=1	•5				USRA	295
		TOTAL(1,J)	=LOCAL(I,J)+CONRL(I,J)	+INTER(I,J)			PLUS2	7
	300	CONTRACTOR	)=CONRL(1+J)+INTER(1+J)	)	• • • • • • • • •	-	HSPA	298
· • • •		202 CONTINUE			· ·		USRA	299
44	С	FILL DOUT	FOR DISK OUTPUT				USRA	300
i i		DOUT(5) =	TOTAL (50+1)	•	•		USRA	301 .
	305	DOUT(6) =	TOTAL (50-2)	·		•••		302
		· DOUT(7) =	101AL (50+5)				USRA	304
		DOUT(0) =	1 OCAL (50+2)	x			USRA	305
		DOUT(10) =	LOCAL (50+5)	•		•	USRA	306
	310	DOUT(11) =	CONRL (50,1)				USRA	307
		DOUT(12) =	CONRL(50+2)				USRA	308
	•	DOUT(13) =	CONRL (50,5)		;		USRA	309
	· 7	DOUT(14) =	INTER (50+1)	•			USKA	310
	315	DOUT(16) =	INTER (50+5)	· · · · ·	*		USRA ·	312
	с. С	BYPASS SEG	MENTS WITH BLANK CARD	RECORDS AND	CALCULATE ONLY		USRA	313
	· C	SALVAGE	AND REHAB FOR THOSE WI	TH BLANK TRA	FFIC RECORDS		USRA	314
		IF (MSD • NE •	1) GO TO 181 1661 SEGMNT					315 .
~	320	60 TO 590	ICOV SCOUNT		•		USRA	317
		181 IF (TOTAL (5	0+1).NE.0.0) GO TO 18				USRA	318
		MTD=1		•		•	USRA	319
	•	NBTR=NBTR+	1	· · · ·			PLUS3	23
	225	DO 183 I=1	,19			•	USRA	321
	325	•0≕(1)72A[ 103 CONTINUE	V			`	LISDA	322
	•	- 103 CUNIINUE - WRITE/EDD.	167) SEGMNT			· .		324
	. 1	18 AAR=RR					USRA	325
	c	TASK ONE -	TOTAL CARRIER BRANCH	REVENUE	•		USRA	326
	330	25 IF (MTD.EQ.	1) 60 10 225	, · · ·			USRA	327

PAGE

.

				TASK(1)=TOTAL (50.3)	USRA	328	
		С		TASK TWO - ON BRANCH OPERATING COSTS	USRA	329	
		č		CALCULATE LOCOMOTIVE UNIT HOUR COST (LUHC)	USRA	330	
	· · ·	. "		10H=SFG(11)*SEG(12)*SEG(15)	USRA	331	
335				1 UHC=CF (1) *LUH	USRA	332	
		c		CALCULATE CREW HOUR COSTS (CHC)	USRA	333	
	•			REFW=1F1X(SEG(14))	USRA	334	
				KREWT=+FALSE.	USRA	335	
				INCREW=KREW	USRA	336	
340	1 - E			TE (KREW-1 E-5) 60 TO 206	USRA	337	
- V				KRFWT= TRUE	USRA	ʻ 338	•
				WRITE (ERR+207) SEGMNT+KREW	USRA	339	
	•			KRFw=5	USRA	340	
				GO TO 209	USRA	341	
145			206	1E(KREW_GE:2) GO TO 209	USRA	342	
			200		USRA	343	
		-		WRITF(ERR+20B) SEGMNT+KREW	USRA	344	
• .				KRFW=2	USRA	345	
			209	CH=SEG(11)*SEG(15)	USRA	346	
350	•			CHC=CH*CF(KREW)	USRA	347	
		c		CALCULATE STATION EMPLOYEE COSTS (SEC)	USRA	348	
		~			USRA	349	
		~	•	SEC-SECALIFICITIO	HSDA	350	•
		č		CALCULATE ON BRANCH FREIGHT CAR COSTS (OBFCC)		350	
)CE		Ļ		CALCULATE FREQUENCE OF TRAINS AND ROUND OFF		- 152	:
122				FREAR-3EU(1:1)/32, FO=1FTX(FDFAR)	USRA	353	
				TTRYPS=.FAISE.	USRA	354	
	,				USRA	355	
				IF (COMPAR.GE.0.5) FQ=FQ+1	PLUS3	24 ,	
360		С		CORRECT FREQUENCY GREATER THAN 7 OR LESS THAN 1	USRA	357	
		-		1F (FQ. i F. 7) GO TO 192	USRA	358	
				WRITE (ERR+190) SEGMNT+FREAK	USRA	359	
				ITRYPS=+TRUE.	USRA	360	
				FQ=7	USRA	361	
365				60 10 193	USRA	362	
			192	TE (EQ. GE. 1) 60 TO 193	USRA	363	
			a / L	WRITE (FRR + 191) SEGMNT + FRFAK	USRA	364	• •
	•			1TRYPS=_TRUF_	USRA	365	
				Fort	USRA	366	1
370		C		CALCULATE ON HRANCH CAR MILE COSTS (OBCMC)	USRA	367	
		•	193	0BCMC=0.0	USRA	368	
				RFQ = FLQAT(FQ)	USRA	369	
				DOUT(17) = RFQ	USRA	370	· .
				DO 210 K=1,49	USRA	371	. <i>·</i>
375				OBCMC=OBCMC+(TOTAL(K,1)*STCC(K,2))	PLUS2	9	· .
		-	210	CONTINUE	USRA .	373	
				OBCMC=SEG(7)*OBCMC	USRA	374	
				DOUT(18) = OBCMC	USRA	375 '	
		С		CALCULATE ON BRANCH CAR DAY COSTS (OBCDC)	USRA	376	
380				OBCDC=0.0	USRA	377	
				DO 211 K=1+49	USRA	<b>378</b> 0	
·				TCD(K)=(LOCAL(K,1)*CD(FQ,1))+(CONRL(K,1)*CD(FQ,2))+	PLUS	18	
				(INTER(K+1)*CD(FQ+3))	USRA	380	
				OBCDC=OBCDC+(TCD(K)*STCC(K,3))	PLUS	19	•
385			211	CONTINUE	USRA	382	
			~ ~			•	

|--|

MCHRL

PAGE

			A &	
		OBFCC=OBCMC+OBCDC	PLUS	2(
		DOUT(19) = OBCDC	USRA 🖉	: 384
	C C	CALCULATE ON BRANCH CABOOSE COSTS (OBCAB)	USRA	38
	C ·	CABOOSE MILES	USRA	- 386
390		CM=SEG(7)*SEG(11)*2.0	USRA	. 381
	С	CABOOSE MILE COST (CMC)	USRA	38
		CMC=CM*CF (7)	USRA	389
	. C	CABOOSE DAYS	USRA	39(
4.4	·	CHDAYS=(SEG(15)*SEG(11))/12.	USRA	- 39
395	С	CABOOSE DAY COST (CBDC)	USRA	397
	• •	CBDC=CBDAYS*CF(8)	USRA	
	•	OBCAB≠CMC+CBDC	PLUS	2
	С	RECORD ON BRANCH OPERATING COSTS	USRA	39:
•		LOISC=LOCAL (50,1)*CF (37)*2.	USKA	
400		TASK (2)=LUHC+CHC+SEC+OBFCC+OBCAB+LOTSC	PLUS	
	С	TASK THREE - TEST ONE - NET REVENUE AFTER ON BRANCH OPERATING COST	USRA	391
		TASK(3) = TASK(1) - TASK(2)	USRA	
	· C	TASK FUUR-BRANCH MAINTENANCE	USRA	: 401
•	C	GROSS TONS (GT)	USRA	- 40
405	•	GT=TOTAL(50+2)+(((SEG(12)*120+)+25+)*SEG(11)*2+)	PLUS	۷.
		DO 215 K=1,49	USRA	. 40.
		GT=GT+(TOTAL(K,1)*STCC(K,4)*2.)	PLUS	 
	. 21	5 CONTINUE	USRA	40
	_‴, C	GROSS TON CLASS (GTC)	USRA	. 400
410		G)C=GT/1000000.	USRA	.40
	C	FIND DIRECT MAINTENANCE FOR GROSS ION CLASS	USKA	401
		D0 216 J=1,10	USRA	401
	1	IF (GTC.GT.DRM(1,J)) 60 TO 216	USRA	41
		DMC=SEG(7)*DRM(2+J)	USRA	41.
415		GO TO 217	USRA	41
	. 21	6 CONTINUE	USRA	41
	C C	CALCULATE INDIRECT MAINTENANCE COST (IMC)	USRA	. 414
	21	7 IMC=CF(9)	USRA	41 1
		DU 224 J=18,28	USRA	41
420		IMC=IMC+(SEG( <b>J)*CF(J-8</b> ))	PLUS	2
	22	4 CONTINUE	USRA	41
	· C	MAINTENANCE SIDING AND YARD TRACKS (NBMO)	USRA	41
<i>i</i> .		NHMM=DMC*(1.+IMC)	PLUS	20
	C	NORMALIZED BRANCH MAINTENANCE MAIN AND OTHER (NBMM - NBMO)	USRA	42
425		NMB0=(SEG(10) *CF(40) *(1.*CF(9)))	PLUS	2
•	C	RECORD BRANCH MAINTENANCE	USKA.	. 42.
· , •		[ASK(4)=NBMM+NBM0 POUT (20) - TACK(4)	PLUS	<u>ک</u> ار ا
	•	DOUL(28) = LASK(4)		42:
	C	ASK FIVE - TEST INU - NET REVENUE AFTER BRANCH MAINTENANCE	USKA	***
430		TASK(5) = TASK(3) - TASK(4)	USRA	. 421
	C C	IASK SIX - REIURN UN BRANCH SALVAGE VALUE	USKA	421
	. U	IVIAL IRACK MILES (IIM)	OLUC	46
	22	$\Rightarrow IIM = SEG(10) + SEG(7)$	PLU5	<u>2</u> 9
( <b>D</b> C	, C	GRUSS SCRAP VALUE, SIEEL (ISSV)	USKA	43
435	C	*( CU-*****CE / 2) >		434
		$1354=110^{2}$ Grad Value, coor ties (tevet)	USKA I	
	ູ່ບ	UNUSS SLRAF VALUE, UUUL HES (HSVOH) TSVGT-SEG(7)8656(2018/64/201	NODA	430
	· ·	CONCECTORAL MALLE ENTRADAU TER TEVENT	USRA HSDA	733
6.1 Å	. <b>L</b>	TCVEDT-CCATTASCETALATION ILLY VIJYEFIF	LISDA	430
44Ų		· IDVEEI+DEUVIJ+UEVDYF*VI#TOEUVE7JF*UEVEDJ	ANCO	

		an a	<u> (111)</u>		an a tha an an an an an an an Tarainn an an an an an an an an an an Rainneachan an an an an an an		$ \begin{array}{c} \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right) = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ \left\{ 1 \\ 1 \\ = \left\{ 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ \left\{ 1 \\ 1 \\\right\} = \left\{ 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ \left\{ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ = \left\{ 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ 1 \\ 1 \\ = \left\{ 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ 1 \\ 1 \\ = \left\{ 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ 1 \\ 1 \\ = \left\{ 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ 1 \\ 1 \\ = \left\{ 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ 1 \\ 1 \\ = \left\{ 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ 1 \\ 1 \\ = \left\{ 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ 1 \\ 1 \\ = \left\{ 1 \end{array} = \left\{ 1 \\ 1 \\ = \left\{ 1 \end{array}\right\} = \left\{ 1 \\ 1 \\ = \left\{ 1 \end{array} = \left\{ 1 \\ 1 \\ = \left\{ 1 \end{array} = \left\{ 1 \\ $	an den di ser l'angle Ser di ser di ser di ser di Generatione den ser di		میں۔ جنگ			•			
	PR	OGRAM	мсні	₹L.				CD	C 6600	FTN	V3.0-P380	0P1=1	07/01/75	10.26	.32.	PAGE
			С	GROSS S	ALVAGE	VALUE,	LAND	· ·					USRA	438	ļ.	
				LSV=S	EG(7)#C	F(41)*C	F(42)		• •				USRA	439	)	
	•	•	С	GROSS SC	RAP VALI	UE (GSV	)	•	• •	•		•	USRA	440		. '
				GSV=TSSV	+TSVGT+	TSVFPT+	LSV		· • ·				PLUS	30		
	445	· •	C.	TOTAL DI	SMANTLE	AND RE	MOVAL C	OST (TDH	(C)		•	•	USKA	442		
	• 1	•		TURC=TIM	*CF (24)						•		USKA	· 443		-
			L	NET SURA	TOOC	(N2A)					•		IISRA	· 445		
			<u> </u>	N3V-03V-	IUKU Minet Ci	ALVAGE		DETNISMA					USRA	446		
			<b>с</b> .	DETNSV±N	N NET SI Svarfisi	ALVAGE Si	AVEAE 4					•	USRA	447	,	
,	400		С	RETROVEN	ETURN OF	NNET B	RANCH S	ALVAGE V				•	USRA	448	,	•
			•	TASK (6) =	RETNSV							•	USRA	449	, ·	
	·			DOUT (29)	= TASK	(6)	•		• .				USRA	450		
	1		C.	TASK SEV	EN - TES	ST THRE	E - NET	REVENUE	AFTER	RETU	IRN ON NET	BRANCH	USRA	451	•	
· .	455		£.	SALVAGE	VALUE								USRA	452		
				TASK (7) =	TASK (5)	-TASK (6	<b>)</b>		•		•	• •	USRA	453	ļ į	
				IF (MTD.E	0.13 60	TO 264							USRA	454	:	•
			C	TASK EIG	HT - BRI	ANCH OV	ERHEAD	COSTS					USRA	455		
		,	C	MAINTENA	VCE OF I	WAY SUP	ERINTEN	DENCE				· ·	USRA	456	· · .	. •
	460		· .	MOWS	= CF (26)	)#SEG(7	)					•	USRA	457		·
			C	TRANSPO	RTATION	SUPERI	NTENDEN	ICE	•				USRA	458	• • •	
			_	TRANS	= CF(2)	7) * CH							USRA	459		
		•	С	CLERICAL	SUPPOR	T / ACC	IDENTS	•	•		· · · ·		USRA	. 400		
	115				= CF (28)	) * DMC		•	. •					401	, · ,	
	405				- MULA - CF (43) -	L #CH#SEG	()4)						USRA	463		
<b>`</b> 1			C ·	RECORD B	RANCH O	VERHEAD	COSTS				÷		USRA	464		. '
4		· · · ·	• ·	TASK (8) =	MOWS+TR	ANS+MCL	AC+TCLA	C .	4		4		PLUS	31		• •
7		-	С	TASK NIN	e – tes	T FOUR	- NET R	EVENUE A	FTER 0	RANCH	I OVERHEAD	COSTS	USRA	466	) (÷ )	• • •
•	470			TASK(9)=	IASK(7)	-TASK (8	)	• •				•	- USRA -	467	,	
			C ·	TASK TEN	- PROPI	ERTY_TA	XES ON	BRANCH		· · ·		•	USRA	468	•	
			С	TAXES PE	R MILE I	FOUND I	N SEG(3	5) AND M	IILES I	N SEC	5(7)	,	USRA	469	)	
		,		TASK(10)	= 1EG (35)	) * SEG ( 7	)	•				<u>.</u> . '	USRA	- 470	) • ·	
			C	TASK ELE	VEN - TI	EST FIV	E - NET	REVENUE	. AFTER	PROP	PERTY TAXE	S <sub>.</sub>	USRA	471		
	475			TASK (11)	=TASK (9	) -TASK (	10)	·				. •	USRA	472		
	· · · ·		С	TASK TWE	LVE - OI	FF BRAN	Ch OPER	ATING CO	ISTS	•	· · · ·		USRA	4/3	, .	· .
				OBCM=0					• •				USKA	414	ł	
	•				•						•		USRA	413	3	1
	480												USRA	477		
				NIS1=0			',				· · ·	•	USRA	478	, <sup>т</sup> .	
	•			NIS=0				• •		• •	·.		USRA	479	) (	
				D0 240	K=1,49	•							USRA	480	1	
			C	OFF-BRA	NCH CAR	, NET A	ND TARE	TON MIL	ES, IN	TER T	RAFFIC		USRA	481		
<b>'</b> .	485		·	OFBCMI=	INTER (	K,5)-IN	TER(K+1	) *SEG(7)	/2.)*S	TCC(P	(.7)		USRA	482	· ·	
1				FBCMIE=	OFBCM1*	STCC (K+	5)	•			· · ·	•		483	<b>,</b>	*
				FBLIML	FIGCMIE	*SILUUIN	94] /// 3338	THEFT	3.				USRA	. 404 405		· · ·
			<u>~</u> ·		- 107 BLM.		18911J* ND TADE	TURFULLE	EC. CO	MD1 7				400		1
	400		ι.		NUT UAR (CONRLA	• NEI A (•5)-∩A	NRI (K.)	. 1014 MIL 1#5FG(7)			(66)	•		487		•••
	770			FRUNCE-		STOCIKA	····₩ • 1× 7 ± 55 }î	,					USRA		· .	· · ·
				FBITMC:	ERCMOF	ESTCC (K	•4)				•		USRA	489	) .	.'
		• .		FBNIMC	= (OFBCM	SZCONRL	(K,1))	* CONRL (	K.2)			•	USRA	490		
			С	TOTAL	DEE-BRAD	NCH CAR	, TARE -	NET G	ROSS T	ON MI	ILES .		USRA	491		•
	495		~	OBCM=OBC	H+FBCHT	E+FBCMC	Ë						PLUS2	10	1	•
				. –							•		•		•	
					•			,			•		•	e e		
							· ·	`			-		· · · · ·	•	-	、 ·
												·	• .			

	500		 • • •	OBTTM=OBTTM+FBTTMI+FBTTMC OBNTM=OBNTM+FBNTMI+FBNTMC	•			PLUS2	11		
<b>v</b>	500			OBNTM=OBNTM+FBNTMI+FBNTMC	•		- +	PL 1152	12 .		
	500		•	NTCOM/ENGINES/CONDL/K. 111/200		•		LADE	~		•
ι.	500		•				,	USRA	495		1.1
	500	· ·		NISI=(FBCMIE/INTER(K,1))/200.	•			USRA	496		
	-	• •		NIS=NIS+(NISC*CONRL(K+1))+(NISI*IN	ITER(K,1))			PLUS2	13		
			240	CONTINUE		•		USRA	498		
				DOUT(21) = OBCM				USRA	499		
				DOUT(22) = OBTTM			•	USRA	500	•	
•	_		•	DOUT (23) = OBNTM	,			USKA	501		
	505			OBGTM#UBIIM+OBNIM		,	. · ·	NEDA	1 <del>4</del>		
			C	GRUSS ION MILE CUSIS (GIMC)				USRA	503		
			~	GINCFUBGIN*CF(34)	THE CHITTCH	CC INTEN	•	USRA	504		
			L	LALUULAIE INE NUMBER OF INTERIRA NTS-NIS+2, #(COND) (50.1)+INTER(50.1)	THA DECICIA	C2 (1413)	· ·	PEUSZ	15		
	E10	•	c	CALCULATE TOTAL SWITCHING COSTS /1	(sn)	· .		LISRA'	507		
	510			CALCULATE INTRE SHITCHING COSTS (		· ·		USRA	508	٠	
			C	CIS=CONRL(50+1)		+		USRA	509		
				TS=CONRI(50.1) *CE(35)				USRA	510		
			C ·	CARS INTERCHANGED (CI)				USRA	511		
	515		v	CI=0.0				USRA	512		
				DO 251 K=1.49				USRA	513		
				TF (INTER(K.1).EQ.0.0) GO TO 251	•	and the second second	• •	USRA	514	· ·	
	•		•	CI=CI+(INTER(K,1)*STCC(K,5))				PLUS2	16		,
			251	CONTINUE	÷ .			USRA	516 .	•	•
	520		C	ROUND CARS INTERCHANGED TO WHOLE N	IUMBER			USRA	517		
		• . •		COMPAR=CI	· ·			USRA	518		
				CI=FLOAT(IFIX(CI))		· · · ·		USRA .	519	1 C C	
2		-		FRAC=COMPAR+CI				USRA	520	•	
-				IF (FRAC.GE.0.5)CI=CI+1				PLUS2	17		·
	525		C	INTERCHANGE SWITCHING COST (ICSC)				USRA	522		•
			Ċ	LUSUFUINUE (JD)		•		USRA .	524		
			L	INTERIRAIN SWITCHING CUSTS (1150)				USRA	527	. ,	1.1
			<u> </u>	1150=N15*0F(37) TATAL SHITCHING COSTS (TSC)				LICOA	525	. •	
	620		L.	TECHICSCAITEC					18		•
	230		с ·	CALCHEATE LOSS AND DAMAGE COST (1)				LISPA	528		
			C	LOC=0 0			•	USRA	529		
				00 253 K=1.49				USRA	530	· · · ·	
	1.1		•	$IE(TOTAL(K_2), E0.0.0) GO TO 253$		: · · ·	-	LISRA	531	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	
	535			100 = 100 + (T0TA) (K + 2) + STCC(K + 1))		\$		PLUS2	19	and the second	• •
	222	. •	253	CONTINUE	, `			USRA	533		
	· ·			DOUT(24) = LUC				USRA	534		
			С	CALCULATE OFF BRANCH FREIGHT CAR C	OSTS (OFBI	FCC)		USRA	535	1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -	
			Ĉ -	SWITCHING AND RUNNING TIME DAYS				USRA	536		
	540			OFBCDC=0.0				USRA	537	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	
				OFBCMC≠0.0	- 1	· ·		USRA	538		
		•		05CD=0.0	1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 -			USRA	539	•	· .
				1CSD=0.0			•••	USRA	540		
		1		RTD=0.0	1	1 ×		USRA	541		
	545			0BCD=0.0				USRA	542	•	
				IISD=0.0				USRA	543		
		· .		0820=0.0				USKA	544 545		•
				UU 200 R-1947 . 1000 r-0 0				USKA	040 . 644	• * .	
	<b>GEA</b>			0101 - 0 · IC201=0*0			, '	USKA	240 · 547		
	220			U ** 101 **	•			USKA	116	•	
						· ·	• .				
		공화법		한 <u>중지만</u> <u>연합적</u> 는 물건이 불렀다. 들는			i sida				

, ,

ł

1	š			a in the second second			a	j I	ii	·		the second second second	المعاطرة المراجعة	مسه قد	• السنيك						i,
P	ROGRAM	МСН	RL				• .	CDC	6600	FTN	V3.0-	P380	0PT=1	07/	01/75.	10.26	.32.		PAGE	1	11
			1150	F =0+0					• • • • •		• • • •	· ·	•	U	SRA	548				· ' · ".	•••
			OBSD1	1 = 0.0						·. ·	· ' .			U	DRA .	545	· . ·		2.41	•	4 <sup>1</sup>
		Ç	INTERCHA	ANGE SWI	TCHING	DAYS ()	ICSD)	· ·	••••	•••				U		550		• • •		•	•
CCC	:	•	IF UNID	(K ( K + 1 ) = 1	LQ+Q+01 1 45760 (1	60 IU Kasian.	201			•					SRA .	552	1 e -	•	, 		
222		•• *	10201-10	11681841. 10+10501	1,-31000		•.••		•		· · · ·		· . · · ·	. Pi	US.	32			•		۰.,
		ſ	PUNNTNG	TIME DA	YS ARTD	<b>)</b> '		•	·. ·			•		. U	SRA	554	· .	·		· • • .	•
		261	CON	FINUE		•		· ·		• ••				U	SRA	555	•	·		۰. ·	•
	•		OFBCMI	I= (INTER	(K+5)(	INTERO	<,1)*SE	G(7)	/2.))					្រំដល់	SRA 👾	- 556	· • · • •			· · · ·	
560		· j	1 * STC	CC (K+5)	STCC (	К+7) 👘					·_* -			. U	SRA	557		·. ·.	:		
			OFBCML	_= (CONRL	(K • 5.) - (I	CONRLI	K+1)*SE	G(7)	12:11	)				U	SRA 🚬	558	5		•	•	· ·
· .•		]	1 * STC	СС(Кэб) -	• STCC (	K+6)	•		• •						SRA	559				· · . ·	
			RTDI=(OF	BCMI+OF	BCML)/(	CF (38)+	*24 <b>.</b> )`							PI	LUSZ	20	• •	•			
		_	RTD=RTD+	RTOL						:		·, · · ·		P	205	. J. . E 4 2		•		• • •	
565		C	INTERIRA	AIN SWIT	CHING D	AY5 (1)	(50) (50)	79176	- 0 ( r . 1				•	03	ОКА, ⊔юрэ.	202				١	
					+OLRCWE	1/20001	1****	THE	KILDI	( <i>)</i> ≠ÇC	INKLICK			PI	1032	34				• •	
		<u>^</u>	1120-143	50-11301 10-11301	- терит	NAL SWI	TCHIN	: DAV	/c <sup>`</sup>			· · ·			SR'A	569			· ·	• • •	
		262		CONTINUE	I ILINIA	MAL JH:		,	2		•	. '	· .	UN Ŭ	SRA	566	•		·. •		
570	•	. 202	0BSDI=	CONRL (K	,])#4.	.•			• :			•		៍ ប៊ីឡើ	SRA	567			•	·	•
			085D=085	D+08SDT										PI	_us 🗄	35					
•		С	OFF BRAN	CH CAR	DAYS (0	BCD)			••••				·	U!	SRA	569		$\mathcal{L}_{i} = \mathcal{L}_{i}$			
		263	OBCD1=IC	SDI+RTD	T+ITSDI	+085DI			••			÷ 1		Pİ	.US	36	1 - <b>1</b>				
	• .		OBCD=OBC	ICOHO+OHCOI				•	· :					PI	US	37		·	2		•
<b>Ş75</b>			OFBCDC≠C	FBCDC+	OBCD1#S	TCC (K + C	3))				· ·	•		PI	US ·	- 38	• .		· •		
			DOUT (25)	= 0BCD			. *					•		0	SRA ···	5/3	· · .			•	
		•	DOUT (26)			CTC 101						·			SKA Soa	5/4				•	
	•	Ç	OFF BRAD	NCH CAR I	91 <u>1</u> 2 UU. 0 010EH	515 (UI CMI-0 (	r 80 MC /					•			50A	576			· · . ·	· .	
<b>6</b> 00			16 (068	SCHLAFIS SCHLAFIS	0.050F0	CHI-A (	0								SDA .	577					
280			11 LOFD	3696	O ∎ O I OF D' CMÍ	CITL - V e V	0				•			PI		30		۰.			
	• .			DEBCMC+ (	DFBCM#S	TCC (K 2	2)) * *			•			· ·.	P	LUS	. 40					
	,		DOUT (27)	= 0FBC	MC					•		· ·		U:	SRA 📜	58(					
		260	CONTINUE				·	•				1		· U	SRA	581	· ·				÷
585		С.	OFF-6F	- Ranch Fr	EIGHT C	AR COST	TS	10			· ·		•	· U:	SRA	582					
		-	OFBFCC=0	OFBCUC+0	FBCMC		٠		•				•	. Pl	LUS2	. 22	2				
		С	SCO	= CF(29	) # TOT	AL (50+)	1)							· U:	SRA	584	,				
•	•		SC0=(CF	(29) +TOT	AL(50,1	))+(CF	(29) *L(	CAL	(50,1)	)) +			. ·	P	LUS	41				· · .	
			* (CF(2	29) #CONR	(50,1)	)			·	.4				U	SRA	586				· ·	٠
590		C	RECORD	ICIAL OF	BRANC	H OPE	KALING	CUST	5			·		נט: ום -	5KA 1 1152	201				ł	
. •		· ·	TACK THI	7 - 0 1 MU * 11 1 D T E E M	307LV07 7FC7 C		-300 FT 0EV4	NDE	AFTE			юн	•	·	SRA	5,90	, 1				•
· · ·		c c	UDEBVIIN IVOV IUT	INTEEN -	1	47 - NI			~ 16	· • • • •	******		•		SRA	590	• • • •		. *-		
		Υ.	TASK (13)	=TASK(1	1)-TASK	(12)							• .	- Ŭ	SRA	591			1		۵´,
595		C ·	TASK FOL	JRTEEN -	OVERHE	AD (BR	IDGE) 1	RAF	IC RE	EVEN	E SHO	ULD B	E	U	SRA	592	2	1.11	•••		
	•	Ċ	CALCULAT	TED HERE										1 U	SRA	593	) - <sup>1</sup> -				۰.
			TASK (14)	0.0=	1.1			•				,	• •	: U	5RA	594	,				
2	۱	<b>C</b> 3.	TASK FIF	FTEEN - <sup>®</sup> 1	TEST SE	VEN - N	NET REV	ENUE	AFTE	ERÍAD	DING	OVERH	EAD	. U:	SRA	595		-		1	
		С	BRIDGE 1	TRAFFIC I	REVENUE									U.	SRA	596	ł		·, ·-		
600		· ·	TASK (15)	= TASK ()	3) *TASK	(14)	+	**			•			PI	LUS	42			• •		•
		C	TASK SI	LX FEEN-U	PGRADIN	G COST	TO FRA	I IRA	ick cl	_ASS	£,		-	U:		598					
		C	TELCEC	(12) 1-	0 01	60 TO 1	1964				•			. U:	SDA	ሮ እ ግን				• •	
	•		IF ISEU	146] (LE -/05/24	• V•V] ' Vaceo/"	00 10 J 1466103	1604 1186564	468.		21				- 0		000					
605		204	145K1101	+	1*360(/ 3)#5F66	7 ₹05 (3) 43}1710	17-3EU 07	101		. 8 <sub>.</sub>		•		PI	LUS2	25					
000	-						- •									~~~		. *			

. .

15

3

20

ģ

E.

6

b

9

ģ

5

ļ,

2

þ

3

			•	·						
	P	ROGRAM	MCHRL		CDC 6600 FTN	V3,0-P380	OPT=1	07/01/75	10.26.32.	PAGE 12
			60	TO 1265				USRA	603	
			1264 . TAS	K(16)=0.0	•	•		USRA	604	
			1265 FRA1	=TASK (16)	· · ·	• •	•	USRA	605	•
			DOUT (30	) = TASK(16)		HRCOADING	TO GOA		606 607	· · ·
•	010	•••	C IASK	CLASS T	LI REVENUE AFIER	UPORADING	JUTRA	USRA	608	
		- 1		NEAL) GO TO 265		•		USRA	609	· .
		1	TASK (17	)=TASK(7)-TASK(16)		•		USRA	610	4 -
			GO TO 2	66				USRA	611	
	615		265 TASK (17	)=TASK(15)-TASK(16)		· . · ·	· . ·	USKA		·
				LOWTEEN-HOCHADING COST T	O FUA TOACK CLASS		•	LISPA	614	
				$F_{6}(44) = (F_{0}, 0) = 60^{\circ} T_{0} = 12$	66	· · ·		USRA	615	
			- 266 TASK (18	)=(CF(30)*SEG(7)+CF(31)*	SEG (46)			PLUS	43	· · · ·
	620		1 +CF (3	2) * SEG (44) + CF (33) * SEG (45	))/10.			PLUS	44	
			GO TO	1267	•	· •		USRA	618	
			1266 TASK(	18)=0.0	•			USRA	619 :	:
			1267 CONT	INUE				USRA	620	10 - A
	<		FRA2=TA	SK(18)				USRA	621 -	· · ·
	625			NETEEN TEST FIGHT	NET DEVENUE AFT			USRA	623	
		-	C TO FRA	TRACK CLASS II	NET VEACHOF WILL		4 <b>0</b> .	USRA	624	
			IF (MTD.	NE.1) GO TO 267	,			USRA	625	
			TASK (19	) = TASK (7) - TASK (18)	•			USRA	626	· ·
	630		GU TO 2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•		627	• • •
			267 TASK (19	TION FOR LINE SEGMENT F					620	
<u>,</u> ,			CHEUVERALL SL	S	ANCONITON CONCIL			USRA	630	· · · ·
٢			CLISTING OF	IRAFFIC AND REVENUE TA	BLES	• •		USRA	631	
	635		C	LINE SEGMENT QUESTIONN	AIRE DATA ITEMS	·	· ·	USRA	632	
			C~	RAILROAD COST FACTOR D	ATA ITEMS		•	USRA	633	
			CAND			11 A.		USRA	- 634	
			C	INTERMEDIATE CALCULATI	ONS			USRA	635	;
			C-		•		• .	USRA	630	
	640		C C				. •	USRA	638	· · · · ·
			С ,			:		USRA	.639	· · · · · ·
		·	c		•			USRA	640	· · · ·
			Č		· .	•• · ·		USRA	641	
	645		C OUTPUT TO	DISK FOR FUTURE ANALYSIS	- ADDED 22 JAN	75	· · · ·	USRA	642	
	•		C WRITE	IN BINARY				USRA	643 644	
			268 WRITE	(17) DOUL TION FOD DDIMARY NET DEV	ENHE EVALUATION	TESTS			645	
			PAGE=PA	GE+1	LNOL LINLOATION			PLUS3	25	
	650		WRITE (O	UT, 171) AAR, (RNAME.(J), J=1	.10) .SEGMNT . (NAM	E(J),J=1,1	5).	USRA	647	
•			1	(STATE(J)+J=1	3) PAGE			USRA	648	
			1F (MTD.	EQ.1) WRITE(OUT,42)				USRA	649	
	• •		WRITE(0	UT+1711)			÷ .	USRA	650 451	A Contract of the second se
	6 mm		DO 271	171+19 (17,1712) 1,179/1, (),(-),	151.7456/11				652	
	622		WRIIEIU . 271 CONTINU	CITITET TITLES TITLES	10/9100/11/	•			653	
			COUTPUT SEC	TION FOR THREE-PAGE TRAF	FIC AND REVENUE	REPORT		USRA	654	,:
		• •	IF (MTD.	EQ.1) GO TO 274		·····	•	USRA .	655	· · · ·
			PAGE=PA	GE+1		•	'	PLUSO	26	
	660		WRITE(0	UT,171) AAR, (RNAME(J), J=1	10) SEGMNT (NAMI)	E(J)+J=1+19	5),,	USRA	. 657	•
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				•		

979 B. 1980 C.

		ISTATE ( I) . 1#1.31.PAGE	USRA	658	
	· .	WEITE (011-172)	USRA	659	
			USRA	660	
		WRITE (OUT 175)	USRA	661	
665			USRA	662	
005			USRA	663	• .
			USRA	664	
		$TF(TOTAL(K_{\bullet})) \rightarrow NF_{\bullet}O_{\bullet}OOO) KAZAM=KAZAM+1$	PLUS3	27	•
			USRA	666	
. 470			USRA	667	
010		WPITE (0117-176) K. (10CAL (K. J) + J=1+5) + K+ (CONRL (K+J) + J=1+5)	USRA	668	•
		A CONTINUE	USRA	669 .	
• 、			PLUS3	28	
		$ PACE + ACC^{-1} $	USRA	671	
	•		USRA	672	
015		1 (JINIL (JINIL (JINIL))	USRA	673	
	·	NR LIE (00171767)	USRA	674	
		WRIELOUTIIIISSI	HSDA	675	
		WKIF(001+1/2)	USRA .	676	
( a •		$DU \subset CS = K = 1 + 20$	HSDA	677	
690			USDA	470	•
·		DU 284 J=1,5	USKA /	20	•
		IF (TOTAL (K, J) .NE.0.000) KAZAM=KAZAM=1	PLUSA	29	•
		284 CONTINUE	USRA	680 ,	· ·
		IF (KAZAM.EQ.000) 60 TO 283	USRA .	681	:
685		WRITE(OUT+176) K;(INTER(K+J)+J=1+5)+K;(TOTAL(K+J)+J=1+5)	USRA	682	<i>i</i> .
		283 CONTINUE	USRA		
		IKIESIEI	USRA	40 <del>4</del>	
		IF (IN EST. EQ. 1) 60 10 274	OLUCZ	202	
		PAGE=PAGE+1	PLUSS	30	,
690		WRITE (OUT, 171) AAR, (RNAME (J), $J=1, 10$ , $SEGMNT$ , (NAME (J), $J=1, 15$ ),	USRA	180	•
		1 (STATE (J) , J≈1, 3) , PAGE	USRA	688	ι.
		WRITE (OUT+172)	USRA	689	
		WRITE(OUT+1733)	USRA	690	· ·
		WRITE(OUT+1176)	USRA	691	
695		DO 285 K=1,50	USRA	692	
		KAZAM=000	USRA	693	•
		DO 286 J=1,5	USRA	694	
		IF(TOTAL(K,J).NE.0.000) KAZAM≕KAZAM+1	PLUS3	31	
		286 CONTINUE	USRA	696	
700		IF (KAZAM.EQ.000) GO TO 285	USRA	697	· · · ·
		WRITE(OUT+176) K,(CONINT(K+J)+J=1+5)	USRA	698	<b>*•</b>
		285 CONTINUE	USRA	699	•
		OUTPUT SECTION FOR SEGMENT ITEMS AND RAILROAD COST FACTOR DATA	USRA	700	
•			USRA	701	•
705		CSUBSEQUENTLY THERE MAY BE MERIT IN USING VARIED DECIMAL POINT	USRA	702	
		LOCATIONS FOR THE LINE SEGMENT DATA ITEMS BUT NOT NOW+++++++	USRA	703	•
			USRA	704	
		274 PAGE=PAGE+1	PLUS3	32	and the second
		WRITE(OUT+171)AAR+(RNAME(J)+J=1+10)+SEGMNT+(NAME(J)+J=1+15)+	USRA	706	· · · · · ·
710		1 . (STATE (J) • J=1 • 3) • PAGE	USRA	707	
	-	WRITE(OUT, 185)	USRA	<b>708</b> e.	
	•	DO 273 I=1,48	USRA	709	
		IF(1.6].2.AND.I.LT.7) GO TO 901	USRA	710	
		1F(1.6T.37.AND.T.1T.42) GO TO 901	USRA	711	
715		WRITE(OUT, 186) 1, (SEGROW(I, J), J=1, 10), SEG(I).	USRA	712	•
					н. -

يو وليمور المراجعين المراجعين

PROGRAM	MCHRL		CDC 6600 FT	1 V3.0-P380	0PT=1 (	7/01/75	10,26.32.	PAGE
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		*. (best) *. 11. 1					73 1 73	
• •		. 1. (CPM(1.0.J.) 0.J	alel0) ecr (1)	• • •		USRA		· . • .
	GU IU 273	87) T. (SECDOM (T. 1)	- 1-1-101-556(1)-			USRA	715	
	ANT MELLETONIAT	T. (CEH(1. 1) . 1=1.	10).CE(I).			USPA	716	
720	273 CONTINUE	4910111190990-19				USRA	717	
	IF (NTD+NE+1	) GO TO 277				USRA	718	
	275 CONTINUE	· · · ·	•			ÚSRA 🛁	719	
	277 PAGE=PAGE+1			•		PLUS3	33	- <sup></sup>
	WRITE (OUT 1	71) AAR + (RNAME (J) + J	=1.10), SEGMNT. (NA)	1E(J),J=1,15	ā), į	USRA	721	in the second
725	1	(STATE(J)+J	=1.3),PAGE				722	· ·
	WRITE (UUI.+1	f14)				USKA	1 <b>63</b>	
• •	DU 855 1719	30 .				DINGI	12 <del>4</del> 34	
		717) I. (STUBS (I.J)	• J=1•9)•ZTP(I)•	• • •		USRA	726	
730	1	IX + (STUBS(IX+J)+	J=1,9),ZIP(IX)			USRA	727	
100	855 CONTINUE					USRA	728	• •
	C I=30	· .			· · ·	USRA	729	÷
	C WRITE(OUT	+11717) I,(STUBS(1	,J),J=1,9),ZIP(I)		• • • • •	USRA	730	
•	IF(KREWT)W	RITE (OUT+5006) INCR	EW•KREW			USRA	731	
735	5006 FORMAT(/* -	- NOTE- INPUT CREW	SIZE =*9149	,	1	PLUS	45	
	1 *, CREW S	IZE USED IN CALCUL	ATIONS =*,14)	•		PLUS	46	
	IF (TIRYPS	WRITE COUL, 5007)FR	LAK•FQ 	· ·			47	•
•	5007 FURMAT(7* -	- NOIE- INPUT IRIP	S PER WEEK PPO-		•.		41	
740	I RIPS	PER WEEK USED IN C	ALCULATIONS UN-BRA	INCH CAR MO	•.	PLUS	40	
140	C					USRA	738	
	DG 7141	111=1,14		· - ·		USRA	739	
	TESTLS(I	11) = 0.0				USRA	740	· · , ·
· ·	714) TESTK(11	1)=0.0				USRA	741 .	
745	TESTLS(1	5)=0.0		•		USRA	742	
,	C						743	
·	C	-1.0				USKA	. 444 . 746	
		=199 . ICT/IIII I I A	0 ) CO TO 032		;	USRA HCDA	745	• •
70.0		LISI(111)) •LI• V•	0 0 10 932		1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -		747	• • •
150	931 CONTINUE			· .			749	
			· · · · · ·		•	USRA	749	·
	C CONTINUE					USRA	750	• . •
	C STATEMENT NUMBE	R				USRA	751	•
755	IFG00D=	•FALSE ·	•			USRA	752	
	TESTLS	) = SEG(6)				USRA	753	
	C STATE NUMBERS 1	+2+3		* . *i			754	
,	IESILS (	21 = 5E0(3) 31 = 5EG(4)	· · · · · ·			USRA .	756	
760		4) = 5EG(5)				HSPA	757	
100	C LENGTH IN MILES	47 - 3E0(3)			е., ,	USRA	758	· · ·
	TESTIS (	5) = SEG(7)				USRA	759	
	C REVENUE			÷		USRA	760	· .
•	TESTLS (	6) = TOTAL (50,3)			· · · ·	USRA	761	×
765	C LOSS THRU TEST	VIII-A (IF ANY)				USRA	762	
	TESTLS (	7) = 0.0			• •	USRA	763	
•	IF (TASK	(17) .LT. 0.0 ) TE	STLS (7) = TASK(1)			USRA	764	
and the second	C TOTAL CARS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · .	USRA	765	
	TESTLS (	8) = TOTAL(50+1)		•		USRA	766	
170	C TOTAL TONS		· · · · ·		<i>′</i> .	USKA	101	
		•						
			·					

Ĵ		en e		ayo ya aya ana aya aya aya aya aya aya aya		The second second second second	dae a Horiza (a Carange	e anna an a	مرتب میں ایک اور									<u>. 1977</u> 2 -	<u></u>	
: . Гај		PR	OGRAM	MCHRL					c	DC 6600	) FTN	V3.0-P38	0 OPT=1	07/01/75	10.	26.32	•	PAGE	15	
5					TEST	S (9) :	TOTAL	(50+2)				•		USRA	. 7	68				
					JE ZMILE	5					•		· ·	USRA	7	69		•	1.1.1	· · · ·
Ð.					TESTI	5 (10)	= TESTL	s (6) /	TESTLS	(5)	•	•		USRA	7	70		•		
	, ,			C CARSZI	ATLES						•			USRA	7	71		•	•	•
:		775	· ,	• • • • • • • • • •	TESTL	ຣ໌ (ນາ) ະ	= TESTL	s (8) /	TESTLS	(5)				USRA	. 7	72	•	•		•
2				C REVENU	JE/CAR		· ·	••			-	•		USRA	7	73		•		
				•	TESTL	5'(12) =	= TESTL	s (6) /	TESTLS	(8)				- USRA	7	74 .				•••
				C LOSS/	CAR (1F	ANY)		•						USRA	7	75			e ,	
Ð		_			TESTL	s (13) -	= 0.0		CT. C ()			The ATECT	C 4 0 1	USRA		10		•	•	
	•	780		_	1F (1	ESTES (7.	) olio	0.01 IE:	SILS U	J) = 10	:21L21	///ICSIL	2101	USRA	· · · · ·	16	۰.	· •		
it.	•			C									•	USRA	7	70	•			
			•		IC /TON					•	,			USRA	2	80				
				L REVEN	TESTA	S (14) :	= TESTI	S(6)/TES	STI 5 (9)		•			USRA	7	81			•	
B.		785		C 1.05573										USRA	7	82				
37		105	· · · ·	0 20007	TEST	s'(15) :	= 0.0							USRA	. 7	83	•		•	
					IF (TE	STLS(7)	LT.0.0	) TESTLS	(15)=TE	STLS(7)	/TEST	LS(9)		USRA	7	84	!			
2				C TOTAL	COST									USRA	· 7	85 .		•.		
				TE	ESTLS(1	6) = TE	STLS(6)	- TASK	(17)			•	•. '	USRA	7	86				
		790 ·		C NET RE	EVENUE	PER CAR	•				· ·			USRA		87	••••••		•	
þ				. TE	ESTLS (1	7) = (Tl	ESTLS(6	) - TESI	TLS(16)	) / TES	STLS (8	)	•	USRA	. 7	88			· .	
				C REQUI	RED RAT	E INCREA	ASE .	. '				· .		USRA	7	89	•			
				TÉ	ESTLS(1	$e = 0_{\bullet}$		-						USRA	. [	90	•			
				11	F (TEST	LS(7).L	T. () T	ESTLS	B) = AB	SITEST	.S(7)/	TESTLS (8)	))	USRA	. 7	91				1.1.1
		795		, <del>*</del>	/ IE	SILS(12)	•						· · ·	USRA	7	92 07			• •	1 - A - A
5	, <b>I</b>			L	TE (11	1.50 10		4610	•	· ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		LISRA	, ,	94				
. F	́ Сл			7551	CONT	LNIF	10010 1	4710		8		•	<u>.</u> .	USRA	ż	95		• .	•	
	T				IF (L	N .GE.4	B) GO T	0 5555	· ·	· · ·	۰.			UŞRA.	7	96	- :	•		2
t,	•	800		5556	CONTI	NUE		• •				· · · ·		USRA	7	97				· · ·
		• •		C SEGMER	NT NUMB	ER			1	•				USRA		98 .				-
		· ·			TESTK	(1)=SEG	(6)			•	· • ·			USRA"	. 7	99			5 <b>1</b> 1 1	
5				C LENGTH	H IN MI	LES								USRA	. 8	.00	•	·· .		
					TESTK	(2)=SEG	(7)	1. A.		•				USRA	ų d	01	•			
		805		C TOTAL	CARLOA	DS			· .			-	•	USKA		ωć			`.	•
Ĩ.					TESTK	$(3) = \mathbf{T}$	OTAL (50	+1)						USRA	<u>б</u>	0.4	۰.			
	,			C TOTAL	CARS					· ·		:			· 0	05	:		- 	
<b>2</b> .		•		C	1651K	(4)=125	11(3)*2								о А	06				
ø		810		C ANNUAL	TESIK 1 18162	(5)=SEG	an .			•	. á.			USRA	. 8	07	. ~	· -		
		010		C AVERA	GE CAP/	TRIP								USRA	8	08	. <b>`</b> .		· • • •	
3			:		TESTK	(6) =TES	TK (4) /T	ESTK(5)		•	• •			USRA	8	09		<i>.</i> .	•	
				C SWITCH	HING TI	ME PER	TRIP	- ·		•		-	. ,	USRA	8	10	· .			
				· 11	ВХ	≓ TESTI	K(6) #	7.		. •	• •			USRA	. 8	11	1. se - 1.			
		815		12	X	= TBX .	/ 60.				•			USRA	8	12		· .		
				<u>T</u> 8	ESTK(7)	= 1X	TCOT	1713 - 11 - 24	. <u>.</u>	•		· .	· .	USRA	8	1.5				
				T	SIK (8)	= 18X ·	- IESIK	(/) * 60	U e					USKA	. 8	16	-	· .	•	· .
5	*			C IIME /	ASSIGNE	D IO BR	ANCH	•		· ;	•	· .	· . ·	USKA	. 0	16		. ·		· .
		934		17	1 1 C TK / O M	= 5E0 - 1Y	(151						. •	USRA	. O	17	•	~	•	·
		020		14	2014175	- 17 1 - 1657	21163	TECTU		۰. ۱			•	LISDA	; o	18		· · ·		۴.
ji)				· 10		/ = (D <u>C</u> )	o(ro) ≟	1031613	*** ~ C	<b>VØ</b> .		• `	•	1JSPA	. о А	19	۰		•	۰ ۱
-				C DECIN	IAL DEM	NTNG TT	W.F.	:	·.			-	•	USRA .	. O	20			1 C	
D			•	C RESIDO	ЈАЦ КОЙ Гтме	- CEU 1110 111	∿⊷ (15) –	TRX / 6/	n.					USRA	. о А	21			, .	
~		825		× .	X FUE	- 3E0 = YTT	мЕ.		· ·	· • •				USRA	. B	22				
		523					• hay '			:	• •	•					•			, <b>*</b>
1									•		·		•	· .	÷					
· .								· · · ·												- -
-										1	· ·	•••	. · · ·	· · · ·			· ·	-	•	
											· •			and we have a set of the	1.	100000	. 82	1999 - A	and the second	autosen contras

•<sup>2</sup> - − − − −

.

	PROGRAM	МСНЕ	₹L	CDC	6600 FTN	V3.0-P380 OPT=1	07/01/75	10.26.32.	PAGE
			TESTK(11) = IX				USRA	823	•
		1 A A	TESTR(12) = (XTIME - TESTR(11)) *	60.			USRA	824	· .
		C MILE	ES TO SERVING YARD	•	•		USRA	825	
•			TESTK (93) = SEG (16)	• . •			USRA	826	
	830	CMILE	ES PER HOUR	•.	1 1 1 A		USRA	827	
			TESTK(14) = (TESTK(2) #2.)/XTIME			. ·	USRA	828	
			IF (IFG00D) G0 T0 8001	•			USRA	829	
			IF (III.NE.9)			· · · ·	USRA	830	
	N Contraction of the second se	· 4	WRITE (OUTC+5117) (TTALY(TTT+LK)	• • K=1	.2) . (STATE	([K] + [Km] • 3)	USRA	831	
•	835		IF (III.F0.9)				USRA	832	
			WRITE (OUTC+5118) (TTALY(TIT+LK)	•IK=)	.2) . (STATE	(1.K) .LK#1.3)	USRA	833	
			WRITE (OUTC-5114) TESTK				USRA	834	
	<ul> <li>• 1</li> <li>• 2</li> </ul>		60 TO 8002				USPA	835	
		1 8001	CONTINUE			• •	USPA 1	876	
	/	0001		31			UCDA'	0.00	· · ·
	840		WRITE (DUTC) 1511/J (STATE (J))J#1	931.	•	· · ·	USRA	<b>0</b> .30	
			WRITE(OUTC+5114) IESIN	•	•		USKA	. 636	-
		8002	FOUND=FOUND+1				PLUSS	35	
			LN=LN+1				PLUS3 .	36	
			OUTLI(FOUND,1) = FLOAT(111)				USRA	841	
	845		DO 9820 LK=1+15				USRA	842	• .
			SNAM(FOUND,LK) = NAME(LK)	•	•		USRA	843	
		9820	OUTLT(FOUND+LK+1)=TESTLS(LK)	• •	1		PLUS3	37	
· .			DU 9821 LK=1,3		· ·		USRA	845	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		9821	RURI(FOUND,LK)=TESTLS(LK+15)	14 J. 17 J.		· ·	PLUS3	38	
	850	— ·	60 10 590	•			USRA	847	· ·
		C		•			USRA	848	
л		14910	CONTINUE	•	• •		USRA	849	·
\$		Ċ	WE PASSED ALL TASK TESTS		<b>.</b> .		USRA	850	,
		-	TESTLS(7) = TASK(17)				USRA	851	,
	855		TESTLS(13)=TESTLS(7)/TESTLS(8)				USRA	852	
		,	TESTLS(15)=TESTLS(7)/TESTLS(9)				USRA	853	
		с					USRA	854	1
		-	TE (I.M. GE. 52) 60 TO 14918				USRA	855	•
		14919	CONTINUE				USRA	856	·
	860		INI Y=IFIY (TESTIS(6))				USPA	857	
•	000						USCA	051	· ·
	,						USRA	000	· •
			1MLZ=1F1X(1ES(LS(9))			· · ·	USRA	828	
			WRITE(OUIB,5111)(TESILS(NN),NN	=1,5)	, IMLX, TEST	LS(/),	USRA	860	
		4	IMLY, IMLZ, (TESTLS (NN), NN=10, 15)		,		USRA	861	,
	865						PLUS3	39	
			IFG00D≠.TRUE.		•	۰.	USRA	863	
			GO TO 7551			•	USRA	864	1944 - Alexandria (1944)
		<u>C</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				USRA	865	
	·	5555	CONTINUE				USRA	866	
	870		WRITE (OUTC, 5113)				USRA	867	· · · ·
		° C		•	•		USRA	868	
			LN=3				USRA	869	· -
		•	GO TO 5556	·			USRA	870	
		14918	CONTINUE	•			USRA	871	
	875		WRITE(OUTB,9800)				USRA	872	÷
		-	LM=5	· .			USRA	873	<b>.</b> .
			60 TO 14919			· · · ·	USRA	874	•••
		5117	FORMAT(/* NEGATIVE VALUE A	T TES	T #,2A4,/2	X+3A2)	PLUS	50	
		5118	FORMAT (/* PASSED ONLY TEST	VIII	-A 402440/	2X+3A21	PLUS	51	
	880	700	CONTINUE				USRA	877	• • • •
						•	<b>U</b> UNA		· .
						•			

735 . . . . . . 1.201

to this

PROGRAM	MCHRL	•		CDC 6600	FTN V3.	0-9380	OPT=1	07/01/7	5. 10.2	6.32.	<b>. P</b> /	AGE	17	
· ·	C CUMMADY DD1	NT OF BEALLER	RATE INCREAS		, 141, 22, 07	N 75		USRA		8	•	•	···.	•
•	$\frac{1000}{1000} = 1$	THE OF REMOTIVED	THE TROUGH					USRA	. 87	9			· ·.	
	ICNT = 6	0		•				USRA	86	0				
	DO 850 1	= 1.FOUND						USRA	88	n i		•		· .•
A5	TE (ICN)	ALT. 60) 60	TU 825		ter de la composition	· ·		USRA	88	i <u>2</u> :				
	WRITE 12	0.85001 TPAG						USRA	86	3 .		- ,		۰.
	TPAG=TPA	6+2	• • • •					PLUS		i2 ··		· ·		
	ICNI.= 5		· · ·		• • • •			USRA	88	95 🐨		, · •	• •	
	- 206 - LOTTE / 2	กับสราคง (คมสะ) (การะไม่	(1.1). (=3.5).		28	•		ี ปรกิจ -	81	6		•	•	÷
DA	SND HATE C		• OUTLT(1.6)		7. 808	1(1.1).		USRA		37.		÷ • .	•	
Ϋ́Ϋ́Ϋ́Ϋ́Ϋ́Ϋ́Ϋ́Ϋ́Ϋ́Ϋ́Ϋ́Ϋ́Ϋ́Υ	8 OHT	TELSON OUTLE	1.131. ROPL(1	(.2). · RORI	(1.3)			USRA	B	8. 0				
•	1007	(TA)	TATOLA NONTA			÷ *		PLUS					• :	
•		/↓♥★ - •	· · ·	. •		•		IISPA 1		ະວີ ". ນັກ	· .		.: .	•
		830 1=1.9		•	• • •	· ·		USRA	89	1		. •		
άc.	00, Wolt	TE(20.2)12)			:	•		USRA	89	2	- · .	•	•	
70	1 XW	3624 1Y-1.500ND		· · ·		· · ·.		USRA	. AC		,	•		
	UU 5 15//		FLOAT (TINGO T	0 9824		· •	· · ·	USRA	A G	4		· · · ·		
	15 VC	NOT TOTEM/IN	GO TO 0823			. • * *	ri ya	USRA	. AC	15		· · · ·	•	
	15(1	***VUI*IF'S#(1)/ • NE ON NDITE/2	0.00021/18701		1 =1 - 10	۰. ۱	·			6	•	· .		
A.A	111	KO ON WRITERS	0,0002/11/151 0.00031/15107	<pre></pre>				USPA	. D.	17			• . • •	
00	1E VI	PEGRAJ AKTIEVE	VIJOUDICIPIKI	(1)[[[]]]		8		USDA			• *		۰.	
	MK1	IE (20)5110)		· · ·	•			USKA	01		·		•	
	141	SW(I)=+FALSE+		· ·		:	•	USRA	. 01					
•	1	PLNCT=6	<u>.</u>			· ·	· · · ·	USRA	. 90	0	1	· .		
· _ ``•	9823 CON	TINUE		· :			•		. 90				•	
05	LMLA		+ ( ) )		•		•	USKA USPA		ים ביו הרו	•	÷		
			1717					USPA		4				
	IML 2				V. 01175 T		• • •	USRA	0/				· •	
	WK I		16111196820968	~~************************************	A FUUILI	1112012		- LISPA	·	16	•	1. J.		
	* IMLT+I	MLZ+ (OUTLICITE	TV) + TV = 11 + 10 +	· · ·				USRA		10 ·			· .	
10	C							USRA			· .		•	÷
4 .	· IPLCNT=I	PLCNT+3			•		•	PLUS		94 ·				
	LE LI	PLNUI-GE-5971P	ISW(I)=.IRUE.	· .				USRA	. 70					•
	9824 CON	ITINUE						USRA			•	:	· .	
	9830 CON	IT INUE				-	• • •	USRA	A1		· · .		. •	
15	NCALC=L1	NES-ERRORS-NBS	R-NBTR	· .			•	USRA	9	2	· · · · ·	2		
	WRITE (EF	(R+165) LINES+N	ICALC+NUTR+NBS	R, ERRORS			•	USRA	9.	13	•	1.1		
	777 STOP			1 - F			• ,	USRA	9.	4		•		
	C FORMATS	FOR PROGRAM CO	NSTANTS OUTPU	· Tر	۰.		•	USRA	93	5		•		1.
	90 FORMAT()	HI,7X, TABLE O	F COMMODITY C	LASS FACT	TORS FOR	ALL LI	NES*,	PLUS		55	;• .		• . •	
20	-	40X,*PAGE*,16/						PLUS		56 ·			1	
	91 FORMAT()	H0+* STCC LO	SS DAMAGE	CAR MI	ILE COST	9 g		PLUS		o7 ·				•
. •	- 7X,+CA	R DAY COST	CAR TARE	EMPTY	r RETURN	. '' 😫 🤋		PLUS		58	<i>,</i>	· .		
. · · ·	- *CIRCL	ITY+ LÓCÁL - CI	RCUITY: INTER	₹LINE*/•				PLUS		59		· · ·	·	
, .	- 9X•*\$	/ NET TON	\$ / CAR MILE	1 <b></b>	5 / CAR	DAY	. 🖶 g	PLUS		<b>)</b> 0	- 1	•		
25	∵ `– ≄WEIGH	iT R	ATIO	RR TR/	AFFIC	*	. 9	PLUS		51	11.14	;		
	- *TRAFF	IC*)		1			<b>`</b> .	PLUS		2	1.	: `	· ·	. '
	92 FORMAT()	X•14•F14.6•6F1	8.6)	•				PLUS	. • •	3	•			· .
· · ·	93 FORMAT()	//lH1,#DIRECT	MAINTENANCE C	LASS/COST	TABLE*	,40X,*P	AGE*	PLUS		4	: · · ·		:	
	+ I57) <sup>°</sup>							PLUS	6	5		1.0		
30	94 FORMAT()	HO, *MILLIONS O	F GROSS TON M	ILES CLAS	55 <b>*</b> ,10F9	.3)	•	PLUS		6		•••		
	95 FORMAT()	H0.*DIRECT MAI	NTENANCE COST	S PER MIL	E *,10F	9.0.///	1111	PLUS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	57 4		· .	1.	
	96 FORMAT()	//1X,*CAR-DAY/	TRIP-FREQUENC	Y TABLE !!	•	•		PLUS	· · · · · ·	58				•
	97 FORMAT()	HO +*FREQ LC	L.SEGMENT	LCL.SYST	<b>TEM</b>	INTERL	INE*///	PLUS	6	9				
	98 FORMAT()	X.14.3F15.2)	· · ·			-		PLUS	-	70				
35	C FORMATS	FOR TAPE AND C	ARD READS		•	-		PLUS		1				
										~	- 1 C			

Ì

ð

3

2

ç

r X

à

Ð

è

č,

A.

3

	PF	ROGRAM	MC	IRL CDC	6600 FTN V3.0-P380 OPT=1	07/01/75	10.26.32.	PAGE	ļ
			80	FORMAT (3F5,2)	•	PLUS	72		
			81	FORMAT(10F8.2)		PLUS	73		
		•	82	FORMAT(2(F6.0.F6.3.F6.0))		PLUS	74	· · ·	
			100	FORMAT(4X+12)		PLUS	75	· ·	
	940		101	FORMAT(7F10.6)		PLUS	76	•	
	· · ·	•	1021	FORMAT(SF10.2.F10.0.F10.4.F10.2)	· · · · ·	PLUS	77		
		•	1022	FORMAT(F10.4.7F10.4)		PLUS	78		
			1023	FORMAT(4F10.4+4F10.2) -	· · ·	PLUS	79	• •	•
			1024	FORMAT(F10.3,7F10.0)		PLUS	80	· · · · ·	
	945		1025	FORMAT(F10.0,F10.8,5F10.2,F10.0)		PLUS	. 81 .	· .	
		·	1056	FORMAT(BF19.0)		PLUS	82		
		· .	103	FORMAT(F1.0,F3.0,1X,3A2,A4,4F4.1,F3.0,F	1.0.F4.0.F1.0.F3.1.F4.1.	PLUS	83		
	•			-F2.0,11F1.0,F3.3,5F1.0,F5.0,/,F1.0,F3.0	<pre>#1X#3A2#A4#2(F6=0#F4=1)# .</pre>	PLUS	84		
•				-F3.0,F2.0,F6.0)		PLUS	85	•	
•	950		10	FORMAT(A3,10A3)		PLUS2	26		
			С	DATA HEADING FORMATS		PLUS	87	•	
			106	FORMAT(10A4)	•	PLUS	89 :		
			107	FORMAT(15A4)		PLUS	90	· •	
			108	FORMAT(10A3)		PLUS	91		
	955		109	FORNAT (9A4)	•	PLUS	92	1	
			C	FORMATS FOR FRROR MESSAGES		PLUS	93	•	
	,		160	FORMAT(1H0.*DATA CARDS FOR SEGMENT * A4	+*ARE NOT IN PROPER 1-2 SE	Q PLUS	94	1	
				-UENCE*)		PLUS	95	• 4 · -	
		. *	161	FORMATCING. #AAR CODE ON DATA CARDS DOES	NOT MATCH TAPE FOR SEGMEN	T PLUS	96		
	960		101	- *.A4.* AAR-CARD1= *.A4.* AAR-CARD2= *.	14,* AAR-TAPE= *,14)	PLUS	97		
	200		162	FURMAT(1H0,*STATE CODE ON DATA CARDS DO	ES NOT MATCH TAPE FOR SEGM	E PLUS	98		
			,	-NT *+A4+* STATE-CARD1= *+3A2+* STATE-CA	RD2= +.3A2.+ STATE-TAPE= +	+ PLUS	99		•
I		•		- 342)	,	PLUS	100		
с С		-	163	FORMAT(1H0,*SEGMENT CODE ON DATA CARDS	DOES NOT MATCH TAPE SE	G PLUS	101 : :		•.
ĩ	965			-MENT-CARD1= *+A4+* SEGMENT-CARD2= *+A4+	* SEGMENT-TAPE= *+A4)	PLUS	102		
			164	FORMAT(1H0.*CALCULATIONS FOR SEGMENT *.	A4, * NOT PERFORMED DUE TO	PLUS	103		
·				-A CARD SEQUENCE OR SEGMENT CODE MISMATC	H ERROR#)	PLUS	104	1 1	۰.
			165	FORMAT(1H0.//.24X.* === SUMMARY OF CALC	ULATIONS === *,/,1H0,20X,*	T PLUS	105	•	
		•		-OTAL NUMBER OF LINES CONSIDERED= *, 14+/	+1H0+20X+*COMPLETELY CALCU	L PLUS	106		•
	970			-ATED LINES= #+14+/+1H0+20X+*PARTIALLY C	ALCULATED LINES (NO TRAFFI	CPLUS	107		
	110			= 1 = 8.14.7.140.201.800 Cal CH ATTONS = IN	COMPLETE SEGMENT DATA= *.	4 PLUS	108		•
				$= 12.1 \pm 0.201$ $\pm 0.01$ CALCULATIONS = UNMATCHED	DATA = # TA	PLUS	109		
			166	CODMAT()HA, & SCONENT DATA IS INCOMPLETE	OR MISSING FOR SEGMENT #.	PLUS	110		
			100	AC & NO CALCHATIONS WITH DE MADESA	OR MISSING FOR SCORENT #3		111		
	0.70		147	-A44* NO CALCOLATIONS WILL BE MADE J	SEGMENT #. AA. # - ONLY SALV		112		
	715		101	-GE AND REHAB WILL BE CALCULATED*)	SEGIENI "TATY" ONET SALT	PLUS	ii3		۰.
			207	FORMAT (1H0. *SEGMENT * A4.* HAS A * 12.*	MAN CREW - THE MAXIMUM VA	L PLUS	114		• •
				-UF OF 5 WILL BE USED*)		PLUS	115	and the state	
			208	FORMAT(1H0,*SEGMENT *, A4,* HAS A *, 12,*	MAN CREW - THE MINIMUM VA	L PLUS	116		. *
	-980			-UF OF 2 WILL BE USED*)		PLUS	317		· .
•			190	FURMAT(1H0.*FREQUENCY ON SEGMENT *.A4.*	IS *.I3.* - THE MAXIMUM V	A PLUS	118		
				-IUE OF 7 WILL BE USED*)		PLUS	119	· · · · · ·	
			19	FORMAT(1H1./*FREQUENCY ON SEGMENT *.A4.	* IS *213+*- THE MINIMUM W	A PLUS2	27	1997 - N. 1997	
				- UF OF 1 WILL BE USED*)		PLUS	120	· · · · ·	•
	985		40	FORMAT(1HQ.*AAR-TAPE HAD NO MATCH IN CE	INDEX FOR SEGMNT *+A4+* -	PLUS	121	•	1
				- AAR+CARD1= #+A3+# WILL RE USED#1	An in the state of the second s	PLUS	122	· · · · ·	
			41	FORMAT (1H0. #AAR-TAPE AND AAR-CARDI HAD	NO MATCH IN OF INDEX FOR S	F PLUS	123		
			. 41		ADF#1	PLUS	124		•
		•	222	TODARTING. SON CECHENT S.AA.S TOTAL FEN	GTH DOES NOT FOUND THE SUM	91.15	125		
	000		423	- FORMATCINGTON SCOMENT, TAMPT TOTAL LEN 	OTT DULD HUT LGOAL THE SUM	PLUS	126	• • • •	
	790		,	-OF I AND & TRACK LENGINS - CAUITON*)	and the second			-	
		1					· · · · · ·		

a Martina an San Bartina an <u>ter</u>,

1999

							( <sup>196</sup> and 1997) And Analysis (1997)				•					<u>yn re</u> .		
	PRO	GRAM	MCHR	1 <b></b>	•		-	ÇD	C 6600	FTN V3.	0-P380	0PT=1	07/01/75	10.26	.32.	PAGE	19	
		÷.,	C	FORMATS	FOR OUT	PUT PAGE	HEADIN	IGS					PLUS	127	• •	-	•	
			171	FORMAT	1HI,A3,3	X,10A3,d	3X • A4 • 3X	(,15A3,2	X,3(1X	, A2) , LOX	*PAGE*	*,15//)	PLUS	128			· · · · ·	
,			42	FORMAT	45X,*===	= OUT OF	SERVIC	E LINE	=====*)	) .		•	PLUS	129		1.2		•
			1711	FORMAT	1H0,*===:	==== BA	SIC BRA	NCH LIN	E EVALI	UATION R	EPORT*,	• .	PLUS	130			·	
	995		-	•	* =:	=====#/)							PLUS	111				
		· ·	1712	FORMAT (	1H0+4LINI	E *,12,*	•) •,15	A4.F12.	0)				PLUS	132				
			1714	FORMAT(	1H0+35X+	<b>PLISTING</b>	OF INT	ERMEDIA	IE CALC	CULATION	5*+/)		PLUS	133				
			1717	FORMAT	1X,12,*)	*,9A4,	13.5.1	(12,*)	*•9A4•F	F15.5)		•	PLUS	134			•	
			11717	FORMAT	1×,12,+)	*•9A4•	F13.5)						PLUS	135				
	1000		172	FORMAT	1H0,46X,	*TRAFFIC	C AND RE	VENUE RI	LPORI®)	).		·	PLUS	. 130				
			1731	FORMATIC	1H0,*===:			==== L(	OCAL TR	RAFFIC		*===*,	PLUS	137				
		· ·	-	*======	=====	===		********	====	CONRAIL	TRAFFI	iC *; -	PLUS	138				
	• .		-	*======	********	*******	:*)	·		·			PLUS	- 139				
	· ·		1732	FORMAT	1H0,*===:	========	*******	=== INII	ERLINE	TRAFFIC		===#,	PLUS	140				,
	1005			*======	=====	2==	=======	********	====	TOTAL T	RAFFIC	# <del>3</del>	PLUS	141		. •		
	•	•		*======		*******	:=#)						PLUS	142	•			
			1733	FORMAT	1H0,*===		.=======	= NONL	UCAL IF	RAFFIC	****	====+	PLUS	143		• '		
	• •		-	#======	================	₽)							PLUS	. 144				
			175	FORMAT (	1H0,55X,4	▶SHORT H	IAUL#157	X + SHOR	T HAUL	₽•/1X•			PLUS	145				•
	1010	,	-	*STCC	CAP	RS	TONS	\$CONR/	AIL .	\$TOTA	L	MILES#	PLUS	146		~	· · ·	
			-	6X+						•			PLUS	. 147				-
				*STCC	CAI	RS ·	TONS	\$CONR/	AIL	STOTA	L	MILES#	/) PLUS	148				
	· •		1176	FORMAT (	1H0.55X.	SHORT H	AUL#+/1	Χ.					PLUS	149			· .	
				<b>*STCC</b>	CA	RS	TONS	SCONR/	ATL	<b>STOTA</b>	È.	MILES#	) PLUS	150			•	
	1015		176	FORMAT (	1H0•14•F	12.0,F11	.0,2F12	2.0.F11.	0,110,5	F12.0,F1	1.0,2F1	2.0.	PLUS	· 151				
ហំ		• . •		F11.0)				. ,				,	PLUS	152				•
			185	FORMAT	140,23%,4	∎LINE QU	ESTIONN	AIRE DA	TA ITEM	4S#+	•		PLUS .	153		· · · ·		
ţ		-	· •		36X,4	*RAILROA	D COST	FACTOR I	DATA#/)	)			PLUS	154		•		
			186	FORMAT(	1X+* ITE!	4 *,12,*	•) #•10	A3,F12.	3,10X,				PLUS	155			· ·	1
	1020		-	. 4	*CF *,12	,*) <sup>.</sup> *,1	0A4,F16	.4)	÷				. PLUS	156			•	
			187	FORMATIC	1X+* 11EI	4 *,12,*	) .#s10	)A3,8X,A4	4,10X,	,			PLUS	157				ų
	•		-	• <del>.</del>	*CF *,12	•*) #•l	0A4,F16	5.4}					PLUS	158			17 A.L.	
			2112	FORMAT	(1H1)								PLUS	: 159				
			5110	FORMAT	1H0.*SEGI	MEINT ST	ATE(S)	LENGTI	H*,15X,	,		•	PLUS	160	,	-	- A. <sup>1</sup> - 2	
	1025		-	*NET	REVENUE	TOTAL	τοτα	AL REVE	NUE/ (	CARS/	REVEN	* <u>\</u> 3U	PLUS	161			``	• * .
				+NET I	REV/	REVENUE	EZ NET	REV/*,/	1				PLUS	162				÷ 1
				* NUM	BER 1-	-23	(MILES)	RE'	VENUE	TEST V	III-A*,	•	PLUS	163	·	•		
			-	* * C.	ARS '	TONS	MILE	MILE		CAR	CAR	₹# 9	PLUS	164		,		
			-		TON	TO	)N#+/}			, :			PLUS	165		<b>'</b> .		
	1030		C .					· .					PLUS	166			• • • •	<i>'</i> .
			5111	FORMAT (.	//,2X,A4	•2X•3(1X	(+A2)+F9	9.2,113,1	F13.2-2	219,F10.	2.		PLUS	167			•	. '
			-	F8.2	+F11-2+F	10.2,F12	2.2,F10.	.2)					PLUS	168				
			С		•								PLUS	169	•			
			5113	FORMAT	1H1,40X,4	POPERATI	ING CHAR	RACTERIS	TICS SU	UMMARY#,	<b>/</b> .		PLUS	170		1		
	1035		-	1X,+SI	EGMENT I	LENGTH	TOTAL	. דסד	AL AN	NNUAL	# <del>9</del>		PLUS	171	•	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		
			· •	#AVER	AGE SWITC	CHING TI	IME T	IME ASS	I GNED	RESIDU	AL *		PLUS .	172		•		
			-	*RUNN	ING M	ILES TO*	ŀ∕∍# NUM	IBER *	<del>9</del>			1	PLUS	173		•	• .	
•			• •	* MILE	ES CARLO	DADS	CARS	TRIPS	CAR/1	TRIP	***	•	PLUS	174	•			· · · ·
	_	·	-	*PER	TRIP -	. • ТО В	IRANCH	TIME	- HRS	+ MIN	3) B		PLUS	175		-		
	1040		-	*SERV.	ING YARD	M. P.	H.+/)						PLUS	176			· · ·	
			5114	FORMAT (2	28+84+810	),2,F11.	2,2F9.2	• Fll.2	<b>9</b> .			•	PLUS	177	٤.,			
			-	F9.0	• * -*•F4	+.0,F10,	0, * -*	••F4=0•F]	10.0, *	8 m 2 8			PLUS	178			· ·	
			-	F4.0,	F15.2, F	12.2.//	11111						PLUS	179				
			C							- \ •			PLUS	180				
	1045		С						5 A.				PLUS	181		•		1 A A

۵...

					:	• • • • •	
	PROGRAM	MCHRL	CDC 6600 FTN V3.0-P380	OPT=1 07/01/75	10.26.32.	PAGE	20
		9800 F	ORMAT(1H1,20X,*STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF LINES WHICH *. *PASSED ALL TESTS*/. 47X, *NET*. 6X. *TOTAL*.11X.*REVENUE*.25X.*NET*.19X.*NET*./ * SEGMENT STATE(S) LENGTH*.18X.*REVENUE*.4X.*LOADED	PLUS PLUS PLUS TOTAL*, PLUS	182 183 184 185		•
-	1050		* PER CARS/ REVENUE/ REVENUE/ REVENUE/ ** *REVENUE/**/* NUMBER 123 (MILES) REVENUE *TEST VIII-A CARS TONS MILE MILE CAR * CAR TON TON**/)	PEUS *• PLUS PLUS PLUS PLUS	186 187 188 189		
	1055	C		PLUS PLUS	190		•
	·	9801 F	ORMAT(//2X+A4+3X+3(1X+A2)+F8-2+F13-2+F12-2+F10-2+2F8-2+ F11-2+F13-2+F9-2+F11-2+//////	PLUS PLUS PLUS	193		
	1060	с с с		PLUS PLUS PLUS	195 196 197		•
÷	· · · · ·	9802 F	ORMAT(1H1,* STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF LINES WHICH FAILED *, *VIABILITY TEST *, 10A4,/)	PLUS PLUS	198 199		
	1065	C ·		PLUS PLUS	200		
	·	9803 F	ORMAT (1H1+* STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF LINES WHICH PASSED OF #VIABILITY TEST *+ 10A4+//	VLY *, PLUS PLUS PLUS	202 203 204 205		
-58-	1070	C C 1044 F C	ORMAT (A3+A4+15A3+1X+3A2)	PLUS PLUS PLUS PLUS PLUS	206 207 208 209		
i	1075	C 15117 F C - C	ORMAT(/+9X+*SEGMENT PASSED ALL TESTS*,/2X+3A2)	PLUS PLUS PLUS PLUS	210 211 212 213		
	1080	8500 F	ORMAT(1H1,* STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF REQUIRED RATE INCREAS +60X,*PAGE *+15,//+11X,*SEG*+39X,*LENGTH*+18X,*TOTAL 7X, *CAR*, 7X,*REVENUE/ NET REV/ REQUIRED*+ /+ + STATE NUMB*, 12X, *SEGMENT NAME*, 15X, *(MILES)*	ES* PLUS *• PLUS PLUS • PLUS	214 215 216 217		
		- - 8510 F	4X,*REVENUE COST*,7X,*LOADS CAR CA BX, *INCREASE*) ORMAT (/3(1X,A2),1X,A4,1X,12A3,1X,F8,2,1X,F11.0,1X,F11.0	R#; PLUS PLUS ; PLUS	218 219 220	• • • • •	
• •	1085	Ē	1X, F9.0, 1X, F11.2, 1X, F11.2, 1X, F13.3) ND	PLUS PLUS	221 222		۰.
a.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
							· · ·
		· · ·			, ,		
·		: •			· · · · ·		

•

### FIGURE 11

and a state of the second s

Constant of the

-59-

VARIABLE	DESCRIPTION	TYPE	FUNCTION
IPTSW (9)	Print Switch For	L.	CON
LN	No. of Lines Printed	I	CON
FOUND	Flag Denoting Number Failing Test	I	CON
LM	Line Count for Second Print Group	I	CON
WHAT	Print Flag for Diagnostic Prints	Ľ	CON
IFI	Denote if Test I Failed	L	CON
IFII	Denote if Test II Failed	L	CON
IFIII	Denote if Test III Failed	L	CON
IFIV	Denote if Test IV Failed	L	CON
IFV	Denote if Test V Failed	L	CON
IFVI	Denote if Test VI Failed	L	CON
IFVII"	Denote if Test VII Failed	L	CON
IF VIII	Denote if Test VIII Failed	L	CON
IFVIIIB	Denote if Test VIIIB Failed	L	CON
IFBAD		L	CON
TAPE1	Tape unit number of the traffic tape	I	CON
TAPE2	Tape unit number for line segment data	ľ	CON
OUT	Unit Number for Output File	Ĩ	CON
ERR	Unit Number for Error File	I	CON
ERRORS	Number of errors in execution	I	CON
ZIP (60)	Array of output variables - Equivalenced to individual variables	R	Output
LINES	No. of lines considered for analysis	I	CON
CD(7,3)	Local to Segment, Local to System, and Interline Car Days for 1-7 Day Frequency of Service	R	Input

	VARIABLE DISC	RIPTION ·		TYPE FU	NCT10N
	ĊD(7,3)	Car Days per Day for Local to Segment, Local System, and Interline		R	In
	DRM (2,10)	Direct Maintenance Cost/Mile for 10 Freight Classes	· · · ·	R	In
]	RR	Railroad Number		I	In
	RNAME (10)	Railroad Name	:	R	In
命	CFH(48,10)	Cost Factor Headings		I	In
	<b>CF(</b> 48)	Cost Factors for Up to 10 Railroads		R	In
	TCC (50,7)	Cost & Weight Data for Standard Transportation Commodity Code		R	In
	TH (19,15)	Task Headings		I	In
	SEGROW (48,10)	Segment Data Headings		I	In
	STUBS (72,9)	Headings for Intermediate Calculations		Σ.	In
	PAGE	Page Number		I	CON
	ARR	Railroad Code - i.e. 622 for Penn Central		I	In
and the second second	SEGMENT	Segment Number	•	R	In
	NAME (15)	Segment Name	• .	R	In
	STATE (3)	State Codes		R	In
a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	<b>T5 (500)</b>	Index of Segments		I.	CON
	T4 (500)	Array of Segment Numbers		I	CON
	Т6	No of Segments Read		I	CON
977 !   同音:	POSINN	Desired Tape Position		I	CON
	IACT	Actual Tape Postion		I	CON

•

[]. []

and a second second

Second a second pro-

and the second s

Surger services

-61-

1.1.1.1.1.1.1

## VARIABLE DESCRIPTION

.

TYPE

FUNTION

LOCAL (50, 5)	Local Cars, Tons, ConRail Dollars, Total Dollars	R	In
CONRL (50,5)	ConRail and Short Haul Car Miles by	R	In 🚲
INTER (50,5)	Interline ) Standard Transportation Commodity Code	R	In
T2 (1000)	Indexes of Segment Traffic Information	I	CON
T3 (1000)	Segment No. Corresponding to Traffic Information	I	CON
T1	No. of Segment entries on traffic tape	I	CON
ACTUAL	Current Tape Position	I	CON
PCSITN	Positn of Segment Tape having requested information	I	CON
NBTR	Number of Tape Records	I	CON
NBSR	Number of Segment Records	I	Con
JFLAG	ERROR FLAG		
MTD	Missing Tape Data Flag	I	CON
MSD	Missing Segment Data Flag	I	CON
ERRI	Segment Number Mismatch	I	CON
ERR2	Card Sequence Error	I	CON
ERR3	Railroad Code Mismatch	I	CON
ERR4	State Code Mismatch	I	CON
SEG(48)	Line Segment Characteristics	R	In
DOUT (30)	Disk output array for Sub-segment Analysis	R	OUT
TOTAL (50,5)	Local, Conrl, and Inter Arrays Totaled	R	OUT
CONINT (50,5)	Conrl and Inter Arrays Totaled	R	INT
TASK (19)	Array of results from Viability Analysis	R	OUT
LUH	Locomotive Unit Hours	R	INT
LUHC	Locomotive Unit Hour Costs	R	INT
KREW	Crew Size	I	CON
CH	Crew Hours	R	INT
			21.4

-62-

	•			
Ê		TASK (1)	<b>a</b> 0	Total Carrier Branch Revenue
[]		TASK (2)	<b>m</b>	On Branch Operating Costs
	Test I	TASK (3) -	-	Net Revenue after on branch operating costs
F.		TASK (4) -	ø	Branch Maintenance Costs
	Test II	TASK (5) -	-	Net Revenue After Branch Maintenance
		TASK (6) -	8	Return on Branch Salvage Value
t d	Test III	TASK (7) -	•	Net Revenue After Return on Branch Salvage
		TASK (8) -		Branch Overhead Costs
	Test IV	TASK (9) -	•	Net Revenue After Branch Overhead Costs
		TASK (10) -	-	Property Taxes on Branch
	Test V	TASK (11) -	•	Net Revenue After Property Taxes
ri Li		TASK (12) -	•	Off-Branch Operating Costs
	Test VI	TASK (13) -	-	Net Revenue After Off-Branch Operating Costs
		TASK (14) -	*	Overhead (Bridge) Traffic Revenue
	Test VII	TASK (15) -	•	Net Revenue After Overhead Traffic Revenue
		TASK (16) -	¢	Upgrading Cost to FRA Track Class I
4	Test VIII	TASK (17) -		Net Revenue After Upgrading to FRA Track Class I
		TASK (18) -	-	Upgrading Cost to FRA Track Class II
	Test VIII-B	TASK (19) -	-	Net Revenue After Upgrading to FRA Track Class II

Viability Analysis Task Array

-63-
### VARIABLE DESCRIPTION

			*
CHC	Crew Hour Costs	R	INT
SEC	Station Employee Costs	R	INT
FREAK	Frequency of Trains (Decimal)	R	INT
FQ	Frequency of Trains (Integer)	R	INT
RFQ	Frequency of Trains (Whole)	I	INT
OBCMC	On Branch Car Mile Costs	R	INT
OBCDC	On Branch Car Day Costs	R	INT
TCD (49)	Total Car Days By Commodity	R	INT
OBFCC	On Branch Freight Car Costs	R	INT
СМ .	Caboose Miles	R	INT
СМС	Caboose Mile Costs	R	INT
CB DAYS	Caboose Days	R	INT
CBDC	Caboose Day Costs	R	INT
OBCAB	On Brn-ch Caboose Costs	R	INT
LOTSC	Local Total Switching Costs	R	INT
GT	Gross Tons	R	INT
GTC	Gross Ton Class	R	INT
DMC	Direct Maintenance Cost	R	INT
IMC	Indirect Maintenance Cost	R	INT
NBMM	Maintenance Siding and Yard Tracks	R	INT
NBMO	Normalized Branch Maintenance Main & Other	R	INT
TTM	Total Track Miles	R	INT
TSSV	Gross Scrap Value, Steel	R	INT
TSVGT	Gross Scrap Value, Good Ties	R	INT
TSVFPT	Gross Scrap Value, Fair/Poor Ties	R	INT
LSV	Gross Scrap Value, Land		

TYPE

FUNCTION

-64-

•			
VARIABLE	DESCRIPTION	TYPE	FUNCTION
GSV	Gross Scrap Value	R	INT
TDRC	Total Dismantal anf Removal Cost	R	INT
NSV	Net Scrap Value	R	INT
RET NSV	Return on Net Salvage Value	R	INT
MOW	Maintenance of Way Superintendence	R	INT
TRANS	Transportation Superintendence	R	INT
MCLAC	Clerical Support/Accidents	R	INT
TCLAC	Transportation - Clerical Support/Accidents	R	INT
OBCM	Off-Branch Car Ton Miles	R	INT
OBTTM	Off-Branch Tare Ton Miles	R	INT
OBNTM	Off-Branch Net Ton Miles	R.	INT
NISC	Number of Intertrain Swithces, ConRail	l	INT
NISI	Number of Intertrain Switches, Interline	I	INT
NIS	Number of Intertrain Switches, Total	R	INT
OFBCMI	Off-Branch Car Miles, Interchange	R	INT
FBCMIE	Off-Branch Car Miles, Interchange with Circuity & Empty Return	R	INT
FBTTMI	Interline Off-Branch Tare Ton Miles	R	INT
FBNTMI	Interline off-Branch Net Ton Miles	R	INT
OFBCMS	Off-Branch Car Miles, ConRail	R	INT
FBCMCE	Off-Branch Car Miles, ConRail With Circuity & Empty Return	R	INT
FBTTMC	ConRail Off-Branch Tare Ton Miles	R	INT
FBNTMC	ConRail Off-Branch Net Ton Miles	R	INT
OBGTM	Off-Branch Gross Ton Miles	R	INT
GTMC	Gross Ton Miles Costs	R	INT
CIS	Total Number of ConRail Cars	R	INT
IS	Cost of Industry Switching -65-	R	INT
		· · ·	

,

	VARIABLE	DESCRIPTION T	Y P E	FUNCTIC
	CI	Cars Interchanges (whole)	R	INT
	101	Cars Interchanged (integer)	Ĩ	INT
	ICSC	Interchange Switching Costs	R	INT
	ITSC	Intertrain Switching Costs	R	INT
	TSC	Total Switching Costs	R ·	INT
	LDC	Loss and Damage Cost	R	INT
•	OFBCDC	Off-Branch Car Day Costs	R	INT
	OFBCMC	Off-Branch Car Mile Costs	R	. INT
	OBCD	Off-Branch Car Days	R	INT
	ICSD	Interchange Switching Days	R	INT
	RTD	RUNNING Time Days	R	INT
	ITSD	Intertrain Switchung Days	R	INT
- <u>i</u>	OBSD	Off-Branch Switching Days	R	INT
	ICSDI	Interline Interchange Switching Days	R	INT
	RTDI	RUNNING Time Days, Interline	R	INT
	ITSDI	Intertrain Switching Days, Interline	R	INT
	OBSDI	Off-Branch Switching Days, ConRail	R	INT
•	OFBCML	Off-Branch Car Miles, ConRail	R	INT
:	OBCDI	Off-Branch Car Days, Interline	R	INT
• ;	OFBCM	Off-Branch Car Miles	R	INT
	OFBFCC	Off-Branch Freight Car Costs	R	INT
	sco	Station Clerical Operating Costs	R	INT
	FRA1	Upgrading Cost to FRA Track Class I	R	INT
	FRA2	Upgrading Cost to FRA Track Class II	R	INT
	DELTA	Difference in Upgrading Costs	R	INT
	клалм	Number of Non-Zero Traffic & Revenue Records	I	CON
	) IKTEST	Flag for Printing Out Non-Local Traffic	Ĩ	CON
	ìx	Print Counter for Intermediate Variable Print -66-	I	CON

.

	VARIABLE	DESCRIPTION	TYPE	FUNCTION
	TESTLS (18)	Output Array	R	OUT
-11-	TESTK (14)	Output Array	R	OUT
	WHLIST (9)	Index of Tasks Which Are Tests	I	CON
	IFCOOD	Flag Noting the Failure of A Test	L	CON
1 K 216	TBX	Switching Time Per Trip (Minutes)	R	IMT
	IX	Switching Time Per Trip (Hours)	I	IMT
	XTIME	Residual Running Time (Decimal Hours)	R	INT
	OUTLT (500,16)	Segment Summary Output Arra	R	OUT
	SNAM (500,15)	Segment Name Indexed Summary Array	R	OUT
	RQUI (500,3)	Segment Summary of Cost Data	R	OUT
	IMLX	Total Revenue	I	OUT
	IMLY	Total Cars	I	OUT
	IMLZ	Total Tons	I	OUT
	ÍPAG	Page Count for Summary Print	I	OUT
	ICNT	Line Count for Summary Print	I	OUT
	IPTSW (9)	Print Switch for Page Headers	L	OUT
	IFTRT(9,10)	Page Headers for the 9 tests' summaries	I	OUT
	IPLNCT	Line Count for Print OUt	I	OUT
	NCALC	Number of Line Segments Calculated	1	OUT
	KREWT	Flag denoting error in crew size	F	CON
	INCREW	Crew size in error	I	OUT
	ITRIPS	Flag denoting error in trips	L	CON
	•		· .	
- 13 				
			· .	
N.L.Z			· .	
		-67-		
Andrea Alexan				•

TESTLS	(1)	=	Segment Code
TESTLS	(2)	=	First State Code
TESTLS	(3)	=	Second State Code
TESTLS	(4)	=	Third State Code
TESTLS	(5)	=	Length In Miles
TESTLS	(6)	=	Total Revenue
TESTLS	(7)		Loss Thru Test VIII - A
TESTLS	(8)	=	Total Cars
TESTLS	(9)	-	Total Tons
TESTLS	(10)	=	Revenue Per Mile
TESTLS	(11)	=	Cars Per Mile
TESTLS	(12)	=	Revenue Per Mile
TESTLS	(13)	=.	Loss Per Car
TESTLS	(14)	=	Revenue Per Ton
TESTLS	(15)	=	Loss Per Ton
TESTLS	(16)	= '	Total Cost
TESTLS	(17)	-	Net Revenue Per Car
TESTLS	(18)	22	Required Rate Increase

### TESTLS ARRAY DEFINITIONS

TESTK (1)	Segment Number
TESTK (2)	Length in Miles
TESTK (3)	Total Carloads
TESTK (4)	Total Cars
TESTK (5)	Annual Trips
TESTK (6)	Average Cars Per Trip
TESTK (7)	Switching Time Per Trip (Hours)
TESTK (8)	Switching Time Per Trip (Minutes)
TESTK (9)	Time Assigned to Branch (Hours)
<b>TESTK</b> (10)	Time Assigned to Branch (Minutes)
TESTK (11)	Residual Running Time (Hours)
TESTK (12)	Residual Running Time (Minutes)
TESTK (13)	Miles to Serving Yard
TESTK (14)	Miles Per Hour

Same marine

: •

## TESTK ARRAY DEFINITION

OUTLT	(N,1)	Task That Segment Failed
OUTLT	2	First State Code
OUTLT	3	Second State Code
OUTLT	4	Third State Code
OUTLT	5	Length in Miles
OUTLT	6	Total Revenue
OUTLT	7	Loss Thur Test VIII- A
OUTLT	8	Total Cars
OUTLT	9	Total Tons

OUTLT	10	Revenue Per mile
OUTLT	11	Cost Per Mile
OUTLT	12	Revenue Per Car
OUTLT	13	Loss Per Car
OUTLT	14	Revenue Per Ton
OUTLT	15	Loss Per Ton

## OUTLT ARRAY DEFINITIONS

FIGURE 12

Karalan waxaad

 $\frac{1}{2}$   $A_{\rm eff} = \frac{1}{2}$ 



-72-



-73--







-76-





Ç. 

153) 149 149



/.'





A TRANSPORT

-81-



 $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{1}$ 

former of the state of the stat



#### MODIFICATIONS TO THE USRA PROGRAM - THE MICHIGAN VERSION

This section of the report concerns itself with a description of the changes made in the USRA version of the Viability Analysis to reflect those modifications suggested by R. L. Banks and Associates. A single Penn Central segment (394) as analyzed by USRA has been chosen as a means of indicating how each of the programmatic and input file changes effects the cost component estimates as displayed in the "Basic Branch Line Evaluation Report". Figures 13 and 14 present this report as produced through the execution of the USRA (Figure 13) and Michigan (Figure 14) versions of the program. Note that the estimated revenue in both reports is the same, but most of the associated costs vary. This is a result of the fact that this Department objects to the way in which the USRA allocated costs to a line not to its methodology in determining Table 1 indicates which of the various component costs within each of revenue. the nine major cost categories vary by analytical approach. The Michigan approach generally associates lower costs with the operation of a line due to the adoption of the reasoning argued by Banks et al. The exclusion of unavoidable costs from the calculation of each major cost category invariably reduces the apparent cost of a line's operation. Table 1, in giving a cost by cost breakdown, suggests which of the component costs are subject to reduction, if not elimination, and which are immune to the logic of the Michigan approach. One should note that all data used in the original analysis is 1973 specific. The USRA has recommended the application of certain factors to make the various component costs more descriptive of a projected 1976 situation. Where these factors have been used is shown in Table 1. Other figures within this section may include data obtained through the application of these factors without expressly stating such.

Before proceeding with a discussion of specific program modifications, it should be noted that the component costs used therein have been taken directly from the "Table of Intermediate Calculations" which have been supplied for both the USRA and the Michigan Analyses (see Figures 15 and 16). Other information concerning the physical and operating characteristics of the exemplary segment (394) and the railroa specific "cost factors", as used in the USRA model and as modified for use in the Michigan Model, are presented in Figures 17 and 18.

In the following discussion, when data is taken from the table of intermediate calculations, it shall be noted by an IC in parentheses--e.g., Locomotive Unit Hours (IC). Likewise, data from the "Line Questionnaire" shall be indicated with an (LQ) while railroad cost factors shall be noted by (RC). In the formulas below, data from the LQ will be represented as, for example, SEG(1) rather than by "item" 1 as is used in Figures 17 and 18.

Table 1 indicates that this category of costs is composed of six components: LUHC, CHC, SEG, OBFCC, OBCAB and LOTSC. Inspection of the data specific to each methodology reveals that the LUHC, CHC, and OBCAB are the only component costs within this category that differ by accounting system. Each of these shall be discussed in turn.

1) LOCOMOTIVE UNIT HOUR COST (LUHC)

The USRA calculated this cost by means of the following Fortran IV statement: LUHC = CF(1) \*LUH

Where:

CF(1) = \$16.39 (RC) - Figure 5 LUH = 300 hours (IC) - Figure 3

By substitution, we find that:

LUHC = \$16.39 x 300 = \$3917 which can be verified by consulting Figure 15. The following Fortran IV statements have been placed within the viability analysis to remove those locomotive related costs which cannot be avoided with line abandonment.

ų į

ALCRLB = CF(44)/CF(1)RLBX = 1 If (LUH/SEG(12).GE.2000) RLBX = ALCRLB LUHC = CF(1) \* LUH \* RLBX -83-

# 622 Penn Central Railroad

======= Basic Branch Line Evaluation Report =========

219986.	Carrier Branch Revenue	Line 1)	
39884.	On-Branch Operating Costs	Line 2)	
180102.	Test I Net Revenue After On-Branch Operating Costs	Line 3)	
98950.	Normal Branch Maintenance Costs	Line 4)	
81152	Test II Net Revenue After Normal Branch Maintenance Costs	Line 5)	
39459.	Return On-Branch Salvage Value	Line 6)	
41693.	Test III Net Revenue After Return On-Branch Salvage Value	Line 7)	
4278.	Branch Overhead Costs	Line 8)	ľ
37415.	Test IV Net Revenue After Branch Overhead Costs	Line 9)	š
Q.	Property Taxes On-Branch	Line 10)	
37415.	Test V Net Revenue After Property Taxes On-Branch	Line 11)	
112419.	Off-Branch Operating Costs	Line 12)	
-75004.	Test VI Net Revenue After Off-Branch Operating Costs	Line 13)	
0.	Overhead Bridge Traffic Revenue	Line 14)	
-75004.	Test VII Net Revenue After Adding Bridge Traffic Revenue	Line 15)	
60589.	Rehabilitation Cost to FRA Track Class I	Line 16)	
-135592.	Test IIIA Net Revenue After Improving To Track Class I	Line 17)	
201102.	Rehabilitation Cost To FRA Track Class II	Line 18)	
-276106.	Test VIIIB Net Revenue Atfer Improving To Track Class II	Line 19)	

FIGURE 13

معادمینی در دارد بر روسه ماه است.

Mi.

ار این سورت این

0394 Grosvenor - Morenci

	Line	1)	Carrier Branch Revenue	219986.
	Line	2)	On-Branch Operating Costs	20963.
	Line	3)	Test I Net Revenue After On-Branch Operating Costs	199023.
	Line	4)	Normal Branch Maintenance Costs	87386.
	Line	5)	Test II Net Revenue After Normal Branch Maintenance Costs	111636.
	Line	6)	Return On-Branch Salvage Value	39459.
	Line	7)	Test III Net Revenue After Return On-Branch Salvage Value	72178.
	Line	8)	Branch Overhead Costs	0.
-85	Line 9)	Test IV Net Revenue Branch Overhead Costs	72178.	
ī	Line	10)	Property Taxes On-Branch	0.
	Line	11)	Test V Net Revenue After Property Taxes On-Branch	72178.
	Line	12)	• Off-Branch Operating Costs	73427.
	Line	13)	Test VI Net Revenue After Off-Branch Operating Costs	-1249.
	Line	14)	Overhead Bridge Traffic Revenue	0.
	Line	15)	Test VII Net Revenue After Adding Bridge Traffic Revenue	-1249.
	Line	16)	Rehabilitation Cost To FRA Track Class I	60589.
	Line	17)	Test VIIIA Net Revenue After Improving To Track Class I	-61838.
	Line	18)	Rehabilitation Cost To FRA Track Class II	201102.
	Line	19)	Test VIIIB Net Revenue After Improving To Track Class II	-202351.

20

Mi.

د. مربعہ برائی

	TABLE 1	
	1976 Increases USRA SEGMENT 394 GROSVENOR-MORENCI	
	<ol> <li>1) On Branch Operating Cost</li> <li>Line 2 = LUHC + CHC + SEC + OBFCC</li> </ol>	s + OBCAB + LOTSC
	USRA LUHC 4917.00 CHC 9912.00 SEC 0.00 OBFCC 12962.66 OBCAB 295.62 LOTSC 0.00	MICHIGAN 1722.00 0.00 0.00 12962.00 78.12 0.00
	Line 2 1976 Increases 28087 x 1.42 = 39884 14762	$\frac{14762.12}{x 1.42} = 20963$
	LUHC = Locomotive Unit Hour Cost CHC = Crew Hour Cost SEC = Station Employee Costs OBFCC = On-Branch Freight Car Costs OBCAB = On-Branch Caboose Cost LOTSC = Local Traffic Switching	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
a de comunitar especialmente de la comunitar de la comunitar de la comunitar de la comunitar de la comunitar de de la comunitar de la comunitar	2) Normal Branch Maintenance Line 4 = NBMM + NBMO	e Costs
an an anna an anna an an an an an an an	USRA NBMM 70739.18 NBMO <u>3659.23</u> Line 4 74398.41 1976 Increases 74398 x 1.33 = 98950 65704	MICHIGAN62495.473208.5065703.97x 1.33 = 87386
	NBMM = Branch Maintenance NBMO = Siding/Yard Track Maintenance	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
nggunananan (s. sana) Sana Sana Sana Sana Katalahang ng sana Sa	3) Return on Branch Salvage Line 6 = RETNSV	Value
	USRA RETNSV <u>39458.59</u> Line 6 39458.59 1976 Increases 39459 x 1 = 39459 39459	MICHIGAN  39458.59  39458.59  x 1 = 39459
a de la constante de la consta	RETNSV = Return on Net Scrap Value	

-86-

#### TABLE 1

4) Branch Overhead Costs Line 8 = MOWS + TRANS + MCLAC + TCLAS MICHIGAN USRA MOWS 2790.00 0.00 0.00 TRANS 116.37 MCLAC 991.76 0.00 TCLAC 379.92 0.00 4278.00 Line 8 0.00 1976 Increases  $4278 \times 1 = 4278$  $0 \ge 1 = 0$ . . . . . . . . . . . . . MOWS = M.O.W. Superintendence TRANS = Trans. Superintendence TCLAC = Transp. Clerical Support, Accident MCLAC = M.O.W. Clerical Support, Accident 5) Property Taxes on Branch Line 10 = 0.006) Off Branch Operating Costs Line 12 = GTMC + TSC + LDC + OFBFCCC + SCOUSRA MICHIGAN 1973 1973 1976 Increases 1976 Increases GTMC TSC LDC OFBFCC SCO . . . . . GTMC ⇒ Gross Ton Mile Costs = Total Switching Costs TSC = Loss and Damage Cost LDC OFBFCC = Off-Branch Freight Car Costs SCO = Station Clerical 7) Overhead Bridge Traffic Revenue Line 14 = 0.00- - - - - - -8) Rehabilitation Cost to FRA Track Class I

Line 16 = FRA 1

FRA 1 Line 16	USRA 60589. 60589.	MICHIGAN ' <u>60589.</u> 60589.
Increases	60589. x 1. = 60589.	60589. x 1. = 60589.
FRA 1 = U	pgrade to Track Class I	

L:	9) Rehal ine $18 = FRA$	bilitation 2	Cost to FRA Tra	ack Class II	
FRA 2 Line 18	USR/ 201102 201102	A •	M	CHIGAN <u>201102</u> 201102	
1976 Increases	201102 x 1.	= 201102	. 201102 x 1	. = 201102	دخد معر معر
FRA 2 = UI	ogrades to Tra	ack Class I	I. 	40 460 460 460 495 495	000 and; can

# TABLE 1

-88-

2

-69-

### LISTING OF INTERMEDIATE CALCULATIONS

1)	LUH	LOCOMOTIVE UNIT HOURS	300.00000	31)	RETNSV	RETURN ON NET SCRAP VALUE	39458.59425
2)	LUHC	LOCOMOTIVE UNIT HOURS COST	4917.00000	32)	MOWS	M O W SUPERINTENDENCE	2790.00000
3)	CH	CREW HOURS	300.00000	33)	TRANS	TRANS. SUPERINTENDENCE	116.37000
4)	CHC	CREW HOURS COST	9912.00000	34)	MCLAC	MOW CLERICAL SUPPORT, ACCIDE	991.76130
5)	SEC	STATION EMPLOYEE COSTS	0.00000	35)	TCLAC	TRANS. CLERICAL SUPPORT, ACC	379.92000
6)	FREAK	FREQUENCY DECIMAL NUMBER	.96154	36)	OBCM	OFF-BRANCH CAR MILES	244576.23048
7)	$\mathbf{FQ}$	FREQUENCY WHOLE NUMBER	1.00000	37)	OBTTM	OFF-BRANCH TARE TON MILES	7560791.85326
8)	OBCMC	ON-BRANCH CAR MILE COSTS	702.72660	38)	OBNTM	OFF-BRANCH NET TON MILES	5806515.54283
9)	OBCDC	ON-BRANCH CAR DAY COSTS	12259.94000	39)	OBGTM	OFF-BRANCH GROSS TON MILES	13367307.39609
10)	OBFCC	ON-BRANCH FREIGHT CAR COSTS	12962.66660	40)	GTMC	GROSS TON MILE COSTS	45355.27399
11)	СМ	CABOOSE MILES	1860.00000	41)	CIS	CARS RECEIVING IND. SWITCH	152.00000
12)	CMC	CABOOSE MILE COSTS	78.12000	42)	IS	INDUSTRY SWITCHING COSTS	4969.98960
13)	CBDAYS	CABOOSE DAYS	25.00000	43)	CI	CARS INTERCHANGED	700.00000
14)	CBDC	CABOOSE DAYS COST	217.50000	44)	ICSC	INTERCHANGE SWITCHING COST	9483.96000
15)	OBCAB	ON-BRANCH CABOOSE COSTS	295.62000	45)	NIS	NUMBER INTERTRAIN SWITCHES	2155.00000
16)	LOTSC	LOCAL TRAFFIC SWITCHING	0.00000	46)	ITSC	INTERTRAIN SWITCHING COSTS	11352.32450
17)	GT	GROSS TONS	70413.34000	47)	TSC	TOTAL SWITCHING COSTS	29146.41830
18)	GTC	GROSS TONS CLASS MILLIONS	.07041	48)	LOC	LOSS AND DAMAGE COST	3442.50216
19)	DMC	DIRECT MAINTENANCE COSTS	48378.60000	49)	ICSD	INTERCHANGE SWITCHING DAYS	349.96190
20)	IMC	INDIRECT MAINTENANCE FACTOR	.46220	50)	RTD	RUNNING TIME DAYS	483.82114
21)	NBMM	BRANCH MAINTENANCE	70739.18892	51)	ITSD	INTERTRAIN SWITCHING DAYS	1129.44058
22)	NBMO	SIDING/YARD TRACK MAINT.	3659.23134	52)	OBSD	OTR OFF-BRNCH SWITCHING DAYS	608,00000
23)	TTM	TOTAL TRACK MILES	19.50000	53)	OBCD	OFF-BRANCH CAR DAYS	2571.22362
24)	TSSV	GROSS SCRAP VALUE, STEEL	583293.75000	54)	OFBCDC	OFF-BRANCH CAR DAY COSTS	5517.70687
25)	TSGVT	GROSS SCRAP VALUE, GOOD TIES	s 0.00000	55)	OFBCMC	OFF-BRANCH CAR MILE COSTS	18264.53305
26)	TSVEPT	GROSS SCRAP VALUE F/P TIES	0.0000	56)	OFBFCC	OFF-BRANCH FRT CAR COSTS	30393.70261
27)	LSV	GROSS SALVAGE VALUE, LAND	67611.00000	57)	SCO	STATION CLERICAL	4080.93516
28)	GSV	GROSS SCRAP VALUE	650904 <b>.7</b> 5000	58)	FRAI	UPGRADE TO TRACK CLASS I	60588.85623
29)	IDRC	DISMANTLE AND REMOVAL COSTS	L75500.00000	59)	FRA2	UPGRADE TO TRACK CLASS II	201102.35873
30)	NSV	NET SCRAP VALUE	475404.75000	60)	DELTA	FRA2 LESS FRA1	140513.50250

di sella

FIGURE 15

MI

Pe Cent Ra ad

03 Gro lor bre

### LISTING OF INTERMEDIATE CALCULATIONS

1)	LUH	LOCOMOTIVE UNIT HOURS	300.00000	31)	RETNSV	RETURN ON NET SCRAP VALUE	39458.59425
2)	LUHC	LOCOMOTIVE UNIT HOURS COST	1722.00000	32)	MOWS	M.O.W. SUPERINTENDENCE	0.00000
3)	CH	CREW HOURS	300.00000	33)	TRANS	TRANS. SUPERINTENDENCE	0.0000
4)	CHC	CREW HOURS COST	0.00000	34)	MCLAC	M.O.W. CLERICAL SUPPORT, ACCIN	DE 0.00000
5)	SEC	STATION EMPLOYEE COSTS	0.00000	35)	TCLAC	TRANSP. CLERICAL SUPPORT, ACC.	0.00000
6)	FREAK	FREQUENCY DECIMAL NUMBER	.96154	36)	OBCM	OFF-BRANCH CAR MILES	244576.23048
7)	FO	FREQUENCY WHOLE NUMBER	1.00000	37)	OBITM	OFF-BRANCH TARE TON MILES	7560791.85326
8)	OBCMC	ON-BRANCH CAR MILE COSTS	702.72660	38)	OBNTM	OFF-BRANCH NET TON MILES	5806515.54283
9)	OBCDC	ON-BRANCH CAR DAY COSTS	12259.94000	39)	OBGTM	OFF-BRANCH GROSS TON MILES	13367307.39609
10)	OBFCC	ON-BRANCH FREIGHT CAR COSTS	12962.66660	40)	GTMC	GROSS TON MILE COSTS	14028.85544
11)	CM	CABOOSE MILES	1860.00000	41)	CIS	CARS RECEIVING IND. SWITCH	152.00000
12)	CMC	CABOOSE MILE COSTS	78.12000	42)	IS	INDUSTRY SWITCHING COSTS	4969.98960
13)	CBDAYS	CABOOSE DAYS	25.00000	43)	CI	CARS INTERCHANGED	700.00000
14)	CDBC	CABOOSE DAYS COST	0.0000	44)	ICSC	INTERCHANGE SWITCHING COST	5835.55000
15)	OBCAB	ON-BRANCH CABOOSE COSTS	78.12000	45)	NIS	NUMBER INTERTRAIN SWITCHES	2155.00000
16)	LOTSC	LOCAL TRAFFIC SWITCHING	0.0000	46)	ITSC	INTERTRAIN SWITCHING COSTS	10217.07050
, 17)	$\mathbf{GT}$	GROSS TONS	70413.34000	47)	TSC	TOTAL SWITCHING COSTS	26866.89571
(18 مٰ	GTC	GROSS TONS CLASS MILLIONS	.07041	48)	LDC	LOSS AND DAMAGE COST	2137.13522
Ť 19)	DMC	DIRECT MAINTENANCE COSTS	48378.60000	49)	ICSD	INTERCHANGE SWITCHING DAYS	349.96190
20)	IMC	INDIRECT MAINTENANCE FACTOR	.29180	50)	RTD	RUNNING TIME DAYS	483.82114
21)	NBMM	BRANCH MAINTENANCE	62495.47548	51)	ITSD	INTERTRAIN SWITCHING DAYS	1129.44058
22)	NBMQ	SIDING/YARD TRACK MAINT.	3208.50630	52)	OBSD	OTR OFF-BRANCH SWITCHING DAYS	608.00000
23)	TTM	TOTAL TRACK MILES	19.50000	53)	OBCD	OFF-BRANCH CAR DAYS	2571.22362
24)	TSSV	GROSS SCRAP VALUE, STEEL	583293.75000	54)	OFBCDC	OFF-BRANCH CAR DAY COSTS	5517.70687
25)	TSVGT	GROSS SCRAP VALUE, GOOD TIES	0.00000	55)	OFBCDC	OFF-BRANCH CAR MILE COSTS	18264.53305
26)	TSVEPT	GROSS SCRAP VALUE F/P TIES	0.0000	56)	OFBFCC	OFF-BRANCH FRT CAR COSTS	30393.70261
27)	LSV	GROSS SALVAGE VALUE, LAND	67611.00000	57)	SCO	STATION CLERICAL	0.00000
28)	GSV	GROSS SCRAP VALUE	650904.75000	58)	FRA1	UPGRADE TO TRACK CLASS I	60588.85623
29)	TDRC	DISMANTLE AND REMOVAL COSTS	175500.00000	59)	FRA2	UPGRADE TO TRACK CLASS II	201102.35873
30)	NSV	NET SCRAP VALUE	475404.75000	60)	DELTA	FRA2 LESS FRA1	140513.50250

۲. ۲. محمد الم

#### LINE QUESTIONNAIRE DATA ITEMS

#### RAILROAD COST FACTOR DATA

Item 1)	CARD NUMBER ONE	1.000	C	F 1)	LOCOMOTIVE COST PER HOUR	16.3900
Item 2)	RAILROAD CODE	622,000	C	F 2)	TWO-MAN CREW COST PER HOUR	17.9900
Item 3)	FIRST STATE	MI.	C	F 3)	THREE-MAN CREW COST PER HOUR	25.5100
Item 4)	SECOND STATE		C	F4)	FOUR-MAN CREW COST PER HOUR	33.0400
Item 5)	THIRD STATE		C	F 5)	FIVE-MAN CREW COST PER HOUR	40,9900
Item 6)	SEGMENT CODE	0394	C	F 6)	STATION EMPLOYEE ANNUAL COST	15140.0000
Item 7)	LINE LENGTH IN MILES	18.600	C	F 7)	CABOOSE COST PER MILE	.0420
Item 8)	SINGLE TRACK MILES	18.600	C	F 8)	CABOOSE COST PER DAY	8.7000
Item 9)	MULTI-TRACK MILES	0.000	C	F 9)	REGULAR INDIRECT MAINTENANCE COST FACTOR	.3834
Item 10)	SIDING AND YARD MILES	. 900	C	F 10)	VARIABLE MAINT. TUNNELS AND SUBWAYS	.0011
Item 11)	ANNUAL TRIPS	50.000	C	F 11)	VARIABLE MAINT. BRIDGE-TRESTLE-CULVERT	.0426
Item 12)	LOCOMOTIVES	1.000	C	F 12)	VARIABLE MAINT. STATION + OFFICE BLDGS	0.0000
Item 13)	RATED HORSEPOWER	1200.000	C	F 13)	VARIABLE MAINT. ROADWAY BUILDINGS	0.0000
Item 14)	CREW SIZE	4.000	C	F 14)	VARIABLE MAINT. WHARVES AND DOCKS	.0036
Trem 15)	HOURS SERVING BRANCH	6.000	C	F 15)	VARIABLE MAINT. COAL/ORE WHARVES + DOCKS	.0108
Item 16)	SERVING YARD TO BRANCH MILES	7,600	C	F 16)	VARIABLE MAINT. TOFC/COFC TERMINALS	.0060
Item 17)	STATION EMPLOYEES	0,000	C	F 17)	VARIABLE MAINT. COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	.0362
Ttem 18)	IM TUNNELS AND SUBWAYS	0.000	C	F 18)	VARIABLE MAINT. SIGNALS AND INTERLOCKS	0.0000
Item 19)	IM BRIDGE-TRESTLE-CULVERT	1,000	C	F 19)	VARIABLE MAINT. JOINT MAINTENANCE DR	.0476
Item 20)	IM STATION + OFFICE BUILDINGS	0.000	C	F 20)	VARIABLE MAINT. JOINT MAINTENANCE CR	0361
Trem 21)	TM ROADWAY BUTLDINGS	0.000	C	F 21)	STEEL, GROSS SCRAP VALUE PER MILE	29912,5000
Item 22)	IM WHARVES AND DOCKS	0.000	C	F 22)	GOOD TIES, CROSS SCRAP VALUE EACH	5,0000
Item 23)	IM COAL/ORE WHARVES + DOCKS	0.000	C	F 23)	FATR/POOR TIES, GROSS SCRAP VALUE FACH	0.0000
Item 24)	TM TOEC/COEC TERMINALS	0.000	C	F 24)	DISMANTLING AND REMOVAL COST PER MILE.	9000.0000
Item 25)	TM COMMINICATIONS SYSTEMS	1.000	C	F 25)	RATE OF RETURN ON NET SCRAP VALUE	.0830
$T_{terr}$ 26)	TM SIGNALS AND INTERLOCKERS	0.000	C	F 26)	MAINTENANCE OF WAY SUPERVISION	150,0000
$T_{tem} 27$	IOINT MAINTENANCE DR	0,000	C.	F 271	TRANSPORTATION SUPERVISION	. 3879
Item 28)	IOINT MAINTENANCE CR	0.000	C	F 28)	MOW-CLERICAL SUPPT. ACCONT	.0205
$T_{tem} 29$	PERCENT TIES GOOD	0.000	C	F 29)	STATION CLERICAL	4,7660
Item $30$	OVEND TRAFFIC EXPENSE	1,000	Č	F 30)	UPGRADING, TURNOUTS	1033.6000
Item 31)	OVEND TRANSP SUPERINTENDENCE	1,000	C	F 31)	UPGRADING, GRADE CROSSINGS	5367.0500
Item 32)	OVEND STGNALS + INTERLOCKERS	0.000	C	F 32)	UPGRADING, COST PER TIE INSERTED	32.3800
Item 33)	OVEND STATIONERY + PRINTING	1.000	C	F 33)	UPGRADING, COST PER MILE OF TRACK	33856,1000
Item 34)	OVEND INSURANCE	1,000	C.	F 34)	CROSS TON-MULE UNIT COSTS	.0029
Item 35)	PROPERTY TAXES ON BRANCH	0.000		F 35)	TERMINAL SWITCHING COST PER CARLOAD	32 6973
Item 36)	CARD NUMBER TWO	2.000	C	F 36)	INTERCHANGE SWITCHING COST PER CAR	9.2679
Item 37)	RATIROAD CODE	622.000	C	F 37)	IN-ROUTE SWITCHING COST PER CAR	5,2679
Item 38)	FIRST STATE	MT.	C	F 38)	SYSTEM AVERAGE TRAIN SPEED	21.0629
Item 30)	SECOND STATE		· ` C	r 39)	TIES PER MILE	2816.0000
$T_{tem} 40$	THIRD STATE		C.	E 601	MAINTENANCE SIDING AND YARD TRACKS	2939.0000
Item (1)	CECNENT CODE	0206	C.	e 40) e 71)		7 0700
ILEM 41)	NEWER OF THE TO THE CLASS I	0.000 000	С. С.	5 41) 5 (0)	AGRES OF LAND PER TRACK MILE	7.2700
Item 42)	NOMBER OF THES TO FRA CLASS I	9000.000	C.	F 42)	LAND VALUE PER ACRE	500.0000
Ttom /ጋነ	אדו דל הד ידערע דה דעג רואפי ד	0.000	• m	101	TRANCE OF PRESS ALTONO ACONTO	
Ttom (/)	MIMBED OF TRACK IN FRA CLADD L	0.000	0.	e 43) e 777	INANGF-CLEKICAL SUPPT, ACCONT	,3166
терш мн) Терш (5)	MILES OF TRACK TO FRA CLADD II	50400.000		5 44) 5 25)	DIDAG	0.0000
1+cm (6)	MIMBED OF CRADE COCCENSO	2,000	C.		Dotat	0.0000
1+01 Ttom 471	AUTUADITED TIMESADIE CORED	27.000	C.	· 40)	DUMAY	0.0000
Ttem (9)	AUTHORIZED ITHEIADLE SPELD	20.000	C	e 4/)	DUMMI	0.0000
100 40)	OVERNEAD BRIDGE CARLUADS	0.000	C	: 48)	DOUUT	0.0000

99173

FIGURE 17

622 Penn Central Railroad

Shi Shi a

LINE QUESTIONNAIRE DATA ITEMS

-92-

1

0394 Grosvenor - Morenci

Mi.

21.46

#### RAILROAD COST FACTOR DATA

Item 1)	CARD NUMBER ONE	1.000	CF 1)	LOCOMOTIVE COST PER HOUR	5.7400
Item 2)	RAILROAD CODE	622.000	CF 2)	TWO-MAN CREW COST PER HOUR	17.7000
Item 3)	FIRST STATE	MI.	CF 3)	THREE-MAN CREW COST PER HOUR	25.0400
Item 4)	SECOND STATE		CF 4)	FOUR-MAN CREW COST PER HOUR	32.3800
Item 5)	THIRD STATE		CF 5)	FIVE-MAN CREW COST PER HOUR	40.1900
Item 6)	SEGMENT CODE	0394	CF 6)	STATION EMPLOYEE ANNUAL COST	15140.0000
Item 7)	LINE LENGTH IN MILES	18.600	CF 7)	CABOOSE COST PER MILE	.0420
Item 8)	SINGLE TRACK MILES	18.600	CF 8)	CABOOSE COST PER DAY	8.7000
Item 9)	MULTI-TRACK MILES	0.000	CF 9)	REGULAR INDIRECT MAINTENANCE COST FACTOR	.2130
Item 10)	SIDING AND YARD MILES	.900	CF 10)	VARIABLE MAINT. TUNNELS AND SUBWAYS	.0011
Item 11)	ANNUAL TRIPS	50.000	CF 11)	VARIABLE MAINT. BRIDGE-TRESTLE-CULVERT	.0426
Item 12)	LOCOMOTIVES	1.000	 CF 12)	VARIABLE MAINT, STATION + OFFICE BLDGS	0.0000
Item 13)	RATED HORSEPOWER	1200.000	CF 13)	VARIABLE MAINT. ROADWAY BUILDINGS	0.0000
Item 14)	CREW SIZE	4.000	CF 14)	VARIABLE MAINT. WHARVES AND DOCKS	.0036
Item 15)	HOURS SERVING BRANCH	6.000	 CF 15)	VARIABLE MAINT. COAL/ORE WHARVES + DOCKS	.0108
Item 16)	SERVING YARD TO BRANCH MILES	7.600	CF 16)	VARIABLE MAINT. TOFC/COFC TERMINALS	.0060
Item 17)	STATION EMPLOYEES	0.000	CF 17)	VARIABLE MAINT. COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	.0362
Item 18)	IM TUNNELS AND SUBWAYS	0.000	CF 18)	VARIABLE MAINT. SIGNALS AND INTERLOCKS	0.0000
Item 19)	IM BRIDGE-TRESTLE-CULVERT	1.000	CF 19)	VARIABLE MAINT. JOINT MAINTENANCE DR	.0476
Item 20)	IM STATION + OFFICE BUILDINGS	0.000	CF 20)	VARIABLE MAINT, JOINT MAINTENANCE CR	0361
Item 21)	IM ROADWAY BUILDINGS	0.000	CF 21)	STEEL, GROSS SCRAP VALUE PER MILE	29912.5000
Item 22)	IM WHARVES AND DOCKS	0.000	CF 22)	GOOD TIES, GROSS SCRAP VALUE EACH	5,0000
Item 23)	TM COAL/ORE WHARVES + DOCKS	0.000	CF 23)	FATR/POOR TIES, GROSS SCRAP VALUE EACH	0.0000
Item 24)	IM TOFC/COFC TERMINALS	0.000	CF 24)	DISMANTLING AND REMOVAL COST PER MILE	9000,0000
Item 25)	TM COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	1.000	CF 25)	RATE OF RETURN ON NET SCRAP VALUE	.0830
Item 26)	TM STGNALS AND INTERLOCKERS	0.000	CF 26)	MAINTENANCE OF WAY SUPERVISION	150.0000
Item 27)	JOINT MAINTENANCE DR	0.000	CF 27)	TRANSPORTATION SUPERVISION	.3879
Item 28)	JOINT MAINTENANCE CR	0.000	CF 28)	MON-CLERICAL SUPPT. ACCDNT	.0205
Item 29)	PERCENT TIES GOOD	0.000	CF 29)	STATION CLERICAL	4,7660
Item 30)	OVEND TRAFFIC EXPENSE	1,000	CF 30)	UPGRADING, TURNOUTS	1033.6000
Item 31)	OVEND TRANSP SUPERINTENDENCE	1,000	-CF 31)	UPGRADING, GRADE CROSSINGS	5367.0500
Item 32)	OVERD SIGNALS + INTERLOCKERS	0.000	CF 32)	UPGRADING, COST PER TIE INSERTED	32,3800
Item 33)	OVRHD STATIONERY + PRINTING	1.000	CF 33)	UPGRADING, COST PER MILE OF TRACK	33856.1000
Item 34)	OVEND INSURANCE	1.000	CF 34)	GROSS TON-MILE UNIT COSTS	.0009
Item 35)	PROPERTY TAXES ON BRANCH	0.000	CF 35)	TERMINAL SWITCHING COST PER CARLOAD	32,6973
Item 36)	CARD NUMBER TWO	2.000	CF 36)	INTERCHANGE SWITCHING COST PER CAR	8.3365
Item 37)	RAILROAD CODE	622.000	CF 37)	IN-ROUTE SWITCHING COST PER CAR	4.7411
Item 38)	FTRST STATE	MT	CF 38)	SYSTEM AVERAGE TRAIN SPEED	21.0629
Ttem 39)	SECOND STATE		CF 39)	TIES PER MILE	2816.0000
Item 40)	THIRD STATE		CF 40)	MAINTENANCE, SIDING AND YARD TRACKS	2939,0000
Trom (1)	SECMENT CODE	0394	CF 41)	ACRES OF LAND PER TRACK MILE	7 2700
11.0m 41)	NUMBED OF TIES TO EDA CLASS T	000 000	CF 42)	YAND VALUE DED ACDE	500 0000
Item 42)	MUTES OF TRACK TO EDA CLASS L	000.000	02 421	μάνυ γάμυς γες άστε Τρανίες στέρτελι έμθος αθέρνας	2146
Ttom 40)	MIMBED OF TITE TO FDA CLADD 1	000.0	012 43) 012 44)	INDUST COST DED DOID - DEDI ACEMENT	0 3000 9010°
Thom (E)	MILES OF TRACK TO FRA CLASS II	J0400.000	0F 44)	2 MAN OUEDSTAR DED HOUD - REFLACEMENT	7.3700
Them (4)	MILLES OF IRACK TO FRA GLASS II	000.0	OF 43)	2 MAN OVERTIME PER HOUR	19.1200
100m (7)	NUMBER OF GRADE CROSSINGS	27,000	OF 40)	S MAN OVERTIME FER MOUR	27.4000
100m 4/	AUINUKIZED TIMETABLE SMEED	20.000	UE 4/)	4 MAN OVERTIME PER HOUR	33.0/00
item 48)	OVERHEAD BRIDGE CARLOADS	0.000	UF 40)	o man uvertime per hour	44.1000

FIGURE 18

- 2

Where:

CF(1) = \$16.39	(RC)	-	Figure 17
LUH = 300 hours	(IC)	-	Figure 18

By substitution, we find that:

LUHC = \$16.39 x 300 = \$3917 which can be verified by consulting Figure 15. The following Fortran IV statements have been placed within the viability analysis to remove those locomotive related costs which cannot be avoided with line abandonment. ļĤ

ALCRLB RLBX = 1 If (LUH/SEG(12).GE.2000) RLBX = ALCRLB LUHC = CF(1) \* LUH \* RLBX

Where:

CF(44)	=	\$9.93	(RC)	Figure	18
CF(1)	=	\$5.74	(RC)	Figure	18
LUH	≡	300 hours	(IC)	Figure	16
SEG(12)	Ħ	1 locomotive	(LQ)	Figure	18

This portion of the Michigan viability program indicates that if, when LUH is divided by SEG(12), the result is greater than or equal to 2000, the quotient of CF(44) + CF(1) (that is ALCRLB) should be multiplied times the product of CF(1) x LUH. Since LUH + SEG(12) equals 300, which is certainly less than 2000 hours, the Michigan Model comes to duplicate the USRA formula. LUHC = CF(1) x LUH = \$1722. (See Figure 16 for verification). The difference in the two methods then, in this case, stems solely from the utilization of two different CF(1)'s (Locomotive Cost Per Hour). In the case of the USRA CF(1) = \$16.39 and within the Michigan approach CF(1) = \$5.74. If the above mentioned quotient was greater than 2000 hours, of course CF(44) which equals \$9.93 would have been employed to account for the avoidability of both time and mileage as well as a complete unit - i.e., a locomotive. These differences, then, and all similar differences to be outlined below arose as a result of

-93-

varying interpretations of which types of cost are truly avoidable with branch line abandonment. To illustrate which of the sub-costs within the component cost "Locomotive Cost Per Hour" have been reduced to reflect unavoidability, Figure 19 has been prepared. A dashed line under the column labeled "Michigan" indicates that no change has been made to that particular sub-cost. Worksheet 1 shows the data sources employed and the calculations made in the development of CF(1) and CF(44). These have been included to illustrate the complexity of each cost factor used in both the USRA and Michigan models. Although other worksheets are available for the cost factors modified by R. L. Banks, they are not included here.

2) CREW HOUR COST (CHC)

The following are the Fortran commands used by USRA to calculate CHC:

CH = SEG(11) \* SEG(15)CHC = CH \* CF(KREW)

#### Where:

SEG(11) = 50 trips	(LQ) Figure 17	
SEG(15) = 6 hours	(LQ) Figure 17	
KREW = SEG(14) = 4 men	(LQ) Figure 17	
CF(4) = \$33.04	(LQ) Figure 17	

Inserting each of these values into the formula, we can determine the CHC which is printed in Figure 15.

 $CH = 50 \times 6 = 300$ 

 $CHC = 300 \times 33.04 = \$9912.$ 

R. L. Banks has included the following statement to remove those costs improperly included by USRA because they are not avoidable in those instances where certain minimum time and trip requirements are not met.

If ((SEG(11), LE.51) and (SEG(15), LE.8)) CHC = 0

	USRA		MICHIGAN		
Expense Element	Basis	Cost Per Hour	Basis	Cost Per Hour	
Repair	System Average All Locomotives	\$ 6.29	System Average Yard Locomotives	\$ 2.85	
Fuel	99	4.29	39	2.30	
Rents	39	2.41	Unit Specific Avoidable		
Payroll Taxes, Health & Welfare	22	1.02	System Average Yard Locomotives	0.44	
Depreciation	<b>99</b>	0.90	Unit Specific Avoidable		
Return on Investment	99	0.88	99		
Indirect Maintenance	>>	0.59	Function of Repair	0.15	
Joint Maintenance of Equipment	"	0.01	Unit Specific Avoidable		
Retirements	Less " Than \$0.01	0.00	99		
Unit Cost With— out Locomotive Unit Reductions		\$16.39		\$ 5.74	
Unit Costs of Locomotive Units		0.00 4		4.19	
Unit Cost With Locomotive Unit Reductions		\$16.39		\$ 9.93	

## Locomotive Cost Per Hour

FIGURE 19

-95-

÷

### WORKSHEET 1

Contraction of the second

and a second second

and a second 
## CALCULATION OF LOCOMOTIVE UNIT COSTS

(Cost Factors 1 and 44)

Item	Source	Amount	
COST FACTOR 1			
l. Repairs	AR, Sch. 320, Col. (e), L 70 and L 72	and all a subsection of the su	
2. Fuel and Servicing	AR, Sch. 320, Col. (e), L 116 and L 118	gaugen an second de sala- Ministera,	
Payroll Tax Calculation:			
Health & Welfare Account	S		
3. M of W & S - 277	AR, SCH. 320, Col. (b), L 57		
4. M of E - 335	AR, Sch. 320, Col. (b), L 86		
5. Traffic - 359	AR, Sch. 320, Col. (b), L 103		
6. Transportation - 409	AR, Sch. 320, Col. (b), L 134		
7. Miscellaneous - 449	AR, Sch. 320, Col. (b), L 165	Caroline and Po-Web - Million Caroline	
8. General - 456	AR, Sch. 320, Col (b), L 174		
9. Total	L 3 through L 8	6, -, 10, - 1, 14, 19,, A. (19, 18, 18, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19	
10. Ratio M of E to Total	L 4 ÷ L 9		
11. Payroll Taxes	AR, Sch. 350, L 60 and 61		
12. M of E Payroll Taxes	LIDXLII	·	
13. Direct M of E Accounts	AR, Sch 320, Col. (b), L 70, L 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76 77, 78, 79 and 80		
14. Locomotive Amount	(L 1 ÷ L 13) X L 12		

- {

÷

Ē

ż

# WORKSHEET 1 (Continued)

	Item	Source	Amount
Indi	rect Expenses		
15.	Injuries & Insurance	AR, Sch. 320, Col. (e), L 83 and L 84	
16.	Health & Welfare - 335	AR, Sch. 320, Col. (e), L 86	
17.	Other M of E - 339	AR, Sch. 320, Col.(e), L 87	
18.	Total Indirect	L 5 + L 16 and 17	
19.	Direct Expenses	AR, Sch. 320, Col.(e), L 70 through L 80	、 
20.	Ratio Indirect/Direct	L 18 ÷ L 19	
21.	Indirect Expenses	L 1 X L 20	cancernan in
22.	Yard Swtg. Miles	AR, Sch. 531, Col. (b), L 9	<u></u>
23	Yard Loco. Hours	L 22 🕂 the number 6	<del></del>
24.	Cost Factor 1	(L 1 + L 2 + L 14 + L 21) ÷ L 23	
COST F	ACTOR 44		
25.	Retirements	AR, Sch. 328, Col. (e), L l	<u></u>
26.	Depreciation	AR, Sch. 330 (Col. (e), L 1 and L 2	
27.	Rent	AR, Sch. 300, Col. (e) L 14 - L 7	
28.	Gross Investment	AR, Sch. 211-N-2, L 38, Cols. (a + b + c + d + e)	
29.	Accrued Depreciation	<pre>AR, Sch. 211-D, L 30, Col. (g) + AR, Sch. 211-E, L 29, Col. (g) + Lesser RR's, AR, Sch. 285, Acct. 52, Col. (j)</pre>	

### WORKSHEET 1 (Continued)

ļ

· · · ·

-----

han an ann an Artista a Artista an Ar

		Item	Source	Amount
	30.	Amortization Reserve	AR, Sch. 211-G, L 22, Col. (i)	and a second
	31.	Depreciated Investment	L 1 - (L2 + L 3)	0
	32.	Return on Investment	L 31 X 7.2%	
	33.	Total Loco. Deprec.	AR, Sch. 330, Col. (b), L l and L 2	
	34.	Freight Loco. Ratio	L 26 ÷ L 33	a
	35.	Freight Loco. Return	L 32 X L 34	<del>61776-111-21-11177-7</del>
	36.	Train Miles	AR, Sch. 531, Col. (b), L 6	
	37.	Train Hours	AR, Sch. 531, Col. (b), L 30	te-and schemetric spectra s
	38.	Train Speed	L 36 ÷ L 37	<b>(* 15) (* 1) (* 1)</b>
	39.,	Loco. Unit Miles Road Service	AR, Sch. 531, Col. (b) L 7	
	<b>40.</b>	Loco. Unit Miles Train Switching	AR, Sch. 531, Col. (b), L 8	<u></u>
	41.	Loco. Unit Hours Road Service	L 39 ÷ L 38	
	42.	Loco. Unit Hours Train Switching	L 40 🕂 the number 6	
	43.	Ownership Costs	L 25 + L 26 + L 27 + L 35	c
	44.	Total Loco. Hours	L 23 + L 41 + L 42	antenanten distajan datata
	45.	Cost Factor 44	(L 43 ÷ L 44) + L 24	anala da
A S C L	R = A ch. = ol. = = Li	nnual Report R-l. Schedule. Column. ne.		

Source: Interstate Commerce Commission, Annual Report Form R-1.
Where:

SEG(11) = 50 trips SEG(15) = 6 hours

Since the number of trips on the line is less than or equal to 51 and since the number of hours a locomotive serves the branch is less than or equal to 8, as this additional constraint dictates, CHC has been set to 0. This can be verified by reference to Figure 16. Again, unit savings in time, mileage or other resources may be saved by branch line abandonment only if the line is used a prescribed amount. According to R. L. Banks, this amount, in reference to CHC, is 51 trips and/or more than 8 hours of service.

3) ON-BRANCH CABOOSE CAR COST (OBCAB)

The USRA formulation for OBCAB is based on a simple aggregation of Caboose Mile Costs (CMC) and Caboose Day Costs (CABC) which may be found in the table of "Intermediate Calculations" for the USRA Model (See Figure 15.)

OBCAB = CMC + CABC

Where:

CMC = 78.12	· ·	(IC)	Figure	15
CABC = 217.50		(IC)	Figure	15

Therefore: OBCAB = 78.12 + 217.50 = 295.62 (See Figure 15.)

R. L. Banks again employs the avoidability argument as a basis for inserting a constraint into the USRA model. Unless the total hours a branch line is served exceeds 2000 hours, caboose day costs cannot be avoided and should, therefore, not be attributed to the operation of the branch. All caboose mile costs are avoidable with abandonment and were not modified. Banks included the following statement:

If (SEG(15) \* SEG(11) .LT. 2000) CBDC = 0Where:

SEG(15)	=	6 hours	(LQ)	Figure	18
SEG(11)	=	50 trips	(LQ)	Figure	18

Since 6 x 50 is less than 2000, CBDC has been set to zero. OBCAB has been reduced accordingly.

OBCAB = 78.12 + 0 = 78.12

This can be verified with reference to the table of IC - Figure 16. All of the various differences in the two accounting systems under the category "On-Branch Operating Costs" shown in Table 1 have now been discussed. We move, then, to a short explanation of the differences found within the category of "Normal Branch Maintenance Costs"

## – – Normal Branch Maintenance Cost – –

As can be seen in Table 1, both component costs within this category have been changed in moving from the USRA to the Michigan version of the viability analysis - i.e., NBMM and NBMO.

## 1) BRANCH MAINTENANCE (NBMM)

The USRA and Michigan formulations to calculate this component cost do not differ. What does vary, however, is the cost factor used as input to the formula. The value of "Regular Indirect Maintenance Cost Factor" CF(9)employed by USRA equals .3834 while the Michigan model equals .2130. Banks recalculated this factor removing those sub-costs which they considered to be unavoidable. Several of the more important Fortran statements used in this calculation are presented below. Although a few "steps" have been skipped which were used to develop variables utilized therein, one should gather a familiarity with the importance of CF(9) in making this cost estimation.

NBMM = DMC \* (1. + IMC)

Where:

귀

DMC	= 48378.6	0 (IC) Figures 15 and 16
IMC	.4622	for USRA (IC) Figure 15
IMC	.2918	for Michigan (IC) Figure 16

For USRA then:

NBMM =  $48378.60 \times 1.4622 = 70413.34$ 

And Michigan:

 $NBMM = 48378.60 \times 1.4622 = 70413.34$ 

Please turn to Figures 15 and 16 for verification. It should be noted that the reason IMC varies between accounting systems is basically because CF(9) which is used as a means of computing IMC varies by system.

2) SIDING/YARD TRACK MAINTENANCE (NBMO)

Like NBMM, NBMO varies by accounting system due solely to the change in CF(9), this should be more readily apparent because CF(9) is actually used in the formula without being transformed into another variable first. The formula used by both models is:

NBMO = (SEG(10) \* CF(40) \* (1.+ CF(9))

Where for USRA:

	SEG(10) CF(40) CF(9)	= .9 = 2939 = .3834	(LQ) (RC) (RC)	Figure 17 Figure 17 Figure 17
and	for Michi	gan:		
	CEC(10)	0		<b>T</b> . 10

SEG(TO)	9	(LQ)	rigure to
CF(40)	= 2939	(RC)	Figure 18
CF(9)	= .2130	(RC)	Figure 18

Using this data as was done within the 1) USRA and 2) Michigan models, we find:

1) NBMO = .9 x 2939 x 1.3834 = 3659.23

2) NBMO =  $.9 \times 2939 \times 1.2130 = 3208.50$ 

Again, see Figures 15 and 16 to validate these calculations. The next differences we note in Table 1 between the two accounting systems is in the category of "Branch Overhead Costs" and it is to a discussion of this category that we now turn.

## -- Branch Overhead Costs --

In Table 1, we find that the Michigan accounting system sets each of the four component costs which comprise this category (MOWS, TRANS, MCLAC and TCLAC)

-101-

equal to zero. Above, each component was discussed individually but since the logic underlying their removal from the accounting statement (i.e., Evaluation Report) is so similar they will be reviewed collectively. R. L. Banks, as has many others concerned with branch line abandonment, has stated that MOWS and TRANS costs are not avoidable unless the line is of a certain length and the time spent on it by a crew is of a certain duration. If these conditions are not met, MOWS and TRANS are set to zero. Given MOWS and TRANS are zero, MCLAC and TCLAC will also be zero since they are based on the two former costs. The formulas used in the USRA model are as follows:

The Michigan model includes the following constraints:

Γf	(MOWS LT 7500)	MOWS = 0
ſſ	(TRANS LT 8500)	TRANS = 0
Γf	(MOWS EQ 0)	MCLAC = 0
Εf	(TRANS EQ 0)	TCLAC = 0

Where (for both models):

CF(26)	=	150	(RC)	Figures	17	and	18
CF(27)	=	.3879	(RC)	Figures	17	and	18
CF(28)	=	.0205	(RC)	Figures	17	and	18
CF(43)	=	.3166	(RC)	Figures	17	and	18
SEG(7)	-	18.6	(LQ)	Figures	17	and	18
CH		300	(IC)	Figures	15	$\operatorname{and}$	16
DMC	=	48378.60	(IC)	Figures	15	and.	.16
SEG(14)	=	4	(LQ)	Figures	17	and	18

Using this data as input for the USRA formulas, we find:

MOWS	#	150 x 1	.8.6	=	2790
TRANS	=	.3879 x	: 300	=	116.37
MCLAC	=	.0205 x	48378.60	-	991.76
TCLAS	=	.3166 x	: 300 x 4		379.92

These can be checked by consulting the tables of Intermediate Calculations. Since MOWS is less than (LT) 7500 and because TRANS is less than 8500, these costs are set to zero when the Michigan version of the analysis is performed. MCLAC and TCLAC are then, in turn, set to zero.

-102-

## -- Off-Branch Operating Costs --

This is the last cost category of those listed in Table 1 to change by accounting system. Each of five component costs, with the exception of OFBFCC, have differing cost estimates when the two modeling systems are run.

-----

1) GROSS TON MILE COSTS (GTMC)

The results of the USRA and Michigan calculations for this component cost differ solely because of the "Gross Ton-Mile Unit Cost" used by each. USRA used a factor of .0029 while the Michigan methodology employs a factor of .0009. R. L. Banks modified this cost factor for the Department to remove excess, unavoidable costs. The following are the formulas used by both models. Again, the only data that varies is the above mentioned cost factor.

> OBGTM = OBTTM + OBNTMGTMC = OBGTM \* CF(34)

## Where:

OBTTM	=	7560791.85	(IC)	Figures 15 and 16
OBNTM	-	5806515.54	(IC)	Figures 15 and 16
CF(34)	=	.0029 for USRA	(RC)	Figure 17
CF(34)	+	.000897 for Michigan	(RC)	Figure 18

Therefore:

OBGTM = 7560791.85 x 5806515.54 = 1336730.40

and for USRA

GTMC = 13367307.40 x .0029 = 38765.19

For Michigan

GTMC = 13367307.40 x .000897 = 11990.48

These component costs in Figures 15 and 16 have been increased by 1.17 to estimate 1976 cost increases.

2) TOTAL SWITCHING COSTS (TSC)

Like GTMC, TSC varies by accounting system as the result of changing cost factors. The USRA model employs an "Interchange Switching Cost Per Car"

-103-

CF(36) of 9.2628 while the Michigan methodology uses a cost of 8.3365. In addition, the Michigan accounting system uses an "In-Route Switching Cost Per Car" CF(37) of 4.7411 while USRA a factor of 5.2628. The formulas used to compute TSC are identical for each model.

 $\begin{array}{rcl} ICSC &=& CI & * & CF(36) \\ ITSC &=& NIS & * & CF(37) \\ TSC &=& IS & + & ICSC & + & ITSC \end{array}$ 

Where for both models

CI ·	*	700	(IC)	Figures	15	and	16
NIS	-	2155	(IC)	Figures	15	and	16
IS	-	4969.98	(IC)	Figures	15	and	16

For USRA

CF(36)	=	9.2628	(RC)	Figure 17
CF(37)	#	5.2679	(RC)	Figure 17

and for Michigan

CF(36)	=	8.3365	(RC)	Figure	18
CF(37)		4.7411	(RC)	Figure	18

Therefore, the USRA model yields:

ICSC	=	700	х	9.2628	*	6483.96
ITSC	=	2155	x	5.2628	*	11352.33
rsc	=	4969.98	+	6483.96	+	11352.33 = 22806.27

and for Michigan

ICSC	=	700	x	8.3365	=	5835.55	
ITSC	=	2155	х	4.7411	· =	10217.07	
ISC	=	4969.98	+	5835.55	+	10217.07 =	21022.61

Each TSC estimate appearing in Figures 15 and 16 have been increased by 1.278 to account for 1976 inflation rate (see Table 1).

3) LOSS AND DAMAGE COSTS (LDC)

USRA has charged the entire national system loss and damage cost to off-branch traffic for Interline traffic while the cost is, in actuality, shared between the involved roads. Banks has made an appropriate change in the USRA accounting system by removing half of the loss and damage cost of that traffic classified as interline. The USRA calculates this cost with the following formula:

LDC = Total (K,2) \* STCC (K,1)

The Michigan methodology includes the following statement:

LDC = LCD - (INTER (K,2) \* STCC (K,1) \* .5)

Brief mention has been made of the traffic matrices which are essential not only to this calculation but to most calculations made within the viability routine. Figures 20-A and 20-B show the traffic data as it is used within the program. This information remains the same regardless of the accounting system being employed. Again, notice that there are four separate traffic tables labeled Local, ConRail, Interline, and Total. The last one (i.e., Total) is a simple summation of the previous three. Traffic on a segment has been broken into three types for analytical purposes - cost factors are applied differently depending upon the type of traffic being studied. The nature of the traffic along a segment has much to do with the amount of cost incurred by the rail company as a result of operating the line.

In the above USRA loss and damage formula, a loss and damage factor STCC(K,1) is multipled times the amount of total tonnage which is generated or attracted to this particular segment. Since the L&D estimate varies by commodity type, a new factor must be applied for each tonnage element of the "total" array. For example, Figure 20-B shows that 107 tons of commodity type 11 has either its 0 or D along this segment. A L&D factor is multiplied times this 107 tons and added to the next estimate. This is done until all elements have been factored and summed. Table 1 indicates that the USRA 1973 L&D estimate for this segment is \$2,693.66. When half of the L&D estimate for Interline traffic is removed, the Michigan methodology indicates that the L&D cost on this line is reduced to \$1672.25.

-105-

Grosvenor - Morenci

## 

.....

Mi.

\_\_\_\_



======================================						======================================						
STCC	CARS	TONS	\$CONRAIL	\$TOTAL	SHORT HAUL MILES	STCC	CARS	TONS	\$CONRAIL	\$TOTAL	SHORT HAUL MILES	
11	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	11	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
24	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	24	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
26	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	26	12.	62.	2076.	2076.	2904.	
28	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	28	132.	5514.	98615.	98615.	39062.	
32	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	32	1.	46.	979.	979。	327.	
33	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	33	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
10 34	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	34	5.	39.	1318.	1318.	1512.	
י 35	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	35	2.	24.	346.	346.	210.	
40	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	40	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
50	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	50	152.	5685.	103334.	103334.	44015.	

22

## TRAFFIC AND REVENUE REPORT

======================================							======================================					
	STCC	CARS	TONS	\$CONRAIL	\$TOTAL	SHORT HAUL MILES	STCC	CARS	TONS	\$CONRAIL	<b>\$TOTAL</b>	SHORT HAUI MILES
	11	2.	107.	435.	704.	520.	11	· 2.	107.	435.	704.	520.
	24	10-	384.	2655.	15078.	2821.	24	10.	384.	2655.	15078.	2821.
	26	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	26	12.	62.	2076.	2076.	2904.
-107-	28	317.	16412.	76035.	286630.	63876.	28	449.	21926.	174650.	385245.	102938.
	32	3.	99.	582.	1820,	780.	32	4.	145.	1561.	2799.	1107.
	33	2.	50.	692.	2035.	1056.	33	2.	50.	692.	2035.	1056.
	34	10.	79.	1648.	4554.	1516.	34	15.	118.	2966.	5872.	3028.
	35	1.	14.	145.	307.	259.	35	3.	38.	491.	653.	469.
	40	21.	1183.	2496.	7561.	966.	40	21.	1183.	2496.	7561.	966.
	50	366.	18328.	84688.	318689.	71794.	50	518.	24013.	188022.	422023.	115809.

و در اورونی di internetti di alternetti Alternetti di 
## 4) STATION CLERICAL (SCO)

Like some of the other component costs discussed above, SCO costs are removed from the Michigan accounting system when they are below a certain magnitude. The USRA formula is used in the Michigan approach, but is subject to this constraint of "minimum" magnitude.

SCO = (CF(29) \* Total (50,1) + CF(29) \* Local (50,1) + CF(29) \* ConRail (50,1)

Where:

```
CF(29) = 4.766 (RC) Figures 17 and 18
Total (50,1) = 518 cars
Local (50,1) = 0 cars
ConRail (50,1) = 152 cars
```

The double subscript on the total, local, and ConRail variables should be taken to mean "the fiftieth row, first column" of each traffic matrix. See Figures 20-A and 20-B.

Substituting the values:

 $SCO = 4.766 \times 518 + 4.766 \times 0 + 4.766 \times 152 = 3193.22$ 

The Michigan methodology includes the following:

If (SCO. LT. 3400) SCO = 0

Since SCO is less than 3400 in the above calculation, the Michigan accounting system sets this cost to zero.

All differences between the USRA and the Michigan methodologies have now been accounted for. If further detail is desired, one should consult the TASK-3 Report submitted by R. L. Banks and other manuals obtained from the USRA presently on file with the Statewide Transportation Planning and Procedures Section of this Department.



# CONCLUSION

yannya yana ya

gergen maartens gerden maartens gerden maartense kommen antenseter sete

## CONCLUSION

The Branch Line Evaluation Reports obtained from executing the USRA and Michigan versions of the line viability analysis differ as a result of programmatic and input file modifications made to the originals as sent to this Department by the USRA. These changes have been made, as noted above, to reflect this State's belief that the USRA has inflated estimated costs through a misapplication of the avoidable-unavoidable cost concept. From the Evaluation Reports presented one may conclude that the Michigan approach to line viability invariably reduces the estimated cost of operating and maintaining branch line facilities. The utilization of the Michigan Model in place of its USRA counterpart makes the ownership of certain segments of the bankrupt railroad seem more attractive than the USRA analysis has led many to believe.

Even when the Michigan Model is employed, however, many rail segments, as defined by the USRA, continue to be depicted as contributing heavily to the financial problems of the bankrupt railroads. Since traffic definition (i.e., Local, ConRail, Interline) are tied to the length of a segment and because costing procedures have been developed around traffic types, it was found that the way in which the rail network was divided into segments may strongly influence the apparent profitability of a line. An extremely long line may, for example, show a substantial loss in its entirety because a relatively small portion of it generates a fantastic loss. That is, if this one portion were removed, the majority of the line would generate a net profit for the rail company. Many states were forced to accept the USRA segmentation since they did not choose to redefine study links which would have required

-109-

a remanipulation of the waybill file - a formidable task indeed. Fortunately, this Department developed techniques which permitted a disaggregation of the USRA segment into the so-called Michigan segmentation system. This system allowed state rail planners to isolate those sub-USRA segments which are responsible for making an entire line appear unprofitable when, in fact, this is not the case. "Cngtrf", a computer program was written to allow for further alteration of rail segments and their associated traffic and characteristic files. This program permits the rapid and efficient testing of proposed rail plans. Because the changing of traffic volumes along segments and altering the characteristics of segments affect community and environmental impacts, "Cngtrf" has become crucial to the entire railroad impact analysis process. See Figure 1 for the location of the program within this process.

This report has detailed many aspects of both the USRA and Michigan versions of the line viability analysis. Those who are interested in operationalizing this technique to conduct rail network evaluations of their own will find it, its companion, and its supporting reports of great interest. It should, however, be reiterated that the viability approach to rail financial impact analysis was adopted out of necessity. The time frame in which the state was required to submit a state rail plan was such that a conceptually superior technique could not be devised. The more obvious faults were removed, but the technique has remained weak. Perhaps its greatest value lies in that it has filled a critical gap in the state's ability to quickly evaluate alternate rail plans. Since these plans are of a short term nature, they are acceptable. When state rail planners begin to formulate long range plans, viability analysis must be revised so as to permit a true systems analysis. Many of the basic ideas employed in viability combined with the "Full Allocation" technique briefly mentioned in the Introduction should give state officials the resources needed to perform such an analysis.

-110-