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During the month of July 1967, the Transportation Planning Division of the Department of State Highways conducted an external origin and destination survey at Petoskey, Michigan. Its purpose is to determine the traffic patterns in Petoskey as a sound basis for planning the efficient traffic arteries needed in the future.

This report "Factual Data and Trip Tables," is the first of two or more reports which will present the results of the traffic study. The data in this report will serve as the basis for study and detailed recommendations by the Department's Planners and by local officials. Subsequent reports will consider the suggested solutions to local traffic problems, made possible by this data.
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## HISTORY

Emmet County is located at the northwestern tip of Michigan's Lower Peninsula. It was organized in 1853 and originally named Tonedagana, after an Ottawa Indian Chief. Emmet County was later named for Robert Emmet, who was an Irish patriot. Petoskey is located on the shores of Little Traverse Bay in Emmet County.

Petoskey was founded in 1875 and named after an Ottawa Indian Chief, Pet-o-sega, meaning Rising Sun. Petoskey developed at the mouth of the Bear River and became a shipping center for sailing vessels carrying lumber, hides and portland cement. Near the turn of the century great flocks of Passenger Pigeons darkened the skies for hours as they passed overhead travelling in search of food. The first migrations of wild pigeons into northern Michigan came in the spring of 1877. One of their nesting places was located on the shores of Round Lake, about five miles northeast of Petoskey. Since they were seemingly limitless in their numbers, they were killed by the millions and whole trainloads were shipped from Petoskey to markets in other parts of America.

Petoskey became known as a summer resort community. Hay Fever sufferers found that this climate was particularly well suited to them and many families established permanent summer homes in and around Petoskey. Petoskey's harbors also became well known as a home for many pleasure craft.

Petoskey is served by US-31, US-131 and M-131. Both Petoskey and the Little Traverse Bay region have been popular resort centers for generations. Being conveniently located via the above highways from the city, soon resort developments began to spring up - Walloon Lake to the south, Pickerel and other lakes to the east, Wequetonsing and Harbor Springs to the north.

The first community on US-31 to the northeast of Petoskey is Bay View. It was founded in 1875 by a group of Michigan Methodists as a camp meeting site. At the present time it consists of some 450 cottages, three hotels, several classroom buildings, dormitories, office buildings and other campus structures. Other attractions on this site are a complete water front and recreation complex, and the John M. Hall Auditorium, with a seating capacity of 2,000 . The Bay View College of Liberal Arts and Music has summer sessions for those who wish to combine resorting and school work.

## SURVEY AREA

Petoskey is a city of 6.630 people, located on the east shore of Little Traverse Bay at the mouth of the Bear River. Population within the entire study areg is estimated at 7,430. This area is composed of the city of Petoskey and parts of Bear Creek and Resort Townships. The total area covers approximately 15 square miles.
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## FIELD PROCEDURE

Field work on the Petoskey Traffic Study was conducted during the month of Julyanal96. The purpose was to accumulate data concerning the movement of people and goods by motor vehicle through, into and out of the study area.

Data for the study of external trips was obtained at a cordon of six interview stations established on all of the important roads leading into the study area. At each of these stations, vehicles were stopped and the drivers interviewed concerning the origin, destination and purpose of their trips. Manual vehicle classification counts were taken at the six stations for twenty-four hours.

Answers to the interview questions were recorded on Form O-D 4. One line of this form was used for each vehicle interviewed. A sample copy of Form O-D 4 is shown in Appendix. Both inbound and outbound vehicles were interviewed. They were recorded each hour at each station by direction of travel.

## TERMINOLOGY AND DEFINITIONS

CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT (CBD):

CORDON LINE:
CORDON TRIP, TERMINAL TRIP:

DESTINATION:
DOWNTOWN AREA:

EXTERNAL:
EXTERNAL STATION:
EXTERNAL TRIP:

INTERNAL:
NONRESIDENT:
ORIGIN:
ORIGIN-DESTINATION ZONE,

RESIDENT:
STUDY AREA:

THROUGH TRIP:

TRIP:
TRIP TERMINAL:

The zones comprising the concentrated commercial and retail business center of the city.

A hypothetical line encompassing the area under study.
A trip with one terminal outside the study area and one terminal inside the study area.
The place where a trip ends.
The zones comprising the $C B D$ and its commercial-residential fringe.

Outside the study area.
A point on a highway at the limits of the study area at which the drivers of vehicles were interviewed.
A trip with one or both of its terminals outside the study area.
Within the study area.
A person living outside the study area.
The place where the trip begins.
A basic subdivision of the study area having a single or dominant land use, designated for purposes of tabulation and analysis.
A person living within the study area.
The area enclosed by the cordon line.
A trip passing through the study area with the terminals outside the study area.
One-way travel between an origin and destination.
The point where a trip begins or ends.

## TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Many factors are responsible for the patterns of traffic volumes within a community. Such items as land use, street width, type and condition of street surface, parking restrictions, one or two way operation and signalization attract traffic to certain routes. The majority of motorists in the Petoskey Area voluntarily confine their travel to a small number of streets. These are: Spring Street - Bay View Drive (US-131, US-31, M-131, M-68), Charlevoix Avenue (US-31), Mitchell Street, Michigan Street, Lake Street, State Street, Emmet Street, Howard Street, Waukazoo Avenue, Kalamazoo Avenue, Lewis Street, Division Street, West Lake Street, Porter-Bridge Street, Division Road, Atkins Road, Greenwood Road and Standish Road.

Traffic volumes on these thoroughfares are small in the peripheral areas, gradually increasing as these routes converge upon the central area, and reaching their maximum in the Petoskey central business district. The highest traffic volume in the city ( 23,500 vehicles, July weekday) occurred at the west leg of the US-31, M-131, M-68, Mitchell Street and Bay View Drive, and Mitchell Street and Elizabeth Street.

Traffic decreases along US-31, M-131 and M-68. At the village of Bay View, the volume is 14,140 vehicles on the above route. Other streets and their approximate 24 -hour July weekday volumes are Mitchell Street ( 11,560 ), Charlevoix Avenue ( 9,970 ), Emmet Street ( 4,770 ), Division Street $(3,700)$, Waukazoo Avenue $(3,640)$, Petoskey Street $(3,370)$, Kalamazoo Avenue $(3,170)$, Michigan Street ( 2,930 ), Division Road $(2,480)$, Jennings Avenue $(1,950)$, West Lake Street $(1,680)$, and Porter, Bridge, Fulton Street $(1,150)$.

Traffic Composition on Major Routes or Roads in the Petoskey Area

| Location of Traffic Count |  | Total Volume | Through Volume | Terminal Volume | Local Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route or Road | Location |  |  |  |  |
| M-131 | Station 1 North | 4,710 | 1,423 | 3,287 | 0 |
| US-31 | Station 2 East | 6,879 | 3,500 | 3,379 | 0 |
| Mitchell Rd. | Station 3 East | 779 | 115 | 664 | 0 |
| River Rd. | Station 4 South | 384 | 50 | 334 | 0 |
| US-131 | Station 5 South | 5,172 | 2,396 | 2,776 | 0 |
| US-31 | Station 6 West | 6,027 | 2,868 | 3,159 | 0 |
| US-31, M-131, | West leg of Jct. with |  |  |  |  |
| M-68 | Mitchell St. \& Elizabeth St. | 23,464 | 4.746 | 5,043 | 13,675 |
| US-131 | S. of Sheridan St. | 6,521 | 2,396 | 2,872 | 1,253 |
| US-131 | N. of Sheridan St. | 6,450 | 2,396 | 2,391 | 1,663 |
| US-131 | S. of US-31 (Charlevoix St.) | 10,182 | 2,420 | 2,579 | 5,183 |
| US-31, M-131, |  |  |  |  |  |
| M-68 | N.E. of Lewis St. | 17,455 | 4,681 | 6,050 | 6,724 |
| US-31, M-131, |  |  |  |  |  |
| M-68 | W. of US-31 | 14,917 | 4,709 | 6,666 | 3,542 |
| Bridge St. | On bridge over Bear River | 895 | 0 | 188 | 707 |
| Sheridan St. | On bridge over Bear River | 1,365 | 28 | 575 | 762 |
| Kalamazoo Ave. | S. of State St. | 3,172 | 0 | 67 | 3,105 |
| Elizabeth St. | S. of US-31, M-131, M-68 | 4,150 | 22 | 380 | 3,748 |
| Lewis St. | S. of US-31, M-131, M-68 | 4,604 | 0 | 3,432 | 1,172 |
| Division Rd. | N. of Mitchell Rd. | 2,434 | 28 | 48 | 2,358 |
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TOTAL TRAFFIC
On an average July weekday in 1967, approximately 23,951 vehicles passed the six interyiew stations located on the federal aid primary and secondary highways serving Petoskey. Of the 23,951 vehicles, 22,788 ( $95,1 \%$ ) traveled on the state highways.

The traffic volume at each station is as follows:

| LOCATION | STATION | TRAFFIC | PERCENT of TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US-31 East | 2 | 6,879 | 28.7\% |
| US-31 West | 6 | 6,027 | 25.1\% |
| US-131 South | 5 | 5,172 | 21.6\% |
| M-131 North | 1 | 4,710 | 19.7\% |
| Mitchell Road East | 3 | 779 | 3.3\% |
| River Road South | 4 | 384 | 1.6\% |
| TOTAL ALL STATIONS |  | 23,951 | 100.0\% |

## AUTOMOBILE AND TRUCK TRAFFIC

Automobiles account for 20.86 l vehicless 87 . 1 percent of the total traffic. The remaining 3,090 vehicles are trucks of which 2,704 , or 11.3 percent of the total traffic, are single unit trucks.

The traffic volume by vehicle type at each station is listed below:


## EXTERNAL STATION TRAFFIC

BY

HIGH ONE-HOUR, TWO-HOUR AND THREE-HOUR PERIODS

| Sta. | One Hour |  | Two Hourtwo Hour |  |  |  | Three Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Time | Volume | \% | Time | Volume | \% | Time | Volume | \% |
| 1 | 3-4P | 401 | 8.6 | 3-5P | 768 | 16.4 | 2-5P | 1,112 | 23.7 |
| 2 | 12-1P | 577 | 8.3 | 11-1P | 1,125 | 16.1 | 11-2P | 1,614 | 23.1 |
| 3 | 5-6P | 69 | 8.5 | 4-6P | 117 | 14.4 | 3-6P | 179 | 22.0 |
| 4 | 5-6P | 35 | 8.7 | 5-7P | 66 | 16.4 | 4-7P | 91 | 22.6 |
| 5 | 4-5P | 386 | 8.2 | 4-6P | 757 | 16.1 | 3-6P | 1,076 | 22.8 |
| 6 | $1-2 P$ | 553 | 8.7 | 12-2P | 1,037 | 16.3 | 11-2P | 1,510 | 23.8 |

The above table summarizes the traffic movement at each external station during the high one-hour, two-hour and three-hour periods. For each period, the table shows the traffic volume, its percentage of the station total and time of occurrence. The largest external traffic movement occurred during the afternoon between 3:00 PM and 6:00 PM for all stations (5,504 vehicles or $23.0 \%$ ). The highest one-hour period was from $4: 00 \mathrm{PM}-5: 00 \mathrm{PM}(1,899$ vehicles or $7.9 \%$ ) for all external stations. The highest two-hour period occurred during the afternoon between 3:00 PM and 5:00 PM for all stations (3,716 vehicles or $15.5 \%$ ).

## TRIP MOVEMENTS

The diagram on page 11 graphically shows the through and terminal traffic movements. The following tables are a consolidation of the through and terminal traffic by vehicle type and by trip purpose. Of the total numbers of trips made, $5,176(27.6 \%)$ trips were through trips and $13,599(72.4 \%)$ were terminal trims. A through vehicle is counted twice. It is counted at both its entering and departing stations.

The table shows that more than 8 out of 10 vehicles were autos. More than 7 out of 10 through trips were made for the purpose of social-recreation. More than 4 out of 10 terminal trips ( 6,085 trips) were made for the purpose of work. Work and social-recreation accounted for 74.6 percent of the total traffic movement.


| Vehicle Type | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { THROUGH AND TERMINAL TRAFFIC BY VEHICLE TYPE } \\ \text { Through Traffic } & \text { Terminal Traffic } \\ \text { Total Traffic }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Trips | Percent | Number of Trips | Percent | Number of Trips | Percent |
| Auto | 4,619 | 89.2 | 11,623 | 85.5 | 16,242 | 86.5 |
| Single Unit Truck | 455 | 8.8 | 1,794 | 13.2 | 2,249 | 12.0 |
| Trailer Comb. Truck | 102 | 2.0 | 182 | 1.3 | 284 | 1.5 |
| TOTALS | 5,176 | 100.0 | 13,599 | 100.0 | 18,775 | 100.0 |
| Percent of Totals | 27.6 |  | 72.4 |  | 100.0 |  |

HROUGH AND TERMINAL TRAFFIC BY VEHICLE TYPE

THROUGH AND TERMINAL TRAFFIC BY TRIP PURPOSE
Through Traffic
Number

| Trip Purpose | of Trips | $\underline{\text { Percent }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work | 1,183 | 22.8 |
| Business | 101 | 2.0 |
| Shopping | 56 | 1.1 |
| Social-Recreation | 3,836 | 74.1 |
| TOTALS | 5,176 | 100.0 |

Separate tabulation were made for vehicles owned inside the study area and those owned outside the area. The first table shows the average occupancy of passenger cars owned by area residents making trips that crossed the cordon line. The second table shows the average occupancy of passenger cars owned by non-residents and garaged outside the study area making trips that crossed the cordon line. The tabulation uses the driver's trip purpose and includes him in the occupancy count.

Less than half of all travel of persons is accounted for by the driver of the car. Passengers account for more than half of the total travel of persons by passenger car. Of the principal purpose categories, social-recreation had the greatest number of passengers. This is expected since social-recreation is most often a family oriented activity. The average auto occupancy rate for vehicles owned outside the area is higher. The longer trip lengths that can be expected may influence the auto occupancy rate.

PETOSKEY PASSENGER CAR OCCUPANCY TABLE VEHICLES OWNED INSIDE THE AREA

| To-Purpose of Trip | Number of Vehicles | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Vehicles } \end{gathered}$ | Number of Occupants | Average Occupants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work | 1110 | 49.4 | 1543 | 1.39 |
| Business | 201 | 8.4 | 399 | 1.99 |
| Shopping | 134 | 6.0 | 264 | 1.97 |
| Social-Recreation | 804 | 35.7 | 1897 | 2.36 |
| Total | 2249 | 100.0 | 4103 | 1.82 |


| VEHICLES OWNED OUTSIDE THE AREA |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work | 3940 | 28.2 | 5752 | 1.46 |
| Business | 1306 | 9.3 | 2547 | 1.95 |
| Shopping | 3033 | 21.7 | 7310 | 2.41 |
| Social-Recreation | 5714 | 40.8 | 16971 | 2.97 |
| Total | 13993 | 100.0 | 32580 | 2.33 |
|  | 2249 | 13.8 | 4103 | 1.82 |
|  | 13993 | 86.2 | 32580 | 2.33 |
| TOTAL | 16242 | 100.0 | 36683 | 2.26 |
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Diagram on page 15 shows the total traffic passing each station and the station interchange of through traffic. The 10,352 through vehicles consist of only 5,176 through trips as each vehicle is counted at both its entering and departing stations. The 10,352 through vehicles represent 43.2 percent of the total 23,951 vehicles passing the six interview stations.

The largest through traffic movement is the 2,016 east-west movement on US-31 interchanging between Stations 2 and 6.

Station 2 (US-31 East) has the largest traffic flow with 6,879 vehicles per day or 28.7 percent of the total traffic.

The following table shows the station interchange of through traffic, the terminal and total traffic passing each station:


## TOTAL AUTO TRAFFIC AND AUTO THROUGH TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE

Diagram on page 17 shows the total auto traffic passing each station and the station interchange of through auto traffic. The 9,238 through autos consist of only 4,619 through auto trips as each vehicle is counted at both its entering and departing stations. The 9,238 through autos represents 44.3 percent of the total auto traffic of 20,861 autos passing the six interview stations.

The heaviest through auto traffic movement is the 1,832 east-west trips on US 31 interchanging between stations 2 and 6 .

Station 2 has the largest total auto traffic flow of 6,094 autos or 22.2 percent of the total auto traffic.

The following table shows the station interchange of through auto traffic, the terminal and total auto traffic pas sing each station:



## TOTAL SINGLE UNIT TRUCK TRAFFIC

AND

## single unit truck through traffic interchange

Diagram on page 19 shows the total single unit truck traffic passing each station and the station interchange of through single unit truck traffic. The 910 through single unit truck trips represents 33.7 percent of the total single unit truck traffic of 2,704 single unit trucks passing the six interview stations.

The heaviest through single unit truck traffic movement is the 140 east-west trips on US-31 interchanging between stations 2 and 6 .

Station 6 has the largest total single unit truck traffic flow of 793 single unit trucks or 293 percent of the total single unit truck traffic.

The following table shows the station interchange of through single unit truck traffic, the terminal and total single unit truck traffic passing each station:
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## TOTAL TRAILER COMBINATION TRUCK TRAFFIC

AND
TRAILER COMBINATION TRUCK THROUGH TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE
Diagram on page 21 shows the total trailer combination truck traffic passing each station and the station interchange of through trailer combination truck traffic. The 204 through trailer combination trucks consist of only 102 through trailer combination truck trips as each vehicle is counted at both its entering and departing stations. The 204 through trailer combination trucks represents 52.8 percent of the total trailer combination traffic of 386 trailer combination trucks passing the six interview stations.

The heaviest through trailer combination truck movement is the 44 egst-west trips on US 31 interchanging between Stations 2 and 6.

Station 5 has the largest total trailer combination truck traffic flow of 152 traijer combination trucks or 39.4 percent of the total trailer combination truck traffic.

The following table shows the station interchange of through trailer combination truck traffic, the terminal and total trailer combination truck traffic passing each station:



## TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION BY INTERNAL ZONE AND STATION OF TOTAL DRIVER TRIPS

The 13,599 total driver trips have termini in zones as designated on the following page and desire line diagrams Nos.

Approximately 9 out of every 10 vehicles making a trip into or out of the study area passed through the 4 trunk line stations.

The central business district, zone 7 is origin or destination of 4,955 ( $36,4 \%$ of the 13,599 terminal trips. Zone 13 containing Little Trgverse Hospital is second bighest with, $3,609(26,6 \%)$ trips. Zone 8 containing the village of Bay View, Lockwood-MacDonald Haspital, Bay View Associationand the Petoskey Bay View Country Clubis third with 2,082 (15.3\%) trips.

TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION BY INTERNAL ZONE AND STATION

TOTAL DRIVER TRIPS
ENTERING OR DEPARTING STATIONS

| Internal Zone | Zone <br> Totals | M-131 <br> North <br> Sta. 1 | US-31 East <br> Sta. 2 | Mitchell St. East Sta. 3 | River Rd. <br> South <br> Sta. 4 | US-131 <br> South <br> Sta. 5 | US-31 <br> West <br> Sta. 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 4,955 | 1,188 | 1,319 | 191 | 91 | 1,026 | 1,140 | 36.4 |
| 8 | 2,082 | 757 | 568 | 37 | 35 | 278 | 407 | 15.3 |
| 9 | 575 | 126 | 150 | 29 | 31 | 130 | 109 | 4.2 |
| 10 | 1,930 | 526 | 399 | 176 | 47 | 345 | 437 | 14.2 |
| 11 | 38 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 0.3 |
| 12 | 188 | 30 | 39 | 8 | 42 | 22 | 47 | 1.4 |
| 13 | 3.609 | 616 | 871 | 190 | 79 | 910 | 943 | 26.6 |
| 14 | 222 | 37 | 29 | 24 | 5 | 63 | 64 | 1.6 |
| TOTAL | 13,599 | 3,287 | 3,379 | 664 | 334 | 2,776 | 3,159 |  |
| PERCENT |  | 24.2 | 24.8 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 20.4 | 23.2 | 100.0 |

PETOSKEY EXTERNAL O AND D STUDY
terminal traffic between us-31 west and internal. zones of attraction.
P0.0.00:0:
TOTAL DRIVER IRIPS



TERMINAL TRAFFIC BETWEEN M-I3! NORTH AND INTERNAL ZONES OF ATIRACTION. terminal traffic between us-i3l south and internai zones of attraction. TOTAL DRIVER TRIPS SUMMER WEEKDAY 1967

|  | - total trips |
| :---: | :---: |
| Legend | - ierminal irips |
|  | Tililililil through trips |
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## TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION

BY INTERNAL ZONE AND STATION OF AUTO DRIVER TRIPS
The 11,623 total auto driver trips have termini in zones as designated in the table. Approximately fifty percent of the terminal auto trips passed through Stations 1 and 2.

The central business district, zone 7, is origin or destination of 4.315 (37.1\%) of the 11,623 terminal auto trips. Zone 13 is second with 3.038 ( $26.1 \%$ ) trips. Zone 8 is third with 1.890 (16.3\%) trips. This is similar distribution of trips as total driver trips discussed on a previous page.

## TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION

 BY INTERNAL ZONE AND STATIONAUTO DRIVER TRIPS
ENTERING OR DEPARTING STATIONS

| Internal Zone | Zone Totals | M-131 <br> North <br> Sta. | US-31 <br> East <br> Sta. 2 | Mitchell St. <br> East Sta. 3 | River Rd. South Sta. 4 | US-131 <br> South Sta. 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-31 } \\ & \text { West } \\ & \text { Sta. } 6 \end{aligned}$ | Percen of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 4.315 | 1,040 | 1,151 | 160 | 85 | 882 | 997 | 37.1 |
| 8 | 1890 | 707 | 519 | 33 | 33 | 241 | 357 | 16.3 |
| 9 | 342 | 78 | 87 | 20 | 29 | 66 | 62 | 2.9 |
| 10 | 1,700 | 473 | 340 | 156 | 45 | 301 | 385 | 14.7 |
| 11 | 25 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0.2 |
| 12 | 123 | 19 | 32 | 8 | 29 | 17 | 18 | 1.1 |
| 13. | 3,038 | 567 | 785 | 162 | 58 | 764 | 702 | 26.1 |
| 14 | 190 | 32 | 29 | 21 | 2 | 54 | 52 | 1.6 |
| TOTAL | 11,623 | 2,923 | 2,945 | 566 | 283 | 2,325 | 2,581 |  |
| PERCENT |  | 25.1 | 25.4 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 20.0 | 22.2 | 100.0 |

The 1,794 total single unit truck driver trips have termini in zones as designated in the table. Approximately 3 of every 10 single unit trucks making terminal trips pass Station 6 on US-31 west.

Approximately sixty percent of the 1,794 single unit trucks have a terminal in zone 7 (CBD) and zone 13 (same for total driver and auto driver trips). Zone 9 has 10.1 percent of the total terminal single unit truck trips as compared to only 2.9 percent of the terminal auto driver trips and 42 percent of all terminal traffic. This is due to the land use activity in this zone which is largely commercial or manufacturing in nature.

## TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION BY INTERNAL ZONE AND STATION

SINGLE UNIT TRUCK TRIPS
ENTERING OR DEPARTING STATIONS

| Internal | Zone | M-131 <br> North <br> Sta. 1 | US-31 <br> East <br> Sta. 2 | Mitchell St. East Sta. 3 | River Rd. South Sta. 4 | US-131 <br> South <br> Sta. 5 | US-31 <br> West <br> Sta. 6 | Percent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 595 | 144 | 154 | 31 | 6 | 117 | 143 | 33.2 |
| 8 | 186 | 50 | 49 | 4 | 2 | 31 | 50 | 10.4 |
| 9 | 182 | 46 | 56 | 9 | 2 | 34 | 35 | 10.1 |
| 10 | 214 | 50 | 59 | 20 | 2 | 34 | 49 | 11.9 |
| 11 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0.7 |
| 12 | 60 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 27 | 3.3 |
| 13 | 514 | 47 | 68 | 28 | 20 | 116 | 235 | 28.7 |
| 14 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 1.7 |
| TOTAL | 1,794 | 353 | 395 | 98 | 50 | 345 | 553 |  |
| PERCENT |  | 19.7 | 22.0 | 5.5 | 2.8 | 19.2 | 30.8 | 100.0 |

TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION
BY INTERNAL ZONE AND STATION OF TRAILER COMBINATION TRIPS
The 182 total trailer combination truck driver trips have termini in zones as designated in the table. Approximately 6 of every 10 trailer combination trucks making terminal trips pass Section 5 on US-131 south.

Zone 9 had $51(28.0 \%)$ terminal trailer combination truck trips. Only zone 13 had more terminal trips ( 57 trips or $313 \%$ ). Zones 7 , 2 and 13 account for 153 ( $84.0 \%$ ) terminal trips. All three zones are primarily commercial or manufactoruing in nature.

## TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION BY INTERNAL ZONE AND STATION <br> TRAILER COMBINATION TRIPS

ENTERING OR DEPARTING STATIONS

|  |  | M-131 | US-31 | Mitchell St. River Rd. | US-131 | US-31 | Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Internal | Zone | North | East | East | South | South | West | of |
| Zone | Totals | Sta. 1 | Sta. 2 | Sta. 3 | Sta. 4 | Sta. 5 | Sta. 6 | Total |
| 7 | 45 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 24.7 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3.3 |
| 2 | 51 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 12 | 28.0 |
| 10 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 8.8 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2.8 |
| 13 | 57 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 6 | 31.3 |
| 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.1 |
| TOTAL | 182 | 11 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 106 | 25 |  |
| PERCENT |  | 6.0 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 58.3 | 13.7 | 100.0 |

## STATION 1

A total of 4,710 vehicles passed through Station 1 on M- 131 North of Petoskey. Tabulations on the following pages gives a detailed analysis of this traffic.

Approximately 7 out of every 10 vehicles had a rerminal inside the study area. Nine of every 10 vehicles were autos, whether they traveled into or through the area.

Approximately 1 out of every 10 vehicles was a single unit truck. Less than 1 out of every 100 vehicles was a trailer combination truck.

The largest through traffic movement at this station is the 750 (52.7\%) vehicles interchanging with Station 5 on US-131 South. Of these 750 vehicles, approximately 1 of 9 is a truck of which 5 out of 6 are single unit trucks.

The heaviest terminal traffic movements are to and from Zone 7 (The Central Business District), Zones 8, 10 and 13.

Approximately 7 out of 10 trips passing through the station were made for the purpose of work or social-recreation. Six out of 10 through trips were made for the purpose of social-recreation. Four out of 10 terminal trips were made for the purpose of work.

Approximately 2 out of 3 trips interchanging with Station 5 on US-131 South were for the purpose of social-recreation.

The largest terminal trip movements by purpose were the 457 shopping and 449 work trips to Zone 7 (The Central Business District).

1. TOTAL TRAFFIC THROUGH STATION 1, 4710 VEHICLES

| THROUGH |
| :---: |
| 1,423 |
| 30.2 |

TERMINAL

3,287
69.8

VEHICLES
Percent of Total

| AUTO | TRUCK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single Unit | Trailer Combination |  |
| 4,194 | 480 | 36 |  |
| 89.1 | 10.2 | 0.7 | Percent of Total |

A. THROUGH TRAFFIC: 1,423 VEHICLES

| AUTO |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Single Unit }}{}$ |  |  |
| 127 |  | Trailer Combination <br> 127 | 25 |
| 89.3 | 8.9 | 1.8 | Percent of Total |

B. TERMINAL TRAFFIC: 3,287 VEHICLES

| AUTO |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Single Unit }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { TRUCK }}{}$ |  |
| 253 | $\frac{\text { Trailer Combination }}{}$ |  |  |
| 2823 | 10.8 | 0.3 | Percent of Total |

C. THROUGH TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE OF 1,423 VEHICLES

| STATION | AUTOS | SINGLE UNIT | TRUCKS <br> TRAILER COMBINATION | TOTAL VEHICLES | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 92 | 12 | 3 | 107 | 7.5 |
| 3 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 1.2 |
| 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0.7 |
| 5 | 668 | 68 | 14 | 750 | 52.7 |
| 6 | 486 | 45 | 8 | 539 | 37.9 |
| TOTAL | 1,271 | 127 | 25 | 1,423 | 100.0 |

D. TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION OF 3,287 VEHICLES

| ZONE | AUTOS | SINGLE UNIT | TRUCKS <br> TRAILER COMBINATION | TOTAL VEHICLES | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 1,040 | 144 | 4 | 1,188 | 36.2 |
| 8 | 707 | 50 | 0 | 757 | 23.1 |
| 9 | 78 | 46 | 2 | 126 | 3.8 |
| 10 | 473 | 50 | 3 | 526 | 16.0 |
| 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0.2 |
| 12 | 19 | 11 | 0 | 30 | 0.9 |
| 13 | 567 | 47 | 2 | 616 | 18.7 |
| 14 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 37 | 1.1 |
| TOTAL | 2,923 | 353 | 11 | 3,287 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 28 |  |  |  |

2. TOTAL TRAFFIC THROUGH STATION 1 BY TRIP PURPOSE

| $\frac{\text { WORK }}{1,714}$ | BUSINESS |  | SHOPPING |  | SOCIAL- <br> RECREATION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | TOTAL |
| :---: |
| 36.4 |

A. THROUGH TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE BY TRIP PURPOSE

| STATION | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | SOCIAL- <br> RECREATION | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 36 | 7 |  | 64 | 107 |
| 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 17 |
| 4 | 4 |  |  | 6 | 10 |
| 5 | 220 | 21 | 19 | 490 | 750 |
| 6 | 187 | 12 | 12 | 328 | 539 |
| TOTAL | 452 | 42 | 35 | 894 | 1,423 |
| PERCENT OF |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 31.7 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 62.8 | 100.0 |

B. TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION BY TRIP PURPOSE

| ZONE | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | SOCIALRECREATION | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 449 | 115 | 457 | 167 | 1,188 |
| 8 | 202 | 97 | 225 | 233 | 757 |
| 9 | 79 | 14 | 18 | 15 | 126 |
| 10 | 275 | 56 | 53 | 142 | 526 |
| 11 | 5 |  | 2 |  | 7 |
| 12 | 20 |  |  | 10 | 30 |
| 13 | 209 | 85 | 217 | 105 | 616 |
| 14 | 23 | 8 |  | 6 | 37 |
| total | 1,262 | 375 | 972 | 678 | 3,287 |
| PERCENT OF |  |  |  |  |  |
| total | 38.4 | 11.4 | 29.6 | 20.6 | 100.0 |

A total of 6,879 vehicles passed through Station 2 on US-31 East of Petoskey. Tabulations on the following pages give a detailed analysis of this traffic.

The through and terminal traffic passing this station is nearly equally divided. Nine of every 10 vehicles were autos, whether they traveled into or through the area.

Approximately 1 out of 10 vehicles was a single unit truck. Two (or less) out of 100 vehicles were trailer combination trucks.

The largest through traffic movement at this station is the $2,016(57.5 \%)$ vehicles interchanging with Station 6 on US-31 West. Of these 2,016 vehicles, approximately 1 of 11 is a truck of which 3 out of 5 are single unit trucks.

The heaviest terminal traffic movements are to or from Zone 7 (The Central Business District), zones 8, 9 and 13 .

Over half of the trips passing through the station were made for the purpose of social-recreation. Eight out of 10 through trips were made for the purpose of social-recreation. Eight out of 10 vehicles interchanging with Station 6 on US-31 West were for the purpose of social-recreation.

The largest terminal trip movements by purpose were the 560 work and 411 shopping trips to Zone 7 (The Central Business District).

## STATION 3

A. total of 779 vehicles passed through Station 3 on Mitchell Road East of Petodkey. Tabulations on the following pages give a detailed analysis of this traffic.

Approximately 9 out of every 10 vehicles had a terminal inside the study area. Eight of every 10 vehicles were autos. Trailer combination trucks made no terminal trips and only four made through trips.

The largest through traffic movement at this station is the $45(39.1 \%)$ vehicles interchanging with Station 5 on US-131 South. Of these 45 vehicles, approximately 1 of 5 is a truck of which 6 out of 10 are single unit trucks and 4 out of 10 were trailer combinations. These trailer combination trucks interchanging with Station 5 were the only trailer combination trucks passing through Station 3.

The heaviest terminal traffic movements are to and from zones 7,10 , and 13 , these are to the downtown area comprising the CBD and its commercial-residential fringe.

Approximately 6 out of 10 trips passing through the station were made for the purpose of work. Five out of 10 through trips were made for the purpose of work. Six out of 10 terminal trips were made for the purpose of work.

Approximately 3 out of 4 trips interchanging with Station 5 on US-131 South were for the purpose of work, while 1 out of 4 was for the purpose of social-recreation.

The largest terminal trip movements by purpose were the 123 work trips to zone 10 and 116 work trips to zone 7.

1. TOTAL TRAFFIC THROUGH STATION $2,6,879$ VEHICLES
$\frac{\text { THROUGH }}{3,500}$
50.9
TERMINAL
3,379
49.1
VEHICLES
Percent of Total
AUTO
6,094
88.6

$\frac{\text { Single Unit }}{674}$| 9.8 |
| :---: |

TRUCK
$\frac{\text { Trailer Combination }}{111}$ 1.6 Percent of Total
A. THROUGH TRAFFIC: 3,500 VEHICLES

B. TERMINAL TRAFFIC: 3,379 VEHICLES

| AUTO |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Single Unit }}{}$ |  |  |
| 2,945 | 395 |  | Trailer Combination |
| 87.2 | 11.7 | 1.1 | Percent of Total |

C. THROUGH TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE OF 3,500 VEHICLES

| STATION | AUTOS | SINGLE UNIT | TRUCKS <br> TRAILER COMBINATION | TOTAL VEHICLES | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 92 | 12 | 3 | 107 | 3.1 |
| 3 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0.3 |
| 4 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 0.4 |
| 5 | 1,210 | 119 | 25 | 1,354 | 38.7 |
| 6 | 1,832 | 140 | 44 | 2,016 | 57.5 |
| TOTAL | 3,149 | 279 | 72 | 3,500 | 100.0 |

## D. TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION OF 3,379 VEHICLES

| ZONE | AUTOS | SINGLE UNIT | TRUCKS <br> TRAILER COMBINATION | TOTAL VEHICLES | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 1,151 | 154 | 14 | 1,319 | 39.0 |
| 8 | 519 | 49 | 0 | 568 | 16.8 |
| 9 | 87 | 56 | 7 | 150 | 4.4 |
| 10 | 340 | 59 | 0 | 399 | 11.9 |
| 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.1 |
| 12 | 32 | 7 | 0 | 39 | 1.1 |
| 13 | 785 | 68 | 18 | 871 | 25.8 |
| 14 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0.9 |
| TOTAL | 2,945 | 395 | 39 | 3,379 | 100.0 |

2. TOTAL TRAFFIC THROUGH STATION 2 BY TRIP PURPOSE

| WORK | SOCIAL. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | RECREATION |  | TOTAL |
| 2,044 | 516 | 813 | 3,506 | Vehicles | 6,879 |
| 29.7 | 7.5 | 11.8 | 51.0 | Percent | 100.0 |

A. THROUGH TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE BY TRIP PURPOSE

| STATION | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | SOCIAL RECREATION | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 36 | 7 |  | 64 | 107 |
| 3 | 2 |  |  | 8 | 11 |
| 4 | 3 |  | 1 | 8 | 12 |
| 5 | 213 | 21 | 6 | 1,114 | 1,354 |
| 6 | 349 | 28 | 9 | 1,630 | $\underline{2,016}$ |
| total | 604 | 56 | 16 | 2,824 | 3,500 |
| PERCENT OF |  |  |  |  |  |
| . TOTAL | 17.3 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 80.7 | 100.0 |

B. TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION BY TRIP PURPOSE

| ZONE | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | SOCIAL. RECREATION | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 560 | 188 | 411 | 160 | 1,319 |
| 8 | 186 | 68 | 128 | 186 | 568 |
| 9 | 122 | 2 | 8 | 18 | 150 |
| 10 | 231 | 24 | 33 | 111 | 399 |
| 11 | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 12 | 34 |  |  | 5 | 39 |
| 13 | 283 | 175 | 217 | 196 | 871 |
| 14 | 20 | 3 |  | 6 | 29 |
| total | 1,440 | 460 | 797 | 682 | 3,379 |
| PERCENT OF |  |  |  |  |  |
| total | 42.6 | 13.6 | 23.6 | 20.2 | 100.0 |

1. TOTAL TRAFFIC THROUGH STATION 3, 779 VEHICLES

| THROUGH | TERMINAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 115 | 664 | VEHICLES |
| 14.8 | 85.2 | Percent of Total |


| AUTO |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\frac{\text { Single Unit }}{}$ |  |  |  |
| 652 | 123 |  | Trailer Combination |  |
| 83.7 | 15.8 |  | 0.5 | Percent of Total |

A. THROUGH TRAFFIC: 115 VEHICLES

| AUTO |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Single Unit }}{}$ |  |  |
| 86 | 25 |  | Trailer Combination |
| 74.8 | 21.7 | 3.5 | Percent of Total |

B. TERMINAL TRAFFIC: 664 VEHICLES

| AUTO | TRUCK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single Unit | Trailer Combination |  |
| 566 | 98 | 0 |  |
| 85.2 | 14.8 | 0.0 | Percent of Total |


| STATION | AUTOS | SINGLE UNIT | TRUCKS <br> TRAILER COMBINATION | TOTAL VEHICLES | PERCENT of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 14.8 |
| 2 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 9.6 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 35 | 6 | 4 | 45 | 39.1 |
| 6 | 29 | 13 | 0 | 42 | 36.5 |
| TOTAL | 86 | 25 | 4 | 115 | 100.0 |

D. TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION OF 664 VEHICLES

| ZONE | AUTOS | SINGLE UNIT | TRUCKS <br> TRAILER COMBINATION | TOTAL VEHICLES | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 160 | 31 | 0 | 191 | 28.8 |
| 8 | 33 | 4 | 0 | 37 | 5.6 |
| 9 | 20 | 9 | 0 | 29 | 4.4 |
| 10 | 156 | 20 | 0 | 176 | 26.5 |
| 11 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 1.3 |
| 12 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1.2 |
| 13 | 162 | 28 | 0 | 190 | 28.6 |
| 14 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 24 | 3.6 |
| TOTAL | 566 | 98 | 0 | 664 | 100.0 |

2. TOTAL TRAFFIC THROUGH STATION 3 BY TRIP PURPOSE

| WORK | SOCIAL. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | RECREATION |  | TOTAL |
| 481 | 43 | 84 | 171 | Vehicles | 779 |
| 61.7 | 5.5 | 10.8 | 22.0 | Percent | 100.0 |

A. THROUGH TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE BY TRIP PURPOSE

| STATION | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | RECREATION | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 17 |
| 2 | 3 |  |  | 8 | 11 |

4
$5 \quad 1$
$\begin{array}{cc}6 & 37 \\ 59\end{array}$
ENT OF
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { TOTAL } & 51.3 & 2.6 & 3.5 & 42.6 & 100.0\end{array}$
B. TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION BY TRIP PURPOSE

| ZONE | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | SOCIAL- <br> RECREATION | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 116 | 12 | 36 | 27 | 191 |
| 8 | 27 | 2 |  | 8 | 37 |
| 9 | 23 |  | 4 | 2 | 29 |
| 10 | 123 | 4 | 10 | 39 | 176 |
| 11 | 9 |  |  |  | 9 |
| 12 | 6 |  |  | 2 | 8 |
| 13 | 105 | 22 | 25 | 38 | 190 |
| 14 | 13 |  | 5 | 6 | 24 |
| TOTAL | 422 | 40 | 80 | 122 | 664 |
| PERCENT OF |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 63.6 | 6.0 | 12.0 | 18.4 | 100.0 |

## STATION 4

A total of 384 vehicles passed through Station 4 on River Road South of Petoskey. Tabulations on the following pages give a detailed analysis of this traffic.

Approximately 9 out of every 10 vehicles had a terminal inside the study area. Eight out of every 10 vehicles were autos, whether they traveled into or through the area.

Approximately 2 out of every 10 vehicles were single unit trucks. Less than 1 out of 100 vehicles was a trailer combination truck.

The largest through traffic movement at this station is the $26(52.0 \%)$ vehicles interchanging with Station 6 on US-31 West. Of these 26 vehicles, 4 of them were trucks of which 3 out of 4 are single unit trucks.

The heaviest terminal traffic movements are to or from Zone 7 (The Central Business District $)$, zones 8,10 and 13 .

Approximately 6 out of 10 trips passing through the station were made for the purpose of work. Six out of 10 through trips were for the purpose of work. More than 5 out of 10 terminal trips were made for the purpose of work.

Approximately 8 out of 10 trips interchanging with Station 6 on US-31 West were for the purpose of work while 1 out of 8 trips was for the purpose of social-recreation.

The largest terminal trip movements by purpose were the 61 work trips to $Z$ one 7 and 51 work trips to Zone 13.

1. TOTAL TRAFFIC THROUGH STATION 4,384 VEHICLES

| THROUGH | TERMINAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 334 | VEHICLES |
| 13.0 | 87.0 | Percent of Total |


| AUTO | TRUCK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single Unit | Trailer Combination |  |
| 325 | 57 | 2 |  |
| 84.6 | 14.9 | 0.5 | Percent of Total |

A. THROUGH TRAFFIC: 50 VEHICLES

| AUTO |  | TRUCK |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single Unit | Trailer Combination |  |
| 42 | 7 | 1 |  |
| 84.0 | 14.0 | 2.0 | Percent of Total |

B. TERMINAL TRAFFIC: 334 VEHICLES

| AUTO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Single Unit }}{\text { TRUCK }}$ |  |  |
| 283 |  | Trailer Combination |  |
|  | 15.0 | 0.3 | Percent of Total |

C. THROUGH TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE OF 50 VEHICLES

TRUCKS $\quad$| TOTAL |
| :---: |

D. TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION OF 334 VEHICLES

| TRUCKS <br> TRAILER COMBINATION | TOTAL VEHICLES | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 91 | 27.2 |
| 0 | 35 | 10.5 |
| 0 | 31 | 9.3 |
| 0 | 47 | 14.1 |
| 0 | 4 | 1.2 |
| 0 | 42 | 12.6 |
| 1 | 79 | 23.6 |
| 0 | 5 | 1.5 |
| 1 | 334 | 100.0 |

2. TOTAL TRAFFIC THROUGH STATION 4 BY TRIP PURPOSE

| WORK | SOCIAL- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | RECREATION |  | TOTAL |
| 218 | 15 | 51 | 100 | Vehicles | 384 |
| 56.8 | 3.9 | 13.3 | 26.0 | Percent | 100.0 |

A. THROUGH TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE BY TRIP PURPOSE

| STATION | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | SOCIAL. RECREATION | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 |  |  | 6 | 10 |
| 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 8 | 12 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 6 | 22 | 1 | - | 3 | 26 |
| TOTAL | 31 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 50 |
| PERCENT OF |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 62.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 34.0 | 100.0 |

B. TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION BY TRIP PURPOSE

| ZONE | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | SOCIALRECREATION | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 61 | 4 | 10 | 16 | 91 |
| 8 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 18 | 35 |
| 9 | 9 |  | 20 | 2 | 31 |
| 10 | 16 |  | 6 | 25 | 47 |
| 11 | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 12 | 34 |  |  | 8 | 42 |
| 13 | 51 | 4 | 10 | 14 | 79 |
| 14 | 3 | 2 | - |  | 5 |
| TOTAL | 187 | 14 | 50 | 83 | 334 |
| PERCENT OF |  |  |  |  |  |
| total | 55.9 | 4.2 | 15.0 | 24.9 | 100.0 |

## STATION 5

A total of 5,172 vehicles passed through Station 5 on US-131 South of Petoskey. Tabulations on the following pages give a detailed analysis of this traffic.

The through and terminal traffic passing this station is nearly equally divided. More than 8 out of every 10 vehicles were autos, whether they traveled into or through the area.

Approximately 1 out of every 10 vehicles was a single unit truck. Less than 4 out of 100 vehicles were trailer combination trucks.

The largest through traffic movement at this station is the 1,354 ( $56.5 \%$ ) vehicles interchanging with Station 2 on US-31 East. This same movement accounted for only $38.7 \%$ of the through movement at Station 2. Of these 1,354 vehicles, approximately 1 of 11 is a truck of which 8 out of 10 are single unit trucks.

The heaviest terminal traffic movement ( 1,936 vehicles or $69.8 \%$ ) were to or from $Z$ one 7 and Zone 13.

More than 4 out of 10 trips passing through the station were made for the purpose of socialrecreation and 3 out of 10 trips were made for the purpose of work.

More than 7 out of 10 through trips were for the purpose of social-recreation.

More than 8 out of 10 trips interchanging with Station 2 on US-31 East were for the purpose of social-recreation, while less than 2 out of 10 trips were for the purpose of work.

The largest terminal trip movements by purpose were 415 shopping and 373 work trips to zone 7 (CBD).

## STATION 6

A total of 6,027 vehicles passed through Station 6 on US -31 West of Petoskey. Tabulations on the following pages give a detailed analysis of this traffic.

The through and terminal traffic passing this station is nearly equally divided. More than 8 out of every 10 vehicles were autos, whether they traveled into or through the area.

Less than 2 out of every 10 vehicles were single unit trucks. Less than 2 out of every 100 vehicles were trailer combination trucks.

The largest through traffic movement at this station is the 2,016 (70.3\%) vehicles interchanging with Station 2 on US-31 East. This same movement accounted for $57.5 \%$ of the through movement at Station 2. Of these 2,016 vehicles, approximately 1 of 11 is a truck of which 3 out of 4 are single unit trucks.

The heaviest terminal traffic movement ( 2,083 vehicles or $65.9 \%$ ) were to or from zone 7 and zone 13. Out of this 2,083 vehicles, 1,699 of them were autos.

Of the trips passing through the station, approximately 5 out of 10 trips were made for the purpose of social-recreation and 4 out of 10 trips were made for the purpose of work. More than 7 out of 10 through trips were for the purpose of social-recreation.

More than 8 out of 10 trips interchanging with Station 2 on US-31 East were for the purpose of social-recreation, while less than 2 out of 10 trips were for the purpose of work.

The largest terminal trip movements by purpose were 514 work trips to $Z$ one 13 and 454 work trips and 427 shopping trips to Zone 7.

1. TOTAL TRAFFIC THROUGH STATION 5, 5, 172 VEHICLES

| THROUGH | TERMINAL |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2,396 | 2,776 |
| 46.3 | 53.7 |

VEHICLES
Percent of Total

| AUTO |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Single Unit }}{}$ |  |  |
|  | 577 |  | Trailer Combination |
| 4,443 | 11.2 | 152 |  |
| 85.9 |  | 2.9 | Percent of Total |

A. THROUGH TRAFFIC: 2,396 VEHICLES

| AUTO |  | TRUCK |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single Unit | Trailer Combination |  |
| 2,118 | 232 | 46 |  |
| 88.4 | 9.7 | 1.9 | Percent of Total |

B. TERMINAL TRAFFIC: 2,776 VEHICLES

| AUTO | TRUCK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single Unit | Trailer Combination |  |
| 2,325 | 345 | 106 |  |
| 83.8 | 12.4 | 3.8 | Percent of Total |

C. THROUGH TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE OF 2,396 VEHICLES

| STATION | AUTOS | TRUCKS |  | TOTAL VEHICLES | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 668 | 68 | 14 | 750 | 31.3 |
| 2 | 1,210 | 119 | 25 | 1,354 | 56.5 |
| 3 | 35 | 6 | 4 | 45 | 1.9 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 6 | 203 | 39 | 3 | 245 | 10.2 |
|  | 2,118 | 232 | 46 | 2,396 | 100.0 |

D. TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION OF 2,776 VEHICLES

| ZONE | AUTOS | SINGLE UNIT | TRUCKS <br> TRAILER COMBINATION | TOTAL VEHICLES | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 882 | 117 | 27 | 1,026 | 37.0 |
| 8 | 241 | 31 | 6 | 278 | 10.0 |
| 9 | 66 | 34 | 30 | 130 | 4.6 |
| 10 | 301 | 34 | 10 | 345 | 12.5 |
| 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 12 | 17 | 2 | 3 | 22 | 0.8 |
| 13 | 764 | 116 | 30 | 910 | 32.8 |
| 14 | 54 | 9 | 0 | 63 | 2.2 |
| TOTAL | 2,325 | 345 | 106 | 2,776 | 100.0 |

2. TOTAL TRAFFIC THROUGH STATION 5 BY TRIP PURPOSE SOCIAL-

| WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | RECREATION |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,665 | 290 | 706 | 2,511 | Vehicles | 5,172 |
| 32.2 | 5.6 | 13.7 | 48.5 | Percent | 100.0 |

A. THROUGH TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE BY TRIP PURPOSE

SOCIAL-

| STATION | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | RECREATION | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 220 | 21 | 19 | 490 | 750 |
| 2 | 213 | 21 | 6 | 1,114 | 1,354 |
| 3 | 14 |  |  | 31 | 45 |
| 4 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 6 | 88 | 8 | 5 | 144 | 245 |
| TOTAL | 537 | 50 | 30 | 1,779 | 2,396 |
| CENT OF |  |  |  |  |  |
| total | 22.4 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 74.2 | 100.0 |

B. TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION BY TRIP RURPOSE

| ZONE | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | SOCIAL. <br> RECREATION | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 373 | 77 | 415 | 161 | 1,026 |
| 8 | 109 | 19 | 28 | 122 | 278 |
| 9 | 102 |  | 3 | 25 | 130 |
| 10 | 166 | 27 | 6 | 146 | 345 |
| 11 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 12 | 12 |  |  | 10 | 22 |
| 13 | 326 | 110 | 220 | 245 | 910 |
| 14 | 38 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 63 |
| TOTAL | 1,128 | 240 | 676 | 732 | 2,776 |
| CENT OF |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 40.6 | 8.6 | 24.4 | 26.4 | 100.0 |

## STATION 6

(US-31 WEST)
THROUGH AND TERMINAL TRAFFIC

## BY VEHICLE TYPE

## BY TRIP PURPOSE

A total of 6,027 vehicles passed through Station 6 on US 31 West of Petoskey. Tabulations on the following pages give a detailed analysis of this traffic.

The through and terminal traffic passing this station is nearly equally divided. More than 8 out of every 10 vehicles were autos, whether they traveled into or through the area.

Less than 2 out of every 10 vehicles were single unit trucks. Less than 2 out of every 100 vehicles were trailer combination trucks.

The largest through traffic movement at this station is the 2,016 (70.3\%) vehicles interchanging with Station 2 on US-31 East. This same movement accounted for $57.5 \%$ of the through movement at Station 2. Of these 2,016 vehicles, approximately 1 of 11 is a truck of which 3 out of 4 are single unit trucks.

The heaviest terminal traffic movement ( 2,083 vehicles or $65.9 \%$ ) were to or from zone 7 and zone 13. Out of this 2,083 vehicles, 1,699 of them were autos.

Of the trips passing through the station, approximately 5 out of 10 trips were made for the purpose of social-recreation and 4 out of 10 trips were made for the purpose of work. More than 7 out of 10 through trips were for the purpose of social-recreation.

More than 8 out of 10 trips interchanging with Station 2 on US-31 East were for the purpose of social-recreation, while less than 2 out of 10 trips were for the purpose of work.

The largest terminal trip movements by purpose were 514 work trips to $Z$ one 13 and 454 work trips and 427 shopping trips to Zone 7.

1. TOTAL TRAFFIC THROUGH STATION 6, 6,027 VEHICLES

| $\frac{\text { THROUGH }}{2,868}$ | TERMINAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3,159 | VEHICLES |
| Percent of Total |  |  |


| AUTO | TRUCK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single Unit | Trailer Combination |  |
| 5,153 | 793 | 81 |  |
| 85.5 | 13.2 | 1.3 | Percent of Total |

A. THROUGH TRAFFIC: 2,868 VEHICLES

| AUTO |  | TRUCK |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single Unit | Trailer Combination |  |
| 2,572 | 240 | 56 |  |
| 89.7 | 8.4 | 1.9 | Percent of Total |

B. TERMINAL TRAFFIC: 3,159 VEHICLES

| AUTO |  | TRUCK |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single Unit | Trailer Combination |  |
| 2,581 | 553 | 25 |  |
| 81.7 | 17.5 | 0.8 | Percent of Total |

C. THROUGH TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE OF 2,868 VEHICLES

| STATION | AUTOS | SINGLE UNIT | TRUCKS <br> TRAILER COMBINATION | TOTAL VEHICLES | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 486 | 45 | 8 | 539 | 18.8 |
| 2 | 1,832 | 140 | 44 | 2,016 | 70.3 |
| 3 | 29 | 13 | 0 | 42 | 1.4 |
| 4 | 22 | 3 | 1 | 26 | 0.9 |
| 5 | 203 | 39 | 3 | 245 | 8.6 |
| TOTAL | 2,572 | 240 | 56 | 2,868 | 100.0 |

D. TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION OF 3,159 VEHICLES

| ZONE | AUTOS | SINGLE UNIT | TRUCKS <br> TRAILER COMBINATION | TOTAL VEHICLES | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 997 | 143 | 0 | 1,140 | 36.1 |
| 8 | 357 | 50 | 0 | 407 | 12.9 |
| 9 | 62 | 35 | 12 | 109 | 3.4 |
| 10 | 385 | 49 | 3 | 437 | 13.9 |
| 11 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 0.4 |
| 12 | 18 | 27 | 2 | 47 | 1.5 |
| 13 | 702 | 235 | 6 | 943 | 29.8 |
| 14 | 52 | 10 | 2 | 64 | 2.0 |
| TOTAL | 2,581 | 553 | 25 | 3,159 | 100.0 |

2. TOTAL TRAFFIC THROUGH STATION 6 BY TRIP PURPOSE SOCIAL-

| WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | RECREATION |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,329 | 337 | 646 | 2,715 | Vehicles | 6,027 |
| 38.7 | 5.6 | 10.7 | 45.0 | Percent | 100.0 |

A. THROUGH TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE BY TRIP PURPOSE

SOCIAL

| STATION | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | RECREATION | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 187 | 12 | 12 | 328 | 539 |
| 2 | 349 | 28 | 9 | 1,630 | 2,016 |
| 3 | 37 | 1 |  | 4 | 42 |
| 4 | 22 | 1 |  | 3 | 26 |
| 5 | 88 | 8 | 5 | 144 | 245 |
| TOTAL | 683 | 50 | 26 | 2,109 | 2,868 |

PERCENT OF
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { TOTAL } & 23.8\end{array}$
1.8
0.9
73.5
100.0
B. TERMINAL TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION BY TRIP PURPOSE

| ZONE | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | SOCIALRECREATION | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 454 | 90 | 427 | 169 | 1,140 |
| 8 | 218 | 22 | 44 | 123 | 407 |
| 9 | 93 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 109 |
| 10 | 272 | 39 | 21 | 105 | 437 |
| 11 | 10 |  |  | 2 | 12 |
| 12 | 43 | 2 | 2 |  | 47 |
| 13 | 514 | 128 | 117 | 184 | 943 |
| 14 | 42 | 4 | 2 | 16 | 64 |
| TOTAL | 1,646 | 287 | 620 | 606 | 3,159 |
| PERCENT OF |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 52.1 | 9.1 | 19.6 | 19.2 | 100.0 |

The preceding part of this report dealt with the traffic inside the Petoskey O-D Survey Area. This part of the report will deal with the origin and/or destination trip end outside of the Study Area. A through trip is counted twice. It is counted at both its entering and departing stations. This fact will not change the number of external terminals.

Diagram on page 46 shows the total number of external terminals of trips entering, departing or passing tnrougn the Petoskey O-D Survey Area. A total of $17,534(73.1 \%)$ trips had an origin and/or destination in the county win which the study was done (Emmet County) or the surrounding two counties (Charlevoix County and Cheboygan County). A total of 6,447 (26.9\%) trips had an origin and'or destination in the surrounding areas outside of Emmet, Charlevoix, and Cheboygan Counties.

The following desire line diagrams show all external terminals by county for the State of Michigan and by States for terminals outside of the State of Michigan:

| Desire Line Diagram | Station 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Desire Line Diagram | Station 2 |
| Desire Line Diagram | Station 3 |
| Desire Line Diagram | Station 4 |
| Desire Line Diagram | Station 5 |
| Desire Line Diagram | Station 6 |

The following desire line diagrams show only the external terminals in Emmet, Charlevoix, and Cheboygan Counties:

* Desire Line Diagram Station 1
* Desire Line Diagram Station 2
* Desire Line Diagram Station 3
* Desire Line Diagram Station 4
* Desire Line Diagram Station 5
* Desire Line Diagram Station 6
*NOTE: These Desire Line Diagrams show a breakdown of the trip terminals at the points of interest in these three counties.

Of the 4,662 vehicles which passed through Station 1, 4, 219 (90.5\%) trips had origins and/or destinations in Emmet, Charlevoix and Cheboygan Counties.

Of the 7,022 vehicles which passed through Station 2, 4,714 (67.2\%) trips had origins and/or destinations in Emmet, Charlevoix and Cheboygan Counties.

Of the 818 vehicles which passed through Station 3, 787 (96.3\%) trips had origins and/or destinations in Emmet, Charlevoix and Cheboygan Counties.

Of the 409 vehicles which passed through Station 4, 381 (93.2\%) trips had origins and/or destinations in Emmet, Charlevoix and Cheboygan Counties.

Of the 4,715 vehicles which passed through Station $5,3,077(65.3 \%)$ trips had origins and/or destinations in Emmet, Charlevoix and Cheboygan Counties.

Of the 6,355 vehicles which passed through Station 6, 4,356 (68.4\%) trips had origins and/or destinations in Emmet, Charlevoix and Cheboygan Counties.

The following table shows the external terminals of trips entering, departing or passing through each station for all stations:

External terminals of trips entering, departing or passing through the Petoskey O-D Survey Area on an average July weekday in 1967.

| Station | Emmet | Charlevoix | Cheboygan | North | East \& South | West \& South | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 3,880 | 216 | 123 | 49 | 216 | 178 | 4,662 |
| Percent | 83.2 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 100.0 |
| 2 | 2,689 | 357 | 1,668 | 742 | 574 | 992 | 7,022 |
| Percent | 38.3 | 5.1 | 23.8 | 10.5 | 8.2 | 14.1 | 100.0 |
| 3 | 636 | 36 | 115 | 4 | 11 | 16 | 818 |
| Percent | 77.8 | 4.4 | 14.1 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 100.0 |
| 4 | 239 | 134 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 409 |
| Percent | 58.4 | 32.8 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 100.0 |
| 5 | 507 | 2,291 | 279 | 236 | 730 | 672 | 4,715 |
| Percent | 10.8 | 48.6 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 15.4 | 14.3 | 100.0 |
| 6 | 1,458 | 2,277 | 621 | 404 | 403 | 1,192 | 6,355 |
| Percent | 22.9 | 35.8 | 9.7 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 18.8 | 100.0 |
| TOTAL | 9,409 | 5,311 | 2,814 | 1,435 | 1,948 | 3,064 | 23,981 |
| PER! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CENT | 39.2 | 22.2 | 11.7 | 6.0 | 8.1 | 12.8 | 100.0 |









# EXTERNAL TERMINALS IN EMMET, CHARLEVOIX AND CHEBOYGAN COUNTIES WHICH PASSED THROUGH STATION 1 (M-131 NORTH) ORIGIN-DESTINATION SURVEY <br> PETOSKEY, MICHIGAN <br> AVERAGE JULY WEEKDAY, 1967 



EXTERNAL TERMINALS IN EMMET, CHARLEVOIX AND CHEBOYGAN COUNTIES WHICH PASSED THROUGH STATION 2 (US-31 EAST) ORIGIN-DESTINATION SURVEY PETOSKEY, MICHIGAN AVERAGE JULY WEEKDAY, 1967


# EXTERNAL TERMINALS IN EMMET, CHARLEVOIX AND CHEBOYGAN COUNTIES WHICH PASSED THROUGH STATION 3 (MITCHELL ROAD EAST) <br> ORIGIN.DESTINATION SURVEY PETOSKEY, MICHIGAN AVERAGE JULY WEEKDAY, 1967 



EXTERNAL TERMINALS IN EMMET, CHARLEVOIX AND CHEBOYGAN COUNTIES WHICH PASSED THROUGH STATION 4(RIVER ROAD SOUTH) ORIGIN-DESTINATION SURVEY

## PETOSKEY, MICHIGAN

AVERAGE JULY WEEKDAY, 1967


EXTERNAL TERMINALS IN EMMET, CHARLEVOIX AND CHEBOYGAN COUNTIES WHICH PASSED THROUGH STATION 5 (US-131 SOUTH) ORIGIN-DESTINATION SURVEY
PETOSKEY, MICHIGAN
AVERAGE JULY WEEKDAY, 1967


EXTERNAL TERMINALS IN EMMET, CHARLEVOIX AND CHEBOYGAN

## PETOSKEY, MICHIGAN

AVERAGE JULY WEEKDAY, 1967


## APPENDIX A

## INTERVIEW FORM

## EXTERNAL TRIP REPORT O-D 4



# APPENDIX B 

TRIP TABLES

## petoskey

METROPOLITAN AREA TRAFFIC STUDY
TABLE S-1
TOTAL TRIPS BY PASSENGER GAR, TRUCK AND TAXI DRIVERS FOR A 24 MHUR WEEKDAY IN JULY 1967
DESTINATIUNS

METROPOLITAN AREA TRAFFIC STUDY
TABLE S-1
TOTAL TRIPS BY PASSENGER CAR, TRUGK AND TAXI DRIVERS FOR A 24OHOUR WEEKDAY IN JULY 1yGT
dESTINATIONS
ORIGIN
1 1
1 2
13
14
1
4
2
4
7
422
84
8446029

| ORIGIN | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4 | 11 | 283 | 12 |
| 2 | 4 | 17 | 422 | 5 |
| 3 | 7 | 2 | 84 | 9 |
| 4 | 2 | 18 | 40 | 3 |
| 5 |  | 8 | 460 | 29 |

            SUB=TOT
            229
                1 9
                            7 2
                            1731
                            87
    7
8
O
10
1 1
1 2
13
1 4
SUB=TIT
FIN=TUT
1731

## METROPOLITASKEY AREA TRAFFIC STUDY <br> TABLE Sol

TOTAL TRIPS BY PASSENGER CAR, TRUCK AND TAXI DRIVERS FOR A 24 WHOUR WEEKDAY IN JULY 1967

PFTOSKEY
METROPDLITAN AREA TRAFFIC STUDY
TABLE S=2

TETAL TRIPS BY COMBINATION TRUCK DRIVERS FOR A $24-H O U R$ WEEKDAY IN JULY 1967
DESTINATIONS


```
            FF.TOSKEY
            METROPOLITAN AREA TRAFFIC STUDY
            TABLE S-2
            TOTAL TRIPS AY COMBINATION TRUCK DRIVERS FOR A 24=HOUR WEFKDAY IN JULY 9967
                                    DESTINATIUNS
```

            ORIGIN
                    11
                    12
                            13
                                    14
                                    1
    2
2
3
4
$3 \quad 21$
2
6
$S U B=T O T$
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
$S U B=T O T$
FIN=TUT
METROPDLITAN AREA TRAFFIC STUDY
TABLE $\mathrm{s}=2$
TOTAL TRIPS BY COMBINATION TRUCK ORIVERS FOR A $24-H O U R$ WEEKDAY IN JULY 1967

|  | $S U B=T O T$ | SUB=TOT | $F I N=T D T$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11 | 3 | 14 |
| 2 | 38 | 20 | 58 |
| 3 | $?$ |  | 2 |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 28 | 65 | 93 |
| 6 | 23 | 10 | 33 |
| SUB=TOT | 102 | 99 | 201 |
| 7 | 10 |  | 10 |
| 8 | 6 |  | 6 |
| 9 | 38 |  | 38 |
| 10 | 3 |  | 3 |
| 11 |  |  |  |
| 12 | 2 |  | 2 |
| 13 | ? 4 |  | 24 |
| 14 |  |  |  |
| SUB=TOT | 83 |  | Q 3 |
| FIN-TOT | 185 | 99 | 284 |

## PETUSKEY <br> METROPOLITAN AREA TRAFFIC STUDY

## TABLE $S * 3$

TOTAL TRIPS BY SINGLE UNIT TRUCK DRIVERS FOR A 24 WHOUR WEEKDAY IN JULY 1967 *
DESTINATIONS

|  | ORIGIN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  | 7 |  |  | 24 | 23 | 79 | 33 | 12 | 32 |
|  | 2 | 5 |  | 1 | 1 | 67 | 56 | 81 | 24 | 25 | 38 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 8 | 18 |  | 9 | 13 |
|  | 4 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 44 | 52 | 5 |  |  | 26 | 53 | 11 | 13 | 14 |
| - | 6 | 22 | 84 | 5 | 2 | 13 |  | 70 | 29 | 13 | 28 |
|  | SUB $\times 15$ | 73 | 149 | 11 | 3 | 105 | 114 | 307 | 97 | 72 | 125 |
|  | 7 | 65 | 73 | 13 |  | 64 | 73 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | 17 | 25 | 4. | 2 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 | 34 | 31 |  | 2 | 21 | 22 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | 18 | 21 | 7 | 2 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | 5 | 4 |  | 7 | 2 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13 | 24 | 45 | 14 | 9 | 67 | 113 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14 | 5 |  | 2 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $S U B=T U T$ | 168 | 199 | 42 | 24 | 202 | 276 |  |  |  |  |
|  | FIN-TUT | 241 | 348 | 53 | 27 | 307 | 390 | 307 | 97 | 72 | 125 |



TUTAL IRIPS BY SINGLE-UNIT TRUCK DRIVERS FOR A 24 HOUR WEEKDAY IN JULY 1967


## PETOSKEY

METROPOLITAN AREA TRAFFIC STUDY
TABLE S-4
TOTAL TRIPS BY PASSENGER CAR AND TAXI DRIVERS FOR A 24-HOUR WEEKDAY IN JULY 1967
DESTINATIONS

|  | ORIGIN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  | 45 | 10 | 5 | 244 | 266 | 580 | 399 | 37 | 258 |
|  | 2 | 47 |  | 7 | 3 | 608 | 824 | 574 | 220 | 49 | 186 |
|  | 3 | 5 |  |  |  | 16 | 19 | 120 | 17 | 12 | 56 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 5 |  |  | 1 | 14 | 38 | 22 | 10 | 20 |
|  | 5 | 424 | 602 | 19 | 1 |  | 141 | 412 | 102 | 21 | 145 |
|  | 6 | 220 | 1008 | 10 | 8 | 62 |  | 515 | 177 | 32 | 202 |
| $\geq$ | SUB-TOTAL | 701 | 1660 | 46 | 17 | 931 | 1264 | 2239 | 937 | 161 | 867 |
|  | 7 | 460 | 577 | 40 | 47 | 470 | 482 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | 308 | 299 | 16 | 11 | 139 | 180 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 | 41 | 38 | 8 | 19 | 45 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | 215 | 154 | 100 | 25 | 156 | 183 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | 14 | 18 | 6 | 17 | 12 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13 | 307 | 391 | 92 | 30 | 374 | 388 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14 | 20 | 24 | 13 | 2 | 28 | 29 |  |  |  |  |
|  | SUB-TOTAL | 1368 | 1501 | 275 | 151 | 1224 | 1311 |  |  |  |  |
|  | FINAL TOTAL | 2069 | 3161 | 321 | 168 | 2155 | 2575 | 2239 | 937 | 161 | 867 |

PETOSKEY
METROPOLITAN AREA TRAFFIC STUDY

TABLE S-4

TOTAL TRIPS BY PASSENGER CAR AND TAXI DRIVERS FOR A $24-H O U R$ WEEKDAY IN JULY 1967

DESTINATIONS


METROPOLITAN AREA TRAFFIC STUDY


## PETOSKEY <br> Single zone trip table by destination purpose

ORIGIN ZONE 3

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEST | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | SOCIAL-RECREATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { TRIPS } \end{aligned}$ |
| ZONE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 24 | 1 |  | 30 | 55 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 |  | 5 | 10 |
| 4 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 5 |
| 5 | 86 | 5 | 2 | 178 | 271 |
| 6 | 94 | 5 | 6 | 189 | 294 |
| 7 | 281 | 65 | 261 | 52 | 659 |
| 8 | 121 | 50 | 136 | 125 | 432 |
| 9 | 25 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 49 |
| 10 | 167 | 7 | 38 | 81 | 293 |
| 11 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 4 |
| 12 | 9 |  |  | 2 | 11 |
| 13 | 1113 | 28 | 89 | 55 | 283 |
| 14 | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 12 |
| total | 933 | 171 | 545 | 729 | 2378 |

## PETOSKEY <br> Single zone trip table by destination purpose

| ORIGIN ZONE 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WORK |  |  |  |
| DEST |  |  |  |  |
| ZONE |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |

PETOSKEY
Single zone trip table by destination purpose


PETOSKEY
SINGLE ZONE TRIP TABLE BY DESTINATION PURPOSE


PETOSKEY
Single zone trip table by iestination purpose

| ORIGIN ZONE 5 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEST | WORK |  |  |  |
| ZONE |  |  |  |  |
|  | BUSINESS |  |  |  |
| THOPPING |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |

## PETOSKEY <br> Single zone trip table by destination purpose



## petoskey <br> single zone trip tadie by destination purpose

| ORIGIN ZONE 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEST | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | SOCIAL-RECREATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { TRIPS } \end{aligned}$ |
| zone |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 168 | 50 | 196 | 115 | 529 |
| 2 | 264 | 1.10 | 198 | 78 | 650 |
| 3 | 37 |  | 7 | 9 | 53 |
| 4 | 30 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 47 |
| 5 | 194 | 47 | 204 | 95 | 540 |
| 6 | 196 | 57 | 181 | 121 | 555 |
| total | 889 | 268 | 792 | 425 | 2374 |

## PETOSKEY <br> SINGLE ZONE TRIP TABLE BY DESTINATION PURPOSE

| ORIGIN ZONE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEST } \\ & \text { ZONE } \end{aligned}$ | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | SOCIAL-RECREATION | TOTAL TRIPS |
| 1 | 81 | 47 | 89 | 108 | 325 |
| 2 | 100 | 52 | 66 | 1.06 | 324 |
| 3 | 1.4 |  |  | 6 | 20 |
| 4 | 7 | 2 |  | 4 | 13 |
| 5 | 54 | 17 | 16 | 78 | 1.65 |
| 6 | 89 | 20 | 25 | 67 | 201 |
| TOTAL | 345 | 138 | 196 | 369 | 1048 |

## PETOSKEY <br> single zone trip table by destination purpose

|  | ORIGIN ZONE 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEST } \\ & \text { ZONE } \end{aligned}$ | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | SOCIAL-RECREATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { TRIPS } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 1 | 54 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 77 |
|  | 2 | 65 |  | 6 | 4 | 75 |
|  | 3 | 6 |  | 2 |  | 8 |
|  | 4 | 10 |  | 9 | 2 | 21 |
|  | 5 | 69 |  | 2 | 15 | 86 |
|  | 6 | 60 | 2 |  |  | 62 |
|  | total | 264 | 9 | 26 | 30 | 329 |

```
                    PETOSKEY
SINGLE ZONE TRIP TABLE BY DESTINATION PURPOSE
```

| ORIGIN ZONE | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | SOCIAL-RECREATION | TOTAL TRIPS |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEST } \\ & \text { ZONE } \end{aligned}$ | WORK | BUSINESS | SHOPPING | Social-recreation |  |
| 1 | 108 | 49 | 15 | 61 | 233 |
| 2 | 106 | 8 | 12 | 49 | 175 |
| 3 | 74 |  | 2 | 31 | 107 |
| 4 | 9 |  | 6 | 12 | 27 |
| 5. | 81 | 23 | 2 | 70 | 176 |
| 6 | 103 | 28 | 5 | 71 | 207 |
| TOTAL | 481 | 108 | 42 | 294 | 925 |

## PETOSKEY <br> single zone trip table by destination purpose



## PETOSKEY <br> Single zone trip table by destination purpose



## PETOSKEY

SINGLE ZONE TRIP TABLE BY DESTINATION PURPOSE


Single zone trip table by destination purpose


PETOSKEY
SINGLE ZONE TRIP TABLE BY DESTINATION PURPOSE

| ORIGIN ZONE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEST |  |  |  |
| ZONE | WORK |  |  |
| TOTAL | 7269 | 1517 | 3246 |

