# Michigan Distribution Values for the Highway Safety Manual

CIMDOTT

1<sup>st</sup> Edition Spring 2012

# What are Highway Safety Manual (HSM) Distribution Values?

### Background:

 Distribution values that appear in chapters 10, 11 and 12 of the HSM, were developed from many different data sets from across the United States. The data used was from 2002-2006 typically but can vary by a few years. To be more applicable to Michigan, distribution values were developed to enhance the usefulness of the HSM in explaining predicted crashes. In this first edition for Michigan 2005-2010 data was used to develop these distribution values.

### Reason:

 Distribution values reflect typical characteristics of each general site type for both rural and urban locations. After computing the predicted number of crashes using SPFs, CMFs and calibration, the distribution will provide a typical crosssection of crash types and injury types for a particular site-type.

### **Understanding of Numbers:**

Tables are all shown as proportions / percent of the respective site type.
 Michigan values in some cases are substantially different than the HSM due to certain ecological differences which account for a substantial proportion of the crashes.

### What is Available:

- Currently chapters 10 & 11 are complete and chapter 12 is expected later this year. The following tables are available:
- Chapter 10
  - 10.3 Michigan Distribution for Crash Severity Level on Rural Two-Lane, Two-Way Roadway Segments
  - 10.4 Michigan Distribution by Collision Type for Specific Crash Severity Levels on Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Road Segments
  - 10.5 Michigan Distribution for Crash Severity Level at Rural Two-Lane, Two-Way Intersections
  - 10.6 Michigan Distribution for Collision Type and Manner of Collision at Rural Two-Way Intersections
  - o 10.12 Michigan Nighttime Crash Proportions for Unlighted Roadway Segments
  - o 10.15 Michigan Nighttime Crash Proportions for Unlighted Intersections

### • Chapter 11

- 11.4 Michigan Distribution of Crashes by Collision Type and Crash Severity Level for Undivided Roadway Segments
- 11.6 Michigan Distribution of Crashes by Collision Type and Crash Severity Level for Divided Roadway Segments
- 11.9 Michigan Distribution of Crashes by Collision Type and Crash Severity Level for Divided Roadway Segments
- 11.15 Michigan Nighttime Crash Proportions for Unlighted Roadway Segments
   4-Lane Undivided
- 11.19 Michigan Nighttime Crash Proportions for Unlighted Roadway Segments
   4-Lane Divided
- o 11.24 Michigan Nighttime Crash Proportions for Unlighted Intersections

# **CHAPTER 10: RURAL TWO-LANE**

**TABLE 10.3** Michigan Distribution for Crash Severity Level on Rural Two-Lane, Two-Way Roadway Segments

Crash Severity Level	Percentage of Total Roadway Segment Crashes 2005-2009
Fatal	0.5
Incapacitating Injury	1.8
Nonincapacitating Injury	3.3
Possible Injury	5.3
Total fatal plus injury	10.9
Property damage only	89.1
Total	100.0

**TABLE 10.4** Michigan Distribution by Collision Type for Specific Crash Severity Levels on Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Road Segments

data 2005-2009	Percentage of Total	Roadway Segment Crashes by Cra	ash Severity Level
Collision Type	Total Fatal and Injury Property Damage Only Total		
SINGLE-VEHICLE CRASHES			
Collision with Animal	11.5	74.8	67.7
Collision with Bicycle	0.7	0.0	0.0
Collision with Pedestrian	1.3	0.0	0.2
Overturned	15.5	2.5	4.0
Ran off Road	26.7	11.2	12.9
Other Single Vehicle Crash	2.9	1.3	1.5
Total Single Vehicle Crashes	58.7	89.9	86.5
MULTIPLE-VEHICLE CRASHES			
Angle Collision	6.1	1.2	1.7
Head-On Collision	9.0	0.3	1.3
Read-End Collision	16.4	4.2	5.5
Sideswipe Collision	5.7	2.4	2.8
Other Multiple-Vehicle Collision	4.2	2.0	2.3
Total Multiple-Vehicle Crashes	41.3	10.1	13.6
TOTAL CRASHES	100.0	100.0	100.0

 Table 10.5
 Michigan Distribution for Crash Severity Level at Rural Two-Lane, Two-Way Intersections

data 2005-2009	Percentage of Total Crashes					
	Three-Leg	Four-Leg	Four-Leg			
Crash Severity Level	<b>Stop Controlled Intersections</b>	Stop Controlled Intersections	Signalized Intersections			
Fatal	0.5	0.7	0.2			
Incapacitating Injury	2.8	4.0	2.5			
Nonincapacitating Injury	5.5	6.8	5.7			
Possible Injury	9.8	12.0	15.1			
Total fatal plus injury	18.6	23.5	23.5			
Property damage only	81.4	76.5	76.5			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0			

**Table 10.6** Michigan Distribution for Collision Type and Manner of Collision at Rural Two-Way Intersections

data 2005-2009			Percentage of Total Crashes by Collision Type						
	Three-Leg	g Stop-Co ersection		_	Stop-Cor ersection			Leg Signal ersection	
Collision Type	Fatal & Injury	PDO	Total	Fatal & Injury	PDO	Total	Fatal & Injury	PDO	Total
SINGLE-VEHICLE CRASHES	шјигу	FDO	Total	ilijuiy	FDO	Total	ilijui y	FDO	Total
Collision with Animal	3.9	39.4	32.8	1.5	28.8	22.3	0.2	4.4	3.4
Collision with Bicycle	1	0.1	0.3	1.2	0.1	0.4	1.9	0.3	0.6
Collision with Pedestrian	1.5	0	0.3	1.5	0	0.4	4.7	0.1	1.2
Overturned	9.6	2.6	3.9	3.1	1.4	1.8	0.3	0.3	0.3
Ran off Road	18.8	17.7	17.9	8.5	11.8	11	3.7	5	4.7
Other Single Vehicle Crash	2.9	2.5	2.6	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.1	0.6	0.7
Total Single Vehicle Crashes	37.7	62.3	57.8	17.3	43.8	37.5	11.9	10.7	10.9
MULTIPLE-VEHICLE CRASHES									
Angle Collision	16.7	7.7	9.4	41.6	18.1	23.8	33.0	21.2	24.0
Head-On Collision	8.9	1.5	2.9	9.0	2.6	4.1	14.6	6.1	8.1
Read-End Collision	26.6	15.7	17.7	20.7	17.4	18.1	31.9	37.5	36.3
Sideswipe Collision	4.5	6.9	6.4	4.7	8.3	7.4	2.7	11.5	9.4
Other Multiple-Vehicle Collision	5.6	5.9	5.8	6.7	9.8	9.1	5.9	13.0	11.3
Total Multiple-Vehicle Crashes	62.3	37.7	42.2	82.7	56.2	62.5	88.1	89.3	89.1
TOTAL CRASHES	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

 Table 10.12
 Michigan Nighttime Crash Proportions for Unlighted Roadway Segments

data 2005-2009	Proportion of Total Nighttime Cra	shes by Severity Level	Proportion of Crashes that Occur at Night
Roadway Type	Fatal and Injury p inr	PDO p pnr	p <sub>nr</sub>
2U	0.270	0.650	0.463

2U = 2-Lane Undivided Roadway

**Table 10.15** Michigan Nighttime Crash Proportions for Unlighted Intersections

data 2005-2009	Proportion of Crashes that Occur at Night
Intersection Type	P ni
3ST	0.248
4ST	0.208
4SG	0.188

3ST = 3-Leg Stop Controlled Intersection

4ST = 4-Leg Stop Controlled Intersection

4SG = 4-Leg Signalized Intersection

# **CHAPTER 11: RURAL MULTILANE**

**Table 11.4** Michigan Distribution of Crashes by Collision Type and Crash Severity Level for Undivided Roadway Segments

data 2004-2009	Proportio	Proportion of Crashes by Collision Type and Crash Severity Level			
Collision Type		Severi	ty Level		
	Total	Fatal and Injury	Fatal and Injury*	PDO	
Head-On	0.045	0.108	0.138	0.022	
Sideswipe	0.155	0.062	0.061	0.189	
Rear-end	0.205	0.266	0.188	0.184	
Angle	0.149	0.180	0.184	0.138	
Single	0.321	0.242	0.299	0.349	
Other	0.125	0.143	0.130	0.118	

<sup>\*</sup>Using the KABCO scale, these include only KAB crashes.

**Table 11.6** Michigan Distribution of Crashes by Collision Type and Crash Severity Level for Divided Roadway Segments

data 2004-2009	Proportio	n of Crashes by Collisi	on Type and Crash Seve	erity Level
		Severi	ty Level	
Collision Type	Total	Fatal and Injury	Fatal and Injury*	PDO
Head-On	0.009	0.018	0.033	0.006
Sideswipe	0.120	0.059	0.055	0.139
Rear-end	0.136	0.195	0.143	0.118
Angle	0.046	0.086	0.143	0.034
Single	0.626	0.605	0.604	0.633
Other	0.063	0.036	0.022	0.072

<sup>\*</sup>Using the KABCO scale, these include only KAB crashes.

**Table 11.9** Michigan Distribution of Crashes by Collision Type and Crash Severity Level for Divided Roadway Segments

data 2004-2009			Propo	ortion of Cras	hes by Severity	Level		
	3-Leg Int	tersection	s with Min	or Stop	4-Leg In	tersection	s with Min	or Stop
Collision Type	Total	Fatal & Injury	Fatal & Injury*	PDO	Total	Fatal & Injury	Fatal & Injury*	PDO
Head-On	0.050	0.103	0.146	0.028	0.056		0.120	0.038
Sideswipe	0.096	0.049	0.051	0.115	0.099	0.049	0.034	0.124
Rear-end	0.293	0.299	0.198	0.291	0.238	0.216	0.149	0.248
Angle	0.161	0.194	0.206	0.147	0.320	0.426	0.466	0.268
Single	0.307	0.266	0.300	0.324	0.180	0.124	0.128	0.207
Other	0.093	0.089	0.099	0.095	0.107	0.091	0.103	0.115
	3-Leg	Signalize	d Intersect	ions	4-Leg	g Signalize	d Intersecti	ions
Collision Type	Total	Fatal & Injury	Fatal & Injury*	PDO	Total	Fatal & Injury	Fatal & Injury*	PDO
Head-On	_				0.087	0.146	0.204	0.067
Sideswipe	_				0.101	0.029	0.018	0.125
Rear-end	_				0.380	0.318	0.159	0.403
Angle	_	_	_	_	0.250	0.333	0.404	0.222
Single					0.058	0.049	0.065	0.060
Other					0.124	0.125	0.150	0.123

Table 11.15 Michigan Nighttime Crash Proportions for Unlighted Roadway Segments

data 2005-2009	Proportion of Total Nighttime Cra	shes by Severity Level	Proportion of Crashes that Occur at Night
Roadway Type	Fatal and Injury p inr	PDO p pnr	p <sub>nr</sub>
4U	0.190	0.534	0.290

4U = 4-Lane Undivided Segment

Table 11.19 Michigan Nighttime Crash Proportions for Unlighted Roadway Segments

data 2005-2009	Proportion of Total Nighttime Crash	nes by Severity Level	Proportion of Crashes that Occur at Night
Roadway Type	Fatal and Injury <i>p</i> <sub>inr</sub>	PDO p pnr	p <sub>nr</sub>
4D	0.232	0.718	0.533

4D = 4-Lane Divided Segment

 Table 11.24
 Michigan Nighttime Crash Proportions for Unlighted Intersections

Intersection Type	Proportion of Crashes that Occur at Night, $p_{ni}$
3ST	0.148
4ST	0.106

3ST = 3-Leg Stop Controlled Intersection

4ST = 4-Leg Stop Controlled Intersection