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## INTRODUCTION:

In the summer of 1996, the Michigan Legislature passed a bill which permitted the Governor to raise the speed limit on selected sections of rural Michigan freeways from 65 miles per hour to 70 miles per hour. This legislation also required that a study be conducted to determine the effects of the increase in the speed limits on safety and capacity. Subsequently the Michigan Department of Transportation requested that the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering at Michigan State University undertake this study.

MDOT determined which freeway segments were to be included in the evaluation. Their selection was based on the orientation of the freeways (North-South vs. East-West), function (intercity vs. recreational), level of service and sufficiency rating. Freeway segments which had their speed limits raised to 70 mph are referred to as the Test Sites. Freeway segments which were also evaluated but did not have their speed limits raised are referred to as the Control Sites. Also included in the study are two urban freeways and three rural highways (non-freeways). These latter locations were used to determine if there is a "spill-over" effect on the speeds on other routes in proximity to the test sites.

The objective of the study is to determine if the increase in the speed limit had an impact on speeds and safety. An understanding of the relationship of the change in speeds (if any) as a function of the level of congestion is also desired.

## SPEEDS:

## Data Collection:

Speed data were collected from data generated by MDOT's permanent traffic recorders and by MSU's portable traffic recorders. The vast majority of the data were provided by MDOT. The MDOT data was converted into a format suitable for visual and statistical inspection via a spread sheet.

The speed data were collected for time periods before and after the speed limits were changed from 65 to 70 mph on August 1, 1996. The resulting database consists of the recorded speeds of more than forty two million vehicles; of which, more than six million vehicles were recorded during the before period. Data from seventeen days in July were use to represent the before period and data from the months of August, September, and October constitute the after period.

The MDOT permanent traffic recorders were reprogrammed to provide speed data in a format suitable for the analytic requirements of this project. These recorders place the observed speeds into one of thirty bins. The range of speeds for each bin are: 0.0 to 55.0 for $\operatorname{Bin} 1,55.1$ to 56.0
for Bin 2, similar 1 mph steps for the next 27 bins with Bin 30 reserved for speeds in excess of 83 mph.

Occasionally the MDOT permanent traffic recorders produce bad data. Only those days with valid data for all 24 hours were used in the analyses.

## Historical Speed Trend:



Figure 1: Annual Rural Freeway Speeds

Figure 1 is an illustration of the annual $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds submitted by MDOT to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) from 1985 to 1993. These data are not available after 1993 because the submittal was not required by the FHWA. The national 55 mph speed limit was in effect for all highways for the years of 1985 through 1987. A national 65 mph speed was allowed for rural interstate routes and subsequently all other rural freeways for the years 1988 through 1993. Both the $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds increased by approximately 3 mph shortly after the speed limit was raised from 55 to 65 . From 1990 through 1993 the $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds stabilized and eventually decreased by 1 mph in 1993.

A direct comparison of these federally reported $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds can not be made with the $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds contained in this report. There are numerous segments of freeway that were included in the previous reports that are not in this study, and the stratification of the data into speed bins was different in those years.

## Before and After Results:

The average $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds were calculated for nine segments of highways where the speed limits have not changed. Of the nine control sites, 5 have 55 mph speed limits and the other 4 have 65 mph speed limits. These results are provided in Table 1. The before period results were generated from the recorded speeds of 3.5 million vehicles and the after period from 21.5 million vehicles. There is no indication that any of the control sites had a meaningful change in the observed speeds. An examination of the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds of the nine control sites reveal that; 3 experienced a small increase, 3 had no change, and the other 3 had a small decrease. There was no spill over effect of increased speeds for those sites located in proximity to freeways which had an increase in the speed limit from 65 to 70 mph .

Table 1: Control Sites Before and After - $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speeds

|  |  | Speed Limit |  | Volume |  | 50th Percentile Speeds |  |  | 85th Percentile Speeds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Change | Before | After | Change |
| Urban Freeway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-75 | Detroit | 55 | 55 | 589,280 | 4,472,082 | 63.9 | 63.6 | -0.3 | 71.4 | 71.2 | -0.2 |
| I-96 | Grand Rapids | 55 | 55 | 1,936,720 | 8.826.265 | 59.7 | 60.0 | 0.3 | 66.8 | 66.5 | -0.3 |
| Intercity Freeway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-96 | Fowlerville | 65 | 65 | 475,618 | [3,449,061] | 70.0 | 70.4 | 0.4 | 75.6 | 76.0 | 0.4 |
| Recreational Freeway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US-31 | Pentwater | 65 | 65 | 118,898 | 677,745 | 68.7 | 68.5 | -0.2 | 73.8 | 73.6 | -0.2 |
| US-27 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Houghton } \\ \text { Lake } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 65 | 65 | 104,544 | 935,912 | 69.0 | 69.1 | 0.1 | 73.9 | 74.2 | 0.3 |
| US-131 | Wyoming | 65 | 65 | 149,044 | 2,255,927 | 64.2 | 64.2 | 0.0 | 70.1 | 70.1 | 0.0 |
| Rural Highway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M-60 | Homer | 55 | 55 | 53,875 | 302;427 | 57.5 | 57.5 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 0.0 |
| US-2 | Brevort | 55 | 55 | 96,138 | 433,427 | 60.1 | 60.7 | 0.6 | 65.0 | 65.5 | 0.5 |
| US-28 | Raco | 55 | 55 | 29,778 | 187,190 | 60.0 | 60.8 | 0.8 | 64.9 | 64.9 | 0.0 |

The results of the analysis of the $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds of the rural freeways which constitute the test sites are provided in Table 2. The before period had 2.6 million vehicles and the after period had 14.5 million vehicles. The test sites had and increase in $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds. The intercity routes had a 1.2 mph increase in the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile and a 0.5 mph increase in the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds. The recreational routes also had an increase. The recreational routes' $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds increased by 1.1 mph . and the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds increased by 0.7 mph .

The speeds for both the intercity and recreational routes in the test sites are greater than the speeds for the intercity and recreational routes in the control sites. MDOT intentionally chose locations with the best geometry and higher design speed as subjects for the experimental increase in the speed limit. This selection process has resulted in the test sites having higher initial speeds than those of the control sites.

Table 2: Test Sites Before and After $-50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speeds


## Day versus Night Speeds:

Four sites were selected as a typical subset of the database for the following more in-depth analyses. The $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds for daytime versus nighttime conditions were initially investigated. A 6 hour time frame from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. was used for daytime conditions, and the 6 hour time period from 10:00 p.m. to 4:00 a.m. was used for nighttime conditions. Table 3 contains the results of this investigation.

The intercity routes had nighttime speeds slower than the daytime speeds. During the before period the nighttime $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds were 1.6 mph slower and the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds 1.5 mph slower than daytime speeds. The after period was similar with the $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile nighttime speeds being 1.9 and 1.4 mph , respectively, slower than those during the daytime.

The recreational routes appear to be different. During the before period, the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile nighttime speed was 0.2 mph faster and the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed was 0.9 mph faster. During the after period the nighttime $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds were 0.1 mph slower and 0.9 mph faster than the daytime speeds, respectively.

While there is an inconsistent difference between daytime and nighttime speeds, there is no evidence that these differences were affected by the change in the speed limit.

Table 3: Daytime versus Nighttime Speeds

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & \text { Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Volume |  | 20 $50^{\text {LT }}$ Percentile Speeds |  |  | $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speeds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Day | Night | Day | Night | Difference | Day | Night | Difference |
| Before - Intercity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-96-Cascades | 65 | 152,164 | 45,857 | 69.6 | 67.8 | -1.8 | 74.7 | 73.4 | -1.3 |
| 1-96-Fowlerville | 65 | 167,574 | 37,634 | 69.3 | 67.9 | -1.4 | 75.1 | 73.4 | -1.7 |
| After - Intercity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-96-Cascades | 70 | 860,555 | 187,694 | 70.7 | 68.7 | -2.0 | 75.5 | 74.5 | -1.0 |
| I-96-Fowlerville | 65 | 1,210,098 | 268,648 | 70.1 | 68.2 | -1.9 | 75.6 | 73.9 | -1.7 |
| Before - Recreational |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-75-St. Ignace | 65 | 62,010 | 7,738 | 69.9 | 70.3 | 0.4 | 76.0 | 77.7 | 1.7 |
| US-31- Pentwater | 65 | 49,510 | 7,165 | 68.2 | 68.1 | -0.1 | 73.3 | 73.3 | 0.0 |
| After - Recreational |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-75-St. Ignace | 70 | 121,165 | 15,232 | 70.3 | 70.8 | 0.5 | 76.6 | 78.0 | 1.4 |
| US-31-Pentwater | 65 | 283,060 | 39,943 | 68.2 | 67.9 | -0.3 | 73.4 | 73.3 | -0.1 |

## Weekend Versus Weekday Speeds:

The same subset of the database was used to investigate the difference in speeds (if any ) between weekdays and weekends. The $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed for intercity routes was 0.5 mph faster on weekends during the before period and 0.7 mph faster during the after period. This difference is even smaller for the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed for the intercity routes was 0.3 mph faster for weekends during the before period and 0.2 mph faster for the after period.

The recreational routes had the same difference. The weekday $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds are slower than the weekend $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds by approximately 0.5 and 0.2 mph , respectively. There is no evidence that the change in the speed limit had any impact of the difference between weekend and weekday speeds.

Table 4: Weekend verses Weekday Speeds

|  | Speed <br> Limit | Volume |  | $50^{\text {ar }}$ Percentile Speeds |  |  | $85^{\text {d }}$ Percentile Speeds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weekend | Weekday | $\begin{gathered} \text { Week- } \\ \text { end } \end{gathered}$ | Weekday | Difference | Weekend | Weekday | Difference |
| Before - Intercity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-96-Cascades | 65 | 67,243 | 361,697 | 70.6 | 69.9 | -0.7 | 75.5 | 74.9 | -0.6 |
| 1-96-Fowlerville | 65 | 76,347 | 399,271 | 70.3 | 70.0 | -0.3 | 75.6 | 75.6 | 0.0 |
| After - Intercity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-96-Cascades | 70 | 652,958 | 1,459,710 | 71.4 | 70.4 | -1.0 | 76.0 | 75.4 | -0.6 |
| I-96-Fowlerville | 65 | 1,064,731 | 2,384,330 | 70.6 | 70.3 | -0.3 | 75.9 | 75.9 | 0.0 |
| Before - Recreational |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-75-St. Ignace | 65 | 24,098 | 111,305 | 70.5 | 70.1 | -0.4 | 76.4 | 76.5 | 0.1 |
| US-31 - Pentwater | 65 | 28,109 | 90,789 | 69.7 | 68.4 | -1.3 | 74.6 | 73.5 | -1.1 |
| After-Recreational |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-75-St. Ignace | 70 | 132,280 | 157,928 | 70.5 | 69.8 | -0.7 | 76.8 | 76.4 | -0.4 |
| US-31 - Pentwater | 65 | 229,556 | 448,189 | 68.7 | 68.3 | -0.4 | 73.6 | 73.6 | 0.0 |

## Speeds by Lane and Type of Vehicle:

The subset of the database was examined for the $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds with respect to lane and length of vehicle. The outside lane is referred to as the shoulder lane and the inside (or high speed ) lane is referred to as the median lane. In addition to recording the speeds of the vehicles, their lengths were also recorded. Vehicle lengths were segregated into three categories. Vehicle Type 1 are all yehicles less than or equal to 25 feet long. Vehicle Type 3 are all vehicles greater than 54 feet long. Vehicle Type 2 are all others.

Table 5 and Table 6 contain the results of this analysis for an intercity test site and an intercity control site, respectively. The Type 3 large trucks were driven much slower than the smaller Typel passenger cars for both of the locations. The large trucks are being driven approximately 8 mph slower than passenger cars. This difference is similar for the shoulder lane and the median lane. There is a consistent difference in the speeds as a function of the lane. The shoulder lane had $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds which were approximately 3 to 4 mph slower than the median lane. These differences are evident during the before and after periods for both the test and control sites.

There is no evidence that the change in the speed limit had an impact upon the speeds of the various types of vehicles for the intercity routes.

Table 5: I-96 at Cascades - Intercity Test Site

|  | Speed Limit |  | Volume |  | $50^{\text {tri }}$ Percentile Speeds |  |  | $85^{\text {thi }}$ Percentile Speed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Change | Before\| | After | Change |
| Vehicle Type 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoulder Lane | 65 | 70 | 268,573 | 1,380,524 | 69.0 | 69.1 | 0.1 | 73.7 | 73.7 | 0.0 |
| Median Lane | 65 | 70 | 174,098 | 885,338 | 72.7 | 72.7 | 0.0 | 77.0 | 76.8 | -0.2 |
| Vehicle Type 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoulder Lane | 65 | 70 | 32,685 | 141,705 | 62.0 | 61.2 | -0.8 | 66.3 | 66.0 | -0.3 |
| Median Lane | 65 | 70 | 3000 | 9885 | 66.9 | 66.4 | -0.5 | 71.8 | 71.7 | -0.1 |
| Vehicle Type 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoulder Lane | 65 | 70 | 13,954 | 48,849 | 62.2 | 61.1 | -1.1 | 66.2 | 65.4 | -0.8 |
| Median Lane | 65 | 70 | 732 | 1638 | 65.4 | 64.0 | -1.4 | 69.8 | 68.9 | -0.9 |

Table 6: I-96 at Fowlerville - Intercity Control Site

|  | Speed Limit |  | Volume |  | $50^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speeds |  |  | $85{ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Change | Before | After | Change |
| Vehicle Type 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoulder Lane | 65 | 65 | 251,663 | 1,741,512 | 67.7 | 67.7 | 0.0 | 72.5 | 72.6 | 0.1 |
| Median Lane | 65 | 65 | 210,126 | 1,506,481 | 72.0 | 72.2 | 0.2 | 76.7 | 76.8 | 0.1 |
| Vehicle Type 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoulder Lane | 65 | 65 | 18,561 | 105,888 | 60.1 | 60.0 | -0.1 | 65.7 | 65.8 | 0.1 |
| Median Lane | 65 | 65 | 2194 | 13,490 | 66.1 | 66.5 | 0.4 | 71.9 | 72.2 | 0.3 |
| Vehicle Type 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoulder Lane | 65 | 65 | 11,216 | 65,909. | 60.3 | 59.9 | -0.4 | 64.6 | 64.5 | -0.1 |
| Median Lane | 65 | 65 | 715 | 4545 | 63.7 | 62.9 | -0.8 | 69.4 | 68.7 | -0.7 |

Table 7 and Table 8 show the results of the analyses of the $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds for two recreational routes. The first table (Table 7) is a test site and the second (Table 8) is a control site. The results of the recreational control site are very similar to that of both intercity sites.

Table 7 contains some unexpected values. The difference in the $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds between the shoulder lane and the median lane is very small. However there is a major difference between the speeds of the large trucks (Type 3 ) and all other vehicles. For example during the before period, the $50^{\text {dh }}$ percentile speed of the large trucks was 77 mph (shoulder lane) while the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed of the passenger cars was 68.8 mph . The large trucks were traveling 8.2 mph faster than the passenger vehicles in the shoulder lane during the before period. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds of the large trucks were over 80 mph for both the before and after periods. It is important to note that the difference in the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed was only 3.6 mph in the shoulder lane in the before period and 0.7 mph during the after period. The difference in the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds between large trucks and passenger cars was also reduced during the after period. A preliminary examination of the data on large trucks was conducted for the month of August. The data set was examined by hour of the day. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds of the large trucks do not appear to vary greatly over the 24 hours of the day. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile values for the median lane are somewhat misleading, because the average truck volume was 3.3 trucks per hour.

Table 7: I-75 at St. Ignace - Recreational Test Site


Table 8: US-31 at Pentwater - Recreational Control Site

| \% Speed Limit |  |  | Volume |  | $50^{\text {at }}$ Percentile Speeds |  |  | $85^{\text {Lu }}$ Percentile Speed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Change | Before | After | Change |
| Vehicle Type 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoulder Lane | 65 | 65 | 94,084 | 513,068 | 67.7 | 67.6 | -0.1 | 72.4 | 72.3 | -0.1 |
| Median Lane | 65 | 65 | 19,925 | 90,163 | 71.3 | 71.0 | -0.3 | 76.0 | 75.8 | -0.2 |
| Vehicle Type 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoulder Lane | 65 | 65 | 8066 | 43,317 | 62.6 | 62.5 | -0.1 | 67.8 | 67.8 | 0.0 |
| Median Lane | 65 | 65 | 518 | 2570 | 67.5 | 67.4 | -0.1 | 71.2 | 71.3 | 0.1 |
| Vehicle Type 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoulder Lane | 65 | 65 | 6675 | 36,664 | 61.3 | 61.1 | -0.2 | 65.3 | 65.1 | -0.2 |
| Median Lane | 65 | 65 | 195 | 921 | 63.5 | 64.1 | 0.6 | 66.4 | 67.4 | 1.0 |

## Pace Speed:

The pace speed is a 10 mph speed band in which the highest percentage of vehicles is found. It is widely accepted that the posted speed limit should reside in this band. It is also widely accepted that it is desirable to have the highest number of drivers as possible within the pace.

There was no impact on the pace speed as a result of the change in the speed limit. Similarly the percentage of vehicles found in the pace had a very small change with one site demonstrating a small increase and three sites demonstrating a small decrease.

Table 9: Pace Speed

| Site | Speed <br> Limit | Total <br> Volume | Pace <br> Speed | Volume in <br> Pace | \% Volume <br> in Pace |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before- Intercity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-96 Cascades | 65 | 497,154 | $66.1-76.0$ | 317,397 | $64.8 \%$ |  |
| I-96 Fowlerville | 65 | 510,197 | $67.1-77.0$ | 297,106 | $58.2 \%$ |  |
| After - Intercity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-96 Cascades | 70 | $2,494,087$ | $66.1-76.0$ | $1,579,649$ | $63.3 \%$ |  |
| I-96 Fowlerville | 65 | $3,549,588$ | $67.1-77.0$ | $2,116,776$ | $59.6 \%$ |  |
| Before - Recreational |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-75 St. Ignace | 65 | 147,511 | $66.1-76.0$ | 84,587 | $57.0 \%$ |  |
| US-31 Pentwater | 65 | 130,831 | $64.1-74.0$ | 86,511 | $66.1 \%$ |  |
| After- Recreational |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-75 St. Ignace | 70 | 196,818 | $67.1-77.0$ | 110,659 | $56.2 \%$ |  |
| US-31 Pentwater | 65 | 688,561 | $64.1-74.0$ | 453,504 | $65.9 \%$ |  |

## Congestion:

The following charts are the result of an examination of speeds as a function of hourly volumes per lane. The charts illustrate the $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile hourly speeds as a function of congestion (hourly volumes). Figure 2 is an illustration of the before period on a recreational route. Figure 3 is an illustration of the after period for the same location. It is apparent that the level of congestion had little impact on the recorded speeds during both the before and after periods.

Test Site-Recreational-Before


Figure 2: Hourly Lane Volumes verses $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speeds Test Site - Recreational - Before

Test Site - Recreational - After


Figure 3: Hourly Lane Volumes verses $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speeds Test Site - Recreational - After

Test Site - Intercity - Before


Figure 4: Hourly lane Volumes verses $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speeds Test Site - Intercity - Before

Test Site - Intercity - After


Figure 5: ${ }^{\text {and }}$ aurly lane Volumes verses $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speeds Test Site - Intercity - After

## M-39 Before Period



Figure 6: Hourly lane Volumes verses $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speeds
Urban Freeway - Before

## M-39 After Period



Figure 7: Hourly lane Volumes verses $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speeds
Urban Freeway - After

The $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds for an intercity route for both the before and after periods are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5, respectively. The magnitude of the speeds appear to have little sensitivity to the hourly volumes.

It is difficult to draw conclusions from the previous exhibits because the hourly volumes are relatively low. These low lane volumes are not unexpected because the study sites are rural freeways. Figures 6 and 7 illustrate the relationship for much higher volumes with respect to the $50^{\text {ti }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds. These figures are for M-39 in Wayne County and show that the speeds do decrease slightly as the traffic volumes approach capacity.

## TRAFFIC CRASHES:

The traffic crash analysis included in this report must be considered preliminary because of the very limited data available at this time. The process of recording crash data at the site, collecting the crash reports from all enforcement agencies, converting the report to the standard computer readable format and processing the data, results in an unavoidable delay between the occurrence of a crash and the availability of the data. For this report, the only reliable data available for the post-70 mph period is for the month of August 1996.

## Data Collection:

The number of traffic crashes occurring in August 1993, 1994 and 1995 on the freeway segments designated as test and control sections were retrieved from the Michigan Department of Transportation data files. The average number of crashes per year, and the range of values for the three year period were used to estimate the number of crashes that would be expected in August 1996 if the speed limit had remained at 65 mph .

The number of crashes reported in 1996 were obtained from the Office of Highway Safety Planning of the Michigan Department of State Police. Since these crashes have not been assigned to a specific MALI mile point , the analysis was conducted on a route and county basis (i.e., I-75 in Mackinac County). Table 10 lists the routes and counties included in the analysis. The designation of recreational and intercity routes used in the speed analysis was maintained.

Table 10: Test Locations Used in the Crash Analysis

| Designation | Route Number | County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercity | I-69 | Branch <br> Calhoun <br> Eaton <br> Clinton | Shiawassee <br> Lapeer <br> St. Clair |
| Intercity | I-96 | Ottawa Ionia | Clinton |
| Recreational | I-75 | Mackinac <br> Chippewa <br> Cheboygan <br> Otsego | Crawford <br> Roscommon <br> Ogemaw <br> Arenac |
| Recreational | US-131 | Montcalm Mecosta | Osceola |

## Test Site Results:

The number of crashes in the three years prior to raising the speed limit and the number of crashes reported in 1996 are shown in Table 11.

Table 11: Crashes on the Test Routes for August

| Designation | 1993-1995 |  | 1996 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average | Range |  |
| Intercity | 79 | $73-83$ | 80 |
| Recreational | 92 | $82-99$ | 119 |
| Total | 171 | $162-181$ | 199 |

For the Intercity routes, the number of crashes in 1996 was within the range of values experienced from 1993 to 1995 , and only $1.3 \%$ higher than the average of those three years.

For the Recreational routes, the number of crashes in 1996 was greater than any of the three previous years. Crashes in 1996 were $29.3 \%$ higher than the average for those years, and 20.2\% higher than the highest number of annual crashes in that three year period.

The total for all test routes was greater than any of the three previous years, and $16.4 \%$ higher than the average for those years. In 1996 there were $9.9 \%$ more crashes than the highest of the three previous years.

The interpretation of these results is limited by two factors:

1) The data represents only one month of crash data and thus the sample size is too small to instill confidence in the results. The increases noted in Table 11 could be partially or totally the result of random variation. In fact, this variability was observed among the test sites, where crashes increased on some segments and decreased on other segments.
2) The data is based on all crashes and not the subset of crashes related to speed. Speed is generally a contributing factor in crashes that result in injury or death. In these test sites there were three fatal crashes in 1995 and only one in 1996. The number of crashes resulting in injuries was also lower in 1996 (56) than in 1995 (60). This outcome is consistent with the results of the speed studies which showed only a minor increase in speed after the speed limit was increased to 70 mph .

## Control Site Results:

A group of freeway segments where the speed limit was not raised to 70 mph were analyzed to determine if the crash frequency in 1996 was different than that in the three previous years. Once again, only data from the month of August were used in these analyses. Table 12 lists the routes used in this study, stratified as recreational and intercity.

Table 12: Comparison Locations Used in the Crash Analysis

| Designation | Route Number | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercity | $\mathrm{I}-275$ | Monroe |
| Intercity | $\mathrm{I}-94$ | Jackson <br>  |
|  |  | Calhoun <br> Kalamazoo <br> Van Buren <br> Berrien |
| Recreational | US-131 | Allegan |
| Recreational | US-31 | Oceana |
| Recreational | US-27 | Clare <br>  |
|  |  | Roscommon |
|  |  | Crawford |

The results of the comparison of the crashes in 1993 through 1995 with the crashes in 1996 for the comparison routes is shown in Table 13.

Table 13: Crashes on the Comparison Routes for August

| Designation | 1993-1995 |  | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average | Range |  |
| Intercity | 206 | $193-224$ | 134 |
| Recreational | 40 | $35-43$ | 47 |
| Total | 246 | $234-259$ | 181 |

For the comparison sections as a whole, the number of crashes in 1996 was $26.4 \%$ lower than the average of the three prior years, and $22.6 \%$ lower than the lowest year in this time period. This decrease was the result of a large decrease in the number of crashes on the intercity routes, where the reductions were $35.0 \%$ and $30.6 \%$ respectively.
For the recreational routes, the number of crashes in 1996 was $17.5 \%$ higher than the average over the preceding three years, and $9.3 \%$ higher than the highest number of annual crashes in this time.

As with the test site data, these results must be considered as preliminary.

## CONCLUSIONS:

- There is no indication that any of the control sites (which did not have a change in their speed limit) had a meaningful change in their recorded speeds.
- There was no "spill over" effect of increased speeds for those sites located in proximity to freeways which had an increase in their speed limit from 65 to 70 mph .
- Those freeways which had their speed limit increased from 65 to 70 mph , did have an increase in speeds. The increase in the $50^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed were approximately 1 mph and 0.5 mph respectively.
- For the most part, nighttime speeds were slower than daytime speeds by approximately 1.5 mph for intercity routes. Recreational routes had nighttime speeds slightly faster than daytime speeds. There is no evidence that the change in the speed limit affected the difference between day and nighttime speeds.
- The $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds for weekend traffic were approximately 0.5 faster than weekday traffic. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds for weekend traffic were approximately 0.2 mph higher than weekday traffic. There was no evidence that the change in the speed limit had an impact on weekend vs. weekday speeds.
- For the most part large truck speeds were approximately 8 mph slower than passenger cars. However one location had large truck $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds in excess of 80 mph , which were approximately 8 mph faster than those of passenger cars. The change in the speed limit did not increase the difference in speeds between small and large vehicles and may have resulted in a reduction in the difference.
- The pace speed was not affected by the change in the speed limit.
- Congestion had little impact on the recorded speeds during either the before or after periods.
- In the one month after period, the number of traffic crashes increased by 16.4 percent on road segment where the speed limit was raised. The results of the safety impact analyses are preliminary and inconclusive because of insufficient data.


## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

MSU graduate students, Sarah Nolf and Tamara Hein, had a major role in the completion of this project. MDOT provided much help, especially John Kanillopoolos, Jack Benac and David Schade. The Michigan Department of State Police and the Office of Highway Safety Planning, Lt. Dan Smith and Judy Snow, respectfully, were of great help. Dr. Zubair Ahmad helped analyze the crash data.

## APPENDIX

## Michigan State University 70 mph Speed Limit Study

## Total Volumes

## Control Sites

| Site | Location | July | August | Sept | October | Before | After |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urban Freeway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05spd | 1-96 | 589,280 | 1,400,723 | 1,529,464 | 1,541,895 | 589,280 | 4,472,082 |
| IRD | $1-75$ | 1,936,720 | 2,702,174 | 2,816,036 | 3,308,055 | 1,936,720 | 8,826,265 |
| Intercity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52spd | 1-96 | 475,618 | 1,210,563 | 1,208,956 | 1,029,542 | 475,618 | 3,449,061 |
| Recreational |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24spd | US-31 | 118,898 | 311,641 | 208,261 | 157,843 | 118,898 | 677,745 |
| 40spd | US-27 | 104,544 | 388,442 | 292,952 | 254,518 | 104,544 | 935,912 |
| 77spd | US-131 | 149,044 | 269,403 | 1,580,763 | 405,761 | 149,044 | 2,255,927 |
| Rural Highway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53spd | M-60 | 53,875 | 34,405 | 154,563 | 113,459 | 53,875 | 302,427 |
| 69spd | US-2 | 96,138 | 145,515 | 151,381 | 136,531 | 96,138 | 433,427 |
| 87spd | US-28 | 29,778 | 67,461 | 77,527 | 42,202 | 29,778 | 187,190 |

## Test Sites

| Site | Location | July | August | Sept | October | Before | After |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18spd | 1-96 | 428,940 | 542,114 | 844,864 | 968,681 | 428,940 | 2,355,659 |
| 19 spd | $1-69$ | 431,714 | 910,245 | 767,337 | 770,306 | 431,714 | 2,447,888 |
| 31spd | 1-94 | 54,378 | 194,932 | 611,468 | 547,747 | 54,378 | 1,354,147 |
| 43 spd | 1-69 | 204,253 | 232,958 | 386,805 | 353,608 | 204,253 | 973,371 |
| 74spd | 1-69 | 543,475 | 841,748 | 1,176,472 | 997,022 | 543,475 | 3,015,242 |
| Recreational |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26spd | $1-75$ | 313,398 | 633,777 | 349,861 | 416,298 | 313,398. | 1,399,936 |
| 70spd | $1-75$ | 135,403 | 106,738 | 131,349 | 53,631 | 135,403 | 291,718 |
| PAT-305 | US-131 | 210,643 | 318,318 | 499,106 | 496,105 | 210,643 | 1,313,529 |
| PAT-028 | $1-75$ | 284,448 | 600,621 | 411,443 | 375,563 | 284,448 | 1,387,627 |

# Michigan State University <br> 70 mph Speed Limit Study 

## 85th Percentile Speeds

Control Sites

| Site | Location | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Before | After | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urban Freeway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05spd | $1-96$ | 66.8 | 66.5 | 65.8 | 67.1 | 66.8 | 66.5 | -0.3 |
| IRD | 1-75 | 71.4 | 71.4 | 71.2 | 71.1 | 71.4 | 71.2 | -0.2 |
| Intercity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52spd | 1-96 | 75.6 | 75.9 | 75.6 | 76.6 | 75.6 | 76.0 | 0.4 |
| Recreational |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24spd | US-31 | 73.8 | 73.6 | 73.3 | 74.2 | 73.8 | 73.6 | -0.3 |
| 40spd | US-27 | 73.9 | 74.2 | 73.7 | 74.9 | 73.9 | 74.2 | 0.3 |
| 77 spd | US-131 | 70.1 | 70.4 | 69.8 | 70.8 | 70.1 | 70.1 | 0.0 |
| Rural Highway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53spd | M-60 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 62.4 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 0.0 |
| 69spd | US-2 | 65.0 | 65.0 | 65.2 | 66.5 | 65.0 | 65.5 | 0.5 |
| 87spd | US-28 | 64.9 | 65.2 | 64.9 | 64.3 | 64.9 | 64.9 | 0.0 |

## Test Sites

| Site | Location | July | Aug | Sep | Oct:: Before | After | Change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18spd | $1-96$ | 75.0 | 75.9 | 75.4 | 75.7 | 75.0 | 75.6 | 0.6 |  |
| 19spd | $1-69$ | 76.9 | 75.1 | 77.5 | 77.9 | 76.9 | 76.7 | -0.2 |  |
| 31spd | $1-94$ | 73.3 | 74.9 | 74.7 | 75.2 | 73.3 | 74.9 | 1.6 |  |
| 43spd | $1-69$ | 74.4 | 75.8 | 75.2 | 75.6 | 74.4 | 75.5 | 1.1 |  |
| 74 spd | $1-69$ | 76.5 | 77.0 | 76.8 | 77.0 | 76.5 | 76.9 | 0.4 |  |
| Recreational |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26spd | $1-75$ | 76.1 | 77.1 | 76.2 | 77.4 | 76.1 | 77.0 | 0.9 |  |
| 70spd | $1-75$ | 76.5 | 75.3 | 77.0 | 78.9 | 76.5 | 76.7 | 0.2 |  |
| PAT-305 | US-131 | 73.7 | 74.2 | 74.2 | 74.2 | 73.7 | 74.2 | 0.5 |  |
| PAT-028 | $1-75$ | 72.8 | 73.8 | 73.3 | 73.5 | 72.8 | 73.6 | 0.8 |  |

# Michigan State University 70 mph Speed Limit Study 

## 50th Percentile Speeds

## Control Sites

| Site | Location | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Before | After | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urban Freeway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05spd | 1-96 | 59.7 | 59.8 | 59.6 | 60.6 | 59.7 | 60.0 | 0.3 |
| IRD | 1-75 | 63.9 | 63.9 | 63.6 | 63.3 | 63.9 | 63.6 | -0.3 |
| Intercity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52spd | 1-96 | 70.0 | 70.5 | 70.2 | 70.6 | 70.0 | 70.4 | 0.4 |
| Recreational |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24spd | US-31 | 68.7 | 68.5 | 68.2 | 68.8 | 68.7 | 68.5 | -0.2 |
| 40spd | US-27 | 69.0 | 69.2 | 68.7 | 69.4 | 69.0 | 69.1 | 0.1 |
| 77spd | US-131 | 64.2 | 64.3 | 63.8 | 65.5 | 64.2 | 64.2 | 0.0 |
| Rural Highway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53spd | M-60 | 57.5 | 57.4 | 57.5 | 57.6 | 57.5 | 57.5 | 0.0 |
| 69 spd | US-2 | 60.1 | 60.2 | 60.4 | 61.4 | 60.1 | 60.7 | 0.6 |
| 87spd | US-28 | 60.0 | 60.4 | 60.2 | 62.4 | 60.0 | 60.8 | 0.8 |

Test Sites

| Site | Location | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Before | After | Change |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18spd | $1-96$ | 70.0 | 70.8 | 70.6 | 70.8 | 70.0 | 70.7 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 19spd | $1-69$ | 71.2 | 72.1 | 72.1 | 72.6 | 71.2 | 72.3 | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 31spd | $1-94$ | 65.4 | 68.5 | 68.3 | 68.6 | 65.4 | 68.4 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 43spd | $1-69$ | 68.5 | 70.1 | 69.4 | 69.8 | 68.5 | 69.7 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 74spd | $1-69$ | 70.1 | 71.1 | 70.9 | 71.2 | 70.1 | 71.1 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 26spd | 1.75 | 70.7 | 72.0 | 71.4 | 72.1 | 70.7 | 71.9 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 26ereational |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70spd | $1-75$ | 70.1 | 68.3 | 70.8 | 72.6 | 70.1 | 70.2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| PAT-305 | US-131 | 68.4 | 69.7 | 69.7 | 69.4 | 68.4 | 69.6 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| PAT-028 | $1-75$ | 67.0 | 68.5 | 67.7 | 68.1 | 67.0 | 68.2 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |

# Michigan State University 70 mph Speed Limit Study 

## Pace Speed

| Site | Location | Month | Speed Limit: | Total Volume | Pace Speed | Volume in Pace | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Volume } \\ \text { in Pace } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercity - Test Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18spd | 1-96 | July | 65 | 497,154 | 66.1-76.0 | 317,397 | 63.8\% |
| 18 spd | 1-96 | August | 70 | 635,615 | 67.1-77.0 | 410,600 | 64.6\% |
| 18spd | 1-96 | September | 70 | 861,292 | 66.1-76.0 | 549,489 | 63.8\% |
| 18spd | 1-96 | October | 70 | 997,180 | 66.1-76.0 | 626,128 | 62.8\% |
| Intercity - Control Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52spd | 1-96 | July | 65 | 510,197 | 67.1-77.0 | 297,106 | 58.2\% |
| 52spd | 1-96 | August | 65 | 1,163,067 | 67.1-77.0 | 692,372 | 59.5\% |
| 52spd | 1-96 | September | 65 | 1,256,452 | 66.1-76.0 | 758,196 | 60.3\% |
| 52 spd | 1-96 | October | 65 | 1,130,069 | 66.1-76.0 | 668,847 | 59.2\% |
| Recreational - Test Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70spd | $1-75$ | July | 65 | 147,511 | 66.1-76.0 | 84,587 | 57.3\% |
| 70spd | $1-75$ | August | 70 | 142,098 | 67.1-77.0 | 56,721 | 39.9\% ** |
| 70spd | $1-75$ | September | 70 | 139,137 | 66.1-76.0 | 76,820 | 55.2\% |
| 70spd | 1-75 | October | 70 | 57,681 | 69.1-79.0 | 33,839 | 58.7\% |
| Recreational-Control Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24spd | US-31 | July | 65 | 130;831 | 64.1-74.0 | 86,511 | 66.1\% |
| 24spd | US-31 | August | 65 | 311,641 | 64.1-74.0 | 206,113 | 66.1\% |
| 24spd | US-31 | September | 65 | 208,542 | 63.1-73.0 | 138,077 | 66.2\% |
| 24spd | US-31 | October | 65 | 168,378 | 63.1-73.0 | 110,162 | 65.4\% |

** Problems may exist with this data, therefore this month was not included in the calculation for pace speed.

## Michigan State University 70 mph Speed Limit Study

Weekend versus Weekday Speeds Before Time Period

| $\cdot$ | July |  | Volume |  | 50 th Percentile |  |  | 85th Percentile |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Location | Type - | Weekend | Weekday | Weekend | Weekday | Change | Weekend | Weekday | Change |
| 18spd | 1.96 | Intercity | 67,243 | 361,697 | 70.6 | 69.9 | -0.7 | 75.5 | 74.9 | -0.6 |
| 19spd | 1.69 | Intercity | 98,165 | 333,549 | 71.4 | 71.1 | -0.3 | 77.0 | 76.8 | -0.2 |
| 24spd | US-31 | Recreational | 28,109 | 90,789 | 69.7 | 68.4 | -1.3 | 74.6 | 73.5 | -1.1 |
| 26spd | 1-75 | Recreational | 107,438 | 205,960 | 71.0 | 70.6 | -0.4 | 76.3 | 76.1 | -0.2 |
| 31spd | 1-94 | Intercity | 54,378 | - | 65.4 | - | - | 73.3 | - | - |
| 40spd | US-27 | Recreational | 34,260 | 70,284 | 69.5 | 68.8 | -0.7 | 74.2 | 73.8 | -0.4 |
| 43spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 45,812 | 158,441 | 69.2 | 68.4 | -0.8 | 75.0 | 74.2 | -0.8 |
| 52spd | 1-96 | Intercity | 76,347 | 399,271 | 70.3 | 70.0 | -0.3 | 75.6 | 75.6 | 0.0 |
| 70spd | 1-75 | Recreational | 24,098 | 111,305 | 70.5 | 70.1 | -0.4 | 76.4 | 76.5 | 0.1 |
| 74 spd | $1-69$ | Intercity | 98,196 | 445,279 | 71.1 | 69.9 | -1.2 | 76.9 | 76.5 | -0.4 |
| 77spd | US-131 | Recreational | 149,044 | - | 64.2 | - | - | 70.1 | - | - |
| PAT-028 | 1-75 | Recreational | 87,442 | 197,006 | 67.2 | 66.9 | -0.3 | 72.9 | 72.8 | -0.1 |
| PAT-305 | US-131 | Recreational | 56,511 | 154,132 | 68.7 | 68.3 | -0.4 | 73.8 | 73.6 | -0.2 |

## Michigan State University 70 mph Speed Limit Study

## Weekend versus Weekday Speeds After Time Period

|  |  |  | Volume |  | 50th Percentile |  |  | 85th Percentile |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Location | Type | Weekday | Weekend | Weekday | Weekend | Change | Weekday | Weekend | Change |
| 18spd | 1-96 | Intercity | 652,958 | 1,459,720 | 71.4 | 70.4 | -1.0 | 76.0 | 75.4 | -0.6 |
| 19spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 675,792 | 1,256,274 | 72.7 | 72.0 | -0.7 | 78.0 | 77.5 | -0.5 |
| 24spd | US-31 | Recreational | 229,556 | 448,189 | 68.7 | 68.3 | -0.4 | 73.6 | 73.6 | 0.0 |
| 26spd | 1-75 | Recreational | 531,326 | 868,610 | 72.4 | 71.6 | -0.9 | 77.4 | 76.7 | -0.7 |
| 31spd | 1-94 | Intercity | 351,929 | 1,002,218 | 68.6 | 68.4 | -0.2 | 74.7 | 75.0 | 0.3 |
| 40spd | US-27 | Recreational | 354,347 | 581,565 | 69.3 | 68.9 | -0.4 | 74.3 | 74.1 | -0.2 |
| 43spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 291,148 | 682,223 | 70.5 | 69.3 | -1.2 | 75.9 | 75.3 | -0.6 |
| 52 spd | 1-96 | Intercity | 1,064,731 | 2,384,330 | 70.6 | 70.3 | -0.3 | 75.9 | 75.9 | 0.0 |
| 70spd | 1-75 | Recreational | 132,280 | 157,928 | 70.5 | 69.8 | -0.7 | 76.8 | 76.4 | -0.4 |
| 74spd | 1-69 | intercity | 883,760 | 1,877,546 | 71.1 | 71.0 | -0.1 | 76.8 | 76.9 | 0.1 |
| 77spd | US-131 | Recreationai | 496,612 | 1,759,315 | 65.1 | 64.0 | -1.1 | 70.6 | 69.9 | -0.7 |
| PAT-028 | 1-75 | Recreational | 475,645 | 911,982 | 68.7 | 67.9 | -0.8 | 73.8 | 73.4 | -0.4 |
| PAT-305 | US-131 | Recreational | 443,195 | 864,861 | 70.3 | 69.2 | -1.1 | 74.5 | 74.1 | -0.4 |

## Michigan State University 70mph Speed Limit Study

Weekend versus Weekday Speeds


# Michigan State University 70 mph Speed Limit Study 

Weekend versus Weekday Speeds

| September: |  |  | Volume |  | 50 th Percentile |  |  | 85th Percentile |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Location | Type | Weekend | Weekday | Weekend | Weekday | Change | Weekend | Weekday | Change |
| 18spd | 1-96 | Intercity | 249,832 | 352,051 | 71.1 | 70.0 | -1.1 | 75.6 | 74.9 | -0.7 |
| 19spd | $1-69$ | Intercity | 208,348 | 302,778 | 72.4 | 71.9 | -0.5 | 77.7 | 77.3 | -0.4 |
| 24spd | US-31 | Recreational | 75,614 | 132,647 | 68.7 | 68.0 | -0.7 | 73.4 | 73.2 | -0.2 |
| 26spd | $1-75$ | Recreational | 137,860 | 212,001 | 72.0 | 71.1 | -0.9 | 76.6 | 76.0 | -0.6 |
| 31spd | $1-94$ | Intercity | 176,696 | 434,772 | 68.2 | 68.3 | 0.1 | 74.3 | 74.9 | 0.6 |
| 40spd | US-27 | Recreational | 103,277 | 189,675 | 68.9 | 68.5 | -0.4 | 73.9 | 73.6 | -0.3 |
| 43spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 101,465 | 285,340 | 70.1 | 69.2 | -0.9 | 75.5 | 75.0 | -0.5 |
| 52spd | 1-96 | Intercity | 364,977 | 843,979 | 70.4 | 70.1 | -0.3 | 75.7 | 75.6 | -0.1 |
| 70 spd | 1-75 | Recreational | 47,588 | 83,761 | 71.3 | 70.5 | -0.8 | 77.2 | 76.9 | -0.3 |
| 74spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 309,666 | 866,806 | 70.8 | 70.9 | 0.1 | 76.5 | 76.9 | 0.4 |
| 77spd | US-131 | Recreational | 374,536 | 1,206,227 | 64.7 | 63.6 | -1.1 | 70.3 | 69.6 | -0.7 |
| PAT-028 | $1-75$ | Recreational | 138,505 | 272,938 | 68.2 | 67.5 | -0.7 | 73.5 | 73.1 | -0.4 |
| PAT-305 | US-131 | Recreational | 164,670 | 334,436 | 70.3 | 69.4 | -0.9 | 74.4 | 74.1 | -0.3 |

## Michigan State University 70 mph Speed Limit Study

Weekend versus Weekday Speeds

| October |  |  | Volume |  | 50 th Percentile |  |  | 85th Percentile |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Location | Type | Weekend | Weekday | Weekend | Weekday | Change | Weekend | Weekday | Change |
|  | 1-96 | Intercity | 256.104 | 712,577 | 71.7 | 70.5 | -1.2 | 76.3 | 75.5 | -0.8 |
| 19 spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 214,195 | 296500 | 73.5 | 72.2 | -1.3 | 78.3 | 77.6 | -0.7 |
| 24 spd | US-31 | Recreational | 44,510 | 113,333 | 68.7 | 68.6 | -0.1 | 73:4 | 74.1 | 0.7 |
| 26spd | 1-75 | Recreational | 145,994 | 270,304 | 73.1 | 71.6 | -1.5 | 78.4 | 76.9 | -1.5 |
| 31spd | 1-94 | Intercity | 133,480 | 414,267 | 68.9 | 68.5 | -0.4 | 75.1 | 75.2 | 0.1 |
| 40spd | US-27 | Recreational | 91,538 | 162,980 | 69.5 | 69.1 | -0.4 | 74.4 | 74.7 | 0.3 |
| 43spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 102,577 | 251,031 | 70.8 | 69.3 | -1.5 | 76.1 | 75.3 | -0.8 |
| 52spd | 1-96 | Intercity | 345,821 | 683,721 | 70.7 | 70.4 | -0.3 | 76.1 | 76.5 | 0.4 |
| 70spd | $1-75$ | Recreational | 18,377 | 33,744 | 72.6 | 71.6 | -1.0 | 78.2 | 77.8 | -0.4 |
| 74spd | $1-69$ | Intercity | 280,638 | 462,448 | 71.4 | 70.9 | -0.5 | 77.1 | 76.8 | -0.3 |
| 77spd | US-131 | Recreational | 70,883 | 334,878 | 67.6 | 65.1 | -2.5 | 72.4 | 70.5 | -1.9 |
| PAT-028 | 1-75 | Recreational | 127,465 | 248098 | 68.9 | 67.6 | -1.3 | 73.9 | 73.2 | -0.7 |
| PAT-305 | US-131 | Recreational | 158,168 | 337,937 | 70.6 | 68.8 | -1.8 | 74.6 | 74.0 | -0.6 |

## Michigan State University 70mph Speed Limit Study

## Day versus Night Speeds

Before Time Period

| Jufy mater |  |  | Volume |  | 50th Percentile |  |  | 85th Percentile |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Location | Type | Day | Night | Day | Night | Change | Day | Night | Change |
| 05spd | 1-96 | Urban Freeway | 209164 | 45857 | 59.6 | 58.0 | -1.6 | 66.8 | 64.6 | -2.2 |
| 18spd | 1-96 | Intercity | 152606 | 35965 | 69.6 | 67.8 | -1.8 | 74.7 | 73.4 | -1.3 |
| 19spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 148294 | 38790 | 70.8 | 69.3 | -1.5 | 76.4 | 75.4 | -1.0 |
| 24spd | US-31 | Recreational | 49510 | 7165 | 68.2 | 68.1 | -0.1 | 73.3 | 73.3 | 0.0 |
| 26spd | 1-75 | Recreational | 153354 | 18736 | 70.7 | 69.4 | -1.3 | 76.1 | 75.2 | -0.9 |
| 31 spd | 1-94 | Intercity | 17915 | 4671 | 64.7 | 64.2 | -0.5 | 72.6 | 71.4 | -1.2 |
| 40spd | US-27 | Recreational | 46455 | 6626 | 68.8 | 68.0 | -0.8 | 73.6 | 73.3 | -0.3 |
| 43 spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 77239 | 21520 | 68.3 | 67.5 | -0.8 | 74.0 | 73.4 | -0.6 |
| 52spd | 1-96 | Intercity | 167574 | 37634 | 69.3 | 67.9 | -1.4 | 75.1 | 73.4 | -1.7 |
| 53spd | M-60 | Rural Highway | 19981 | 4426 | 57.4 | 57.1 | -0.3 | 62.5 | 62.1 | -0.4 |
| 69spd | US-2 | Rural Highway | 48011 | 5435 | 59.7 | 60.1 | 0.4 | 64.6 | 65.0 | 0.4 |
| 70spd | 1-75 | Recreational | 62010 | 7738 | 69.9 | 70.3 | 0.4 | 76.0 | 77.7 | 1.7 |
| 74spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 182707 | 52760 | 69.7 | 69.6 | -0.1 | 76.3 | 76.0 | -0.3 |
| 77spd | US-131 | Recreational | 47877 | 12288 | 63.9 | 63.0 | -0.9 | 70.0 | 69.4 | -0.6 |
| 87spd | US-28 | Rural Highway | 13086 | 1910 | 59.6 | 59.7 | 0.1 | 64.5 | 65.4 | 0.9 |
| IRD | SB1-75 | Urban Freeway | 291301 | 94102 | 63.1 | 63.7 | 0.6 | 72.2 | 71.6 | -0.6 |
| IRD | NB 1-75 | Urban Freeway | 363330 | 135098 | 63.5 | 63.1 | -0.4 | 69.8 | 69.6 | -0.2 |
| PAT-028 | 1-75 | Recreational | 138438 | 16450 | 67.1 | 65.8 | -1.3 | 72.8 | 72.2 | -0.6 |
| PAT-305 | US-131 | Recreational | 79710 | 15279 | 68.0 | 66.7 | -1.4 | 73.5 | 72.6 | -0.8 |

## Michigan State University 70mph Speed Limit Study

## Day versus Night Speeds

 After Time Period|  |  |  | Volume |  | 50th Percentile |  |  | 85th Percentile |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Location | Type | Day | Night | Day | Night | Change | Day | Nght | Change |
| 05spd | $1-96$ | Urban Freeway | 1,724,398 | 355,013 | 60.3 | 58.4 | -1.9 | 66.9 | 64.6 | -2.3 |
| 18spd | 1-96 | Intercity | 860,555 | 187,694 | 70.7 | 68.7 | -2.0 | 75.5 | 74.5 | -1.0 |
| 19spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 820,782 | 209,031 | 72.2 | 70.4 | -1.8 | 77.6 | 76.3 | -1.3 |
| 24spd | US-31 | Recreational | 283,060 | 39,943 | 68.2 | 67.9 | -0.3 | 73.4 | 73.3 | -0.1 |
| 26spd | $1-75$ | Recreational | 659,049 | 87,236 | 71.9 | 70.7 | -1.2 | 77.0 | 76.4 | -0.6 |
| 31spd | 1-94 | Intercity | 486,107 | 109,715 | 68.1 | 66.7 | -1.4 | 74.7 | 73.1 | -1.6 |
| 40spd | US-27 | Recreational | 407,377 | 55,849 | 68.9 | 68.1 | -0.8 | 73.9 | 73.6 | -0.3 |
| 43spd | $1-69$ | Intercity | 383,650 | 92,224 | 69.8 | 67.2 | -2.6 | 75.3 | 74.2 | -1.1 |
| 52spd | $1-96$ | Intercity | 1,210,098 | 268,648 | 70.1 | 68.2 | -1.9 | 75.6 | 73.9 | -1.7 |
| 53spd | M-60 | Rural Highway | 123,600 | 24,849 | 57.7 | 57.3 | -0.4 | 62.7 | 62.2 | -0.5 |
| 69spd | US-2 | Rural Highway | 209,018 | 28,012 | 60.4 | 60.5 | 0.1 | 65.0 | 65.3 | 0.3 |
| 70spd | $1-75$ | Recreational | 121,165 | 15,232 | 70.3 | 70.8 | 0.5 | 76.6 | 78.0 | 1.4 |
| 74spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 1,019,769 | 274,148 | 71.3 | 70.0 | -1.3 | 77.2 | 73.3 | -3.9 |
| 77spd | US-131 | Recreational | 864,618 | 165,531 | 64.6 | 63.3 | -1.3 | 70.2 | 69.4 | -0.8 |
| 87spd | US-28 | Rural Highway | 77,299 | 11,397 | 60.7 | 60.9 | 0.2 | 64.7 | 65.5 | 0.8 |
| IRD | $1-75$ | Urban Freeway | 3,071,046 | 1,051,004 | 63.4 | 63.6 | 0.2 | 71.1 | 70.8 | -0.3 |
| PAT-028 | $1-75$ | Recreational | 657,549 | 87,233 | 68.3 | 67.4 | -0.9 | 73.6 | 73.4 | -0.2 |
| PAT-305 | US-131 | Recreational | 505,333 | 88,875 | 69.3 | 68.3 | -1.0 | 74.1 | 73.8 | -0.3 |

## Michigan State University 70 mph Speed Limit Study

## Day versus Night Speeds

| August |  |  | Volume |  | 50th Percentlie |  |  | 85th Percentile |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Location | Type | Day | Night | Day | Night | Change | Day | Night | Change |
| 05spd | 1-96 | Urban Freeway | 616710 | 121682 | 59.8 | 57.8 | -2.0 | 66.6 | 64.3 | -2.3 |
| 18spd | 1-96 | Intercity | 223383 | 47716 | 70.8 | 69.2 | -1.6 | 75.8 | 75.0 | -0.8 |
| 19spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 304634 | 79319 | 72.0 | 70.4 | -1.6 | 77.4 | 76.3 | -1.1 |
| 24spd | US-31 | Recreational | 128177 | 19008 | 68.2 | 68.0 | -0.2 | 73.4 | 73.4 | 0.0 |
| 26spd | 1-75 | Recreational | 299332 | 40436 | 72.0 | 71.0 | -1.0 | 77.0 | 76.6 | -0.4 |
| 31spd | 1.94 | Intercity | 68409 | 14880 | 68.1 | 65.5 | -2.6 | 74.7 | 71.8 | -2.9 |
| 40spd | US-27 | Recreational | 164680 | 22934 | 69.0 | 68.3 | -0.7 | 74.0 | 73.8 | -0.2 |
| 43 spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 106533 | 26407 | 70.1 | 67.8 | -2.3 | 75.6 | 74.8 | -0.8 |
| 52spd | 1-96 | Intercity | 418874 | 95809 | 70.2 | 68.3 | -1.9 | 75.6 | 73.9 | -1.7 |
| 53spd | M-60 | Rural Highway | 23039 | 4604 | 57.4 | 57.2 | -0.2 | 62.6 | 62.2 | -0.4 |
| 69spd | US-2 | Rural Highway | 67405 | 9183 | 60.0 | 60.2 | 0.2 | 64.7 | 65.2 | 0.5 |
| 70 spd | $1-75$ | Recreational | 40556 | 8685 | 68.6 | 70.4 | 1.8 | 75.5 | 77.8 | 2.3 |
| 74spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 282326 | 77402 | 71.3 | 69.8 | -1.5 | 77.1 | 76.1 | -1.0 |
| 77spd | US-131 | Recreational | 164581. | 36423 | 64.4 | 62.8 | -1.6 | 70.3 | 69.0 | -1.3 |
| 87spd | US-28 | Rural Highway | 26853 | 3782 | 60.2 | 60.3 | 0.1 | 64.8 | 65.6 | 0.8 |
| IRD | SB 1-75 | Urban Freeway | 395799 | 140886 | 62.7 | 63.6 | 0.9 | 71.9 | 71.7 | -0.2 |
| IRD | NB 1-75 | Urban Freeway | 528050 | 209243 | 64.1 | 63.3 | -0.8 | 70.4 | 69.9 | -0.5 |
| PAT-028 | $1-75$ | Recreational | 287033 | 38923 | 68.6 | 68.0 | -0.6 | 73.8 | 73.7 | -0.1 |
| PAT-305 | US-131 | Recreational | 121962 | 22301 | 69.6 | 68.8 | -0.8 | 74.2 | 74.0 | -0.2 |

## Michigan State University 70mph Speed Limit Study

## Day versus Night Speeds

| September |  |  | Volume |  | 50 th Percentile |  |  | 85th Percentile |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Location | Type | Day | Night | Day | Night | Change | Day | Night | Change |
| 05spd | 1-96 | Urban Freeway | 553516 | 115729 | 60.0 | 58.4 | -1.6 | 66.3 | 64.2 | -2.1 |
| 18spd | 1.96 | Intercity | 296474 | 67061 | 70.4 | 68.6 | -1.8 | 75.2 | 74.3 | -0.9 |
| 19spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 258850 | 65653 | 72.0 | 70.2 | -1.8 | 77.5 | 76.0 | -1.5 |
| 24spd | US-31 | Recreational | 88101 | 12111 | 68.0 | 67.5 | -0.5 | 73.1 | 72.7 | -0.4 |
| 26spd | 1-75 | Recreational | 164720 | 21352 | 71.6 | 70.2 | -1.4 | 76.3 | 75.7 | -0.6 |
| 31 spd | 1-94 | Intercity | 225361 | 48811 | 67.9 | 66.9 | -1.0 | 74.5 | 73.0 | -1.5 |
| 40 spd | US-27 | Recreational | 129952 | 17789 | 68.6 | 67.9 | -0.7 | 73.5 | 73.5 | 0.0 |
| 43spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 145293 | 34323 | 69.5 | 66.8 | -2.7 | 75.0 | 74.0 | -1.0 |
| 52spd | 1-96 | Intercity | 428229 | 93394 | 70.2 | 68.0 | -2.2 | 75.6 | 73.8 | -1.8 |
| 53spd | M-60 | Rural Highway | 58143 | 11405 | 57.6 | 57.3 | -0.3 | 62.6 | 62.3 | -0.3 |
| 69spd | US-2 | Rural Highway | 74581 | 9342 | 60.3 | 60.4 | 0.1 | 64.8 | 65.4. | 0.6 |
| 70spd | 1-75 | Recreational | 55327 | 4658 | 70.8 | 71.0 | 0.2 | 76.8 | 77.8 | 1.0 |
| 74spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 400105 | 106669 | 71.2 | 69.9 | -1.3 | 77.2 | 76.3 | -0.9 |
| 77spd | US-131 | Recreational | 564538 | 99919 | 64.3 | 62.9 | -1.4 | 70.0 | 69.1 | -0.9 |
| 87spd | US-28 | Rural Highway | 33105 | 4942 | 60.1 | 60.4 | 0.3 | 64.7 | 65.8 | 1.1 |
| IRD | SB 1-75 | Urban Freeway | 447217 | 159957 | 63.6 | 63.8 | 0.2 | 72.3 | 71.7 | -0.6 |
| IRD | NB1-75 | Urban Freeway | 544764 | 177270 | 63.6 | 63.2 | -0.4 | 70.2 | 69.8 | -0.4 |
| PAT-028 | 1-75 | Recreational | 195961 | 24517 | 67.8 | 66.6 | -1.2 | 73.3 | 72.9 | -0.4 |
| PAT-305 | US-131 | Recreational | 192827 | 33652 | 69.5 | 68.3 | -1.2 | 74.1 | 73.9 | -0.2 |

## Michigan State University 70 mph Speed Limit Study

## Day versus Night Speeds

| October |  |  | Volume |  | 50 th Percentile |  |  | 85th Percentile |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Location | Type | Day | Night | Day | Night | Change | Day | Night | Change |
| 05 spd | 1-96 | Urban Freeway | 554172 | 117602 | 61.3 | 59.2 | -2.1 | 67.8 | 65.3 | -2.5 |
| 18spd | 1-96 | Intercity | 340698 | 72917 | 70.8 | 68.6 | -2.2 | 75.7 | 74.4 | -1.3 |
| 19spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 257298 | 64059 | 72.6 | 70.6 | -2.0 | 78.0 | 76.6 | -1.4 |
| 24spd | US-31 | Recreational | 66782 | 8824 | 68.5 | 68.3 | -0.2 | 74.0 | 73.7 | -0.3 |
| 26spd | $1-75$ | Recreational | 194997 | 25448 | 72.3 | 70.6 | -1.7 | 77.6 | 76.6 | -1.0 |
| 31spd | 1-94 | Intercity | 192337 | 46024 | 68.3 | 67.0 | -1.3 | 75.0 | 73.6 | -1.4 |
| 40spd | US-27 | Recreational | 112745 | 15126 | 68.9 | 67.8 | -1.1 | 74.0 | 73.5 | -0.5 |
| 43 spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 131824 | 31494 | 69.9 | 67.0 | -2.9 | 75.5 | 74.0 | -1.5 |
| 52 spd | 1-96 | Intercity | 362995 | 79445 | 69.8 | 68.1 | -1.7 | 75.8 | 74.1 | -1.7 |
| 53 spd | M-60 | Rural Highway | 42418 | 8840 | 57.8 | 57.3 | -0.5 | 62.9 | 62.2 | -0.7 |
| 69spd | US-2 | Rural Highway | 67032 | 9487 | 60.9 | 60.7 | -0.2 | 65.6 | 65.4 | -0.2 |
| 70spd | 1-75 | Recreational | 25282 | 1889 | 72.1 | 72.6 | 0.5 | 78.0 | 79.4 | 1.4 |
| 74spd | 1-69 | Intercity | 337338 | 90077 | 71.4 | 70.2 | -1.2 | 77.4 | 76.4 | -1.0 |
| 77spd | US-131 | Recreational | 135499 | 29189 | 65.8 | 65.5 | -0.3 | 71.0 | 70.8 | -0.2 |
| 87spd | US-28 | Rural Highway | 17341 | 2673 | 62.5 | 62.6 | 0.1 | 64.4 | 64.7 | 0.3 |
| IRD | SB1-75 | Urban Freeway | 550912 | 166532 | 62.6 | 64.3 | 1.7 | 72.1 | 72.3 | 0.2 |
| IRD | NB 1-75 | Urban Freeway | 604304 | 197116 | 63.6 | 63.7 | 0.1 | 70.3 | 70.2 | -0.1 |
| PAT-028 | $1-75$ | Recreational | 174555 | 23793 | 68.2 | 67.3 | -0.9 | 73.6 | 73.4 | -0.2 |
| PAT-305 | US-131 | Recreational | 190544 | 32922 | 68.9 | 68.0 | -0.9 | 74.0 | 73.7 | -0.3 |

