LAST COPY DO NOT REMOVE FROM LIBRARY

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION M•DOT

CONSTRUCTION OF EUROPEAN CONCRETE PAVEMENT ON NORTHBOUND I-75 - DETROIT, MICHIGAN



MATERIALS and TECHNOLOGY
DIVISION

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION M•DOT

CONSTRUCTION OF EUROPEAN CONCRETE PAVEMENT ON NORTHBOUND I-75 - DETROIT, MICHIGAN

Julie A. Weinfurter David L. Smiley Roger D. Till

A Research Demonstration Project by the Michigan Department of Transportation in Cooperation With the Federal Highway Administration

Research and Technology Section Materials and Technology Division Research Project 92 B-105 Research Report No. R-1333

Michigan Transportation Commission Barton W. LaBelle, Chairman; Richard T. White, Vice-Chairman; Robert M. Andrews, Jack L. Gingrass John C. Kennedy, Irving J. Rubin Patrick M. Nowak, Director Lansing, September 1994

INTRODUCTION

This report describes the design and construction of the experimental pavement reconstruction project on I-75 (Chrysler Freeway) in downtown Detroit, between I-375 and I-94 (Edsel Ford Freeway). The experimental features were assimilated from European pavement designs and incorporated into the plans and specifications of Federal Project IM 75-1(420), Michigan Project IM 82251/30613A. The experimental rigid pavement section is approximately one mile long and is located on northbound I-75 between the Warren Avenue exit ramp northerly to Piquette Avenue. The location of the project is shown in Figure 1. A conventional Michigan rigid pavement design was used on the remaining portion of the northbound roadway as a control section. On July 7, 1993, the complete project, including the European pavement section on I-75, was awarded to:

Ajax Paving Industries
One Ajax Drive
P.O. Box 317
Madison Heights, Michigan 48071
(313) 398-2300

Construction began on the northbound roadway on July 8, 1993, and was opened to traffic on November 23, 1993. The entire project, including the reconstruction of southbound I-75, is scheduled for completion in November 1994. The European pavement was constructed for the purpose of comparing the European with American pavement designs to demonstrate the applicability of certain European concepts to the United States highway system.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The design and construction of the experimental pavement structures on northbound I-75 is similar to the procedures used in Germany and Austria. A typical cross-section of the European test section is shown in Figure 2. A 1.3 mile pavement section directly south of the experimental section is a typical rigid pavement cross-section used by the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT). The southbound roadway will also be constructed with MDOT's conventional procedures and materials for concrete pavement sections. The experimental section consists of either three or four driving lanes. The typical cross-section for Michigan's conventional section is shown in Figure 3.

BACKGROUND

The European pavement project on I-75 resulted from a FHWA sponsored technical tour in October 1992, which was an effort to gain insight into European design and construction practices of concrete pavement in

EUROPEAN TEST SECTION LOCATION





FIGURE 1.



ol.

Figure 2




Figure 3.

CONTRACTOR HAS OPTION BY SPECIFICATION TO COAT 5G AGGREGATE WITH EITHER CEMENT OR ASPHALT.

(2)

GEOTEXTILE SEPARATOR WAS INADVERTENTLY SHOWN TO BE PLACED ACROSS TRENCH OPENING FOR OPEN-GRADED UNDERDRAIN.

NOTES:

Austria and Germany. Seven engineers from the United States returned from a 12 day tour of those countries on October 22, 1992. Three engineers from the Federal Highway administration (Roger Larson, Suneel Vanikar, and Steve Forster), two engineers from the Michigan Department of Transportation (Randy Van Portfliet and Roger Till), one engineer from the New York Department of Transportation (Ray Gemme), and one engineer from the American Concrete Pavers Association (Pat Nolan), were involved in the trip. They visited eight construction sites in Germany and four in Austria.

The tour found pavement designs in Austria and Germany to be typical of others in the European community. For many years, Europeans have emphasized the quality aspects of a pavement's design, materials, and construction without concern for likely higher costs or longer construction time. German pavement designs have been standardized to account for anticipated traffic loading, soil support characteristics, and climate conditions. Typically, European pavement designs use a 30 to 40 year design service life as compared to the United States conventional 20 year design service life. They concentrate their efforts on constructing high quality concrete pavements through a cooperative working relationship between government, contractors, and the material suppliers.

European pavement designs allow for heavier axle loads and larger commercial traffic volumes (typically 40,000 to 60,000 vehicles per day, with 25-40 percent of those trucks). The limit in Germany for a single axle increased from 11.5 metric tons (25.3 kips) to 13 metric tons (28.6 kips) in 1993. The super single tire (125 psi) is also prevalent throughout Europe. The limit for a single axle in Michigan is 18 kips. However, Michigan does allow a maximum gross truck weight of 164,000 lbs when distributed over 11 axles.

The stated advantages of the European design features for United States implementation are:

- Longer and more reliable pavement service lives resulting in fewer traffic closures for maintenance repairs.
- Ability to carry increased axle loads that will contribute to economic growth to help keep the USA globally competitive.
- Higher surface friction values and a reduction in tire noise levels.

To implement these features requires a large increase in initial costs for construction. Therefore, American applied European designs must prove their cost effectiveness over time to be a useful alternative to our current American designs.

The report from the 1992 Technical Tour (Bib. No. 1) established objectives for achieving world class concrete pavement structures in the United States. The FHWA will continue to motivate state agencies to use the most effective designs and construction practices available.

Some of the key report objectives are:

- Commitment to research, innovation, and training by both government and private industry to ensure improvements in designs, materials, and construction technology.
- Develop a conceptual design catalog of the most effective designs and practices used across the United States.
- Establish at a national level, better methods of collecting and disseminating information about pavement technology developments to pavement engineers, researchers, and the construction industry in the United States and other nations.
- Construct experimental projects like I-75, to demonstrate the applicability of certain European concepts to the United States highway system.
- Encourage interaction, to promote better concrete pavement, among highway agency engineers, consultants, researchers, industry, universities, and contractors.

EUROPEAN DESIGN FEATURES

We selected the structural layer thicknesses and respective materials by following the procedures noted in the German design catalog for the climatic, soil, and traffic conditions found in the Detroit area. In 1965, a panel of German pavement experts conceived the design catalog based on the results of AASHO Road Test, and only minor refinements have been made since that time.

The European typical section consists of either three or four driving lanes. The middle one or two lanes are constructed 12 feet wide and the outer lanes are 13.5 feet wide with the lane marking placed at 12 feet. The pavement surface is crowned at 0.02 ft/ft grade. The tied concrete shoulders are 10.5 feet wide, which includes a four foot wide concrete valley gutter, and have a 0.04 ft/ft slope. The project specifications for the following cross sectional features are in Appendix A.

<u>Subgrade</u>

Review of the Great Lakes - Geologic map indicated this project lies within the Devonian Series of lake beds. The existing roadbed lies within an approximate 25 foot cut section. The subgrade is predominately lacustrine silty clay. A typical subgrade soil sample consisted of the following average soil type: 31 percent sand and fine gravel, 47 percent silt and 22 percent clay. The average plasticity index for these subgrade soils is 7.0, with a liquid limit of 21 and an average natural moisture content of 12 percent. No groundwater was evident during preliminary investigations of the site. The subgrade density requirement was not less than 95 percent of its maximum unit weight in accordance with Michigan's One-Point T-99 (Proctor) Test.

Aggregate Subbase

A 16 in. thick non-frost susceptible aggregate subbase was placed directly on the clay subgrade. The gradation and physical properties of the granular subbase shown in Table 1 matches typical German specifications.

TABLE 1

Grading Requirements								
MI Series & Class	Sieve	Analysis, To	#30	% Loss By				
	1-3/4"	1"	1/2"	#8		Washing		
Euro-A1	100	65-95	40-65	40-42	8-30	7.0 Max.		

Physical Requirements							
MI Series & Class Euro-A1							
Crushed Material, min.	90%*						
Loss, max., Los Angeles Abrasion (AASHTO T96)	45%						

^{*}On aggregate >#4 sieve with minimum one fractured face.

The specification required the material be placed in two 8-inch layers and compacted to not less than 100 percent of its maximum unit weight. The material unit weight was determined using the One-Point Michigan Cone Test. The photograph in Figure 4 shows the aggregate subbase in place.




Figure 4:

The typical cross section included drains that are similar to Michigan's open-graded underdrains. The drain consists of a six inch diameter corrugated plastic pipe in a geotextile lined trench with peastone backfill. During design, the permeability of the German gradation for the aggregate subbase was questioned. Laboratory testing by MDOT (MTM 122-91, Appendix A) in the design phase indicated a permeability of less than one foot per day. Even with such a low permeability it was decided to still use drains in the design, but their primary purpose would be to drain water from the interface between the aggregate subbase and the lean concrete base.

Lean Concrete Base

A six inch thick non-reinforced lean concrete base with plane-of-weakness joints (no load transfer) was placed on the 16-inch thick aggregate subbase. The concrete for the base was specified to be grade 25P, which was to obtain 2,500 psi compressive strength in 28 days. The relief joints were to be sawcut to 0.4(D), where D equals the slab thickness. The lean concrete base extended laterally to the center of the four-foot valley gutter providing a solid, level base for the paver and a smoother ride.

Two Layer Pavement

The surface pavement was designed to be constructed in two layers (2 1/2 in. over 7 1/2 in.) while wet. The concrete for the 2 1/2 in. top layer was specified to be grade 55P while the bottom layer was grade 50P concrete requiring compressive strengths of 5500 psi and 5000 psi, respectively, at 28 days. The coarse aggregate for both layers was specified as Michigan 6AA (1 1/2 in. top size) with a higher durability requirement meeting a maximum freeze-thaw dilation of 0.008 percent per 100 cycles in accordance with

Michigan Test Method (MTM) 115. Michigan's MTM is similar to ASTM C666 Procedure B. The complete MTM is given in Appendix A.


A comparison of the European, 25P, 50P, and 55P, and Michigan pavement concretes is given in Table 2.

TABLE 2


Comparison of European and Michigan Pavement Concretes								
Property	Eur	Michigan Control						
	Top Layer	Davia						
28-Day Compressive Strength	5500 psi	5000 psi	2500 psi	3500 psi				
28-Day Flexural Length	po u		9449	650 psi				
Maximum Water/Cement Ratio, by Weight	0.40	0.42	0.70	0.50				
Minimum Cement Content	752 lb/cu yd	588 lb/cu yd	420 lb/cu yd	550 lb/cu yd				
Maximum Slump	3 in.	3 in.	3 in.	3 in.				
Air Content	6.5 <u>+</u> 1.5%	6.5 <u>+</u> 1.5%	6.5 <u>+</u> 1.5%	6.5 <u>+</u> 1.5%				

Transverse and Longitudinal Joints

Contraction joints were spaced at 15 foot intervals (not skewed) and designed to match the same joint spacing in the lean concrete base. Expansion joints were used only where the European pavement tied into the conventional or existing pavement. The polyethylene coated dowel bars were 20 inches long by 1 1/4 inch in diameter. The dowel spacing was varied to increase load transfer efficiency in the wheel paths. Figure 5 shows the dowel spacing for the four lane roadway and a photograph of a typical dowel basket assembly. The dowel spacing in the lane tapered areas was 0.8 feet. Dowels were also placed for load transfer in the shoulder transverse joints. Lane ties in the longitudinal joints were 7/8 inch in diameter by 32 inch long deformed epoxy coated bars. There were four ties per 15 foot slab and they were located as shown in Figure 6. Each basket was fastened to the lean concrete base with eight evenly spaced clips using a 1-1/4 inch long ram-set nail.



ζ







FIGURE 6.

The longitudinal joints in the two layer pavement were specified to be placed within one inch of the longitudinal joint in the lean concrete base. Similarly, the transverse contraction joints were specified to be within two inches of the contraction joints in the lean concrete base. The longitudinal and transverse joints were sealed with an Ethylene Propylene Diene Terpolymer (EPDM) seal, as shown in Figure 7. After the initial cut, the joint was to be cleaned using compressed air. A continuous polyethylene foam backer rod, shown in the photograph in Figure 8, was placed at the bottom of the cut to eliminate any incompressible material from entering the crack below the joint seal. The material and sizes of the EPDM seal in the test section were similar to those used in Germany. The seals were supplied by Phoenix North America, Inc., located in Carteret, New Jersey, which is an affiliate of Phoenix AG of Hamburg, Germany. Phoenix joint EPDM Type M 214-66 and Phoenix EPDM Type M 214-45 were specified to seal the longitudinal and transverse joints, respectively. The stated advantages of using the EPDM seal compared to a neoprene seal are that installation only requires clean but not dry joints, and the Phoenix joint eliminates the need for

adhesives. Also, these joint seals are supposedly resistant to liquids found on highway surfaces like hydraulic oils and deicing agents.






FIGURE 7.




FIGURE 8.

Exposed Aggregate Surface

An exposed aggregate surface was designed to texture the pavement surface. The construction procedure was a specified patented process developed by Robuco, Ltd. of Belgium. The process includes evenly spraying the surface with a setting retarder within 30 minutes of the finishing operation. The retarder was a citric acid admixture containing a green pigment in sufficient quantity to visually verify an even application with a uniform color after it was sprayed onto the pavement surface. The application rate was 0.026 gallons per square yard. Immediately after spraying the retarder, the concrete surface was protected by covering it with a 2 mil plastic waterproof sheeting. Robuco equipment in operation is shown in Figure 9. The sheeting was removed approximately 20 hours after the initial placement. The amount of time that the sheeting remained on the surface was dependent on curing rate, wind, air temperature, and the actual application rate of the retarder.




FIGURE 9.

A Robuco representative was on site to determine when the application rate was adequate and to determine the amount of time the plastic sheeting was to remain on the pavement surface. Initial sawcuts for the transverse and longitudinal joints were made through the protective sheeting prior to the brushing operation. Moist strips of burlap were placed immediately over the sawcuts to allow the curing process to continue. The concrete surface was brushed when the Robuco representative determined the concrete had sufficient strength to support the brushing machine. Within four hours after removing the waterproof sheeting and within one hour after completing the brushing operation, a curing compound was sprayed on the exposed aggregate surface. The final texture was verified by a sand patch test based on British Standard BS598 Part 105. The average texture depth was specified to be 1.3 mm plus or minus 0.20 mm (0.05 in. plus or minus 0.008 in.). Figure 10 is a photograph of the sand patch test being performed.




Figure 10.

PAVEMENT DESIGN COST COMPARISON

Before approving the project, MDOT engineers expected the European pavement section would cost more than a typical Michigan freeway design. However, the beneficial return on this higher initial investment is expected to be a longer pavement service life with lower maintenance costs until major rehabilitation is required.

To arrive at a cost comparison between the Michigan and European sections, the costs for the respective items of work applying to each section (including shoulder pavement) were totaled and divided by the total square yards of Michigan pavement, which equaled 98,295 sq yds, versus 25,730 sq yds of European pavement. The breakdown for each of the lowest four project bidders is as follows:

Low Bidder	European (\$/sq yd)	Michigan (\$/sq yd)	Percent Increase
1	87.76	37.58	133
2	84.74	40.63	109
3	99.55	48.47	105
4	127.10	51.40	147

The large difference in pavement quantities and respective items of work would account for part of the price differential. Actual unit bid prices are shown in Appendix B.

MICHIGAN STANDARD PAVEMENT

The pavement cross section for the Michigan comparison pavement is shown in Figure 3. The pavement thickness is 11 in. with 41 ft joint spacing. The pavement was mesh reinforced in accordance with Michigan Road Standard II-45G. The reinforced concrete shoulder is tied with transverse contraction joint spacing matching the mainline pavement.

The aggregates (Michigan 5G gradation) for the open-graded drainage course (OGDC) were made from crushing the existing I-75 pavement and stabilizing it with approximately 6.0 percent cement, by weight. The OGDC is separated from the 12 in. thick sand subbase (original to I-75) with a geotextile separator. The typical cross section in the plans showed the geotextile separator across the top of the underdrain trench, which is incorrect. The system was constructed and the pavement placed before the error was discovered. Permeability tests ran on the sand subbase samples indicated a satisfactory drainable granular material with an average permeability coefficient equal to 15.5 feet per day. The sand subbase gradation met Michigan's current Class II specification requirements. The location and types of underdrains matched the European pavement.

The concrete mixture specifications for the Michigan pavement included a 3500 psi (28 day) compressive strength, a 650 psi (28 day) flexural strength, a maximum 3" slump, a minimum 550 lbs/cyd cement content, and a maximum 0.50 w/c ratio. The same coarse aggregate was specified for the Michigan section and the European section. The coarse aggregates for the southbound I-75 will meet normal M-DOT standards and be a control section to identify any differences in concrete durability performance.

CONSTRUCTION MODIFICATIONS AND DISCUSSION

Subgrade Modifications

After the subgrade was cut to grade, it was inspected for frost susceptible or unstable areas. In these areas, Type I (clay backfill) or Type II (sand backfill) undercuts were set-up. A Type I undercut consists of removing the unacceptable material and replacing it with any natural or other approved clay material that can be compacted to the required density, contains no organic material, and shall have a maximum unit weight of at least 95 pounds per cubic foot. The material must not contain more than 50 percent silt or have a plasticity index of less than 10. A Type II undercut consists of removing the material and backfilling the area with granular material that meets Michigan's Class II requirements.

Type I undercut areas were:

Station	Lane Number*	Depth, in.	Material Excavated (cyd)
137+25 to 138+75	1 and 2	6	72
143+40 to 144+10	1 and 2	6	34
160+00 to 160+50	1	6	13.4
164+75 to 167+25	1 and 2	6	127.3

Type II undercut areas were:

Station	Lane Number*	Depth, ft	Material Excavated (cyd)
132+20 to 132+78	2	1	. 112.6
134+25 to 135+00	1 and 2	2	240
134+86 to 136+56	Outside Shoulder	1	106
160+50 to 162+90	2	1	140

^{*}Lane no. 1 is the right most driving lane with land no. 2 adjacent to it.

The subgrade was shaped at 0.02 ft/ft for drainage.

Aggregate Subbase

A crushed limestone aggregate was used for the subbase. The tested aggregate at times was found out of specification on the No. 200 sieve and the No. 8 sieve. The percentage passing the No. 200 sieve ranged from 4.8 percent to 8.3 percent, which exceeded the maximum 7 percent specified. The amount passing the No. 8 sieve ranged from 13 percent to 28 percent, and did

not initially meet the required specified range of 20 percent to 42 percent passing. Material was taken from station 127+00 to station 135+00, and from station 147+00 to station 159+00, and was mixed by windrowing and then resampled. The material was remixed, windrows were rebuilt, and the material was resampled and tested a third time. Based on test averages and proper remixing of the material on the grade, the aggregate subbase was accepted for the non-specification locations. It was concluded that segregation due to excessive handling of the material, while transferring it several times from the producer to the grade, was the main cause for the erratic gradation results. To correct the segregation problem, the stockpile was mixed, then several one ton "mini" stockpiles were built. Gradation tests performed on material from the "mini" stockpiles fell within the specified gradation requirements. The physical properties met the required specified ranges. Typical maximum dry unit weights ranged from 127 lb/cu ft to 134 lb/cu ft, because of the segregation problem. A typical gradation for the aggregate in place on the grade was:

Table 3

MI Series & Class	Sieve A	% Loss by			
	1 3/4"	1"	1/2"	#8	Washing
Euro-A1	100	88	59	23	6.6


Lean Concrete Base

The minimum cement content for the 25P concrete mix design was revised from 400 pounds to 420 pounds. A 35P concrete mix was used during the later stages of the project to achieve a faster strength gain. The lean concrete base met the specified compressive strengths. However, some minor longitudinal cracking did occur in some pavement slabs. The outside driving lane was cracked from premature loading from construction vehicles. The cracking was fixed by epoxy injection or the slab was removed and replaced. When the slab was replaced, the new base was tied to the existing LCB similarly to the two-layer pavement.

LaFarge Type I cement, a natural 2NS sand with a absorption of 0.7 percent from Koenig Sand and Gravel Pit No. 63-9, and Presque Isle 6AA aggregate from Pit No. 71-47 with an absorption rate of 1.2 percent were used in the concrete mix design. The aggregate subbase and the lean concrete base provided excellent support for construction equipment and the paving train. No special construction methods were required for either operation.

Two-Layer Pavement

The two-layer, wet on wet concrete pavement coupled with the two different concrete mixes created a major change from conventional practices. The contractor used a paving train consisting of four pieces of equipment when paving the inside two lanes (25.5 ft wide). The bottom layer concrete was placed on the lean concrete base with a spreader to initially distribute the concrete. A paver then consolidated and struck off the 7 1/2 in. bottom layer. A second spreader was then used to distribute the 2 1/2 in. top layer concrete for the second paver, which provided the final consolidation and screeding of the pavement. An autofloat was used on the paver during the placement of the 2 1/2 in. top layer. When the contractor paved one lane at a time, two pavers without the spreaders were used. Two spreaders were needed in the 25.5 ft wide paving to distribute the low slump concrete to the edges. Two photographs of the paving operation are shown in Figure 11. The contractor had no problem distributing the concrete with the paver in the one lane wide pass.






FIGURE 11.

The contractor had to closely monitor the delivery of the different concrete mixes. Ajax produced the concrete mixture for the bottom layer with an Erie Strayer dual drum 12 yard plant and the top layer was produced by Koenig Fuel and Supply, which is a commercial redi-mix plant. The coordination of the delivery and batch times for the different concrete mixtures required special attention.

The contractor successfully managed this problem by using separate hauling units for each concrete mixture. An agitator hauling unit was used for the top layer mixture and a normal dump truck was used for the bottom layer.

The same sources for cement and aggregates were used in the bottom and top layer mixtures as in the lean concrete base mixture, except for the coarse aggregate in the top layer. The top layer coarse aggregate was specified to be a 100 percent crushed basalt rock to meet specification requirements to resist polishing under traffic. The coarse aggregate was supplied by Ontario Trap Rock, Ltd. (Pit No. 95-10) near Bruce Mines, Ontario.

A modification was made in placing the top and bottom layers during construction. The width of the bottom layer was reduced six inches to allow for more efficient equipment travel. The top layer mixture was then extended three inches over each side of the bottom layer that covered the complete edge of the ten inch pavement.

Coring for thickness and strength checks found no evidence of a cold joint between the layers or instances where a mix was placed in the wrong layer. However, there were instances where the top layer was excessively thin (1/2 inch) and monetary penalties were assessed.

The aggregate gradation for the top-layer mixture was revised to allow eight percent passing the No. 5 sieve from three percent passing. This change was made because it had no significant affect on the integrity of the mix design. The mix design was revised to compensate for the increased coarse aggregate quantity as follows:

LaFarge Type I Cement	= 752 lb	Water	= 280 lb
Sand	= 1004 lb	Air Entrainment	= 13.2 oz
Stone	= 1960 lb	Admixture (Catexol - 1000N)	= 22.6 oz

The admixture, Catexol - 1000N, for the 25P, 50P, and the 55P concrete mixtures was a Type A water-reducer supplied by Axim Concrete Technologies.

The actual project mix designs for the grade 55P and 50P mixture are given in Appendix B.

Due to economic considerations, longitudinal lane ties were placed manually in the bottom layer, using a hand-held installation device when the paving was two lanes wide. The dowel bar assembly baskets were specially fabricated for this project because of the non-uniform bar spacing. The dowel bars were supported by a "U" shaped wire versus a normal "V" shaped support wire. The manufacturer (Deighton Superior) claimed this was needed for fabrication because of the non-uniform bar spacing.

The required compressive strengths for the 55P mixture were obtained without any problems. Typical strength results from quality control testing are in Appendix B.

The required compressive strengths for the 50P mixtures were met for over 99 percent of the project area. Four cores from low strength areas were checked for hardened air content according to ASTM C257 to verify if this was the cause of low compressive strengths. Air content was determined on a vertical slice of the core at a depth between 1 1/2 in. to 4 1/2 in. from the top. The hardened air content was determined to be 14.2 percent, which exceeded the required 6.5 percent plus or minus 1.5 percent. The low strength areas were assessed a monetary penalty.

Exposed Aggregate Surface

The texture values for the exposed aggregate surface at several locations ranged from 0.9 mm to 1.0 mm, which was less than the required 1.1 mm to 1.5 mm specification range. Construction personnel noted that in sections where it was necessary to adjust drainage structures, the process of spraying the retarder and placing the plastic sheeting was delayed and perhaps a reason for decreased surface texture numbers. Texture values increased to between 1.0 mm and 1.1 mm, after abrasive blasting was done on the pavement surface. The areas with deficient texture were re-evaluated and accepted based on the method specified for determining the average texture value. These areas will be monitored during the performance period to determine whether any significant differences in surface friction values occur over time.

Transverse and Longitudinal Joints

Typically, the spacing between contraction joints was 15 ft. Occasionally, the spacing was shortened to not less than 12 ft, to avoid having a drainage structure intersecting a joint. The drainage structures were gapped during paving and later enclosed in a 4' x 4' reinforced concrete pavement square.

Joint Sawing and Sealing

There were no problems keeping within the tolerance when aligning the joints in the 10 in. two-layer pavement with the joints in the lean concrete base. The actual size of the reservoir saw cut deviated from the plan dimensions due to field modifications suggested by Phoenix North American Inc. representatives. The revised dimensions of the constructed saw cut joints are shown in Figure 7. The longitudinal joints were sawcut with a 1/4 inch blade and the transverse joints were sawcut with a 3/16 inch blade with spacers. Both the longitudinal and transverse joints were sawcut to a depth of 1/3 the thickness of the slab. By randomly viewing the pavement edge, it appeared the contraction joints cracked, as designed, below the initial saw cut.

A continuous polyethylene foam backer rod was used (placed prior to brushing surface) in place of a rubber band. Representatives from Phoenix North America Inc. supplied a machine for the joint installation, and installed the EPDM seals until Ajax learned the procedure. The seals were placed according to plan and specifications. Occasionally, during the second stage sawing for the seal reservoir, the foam backer rod was cut and pulled from the joint by the saw blade. It is not clear whether this damage had any significant affects on preventing slurry from entering the initial saw cut. No other problems were encountered with the installation.

INITIAL PERFORMANCE TEST RESULTS

Surface Friction

Friction Numbers (FN) are Michigan's measurement unit for available wet sliding friction on pavement surfaces. The values are acquired by field testing using a full scale locked wheel trailer under controlled test parameters. ASTM E-274 is used to establish test parameters and control variables such as tire type, applied water depth, time and sequence of lock-up, sampling procedures, and required reporting. The field values of wet sliding friction are transformed to equivalent standard units (FN) by use of a correlation equation developed at the Field Test and Evaluation Center for Eastern States near East Liberty, Ohio. Table 4 shows the friction number test results for the European design and the Michigan design taken at the time of completion of the pavement, just prior to opening to traffic. At this time the curing compound was still present.

Table 4

	Pavement Fri	ction Analysis					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ımber (FN)					
Michiga	n Design						
Station of Test	FN	Station of Test	FN				
	NB	#3					
64+60	45.4	123+15	42.1				
72+67	43.7	131+12	36.1				
89+25	46.0	137+41	35.3				
93+95	44.6	143+53	36.0				
103+19	39.4	150+98	31.3				
111+27	42.0	157+47	32.0				
		164+86	33.9				
	·	169+61	33.9				
Average	Average 43.5						
	· NB	#2					
68+13	38.3	122+41	43.3				
81+97	45.8	132+39	34.9				
94+11	44.5	141+00	35.1				
105+94	50.7	149+45	41.7				
114+23	52.0	164+49	39.7				
		169+51	33.3				
Average	46.3		38.0				
	NE	BIL					
62+43	43.4	123+78	36.0				
78+43	44.0	131+02	36.1				
91+37	56.7	142+79	44.8				
105+83	48.9	153+88	43.0				
115+60	50.2	164+97	46.6				
Average	48.6		41.3				
Overall Average	46.1 Closest to Median		37.6				

Lane Closest to Median 2nd Lane From Median NBIL =

NB#2 =

NB#3 =3rd Lane From Median

Ride Quality

Ride Quality Index (RQI) is Michigan's measurement unit for pavement ride quality. This value is determined by computer processing the actual pavement profile as measured by the department's Rapid Travel Inertial Profilometer, which was constructed as a result of research conducted by General Motors in the 1960's. The value is a weighted measure of power contained in the profile between wave lengths of 2-50 feet. These wave lengths are known to be those that most affect a persons opinion of pavement ride quality. This power measure is then transformed to RQI based on results of a subjective ride quality study. The RQI value is a unitless number between 1 and 100. Smaller RQI values represent pavements with better ride quality. A scale for rating RQI values in subjective terms is:

	Rating
0 -30	Excellent
31 - 50	Good
51 - 70	Fair
>70	

The department's current ride quality specification for new concrete pavement requires a RQI value of less than 49.8 to be acceptable. Pavements with a RQI value between zero and 40.5 receive a varying bonus payment.

International Roughness Index (IRI) is the more universal method for measuring road roughness. The respective RQI and IRI values for each pavement section are shown in Table 5.

Table 5

	Ride Quality Analysis										
	*RQI in 1/10th Mile Segments					*IRI in 1/19th Mile Segments					
М	Michigan Design European Design			Michigan Design		Eu	European Design				
NBIL	NB#2	NB#3	NBIL	NB#2	NB#3	NBIL	NB#2	NB#3	NBIL	NB#2	NB#3
66.8	63.8	68.9	52.8	52.8	47.9	144.7	135.9	146,1	117.9	110.1	105.5
45.8	46.8	53.3	45.4	47.1	40.1	83.4	88.7	98.2	65.0	93.2	94.5
52.5	46.4	42.7	48.4	49.9	47.0	914	88.7	65.5	90.8	106.1	96.6
40,3	40.7	42.2	49.8	55.9	45.0	64.1	72.6	71.9	95.1	106.5	105.0
419	46.9	45.5	42.9	48.3	41.7	70.3	80.2	20.7	88.6	87.3	8 1 .1
38.7	414	38.4	48.8	49.3	35.8	60.8	64.6	55.5	74.9	101.3	96.8
42.2	50.0	39.9	42.9	42.7	34.8	73.3	87.4	67.3	70.2	85.4	87.9
42.5	42.2	43.3	39.9	39.4	30.3	74.2	71.7	65.1	62.8	71.4	73.0
38.9	36.4	39.0	52.7	50.8	38.4	63.4	57.8	54.5	83.8	101.4	106.3
32.8	38.1	30.2	47.1	52.3	52.4	56.7	65.3	43.6	113.3	91.2	92.5

					Ride Qua	lity Analysis					
"RQI in 1/10th Mile Segments					°IRI in 1/10th Mile Segments						
М	lichigan Desi	gn	Eu	ropean Desi	gn	Michigan Design European D			ropean Desi	opean Design	
NBIL	NB#2	NB#3	NBIL.	NB#2	NB#3	NBIL	NB#2	NB#3	NBIL	NB#2	NB#3
48.7	44.8	37.6				816	70.9	57.0			
50.1	47.0	4 1 .1				80.5	76.7	80.6			
<u> </u>	. AVERAGES										
48.5	49.0	48.5	48.0	49.7	42.4	78.6	80.3	72.4	85.0	95.6	94.0

NBIL =

Lane Adjacent to Median

NB#2 ==

Second Lane From Median

B#3 = Third Lane From Median

Both RQI and IRI ride quality values were determined from profile data collected after all surface grinding was finished to meet project acceptance for ride quality.

Deflection Analysis

Initial deflection measurements with the department's falling-weight-deflectometer (FWD) were taken prior to opening either pavement section to traffic loading. A 9000 lb impact load was used and an average reading was determined from three drops. The deflection basin was measured with the seismometers located at 8, 12, 18, 24, 36, and 60 inches from the load plate. A comparison of mid-slab deflection readings for the European and Michigan pavement sections is shown in Table 6.

Table 6

Mid-Slab Maximum Deflection, mils							
	Inside Lane		Middle Lane		Outside (Driving) Lane		
	European	Michigan	European	Michigan	European	Michigan	
Average	1.27	2.28	1.37	2.14	. 1.30	2.07	
Standard Deviation	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.08	
Maximum	1.42	2.56	1.50	2.55	1.44	2.51	
Minimum	1.15	1.98	1.25	1.91	1.15	1.84	

The load transfer efficiency across both the transverse and longitudinal joints of the European section was determined. The transverse and longitudinal joints had average efficiencies of 95 percent and 87 percent, respectively. The joint load transfer efficiency was not done for the Michigan pavement, but will be determined for subsequent performance reports.

Special Project Testing by FHWA

The FHWA (Office of Technology Applications) conducted a research project on site during the construction of the European section. Their purpose was to investigate new non-destructive testing equipment. The results of the project have been published (March 1994) in a report entitled; "Demonstration Project No. 75, Michigan Demonstration Project I-75 Detroit, Michigan".

Future Project Evaluation

A vehicle noise study will occur in 1994, once southbound I-75 is switched to northbound for reconstruction of the southbound portion of the freeway. The Michigan Department of Transportation has a commitment to the FHWA to monitor the European test section for a five year period, which includes submitting interim performance reports by December 31, 1994, 1995, and 1996. A final report shall be provided to the FHWA by December 31, 1998.

CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

Construction of the European design was accomplished without any major difficulties. The contractor experienced slower production rates for paving, but this is attributed mostly to this being a demonstration project. More familiarity with the two-layer concrete mixtures and the exposed aggregate surface would increase production rates and likely reduce unit costs. Specific recommendations for similar future projects include:

- The initial saw depth for the longitudinal and transverse joints in the twolayer pavement should be revised. Dr. Leykauf, from the Munich Technical University is now recommending the saw depth for longitudinal joints be 0.4D to 0.45D, where "D" equals the pavement depth. The saw depth for transverse joints should be 0.25D to 0.30D, to reduce the chance of joint spalling from expansion pressures.
- Dr. Leykauf also reports that German research (Ref. in Bib. No. 2) has shown that forming plane-of-weakness joints in the lean concrete base by notching is just as effective as sawing. The notching action pushes aggregate particles to either side to form the plane-of-weakness.
- The variable spacing of dowel bars in a basket assembly should be orientated such that the spacing between bars actually represents a standard "uniform" spacing, but with missing bars. This will reduce fabrication costs for the baskets.

- The top layer of the two-layer pavement should not be designed to be less than 7 cm in thickness to reduce the chance for poor consolidation and a thin surface layer to occur.
- The concrete mixture for the top-layer should be revised to eliminate sand particles larger than 1 mm. The coarser particles in the 2NS gradation prevents the coarse aggregate particles in the mixture from "locking" together when there is an exposed aggregate surface. Also, coarse sand particles wear at an accelerated rate compared to basalt. Romain Buys, President of Robuco, Ltd., reported during the AASHTO I-75 tour that research by Belgium and Austria has shown that tire noise levels are reduced when the coarse aggregate particles are closer together. Both countries specify an average exposure depth of 1.0 to 1.1 mm. The maximum size sand particle should be less than 1.0 mm and 95 percent of the stone particles should be from 4.0 7.0 mm with 8.0 mm top size.
- Construction project staff recommend the exposed aggregate specification be revised to include a range of maximum/minimum values for the ten individual texture test results per 150 feet pavement length. This would provide a more uniform texture value and more pleasing appearance. An alternative solution would be to include a maximum standard deviation value to supplement the average test result. They believe some outlier test values are skewing the average test result value. Additional study will be required to determine the proper data acceptance band.
- The environmental ramifications of the dust and slurry from brushing the surface to achieve the exposed aggregate should be clarified during the project design phase. There was excessive dust at times on I-75, but the location was not near a residential area. Disposing of the slurry must meet all local regulations. Testing slurry for environmental damaging chemicals was considered on I-75, but because it was in a new product category, testing was not required.
- Plans need to provide details for lane drop requirements for longitudinal joints, especially dealing with the 13.5 foot lane widths.
- Repair methods need to be developed for exposed aggregate surfaces when the texture depth is determined to be out of the specified range.

REFERENCES

- 1. Report on the 1992 U.S. Tour of European Concrete Highways, Federal Highway Administration, Publications No. FHCOA-SA-93-012 1992.
- 2. European (German) Concrete Pavement Construction, Munich Technical University, G. Leykauf.

APPENDIX A

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MoDOT

Specifications for European Concrete Pavement

Demonstration Project Control Section IM 82251 Job Number 30613A Letting Date June 14, 1993

Michigan Transportation Commission Barton W. LaBelle, Chairman; Richard T. White, Vice-Chairman; Jack L. Gingrass, Robert M. Andrews, Irving J. Rubin, John C. Kennedy Patrick M. Nowak, Director Lansing, August 1993



The information contained in this report was compiled exclusively for the use of the Michigan Department of Transportation. Recommendations contained herein are based upon the research data obtained and the expertise of the researchers, and are not necessarily to be construed as Department policy. No material contained herein is to be reproduced—wholly or in part—without the expressed permission of the Engineer of Materials and Technology.

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION BUREAU OF HIGHWAYS

SPECIAL PROVISION FOR EXPOSED AGGREGATE SURFACE TREATMENT OF CONCRETE PAVEMENTS (EUROPEAN PAVEMENT)

M&T:RDT 1 of 6 04-27-93

a. Description.-This work shall consist of the removal of the surface mortar from the top of a concrete pavement to produce an exposed aggregate finish. This finish shall be achieved with the help of a setting retarder sprayed on to the surface of the concrete pavement immediately after it has been placed. The retarded mortar shall be removed by wet or dry brushing with steel wire brushes no sooner than 20 hours after placing the concrete pavement.

The process required by this specification is patented by Robuco, Ltd. located in Buggenhout, Belgium (see note 1). Robuco, Ltd. is being represented in the United States by Robuco U.S.A. (see note 2). The Contractor is responsible for making all the necessary arrangements and payments for the use of the patent on this project.

The Contractor shall make arrangements to have a representative from Robuco, Ltd. on site during the exposed aggregate surface treatment operation. Robuco's representative shall advise the Contractor regarding the exposed aggregate surface treatment operation.

b.Materials.-Curing compound materials shall be in accordance with Section 8.24 of the Standard Specifications.

The composition and viscosity of the surface retarder shall be such that it can be spread at an adequate and uniform rate over the surface of the concrete pavement in order to ensure effective and adequate aggregate exposure during the subsequent wire brushing operation.

The surface retarder shall contain a pigment, other than white, in sufficient quantity to give an even and uniform color after it has been sprayed onto the pavement surface at an acceptable rate. The retarder shall be non-hazardous. Material Safety Data Sheets shall be provided to the Engineer before starting this work.

The Contractor shall submit to the Engineer information on the type and composition of the retarder intended for use in order to satisfy these requirements. The use of this retarder shall be subject to the approval of the Engineer.

The protective sheeting shall be made of polyethylene or other plastic that is completely waterproof. This waterproof sheeting shall have a thickness of at least 2 mils (50 microns). Splices in the protective sheeting shall be waterproof and shall be accomplished by using a one-foot minimum overlap with two lines of double faced tape, one tape line near each edge.

c. Construction Methods and Equipment.-The process for the exposed aggregate surface finish includes spraying retarder on the concrete surface, covering the surface with plastic sheeting, removing the plastic sheeting, wire brushing the retarded surface, and applying a curing material to the moistened exposed aggregate surface.

c.1. Application of the Retarder.-The retarder shall be sprayed onto the surface of the wet concrete pavement as soon as possible after the concrete has been placed and shall be sprayed onto the surface within 30 minutes after the final smoothing operation. The rate of application of the retarder shall be determined by the Contractor's trial sections as required in Section f.

The spraying system shall operate in an automated manner that ensures that the retarder is spread evenly in both the transverse and longitudinal directions. To achieve this uniformity of application, the spraying system shall consist of a spray bar, provided with nozzles, mounted on a machine spanning the concrete pavement.

Before commencing work, the height of the spray bar, the rate of retarder delivery from the nozzles of the spray bar, and the forward speed of the machine shall be adjusted so as to achieve the required rate of application.

A manual spraying system shall always be available on the site for emergency use in case of a breakdown of the automated spraying system. The manual spraying system is subject to approval by the Engineer.

c.2. Protection of the Surface After the Application of the Retarder.-Total protection of the applied retarder and concrete shall be provided by covering with waterproof sheeting that is unrolled evenly onto the full width of the concrete surface. This protective sheeting shall be placed over the concrete pavement immediately after the application of the surface retarder.

The laying of the sheeting shall not affect the finish of the concrete surface or the even distribution of the retarder in any way. Air bubbling or blistering

under the sheeting shall be eliminated to the extent possible.

This sheeting shall exceed the width of the concrete pavement by a minimum of 18 inches on each side of the newly placed concrete pavement. The sheeting shall be kept in place by ballast that shall be laid only on the extra width overlaps on both sides of the concrete pavement.

When transverse and longitudinal joints in the concrete pavement are saw cut through the protective sheeting, an equivalent protective sheeting shall be immediately placed over the saw cut holes in the sheeting with 6-inch minimum lap each side of the saw cut and held in place by a suitable means.

c.3. Unrolling of the Waterproof Sheeting.—To minimize the effect of wind on the protective waterproof sheeting, the system of unrolling shall be so arranged that the sheeting is released directly above and as close as possible to the concrete surface.

The unrolling system shall include a burlap drag 10 to 15 feet long and shall be attached to the system for the full width of the concrete pavement and towed forward over the laid protective sheeting so that the sheeting is pressed against the concrete surface. This burlap drag shall be sprinkled with water to keep it moist so that it maintains pressure on the waterproof protective sheeting.

c.4. Removing the Waterproof Sheeting and Exposing the Aggregate by Brushing.-Removing the waterproof sheeting and brushing the concrete surface shall be carried out not less than 20 hours after placing the concrete pavement. Wet or dry wire brushing to remove the retarded surface mortar shall be used. In addition, the concrete must have gained sufficient strength for the brushing machine to travel on the slab without causing any damage to the concrete.

The Contractor shall take all necessary steps to complete the aggregate exposure before the retarder used becomes ineffective.

The waterproof sheeting shall be removed in advance of the machining at the same rate as the brushing machine proceeds in successive sections of 250 foot maximum length in order for the protection to remain in place as long as possible.

The waste waterproof protective sheeting and mortar removed from the surface shall be disposed of at a site outside the project limits on a daily basis.

c.5. Brushing System.-The brushing machine shall be equipped with one or two rotary brushes fitted with twisted steel wires having a diameter of 0.02 to 0.04 inches. The rotary brushes shall be shrouded to eliminate mortar dust from being discharged into the air.

The length of the brush wires, when new, shall be at least 10 inches, exclusive of the length of attachment. A brush shall be discarded as soon as any of its wires become shorter than 4 inches, exclusive of the length of attachment.

The brushing machine shall be capable of maintaining a brush rotational speed, which in conjunction with the forward travel speed, is sufficient to remove the surface mortar to the desired depth in two or three passes, while leaving the aggregate exposed in place.

If the wet brushing method is used, each brush shall be equipped with a front spray bar for sprinkling water. An additional spray bar shall be mounted at the

rear of the machine.

The inclination and height of the brush(es), as well as the extension on both sides of the machine to at least 12 inches outside the tire track, shall be adjustable from the operator's seat.

To help meet the requirement of Section C.4 relating to avoidance of damage to the concrete, the wheels of the brushing machine shall be fitted with wide tires having a low inflation pressure and a shallow tread.

- c.6. Protection of the Exposed Aggregate Surface After Brushing.-Within four hours after removing the waterproof sheeting and within one hour of completing the brushing operation, a curing compound shall be sprayed mechanically onto the entire exposed aggregate surface of the concrete pavement. The surface shall be cleaned of all foreign material and moistened with water before spraying the curing compound onto the exposed aggregate surface. The application of the curing compound shall be in accordance with Section 4.50 of the Standard Specifications.
- d. Surface Texture Depth.-The texture depth of the concrete pavement shall be measured by the sand patch test method indicated herein. The average texture depth determined for each 150 foot section of roadway lane tested shall be 1.3 mm \pm 0.20 mm (0.05 in. \pm 0.008 in.). Surfaces not meeting this texture depth shall be repaired by the Contractor using a method approved by the Engineer.

The Contractor shall be responsible for quality control testing at the rate specified herein to ensure this surface texture is attained. The Department will conduct quality assurance tests at the rate specified herein for acceptance of the surface.

e. Sand-Patch Test Method.-The basis of this test method is British Standard BS598 Part 105.

Sand meeting the gradation of Table 1 and 90 percent roundness requirement is

available from U. S. Silica, Gradation AFS 50-70 (Phone 800-635-7363).

e.l. Apparatus.-Measuring cylinder of 50 \pm 1 mL total capacity and 30 mm maximum internal diameter.

A flat, hard disk approximately 25 mm (1 in.) thick and 60 to 75 mm (2.5 to 3.0 in.) in diameter. The bottom surface or face of the disk shall be covered with a hard rubber material and a suitable handle may be attached to the top surface of the disk. An ice hockey puck is considered suitable for use as the hard rubber material.

Washed and dried silica sand with a 90 percent roundness in accordance with ASTM D 1155 and conforming to the grading given in Table 1. Gradation of sand shall be certified by supplier.

Table 1 Grading of Sand for Sand-Patch Test

<u>Sieve Size</u>	Percent Passing, By Weight		
600 μm (#30)	100		
300 μm (#50)	90 to 100		
150 μm (#100)	0 to 15		

A standard steel scale 300 mm (12 in.) or greater in length and having 1 mm (0.04 in.) divisions.

e.2. Measurement of the Surface Texture.-Measure the surface texture depth as soon as possible after the surfacing has been completed and before the surfacing has been opened to traffic. Curing compound shall be removed from the surface before conducting the test and shall be reapplied to the surface if the concrete has not attained at least 70 percent of its required strength.

The test shall not be carried out on wet or sticky surfaces.

Make test measurements on 150 foot lane lengths randomly spaced along the section. The total length of the 150 foot lane lengths tested shall not be less than one-third of the section length being represented by the tests.

On each 150 foot lane length, take 10 individual test measurements of the texture depth at approximately 15 foot spacing along a diagonal line across the roadway lane width. Do not take measurements within 12 inches of the longitudinal edge of the roadway.

e.3. Procedure for Carrying out a Single Measurement.-If necessary, dry the surface to be measured and remove any foreign matter by sweeping.

Fill the cylinder with sand and, taking care not to compact the sand by any vibration, strike off the sand level with the top of the cylinder. Shield from wind if necessary.

Pour the sand into a heap on the surface to be tested and spread the sand over the surface using the disc. Carefully work the disc with its face kept flat to the road surface, in a rotary motion so that the sand is spread into a circular patch with the surface depressions in the road filled with sand to the level of the peaks. The procedure is complete when no further distribution of sand outward is achieved. Shield from wind if necessary.

Measure the diameter of the sand patch to the nearest 1 mm at 4 diameters

approximately 45° apart using the steel scale.

e.4. Calculation and Expression of Results.-Calculate the average diameter of the sand patch to the nearest 1 mm.

Calculate the average texture depth (in mm) from the following formula:

$$\frac{63,660}{D^2} = T$$

Where

D is the average diameter of the sand patch.

T is the average texture depth in mm.

Determine the average texture depth for each section of roadway lane tested and the average of each set of 10 individual measurements to the nearest 0.1 mm.

- e.5. Test Report.-The report shall state that the texture measurements were made in accordance with this section and shall include the following:
 - The name and address of the testing organization;

(2) A unique serial number for the test report;

(3) The name of the client and project numbers;

(4) Clear identification of the individual test locations, along with the location of each lane length tested;

(5) The individual test results of texture depth and the average texture depths for each 150 foot lane length comprising each section together with the average value for the section;

(6) A statement saying the road surface was newly laid;

- (7) The signature of the person accepting technical responsibility for the test report;
- (8) The date of each test:
- (9) The date of the report.
- f. Trial Sections.-The Contractor shall perform exposed aggregate trial sections as described herein under the observation of the Engineer. These trial sections shall form the basis of the production work.
- f.1 Test Panels.-Test panels using the top layer concrete, surface retarder, waterproof protective sheeting, and curing compounds that will be used in the production work shall be prepared by the Contractor. These test panels shall demonstrate that the surface retarder, retarder application rate, and elapsed time before mortar removal will provide the desired surface texture. A test panel procedure, including a materials list, shall be submitted to the Engineer for review prior to making the panels. The panels shall be a minimum of 18 inches wide by 18 inches long and shall be 2-1/2 inches thick. Initial spot check measurements of the panel texture depth shall be performed by the Contractor using the sand-patch test method described herein.
- f.2 Trial Length and Production Work.-A trial length of concrete pavement shall be constructed by the Contractor in accordance with the Special Provision

for Two-Layer Concrete Pavement and Concrete Shoulders (European Pavement). This trial length of concrete pavement shall incorporate the exposed aggregate surface treatment. The same materials and equipment used to construct the trial length shall be used in concrete pavement production. The trial length shall comply with the specifications in all respects. The Contractor shall not proceed with the European concrete pavement production until the trial length has been approved by the Engineer.

During the construction of this trial length of concrete pavement and European concrete pavement production initial spot check measurements of the texture depth shall be carried out by the Contractor as soon as possible after completing the exposure of the aggregate. If, at this stage, the texture depth requirements are not achieved, work shall be stopped immediately and the surface shall be treated by scabbling or other approved methods until the requirements are met. Work shall not be resumed without the approval of the Engineer and until the causes of the observed defects have been investigated and resolved.

Any new observations of inadequate surface texture during the course of the work shall give rise to the same measures of repair and investigation until the required results are achieved.

g. Measurement and Payment.-Payment for the work of EXPOSED AGGREGATE SURFACE TREATMENT OF CONCRETE PAVEMENTS (EUROPEAN PAVEMENT) includes royalty fees and all the necessary materials, labor, and equipment to produce the desired surface texture, along with disposal of the waterproof sheeting and waste mortar. Payment shall be made in accordance with the following contract item (pay item).

Pay Item

Pay Unit

Exposed Aggregate Surface Treatment (European Pavement) Square Yard

Payment for the exposed aggregate trial sections and test panels will not be paid for separately, but shall be considered in the payment of the Exposed Aggregate Surface Treatment (European Pavement).

Note 1: Robuco, Ltd.

Romain Buys, General Manager

Industriepark Gendhof 4

B-9360 Buggenhout Belgium (Eur.) Phone 32-52-33-13-03

Note 2: Robuco U.S.A.

Earl Knott 3800 Maiden

Waterford, MI 48329 Phone 313-623-9567

SPECIAL PROVISION FOR TWO-LAYER CONCRETE PAVEMENT AND CONCRETE SHOULDERS (EUROPEAN PAVEMENT)

M&T:RDT:RVP

1 of 6

04-02-93

- a. Description.-This work shall consist of constructing two-layer, wet on wet, concrete pavement and concrete shoulders. Fresh concrete for the top layer shall be placed on the fresh concrete for the bottom layer in one continuous operation. The concrete pavement and concrete shoulder shall be non-reinforced and shall be constructed to the dimensions and limits shown on the plans. This concrete pavement shall have a final finish in accordance with the Special Provision for Exposed Aggregate Surface Treatment of Concrete Pavements (European Pavement). Concrete pavement and concrete shoulders shall be constructed in accordance with the Standard Specifications, except as modified herein and by other Special Provisions.
- b. Concrete Mix Design.-The Contractor shall be responsible for the concrete mix design as specified in the Special Provision for Furnishing Portland Cement Concrete (Quality Assurance). Concrete properties, characteristics, and acceptance sampling rate shall be as specified herein. Acceptance of the concrete based on these properties and characteristics shall be in accordance with the Special Provision for Furnishing Portland Cement Concrete (Quality Assurance).

This concrete pavement and concrete shoulder is considered a Critical Pay Adjustment Item.

The Contractor shall provide separate and distinct concrete mixtures for the top layer and bottom layer of the two-layer concrete pavement. The Contractor will not be allowed to construct the pavement full depth with the top layer Grade 55P concrete.

b.1. Bottom Layer Concrete.-Concrete for the bottom layer shall meet the following properties and characteristics.

Class Design Strength (28 days, psi)		٠				5000
Verification Strength (28 days, psi)						5500
Retest Limit (28 days, psi)			٠			4500
Maximum Water/Cement Ratio (1b/1b) .			٠			0.42
Minimum Cement Content (lb/cyd)				٠		588
Maximum Slump (inches)	۰			•		. 3

This concrete is designated as Concrete Grade 50P.

The Initial Sampling Rate for acceptance shall be 5 per lot, the Retest Sampling Rate (minimum) shall be 6 per lot, and the Rejection Limit shall be 10 percent.

Fine aggregate shall meet the requirements of Section 8.02 in the Standard Specifications.

Coarse aggregate shall be a natural gravel or crushed stone and shall meet the requirements of 6AA as stated in the Standard Specifications, with the additional requirement that freeze-thaw dilation (in percent) per 100 cycles shall be 0.008 maximum per MTM 115. Coarse aggregate shall be sampled at the source or dock if the material is shipped to the project by boat and shall be approved before shipment. Each aggregate stockpile shall be sampled by the District as it is constructed at a frequency of 1 sample for each 1000 tons. No material shall be added or removed from a stockpile after a sample is taken until testing is completed. An aggregate source will not be approved by certification for this concrete. All stockpiles shall be clearly identified to this project at both the source and concrete batch plant.

b.2. Top Layer Concrete.-Concrete for the top layer shall meet the following properties and characteristics.

Class Design Strength (28 days, psi)			_				5500
Verification Strength (28 days, psi)		•			٠	•	6000
Retest Limit (28 days, psi)	٠		_				5000
Maximum Water/Cement Ratio (1b/1b) .	٠					•	0.40
Minimum Cement Content (1b/cyd)				•		•	752
Maximum Slump (inches)			_				. 3

This concrete is designated as Concrete Grade 55P.

The Initial Sampling Rate for acceptance shall be 5 per lot, the Retest Sampling Rate (minimum) shall be 6 per lot, and the Rejection Limit shall be 10 percent.

Fine aggregate shall meet the requirements of Section 8.02 in the Standard Specifications.

Coarse aggregate shall meet the requirements of 6AA as stated in the Standard Specifications, with the additional requirements that the material shall be 100 percent crushed basalt, the freeze-thaw dilation (in percent) per 100 cycles shall be 0.008 maximum per MTM 115, the maximum size shall be 0.31 inches (8 mm), the maximum percent passing the No. 5 (4 mm) sieve shall be 3 percent, the maximum percent passing the No. 200 sieve shall be 2 percent, the Los Angeles Abrasion Loss (in percent) shall be 20 maximum and the Aggregate Wear Index (AWI) value shall be 300 minimum. The coarse aggregate shall be sampled at the source or dock if the material is shipped to the project by boat and shall be approved before shipment. Each aggregate stockpile shall be sampled by the District as it is constructed at a frequency of 1 sample for each 1000 tons. No material shall be added or removed from a stockpile after a sample is taken until testing is completed. An aggregate source will not be approved by certification for this concrete. All stockpiles shall be clearly identified at both the source and concrete batch plant.

c. Concrete Production.-The Contractor shall provide separate concrete mixtures for the top layer and bottom layer of the two-layer concrete pavement. Concrete mixtures for the two-layer concrete shoulder shall be the same as the top layer and bottom layer of the concrete pavement, or each layer shall be placed using the concrete mixture for the bottom layer of the concrete pavement.

SPECIAL PROVISION FOR PAY ADJUSTMENTS

M&T:RDT 1 of 1 01-27-93

- a. Description.-This specification sets forth the base price of critical concrete items as referenced in the Special Provision for Furnishing Portland Cement Concrete (Quality Assurance). This base price is used in determining the pay adjustment for these items.
- b. Base Prices.-The following pay items and corresponding base price are critical pay-adjustment items:

Pay Item	It em Code Number	Unit	Base Price
Concrete Pavement Reinforced 11"	4500025	Syd	\$ 16.00
Miscellaneous Concrete Pavement-Reinforced 9"	4500075	Syd	\$ 22.00
Miscellaneous Concrete Pavement-Reinforced 10"	4500080	Syd	\$ 24.00
Miscellaneous Concrete Pavement-Reinforced 11"	4500085	Syd	\$ 26.00
Substructure Concrete	5030023	Cyd	\$ 300.00
Superstructure Concrete	5030024	Cyd	\$ 140.00
Two-Layer Concrete Pavement 10-inch Non-Reinforced (European Pavement)	4507001	Syd	\$ 34.00
Miscellaneous Two-Layer Concrete Pavement 10-inch Non-Reinforced (European Pavement)	4507004	Syd	\$ 44.00
Two-Layer Concrete Shoulder 10-inch Non-Reinforced (European Pavement)	4507002	Syd	\$ 30.00
Lean Concrete Base 6-inch Non-Reinforced (European Pavement)	4507003	Syd	\$ 12.00

Table 3 (continued)

Variability-Unknown Procedure								Standard Deviation Method				
				S	ample S	ize						
					10							
Q	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09		
0.0	50.00	49.62	49.23	48.85	48.46	48.08	47.70	47.31	46.93	46.54		
0.1	46.16	45.78	45.40	45.01	44.63	44.25	43.87	43.49	43.11	42.73		
0.2	42.35	41.97	41.60	41.22	40.84	40.47	40. 0 9	39.72	39.34	38.97		
0.3	38.60	38.23	37.86	37.49	37.12	36.75	36.38	36.02	35.65	35.29		
0.4	34.93	34.57	34.21	33.85	33.49	33.13	32.78	32.42	32.07	31.72		
0.5	31.37	31.02	30.67	30.32	29.98	29.64	29.29	28.95	28.61	28.28		
0.6	27.94	27.60	27.27	26.94	26.61	26.28	25.96	25.63	25.31	24. 9 9		
0.7	24.67	24.35	24.03	23.72	23.41	23.10	22.79	22.48	22.18	21.87		
0.8	21.57	21.27	20.98	20.68	20.39	20.10	19.81	19.52	19.23	18.95		
0.9	18.67	18.39	18.11	17.84	17.56	17.29	17.03	16.76	16.49	16.23		
1.0	15.97	15.72	15.46	15.21	14.96	14.71	14.46	14.22	13.97	13.73		
1.1 1.2	13.50	13.26	13.03	12.80	12.57	12.34	12.12	11.90	11.68	11.46		
1.2	11.24 9.22	11.03 9.03	10.82 8.85	10.61	10.41	10.21	10.00	9.81	9.61	9.42		
1.4	7.44	7.27	7.10	8.66	8.48	8.30	8.12	7.95	7.77	7.60		
1.5	5.87	5.73	5.59	6.94 5.45	6.78 5.31	6.63	6.47	6.32	6.17	6.02		
1.6	4.54	4.41	4.30	4.18	4.06	5.18 3.95	5.05 3.84	4.92 3.73	4.79 3.62	4.66		
1.7	3.41	3.31	3.21	3.11	3.02	2.93	2.83	2.74	2.66	3.52 2.57		
1.8	2.49	2.40	2.32	2.25	2.17	2.09	2.02	1.95	1.88	1.81		
1.9	1.75	1.68	1.62	1.56	1.50	1.44	1.38	1.33	1.27	1.22		
2.0	1.17	1.12	1.07	1.03	0.98	0.94	0.90	0.86	0.82	0.78		
2.1	0.74	0.71	0.67	0.64	0.61	0.58	0.55	0.52	0.49	0.46		
2.2	0.44	0.41	0.39	0.37	0.34	0.32	0.30	0.29	0.43	0.25		
2.3	0.23	0.22	0.20	0.19	0.18	0.16	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.12		
2.4	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05		
2.5	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01		
2.6	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		

Note 1 - Numbers in the body of the table are estimates of lot percent defective corresponding to specific values of Q, the Quality Index. For values of Q greater than or equal to zero, the estimate of percent defective is read directly from the table. For values of Q less than zero, the table value must be subtracted from 100.

C/APPR/RVP/RGS 2-4-93

Table 3 (Continued)

Variability-Unknown Procedure

Standard Deviation Method

Sample Size

0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3	0.00 50.00 46.18 42.40 38.66	0.01 49.62 45.80 42.02 38.29	0.02 49.24 45.42 41.64 37.92	0.03 48.85 45.04 41.27 37.55	0.04 48.47 44.66 40.89 37.19	0.05 48.09 44.29 40.52 36.82	0.06 47.71 43.91 40.15 36.46	0.07 47.33 43.53 39.77 36.09	0.08 46.95 43.15 39.40 35.73	0.09 46.57 42.77 39.03 35.37
0.4	35.00	34.64	34.29	33.93	33.57	33.21	32.86	32.51	32.15	31.80
0.5	31.45	31.10	30.76	30.41	30.07	29.72	29.38	29.04	28.70	28.36
0.6	28.03	27.69	27.36	27.03	26.70	26.37	26.04	25.72	25.39	25.07
0.7	24.75	24.43	24.11	23.80	23.49	23.17	22.86	22.56	22.25	21.94
8.0	21.64	21.34	21.04	20.75	20.45	20.16	19.87	19.58	19.29	19.00
0.9	18.72	18.44	18.16	17.88	17.61	17.33	17.06	16.79	16.53	16.26
1.0	16.00	15.74	15.48	15.23	14.97	14.72	14.47	14.22	13.98	13.73
1.1	13.49	13.26	13.02	12.79	12.55	12.32	12.10	11.87	11.65	11.43
1.2	11.21	10.99	10.78	10.57	10.36	10.15	9.95	9.75	9.55	9.35
1.3	9.16	8.96	8.77	8.59	8.40	8.22	8.04	7.86	7.68	7.51
1.4	7.33	7.17	7.00	6.83	6.67	6.51	6.35	6.20	6.04	5.89
1.5	5.74	5.60	5.45	5.31	5.17	5.03	4.90	4.77	4.64	4.51
1.6	4.38	4.26	4.14	4.02	3.90	3.78	3.67	3.56	3.45	3.34
1.7	3.24	3.14	3.03	2.94	2.84	2.75	2.65	2.56	2.47.	2.39
1.8	2.30	2.22	2.14	2.06	1.98	1.91	1.84	1.76	1.70	1.63
1.9	1.56	1.50	1.44	1.37	1.32	1.26	1.20	1.15	1.10	1.05
2.0	1.00	0.95	0.90	0.86	0.82	0.77	0.73	0.70	0.66	0.62
2.1	0.59	0.55	0.52	0.49	0.46	0.43	0.41	0.38	0.36	0.33
2.2	0.31	0.29	0.27	0.25	0.23	0.21	0.20	0.18	0.17	0.15
2.3	0.14	0.13	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.05
2.4	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	.0.02	0.01	0.01
2.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Note 1 - Numbers in the body of the table are estimates of lot percent defective corresponding to specific values of Q, the Quality Index. For values of Q greater than or equal to zero, the estimate of percent defective is read directly from the table. For values of Q less than zero, the table value must be subtracted from 100.

1.8

1.9

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.04

1.31

0.76

0.39

0.16

0.04

1.96

1.24

0.72

0.36

0.14

0.04

1.88

1.18

0.67

0.33

0.13

0.03

1.80

1.12

0.63

0.30

0.11

0.02

1.37

0.81

0.42

0.17

0.05

0.00

1.44

0.86

0.45

0.19

0.06

0.01

Table 3 (Continued)

Variability-Unknown Procedure Standard Deviation Method Sample Size 0 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.06 0.07 0.08 0.09 0.0 50.00 49.24 49.62 48.86 47.73 48.49 48.11 47.35 46.97 46.59 46.22 0.1 45.84 45.46 45.08 44.71 44.33 43.96 43.58 43.21 42.83 0.2 42.46 42.08 40.59 41.71 41.34 40.97 40.22 39.85 39.48 39.11 0.3 38.75 38.38 38.01 37.65 37.28 36.92 36.55 36.19 35.83 35.47 0.4 35.11 34.75 34.39 34.04 33.68 33.33 32.97 32.62 32.27 31.92 31.57 0.5 31.22 30.87 30.53 30.18 29.84 29.50 29.16 28.82 28,48 28.15 0.6 27.81 27.48 27.15 26.49 26.82 26.16 25.83 25.51 25.19 0.7 24.86 24.54 24.23 23.91 23.59 23.28 22.97 22.66 22.35 22.04 21.74 0.8 21.44 21.14 20.84 20.24 19.95 20.54 19.66 19.37 19.08 0.9 18.79 18.51 18.23 17.95 17.67 17.39 17.12 16.85 16.31 16.57 1.0 16.04 15.78 15.51 15.25 15.00 14.74 14.49 14.24 13.99 13.74 1.1 13.49 13.25 13.01 12.77 12.54 12.30 12.07 11.84 11.39 11.61 1.2 11.17 10.94 10.51 10.30 10.73 10.09 9.88 9.67 9.47 9.26 1.3 9.06 8.87 8.67 8.48 8.29 8.10 7.91 7.73 7.55 7.37 1.4 7.19 7.02 6.85 6.68 6.51 6.35 6.19 6.03 5.87 5.71 1.5 5.56 5.41 5.26 5.12 4.97 4.83 4.56 4.69 4.29 4.42 1.6 4.16 3.79 4.03 3.91 3.67 3.55 3.32 3.43 3.21 3.10 1.7 2.99 2.89 2.69 2.79 2.59 2.49 2.40 2.31 2.22 2.13

Note 1 - Numbers in the body of the table are estimates of lot percent defective corresponding to specific values of Q, the Quality Index. For values of Q greater than or equal to zero, the estimate of percent defective is read directly from the table. For values of Q less than zero, the table value must be subtracted from 100.

1.72

1.07

0.59

0.28

0.10

0.02

1.65

1.01

0.55

0.26

0.09

0.02

1.58

0.96

0.52

0.23

0.08

0.01

1.51

0.91

0.48

0.21

0.07

0.01

Table 3 (Continued)

Variability-Unknown Procedure

Standard Deviation Method

	J			S	ample S ^e 7	ize
)	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0:04	0

Q	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
0.0	50.00	49.63	49.25	48.88	48.50	48.13	47.75	47.38	47.01	46.63
0.1	46.26	45.89	45.51	45.14	44.77	44.40	44.03	43.65	43.28	42.91
0.2	42.54	42.17	41.80	41.44	41.07	40.70	40.33	39.97	39.60	39.23
0.3	38.87	38.50	38.14	37.78	37.42	37.05	36.69	36.33	35.98	35.62
0.4	35.26	34.90	34.55	34.19	33.84	33.49	33.13	32.78	32.43	32.08
0.5	31.74	31.39	31.04	30.70	30.36	30.01	29.67	29.33	28.99	28.66
0.6	28.32	27.98	27.65	27.32	26.99	26.66	26.33	26.00	25.68	25.35
0.7	25.03	24.71	24.39	24.07	23.75	23.44	23.12	22.81	22.50	22.19
8.0	21.88	21.58	21.27	20.97	20.67	20.37	20.07	19.78	19.48	19.19
0.9	18.90	18.61	18.33	18.04	17.76	17.48	17.20	16.92	16.65	16.37
1.0	16.10	15.83	15.56	15.30	15.03	14.77	14.51	14.26	14.00	13.75
1.1	13.49	13.25	13.00	12.75	12.51	12.27	12.03	11.79	11.56	11.33
1.2	11.10	10.87	10.65	10.42	10.20	9.98	9.77	9.55	9.34	9.13
1.3	8.93	8.72	8.52	8.32	8.12	7.92	7.73	7.54	7.35	7.17
1.4	6.98	6.80	6.62	6.45	6.27	6.10	5.93	5.77	5.60	5.44
1.5	5.28	5.13	4.97	4.82	4.67	4.52	4.38	4.24	4.10	3 .96
1.6	3.83	3.69	3.57	3.44	3.31	3.19	3.07	2.95	2.84	2.73
1.7	2.62	2.51	2.41	2.30	2.20	2.11	2.01	1.92	1.83	1.74
1.8	1.65	1.57	1.49	1.41	1.34	1.26	1.19	1.12	1.06	0.99
1.9	0.93	0.87	0.81	0.76	0.70	0.65	0.60	0.56	0.51	0.47
2.0	0.43	0.39	0.36	0.32	0.29	0.26	0.23	0.21	0.18	0.16
2.1	0.14	0.12	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02
2.2	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Note 1 - Numbers in the body of the table are estimates of lot percent defective corresponding to specific values of Q, the Quality Index. For values of Q greater than or equal to zero, the estimate of percent defective is read directly from the table. For values of Q less than zero, the table value must be subtracted from 100.

1.8

1.9

2.0

1.01

0.35

0.03

0.93

0.30

0.02

0.85

0.26

0.01

0.78

0.22

0.00

Table 3 (Continued)

Variability-Unknown Procedure Standard Deviation Method Sample Size 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.06 0.07 0.08 0.09 0.0 50.00 49.63 49.27 48.90 48.53 48.16 47.80 47.43 47.06 46.70 46.33 0.1 45.96 45.60 45.23 44.86 44.50 44.13 43.04 43.77 43.40 0.2 42.68 42.31 41.95 41.59 41.22 40.86 40.50 40.14 39.78 39.42 0.3 39.06 38.70 38.34 37.98 37.62 37.27 36.91 36.55 36.20 35.84 0.4 35.49 35.14 34.79 34.43 34.08 33.38 33.73 33.04 32.69 32.34 0.5 32.00 31.65 31.31 30.96 30.62 29.94 30.28 29.60 29.26 28.93 0.6 28.59 28.25 27.92 27.59 27.26 26.92 26.60 26.27 25.94 25.61 0.7 25.29 24.96 24.64 24.32 24.00 23.68 23.37 22.74 23.05 22.42 0.8 22.11 21.80 21.49 21.18 20.88 20.57 20.27 19.97 19.37 19.67 0.9 19.07 18.78 18.49 18.19 17.90 17.61 17.33 17.04 16.48 16.76 1.0 16.20 15.92 15.37 15.64 15.09 14.82 14.55 14.29 14.02 13.76 $\bar{1}1.72$ 1.1 13.50 13.24 12.98 12.72 12.47 12.22 11.97 11.47 11.23 1.2 10.99 10.75 10.51 10.28 10.04 9.58 9.13 9.81 9.36 8.91 1.3 8.69 8.48 8.26 8.05 7.84 7.63 7.42 7.22 7.02 6.82 1.4 6.63 6.43 6.24 6.05 5.87 5.68 5.50 5.33 5.15 4.98 1.5 4.81 4.64 4.47 4.31 4.15 3.84 4.00 3.69 3.54 3.40 1.6 3.25 2.97 3.11 2.84 2.71 2.58 2.45 2.33 2.09 2.21 1.7 1.98 1.87 1.76 1.66 1.55 1.45 1.36 1.27 1.18. 1.09

Note 1 - Numbers in the body of the table are estimates of lot percent defective corresponding to specific values of Q, the Quality Index. For values of Q greater than or equal to zero, the estimate of percent defective is read directly from the table. For values of Q less than zero, the table value must be subtracted from 100.

0.71

0.18

0.00

0.64

0.15

0.00

0.57

0.12

0.00

0.51

0.09

0.00

0.46

0.07

0.00

0.40

0.05

0.00

Sample Size 5

·Q	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
0.0	50.00	49.64	49.29	48.93	48.58	48.22	47.86	47.51	47.15	46.80
0.1	46.44	46.09	45.73	45.38	45.02	44.67	44.31	43.96	43.60	43.25
0.2	42.90	42.54	42.19	41.84	41.48	41.13	40.78	40.43	40.08	39.72
0.3	39.37	39.02	38.67	38.32	37.97	37.62	37.28	36.93	36.58	36.23
0.4	35.88	35.54	35.19	34.85	34.50	34.16	33.81	33.47	33.12	32.78
0.5	32.44	32.10	31.76	31.42	31.08	30.74	30.40	30.06	29.73	29.39
0.6	29.05	28.72	28.39	28.05	27.72	27.39	27.06	26.73	26.40	26.07
0.7	25.74	25.41	25.09	24.76	24.44	24.11	23.79	23.47	23.15	22.83
0.8	22.51	22.19	21.87	21.56	21.24	20.93	20.62	20.31	20.00	19.69
0.9	19.38	19.07	18.77	18.46	18.16	17.86	17.55	17.25	16.96	16.66
1.0	16.36	16.07	15.78	15.48	15.19	14.91	14.62	14.33	14.05	13.76
1.1	13.48	13.20	12.93	12.65	12.37	12.10	11.83	11.56	11.29	11.02
1.2	10.76	10.50	10.23	9.97	9.72	9.46	9.21	8.96	8.71	8.46
1.3	8.21	7.97	7.73	7.49	7.25	7.02	6.79	6.56	6.33	6.10
1.4	5.88	5.66	5.44	5.23	5.02	4.81	4.60	4.39	4.19	3.99
1.5	3.80	3.61	3.42	3.23	3.05	2.87	2.69	2.52	2.35	2.19
1.6	2.03	1.87	1.72	1.57	1.42	1.28	1.15	1.02	0.89	0.77
1.7	0.66	0.55	0.45	0.36	0.27	0.19	0.12	0.06	0.02	0.00

Note 1 - Numbers in the body of the table are estimates of lot percent defective corresponding to specific values of Q, the Quality Index. For values of Q greater than or equal to zero, the estimate of percent defective is read directly from the table. For values of Q less than zero, the table value must be subtracted from 100.

Variability-Unknown Procedure

Standard Deviation Method

Sample	Size
3	

Q	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
0.0	50.00	49.72	49.45	49.17	48.90	48.62	48.35	48.07	47.79	47.52
0.1	47.24	46.96	46.69	46.41	46.13	45.85	45.58	45.30	45.02	44.74
0.2	44.46	44.18	43.90	43.62	43.34	43.05	42.77	42.49	42.20	41.92
0.3	41.63	41.35	41.06	40.77	40.49	40.20	39.91	39.62	39.33	39.03
0.4	38.74	38.45	38.15	37.85	37.56	37.26	36.96	36.66	36.35	36. 05
0.5	35.7 5	35.44	35.13	34.82	34.51	34.20	33.88	33.57	33.25	32.93
0.6	32.61	32.28	31.96	31.63	31.30	30.97	30.63	30.30	29.96	29.61
0.7	29.27	28.92	28.57	28.22	27.86	27.50	27.13	26.76	26.39	26.02
8.0	25.64	25.25	24.86	24.47	24.07	23.67	23.26	22.84	22.42	21.99
0.9	21.55	21.11	20.66	20.19	19.73	19.25	18.75	18.25	17.74	17.21
1.0	16. 67	16.11	15. 5 3	14.93	14.31	13.66	12.98	12.27	11.51	10.71
1.1	9.84	8.89	7.82	6.60	5.08	2.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Note 1 - Numbers in the body of the table are estimates of lot percent defective corresponding to specific values of Q, the Quality Index. For values of Q greater than or equal to zero, the estimate of percent defective is read directly from the table. For values of Q less than zero, the table value must be subtracted from 100.

Variability-Unknown Procedure

Standard Deviation Method

Sample	Size

Q	0. 00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
0.0	50.0 0	49.67	49.33	49.00	48.67	48.33	48.00	47.67	47.33	47.00
0.1	46.67	46.33	46.00	45.67	45.33	45.00	44.67	44.33	44.00	43.67
0.2	43.33	43.00	42.67	42.33	42.00	41.67	41.33	41.00	40.67	40.33
0.3	40.00	39.67	39.33	39.00	38.67	38.33	38.00	37.67	37.33	37.00
0.4	36.67	36.33	36.00	35.67	35.33	35.00	34.67	34.33	34.00	33.67
0.5	33.33	33.00	32.67	32.33	32.00	31.67	31.33	31.00	30.67	30.33
0.6	30.00	29.67	29.33	29.00	28.67	28.33	28.00	27.67	27.33	27.00
0.7	26.67	26.33	26.00	25.67	25.33	25.00	24.67	24.33	24.00	23.67
0.8	23.33	23.00	22.67	22.33	22.00	21.67	21.33	21.00	20.67	20.33
0.9	20.00	19.67	19.33	19.00	18.67	18.33	18.00	17.67	17.33	17.00
1.0	16. 67	16.33	16.00	15.67	15.33	15.00	14.67	14.33	14.00	I3.67
1.1	13.33	13.00	12.67	12.33	12.00	11.67	11.33	11.00	10.67	10.33
1.2	10.00	9.67	9.33	9.00	8.67	8.33	8.00	7.67	7.33	7.00
1.3	6.67	6.33	6.00	5.67	5.33	5.00	4.67	4.33	4.00	3.67
1.4	3.33	3.00	2.67	2.33	2.00	1.67	1.33	1.00	0.67	0.33
1.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Note 1 - Numbers in the body of the table are estimates of lot percent defective corresponding to specific values of Q, the Quality Index. For values of Q greater than or equal to zero, the estimate of percent defective is read directly from the table. For values of Q less than zero, the table value must be subtracted from 100.

Table 3 (Continued)

Table 3
Estimation of Lot Percent Defective

Varial	bility-K	nown Pr	ocedure	· S	ample S	ize	Sta	Method		
Q 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3	0.00 50.00 39.80 29.59 19.39 9.18	0.01 48.98 38.78 28.57 18.37 8.16	0.02 47.96 37.76 27.55 17.35 7.14	0.03 46.94 36.73 26.53 16.33 6.12	0.04 45.92 35.71 25.51 15.31 5.10	0.05 44.90 34.69 24.49 14.29 4.08	0.06 43.88 33.67 23.47 13.27 3.06	0.07 42.86 32.65 22.45 12.24 2.04	0.08 41.84 31.63 21.43 11.22 1.02	0.09 40.82 30.61 20.41 10.20 0.00

Note 1 - Numbers in the body of the table are estimates of lot percent defective corresponding to specific values of Q, the Quality Index. For values of Q greater than or equal to zero, the estimate of percent defective is read directly from the table. For values of Q less than zero, the table value must be subtracted from 100.

Note 2 - This empirically derived table is suitable only for use with this specification.

Varia	bility-U	nknown	Standard Deviation Method								
	·		554	idaja bi		rictiou					
Q 0.0	0.00 50.00	0.01 49.66	0.02 49.33	0.03 48.99	0.04 48.66	0.05 48.32	0.06 47.99	0.07 47.65	0.08 47.32	0.09 46.98	
0.1	46.64 43.29	46.31 42.95	45.97 42.62	45.64	45.30	44.97	44.63	44.30	43.96	43.62	

0 0 0 0.3 39.93 39.60 39.26 38.93 37.25 38.59 38.26 37.92 37.58 36.91 0.4 36.58 36.24 35.91 35.57 35.23 34.90 34.56 34.23 33.89 33.56 0.5 33.22 32.89 32.55 32.21 31.88 31.54 31.21 30.87 30.54 30.20 0.6 29.87 29.53 29.19 28.86 28.52 28.19 27.85 27.52 27.18 26.85 0.7 26.51 26.17 25.84 25.50 25.17 24.83 24.50 24.16 23.83 23.49 0.8 23.15 22.82 22.48 22.15 21.81 21.48 21.14 20.81 20.47 20.13 0.9 19.80 19.46 19.13 18.79 18.46 18.12 17.79 17.45 17.11 16.78 1.0 16.44 16.11 15.77 15.44 15.10 14.77 14.43 14.09 13.76 13.42 1.1 13.09 12.75 12.42 12.08 11.75 11.41 11.07 10.74 10.40 10.07 1.2 9.73 8.72 9.40 9.06 8.39 8.05 7.72 7.38 7.05 6.71 1.3 6.38 6.04 5.70 5.37 5.03 4.70 4.36 4.03 3.69 3.36 1.4 3.02 2.68 2.35 2.01 1.68 1.34 1.01 0.67 0.34 0.00

Note 1 - Numbers in the body of the table are estimates of lot percent defective corresponding to specific values of Q, the Quality Index. For values of Q greater than or equal to zero, the estimate of percent defective is read directly from the table. For values of Q less than zero, the table value must be subtracted from 100.

Note 2 - This empirically derived table is suitable only for use with this specification.

Table 2
Lot Sizes, Sampling Rates, Retest and Rejection Limits

Grade of Concrete

	45D	40\$	35 T	35P 35S	30P 30S					
Lot Size, Maximum	One Day's Production									
Critical Pay-Adjustment Item Initial Sampling Rate	ns 6/Lot	5/Lot	4/Lot	5/Lot	4/Lot					
Retest Limit, psi	4000	3500	3000	3000	2500					
Retest Sampling Rate, Min.	6/Lot	6/Lot	6/Lo t	6/Lot	6/Lot					
Rejection Limit, percent	10	10	10	10	15					
Non-Critical Pay-Adjustment Initial Sampling Rate	Items 3/Lot	3/Lot	3/Lot	3/Lot	3/Lot					
Retest Limit, psi	4500	4000	3500	3500	3000					

- Note 1 The lot sizes are maximums and, at the option of the Engineer, any lot may be subdivided into two or more smaller lots. When such a subdivision is made, the specified sampling rate applies to each of the smaller lots.
- Note 2 A retest result is defined as the strength of an individual test result obtained by coring or other suitable means.
- Note 3 The specified sampling rates shall apply except that no more than one test per truckload or batch of concrete will be required. At the option of the Engineer, lots consisting of fewer than three truckloads or batches, or containing 20 cubic yards or less, may be accepted without strength tests.
- Note 4 No lot shall include more than one grade of concrete, nor include concrete of the same grade having different specified levels of slump or air-entrainment, nor include concrete of the same grade having a different mix design.

Table 1 Mix Design Requirements

Grade of Concrete

	45D	40 S	35T	35P 35S	30P 30S
Class Design Strength (28 days, psi)	4500¹	4000	3500	3500	3000
Verification Strength (28 days, psi)	5000	4500	4500	4000	3500
Maximum Water/Cement Ratio	0.44	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Minimum Cement Content lb/cy	650	6 00 ²	550 ²	550²	500²

Note 1 - Water reducing or water reducing retarding admixtures shall be used.

Note 2 - Cement content may be decreased by five percent if a water reducing or water reducing retarding admixture is used.

Payment for Concrete Quality Assurance Cylinders includes all the necessary materials, labor, and equipment necessary to furnish each fully cured concrete cylinder to the Department for acceptance testing. An initial strength test result consists of the average of two test cylinders, and will be paid for as two Concrete Quality Assurance Cylinders.

Separate payment will not be made for the work required to provide an acceptable concrete mix design, for providing work progress tests, or for providing and maintaining an effective concrete quality control program. These costs shall be considered included in the applicable unit price for the concrete

item.

- g. Acceptance Testing for Strength for Non-Critical Pay-Adjustment Items.-This section applies to all other concrete items, which are subject to pay adjustment, not covered in Section (f), and that are not accepted on the basis of Certificates of Compliance. The lot is eligible for 100 percent payment provided that all initial test results equal or exceed the retest limit for non-critical pay-adjustment items in Table 2. Whenever one or more individual test results fall below the retest limit, the lot will be re-evaluated by coring or other suitable means and is subject to pay adjustment and all other provisions in accordance with Section (f), except that the amount of pay adjustment is the product of the unit bid price times the lot quantity times the percent pay adjustment given by Equation (1).
- h. Combined Pay Adjustments.-When a contract price requires adjustment for reasons other than strength, the lot of concrete accepted based on strength requirements may have varying contract price adjustments (for other reasons) within that lot. The total pay adjustment for the item shall be calculated using the summation of the pay adjustments involved. The base price or unit bid price, whichever case applies, shall be used in determining the pay adjustment for strength.
- i. Sampling and Testing.-Sampling and testing will be performed in accordance with the following:

ASTM

- C29 Unit Weight and Voids in Aggregate
- C31 Making and Curing Concrete Test Specimens in the Field
- C39 Compressive Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens
- C42 Obtaining and Testing Drilled Cores and Sawed Beams of Concrete
- C127 Specific Gravity and Absorption of Coarse Aggregate C128 Specific Gravity and Absorption of Fine Aggregate
- Cl38 Unit Weight, Yield and Air Content (Gravimetric) of Concrete
- C143 Slump of Hydraulic Cement Concrete
- C172 Sampling Freshly Mixed Concrete
- C173 Air Content of Freshly Mixed Concrete by the Volumetric Method
- C192 Making and Curing Concrete Test Specimens in the Laboratory
- C231 Air Content of Freshly Mixed Concrete by the Pressure Method

The Department's established procedures for sampling are considered acceptable alternatives.

The Contractor's personnel performing designated sampling and testing shall be certified as a Concrete Technician Michigan Level I or II through a program certified by the Michigan Concrete Association. The Contractor shall furnish the name(s) of the concrete technician(s) to the Engineer prior to sampling and testing.

j. Measurement and Payment.-The completed work as measured for FURNISHING PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE (QUALITY ASSURANCE) will be paid for at the contract unit price for the following contract item (pay item).

Pay Item Pay Unit

Concrete Quality Assurance Cylinders Each

3. All other terms are as previously defined.

Equation (4)

Qreject = (Average Lot Strength - Retest Limit) ÷ S

Provided that no initial test result (average strength of two test cylinders) falls below the retest limit (psi) listed in Table 2, the acceptability of a lot is based upon the estimated percentage of concrete having a 28-day compressive strength less than the class design strength specified in Table 1. To be eligible for 100 percent payment, a lot must have no more than 10 percent of the material below the class design strength.

For lots with percent defective levels less than 10 percent, Equation (1) awards positive pay adjustments to be added to the contract price. For lots having percent defective levels greater than 10 percent (when the percent defective is determined using Equation (2) and class design strength) but not exceeding the rejection limit in Table 2 (when the percent defective is determined using Equation (4) and the retest limit), Equation (1) assesses pay adjustments to be subtracted from the contract price.

Whenever an initial test result falls below the retest limit in Table 2, the

concrete will be re-evaluated by coring or non-destructive testing.

When re-evaluation is accomplished by a method other than coring, the results will be used only to determine what further action is to be taken. If any non-destructive test results are below the class design strength, the Engineer has the option to core. If this option is waived, the Contractor may elect to core, at no cost to the Department, or to accept the pay adjustment computed from the initial cylinder tests. If the Contractor elects to core, the coring shall be performed as directed and must be submitted to the Department within 45 days from the concrete placement. Cores shall not be taken within two feet of transverse joints, within two feet of longitudinal joints, or within two feet of free edges for critical pay-adjustment items, one-foot clearance in all other cases. The Department will test the cores. If none of the non-destructive test results is below the class design strength, the Engineer may elect either to core or to accept the lot at 100 percent payment.

When cores are taken, final disposition of the lot is based on the core results. Pay adjustment will be computed using the core test results provided that the percentage of material below the retest limit does not exceed the rejection limit percentage in Table 2. If this maximum allowable percentage is exceeded, the Engineer may:

- (1) Require the Contractor to remove and replace the defective lot at no cost to the Department. New initial tests shall be obtained and the evaluation procedure repeated.
- (2) Allow the Contractor to leave the defective lot in place and receive a percent pay adjustment (PPA) of minus 50 percent, or
- (3) Allow the Contractor to submit a plan, for approval, for corrective action to be performed at no cost to the Department. If the plan for corrective action is not approved, either Option (1) or (2) may be applied.

An initial strength test result is defined as the average of two 6-inch by 12-inch compression test cylinders, cured for 28 days in accordance with applicable ASTM Standards, and tested in the Department's Laboratory. The required rate of sampling and the acceptance testing criteria of Table 2 must be met. If a batch of concrete is rejected because it fails to meet the temperature, slump, or airentrainment requirements of this specification, the cylinders for strength tests shall not be molded.

The Engineer may direct additional unscheduled compression cylinders to be taken. These cylinders will be included with the regularly scheduled compression cylinders and the lot will be evaluated on the basis of the increased number of tests.

f. Acceptance Testing for Strength for Critical Pay-Adjustment Items.-The list of critical concrete pay items that are subject to pay adjustment and their base prices may be found in the Special Provision for Pay Adjustments.

The amount of pay adjustment in dollars is the product of the item base price times the lot quantity times the percent pay adjustment. The percent pay adjustment is given by Equation (1).

Equation (1):

PPA = 2.0 - 0.2 PD

In which

PPA = Percent Pay Adjustment

PD = Percent Defective (Estimate of percent of lot below the class design strength by the use of Equation (2) and Table 3

Equation (2):

 $Q = (Average Lot Strength - Class Design Strength) <math>\div S$

In which -

Q = Quality index for pay adjustment computations

S = Standard deviation of the strength test results for the lot as computed by Equation (3)

Equation (3)

$$S = \left[\frac{\sum (Xi - ALS)^2}{(N-1)} \right]^{1/2}$$

In which

 Σ = Summation

Xi = Individual test result (Average strength of a test cylinder pair)

ALS = Average lot strength

N = Number of test results for the lot

NOTE - When only a single test result is available, the standard deviation is assumed to be S = 400 psi.

When it is necessary to estimate the percentage of material below the retest limit to check the rejection criteria in Table 2, Equation (4) is used with Table

Concrete may be designed to achieve early strength requirements by increasing the cement content. Alternatively, an existing approved mix design may serve as a high-early-strength mix.

- c. Concrete Production.-The Contractor shall provide quality control measures for the concrete in accordance with the Special Provision for Contractor Quality Control for Concrete.
- d. Acceptance Testing Procedures for Temperature, Slump, and Air-Entrainment.-The Engineer will perform sampling and testing for temperature, slump, and air-entrainment.

Concrete temperature shall be in accordance with the Standard Specifications

and is a basis of acceptance.

Slump and air-entrainment tests are at the rate specified for strength tests in Table 2 and are performed on the same samples of material from which the compressive test cylinders are molded. The Engineer may perform additional unscheduled slump and air-entrainment tests. These tests will be a basis of acceptance. While these tests are being performed, discharge from the truck is to be halted.

Concrete must pass temperature, slump, and air-entrainment tests before cylinders for strength tests are molded.

General Acceptance Testing Requirements for Strength.-The Contractor shall be responsible for sampling, molding, 28-day curing, and transporting the concrete cylinders for testing, under the observation and direction of the Engineer. The 28-day, fully cured concrete cylinders shall be transported to the District Testing Laboratory to which the project is assigned. These fully cured concrete cylinders shall be delivered to the Testing Laboratory 28 days after molding the specimens. Metal tags will be inserted a maximum of 1/2-inch into the top surface of the molded cylinders by the Engineer for identification purposes. The air content and slump of the concrete represented by the cylinders will be noted on these tags. Random sampling techniques will be used by the Engineer to determine the samples selected for testing. Any high early strength concrete used intermittently on a project shall not be included in the sampling of that grade of concrete to determine acceptance of a lot. High early strength concrete shall not be used for critical pay adjustment items unless written permission from the Engineer is received. The Engineer reserves the right to sample and test any high early strength concrete used on the project to determine acceptance of that concrete.

The Department will cap the fully cured concrete cylinders and perform the strength tests. Metal tags for identification will be clipped off the cylinders by the Department prior to strength testing. Results of the strength test, along with the recorded slump and air content, will be provided to the Contractor and concrete supplier.

Curing of concrete test cylinders for 28 days, as required by ASTM C31, shall be provided by the Contractor.

The Contractor shall furnish a sufficient number of 6-inch by 12-inch cylinder molds to permit making the number of test specimens required for the volume of concrete produced. A shortage of molds will result in a stoppage in the placement operations.

The Contractor shall be responsible for making additional cylinder or beam specimens required for form removal and opening to traffic strengths. Curing of these specimens shall be provided by the Contractor and shall be in the same environment as the concrete item that they represent. These work progress test specimens shall be tested by the Contractor on the project site and the testing shall be witnessed by the Engineer.

Mix design documentation using trial batches shall be based on the same materials and proportions proposed for use on the project. Trial batches shall be prepared at least 30 days prior to the start of concrete placement. Tests on

the trial batch shall be performed by an approved testing laboratory.

At the Department's option, verification may be done on an annual basis for a concrete plant rather than on a project-to-project basis provided the properties and proportions of the materials do not change. If the job is the continuation of work in progress during the previous construction season and written verification is submitted that the same source and character of materials are to be used, the Engineer may waive the requirement for the design and verification of previously approved mixes.

- b.3. Mix Designs Using Fly Ash.-If fly ash is added to concrete, the restrictions cited in Subsection 7.01.04 of the Standard Specifications regarding the maximum weight of cement replaced by fly ash and the maximum substitution ratio do not apply. If the Contractor elects to use concrete containing a separate addition of fly ash, the Contractor shall provide a concrete mix design as described herein, except that fly ash shall not be greater than 30 percent of the cementitious material. The combined weight of fly ash and cement content shall be used to determine compliance with the cement factor and water-cement ratio requirements listed in Table 1.
- b.4. Laboratory Requirements.-Private testing laboratory shall conform to ASTM C 1077 and must demonstrate that they are equipped, staffed, and managed so as to be capable of batching and testing portland cement concrete in accordance with the applicable ASTM/AASHTO methods of testing. A means of demonstrating such ability of the laboratory is by submission of a copy of their latest report of inspection by the Cement and Concrete Reference Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology, along with a letter detailing the actions taken to correct any deficiencies noted therein.
- b.5. Review of Mix Designs.-Each mix design shall be submitted on portland cement concrete mix design forms acceptable to the Department, giving the source of materials, specific gravity of constituents, aggregate absorption, dry weights used, dry loose or dry rodded unit weight of coarse aggregate (whichever one is used as basis for design), batch weights, and test data. The test data shall include compressive strength, concrete age at the time of strength testing, and air content. When trial batches are used, the test data shall also include the slump of the concrete and the compressive strength of at least two molded cylinders. The average strength of these cylinders must meet the verification strength requirements.

When mix design documentation is based on past experience with similar materials and similar mix design the above information shall be submitted for the original mix design and the proposed mix design, along with calculations showing how the mix proportions were adjusted to produce a theoretical yield of 100 percent.

b.6. Changes in Materials and Proportions.-Concrete furnished on the project shall conform to the approved mix design. If another previously approved mix design is to be used, the Engineer shall be notified prior to such change.

Changes in the sources, types, or proportions of materials shall not be made until the requirements for the verification strengths specified herein have been Minor adjustments in the approved mix design proportions will be permitted in accordance with Section 7 of the Standard Specifications. requirement to verify a new design as a result of a change in the type of portland cement may be waived only by the Engineer.

SPECIAL PROVISION FOR FURNISHING PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE (QUALITY ASSURANCE)

M&T:RDT

1 of 17

11-09-92

- a. Description.-This specification sets forth the requirements for furnishing portland cement concrete and the procedures that will be used for acceptance of the concrete product. All concrete furnished for pavements, structures (except prestressed concrete), and appurtenant highway items that are concrete will be governed by this specification. Provisions for furnishing concrete shall be in accordance with the appropriate sections of the 1990 Standard Specifications for Construction, except as modified herein. Latex modified concrete, concrete repair mixtures, concrete patching mixture, mortar, grout, and concrete grade 35HE are not covered by this specification. In cases where this Special Provision is in conflict with another Special Provision, this Special Provision will prevail.
- **b.** Mix Design Proportioning and Verification.—It is the responsibility of the Contractor to provide a concrete mix design such that the specified temperature, slump, air-entrainment, and compressive strength of concrete will be attained.
- b.1. Mix Design Proportioning.—The designs shall be computed and set up in accordance with ACI Standard 211.1 as applicable. The mix design basis for bulk volume, dry loose or dry rodded method, of coarse aggregate per unit volume of concrete shall be between 65 and 75 percent, inclusive. Dry loose or dry rodded unit weight of coarse aggregate shall be determined in accordance with ASTM C 29 shoveling procedure and rodding procedure, respectively. The material shall be dried before testing.
- **b.2. Mix Design Verification.**—The Contractor shall submit mix designs for the various grades of concrete required to the Engineer for review, along with documentation indicating that the proposed mix design will meet the verification strength requirements listed in Table 1. Compressive strength of concrete at an age of seven days that equals or exceeds 90 percent of the verification strength listed in Table 1 will be considered an acceptable mix design. The documentation may be from past experience with the same materials and the same mix design, past experience with similar materials and a similar mix design, or from trial batches.

Mix design documentation using the same materials and the same mix design shall include traceable test results of compressive strength and air content.

Mix design documentation based on past experience with similar materials and similar mix design shall be restricted to changes of aggregate sources. Coarse aggregate sources will be allowed to be substituted provided the new source is within the same source type as the original aggregate, that is, natural gravel, quarried stone, and slag. Substitution of the fine aggregate source will be permitted. Proportions of the proposed mix design shall be adjusted based on the differences in specific gravity and absorption of the fine and coarse aggregate to produce a theoretical yield of 100 percent. This mix adjustment shall be done by an approved testing laboratory. Traceable test results of compressive strength and air content shall be included in the documentation for the original mix design, along with calculations showing how the mix proportions were adjusted.

SPECIAL PROVISION FOR HIGH DURABILITY COARSE AGGREGATE FOR CONCRETE PAVEMENTS AND CONCRETE SHOULDERS

M&T:RDT:RVP

l of l

03-18-93

a. Description.-The coarse aggregate furnished for Grade 35P and Grade 30P concrete for pavements and shoulders on northbound I-75, within the project limits, shall meet the requirements of 6AA as specified in the Standard Specifications, except as modified herein.

Coarse Aggregate 6AA shall be a natural gravel or crushed stone and shall have a maximum freeze-thaw dilation of 0.008 percent per 100 cycles per MTM 115. Coarse aggregate shall be sampled at the source or dock if the material is shipped to the project by boat and shall be approved before shipment. Each aggregate stockpile shall be sampled by the District as it is constructed at a frequency of 1 sample for each 1000 tons. No material shall be added or removed from a stockpile after a sample is taken. An aggregate source will not be approved by certification for this concrete. All stockpiles shall be clearly identified at both the source and concrete batch plant.

In cases where this Special Provision is in conflict with another Special

Provision, this Special Provision will prevail.

b. Measurement and Payment.-Separate payment will not be made for providing this coarse aggregate. All costs associated therewith shall be included in the applicable unit price for the concrete item.

C/APPR/RVP/RGS 3-18-93

- g. Joint Seal Splicing.-No splicing of the transverse joint seals will be allowed. Splices in the longitudinal joint shall be made only at mid-panel locations to avoid the intersecting point with the transverse joint. At the splice locations, the ends of the abutting members shall be trimmed square and be joined with an application of Sikaflex 221. Both sections of the seal shall then be inserted into the groove using a hammer and flat ended chisel butting the ends tightly together. Hammer and chisel installation of the longitudinal seal will continue for an additional three feet either side of the splice location, before continuing the installation of the seal with the installation machine.
- h. Measurement and Payment.—The completed work as measured for CONSTRUCTING LONGITUDINAL AND TRANSVERSE CONTRACTION JOINTS (EUROPEAN PAVEMENT) will be paid for at the contract unit price for the following contract items (pay items).

Pay Item Pay Unit

Transverse Contraction	J	oi	nt						
(European Pavement)		•		•	•	٠	•	•	Linear Foot
Longitudinal Joint									
(European Pavement)					٠		۰		Linear Foot

The payment for Transverse Contraction Joint will include all items provided for in this provision to construct and seal the transverse joints. This pay item includes such items as furnishing and installing dowel bars, all transverse EPDM joint seals required, adhesives, sawing, forming, and cleaning the joints; furnishing and installing the plastic bands; repairing spalls or voids; and furnishing special installation and sawing equipment. The pay item for Longitudinal Joint will include those similar materials and work for constructing transverse joints, as described in this provision, necessary to construct and seal the longitudinal joint.

C/APPR/RVP/RGS 4-2-93

- c. Joint Groove Sawing.-The joint grooves shall be sawed to the dimensions shown on the plans and as specified in Subsection 4.50.17 of the 1990 Standard Specifications, except that the first stage saw cutting on all joints will be performed within twenty four hours after concrete placement. No sawing shall be permitted until the concrete has obtained sufficient strength to support the saw without damage. After the initial saw cut, a continuous plastic band or tubing shall be inserted into the saw cut to a depth just below the subsequent saw cut that shapes the joint for the Phoenix seal. This plastic band is inserted to prevent slurry, resulting from the second stage saw cutting, from infiltrating into the crack cavity below the joint seal. The diameter of the solid plastic band should be approximately 10% greater than the width of the initial saw cut or if hollow tubing is used, approximately 25% greater. The exposed ends of the plastic band or tubing should be tied or knotted to prevent the band or tubing from contracting into the exposed ends of the saw cut. The saw and saw blade used for cutting the required bevel, as shown on the plan detail, will be supplied by the joint seal manufacturer (Phoenix). Immediately after the final stage sawing, the joint groove shall be cleaned with water having sufficient pressure to remove all slurry and debris from the joint faces and reservoir. The final stage sawing shall follow the completion of work for the aggregate surface treatment.
- d. Joint Repair.-Prior to sealing, all spalls or voids in the joint area shall be repaired as specified in Subsection 4.50.19 of the 1990 Standard Specifications. Prior to sealing the joint, the repaired areas shall be sandblasted to clean and texture the surface.
- e. Joint Preparation.-Immediately prior to sealing, the joint shall be cleaned to remove all dust and contamination from the joint faces and reservoir. Cleaning shall consist of abrasive blasting followed by a final cleaning with compressed air, free of oil and water and having a minimum nozzle pressure of 90 psi.
- f. Joint Sealing.-The EPDM seal shall be installed in accordance with Subsection 4.50.22-b of the 1990 Standard Specifications with the following exceptions. The transverse joint seal shall be installed prior to installing the longitudinal seal. No lubricant-adhesive shall be used. The joint seal shall be installed by a machine supplied by the joint seal manufacturer. The installation operation shall be carried out in such a manner that the longitudinal elongation of the seal does not exceed 5%. The joint seal shall be wiped clean with a water and soap solution as it is being inserted into the installation device. After the transverse joint seals are installed, a U-shaped notch shall be cut into the This cut, at the intersection between the transverse and longitudinal joints, shall be two-thirds of the profile height of the transverse joint. The device used to notch the transverse seals shall be the same machine that bevels the joint edge. The longitudinal seal shall be installed in a similar manner as the transverse joint. The surface contacts for the overlap between the transverse and longitudinal seals shall be glued with Sikaflex 221. Alternatives to this adhesive shall be approved only by the joint seal manufacturer. The placement of any glue shall not extend more than three transverse joints ahead of the longitudinal seal installation.

SPECIAL PROVISION FOR CONSTRUCTING LONGITUDINAL AND TRANSVERSE CONTRACTION JOINTS (EUROPEAN PAVEMENT)

M&T:SPB:RVP

1 of 3

04-02-93 IM 82251-30613A

a. Description.-This work shall consist of constructing longitudinal and transverse contraction joints in the two-layer European concrete pavement and associated shoulders and miscellaneous pavement in accordance with the plans and Section 4.50 of the 1990 Standard Specifications with the exceptions contained herein. Both joints shall be sealed with a PHOENIX EPDM joint seal in place of the hot-poured rubber asphalt longitudinal sealant, and in place of the 1-1/4 inch preformed neoprene transverse seal.

b.Materials:

Joint Sealant.-The longitudinal joint seal shall be a Phoenix EPDM type M 214-66. The transverse joint seal shall be a Phoenix EPDM type M 214-45. No other manufacturer for these joints will be allowed. The manufacturer shall provide Type D certification on the EPDM material, as defined in the Michigan Materials Quality Assurance Manual. PHOENIX North America, Inc. shall be notified one week in advance of the pending sealing operation. A representative of Phoenix will be on hand to assist in the installation procedure. The PHOENIX contact person is:

Mr. Scott Poyner
PHOENIX North America, Inc.
1 minue Street
Carteret, New Jersey 07008-9984
Ph: (908) 969-0319

Dowel Bars.—The dowel bars for transverse contraction joints shall meet the requirements of 8.16.08 except as noted. The dowel bars shall be twenty inches long with a diameter of one and one quarter inch $(1\ 1/4")$. The transverse dowel spacing shall be as shown on the plans. The dowels are to be inserted in the pavement by a mechanical dowel bar inserter or by dowel basket assemblies. The dowel bar coating shall be Type A for the inserted dowel bars.

Lane Ties.-Lane ties for longitudinal pavement joints shall meet the requirements of Subsection 8.16.10-a of the 1990 Standard Specifications except that the lane ties shall be an epoxy coated, deformed, number seven bar (seveneighths inch in diameter), thirty two inches in length. The spacing for the lane ties shall be as shown on the plans.

e.Measurement and Payment.-The completed work as measured for AGGREGATE SUBBASE (CIP) will be paid for at the contract unit price for the following contract item (pay item).

Pay Item

Pay Unit

Aggregate Subbase (CIP) Cubic Yard (European Pavement)

Aggregate subbase (CIP) will be measured by area in cubic yards in place in accordance with the methods specified for measuring sand subbase in Subsection 2.11.04 of the 1990 Standard Specifications. Payment for the item Aggregate Subbase (CIP) includes payment for furnishing, placing, spreading, shaping, compacting, and maintaining the new aggregate material.

C/APPR/RVP/RGS 3-18-93

SPECIAL PROVISION FOR AGGREGATE SUBBASE (CIP) (European Pavement)

M&T:DLS:RVP

1 of 2

03-18-93 IM 82251-30613A

- a. Description.-This work shall consist of furnishing and placing an aggregate on a prepared subgrade in accordance with the details shown on the plans and as specified in Sections 2.08, 2.11, and 8.02 of the 1990 Standard Specifications with the exceptions and additions specified herein.
- **b.** Materials.—The materials shall meet the requirements specified herein. The aggregate for the subbase shall be a natural aggregate meeting the following grading and physical requirements:

Grading Requirements

MI Series	Sieve Ar	ialysis, Tota	<u>.</u>	%Loss by		
& Class	1-3/4°	1.1	1/2"	#8	#30	Washing
Euro-A1	100	65-95	40-65	20-42	8-30	7.0 Max.

Physical Requirements

T TO TO THE OWNER OF THE OWNER	O I MO MO	_
Mi Series & Class	Euro-A1	٦.
Crushed Material, min.	1 90%(*)	. i
Loss, max., Los Angeles	i	ī
Abrasion (AASHTO T96)	45%	i

*The percentage of crushed material will be determined on that portion of the sample retained on all sieves down to and including the No. 4 sieve.

c.Construction Methods.-Prior to placing the aggregate subbase, the subgrade shall be prepared in accordance with Section 2.08.

The aggregate material shall be placed in accordance with Section 2.11, except as modified herein. The aggregate material shall be placed and compacted in two layers of approximately equal thickness. Each layer shall be compacted to not less than 100 percent of its maximum unit weight.

The surface of the Aggregate Subbase shall be finished to the specified grade and cross-section within a tolerance of $\pm 3/4$ inch. The finished surface shall be smooth and uniform in appearance, and be free of holes, depressions, ruts, and ridges.

d.Testing and Acceptance.—The material will be sampled and tested for gradation acceptance and physical requirements prior to placing and compacting. The Contractor shall make adequate allowance for degradation or segregation of the aggregate so that it will meet specification requirements after being compacted-in-place.

c. Construction.—The lean concrete base shall be non-reinforced and shall be constructed over a granular subbase to the dimensions shown on the plans. The two-layer concrete pavement and concrete shoulders shall be placed over the lean concrete base. Equipment used to place the lean concrete base shall be capable of screeding and consolidating the concrete mixture to the proposed line and grade. Transverse and longitudinal plane of weakness joints with a depth of at least 0.4 to 0.45 percent of the thickness shall be placed in the lean concrete base within 24 hours of placing the concrete. These joints shall be made by a vibrating panel placed in the fresh concrete or by saw cutting the hardened concrete. Transverse joints in the lean concrete base shall be placed within 2 inches from the transverse joint in the two-layer concrete pavement. Longitudinal joints in the lean concrete base shall be placed within 1 inch from the longitudinal joint in the two-layer concrete pavement. Load transfer bars shall not be placed in the lean concrete base at the transverse or longitudinal joints.

As soon as the concrete has set sufficiently to maintain texture, the concrete surface shall be dragged longitudinally with one or two layers of damp burlap or cotton fabric, a stiff fiber artificial grass carpet, or other approved material. This texturing shall be done in accordance with Subsection 4.50.14 of the Standard Specifications.

Lean concrete base surfaces shall be kept free of curing compound. These surfaces shall be cured by being kept continuously moist until the concrete has reached an age of at least 7 days. The moist curing shall be started as soon as the concrete has hardened sufficiently to prevent significant marring or water damage.

Heavy equipment, including slip form pavers, will not be permitted on the lean concrete base until the concrete has attained a strength of 70 percent of its class design strength.

The Contractor shall remove and replace all sections of lean concrete base that have full depth cracks between the transverse joints at no cost to the project. The surface of the lean concrete base shall be cleaned of all foreign material before placing the two-layer concrete pavement or concrete shoulder.

d. Measurement and Payment.-Payment for the work of LEAN CONCRETE BASE (EUROPEAN PAVEMENT) includes all the materials, labor, and equipment necessary to complete the work as described herein. Payment shall be made for the following contract item (pay item).

Pay Item

Pay Unit

Lean Concrete Base - 6-inch Non-Reinforced (European Pavement) Square Yard

Coring the lean concrete base for thickness determination and acceptance will be done in accordance with Section 4.50 of the Standard Specifications. Depths of reinforcement measurements are not applicable.

SPECIAL PROVISION FOR LEAN CONCRETE BASE (EUROPEAN PAVEMENT)

M&T:RDT:RVP

1 of 2

03-18-93

- a. Description.-This work shall consist of constructing a lean concrete base over a granular subbase. The lean concrete base shall be non-reinforced and shall be constructed to the dimensions and limits as shown on the plans. Lean concrete bases shall be constructed in accordance with concrete base courses as specified in Section 4.50 of the Standard Specifications, except as modified herein. The two-layer concrete pavement and concrete shoulders shall be placed over the lean concrete base.
- b. Concrete Mix Design.-The Contractor shall be responsible for the concrete mix design as specified in the Special Provision for Furnishing Portland Cement Concrete (Quality Assurance). Concrete properties, characteristics, and acceptance sampling rate shall be as specified herein. Acceptance of the concrete based on these properties and characteristics shall be in accordance with the Special Provision for Furnishing Portland Cement Concrete (Quality Assurance). This lean concrete base is considered a Critical Pay-Adjustment Item.
- b.1. Concrete Properties and Characteristics.-Concrete for the lean concrete base shall meet the following properties and characteristics.

Class Design Strength (28 days, psi)				٠	2500
Verification Strength (28 days, psi)					3000
Retest Limit (28 days, psi)			٠		2000
Maximum Water/Cement Ratio (1b/1b) .				٠	0.70
Minimum Cement Content (1b/cyd)	٠				400
Maximum Slump (inches)		٠			. 3

This concrete is designated as Concrete Grade 25P.

The Initial Sampling Rate for acceptance shall be 5 per lot, the Retest Sampling Rate (minimum) shall be 6 per lot, and the Rejection Limit shall be 10 percent.

Fine aggregate shall meet the requirements of Section 8.02 in the Standard Specifications.

Coarse aggregate shall be a natural gravel or crushed stone and shall meet the requirements of 6AA as stated in the Standard Specifications, with the additional requirement that freeze-thaw dilation (in percent) per 100 cycles shall be 0.008 maximum per MTM 115. No recycled concrete pavement will be allowed in the lean concrete base mixture. Coarse aggregate shall be sampled at the source or dock if the material is shipped to the project by boat and shall be approved before shipment. Each aggregate stockpile shall be sampled by the District as it is constructed at a frequency of 1 sample for each 1000 tons. No material shall be added or removed from a stockpile after a sample is taken. An aggregate source will not be approved by certification for this concrete. All stockpiles shall be clearly identified to this project at both the source and concrete batch plant.

Coring the concrete pavement for thickness determination and acceptance will be done in accordance with Section 4.50 of the Standard Specifications. Total pavement thickness will be the basis of application to this section. Top layer thickness of $\pm 1/2$ inch from the plan dimension shall be cause for removal and replacement. Depth of reinforcement measurements are not applicable.

C/APPR/RVP/RGS 04-02-93

transverse joints have been inspected, then the method of placing dowels shall be deemed to be satisfactory.

Position and alignment of tie bars shall be checked by the Contractor by drilling cores from the pavement with a minimum diameter of 4 inches. Cores shall be taken at each end of at least one-third of all the tie bars in the trial section.

Approval of the materials, plant, equipment, and construction methods will be given when the trial length complies with the specifications. The Contractor shall not proceed with production work until the trial length has been approved and any earlier defective trial lengths have been removed, unless they can be remedied to the satisfaction of the Engineer. If the Engineer does not notify the Contractor of any deficiencies in any trial length within 10 working days after the completion of that trial length the Contractor may assume that the trial length, and the materials, plant, equipment, and construction methods adopted are all acceptable.

When approval has been given, the materials, plant, equipment, and construction methods shall thereafter not be changed, except for normal adjustments and maintenance of the plant, without the approval of the Engineer. Any changes in materials, plant, equipment, and construction methods shall entitle the Engineer to require the Contractor to construct another trial length as described in this section to demonstrate that the changes will not adversely affect the work.

Trial lengths that do not comply with the specifications, with the exception of areas within the pavement surface that can be remedied to the satisfaction of the Engineer, shall be removed immediately upon notification of deficiencies by the Engineer and the contractor shall construct a further trial length.

f. Measurement and Payment.-Payment for the work of TWO-LAYER CONCRETE PAVEMENT AND CONCRETE SHOULDERS (EUROPEAN PAVEMENT) includes all the materials, labor, and equipment necessary to complete the work as described herein. Payment shall be made in accordance with the following contract items (pay items).

Pay Item Pay Unit

Two-Layer Concrete Pavement -

10-inch Non-Reinforced (European Pavement) Square Yard

Two-Layer Concrete Shoulder -

10-inch Non-Reinforced (European Pavement) . . . Square Yard

Miscellaneous Two-Layer Concrete Pavement

10-inch Non-Reinforced (European Pavement) . . . Square Yard

The cost of furnishing and setting dowel bars and lane ties in two-layer concrete pavement transverse joints is included in the payment for Transverse Contraction Joint (European Pavement) and Longitudinal Joint (European Pavement) as described in the Special Provision for Constructing Longitudinal and Transverse Contraction Joints.

Payment for the trial length of concrete pavement will not be paid for separately, but shall be considered included in the payment for Two-Layer Concrete Pavement - 10-inch Non-Reinforced (European Pavement). Cost for removal and replacement of all failing trial lengths shall be at the Contractor's expense.

for placing the lane ties are 1/2 inch in the length of the bar in both the vertical and horizontal planes of the pavement, within 2 inches of the plan transverse location, within 1 inch of the plan longitudinal location, and within 1/2 inch of the plan depth location. All dowel bars and lane ties placed outside these tolerances shall be removed and replaced at the Contractor's expense. The Contractor shall furnish an instrument capable of verifying the final location of the inserted dowel bars and lane ties.

The Contractor shall provide positive control and an approved method of marking

the dowel bar locations for correlation to the sawed transverse joints.

Top layer concrete shall be placed within 30 minutes from screeding the bottom layer concrete directly below and within 45 minutes from unloading the bottom layer concrete onto the lean concrete base. The maximum distance during paving between the top layer paver and bottom layer paver shall be 50 feet.

Miscellaneous concrete pavement shall be constructed using the same materials and procedures as used for concrete pavements. Transverse joints in the miscellaneous concrete pavement shall coincide with the adjacent concrete

pavement transverse joints.

e.l. Trial Length.-A trial length of concrete pavement, including a final finish in accordance with the Special Provision for Exposed Aggregate Surface Treatment of Concrete Pavements (European Pavement), shall be constructed by the Contractor.

At least one month prior to the construction of the trial length of concrete pavement the Contractor shall submit for the Engineer's approval a detailed description of the proposed materials, plant, equipment, and construction methods. No trials of new materials, plant, equipment, or construction methods; nor any development of them shall be permitted either during the construction of the trial length or in any subsequent paving work, unless they form part of further approved trials.

The Contractor shall demonstrate the materials, plant, equipment, and methods of construction that are proposed for concrete paving by first constructing a trial length of slab at least 500 feet but not more than 1000 feet long. The width of the trial length shall be 12-foot minimum. The trial length shall be constructed in two parts over a period comprising at least part of two separate working days, with a minimum of 250 feet constructed each day. The trial length shall be constructed at a similar rate to that which is proposed for the production paving.

At least two complete transverse joints and one complete longitudinal joint

shall be constructed and assessed in the trial length.

The trial length shall comply with the specifications in all respects, with the following additions.

At least 3 cores with a minimum diameter of 4 inches shall be taken at random from the pavement by the Contractor to check the top and bottom layer thickness.

At least 3 cores with a minimum diameter of 4 inches shall be taken at random from the pavement by the Contractor at joints to check the lateral and vertical location of joint grooves and initial saw cut crack inducers.

Alignment of dowel bars shall be checked by the Contractor in any two consecutive transverse joints by drilling cores from the pavement with a minimum diameter of 4 inches. Cores shall be taken at each end of at least 3 dowel bars in each joint. If the position or alignment of the dowel bars at one of these joints does not comply with the tolerances stated herein, but if that joint remains the only one that does not comply after the next three consecutive

d. Equipment.-Slip form pavers shall be used for constructing the concrete pavement and the concrete shoulder. Lane ties may be hand vibrated into place or placed with an automatic lane tie inserter for longitudinal joints. A separate machine including a concrete spreader, consolidator, and screed shall be used for each layer of the concrete. This shall be accomplished by using a separate paver for each layer or by using a combined two-layer paver. All pavers used shall be capable of maintaining proper line and grade.

Concrete finishing equipment for the top layer concrete shall include an oscillating longitudinal float pan moving perpendicular to the centerline of the roadway that has a smoothing action on the surface and removes any irregularities left by the operation of the paving equipment. The length of longitudinal float pan in the direction parallel to the centerline of the roadway shall be a minimum

of six feet. Hand finishing will only be allowed at the edges.

Dowel bars may be set using a joint assembly or an automatic inserter. Equipment used to automatically place dowel bars and lane ties shall be capable of accurately inserting the dowel bars and lane ties into plastic concrete at the location shown on the plans without interrupting the forward movement of the pavers. The installing device shall consolidate the concrete around the dowel bars and lane ties such that no voids exist, without the supplement use of handheld vibrators. The Contractor shall provide a work bridge for use by the Department in order to make wet checks on the location of the dowel bars and lane ties.

If basket assemblies are used, they shall be held in place and attached to the lean concrete base by a method approved by the Engineer.

e. Construction.-Concrete pavement and concrete shoulders shall be constructed to the dimensions shown on the plans. Steel reinforcement shall not be placed in the concrete pavement or concrete shoulder. The concrete pavement shall have a final finish in accordance with the Special Provision for Exposed Aggregate Surface Treatment of Concrete Pavements (European Pavement). Concrete shoulders shall be dragged longitudinally with one or two layers of damp burlap or cotton fabric, a stiff fiber artificial grass carpet, or other approved material as soon as the concrete has set sufficiently to maintain texture. This concrete shoulder texturing shall be done in accordance with Subsection 4.50.14 of the Standard Specifications.

Concrete pavement and concrete shoulder shall be placed over a lean concrete base. The surface of the lean concrete base shall be cleaned of all foreign material before placing the concrete pavement or concrete shoulder. Heavy equipment and equipment for concrete paving will not be allowed on the lean concrete base until it reaches a strength of 70 percent of its class design strength.

Transverse joints in the concrete pavement shall be placed within 2 inches from the transverse joint in the lean concrete base. Longitudinal joints in the two-layer concrete pavement shall be placed within 1 inch from the longitudinal joints in the lean concrete base.

If dowel bars and lane ties are placed by an automatic inserter, they shall be inserted into the consolidated bottom layer of concrete prior to placing the top layer of concrete. Tolerances for placing the dowel bars are 3/16 inch in the length of the bar in both the vertical and horizontal planes of the pavement, within 2 inches of the plan longitudinal location, within 1 inch of the plan transverse location, and within 1/2 inch of the plan depth location. Tolerances

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

SPECIAL PROVISION FOR CONTRACTOR QUALITY CONTROL FOR CONCRETE

M&T: RDT: RVP

1 of 3

3-18-93

a. Description.-The Contractor shall provide quality control for concrete adequate to produce work of acceptable quality. The Contractor shall perform quality control sampling, testing, and inspection during all phases of the concrete work at the rate specified herein.

The Engineer will not sample or test for quality control or assist in controlling the Contractor's production operations. The Contractor shall provide the personnel and testing equipment capable of performing the specified tests for quality control. Continual production of nonconforming work at a reduced price, in lieu of adjustments to bring work into conformance, will not be allowed. Acceptance tests on the concrete products will be performed in accordance with the Special Provision For Furnishing Portland Cement Concrete (Quality

b. Quality Control Plan.-The Contractor shall provide and maintain a quality control plan, including all the personnel, equipment, supplies, and facilities necessary to obtain samples, perform tests, and otherwise control the quality of the product to meet specified requirements. The quality control plan shall contain a system for sampling that assures all material being produced has an equal chance of being selected for testing and must specify what actions will be taken when test results identify concrete that is not in compliance with the specifications. The Engineer shall be provided the opportunity to witness all sampling and testing. The Contractor shall certify in writing to the Engineer that the testing equipment to be used is properly calibrated.

The quality control plan shall be administered by a qualified individual. The individual administering the plan must be a full-time employee of or a consultant engaged by the Contractor. The individual shall have full authority to institute any and all actions necessary for the successful operation of the quality control plan.

The Contractor shall maintain complete records of all quality control tests and inspections. These records shall indicate what action was taken to correct deficient concrete when quality control tests indicate the concrete was not in compliance with the specifications. The original and one copy of these records shall be furnished to the Engineer within 24 hours after the date covered by the record. Forms shall be in a format acceptable to the Engineer. Failure of the Contractor to provide properly documented quality control test results in a timely manner will be justification for withholding acceptance of the concrete product.

The Contractor shall submit the quality control plan for the appropriate items to the Engineer for approval a minimum of ten working days prior to the start of related work. The Contractor shall not start work on the subject items without an approved quality control plan.

When directed by the Engineer, the Contractor shall sample and test any material which appears inconsistent with similar material being sampled, unless such material is voluntarily removed and replaced or corrected by the Contractor.

c. Qualifications.—The Contractor's personnel administering the quality control plan shall be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Michigan, or shall be certified by the National Institute for Certification of Engineering Technologies (NICET) at Level III or above for concrete, or shall be certified as a Concrete Technician Michigan Level II through a program certified by Michigan Concrete Association Board of Examiners.

The Contractor's personnel performing designated tests shall be certified as a Concrete Technician Michigan Level I or II through a program certified by

Michigan Concrete Association Board of Examiners.

The Contractor shall furnish the names and credentials of the quality control staff to the Engineer prior to sampling and testing.

- d. Sampling and Testing.-Sampling and testing shall be performed in accordance with the following minimum frequencies and specifications.
- d.1. Concrete Yield Determination.-After the start of the first concreting operation for each mix design and immediately after the specified slump and entrained air have been attained, unit weight determinations shall be made by the Contractor, under the direction of the Engineer. The average of the three determinations from different batches shall be considered the unit weight of the concrete. The actual yield shall be determined from the average unit weight and the design mix shall be adjusted as required to correct the actual yield to correspond to the theoretical.

During the progress of the work, the actual yield may be verified and, if the yield based on a single unit weight determination should differ from the theoretical (adjusted for differences in air content) more than plus or minus two percent, two additional unit weight determinations shall be made by the Contractor and the average of the three determinations shall be considered the unit weight of the concrete. The actual yield shall be determined from the average unit weight, and the design mix shall again be adjusted as required to correct the actual yield to correspond to the theoretical.

- d.2. Concrete Slump Determination.-The Contractor shall determine the concrete slump on the first load of the pour, the next load after this test is completed and a third load immediately after the second test is completed, then once every hour of continuous production, or more often as directed by the Engineer.
- d.3. Concrete Air-Entrainment Determination.-The contractor shall determine the concrete air content on the first load of the pour, the next load after this test is completed and a third load immediately after the second test is completed, then once every two hours of continuous production. Additional tests shall be made whenever there is a change in air-entraining admixture dosage, or as directed by the Engineer.
- **d.4.** Concrete Strength Determination.—The Contractor shall determine the concrete strength on samples taken at least once every 200 cubic yards of that class of concrete, except that no more than four samples need to be taken for one day's production. Compressive strength or modulus of rupture may be used for strength determination. A single strength test shall consist of two cylinders or two beams. The Contractor is responsible for proper curing of the cylinders.
- d.5. Concrete Containing Fly Ash.-For concrete grade 45D containing fly ash, a qualified Concrete Technician Michigan Level II inspector provided by the Contractor as cited in Subsection 7.04.01 is required. Concrete from each batch, each load shall not be placed in the bridge deck until the air content has been

determined and found to be within the specified range.

d.6. Test Procedure Specifications.

ASTM

- Making and Curing Concrete Test Specimens in the Field C31
- C39 Compressive Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens
- Flexural Strength of Concrete (Using Simple Beam with Third-Point Loading) C78
- C138 Unit Weight, Yield and Air Content (Gravimetric) of Concrete
- C143 Slump of Hydraulic Cement Concrete
- C172 Sampling Freshly Mixed Concrete
 C173 Air Content of Freshly Mixed Concrete by the Volumetric Method
- C231 Air Content of Freshly Mixed Concrete by the Pressure Method
- C293 Flexural Strength of Concrete (Using Simple Beam with Center-Point Loading)

The Department's established procedures for sampling and testing are considered acceptable alternatives.

Measurement and Payment.-Separate payment will not be made for providing and maintaining an effective quality control program, and all costs associated therewith shall be included in the applicable unit prices for the concrete item.

C/APPR/RVP/RGS 3-18-93

NOTICE TO BIDDERS

LETTING OF JUNE 9, 1993

ADDENDUM NO. 1

This Addendum changes the terms of the Bid Proposal. By submitting a bid you accept all changes included in this Addendum.

The following paragraphs and the attached pages will instruct you as to the changes made and how to make them.

CHANGES TO BID ITEM PRICES

When you are instructed to ADD, DELETE, OR MAKE CHANGES to a <u>BID ITEM PAGE OR PAGES</u>, these additions, deletions, or changes <u>MUST</u> be made on the bid item pages you submit with your bidding proposal, whether handwritten or computer generated.

CHANGES TO OTHER PAGES

When you are instructed to DELETE something which is NOT on a Bid Item Page, you may line through the text diagonally and/or print or write the word <u>"DELETE"</u> on the text being deleted. Physically removing the page(s) is not necessary.

When you are instructed to ADD A <u>NON-BID ITEM PAGE(S)</u>, OR <u>PORTIONS THEREOF</u>, you MUST CONSIDER it/them in developing your bid, but the physical insertion of the new page(s) into the proposal is not necessary.

FAILURE TO CARRY OUT THE INSTRUCTIONS IN THIS ADDENDUM MAY RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

THIS ADDENDUM IS FOR THE FOLLOWING LISTED PROJECTS:

ITEM	PROJECT	JOB NO.	PARTS FED NO.	FED ITEM
9306 083	IM 82251 IM 82111	30613A 30614 A	IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420)	

Prospective bidders on the above noted project are hereby advised of the following changes:

Proposal

- 1. On Cover Sheet of the proposal, revise the following paragraph "BIDS WILL BE OPENED AT 10:30 A.M., E.D.T., ON WEDNESDAY, JUNE 9, 1993 AT THE HOLIDAY INN SOUTH/CONVENTION CENTER 6820 S. CEDAR ST., LANSING, MICHIGAN" to read "BIDS WILL BE OPENED AT 2:00 P.M., ON MONDAY, JUNE 14, 1993 AT THE SOUTH TRAINING CENTER OF THE TRANSPORTATION BUILDING, 425 WEST OTTAWA, LANSING, MICHIGAN."
- 2. Replace pages 1 thru 22, titled "BID ITEMS" with pages 1 Revised thru 22 Revised, titled "BID ITEMS."

- 3. On page 50 revise the following paragraph of the "Progress Schedule:"
 "1993 Construction Season: The Contractor . . . the full day. See Notice
 to Bidders."
 - to read "1993 Construction Season: The Contractor will construct the NB I-75 and I-375 roadway during the 1993 construction season (Traffic Stages I and II). The Contractor will be required to schedule the paving of the European concrete pavement section on Saturday, October 23 and Monday, October 25, 1993, during the National AASHTO Convention in Detroit. The concrete paving on October 23 and 25 shall consist of at least one lane of main line paving and it shall last for the full day. See Notice to Bidders."
- 4. Add pages 69A and 69B, titled "Typical Sign Sequence For A Single Lane Closure On A Divided Highway Using Statutory Speed Limit" and "Typical Sign Sequence For A Double Lane Closure On A Divided Highway Using Statutory Speed Limit & Attenuators."
- 5. Add page 69C, "Special Provision For Vehicle Mounted Attenuator."
- 6. On page 115, revise the first paragraph in "Section b. Concrete Mix Design" of the "Special Provision For Two-Layer Concrete Pavement And Concrete Shoulders (European Pavement): The Contractor shall . . . for Furnishing Portland Cement Concrete (Quality Assurance)."

to read

- Concrete Mix Design.-The Contractor shall be responsible for the concrete mix design as specified in the Special Provision for Furnishing Portland Cement Concrete Quality Assurance). Concrete properties. characteristics, and acceptance sampling rate shall be as specified Acceptance of the concrete based on these properties and herein. characteristics shall be in accordance with the Special Provision for Furnishing Portland Cement Concrete (Quality Assurance). The Engineer will evaluate the concrete of each individual layer separately for acceptance. Rejection of an individual layer will be cause for rejecting the entire thickness. The percent pay adjustment applied to the lot quantity will be a weighted average based on plan thickness of the layers and the corresponding percent pay adjustment for that layer. The bottom layer will account for 75 percent and the top layer will account 25 percent of the percent pay adjustment applied to the lot quantity. If cores from the concrete are taken, the strength of each layer will be determined and the results evaluated for acceptance."
- 7. On page 118, titled "Special Provision For Two-Layer Concrete Pavement And Concrete Shoulders (European Pavement)" under "Section e. Construction" fourth paragraph delete the last sentence reading "Contractor shall furnish an instrument capable of verifying the final location of the inserted dowel bars and lane lies" and add the following paragraph:

"The Contractor shall furnish an instrument capable of verifying the final location of the dowel bars and lane ties regardless of the installation method."

METHOD OF TESTING CONCRETE FOR DURABILITY BY RAPID FREEZING IN AIR AND THAWING IN WATER

Michigan Test Method 115-90

1. SCOPE

1.1 This method describes the procedure for testing concrete beams to evaluate their durability in rapid freezing and thawing, specifically for the evaluation of coarse aggregate used in the concrete. The method uses concrete beam specimens prepared according to MTM 114 and describes the freeze-thaw cycling and evaluation of the beams by the length change (dilation) procedure. This method conforms to the general requirements of ASTM C 666, Procedure B.

2. APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

2.1 ASTM Standards:

C 490 Specification for Apparatus for Use in Measurement of Length Change of Hardened Cement Paste, Mortar, and Concrete

C 666 Test Method for Resistance of Concrete to Rapid Freezing and Thawing E 178 Practice for Dealing with Outlying Observations

2.2 MDOT Publications:

MTM 113 Method of Selection and Preparation of Coarse Aggregate Samples for Freeze-Thaw Testing

MTM 114 Method for Making Concrete Specimens for Freeze-Thaw Testing of Concrete Coarse Aggregate

3. APPARATUS

- 3.1 The equipment for freeze-thaw testing shall be as described in ASTM C 666, including an automatic freezing-and-thawing apparatus as necessary for testing by Procedure B (without specimen containers), temperature-measuring equipment, length change comparator, and tempering tank.
- 3.2 The length change comparator shall conform to the requirements of ASTM C 490, except that the comparator and reference bar shall be set for a nominal overall length of 16 inches (13.5-inch gage length). Dial gage micrometers for use on the length change comparator shall meet the graduation interval and accuracy requirements for C 490 for the inch calibration requirements. Prior to the start of measurements on any specimens, fix the comparator at an appropriate length to accommodate all of the specimens to be monitored for length change.

4. FREEZING-AND-THAWING CYCLE

4.1 The nominal freezing-and-thawing cycle for this method shall consist of alternately lowering the temperature of the specimens from 40 F to 0 F and raising it from 0 F to 40 F, within the

NOTE: This method prepared by the Structural Services Unit, Testing Laboratory Section. Approved February 7, 1984. Revised and Re-approved November 23, 1987, June 8, 1989, and April 17, 1990.

-2-

temperature limitations of ASTM C 666. The nominal cycle length shall be 3 hours. Table 1 is a tabulation of temperature versus time that is achieved by MDOT equipment.

4.2 The thawing portion of the cycle may be extended when necessary in order to use the freezethaw chamber as a tempering tank while testing specimens.

5. TEST SPECIMENS

5.1 The specimens for use in this test shall be beams made and cured according to MTM 114. Three beams from each of 3 batches of concrete shall constitute a test, or a minimum of 7 beams (with no more than 1 damaged beam per batch) if there should be mechanical damage to specimens.

6. TEST PROCEDURE

- 6.1 Except as otherwise stated herein, all testing shall be according to ASTM C 666. So that the freezing-and-thawing apparatus works under constant load at all times, fill all spaces with either test beams, control beams, or dummy beams.
- 6.2 On the day prior to starting the beams in freeze-thaw, place them in a 40 F \pm 1 F waterbath for approximately 16 hours before being placed in the machine and determine the initial length comparator reading for each specimen in accordance with ASTM C 490.
- 6.3 Start freezing-and-thawing tests by placing the specimens in the freeze-thaw apparatus during the thawing cycle. Remove the specimens approximately 24 hours after the start of freezing and thawing (approximately 8 cycles) and test for length change. Subsequently, test the specimens for length change twice weekly.
- 6.4 Continue freezing and thawing until the specimens have been exposed to 300 cycles, or until the length change reaches 0.100%, whichever occurs first. Determine the final length comparator reading of the specimen at the end point. For beams failing before 300 cycles (i.e., reaching 0.100% total dilation), use the number of cycles at that point to calculate dilation per 100 cycles. For beams tested to over 300 cycles (due to holidays or weekends, etc.), interpolate for total dilation at 300 cycles for the value to be used in calculating dilation per 100 cycles.
- 6.5 Record the values of length change, number of cycles, and location in the freeze-and-thaw apparatus on a worksheet as shown in Figure 1.

7. CALCULATIONS

7.1 Length Change - Calculate the length change in inches and in percent as indicated in Figure 1. At the end of test calculate the average expansion per 100 cycles as:

$$L_c = \frac{E' \times 100}{n}$$

where:

L_e = length change at end of test per 100 cycles, %

E' = total length change in percent

n = number of cycles at end of test

7.2 Outlier Tests - Evaluate any suspected outliers according to the methods of ASTM E 178 for possible elimination in the average length change calculations. See attached Annex A.1, Identification of Outliers in Freeze-Thaw Dilation Results, for proper application.

8. REPORT

- 8.1 Report the following data on the "Report of Test Freeze-Thaw Durability in Concrete" as shown in Figure 2, for each beam and the average of the nine beams in the test (less any excluded according to 7.2, or due to mechanical damage) where indicated.
 - 8:1.1 Expansion per 100 cycles in percent, individual values and average.
- 8.2 Combine the results of testing under this method with the results obtained under MTM 113 and 114 to provide a complete report on the aggregate being tested, as shown in Figure 2.

TABLE 1: TEMPERATURE VERSUS TIME CYCLING

<u>Function</u>	Time (Minutes)	Sample Tank Air/Water Temp (*F)	Beam (At Center) Temp (°F)
Start Cooling	0	+40	+40
	10	+8	+31
	20	+ <u>'</u> 4	+25
	30	+3	+21
	40	+2	+17
	50	+1	+13
	60	0	+10
	7 0	0	+ 8
	80	0	+ 6
	90	0	+4
A	100	0	+2
Stop Cooling	105	0	+1
	110	0	+0
Flood Sample Tank	112	(Air/Water Transition)	+ 0
-	120	+34	+25
	130	+37	+33
	140	+39	+37
	150	+40	+39
_	160	+40	÷40
Empty Sample Tank	170	+40	+40
Start Cooling	180	÷40	+40

Note: There is a ± 3°F tolerance band around the above temperature curves.

FREEZE-THAW DURABILITY EXPANSION WORKSHEET LAB. NO. 87A 569-2-2 BEAM NO. . IDENTIFICATION: ABC GRAVEL PIT NO. 89-23 BATCH MADE: 6-11-87 STARTING DATE: _ GAGE LENGTH: 13.5 inches ALL MEASUREMENTS TO BE MADE AT 40°F (4°C) COMPARATOR READING - REFERENCE BAR = 0.1900 (RR) Number Comparator Expansion (+) of Reading Percent Date Time Cycles Space Specimen Contraction (-) Expansion Number (n) (RS) (E) (E') 6/25 8:00 0.1733 ŧ 9 8:00 0-1737 0.0004 0.003 23 6/30 8:00 0.0006 0.004 8:00 96 0.0006 0.004 <u>50</u> 7/10 8:00 120 0.0009 0.007 7/14 8:00 152 0.1739 0.0006 0.004 8:00 176 0.1745 0.00/2 0.009 23 7/21 8:00 208 0.0009 0.007 2/ 7/24 8:00 0.0018 ŧ 0.013 58 *7/2*8 8:00 0.0019 0.014 28 7/31 8:00 288 0.0019 0.014 45 8/3 8:00 312 0.1760 0.0027 0.020 ł ļ 300 0-017 ŧ ļ EXPANSION, in. (E) = $RS_n - RS$ EXPANSION, % (E') = (E/13.5)100LENGTH CHANGE, LC (per 100 cycles) = E' x 100 calculated by Lc = 0.017 x 100 = 0.006 % T(J no. of cycles checked by completed J5

Figure 1. Expansion Worksheet

ANNEX (Mandatory Information)

A1 IDENTIFICATION OF OUTLIERS IN FREEZE-THAW DILATION RESULTS

- A1.1 Identify outliers according to ASTM E 178. Type of outliers and method of analysis are as follows:
- A1.1.1 Case A is the most common case where the smallest or the largest observation in the set of nine dilation values appears to be an outlier. Use the one-sided T test.
- A1.1.2 Case B is the case where the two smallest or two largest observations appear to be outliers. Use the Grubbs test.
- A1.1.3 Case C is the least frequent case where the smallest and the largest observation appear to be outliers. Use the Tietjen-Moore statistic.
 - Note A1.1 All three of these methods are explained in ASTM E 178.
- A1.2 For Case A, use the critical value of 2.323 from Table 1 for n = 9 observations and an upper 1% significance level. If the suspected outlier is on the low end, T_1 is the comparison statistic while for the high end, T_2 is used. The smallest observation x_1 is an outlier provided that T_1 is greater than the critical value. The largest observation x_2 is an outlier if T_2 is greater than the critical value.
- A1.3 For Case B, use the critical value of 0.1082 from Table 4 for n=9 observations and an upper 1% significance level. The two smallest observations x_1 and x_2 are outliers if S^2_{12}/S^2 is less than the critical value. The two largest observations x_8 and x_9 are outliers if $S^2_{8,9}/S^2$ is less than the critical value.
- A1.4 For Case C, use the critical value of 0.078 from Table 14 for n = 9, alpha = 0.01 as a comparison with the calculated E_2 value. The original smallest observation x_1 and largest observation x_2 are outliers provided E_2 is smaller than the critical value.
- A1.5 The appropriate critical value must be applied for a given value of (n) observations. The number of observations may vary from n = 7 to n = 9. If, however, it is determined that less than seven observations remain after eliminating outliers, a new set of dilations will be determined from the same aggregate source.
- Al.6 Several test reports have been analyzed. The attached worksheet (Figure Al.1) identifies the outliers and shows the resulting overall dilation results after excluding the verified outliers. This outlier test will be performed as part of the test report preparation by the Structural Services Unit. Some statistical judgment is required to determine which of the three cases characterizes the given data set in question and calculations may have to be made in more than one case. Calculations for the three cases above are performed by the Freeze-Thaw Dilation Program (FTD) in the Structural Services Unit.



REPORT OF TEST

Freeze-Thaw Durability In Concrete

I		
Freeze-Thaw No.	87 FT-100	
Job No.	General	
Laboratory No.	87A-569	
Date	August 4, 1987	

Report on sample of <u>COARSE AGO</u>	REGATE (G	ravel)			
Date sampled May 5, 1987		Date received	Mav 8,	1987	
Source of material ABC Gravel Co	ompany, Pit No	. 89-23			
Sampled from Source		Quantity repre	sented	·	
Submitted by J. Doakes, Eng.					
Intended use Portland Cemer	nt Concrete	Specification	Grade 6A, 1984	Std. Specs	
	DD OBED				······································
Bulk Specific Gravity (dry basis)	1 2.68	OF COARSE A		·	
		Deleterious	Particles (gradati	on range)	1"-3/8"
Absorption, %		Soft Particles	5, %		0.7
24-Hour Soak	1.59	Chert, %	e on . ~		0.2
Vacuum-Saturation	1.81	Sum of Soft	& Chert, %		0.9
Crushed Material in sample, %	76	Linit Wei-to	-61 - (1 -		
Los Angeles Abrasion, % of wear	24	II Onk weight	of Agg. (dry, lo	ose)	
7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7			lb/ft³		<u> </u>
		11	DATO:	()II II IDED	
CONCRETE MIX DATA			I 2	NUMBER	
Date Made		6/9/87	6/11/87	3	Average
Slump, Inches		2-1/2	2-1/2	6/16/87	
Unit Weight of Concrete, lb/ft ³		144.5	145.6	3	2-3/4
Actual Cement Content, lb/vd3		514	517	143.7 513	144.6
Water-Cement Ratio, by weight		0.46	0.45		515
Air Content, %		7.6	7.4	0.44	0.45
		7.0	1 /.4	7.6	7.5
Compressive Strength, psi	7 davs	11 3090	I 3400	3060	1 2100
	28 days	3960	4530		3180
	·	11 3200	1 4000	4140	4210
Freeze-Thaw Durability, Expansion per	Beam 1	0.012	0.004	0.010	
100 cycles, %	Beam 2	0.015	0.006	0.003	1
	Beam 3	1 0.013	0.003	0.005	+
	Average	11 0.013	0.003		1 0000
			<u> </u>	0.006	800.0

REMARKS: Tested for Information

Freeze-Thaw testing conducted in ____ machine.

Signed	·			
	Assistant	Engineer	of Testing	

Figure 2 - Report of Test

9

Figure A1.1. Calculations for outliers.

TEST METHOD FOR DETERMINATION OF THE DRAINABILITY CHARACTERISTICS OF GRANULAR MATERIALS

Michigan Test Method 122-91

1. SCOPE

1.1 This test method describes the procedure used to determine the various drainability characteristics of granular materials including permeability, effective porosity, and drained percent saturation attained by gravity.

2. TERMINOLOGY

- 2.1 Permeability The rate at which water can be conducted by a material.
- 2.2 Effective Porosity The ratio of the volume of the voids of a soil mass that can be drained by gravity to the total volume of the mass.
 - 2.3 Percent Saturation The percent of voids in a compacted sample that are filled with water.

3. APPARATUS

- 3.1 A permeability test assembly as shown in Fig. 1 consisting of a test cylinder with a 4-inch inside diameter, 6 inches long, with top and bottom extensions, a rigid frame, and a test stand.
- 3.2 Compaction assembly as shown in Fig. 2 consisting of a permeability test cylinder, top retaining ring, and a bottom support plate.
 - 3.3 Compaction equipment including a T-180 rammer, wood block, and strike off bar.
 - 3.4 A 100-ml graduate, a 250-ml graduate, and two 250-ml beakers.
 - 3.5 A stopwatch or electric timer.
 - 3.6 A balance with 3000g capacity and accurate to the nearest 0.1g.
 - 3.7 A Speedy moisture meter.
- 3.8 A standard Michigan sand cone and pounding block as described in the MDOT Density Control Handbook.
 - 3.9 Miscellaneous hand tools, such as pans, scoops, spoons, and brushes.

NOTE: This method prepared by Pavement Technical Unit, Research Laboratory Section. Approved September 9, 1991.

4. SAMPLE

- 4.1 Obtain a representative sample of at least 50 lbs. If the material is above or near 100% saturation dry it until it becomes friable. Drying may be done in air or by use of a suitable drying apparatus, but the temperature of the sample should not exceed 140 F.
- 4.2 Sieve the entire sample over a 3/4-inch sieve, and discard the coarse material retained on the 3/4-inch sieve.

5. PROCEDURE

- 5.1 Determine the materials maximum density and optimum moisture content using the standard one-point Michigan Cone Test Method described in the MDOT Density Control Handbook. If these values have been determined in the field for density control, then the field values can be used.
- 5.2 Adjust the moisture content of the material to approximately 1 or 2 percent below optimum by air drying or adding water as needed. If water is added be sure to completely mix the sample to insure a uniform moisture content.
- 5.3 Place the compaction assembly on the wood pounding block provided with the Michigan cone equipment. The block must rest on a rigid foundation, such as a concrete cube weighing not less than 200 lbs., or on a concrete floor. Form a specimen by compacting the material in five equal layers to give a total compacted depth of about 6-1/2 inches. Compact each layer with 25 uniformly distributed blows from the 10 lb. T-180 rammer dropping free from a height of 18 inches above the elevation of the soil. Scarify the surface of each layer before placing the next layer. Half way through the compaction procedure determine the moisture content of the remaining material with a Speedy moisture meter and record results on the data sheet. Following compaction remove the extension collar and carefully trim the compacted soil even with the top of the mold by means of a straight edge. Remove the mold from the base plate, weigh to the nearest 0.1g., and record results on the data sheet. Determine the percent compaction of the molded specimen based on the one-point cone maximum density. The specimen must be between 95-100 percent compaction. If the specimen is outside of this range it must be remolded by first adjusting the moisture content and then increasing (higher density) or decreasing (lower density) the number of layers as required.
- 5.4 Place the molded specimen in the permeability test assembly and place assembly on the test stand.
- 5.5 Saturate the sample from the top by slowly flooding the surface of the sample with de-aired water taking care not to erode the surface. If de-aired water is not available draw a supply of hot tap water and allow it to sit at room temperature for at least 12 hours before using. Continue to increase the supply of water until the overflow outlet is reached, then adjust the supply until a constant amount of water overflow is maintained. The sample is saturated when discharge appears. If no discharge appears within 30 minutes, the material can be considered impermeable and the test can be discontinued.
- 5.6 Place a beaker under the sample and observe the discharge flow rate. When the discharge flow rate appears to be constant begin collecting the water at one minute intervals. Take at least three consecutive 1-minute readings of constant flow and record both the elapsed time and quantity of water for each time interval on the data sheet. The flow is considered constant if the individual values are within ±2 percent of the average value. If the quantity of water collected in one minute is less than 10cc then the time interval can be increased as needed and must be noted on the data sheet. If no water passes through the sample after 30 minutes it is considered essentially impermeable, the test is terminated, and it is so noted on the data sheet.

5.7 After all flow readings are taken, shut off the water supply, pour the excess water from the top of the sample, and allow the sample to gravity drain. When the length of time between drops of water coming out of the bottom of the sample is greater than one minute the sample is considered gravity drained. Immediately remove the sample from the mold and determine it's moisture content from a sample obtained from the middle of the specimen. Record this moisture content on the data sheet where indicated.

6. CALCULATIONS

Perform the following calculations and record the results on the data sheet where indicated.

6.1 Permeability, K (Ft/Day)

$$K = \frac{(Q_i) (L)}{(h) (A) (T_i)} \times C$$

 Q_t = Total quantity of water measured, c.c.

L = Length of sample, Cm.

h = Head of water on sample, Cm.
(Measured from the overflow spout to the top of the porous stone)

A = Cross section area of sample, Cm².

T, = Total time, min.

C = 47.24, conversion factor to change Cm/min to Ft/Day.

6.2 Volume of Solids, V,

$$V_{\bullet} = \underline{d}_{G}$$

d = Dry density of test sample, g/cc

G, = Specific gravity of test material
(Assumed to be 2.68 unless determined by testing to be otherwise.)

6.3 Volume of Water after Gravity Drained, V,

$$V_{\omega} = d \times W_{\omega}$$

W_e = Gravity drained moisture content expressed as A decimal.

6.4 Volume of Voids, V,

$$V_{\nu} = 1 - V_{\nu}$$

6.5 Gravity Drained Percent Saturation, % Sat.

% Sat. =
$$\frac{V_{\nu}}{V_{\nu}}$$
 x 100

6.6 Effective Porosity, N_e

$$N_e = 1 - V_s [(G_s \times W_e) + 1]$$

6.7 Permeability, Effective Porosity Ratio

Ratio =
$$\frac{K}{N_e}$$




Figure 1. Permeability test assembly.




Figure 2. Permeability compaction assembly.

APPENDIX B

-	42	•
P1304 (1/89).	RE,'ORT NO.	

LANSING

WORK TYPE & LOCATION

RECEIVED

TABULATION

30613A 30614A

82251 82111

Ξ Ξ

90

CONTROL SECTION

9 1993

ON JUNE 9 FEDERAL PROJECT IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420)

Š

SET PAGE

BIDS

hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the bids received, read,

and tabulated for this project.

Administrator, Financial Services

26,030.95 3,150.00 652,956.00 35,242.75 915.00 516.00 34,068.00 27,040.00 22,863.75 221,147.50 2,770.00 2,496.00 14,336.00 46,235.75 17,901.00 73,325.00 210,105.00 120, 325.00 3, 261.60 5, 998.40 9,095.40 8,476.00 95, 157, 50 134,810.00 88 216,756.15 ,585.74 396,060.00 AMOUNT 14,336. 4,608 597 ENGINEER ESTIMAT 5.8300 3.5000 4.0000 3.0500 1.7200 6.5000 5.2500 3.5000 6.2400 8.9600 7.3100 6.6300 UNIT. PRICE 24.1600 13.0400 29.3400 1.8300 163.0000 8,5000 610.0000 5.5400 3.0000 1.4500 60.9900 12.0000 5.0000 11.5000 7.9600 34,603.75 9,225.00 816,195.00 34,665.00 375.00 25,050.00 6,500.00 29, 120.00 39, 195.00 562, 346.50 486, 200.00 1,000.00 38,400.00 31,725.00 31,725.00 84,847.50 548,100.00 2,600.00 ANGELO IAFRATE CONSTRUCT 2,170.00 180,487.50 2,835.00 14,030.00 900.00 78,365.00 462,070.00 GROSSE POINTE WOODS MI AMOUNT DENTON CONSTRUCTION & 5.0000 7.7500 2200.0000 2.0000 7.0000 4313 UNIT PRICE 7.0000 1.2500 24.0000 11.7500 8.1000 30.0000 12.0000 12.0000 3.0000 7.5000 21.0000 30.5000 3.5000 2.0000 125,0000 7.0000 9.0000 8,9000 7.0000 000.000 NO. 39,292.00 3,600.00 848,842.80 28,887.50 AJAX PAVING INDUSTRIES, INC. 12,486.80 1,500.00 360.00 8,350.00 5,200.00 198, 900,00 1,500,00 20,800,00 74,002,50 31,590,00 62,850,00 365,400,00 2,360,00 75,00 75,00 24,960.00 8,710.00 334,880.50 150,406.25° 135.00 13,202.00 376,257.00 67, 170.00 풀 AMOUNT AADISON HEIGHTS 1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR, BIT RESUR-FACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACE—MENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHT—IN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT DN 1-75 FROM 1-375 NORTHERLY TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND ON 1-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY. 0588 UNIT PRICE 8.8000 4.0000 5.2000 2.5000 40.2800 3.0000 1.2000 50.0000 900.0000 3.0000 4.0000 13.0000 2.8500 6.2500 1.0000 28.7000 2.0000 6.0000 20.0000 100.0000 24.3000 1.0000 1.0000 6.0000 11.7000 EACH EACH EACH SYD LFT CYD LFT QUANTITY CYD CYD LFT LFT LFT CYD CYD CYD CYD SYD SYD SYD TON LFT LFT 4,465.00 L 900.00 L 163,239.00 S 310.00 500.00 300.00 167.00 4, 160.00 4, 355.00 63, 185.00 6 1,600.00 6,325.00 2,700.00 10,475.00 18,270.00 384.00 221.00 500.00 400.00 24,065.00 135.00 460.00 132,020.00 11,195.00 75.00 2070002 2070012 2070015 2070016 2077005 2080001 2080015 2080021 2080030 3017005 2060006 2070009 2070007 2077001 2077003 2000002 2130006 2130015 3010040 3017001 00290 208031 2 1 10002 110003 2130002 2130014 1000004 CODE 11700 REMOVING CONCRETE MEDIAN BARRIER WITH CDNCRETE GLARE SCREEN REMOVING CDNCRETE BARRIER SINGLE FACE REMOVING CONCRETE FILLET EARTH EXCAVATION SEDIMENT EXCAVATION - MAINTENANCE COLD-MILLING BITUMINOUS SURFACE SEWER ABANDOMENT (SPECIAL) 12" REMOVING MASONRY AND CONCRETE ABANDONING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE SUBGRADE UNDERCUTTING TYPE I SUBGRADE UNDERCUTTING TYPE II REMDVING BEAM GUARDRAIL REMOVING FENCE REMOVING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE OPEN-GRADED DRAINAGE COURSÉ DPEN GRADED ORAINAGE COURSE STABILIZED, 8" IN PLACE REMOVING BITUMINOUS PATCHES WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION REMOVING CURB AND GUTTER STRUCTURE BACKFILL (CIP) STABILIZED, 4" IN PLACE GEDTEXTILE SEPARATOR AGGREGATE SUBBASE (CIP) SEDIMENT TRAP REMOVING PAVEMENT EMBANKMENT (CIP) STATION GRADING *FEMPDRARY PIPE* SUBBASE (CIP) SUBBASE (LM) STRUCTURES SILT FENCE 3

,	128
P1304 (1/89)	REPORT NO.

TABULATION

FACING & CUSHION WALL: 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE
RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACEMENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHTIN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF
EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON I-75 FROM I-375 NORTHERLY
TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT
RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND

1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR,

RECEIVED AT LANS WORK TYPE & LOCATION

ON I-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY.
20% DBE 0% WBE

I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the bids received, read, and tabulated for this project.

BIDS

3

Administrator, Financial Services

BITUMINOUS MIXTURE - 4C
BITUMINOUS MIXTURE - 4C (MODIFIED)
QUALITY CDNTROL TESTING
CONCRETE PAVEMENT REINFDRCED 11"
MISCELLANEOUS CONCRETE PAVEMENT -COLD-MILLING BITUMINOUS SURFACE JOINT AND CRACK CLEANDUT REPAIRING PAVEMENT JOINTS AND CRACKS, DETAIL 7 REPAIRING PAVEMENT JOINTS AND WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION CHIPPING CONCRETE PAVEMENT CONGITUDINAL JOINT REPAIR . 3B BITUMINOUS MIXTURE BITUMINOUS MIXTURE CRACKS, DETAIL 8 HAND PATCHING JOINTS

40,086.80 88,580.24 142,373.76

22,646.00 80,122.00 120,547.20

3.3500 24.2500 21.6500

3,380.00 104,406.40 150,336.00 50,799.00 133,232.50 16,932.96

.5000 31.6000 27.0000 41.3000 34.2500 1.4400

NOL

6,760.00 3,304.00 5,568.00 1,230.00 3,890.00

4000041 4000046

4000021

000049 4007003 007005 500025 500085

NO T

43,095.00

4.4200

22,912.50

2,3500

4,875.00

.5000

FT

9,750.00

33,210.00 136,150.00

5.9300 26.8100 25.5700 27.0000 35.0000

115, 144.00

23.4000 29.6000 1.0000

28,782.00

8,819,25 988,030.00 209,352.00

22.0000

17.6500 25,0000

1445,840.00 206, 180.00

16.0000 26.0000

SYD SYD

90,365.00

7,930.00

26.4000

198,250.00 594,942.25

3,905.22 500.00 25,075.44 11,835.40

10.6700 2.5000 80.3700

3,660.00 1,760.00 17,472.00 7,522.50

10.0000 8.8000 56.0000

3,660.00 1,000.00 15,600.00 5,015.00

10.0000 5.0000 50.0000

NOT T-T SYD LFT

366.00 (200.00 L) 312.00 T

4000012 4000015

1000017 1000020

.7500

1.1800

2,527.00

7.2200

3,675.00

10.5000

1,050.00

3.0000

350.00 SYD

4000005 4000010

AMOUNT

UNIT PRICE

AMOUNT

UNIT PRICE

AMOUNT

UNIT PRICE

QUANTITY

CODE

588

1313 NOI

걸

MADISON HEIGHTS

REINFORCED 11" CONCRETE BASE COURSE

REINFORCED 10"

- REINFORCED CONTRACTION JOINT C CEMENT CONCRETE SHOULDERS

CONTRACTION JOINT C3 EXPANSION JOINT E2 EXPANSION JOINT E4

COLD-MILLING CONCRETE PAVEMENT EXTERNAL LONGITUDINAL PAVEMENT JOINT

BUMP CUTTING TWO LAYER CONCRETE-10" NON-PAVEMENT RIDING QUALITY PAVEMENT RIDING QUALITY MEASUREMENT

88

27,573.00 750.00 87,648.00 .7500 15.0000

.6565

42,000.00 3,750.00 87,648.00

.7500 1.0000 75.0000

33,600.00 500.00 87,648.00

8000 .7500 10.0000

LFT

42,000.00

500290

500318

50.00

500350

2,094.96 578,592.00 158,576.00 28,836.00 27,810.00 2,396.00

25.0000 48.7200 17.2200 7.4800 3.5600 10.3000 5.9900

36,402.60 2,795.00 588,000.00 169,600.00 32,400.00 21,600.00

36,402.60 3,010.00 638,400.00 164,300.00 38,475.00 25,650.00

4.7500 9.5000 4.0000

LFT LFT LFT LFT

676.00 33,600.00 21,200.00 18,100.00 12,700.00 14,00.00 1

500270

500272 500275

500277

.5000

53.8500 70.0000 19.0000 7.7500

S SYD

1500250 1500252

500200

8 .0000 8 .0000 1 .0000 1 .0000

53.8500 65.0000 17.5000

16,900.00

330.000 10.000

5,950.00

350,0000 12,0000

5,610.00

330.0000 12.0000

LNMI

17.00

500352

50035

588, 125.00

25.0000

708, 102.50

30.1000

SYD

23,525.00

1507001

REINFORCED (EUROPEAN PAVEMENT)

38.50

5,610	905,712
00	

5,610 5,000	905,712.	
88		_

5,000.00	905,712.50	
88	8	

2000	905,712
88	8

5,610	905,712
88	8

•		ĺ								쁘
•	Ζ_	<u> -</u>		ĺ						IMATE
•	2 င	-						i		ž
3	EC1 822	7								EST
	SE 8	82	l			li				ü
-									H	œ

ENGINEE

DENTON CONSTRUCTION &

AJAX PAVING INDUSTRIES,

INC

ANGELO IAFRATE CONSTRUCT

GROSSE POINTE WODDS MI

Ξ			
821			
IM			

OF.	82251	-
SEC	83	R
E E	Σ	¥.

•	06:0	SECT
	ţ	93
	ì	L
		0
Į	_	Œ

2	SECTION	8225	
¥	CONTROL	IM	*

SECT	822	821
TROL	Σ	ΣI
CON		

	SE(à
10	S	
`	<u></u>	
	2	2
AI	Ε	
	중	
	ၓ	

š	SEC	82	C
Ä	ONTROL	ΙM	*1.
2	ပ		

	-	١.
		ನ
:	_	Ĕ
•	9	⊨
'	1	좆
I		×
		~
ł	_	

ON JUNE 9 FEDERAL PROJECT IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420)

	 -	١.
E		_
•	, ,	
•	: 1	$\mathbf{}$
•	; ;	
•	it . :	
. 1	-	
		-
. i		-
•	-,	
- 1	1 1	_
- 1	1 1	$\overline{}$
	1	•
- 1		-
- 1		
		·
- 4		

•	CV.	ŀ
		1
		2
		7
	ш	i
		!
٠	9	
	•	۲
	3	п
1	٠,	•

	~	ò
~	SE	٠
¥	٠,	
`		
	O	3
	Œ	Ξ
	F	
4	2	
	ᄌ	
	~	

	ביוו	
v		ш
-	0	100
	ļ	т.
.		_
,		×
	-	-
	⋖	Ξ,
1	-	ے
ì	ı	О

•	61	0.00
	PAGE	1

•	C4	
	щ	11
	PAG	ΔT

N	10:30	SECT
304	AT	NTROL

	ĸ
N	•••
	വ
	∓
ш	i
Ü	
⋍	<u>. </u>
•	

,	30	Ċ
`	9	S
5		Q
	ΑT	Ž
1		ັ

	ř
S	
	o
	ļ
ш	
쁑	
٧	
⋖	_
٠,	⋖

	_		č
	~	ľ	ċ
		l	٦
į	Ö		
•	⋖	l	ļ

	30	CTIC	
	9)L SE	
	ΑT	NTRO	•

ĺ	- 1
	င္က
N	
	ĭ
넁	
4	-

		8
•	S	
		ķ
		ŀ١
	ш	i
	Ö	ı
ı	₹.	۱
	~	ı.

	-
~	10:30
8	

_	8	0
į	AGE	ŀ

-	7	
SET	PAGE	

		3
•	C4	
	35	L

-	N	İ
	39	

	4
	c
ci	9
	2
ш	

	٠.	l
	⋖	ŀ
	o	i
	Ö	
v	~	ì
	1	1
3		١

_	Q	İ
	썌	ļ

-	N	١
	m	

	_
	٠,
	⋖
	30
C	
•	0
	¥
ш	İ
O	
~	_

		l	
-	7		
_	4GE		

-	C)	
_	35	

	ŀ
	ı.
	ŀ
C	ļ,
	ŀ
GE	

		ŀ
-	8	3
	#	ľ

		ł	4
			30
	ç	ì	ċ
			Ŧ
1	ä		
	3		

		S
ç	ì	٠
		+
щ	ļ	
2	:	

8	3	
AGE	-	

	S
S	:
	19
	ľ
卅	ļ
\mathbf{z}	

	_	ľ
	ဇ္က	
V	0	
	+	!
	1	

	ľ
	١
	k
S	ł.
	1
	ľ
썠	
ğ	ı

ŀ	٧	Ō
	Ō	Ę
į		SEC
ı	0	ŝ
	_	یـ
		Ō
Į	-	Ξ

	⋖	Ç
	o	F
1	6	ů
	10	ū
	ľ	=
		a

	٤
Ø	6
	ľ
Υ.	L

	Ą	ζ
	2	Ē
i	ä	2
	¥	_
		Ĉ
	Η,	2

	⋖	ľ
	ဇ္က	l
ì		ŀ
	10	ľ
ı		į
,	_	١
• [_	Ŀ

٠,	_
	1
1	
ı	_
1	
\sim	
ľ	C
,,,	L
	щ
\sim	-
_	
ι	1
	-
	C
	≃
	LT.

	٩	İ
	⁰	į
ď		
	12	۱
ı	-	

⋖	₫	
8	5	
0	SE	
_	Z	
_	Œ	

	=	1
o i	-	•
Ť	ပ	ć
.,		2000
^ }	3	1
≃ו	-,	
	- 1	
- 1	≍	
- 1	Ų	ě
- 1	•	

•	0
0	F
á	ပ
::	뽔
⋍	43
•	یا
	0
	-

Ą	ō
30	5
ö	SE
_	ہے
	2

Α.	NO.	57
3	SECT	8225
-	TROL	Σ

4 50	ECTIO	ナロウクロ
2	3	~
-	TROL	2

JOB NO.

30613A

306 14A

•	_	
1	O	Ξ
5	L	8225
,	ပ	Ċ
•	w	œ
וכ	疬	
-1	١.	
ł	پے	
ì	O.	Σ
. !	Œ	-
П	⊨	
ч	2	
1	ਨ	

-	
ı d	11.
1 7	
í _	
_	110
	и.
۱≍	
1	111
	,,,,,,
_	122
רחו	15.5
-	1
-	1
٠.	
,	
	1
•	"
١.	100

ų		_
ı		•
ı	=	ល
H	_	4,
н	_	∾
1		•
	C	822
П	SE	
ų	-	മ
J	-	
В		
ı		
ı		
Į		
Į,	_	
В	_	-
ı	_	€,
ı	~	
3	-	_
1	_	
1	~	- 1
f	-	
1	_	
1	_	

SECTION	82251	
ITROL	Σ	

ľ	•	2
ļ	٧	C
ı		-
ı	0	۲
J	Č	C
ł		ш
1		7
ı	9	v
ı	Ψ.	
ı		•
ı		C

	j		ł
	•		
	ł		
۰	_		
۰	_		
	=		l^
	_	_	
	_		
	_	TC3	ľ
	ıL		;_
۰	-	CA	
		-	
	_	. 4	ĸ
	SEC	8225	ľ
	-	w	1
ď	m.		
	.,		
ч			
ľ			
ľ	_		
	-	_	١
ľ	L J	\mathbf{z}	١.
ı	=	=	г
u		-	
1	_		
d	-		
ł	_	- 1	
ŀ	_		

•	u,	~
•	N:	-
)	8225	\sim
i	-	-
	w	Ψ
}		
ı		
•	-	-
,	Σ	IK
	= !	-
		1
,		

	1 .	ì
	ဇ္တ	ļ
ď		ŀ
•	0	ŀ
	Ψ-	l
ı	ĺ	l
1		ľ
,	-	ŀ
٠	-	l

,
-
•
•
ш
"
•
_
=
L
~
ш
١.
÷

•	U	
	_	i
0	┡-	
ñ	O	ı
٠.,	Щ	
0	3	
÷		
- 1		
i	O	:
_	Œ	1
-	-	
α.		

		ľ
		ĺ.
		ļ
•	S	ł
		ŀ
		ĺ.
	썙	Į
	ي	

	⋖	
	lo	
	Ģ	
•	Ö	
	Ŧ	
П		

7	
ш	

	٠.	k
	⋖	k
	!	ŀ
	0	ŀ
	S.	٩
Ì		H
	0	V
	ļ	
		7
	i	C

		ľ
		ŀ
		l,
		k
۰	C,	ŀ
	ш	l

	_	1-
	•	10
	⋖	k
	۸	ŀ
	ı×	ı
	יטו	
3		Ŀ
_	വ	ŀ
	≃ו	ľ
	Ι-	1
	ĺ	1
		ıc
	ŧ.	12

	۷	K
	ဇ္က	į
i	ö	
	۳	Ļ
i		C
	. ـــاز	

◂	9	
Þ	F	
'n	ပ	
٠٠,	ш	
2	ᇙ	
-1		
- 1	긒	
į	O	
	Œ	
-1		

	_
	30
N	-
	10
¥	
2	_
•	5

ł	4	K
ł	10:30	FCUC
	L	Č
1	8	ŀ

	128
P1304 (1/89)	REPORT NO.

t hereby correct and table

304 (1/89) PORT NO. 128		PRO	PROPOSAL 93-06083					SET 1	•	
FABULATION OF BIDS	WORK TYPE & LOCATION 1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR, BIT FACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CO RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD RE MENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, IN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MIL EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON I-75 FROM 1-375 TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARR	LOCATION CONCRETE PAV'T SHION WALL; 2.3 ION, STORM SEWE NG & LIGHTING R ETECTION DEVICE V'T SECT ON I-7	T REPAIR, BIT RESUR- 3 MILES OF CONCRETE ER, X-LEAD REPLACE— REPLACEMENT, WEIGHT EINC 1.0 MILE OF 75 FROM I-375 NORTH	BIT RESUR- CONCRETE REPLACE- IT, WEIGHT- MILE OF 375 NORTHERLY		ON JUNE FEDERAL PROJE IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420)	9 1993 CT NO.	0 S	A.M. ION JOB NO. 51 30613A 11 30614A	
ect copy of the bids received, read, sabilland for this project.	RAMP NOKIHERLY 10 SU OF PIQUEILE AV ON I-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP, NO FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT.	FFERSON AN	IQUETTE AVE (NB UN) JE RAMP, NORTHERLY DETROIT, WAYNE CC	UNLY) AND LY TO THE COUNTY.						
		<u>u</u> ļ		AJAX PAVING	INDUSTRIES,	DENTON CONST	CONSTRUCTION &	ENGINEER EST	ESTIMATE	
	Jane Jane			NC		ANGELO IAFRATE ION	TE CONSTRUCT			
Administrator, Financial Services	2 ses			MADISON HEIGHT 0588	HTS MI	GROSSE POINTE	-			
WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION	RIPTION	CODE	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	
O1 TWO LAYER CONCRETE SHOULDER-10" NON-REINFORCED (EUROPEAN PAVEMENT)	CONCRETE SHOULDER-10" RRCED (EUROPEAN PAVEMENT)	4507002	7,355.00 SYD	35.0000	257,425.00	25.0000	183,875.00	38.0000	279,490.00	
NON-REINFORCED (EUROPEAN PAVEMEN MISCELLANEOUS TWO LAYER CDNCRETE	PEAN PAVEMENT)	4507003	32,915.00 SYD	10.0000	329,150.00	12.0000	394,980.00	19.5000	641,842.50	
PAVEMENT-10" NON-REINFORCED (EURDPEAN PAVEMENT) TDANSVEDSE CONTOACTION LOINIT	(NFORCED	4507004	2,205.00 SYD	45.0000	99,225.00	30.0000	66, 150.00	44.0000	97,020.00	
- ~	INTO NOT	4507005	14,600.00 LFT	7.5000	109,500.00	10.0000	146,000.00	8.0000	116,800.00	
PAVEMENT) PAVEMENT PAVEMENT EXAMENT	DEACE TOBEATMENT	4507006	18,500.00 LFT	3.2500	60,125.00	. 2.0000	37,000.00	4.0000	74,000.00	
CONCRETE SURFACE (EUROPEAN PAVEMENT) .	SKTACE IKEAIMENI	4507007	23,525.00 SYD	11.1200	261,598.00	. 4.0000	94, 100.00	5.0000	117,625.00	
CYLINDERS MOVING FROM REPAIR T	R TO REPAIR	4507009 4520001	300.00 EACH 238.00 EACH		238		•		6,000.00	
⊢ ¯	AIR)	4520002 4520003		33.1500 2.7000		33.1500 2.7000	135,782.40 4,787.10	16.9100 3.1500	69, 263.36 5, 584.95	
CALCIUM CHLORIDE EXPANSION JOINT Er		4520030 4520036		. 6000 8.4000	1,020.00	.6000 8.4000	1,020.00	15.5700	419.73	
CONTRACTION JOINT CRE		4520039 4520040		5.1000	1.836.00	5.1000	1,836,00	12.8000	52,224.00	
TIED GOINT TRO		4520041			5,505.00	5.0000	5,505.00	5.8100	6,396.81	
STEEL REINFORCEMENT,	COATED	5030031		1.2500		1.0000			3,580.00	
PATCHING MORTAR OR CONCRETE	N C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	5090015		25.0000	1,000.00	45.0000	9,000.00	64.2200	12,844.00	
FORMING FOR PAICHES EPOXY ANCHORED BOLT, FILLER WALL CONCRETE	3/4"	5090037 5090087		10.0000		8.0000 350.0000	672.00	10.5000	882.00 44,020.00	
CLASS C76-II SEWER, TRENCH DETAIL B		5130249	8,003.00 LFT	35.0000	280, 105.00	30.0000	240,090.00	21.3400	170,784.02	
SEWER,	•	5130250 .	600.00 LFT	42.0000	25,200.00	31.0000	18,600.00	20.9500	12,570.00	
CLASS C76-11 SEWER, TRENCH DETAIL B	20	5130251	200.00 LFT	43.0000	8,600.00	42.0000	8,400.00	27.9100	5,582.00	
-						-		•		

REPORT NO. 128 P1304 (1/89)

PROPOSAL 93-06083

TABULATION

BIDS

i hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the bids received, read, and labulated for this project. Administr

ANGELO IAFRATE CONSTRUCT

JOB NO. SET 1 PAGE 4 AT 10:30 A.M. CONTROL SECTION ENGINEER ESTIMATE 82251 Ξ AJAX PAVING INDUSTRIES, DENTON CONSTRUCTION & INC. ON JUNE 9 19: FEDERAL PROJECT NO. IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420) 1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR, BIT RESUR-FACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACE-MENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHT-. IN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON 1-75 FROM 1-375 NORTHERLY TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND ON I-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY. RECEIVED AT LANSING WORK TYPE & LOCATION

			0	c	0	,	o () u		0	0	_	•	_	^	_		_		_	_
	AMOINT		8,286.00	8,496.00	19,200.00		750.00	7,063.0	1,614.00	1,800.00	3,250.00	2,100.00	2,400.00	2,550.00	2,700.00	3,000.00	6,500.00	2,000.00	6,000.00	7,200.00	700.00
	IINIT PRICE		41,4300	42.4800	48.0000	0000	125,0000	56.9600	16.1400	60.0000	65.0000	70.0000	80.0000	85.0000	90,000	100.0000	130.0000	400.0000	30.0000	36.0000	70.0000
POINTE WOODS MI	AMOUNT		9,600.00	11,800.00	26,800.00	1 540 00	450.00	6,200,00	2,200.00	17,250.00	30,000.00	18,300.00	18,750.00	19,200.00	19,500.00	20,250.00	36,250.00	4, 125.00	8,800.00	10,800.00	1,500.00
S E	4313 UNIT PRICE		48.0000	59.0000	67,0000	77 0000	75.0000	50,0000	22.0000	575.0000	600.0000	610.0000	625.0000	640.0000	650.0000	675.0000	725.0000	825.0000	44.0000	54.0000	150.0000
HTS MI	AMOUNT		13,200.00	15,000.00	34,800.00	4 900 00	00.006	4,960.00	1,500.00	1,530.00	2,550.00	1,530.00	2,070.00	2,070.00	2,070.00	2,730.00	4,650.00	480.00	12,200.00	13,200.00	1,470.00
MADISON HEIGHTS	0588 UNIT PRICE		0000 99	75.0000	87,0000	95,0000	150,0000	40.0000	15.0000	51.0000	51.0000	51.0000	69.0000	69.0000	69.0000	91.0000	93.0000	96.0000	61.0000	66.0000	147.0000
	QUANTITY		200.00 LFT	200,00 LFT	400.00 LFT	20.00 LFT	8	8	100.00 CYD	30.00 EACH	50.00 EACH	30.00 EACH	30.00 EACH	30.00 EACH	30.00 EACH	30.00 EACH	50.00 EACH	5.00 EACH	200.00 LFT 12,000.00 LFT	200.00 LFT	10.00 EACH
	CODE		5130253	5130255	5130256	5130257	5130621	5130655	5130700	5137001	5137003	5137005	5137007	5137009	5137011	5137013	5137015	5137017	5137019 5137020	5137021	5137023
ministrator, Financial Services	WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION	07	TRENCH DETAIL B CLASS C76-II SEWER, 30".	L B	B. B.	CLASS C76-11 SEWER, 42", TRENCH DETAIL B	SEWER TAP, 6"	SEWER BULKHEAD, 12"	TRENCH UNDERCUT. AND BACKFILL RESEALING SEWED JOINTS		OPEN COLT 15. DESCRITO SEMED DINIE		OPECENTING SEWEN COINTS, OPECENTING SEWEN COINTS	OPECENT STATE OCINIS, OPECENT STATE OF THE OFFICE OF THE OFFICE OF THE OFFICE OF THE OFFICE O	OPEN CUT, 27 " RESEALING SEWER LIDINTS	OPEN CUT, 30" DESEALTING SEMED HOTHITS	ACSCALLING SCHER COINTS, OPEN CUT, 36" DESTEN ING SCHEP ININTS	OPEN COT, 42 COT, 42 COT COT COT COT COT COT COT COT COT COT		TRENCH DETAIL SHARK, Z TRENCH DETAILS	CHEMICAL, 12"

	128
P1304 (1/89)	REPORT NO.

TABULATION Q L

BIDS

JOB NO. 30613A 30614A

AT 10:30 A.M CONTROL SECTION

1993

2

ON JUNE 9 FEDERAL PROJECT I IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420

SET PAGE

82251

Σ MΙ ENGINEER ESTIMATE

INGELO IAFRATE CONSTRUCT

DENTON CONSTRUCTION &

AJAX PAVING INDUSTRIES, INC.

I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the bids received, read, and tabulated for this project.

IN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON I-75 FROM I-375 NORTHERLY TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND

FACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACEMENT, WEIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHT

MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T

RECEIVED AT LANS WORK TYPE & LOCATION

ON 1-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY. 20% DBE 0% WBE

かなら Administrator, Financial Services

950.00 1, 100.00 300.00 900.00 ,200.00 2,800.00 2,200.00 1,600.00 800.00 4,800.00 2,800.00 3,200.00 3,600.00 4,000.00 4,400.00 10,400.00 600.00 120,988.00 AMOUNT 60.0000 812.0000 80.0000 10.0000 90.000 120.0000 130.0000 UNIT PRICE 95.0000 140.0000 22.0000 24.0000 52.0000 200.000 28.0000 32.0000 36.0000 40.000 44.0000 3,000.00 1,600.00 1,620.00 1,650.00 1,750.00 22,000.00 13,000.00 1,700.00 3,600.00 780.00 8,700.00 15,000.00 17,200.00 19,500.00 3,000.00 21,500.00 51,000.00 GROSSE POINTE WOODS MI AMOUNT 300.0000 1313 UNIT PRICE 150.0000 160.0000 162.0000 165.0000 170.0000 175.0000 180.0000 95.0000 87,0000 110.0000 30.0000 195,0000 215,0000 150.0000 255,0000 172.0000 NOI 2,650.00 2,980.00 1,590.00 1,540.00 1,630.00 1,710.00 768.00 15,000.00 9,600.00 1,670.00 3,500.00 11,700.00 44,400.00 5,400.00 13,800.00 15,900.00 18,000.00 불 AMOUNT AADISON HEIGHTS 0588 UNIT PRICE 265.0000 840.0000 149.0000 154.0000 171.0000 54.0000 75.0000 159.0000 163.0000 167.0000 92,0000 96.0000 117.0000 38,0000 59.0000 175.0000 180.0000 222.0000 EACH 20.00 EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH 4.00 EACH 10.00 LFT 149.00 EACH QUANTITY 100.00 LFT 200.00 LFT 100.00 LFT F 100.00 LFT 100.00 LFT 100.00 LFT 200.00 LFT 10.00 10.00 90.0 10.00 20.00 <u>0</u>.0 100.00 5137025 5137029 137033 5137035 137039 5137043 3137045 5137057 5137027 5137031 3137037 5137041 5137047 5137049 137053 5137055 137051 CODE RELINING 42" SEWER, INVERSION PROCESS ORAINAGE STRUCTURE, 4' OIAMETER WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION SEALING SEWER JOINTS, RESEALING SEWER JOINTS, RESEALING SEWER JOINTS RESEALING SEWER JOINTS STNIO RESEALING SEWER, JOINTS, RESEALING SEWER JOINTS, SEWER JOINTS RELINING 12" SEWER RELINING 15" SEWER INVERSION PROCESS
RELINING 21" SEWER RELINING 30" SEWER, RELINING 18" SEWER RELINING 24" SEWER RELINING 27" SEWER INVERSION PROCESS RELINING 36" SEWER INVERSION PROCESS INVERSION PROCESS INVERSION PROCESS INVERSION PROCESS INVERSION PROCESS INVERSION PROCESS RESEALING SEWER CHEMICAL, 27" CHEMICAL, 24" CHEMICAL,21" RESEALING SCHEMICAL, CHEMICAL. CHEMICAL,

	128
P1304 (1/89):	REPORT NO.

TABULATION

WORK TYPE & LOCATION

FACING & CUSHION WALL: 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE
RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACEMENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHTIN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF
EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON 1-75 FROM I-375 NORTHERLY
TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT
RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND
ON I-375 FROM JEFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE
FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY.

NO.

JOB

CONTROL SECTION

1993

O)

ON JUNE 9 FEDERAL PROJECT IM 75-1(420)

2

SET PAGE

30613A

82251 82111

Ξ ΙM

30614A

correct copy of the bids received, read, hereby certify that this is a true and

BIDS

and tabulated for this project.

O% WBE

20% DBE

63,720.00 121,796.57 30,000.00 9,425.00 16,800.00 23,580.00 3,520.00 46,237.50 4,761.00 50,550.50 288,475.00 73,014.60 79,738.20 7,020.00 32,725.00 16,800.00 24,440.00 12,400.00 10,000.00 1,000.00 203,231.50 130,750.00 74,415.00 456,360.00 21,560.00 AMOUNT ENGINEER ESTIMATE 531,0000 650.0000 65.0000 350.0000 36.0000 UNIT PRICE 188.0000 34.2500 5.2900 5,0500 5,5000 4,3800 6,8100 10.0000 200,0000 11.0000 600,0000 250.0000 500.0000 14.2900 13.0000 8.9000 24.0000 28,0000 63,000.00 134,319.25 60,000.00 12,325.00 14,400.00 45,195.00 4,000.00 28,255.50 4,950.00 26,526.50 149,482.50 1,500.00 11,800.00 11,800.00 11,800.00 11,56,000 11,56,000 67,650.00 ANGELD IAFRATE CONSTRUCT 23,000.00 44,625.00 46,800.00 24,800.00 31,500.00 26,180.00 228, 180.00 GROSSE POINTE WOODS MI AMOUNT ಳ DENTON CONSTRUCTION 4313 UNIT PRICE .6500 85.0000 300.0000 69.0000 2.6500 2.8500 1.0000 10.0000 22.0000 8.0000 12.0000 10.0000 525,0000 360.0000 400,0000 1125.0000 5000.0000 5.5000 15.0000 1150.0000 200.0000 20,9300 12.0000 250.0000 34.0000 8 32,500.00 10,730.00 12,000.00 32,750.00 13,200.00 4,720.00 54,000.00 6,975.00 41,541.50 288,475.00 30,006.00 1,500.00 46,872.00 3,979.80 183,365.05 166,444.75 68,800.05 AJAX PAVING INDUSTRIES, INC. 47,272.75 21,700.00 21,560.00 66,000.00 113,654.75 60,060.00 216,390.70 28,721.00 Ħ AMOUNT MADISON HEIGHTS UNIT PRICE 660,0000 74.0000 250.0000 50.0000 4. 1500 1.8000 10.0000 8.4000 7.3700 8.0300 550.0000 .5500 350,0000 462.0000 770.0000 650.0000 295,0000 40.0000 7.7500 .8900 0000 11.3800 37,3000 10.1700 EACH EACH EACH 130.00 EACH EACH EACH EACH LFT EACH 28.00 EACH QUANTITY 120.00 EACI 206,645.00 LBS SYD LFT LFT F. LFT F F LFT LFT LFT SFT LFT LFT Ŧ LFT ᇤ 20.00 4.00 50.00 145.00 48.00 655.00 1,350.00 900.00 10,010.00 52,450.00 16,670.00 150.00 5,580.00 2,975.00 L 22,835.00 13,075.00 6,765.00 62.00 19,015.00 770,00 5147010 6020060 6020061 5140005 5140042 5140045 5140047 5147003 5147005 5147012 6010003 5147001 5147007 5147013 6020120 6090019 9600609 5097005 097003 5110002 6120002 6120003 6020137 609003 6097001 602005 CODE 83 03 ADJUSTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE COVER, ADJUSTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE COVER RECONSTRUCTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE, (SPECIAL), CASE 2 CLEANING PUMPHOUSE WETWELLS RECONSTRUCTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE STRUCTURES - SPECIAL CASE 1 DRAINAGE STRUCTURE RECDNSTRUCTIDN FOUNDATION UNDERDRAIN, 4"
UNDERDRAIN OUTLET, 6"
CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER, DETAIL
CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER, DETAIL DRAINAGE STRUCTURE, 2' DIAMETER DRAINAGE STRUCTURE COVER CDNCRETE VALLEY GUTTER
CONCRETE VALLEY GUTTER SPECIAL
VALLEY GUTTER CDNCRETE MODIFIED
VALLEY GUTTER CONCRETE COVERS FOR EXISTING CATCH BASIN 4 6 CONCRETE BARRIER - SINGLE FACE OPEN-GRADED UNDERORAIN PIPE, OPEN-GRADED UNDERDRAIN PIPE, CONCRETE BARRIER-SINGLE FACE WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION STAKE STAKE COVER, CASE 1, (SPECIAL) RECONSTRUCTING DRAINAGE Administrator, Financial Services SLOPE PAVING, CONCRETE SUBBASE UNDERDRAIN, 6" CLEANING CATCH BASINS CONCRETE SIDEWALK, CASE 1 (SPECIAL) MDDIFIED SPECIAL SLOTTED DRAIN 3 TYPE C

P1304 (1/89) REPORT NO

TABULATION С О

and tabulated for this project.

STAKE STAKE Administrator, Financial Services

172, 539.80 109, 550.00 75, 032.40 37, 200.00 35, 840.10 25, 040.00 3,000.00 18,840.00 3,920.00 8,000.00 8,000.00 11,400.00 6,390.00 36,000.00 17,264.00 15,000.00 40,270.00 2,344.50 812.80 113,385.63 6,871.50 6,000.00 94,500.00 4,990.00 600.00 24,000.00 AMOUNT 21.0800 1200.0000 3.8600 8.0000 2000.0000 UNIT PRICE 18000.0000 4316.0000 5223.0000 600.0000 15000.0000 8054.0000 60.5100 1500.0000 12.0000 28.0000 500,0000 31500,0000 50.000 500.0000 9.9800 50.0000 15.6300 180,070.00 106,420.00 70,680.00 48,670.00 37,140.00 62,600.00 4,900.00 14,000.00 7,600.00 2,475.00 5,940.00 106,650.00 1,800.00 10,450.00 13,500.00 22,400.00 11,600.00 39,500.00 3,150.00 1,000.00 1,000.00 5,726.25 17,800.00 23,760.00 2,340.00 GROSSE POINTE WODDS MI AMOUNT 1570.0000 4.0000 20.0000 22.0000 34.0000 57.0000 3500.0000 1900.0000 495.0000 UNIT PRICE 8900.0000 15.0000 27635,0000 5600,0000 5800,0000 19000,0000 7900,0000 35550.0000 3.6000 550.0000 750.0000 13,6500 35.0000 495.0000 195.0000 495.0000 21.0000 25.0000 6161 10, 450.00 21, 600.00 60,000.00 12,000.00 125,000.00 50,000.00 3,000.00 18,473.00 157, 152.00 93, 900.00 32, 240.00 56, 420.00 19, 962.75 28, 170.00 5,215.00 19,480.00 1,600.00 800.00 3,600.00 93,150.00 1,810.00 11,000.00 1,200.00 14,400.00 불 AMOUNT MADISON HEIGHTS 19.2000 30.0000 26.0000 1820.0000 2.1500 9.0000 UNIT PRICE 4870.0000 400.0000 160.0000 5500,0000 1,0000 30000.0000 5500.0000 6000.0000 25000.0000. 24.0000 17.0000 16.0000 550.0000 1200.0000 37.2500 300,000 31050,0000 3.6200 100.0000 300.000 20.0000 EACH LFT LFT EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH MOS EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH QUANTITY CYD СУБ ŁFT LFT LFT LFT 8, 185.00 L 3, 130.00 L 1,240.00 L 31.00 E 9,285.00 L 3, 130.00 L 1,570.00 40.00 4.00 7.00 8.00 6,969.00 3.00 48.00 12.00 500.00 19.00 18.00 6120020 6120022 6120023 6127005 6127007 6127009 6260016 6260028 6260035 6120009 6120035 6260078 6260081 6120005 6120013 6127015 6127019 6120026 6127013 6127001 5127017 52 100 11 6210047 3260106 3260107 5260114 5220001 CODE SREAT ATTENUATOR (8 BAYS) FURNISHED CONCRETE BARRIER - SPLIT, TYPE A CONCRETE BARRIER - SPECIAL LIGHT STANDARD FOUNDATION - CB GLARE SCREEN - CONCRETE GLARE SCREEN, CONCRETE - SPLIT SIGN SUPPORT FOUNDATION - CB, CONCRETE BARRIER BACKFILL (CIP) CONCRETE BARRIER SINGLE CONCRETE BARRIER - DOUBLE FACE, CANTILEVER FOUNDATION, TYPE H-3 REMDVE ATTENUATOR PAD SANO MODULE IMPACT ATTENUATOR SAND MODULE IMPACT ATTENUATOR SAND MODULE IMPACT ATTENUATOR WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION INSTALL IMPACT ATTENUATOR REMOVE IMPACT ATTENUATOR TRUSS FOUNDATION, TYPE C TRUSS FOUNDATION, TYPE D **DVERHEAD TRUSS, TYPE C,** GATE, 12', FOR CHAIN LINK FENCE, 48" FIELD OFFICE CHAIN LINK FENCE, 48" CANTILEVER, TYPE H WOOO POST, 4" X 6" WOOO POST, 6" X 8" SIGN, TYPE IA (REPLACEMENT) TRUSS TYPE C FACE SPECIAL (TEMPORARY) (RELOCATE)

1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR

RECEIVED AT LANS WORK TYPE & LOCATION

JOB NO.

AT 10:30 A.M CONTROL SECTION

ON JUNE 9 1993 FEDERAL PROJECT NO. IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420)

SET PAGE

30613A 30614A

82251 82111

ΣI

ENGINEER ESTIMATE

ANGELO IAFRATE CONSTRUCT

NOI

DENTON CONSTRUCTION &

AJAX PAVING INDUSTRIES, INC.

FACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE
RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACEMENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHTIN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF
EUROPEAN PAVYT SECT ON 1-75 FROM 1-375 NORTHERLY
TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT
RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND
ON 1-375 FROM JEFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE
FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY.
20% DBE O% WBE correct copy of the bids received, read, hereby certify that this is a true and

Market B

	128
P1304 (1/89)	REPORT NO.

TABULATION BIDS 6

FACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 NLLEAD FECONGRETE
RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACE—
MENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHT—
IN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF
EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON I-75 FROM I-375 NORTHERLY
TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT
RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND
ON I-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP, NORTHERLY TO THE
FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY.
20% DBE O% WBE

WORK TYPE & LOCATION
1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR, BIT RESUR

PROPOSAL 93-06083

30613A

82251

10:30 A.M

1993

FEDERAL PROJECT NO. IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420

SET PAGE

CONTROL SECTION

ENGINEER ESTIMATE

NGELO IAFRATE CONSTRUCT

DENTON CONSTRUCTION &

AJAX PAVING INDUSTRIES, INC.

correct copy of the bids received, read, hereby certify that this is a true and and tabulated for this project.

3

ななら Administrator, Financial Services

6,050.00 6,048.00 444.51 12,971.80 3,353.76 464.35 1, 100.16 372.24 2,876.00 7,890.00 3,508.00 9,600.00 6,402.00 946.40 502,32 820.00 5,800.00 11,255.24 9,835.02 7,000.00 16,698.00 18,912.90 42,848.00 24,698.00 5,241.60 AMOUNT 13.4700 15.8000 12.3300 12.5500 291.0000 1210.0000 31.0200 83.7200 789.0000 UNIT PRICE 719.0000 2.5000 .2741 1.0600 920,0000 59.1500 100.000 . 7061 . 7005 2,4200 1.0300 1.2600 205.0000 9,350.00 6,750.00 6,750.00 60.00 6,000.00 6,000.00 260.70 9.769.90 2,420.80 88 303.40 2,400.00 1,800.00 11,600.00 9,126.00 7,700.00 18,975.00 24,150.00 64,480.00 36,115.00 10,361.00 21,840.00 GROSSE POINTE WOODS MI AMOUNT 6,000.0 1313 UNIT PRICE 11.9000 8.9000 8.2000 425.0000 950.0000 125.0000 15.0000 5.0000 75.0000 1250.0000 . 6500 .6500 500.0000 200,0000 150.0000 450.0000 200.0000 .3500 1.5500 5.2500 2.7500 2.7500 1.5500 NOI 2,000.00 1,700.00 10,800.00 1,200.00 1,500.00 1,000.00 1,000.00 1,000.00 495.00 13,136.00 4,080.00 3,200.00 7,700.00 24,150.00 2,400.00 11,600.00 0,361.00 9,126.00 18,975.00 64,480.00 36,115,00 21,840.00 Ξ AMOUNT MADISON HEIGHTS 15.0000 16.0000 15.0000 15.0000 200.0000 100.0000 25.0000 125.0000 500.0000 500.0000 UNIT PRICE . 6500 200.0000 .3500 350,0000 200,0000 3000.0000 2.7500 1.5500 200.0000 .6500 2.7500 600.000 . 5500 5.2500 EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH QUANTITY SFŦ SFT 2,800.00 LFT 15,940.00 LFT 6,900.00 LFT 69,000,00 LFT 41,600.00 LFT 23,300.00 LFT 14,040.00 LFT 4,160.00 LFT 16.00 9.00 58.00 6260132 6260150 6260130 3260118 3260119 6260120 6260152 6260159 6260162 6260163 3260180 8260151 6260161 3260170 260182 290212 290213 5290216 3290300 290350 5290217 5290356 267007 5290351 CODE F SIGN, TYPE I F SIGN, TYPE II F SIGN, TYPE III F WOOD SUPPORT FOUNDATION F CANTILEVER FOUNDATION THERMOPLASTIC PAVEMENT MARKING, THERMOPLASTIC PAVEMENT MARKING 12", YELLDW THERMOPLASTIC PAVEMENT MARKING, 12", WHITE THERMOPLASTIC PAVEMENT MARKING LONGITUDINAL MARKINGS OVERLAY COLD PLASTIC PAVEMENT MARKING, 4", YELLOW OVERLAY CDLD PLASTIC PAVEMENT MARKING, 12", WHITE OVERLAY COLD PLASTIC PAVEMENT BRIDGE CONNECTION REMOVAL OF BRIDGE CONNECTION SIGN, TYPE IIIA SIGN, TYPE IB SIGN, TYPE IIB SIGN, TYPE IIIB BRIDGE CONNECTION, TYPE A BRIDGE CONNECTION, TYPE A TRUSS FOUNDATION WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION BOLT REPLACEMENT IN BRIDGE REMOVING CURING COMPOUND CANTILEVER MARKING, 4", WHITE RUSS. CONNECT IONS 9 P P 4", YELLDW 4", WHITE REMOVAL REMOVAL REMOVAL REMOVAL REMOVAL REMOVAL REMOVAL REMOVAL REMOVAL TYPE C TYPE A

	128
P1304 (1/89)	REPORT NO.

RECEIVED AT LANSING WORK TYPE & LOCATION

TABULATION BIDS

FACTING & CUNCRETE PAV'T REPAIR, BIT RESURFACTING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE
RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACE
MENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHTIN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF
EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON 1-75 FROM 1-375 NORTHERLY
TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT
RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND
ON 1-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE
FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY.
20% DBE 0% WBE

JOB NO. 30613A 30614A

82251

ENGINEER ESTIMATE

AJAX PAVING INDUSTRIES, DENTON CONSTRUCTION & INC.

SET 1
PAGE 9
AT 10:30 A.M.
CONTROL SECTION

1993

ON JUNE 9 1993 FEDERAL PROJECT NO. IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420)

I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the bids received, read,

and tabulated for this project.

Adminis

		AMOUNT	10,836.00	15,025,50		2,051.10	17,365.00	576, 10	7,000.00	4,000.00	239,890.00	1,155.00	07.700.1	30, 160.00	43,829.50		221.94	4,796.44	21,559.70	24,000.00	32,500.00	4.694.00	1,643.05	36,036,00	27,980,32	
		UNIT PRICE	516,0000	22.2600		4.7700	151.0000	8.2300	3500.0000	2000.0000	10.4300	4,6200	2	4.3000	1.4300		. 4932	.3187	.5164	5.0000	20000	46.9400	. 9665	1.1000	8541	
IAFRATE CONSTRUCT	E WODDS MI	AMOUNT	36,750.00	33,750.00		00.050.85	11,500.00	24,920.00	29,700.00	2,000.00	253,000.00	10,000.00		35,960,00	47,507.50		202 . 50	6,772.50	27, 137.50	144,000.00	8, 125.00	8.500.00	1,700.00	81,900.00	32,760.00	
ANGELO IAFRA	GROSSE POINTE	UNIT PRICE	1750.0000	50.0000		135.0000	100.0000	356.0000	14850.0000	1000.0000	11.0000	40.0000	2000	1.5500	1.5500		. 4500	. 4500	. 6500	30.0000	12.5000	85.0000	1,0000	2.5000	.0000	
	M	AMOUNT	29,400.00	23,625.00		30, 100.00	11,500.00	17,500.00	15,000.00	2,000.00	276,000.00	6,250.00	20.00	35,960.00	47,507.50		202.50	6,772.50	27,137.50	168,000.00	16,250.00	6.000.00	1,054.00	32,760.00	29,484.00	•
	MADISON HEIGHTS	UNIT PRICE	1400.0000	35.0000		70.0000	100.0000	250,0000	7500.0000	1000.0000	12.0000	25.0000	2000	1.5500	1.5500		. 4500	.4500	.6500	35.0000	25.0000	0000.09	.6200	1.0000	9006	
		QUANTITY	21.00 EACH			430.00 EACH	115.00 EACH	70.00 EACH	2.00 EACH	2.00 EACH	23,000.00 LFT	250.00 SFT		23,200.00 LFT	30,650.00 LFT		450.00 LFT	15,050.00 LFT			650.00 EACH				32,750.00 SYD	
		CODE	6310011	63 10026		6310027	6310036	6310037	6310038	6310039	6310049	6310056	6001 80	6310085	6310086	 . !	6310087	6310088	6310139	6317001	6317007	6530003	6530010	6530016	6530037	
Jahara Laure	inistrator, Financial Services	WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION	O1 LIGHTEO ARROW, TYPE A - FURNISHED ITCHTED APROW TYPE A - DPERATED	t	BARRICADE, TYPE II,	LIGHTED - OPERATED BARRICAGE, TYPE III,	LIGHTEO - FURNISHED BARRICADE, TYPE III.	LIGHTEO - OPERATED			TEMPORARY CONCRETE BARRIER	SIGN, TYPE A TEMPORARY	SIGN, ITTE B LEMPORARI TEMPORARY PAVEMENT MARKING,	TYPE R, 4", WHITE	TEMPORARY PAVEMENT MARKING, TYPE R. 4". YELLOW		TYPE NR, TAPE, 4", WHITE TEMPORARY PAVEMENT MARKING.	TYPE NR, TAPE, 4", YELLOW	REMOVING PAVEMENT MARKING, LONGITUDINAL	SIGN, TYPE B TEMPORARY, SPECIAL	FURNISH AND INSTALL VERTICAL PANEL	BAKKIEK KEFLECIUK WATER	CHEMICAL FERTILIZER NUTRIENT		ROADSIDE SEEDING - MUDIFIED MILCH RIANKETS	

	128	
ž	Š	
9	RT	
1304	REPORT	
L	<u> </u>	

WORK TYPE & LOCATI **TABULATION**

hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the bids received, read,

BIDS

20% DBE

and tabulated for this project

James

3

50.0000 50.0000 150.0000 5.1900 7.9700 10.0000 300.0000 2.5000 3.0000 3,5000 4.0000 281.0000 UNIT PRICE 2.3200 3.2100 5.000 6.0000 5.5000 1600.0000 1500.0000 300.000 1,700.00 17,420.00 1,404.00 360.00 52,614.00 13,872.00 798.00 22,979.00 1,848.00 45,704.00 720.00 11,020.00 528,00 2,992.00 16,089.00 25,177.00 12,285.00 594.00 7,000.00 4,860.00 SROSSE POINTE WODDS MI AMOUNT 260.0000 26.0000 120.0000 3.7000 7.0000 610.0000 1.4000 2.2000 2.4000 3.4000 180.0000 UNIT PRICE 3.1000 6.5000 2.2000 5.4000 4.8000 1576.0000 1750.0000 162.0000 NOI 17,420.00 1,404.00 360.00 52,614.00 528.00 140.00 1,700.00 39,040.00 13,872.00 798.00 22,979.00 1,848.00 45,704.00 720.00 11,020.00 2,992.00 00.680,91 594,00 25, 177.00 12,285.00 7,000.00 4,860.00 Ξ AMOUNT MADISON HEIGHTS 260.0000 26.0000 3.7000 3.2000 7.0000 340.0000 4.8000 1.4000 2.2000 2.4000 UNIT PRICE 180.0000 2.2000 3.1000 3.4000 162.0000 5.4000 6.5000 1750.0000 576.0000 EACH EACH EACH LFT LFT EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH LFT QUANTITY LFT LFT LFT 5, 190.00 LFT. LFT 7,405.00 LFT FT LFT LFT 67.00 54.00 14,220.00 165.00 200 5.00 64.00 2,890.00 1 570.00 1 10,445.00 1 770.00 1 4.00 29.00 4.00 58.00 1,360.00 110.00 1,890.00 30.00 6900007 6900062 6900063 6900064 6900090 6900092 6900212 6900215 9000069 3900128 3900129 3900132 5900134 3900137 5900139 900318 6900523 6900612 6900613 900217 5907001 CODE WITH 12' DOUBLE ARM ON MEDIAN WALL 250W HIGH PRESSURE SDDIUM LUMINAIRE 400W HIGH PRESSURE SDDIUM LUMINAIRE REMDVE LIGHT STANDARD, 45' MOUNTING HEIGHT WITH 12' DOUBLE ARM ON REMDVE LIGHT STANDARD 30' MOUNTING HEIGHT WITH 12' ARM ON FRANGIBLE TRANSFORMER BASE & FOUNDATION LIGHT STANDARD 45' MOUNTING HEIGHT 3 #2 OVERHEAD LINE LIGHT STANDARD 30' MOUNTING HEIGHI WITH 12' ARM ON NEW FOUNDATION REMOVE HANDHOLE - ELECTRICAL REMOVE LUMINAIRE REMOVE FOUNDATION DIRECT BURIAL CONDUIT, 1-3" DIRECT BURIAL CONDUIT, 1-2" DIRECT BURIAL CONDUIT, 2-2" JUNCTION BOX IN BARRIER WALL HANDHOLE, HEAVY DUTY COVER 600V 2-1/C #6 DIRECT BURIAL CABLE IN CDNDUIT WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION 600v 3-1/c #4 DIRECT BURIAL CABLE IN CONDUIT BURIAL BURIAL 600V 3-1/C #2 DIRECT BURIAL Administrator, Financial Services 600V 3-1/C #6 DIRECT CABLE IN CDNDUIT 600V 6-1/C #6 DIRECT CABLE IN CONDUIT 600V 6-1/C #4 DIRECT CABLE IN CONDUIT 3 #6 OVERHEAD LINE 3 #4 OVERHEAD LINE 3 #2 OVERHEAD LINE CABLE IN CDNDUIT MEDIAN BARRIER

15,895.00 1,425.00 31,335.00 2,695.00

43,500.00 1,124.00 17,110.00

9,000.00

1,000.00

500,0000

540.00

270.0000

540.00

270.0000

2.00 EACH

6907002

6,400.00

11,725.00 2,700.00 450.00 73,801.80 1,315.05 200.00 1,500.00

AMOUNT

ENGINEER ESTIMATE

ANGELO IAFRATE CONSTRUCT

AJAX PAVING INDUSTRIES, DENTON CONSTRUCTION & INC.

16,659.90 3,155.20

550.00

29,620.00 11,340.00

		-			***************************************							-		
			JOB NO.	30613A	306 14A				***************************************					
—		0:30 A.M.	SECTION	82251	82111									
SET	PAGE	93 AT 10:30 A.M.	CONTROL	MI	MI									
		JUNE 9 1993	ST NO.											
		JUNE	EDERAL PROJECT NO.	IM 75-1(420)	3-1(420)			;						***************************************
		NO	FEDER.	IM 75	IM 75								Verification of the second of	
PHOPOSAL 93-06083		RECEIVED AT LANSING	WORK TYPE & LOCATION	1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR, BIT RESUR-	FACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE	RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACE-	MENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHT-	IN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF	EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON I-75 FROM I-375 NORTHERLY	TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT	RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND	ON I-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP, NORTHERLY TO THE	FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY.	

	128
P1304 (1/89)	REPORT NO.

TABULATION

BIDS

RECEIVED AT LANSING
WORK TYPE & LOCATION

1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR, BIT RESUR1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR, BIT RESUR1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE
RECONSTRUCTION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE
RECONSTRUCTION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE
MENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHTIN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF
EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON 1-75 FROM 1-375 NORTHERLY
TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT
RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND
ON I-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE
FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY.
20% DBE O% WBE

JOB NO.

CONTROL SECTION

ON JUNE 9 19 FEDERAL PROJECT NO. IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420

SET 1 PAGE 11

30613A 30614A

82251 82111

> I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the bids received, read, and tabulated for this project.

Administrator. Financi 3

ENGINEER ESTIMATE

AJAX PAVING INDUSTRIES, DENTON CONSTRUCTION &

		UNIT PRICE AMOUNT	500.0000 1,000.00	•	900.0000 42,300.00	- -		.5000 181.00	1.0000 362.00	500.0000 65,000.00		_		300.0000 1,200.00	1 5000 30 495 00		1.5000 21,390.00	~		_	10.0000 39,050.00	.9000 8,518.50				750 0000 19,200.00		12,	50 0000 85 000 00
re construct	IM SOCOM E	AMOUNT	240.00	7,176.00	33,370.00	1,083.00		1,448.00	543.00	1,456.00		16,679.00	2,700.00	1,920.00	26, 429,00		31,372.00	9,470.00	8,337.00	5,253.00	23,430.00	5,679.00		780.00	00.040.00	23,200.00	1,350.00	8,750.00	.00
ANGELO IAFRATE	GROSSE POINTE	UNIT PRICE	120.0000	138.0000	710.0000	11.4000		4.0000	1.5000	11.2000		1283.0000	270.0000	480.0000	3000	2	2.2000	2.0000	4.2000	5.1000	0000.9	0009		. 7500	4450.0000	1450.0000	10.000	35.0000	7
•	MI	AMOUNT	240.00	7, 176.00	33,370.00	1,083.00		1,448.00	543.00	1,456.00		16,679.00	2,700.00	1,920.00	26,710.00	2	31,372.00	9,470.00	8,337.00	5,253.00	23,430.00	5,679.00		780.00	20.00	10,305,00	1,350.00	8,750.00	207
	MADISON HEIGHTS	UNIT PRICE	120.0000	138.0000	710.0000	11.4000		4.0000	1.5000	11.2000		1283.0000	270.0000	480.0000	3000	2	2.2000	2.0000	4.2000	5.1000	6.0000	0009.		.7500	210.0000	1450.0000	10.000	35.0000	
		QUANTITY			47.00 EACH			362.00 LFT	362.00 LFT	130.00 LFT				00.42	20,330,000 LFT	2	14,260.00 LFT	4,735.00 LFT	,985.00	030.00	3,905.00 LFT	9,465.00 LFT				16.00 EACH			
		CODE	6907003	6907005	6907007	6907011		6907015	6907017	6907019		6907021	6907023	6907025	6907054		6907056	6907058	6907069	6907062	6907064	6907071		6907072	69101/6	691044 / 6917005	6917012	6917013	
Jana Jana	inistrator, Financial Services	WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION	O1 REMOVE LIGHT STANDARD 30' MOUNTING HEIGHT WITH 12' ARM	REMOVE TEMPORARY LIGHTING UNIT TEMPORARY LIGHTING UNITS -	SINGLE ARM	BURIAL CONDUIT,	25	TO STRUCTURE REMOVE STEEL MESSENGER ATTACHED TO	STRUCTURE	#6 & 6 #4 OVERHEAD LINE	FIT UP WOOD POLE AS A TEMPORARY	SERVICE POLE	REMOVE TEMPORARY SERVICE POLE	LIGHT STANDARD FOUNDATION	RF IRANSMISSION CABLE	SHILEDED FRIN COMMS CABLE MULTICONDUCTOR SIGNAL	AND AUDIO CABLE	3/C POWER CABLE	UNDER	2-3"CONDUIT UNDER PAVEMENT	4-3"CONDUIT UNDER PAVEMENT #9 AWG I/C FOLIDMENT GROUNDING	CONDUCTOR	#6 AWG 1/C EQUIPMENT GROUNDING	CONDUCTOR	KEMOVE HANDHOLE	HANDHOLE (IYPE "O") TRACETO RETECTOR LOOR TVBC III	RAFFIC DEJECTOR COURTILE III. REMOVING BITUMINOUS PATCHES	IMPEDANCE MATCHING TRANSFORMER	4 - 3" SCHEDULE BO CUNDUIT HUNG TO

	128	
ŔŔ	Ö.	
Ž	ORT	
1304	REPO	
	_	

I hereby certify to correct copy of 11 and tabulated for

Administra

RECEIVED AT	LANSING				ON	9 1993	AT 10:30	A.M.	
WORK TYPE & LOCATION	VTION	T DE OTAGO		-	FEDERAL PROJE	CT NO.	CONTROL SECTION	ION JOB NO.	
H A TION FACING & CUSHIO	N WALL: 2.	FCON	ZETE ZETE		75-1	(0)		306	
RECONS	TRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEA	LEAD RE	ACE -		1 1				***************************************
OF MENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLAC	LIGHTING	EMENT,	WEIGHT-				***************************************		
RIDS EUROPEAN PAV'T	SECT ON 1-75	1.0 MILE M I-375	NORTHERLY	-					-
	EUROPEAN	WARE							
	TO SO OF P	IQUETTE AVE (NB	ONLY) AND			,			
of the bids received, read, FTSHER FWY INTE	FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP'N 17 INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT	VE RAMP' NORTHERLY TO	COUNTY.	**************************************		William Control of the Control of th	***************************************	A TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	
20% DBE	8E	1	;						
			AJAX PAVING INDUSTRIE	INDUSTRIES,	DENTON CONST	CONSTRUCTION &	ENGINEER EST	ESTIMATE	
war (Charles					ANGELO JAFRATE	TE CONSTRUCT			
strator, Financial Services			MADISON HEIGHTS	MI	ION GROSSE POINTE 4313	E WDODS MI		-	
WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION	CODE	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT		AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	
)1 HANDHOLE (TYPE"D") (REMOVAL AND	1				1			6 6 1	
SALVAGE)	6917017	29.00 EACH	315.0000	9,135.00	315.0000	9,135.00	500.0000	14,500.00	
_	6917019	18.00 EACH	1130.0000	20,340.00	1130.0000	20,340.00	300.0000	5,400.00	
(111	6917021	0	940.0000	2,820.00	940.0000	2,820.00	500.0000	1,500.00	
(TYPE	6917023	0	2356.0000	7,068.00	2356.0000	7,068.00	1800.0000	5,400.00	
ANDHOLE (IYPE III) PAFFIC DETECTOR LOOP TYPE II	6917103		775,0000	37,200.00	775.0000	37,200.00	500.0000	24,000.00	
TYPE	6917105		250.0000	4,500.00	250.0000	4,500.00	150.0000	2,700.00	
RAFFIC DETECTOR LOOP TYPE IV	6917107	76.00 EACH	750.0000	57,000.00	150.0000	57,000.00	1250.0000	95,000.00	
IN THE JUB TRAINING PHOTOLOGICAL SECTION OFFICE TOR	2077007		5600.0000		5500.0000	÷ .=	6000.0009	6,000.00	
AGNETIC LOCATOR	2077009		1875.0000	1,875.00	1800.0000	1,800.00	2700.0000	2,700.00	
ROJECT CLEANUP	2140001	1.00 LSUM	15000.0000	15,000.00	52500.0000	52,500.00	25000.0000	25,000.00	
EMUVE AND REPLACE CUSHIUNING WALL IEX - FOAM ATTENUATOR (8-BAY	012/011		323000.0000		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	200	2000 - 2000	200	
IARROW), FURNISHED	6127021		24400.0000	24,400.00	28895.0000	28,895.00	26000.0000	26,000.00	
IOBILIZATION WEBHEAD TRUSS TYPE C 75'	6230001 6260021	1.00 EACH	3000.0000	30,000,000	34500.0000	34,500,00	8000.0000	8,000.00	
TRAFFIC DEVICES	6310054		16000.0000		10000.0000	10,000.00	15000.0000	15,000.00	
LAG CONTROL TGHTING FOR NIGHT PAVING	6310055 6317010	1,00 LSUM	1500.0000	1,500.00	5000.0000	5,000.00	10000.0000	10,000.00	
RANGIE			0000	0.00	0000	000	400	000	
RANSFURMER BASE IM Equipment Firmished (North	0800089	1.00 FRCH	4.50.0000	20.00	30.000	2	100.000	20.00	
ound I-75)	6917113	1.00 LSUM	70000.0000	70,000.00	100100.0000	100, 100.00	45000.0000	45,000.00	
	6917115	1.00 LSUM	70000.0000	70,000.00	72000.00007	72,000.00	45000.0000	45,000.00	
IM Equipment Placed (North Bound ~75)	6917117	1.00 LSUM	18180.0000	18, 180.00	18180.0000	18, 180.00	42500.0000	42,500.00	
IIM Equipment Placed (South Bound -75)	6917119	1.00 LSUM	18180.0000	18, 180, 00	18180.0000	18,180.00	42500.0000	42,500.00	
ETE P/									
EINFORCED 11"	4520013	4,230.00 SYD	33.0000	139,590.00	33.1500	140,224.50	45.0000	190,350.00	
				•					

	128
:61	ġ
1304 (1/8	REPORT

WORK TYPE & LOCATION
1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T

LANSING

TABULATION BIDS I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the bids received, read, and labulated for this project.

FISHER FV 20% DBE

Administrator

tellinstrator, ringincial Celvices		-	MADISON TELEBIS MI GRO	7E 01	ž
			0588		431
WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION	CODE	QUANTITY	QUANTITY UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	Ď
01					
GALVANIZED STEEL OVERHEAD TRUSS,					
TYPE D, 105'	6267001	1.00 EACH	1.00 EACH 40000.0000 40,000.00 37	40,000.00	37

ENGINEER ESTIMATE AJAX PAVING INDUSTRIES, DENTON CONSTRUCTION & INC. 1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR, BIT RESURFACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACE—MENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHT-IN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON 1-75 FROM 1-375 NORTHERLY TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND ON 1-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY.

30613A 306147

82251

CONTROL SECTION

9 1993

ON JUNE 9 FEDERAL PROJECT IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420)

SET 1 PAGE 13

15,852,201.40 0 21,000.00 AMOUNT 21000.0000 UNIT PRICE ANGELO IAFRATE CONSTRUCT ION GROSSE POINTE WODDS MI 0 37,500.00 16,641,934.15 AMOUNT 13 INIT PRICE 7500.0000 15, 786, 081.11 15,786,081.11 . TOTAL SUBITOTAL 2 PART

	128
P1304 (1/89)	REPORT NO.

RECEIVED AT LANSING WORK TYPE & LOCATION

TABULATION BIDS

FACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE
RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACEMENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHTIN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF
EUROPEAN PAVY. T SECT ON I-75 FROM I-375 NORTHERLY
TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT
RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND
ON I-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE
FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY.
20% DBE O% WBE

JOB NO. 30613A 30614A

AT 10:30 A.M CONTROL SECTION

9 1993

ON JUNE 9 190 FEDERAL PROJECT NO. IM 75-1(420)

IM 75-1(420

SET PAGE

8225 82111

I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the bids received, read,

and tabulated for this project.

Admin

area for this project: 20% UBE 0% WBE	WBE							
			TONY ANGELO	CEMENT CONST	CHAMPAGNE -WE	BBER INC MIC	TONY ANGELO CEMENT CONSTCHAMPAGNE-WEBBER INC MICOTHER CONTRACTORS	SS
Janara (Carre			COMPANY		HIGAN			
ninistrator, Financial Services			NOVI	M	FRASER OOS 1	IW .		
WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION	CODE	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE A	AMOUNT
01							MIDWEST BRIDGE C	COMPANY
REMOVING SEWER	2060006		8.8000	39,292.00	8.8000	39,292.00	19,383,866.40	
SEWER ABANDOMENT (SPECIAL) 12"	2067001		4.0000	3,600.00	4.0000	3,600.00		
	2070002		5.2000	848,842.80	6.2000	1012,081.80		
REMOVING CURB AND GUTTER	2070005	11,555.00 LFT	2.5000	28,887.50	2.5000	28,887.50		
REMOVING MASONRY AND CONCRETE						•		
STRUCTURES	2070007	310.00 CVD	40.2800	12,486.80	40.2800	12,486.80		
REMDVING BEAM GUARDRAIL	2070009	500,00 LFT	2.0000	1,000.00	3.0000	1,500,00	-	-
REMOVING FENCE	2070012	300.00 LFT	1.5000	450.00	1.2000	360.00		
REMOVING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE	2070015		50,0000	8,350.00	50.0000	8,350.00		
ABANDONING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE	2070016	52.00 EACH	100,0000	5,200.00	100.0000	5,200.00		
WITH CONCRETE GLARE SCREEN	2077001	11 195 00 LET	9 0000	67 170 00	0000	67 170 00		
REMOVING CONCRETE BARRIER)))			2)	2		
SINGLE FACE	2077003	4,160.00 LFT	0000.9	24,960.00	6.0000	24,960.00	•	
REMOVING CONCRETE FILLET	2077005	4,355.00 LFT	2.0000	8,710.00	2.0000	8,710.00		
EARTH EXCAVATION	208001		5.3000	334,880.50	5.3000	334,880.50		
STATION GRADING	2080015		900.000	198,900.00	900.000	198,900.00	,	
EMBANKMENT (CIP)	2080021		3.0000	1,500.00	3.0000	1,500.00		-
SUBGRADE UNDERCUTTING TYPE I	2080030		4.0000	1,600.00	4.0000	1,600.00		
SUBGRADE UNDERCUTTING TYPE II	208003		•	20,800.00	13.0000	20,800.00		
STRUCTURE BACKFILL (CIP)	2090005	6,325.00 CYD	11.7000	74,002.50	11.7000	74,002.50	**	
SUBBASE (CIP)	2110002	2,700.00 CYD	11.7000	31,590.00	11.7000	31,590.00		
SUBBASE (LM)	2110003	10,475.00 CYD	6.0000	62,850.00	0000.9	62,850.00		
AGGREGATE SUBBASE (CIP)	2117001		20.0000	365,400.00	20.0000	365,400.00		
SEDIMENT TRAP	2130002	26.00 EACH	100.0000	2,600.00	•	2,600.00		
SEDIMENT FIRE SEDIMENT EYCAVATION - MAINTENANCE	2130000	72 00 27	24.3000	3,331.20	4.3000	9,331.20		
STIT FENDE	2130015			3.5	2000	20.00		
OPEN-GRADED DRAINAGE COURSE.	2		2	2000		200		
STABILIZED, 4" IN PLACE	3010040	132,020.00 SYD	3.8500	508,277.00	2.7500	363,055,00		-
GEOTEXTILE SEPARATOR	3017001	149,487.00 SYD	1.2500	186,858.75	.7500	112,115.25	•	
OPEN GRADED DRAINAGE COURSE,								-
STABILIZED, 8" IN PLACE	3017005	24,065.00 SYD	8.2500	198,536.25	5.6500	135,967.25		
REMOVING BITUMINGUS PATCHES	4000002	135.00 SYD	10.0000	1,350.00	10.0000	1,350.00		
COLD-MILLING BILUMINOUS SURFACE	4000004	460.00 ION	29.0000	00.006,41	73.0000	00.000.		
				-	•			
			_	_	_	_		

	128
(68)	Š.
F1304 11/E	REPORT

TABULATION OF BIDS

SET PAGE

I hereby certify that this is a frue and correct copy of the bids received, read, and tabulated for this project.

A S

PORT NO. 128	PH LANSTNG	PROPOSAL 93-06083	W. W. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C.	ANNOTES PROFILE TO THE PROFILE TO TH	ni' NO	1993 1993	SET 2 PAGE 2 AT 10:30	M A	
: > 	OCATION CONCRETE PA	//T REPAIR, BIT R	ESUR-	e en la maria della maria dell	75-1	ECT NO.	01 S	ON JOB NO.	
FECONSTRUCTI	DN. STORM S	WER. X-LEAD REPL	ACE -	AVARIBITY & under the second executive verifies and the second	ł		1 1 2 9 M T	_	
OF MENT, SIGNIN	G & LIGHTING	MENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHT-	IGHT						
BDS EUROPEAN PAV	T SECT ON	1-75 FROM I-375 N	ORTHERLY						
<u> </u>	AVE EUROPEAN	N SECT FROM WARRE	WARREN AVE EXIT						
	LY TO SO OF M JEFFERSON	RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AN ON I-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE	ONLY) AND LY TO THE						-
cl copy of the bids received, read, FISHER FWY I labulated for this project.	NTERCHANGE 1	IN DETROIT, WAYNE	COUNTY.						
			ELO	CEMENT CONST	CONSTICHAMPAGNE-WEBBER HIGAN	INC	MICOTHER CONTRACTORS	TORS	
dministrator, Financial Services			NOVI	MI	FRASER	MI			
WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION	CODE	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	
O1 COLD-MILLING BITUMINOUS SURFACE CHIPPING CONCRETE PAVEMENT	4000005	350.00 SYD	10.0000	3,500.00	10.0000	3,500.00		·	
FOR JOINTS	4000010	366.00 SYD	e .0000	2,196.00	0000	2, 196.00	,		
	4000015		50.0000	15,600.00	50,0000	15,600.00			
REPAIKING PAVEMENT UDINIS AND CRACKS, DETAIL 7 PEDATOTING DAVEMENT JOINTS AND	4000020	9,750.00 LFT	. 5000	4,875.00	. 5000	4,875.00			
5 1100	4000021		0009	4,056.00	.6000	4,056.00			
BITUMINOUS MIXTURE - 11A BITUMINOUS MIXTURE - 3B			24.5000	136,416.00	24.5000	136,416.00			
MINDUS MIXIUKE - 4C MINDUS MIXTURE - 4C (MDDIFIE			35.0000	44,772.00 136,150.00	35.0000	44,772.00 136,150.00	•		
QUALITY CDNTROL TESTING CONCRETE PAVEMENT -	4007005	11,759.00 TON	. 5000	5,879.50	. 5000	5,879.50			
REINFORCEO 11" MISCELLANEDIS CONCRETE DAVEMENT	4500025	90,365.00 SYD	22.5000	2033,212.50	24.0000	2168,760.00			
	4500085	7,930.00 SYD	26.0000	206,180.00	36.0000	285,480.00			
	4500200	676.00 SYD	53.8500	36,402.60	31.0000	20,956.00			
CONCRETE SHOULDERS - REINFORCED	4500252		21.5000	722,400.00	36.0000	1209,600.00			
CONTRACTION JOINT C	4500270	21,200.00 LFT 8 400.00 LFT	6.2500	132,500.00	8.5000	180,200.00			
	4500275		7.5000	20,250.00	9.2500	24,975.00			
EXPANSION JDINT E4 EXTERNAL LONGITUDINAL	4500277	400.00 LFT	4.5000	1,800.00	0006.8	2,200.00			
PAVEMENT JOINT COLD-MILLING CONCRETE PAVEMENT PAVEMENT RIDING QUALITY	4500290 4500318 4500350	42,000.00 LFT 50.00 SYD 1f6,864.00 SYD	1,0000 50,0000 7500	42,000.00 2,500.00 87,648.00	1.7000	71,400.00 1,000.00 87,648.00			
PAVEMENT RIDING QUALITY MEASUREMENT BUMP CUTTING	4500351 4500352	17.00 LNMI 500.00 SYD	250.0000	4,250.00	450.0000	7,650.00			
TWO LAYER CONCRETE-10" NON- REINFORCEO (EUROPEAN PAVEMENT)	4507001	23,525.00 SYD	34.0000	799,850.00	47.3000	1112,732.50			
				•					
					-	•	•		

	128
1891	ġ
Ë	Ħ
1304	REPORT

i hereby cerli correct copy o

Adminis

//89) T NO. 128		PRO	PROPOSAL 93-06083					SET 2		
BULATION FACE RECOVERED	MORK TYPE & LOCATION 1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE FACING & CUSHION WAL RECONSTRUCTION, STOR MENT, SIGNING & LIGH IN-MOTION DETECTION	LANSING RETE PAV. WALL: 2.3 STORM SEW LIGHTING	WORK TYPE & LOCATION 1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR, BIT RESUR-FACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACEMENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHT-IN-MOTTON DEFECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF	SUR- SETE ACE- IGHT- DF		ON JUNE FEDERAL PROJE IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420)	9 1993 CT NO.	c &	A.M. ON JOB NO. 11 30613A 1 30614A	
irue and	OPEAN PAV'T S PIQUETTE AVE P NORTHERLY T I-375 FROM JE HER FWY INTER	ECT DN I- EUROPEAN D SO OF P FFERSON A	75 FROM I-375 NU SECT FROM WARREN IQUETTE AVE (NB VE RAMP NORTHERI DETROIT, WAYNE	DRTHERLY A AVE EXIT DNLY) AND Y TO THE COUNTY.						
led for this project.	% DBE 0% WB			TONY ANGELO RUCTION COMPANY	CEMENT CONST	CONSTICHAMPAGNE-WEBBER HIGAN	BBER INC MICOTHER	OTHER CONTRACTORS	TORS	
inistrator, Financial Services		•		NOVI 0520	IW	FRASER 0051	M			
WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION	ION	CODE	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	
O1 TWO LAYER CONCRETE SHOULDER-10" NON-REINFORCED (EUROPEAN PAVEMENT)	LDER-10" N PAVEMENT)	4507002	7,355.00 SYD	30,0000	220,650.00	24.0000	176,520.00			
NON-REINFORCED (EUROPEAN PAVEMEN MISCELLANEOUS TWO LAYER CONCRETE	(EUROPEAN PAVEMENT)	4507003	32,915.00 SYD	12.0000	394,980.00	29.0000	954,535.00			
PAVEMENT-10" NON-REINFORCED (EUROPEAN PAVEMENT) TBANSVEDSE CONTRACTION IDIN	RCED	4507004	2,205.00 SYD	44.0000	97,020.00	38.0000	83,790.00			
(EUROPEAN PAVEMENT)	TON ODINI	4507005	14,600.00 LFT	8.0000	116,800.00	8.0000	116,800.00			
PAVEMENT)	OF EAN	4507006	18,500.00 LFT	3,1000	57,350.00	3.0000	55,500.00	,		
EXPOSED AGGREGATE SURFACE IREALMENT (EUROPEAN PAVEMENT)	- Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z	4507007	23,525,00 SYD	12.0000	282,300.00	10.0000	235,250.00			
CYLINDERS CYLINDERS		4507009		•	19,500.00	25.0000	7,500.00			
MOVING FROM REPAIK TO REPAIR REMOVING PAVEMENT (REPAIR)	¥	4520001			-	• •	,782			
INTERMEDIATE SAW CUTS CALCIUM CHLORIDE		4520003 4520030	1,773.00 LFT 1,700.00 LBS	2.7000	1,020.00	2.7000	1,020.00			
EXPANSION JOINT EP		4520036		8.4000	403.20	8.4000	403.20			
EXPANSION JOINT ENG		4520040		• •		0000.6	836			
TIED JUINI ING LANE TIE, PAVEMENT REPA		4520041 4520045			1,080.00	5.0000	1,080.00	-		
STEEL REINFORCEMENT, EPOXY HAND CHIPPING - OTHER THAN	COATED	5030031 5090007	3,580.00 LBS 200.00 CFT	1.4000	5,012.00	50.0000	2,148.00			
PATCHING MORTAR OR CONCRETE FORMING FOR PATCHES		5090015 5090017	200.00 CFT 300.00 SFT	75.0000	13,500.00	25.0000	5,000.00			
• LU		5090037		7.0000		9.0000	756.00			
CLASS C76-II SEWER, 12", TRENCH DETAIL B		5130249	8,003.00 LFT	35.0000	280, 105.00	35.0000	280,105.00			
SEWER,		5130250	600.00 LFT	42.0000	25,200.00	42.0000	25,200.00	· · · · · ·		
TRENCH DETAIL B		5130251	200.00 LFT	43.0000	8,600.00	43.0000	8,600.00			

P1304 (1/89) REPORT NO. 128

TABULATION

PROPOSAL 93-06083

306 t3A 30614A 82251 82111 10:30 SET PAGE AT Σ 9 1993 Š ON JUNE 9 FEDERAL PROJECT N IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420) EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON I-75 FROM I-375 NORTHERLY
TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT
RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND
ON I-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE
FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY. 1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR, BIT RESUR-FACING & CUSHION WALL: 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACE-MENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHT-IN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF 1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR, WORK TYPE & LOCATION

Administrator, Financial Services 3

O% WBE

20% DBE

I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the bids received, read,

BDS

and tabulated for this project.

AMOUNT

TONY ANGELO CEMENT CONSTCHAMPAGNE-WEBBER INC MICOTHER CONTRACTORS RUCTION HIGAN

Iministrator, Financial Services WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION O1 CLASS C76-II SEWER, 24", TRENCH DETAIL B CLASS C76-II SEWER, 30".	CODE 5130253	QUANTITY 200.00 LFT	NOVI 0520 UNIT PRICE 66.0000	MI AMOUNT 13,200.00	FRASER 0051 UNIT PRICE 66.0000	MI AMOUNT 13,200.00	UNIT PRICE
SEWER, B SEWER,	5130255	200.00 LFT 400.00 LFT	75.0000	15,000.00	75.0000	15,000.00	
TRENCH DETAIL B SEWER TAP, 6" SEWER BULKHEAD, 12" TRENCH UNDERCUT. AND BACKFILL RESEALING SEWER JOINTS.	5130257 5130621 5130655 5130700	20.00 LFT 6.00 EACH 124.00 EACH 100.00 CYD	95.0000 150.0000 40.0000 15.0000	1,900.00 4,960.00 1,500.00	95.0000 150.0000 40.0000 15.0000	1,900.00 900.00 4,960.00 1,500.00	
OPEN CUT, 12" RESEALING SEWER JOINTS, OPEN CUT, 15" RESEALING SEWER JOINTS	5137001	30.00 EACH 50.00 EACH	51.0000	1,530.00	51.0000	1,530.00	
OPEN CUT, 18" RESEALING SEWER JOINTS, OPEN CUT, 21" RESEALING SEWER JOINTS	5137005	30.00 EACH	51.0000	1,530.00	51.0000	1,530.00	
OPEN CUT, 24" RESEALING SEWER UDINTS, OPEN CUT, 27"	5137009	30.00 EACH 30.00 EACH	69.0000	2,070.00	0000.69	2,070.00	
RESEALING SEWER COINIS, PESEALING SEWER COINTS, OPEN CUT, 36"	5137013	30.00 EACH 50.00 EACH	91.0000	2,730.00	91.0000	2,730.00	
DPEN CUT.42" CLASS C76-II SEWER, 21" TRENCH DETAIL B CLEANING CATCH BASIN LEADS	5137017 5137019 5137020	5.00 EACH 200.00 LFT 12,000.00 LFT	96.0000	480.00 12,200.00 44,880.00	96.0000	480.00 12,200.00 44,880.00	
TRENCH DETAIL B RESEALING SEWER JOINTS, CHEMICAL, 12"	5137021	200.00 LFT 10.00 EACH	66.0000	13,200.00	66.0000	13,200.00	

	128
(68)	ġ
=	뜌
1304	EPO
2	Œ

RECEIVED AT LANSING
WORK TYPE & LOCATION
1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR,

TABULATION BIDS 9

JOB NO. 30613A 30614A

10:30 A.N. 82251 82111

ON JUNE 9 FEDERAL PROJECT N IM 75-1(420)

SET PAGE

correct copy of the bids reco I hereby certify that this is

Administrator, Fin 3

2,650.00 125,160.00 44,400.00 222.0000 265.0000 840.0000 2,650.00 44,400.00 265.0000 840.0000 222.0000 10.00 LFT 149.00 EACH 200.00 LFT 5137055 5137057 5140001 RELINING 42" SEWER, INVERSION PROCESS DRAINAGE STRUCTURE, 4' DIAMETER RELINING 27" SEWER, INVERSION PROCESS RELINING 30" SEWER, INVERSION PROCESS RELINING 36" SEWER, INVERSION PROCESS CHEMICAL, 2 RESEALING RESEALING CHEMICAL, 2 WORK RESEALING CHEMICAL, RESEALING RELINING 2 RELINING 1 INVERSION RELINING 2 CHEMICAL, RESEALING CHEMICAL, RELINING INVERSION RESEALING RESEALING RESEAL ING INVERSION CHEMICAL, INVERSION CHEMICAL, INVERSION CHEMICAL, RELINING

WORK IYE & LOC	ATION CRETE DAV'T RE	PATR RIT RE	1 M		IM 75-1(420)	<u>;</u>	CONTROL SECTION	306 13A ·	
ONI FACING & CUSHIO	N WALL: 2.3 MI	LES OF CONCRE	######################################		IM 75-1(420	(6			
	STORM SEWER,	X-LEAD REPLAC	- E-						
MENT, SIGNING &	LIGHTING REPL	ACEMENT, WEIC	HT-						1
IN-MOTION DETEC	TION DEVICE IN	C 4.0 MILE OF							I
EUROPEAN PAV'T	SECT ON I-75 F	ROM 1-375 NOF	1375 NORTHERLY						i
DAMP NODTHEDLY	TO SO OF DIOLE	TTE AVE (NR (ANI V ANIO						l
is a line and ON I-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE	EFFERSON AVE R	AMP NORTHERLY	DRIHERLY TO THE					annument of the second of the	1 1
ived, read,	RCHANGE IN DET	ROIT, WAYNE (OUNTY.				,	مدعدة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة	j
ect. 20% DBE 0% W	BE	1		TOMOU THANK	STATE THE	SOED TAID MY	SONE TOLIMBACHE HEBBER TAC MICOTHER CONTRACTORS	Tobe	1
		<u> L</u>	2		CHAMPAGNE-WEE HIGAN	SDEN 1140 MIC	טייום אפווס	520	
James J.		<u></u>	COMPANY	·					
inancial Services			I NON I	M	FRASER	MI			
AK ITEM DESCRIPTION	CODE	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	
S SEWER JOINTS,	5137025	20.00 EACH	149.0000	2,980.00	149.0000	2,980.00			
3 SEWER JOINIS, 18"	5137027	10.00 EACH	154.0000	1,540.00	154.0000	1,540.00			
	5137029	10.00 EACH	159.0000	1,590.00	159.0000	1,590.00			
2 SEWER COINTS,	5137031	10.00 EACH	163.0000	1,630.00	163.0000	1,630.00			
	5137033	10.00 EACH	167.0000	1,670.00	167.0000	1,670.00			
	5137035	10.00 EACH	171.0000	1,710.00	171.0000	1,710.00			
3 SEWER COINTS, 36"	5137037	20.00 EACH	175.0000	3,500.00	175.0000	3,500.00			
42", 42"	5137039	4.00 EACH	192.0000	768.00	192.0000	768.00			
12" SEWER, A PROCESS	5137041	100.00 LFT	54.0000	5,400.00	54.0000	5,400.00			
PROCESS	5137043	200.00 LFT	75.0000	15,000.00	75.0000	15,000.00			
V PROCESS	5137045	100.00 LFT	96.0000	9,600.00	96.0000	9,600.00			
ZI" SEWEK, I PROCESS	5137047	100.00 LFT	117.0000	11,700.00	117.0000	11,700.00			
V4" SEWER, V PROCESS	5137049	100.00 LFT	138.0000	13,800.00	138.0000	13,800.00			
27" SEWER, V PROCESS	5137051	100.00 LFT	159.0000	15,900.00	159.0000	15,900.00			
30" SEWEK, A PROCESS	5137053	100.00 LFT	180.0000	18,000.00	180.0000	18,000.00			
GO: OFFICE,		-	-						

	128
P1304 (1/89)	REPORT NO.

RECEIVED AT LANS WORK TYPE & LOCATION

TABULATION С

CONTROL SECTION

PROJECT NO.

ON FEDERAL

SET PAGE

BIDS

correct copy of the bids received, read, hereby certify that this is a true and and tabulated for this project.

8

Administrator, Financial Services

JOB NO. 30613A 30614A INDOMA TONY ANGELD CEMENT CONSTICHAMPAGNE-WEBBER INC MICDIHER CONTRACTORS 82251 8211 UNIT PRICE 4,720.00 24,300.00 27,927.90 156,825.50 30,006.00 1,072.50 57,474.00 5,562.00 32,500.00 10,730.00 12,000.00 32,750.00 66,000.00 13,200.00 8,000.00 103, 292, 50 45, 325, 50 28,262.50 23,116.50 21,700.00 21,560.00 60,060.00 199,657.50 AMOUNT IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420) 550.0000 5500 660.0000 250.0000 2.7900 2.9900 1.8000 7.1500 462.0000 350.0000 10.3000 6.1000 7.9000 6.7000 9.5000 770.0000 74.0000 0051-UNIT PRICE 650.0000 18.0000 2.7000 10,3000 295,0000 10.5000 FRASER 13,200.00 32,500.00 10,730.00 12,000.00 32,750.00 4,720.00 33,750.00 6,975.00 41,541.50 30,006.00 1,500.00 58,590.00 5,940.00 66,000.00 21,560.00 169,975.00 44,625.00 60,060.00 21,700.00 318,501.25 물 AMOUNT 660.0000 550.0000 5500 74.0000 250.0000 50.0000 295.0000 25.0000 7.7500 1.8000 10.0000 10.5000 11.0000 8.7500 13.0000 UNIT PRICE 462.0000 770.0000 4.1500 5.5000 15.0000 350.0000 650,0000 16.7500 RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACEMENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHTIN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF
EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON 1-75 FROM I-375 NORTHERLY
TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT
RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND
ON 1-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE
FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY. RUCTION COMPANY 0250 INON 1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR, BIT RESUR FACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE 120.00 EACH 206,645.00 LBS EACH 130.00 EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH E, QUANTITY SYD LFT LFT LFT F LFT LFT LFT ᆵ LFT SFT 19,015.00 LFT 님 52,450.00 16,670.00 150.00 5,580.00 540.00 22,835.00 50.00 145.00 48.00 655.00 1,350.00 10,010.00 2,975.00 28.00 20.00 4.00 13,075.00 6,765.00 62.00 5140042 6097005 6110002 5 140005 5140045 5147003 5147005 5147010 5140047 5147001 5147007 5147011 5147012 5147013 6010003 6020060 6020120 6020051 6090019 9000009 3097003 6120002 6020061 6020137 609003 5097001 CODE O% WBE B3 D3 DRAINAGE STRUCTURE, 2' DIAMETER DRAINAGE STRUCTURE COVER ADJUSTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE COVER, ADJUSTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE COVER RECONSTRUCTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURES - SPECIAL CASE 1 DRAINAGE STRUCTURE RECONSTRUCTION RECONSTRUCTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE RECONSTRUCTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER, DETAIL CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER, DETAIL CONCRETE VALLEY GUTTER SPECIAL VALLEY GUTTER SPECIAL VALLEY GUTTER CONCRETE MODIFIED COVERS FOR EXISTING CATCH BASIN CONCRETE BARRIER - SINGLE FACE, OPEN-GRADED UNDERDRAIN PIPE, 20% DBE OPEN-GRADED UNDERDRAIN PIPE, CONCRETE BARRIER-SINGLE FACE, CLEANING PUMPHOUSE WETWELLS WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION STYK. FOUNDATION UNDERDRAIN, 4" UNDERDRAIN OUTLET, 6" COVER, CASE 1, (SPECIAL) CLEANING CATCH BASINS SLOPE PAVING, CONCRETE SUBBASE UNDERDRAIN, 6" VALLEY GUTTER CONCRETE MODIFIED SPECIAL CONCRETE SIDEWALK, 4" (SPECIAL), CASE 2 CASE 1 (SPECIAL) SLDTTED DRAIN

30,800.00

40.0000

21,560.00

28.0000

770.00 LFT

6120003

	128
<u>බ</u>	Š
18	Ā
P1304	REPO

RECEIVED AT LANSING
WORK TYPE & LOCATION
1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR,

TABULATION

BIDS

JOB NO. 30613A 30614A

> 82251 82111

AT 10:30 A.M CONTROL SECTION

9 1993

JUNE 9 199 FEDERAL PROJECT NO. IM 75-1(420)

1 17

SET PAGE

I hereby certify that this is a true and and tabulated for this project.

FACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE
RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACEMENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHTIN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF
EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON I-75 FROM I-375 NORTHERLY
RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE NORTHERLY
RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND
ON I-375 FROM JEFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE
FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY.

correct copy of the bids received, read,

TONY ANGELO CEMENT CONSTCHAMPAGNE-WEBBER INC MICOTHER CONTRACTORS RUCTION HIGAN COMPANY

Admi

	AMOUN																																		
-	UNIT PRICE			•			,																												
Σ	AMOUNT		130,960.00	100, 160.00	37,200.00	77,500.00	64,995.00	21,910.00	7,200.00	28,652.50		4,200.00	14,000.00	7,600.00	2,475.00		23,760.00	•	2,340.00	1	5,940.00	106,650.00	6,500.00		9,500.00	9,000.00	25,270.00	22,400.00	11,600.00	92,000.00	39,500.00	3,150.00	1,000.00	125,442.00	7,380.50
FRASER 0051	UNIT PRICE		16.0000	32.0000	30.000	2500.0000	7.0000	7.0000	3600.0000	18.2500		30.000	3200.0000	1900.0000	495.0000		495.0000		195.0000		495.0000	35550,0000	13.0000	,	500.0000	500,0000	27635.0000	5600.0000	2800,0000	19000.00001	7900.0000	21.0000	25.0000	18.0000	14 . 5000
M	AMOUNT		182,116.25	165,890.00	43,400.00	28,900.00	53,388,75	53,992.50	17,800,00	19,232.50		4,900.00	14,000.00	7,600.00	2,475.00		23,760.00		2,340.00		5,940.00	106,650.00	2,625.00		9,500.00	18,000.00	55,274.00	22,400.00	11,600.00	95,000.00	39,500.00	. 3, 150.00	1,000.00	125,442.00	7,380.50
NOVI 0520	UNIT PRICE		22.2500	53.0000	35.0000	1900.0000	5.7500	17,2500	8900.0000	12.2500	-	35.0000	3500.0000	1900,0000	495,0000		495.0000		195.0000		495.0000	35550,0000	5.2500		200,0000	1000.0000	27637,0000	5600.0000	5800,0000	19000,00001	7900,0000	21.0000	25.0000	•	14.5000
	QUANTITY		8,185.00 LFT					3, 130.00 LFT	2.00 EACH			140.00 LFT.		8	5.00 CYD		48.00 EACH		12.00 EACH				500.00 LFT					4.00 EACH				150.00 LFT			509.00 SFT
	CODE		6120005	6120009	6120013	6120020	6120022	6120023	6120026	6120035		6127001	6127005	6127007	6127009		6127013		6127015		6127017		6210011		6210047	6220001	6260016	6260028	6260035	6260078	6260081	6260106	6260107	6260114	6260115
ninistrator, Financial Services	WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION	O1	CONCRETE BARRIER - DOUBLE FACE, TYPE A	CONCRETE BARRIER - SPLIT, TYPE A		LIGHT STANDARD FOUNDATION - CB	GLARE SCREEN - CONCRETE	GLARE SCREEN, CONCRETE - SPLIT	TOURS TYPE C	CONCRETE BARRIER BACKFILL (CIP)	CONCRETE BARRIER SINGLE	FACE SPECIAL	INSTALL IMPACT ATTENUATOR	REMOVE IMPACT ATTENUATOR	REMOVE ATTENUATOR PAD	SAND MODULE IMPACT ATTENUATOR	(TEMPORARY)	SAND MDDULE IMPACT ATTENUATOR	(RELOCATE)	SAND MODULE IMPACT ATTENUATOR	(REPLACEMENT)	GREAT ATTENUATOR (8 BAYS) FURNISHED	CHAIN LINK FENCE, 48"	GATE, 12', FOR	CHAIN LINK FENCE, 48"		OVERHEAD TRUSS, TYPE C, 50'	TRUSS FOUNDATION, TYPE C	TRUSS FOUNDATION, TYPE D	CANTILEVER, TYPE H	CANTILEVER FOUNDATION, TYPE H-3	₩000 POST, 4" X 6"	W000 POST, 6" X 8"	SIGN, TYPE IA	SIGN, TYPE IIA

	128
P1304 (1/89)	REPORT NO.

TABULATION Р

BIDS

FACING & CUSHION WALL: 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE
RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACEMENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHTIN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF
EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON I-75 FROM I-375 NORTHERLY
TO PIGUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT
RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIGUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND
ON I-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE
FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY.

1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR, BIT RESUR-

LANSING

WORK TYPE & LOCATION

PROPOSAL 93-06083

JOB NO. 30613A 30614A

> 82251 82111

CONTROL SECTION

9 1993

S

PROJECT NO.

FEDERAL PROJECT IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420)

SET PAGE

i hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the bids received, read, and tabulated for this project.

O% WBE

20% DBE

TONY ANGELD CEMENT CONSTICHAMPAGNE-WEBBER INC MICOTHER CONTRACTORS RUCTION
COMPANY

Admi

-	AMOUNT				•																							-					
	UNIT PRICE																									-		·	· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
M	AMOUNT		346.50 13.751.75	3,944.00	351.50	9,350.00	4,750.00	6,750.00	480.00	60.00	450.00	6,000.00	12,500.00	4,000,00	6,000.00		2,400.00		1,800.00	11 600 00	2000	10,361.00		9,126.00		7,700.00	18.975.00		24,150.00	64 480 00	20.00	36,115.00	21,840.00
FRASER OOS 1	UNIT PRICE		16.7500	14,5000	9.5000	425.0000	950.0000	125.0000	15.0000	5.0000	75.0000	1500.0000	1250.0000	1000.0001	1200,0000		150.0000	0000	450.0000	0000.000	200	. 6500		.6500	•	2.7500	2.7500		.3500	1 5500	2	1.5500	5.2500
MI	AMOUNT	0	13,751.75	3,944.00	351.50	9,350.00	4,750.00	6,750.00	480.00	60.00	450.00	6,000.00	12,500.00	4,000.00	6,000.00	•	2,400.00	000	20.00	11.600.00	2	10,361.00	,	9, 126.00		7,700.00	18.975.00		24,150.00	64 480 00		36, 115.00	21,840.00
NOVI O520	UNIT PRICE	0000	16.7500	14.5000	9.5000	425.0000	950,0000	125.0000	15.0000	5.0000	75.0000	1500.0000	1250.0000	1000.0001	1200.0000		150.0000	0000	430.0000	200.000)	. 6500		.6500		2.7500	2.7500		. 3500	1,5500	3	1.5500	5.2500
	QUANTITY		821.00 SFT	272.00 SFT	37.00 SFT	22.00 EACH				12.00 EACH	6.00 EACH				5.00 EACH		16.00 EACH		4.00 EACH	58.00 EACH		15,940.00 LFT		14,040.00 LFT		2,800.00 LFT	6,900.00 LFT		69,000.00 LFT	41.600.00 LFT		23,300.00 LFT	4,160.00 LFT
	CODE	00000	6260118	6260119	6260120	6260130	6260132	6260150	6260151	6260152	6260159	6260161	6260162	6260163	6260170		6260180	0000100	7010070	6267007		6290212		6290213		6290216	6290217		6290300	6290350	}	6290351	6290356
ministrator, Financial Services	WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION	01 CICM TVDE IIIA	TYPE	SIGN, TYPE IIB	TYPE IIIB ·		CONNECTION	L OF SIGN,	L OF SIGN,	ر ا	L 0F	L OF CANTIL	ر 1	L 0F	6	REMDVAL DE BRIDGE CONNECTION,		KEMUVAL UF BRIDGE CONNECTION.	ROLT REPLACEMENT IN ROLDSE	CONNECTIONS	THERMOPLASTIC PAVEMENT MARKING,	4", WHITE	THERMOPLASTIC PAVEMENT MARKING,	4", YELLOW	THERMOPLASTIC PAVEMENT MARKING,	12", YELLUW THERMORIASTIC RAVEMENT MARKING	12", WHITE	REMOVING CURING COMPOUND,	LONGITUDINAL MARKINGS		30L0	YELLOW	OVERLAY COLD PLASTIC PAVEMENT MARKING, 12", WHITE

	128
1/89)	IT NO.
P1304 (REPOR'

FACING & CUSHION WALL: 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE
RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACEMENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHTIN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF
EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON 1-75 FROM 1-375 NORTHERLY
TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AND
ON 1-375 FROM JEFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE
FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY.
20% DBE 0% WBE RECEIVED AT LANSING WORK TYPE & LOCATION **TABULATION**

JOB NO. 30613A 30614A

82251

AT 10:30 A.I

9 1993

ON JUNE 9 1990 FEDERAL PROJECT NO. IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420)

BIT RESUR-

.1 MILE DF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR,

PROPOSAL 93-06083

0 5

SET PAGE

BIDS

correct copy of the bids received, read, I hereby certify that this is a true and

and tabulated for this project.

Adm

TONY ANGELO CEMENT CONSTCHAMPAGNE-WEBBER INC MICOTHER CONTRACTORS RUCTION HIGAN

	AMOUNT																														
	UNIT PRICE			•								•				4.5.															
M	AMOUNT	210.00	5,500.00	23,625.00		30, 100.00	11,500.00	!	17,500.00	8,000.00		4,000.00	230,000.00	6,250.00	36,840.00	000	41,760.00	55,170.00		135.00	4,515.00		37,575.00	168,000.00	16,250.00	750.00	6,000.00	32, 760, 00	7.357.50	29,484.00	
FRASER OOS 1	UNIT PRICE	10.0000	500.0000	35.0000		10.0000	100.0000	1	250.0000	4000.0000		2000.0000	10.000	25.0000	12.0000	0000	. 8000	1.8000		3000	3000		0006	35.0000	25.0000	0000.01	9000	. 6200	2.7000	0006	
M	AMOUNT	29,400.00	29,810.00	23,625.00		30,100.00	11,500.00		17,500.00	29,700.00	1	10,000.00	419,750.00	6,250.00	36,840.00		23, 360, 00	47,507.50		202.50	6,772.50		31,312,50	142,800.00	8,125.00	750.00	4,000.00	32,760,00	7.357.50	29,484.00	
NOVI 0520	UNIT PRICE	1400.0000	2710.0000	35,0000		70.0000	100.0000	1	250.0000	14850.0000		2000 . 0000	18.2500	25.0000	12.0000		0000.1	1.5500		. 4500	. 4500		. 7500	29.7500	12.5000	0000	0000	. 6200	2.7000	0006	
	QUANTITY	21.00 EACH	11.00 EACH	675.00 EACH		430.00 EACH	115.00 EACH		70.00 EACH	2.00 EACH			8	8	3,070.00 SFT	8	23,200.00 LF!	30,650.00 LFT		450.00 LFT	15,050,00' LFT		8	8	8	36	100.00 UNI	38	88	8	
	CODE	6310011	6310012	6310026		6310027	6310036		6310037	63 10038		6310039	6310049	6310056	6310057	0	6310085	6310086		6310087	6310088		6310139	6317001	6317007	6317009	6530003	6530016	6530035	6530037	
Iministrator, Financial Services	WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION		. A	BARRICADE, TYPE 11. LIGHTED ~ FURNISHED	BARRICADE, TYPE II,		BARRICADE, IYPE 111, LIGHTED - FURNISHED	BARRICADE, TYPE III,	LIGHTEO - OPERATED VEHICLE MOUNTED ATTENUATOR -	FURNISHED	VEHICLE MOUNTED ATTENUATOR -	OPERATEO	TEMPORARY CONCRETE BARRIER	SIGN, TYPE A TEMPORARY	SIGN, TYPE B TEMPDRARY	TEMPORARY PAVEMENT MARKING,	TYPE K, 4", WHILE TEMPODABY DAVEMENT MARKING		TEMPORARY PAVEMENT MARKING,	TYPE NR, TAPE, 4", WHITE		MARKING,			FURNISH AND INSTALL VERTICAL PANEL	BARRIER REFLECTOR	WATER	TOBOOT SIDEACE SI	POADSIDE SEFDING - MODIFIED		

00000	, C	
	してつこし	

REPORT NO. 128

P1304 (1/89)

FACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE
RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACEMENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHTIN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF
EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON I-75 FROM I-375 NORTHERLY
TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT
RAMP NORTHERLY TO OP PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND
ON I-375 FROM JEFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE
FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY.
20% DBE 0% WBE WORK TYPE & LOCATION
1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR,

BIT RESUR-

JOB NO. 30613A 30614A

AT 10:30 A.M.
CONTROL SECTION

9 1993

ON JUNE 9 19 FEDERAL PROJECT NO. IM 75-1(420)

IM 75-1(420

SET 2 PAGE 10

82251 82111

ΣΞ

TABULATION BIDS 9

I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the bids received, read, and tabulated for this project.

tabulated for this project. 20% DBE 0% WI	WBE	0						
		,	TONY ANGELO	CEMENT CONST	CHAMPAGNE -WEI	BBER INC MIC	TONY ANGELO CEMENT CONSTCHAMPAGNE-WEBBER INC MICOTHER CONTRACTORS	TORS
			RUCTION COMPANY		HIGAN	-		
Administrator, Financial/Services			NOVI 05300	I W	FRASER	IW.		
WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION	CODE	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
01,								
REMOVE HANDHOLE - ELECTRICAL	6900001	8	260.0000	17,420.00	260,0000	17,420.00		
	9000069	54.00 EACH	26.0000	1,404.00	26.0000	1,404.00		
FDUNDAT	6900007	3.00 EACH	120.0000	360.00	120.0000	360.00		
BURIAL CONDUIT,	6900062	8	3.7000	52,614.00	3.7000	52,614.00	-	
BURIAL CONDUIT,	6900069	8	3.2000	528.00	3.2000	528.00		
DIRECT BURIAL CONDUIT, 2-2"	6900064		7.0000	140.00	7.0000	140.00		
30X IN BARRI	0600069	8	340.0000	1,700.00	340.0000	1,700.00		
	[6900092	64.00 EACH	610.0000	39,040.00	610,0000	39,040.00		
600V 2-1/C #6 DIRECT BURIAL								
IN CONDUIT	6900128	1,360.00 LFT	2.2000	2,992.00	2.2000	2,992.00		
600V 3-1/C #6 DIRECT BURIAL		6		4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4				
CABLE IN CONDUIL	6200153	5, 190.00 LFT	3.1000	16,089,00	3.1000	16,089.00		
600V 6-1/C #6 DIRECT BURIAL	_				•			
	6900132	110.00 LFT	5.4000	594.00	5.4000	594.00	,	
600V 3-1/C #4 DIRECT BURIAL							•	
	6900134	7,405.00 LFT	3.4000	25,177.00	3.4000	25, 177.00		
600V 6-1/C #4 DIRECT BURIAL					•			
	6900137	1,890.00 LFT	6.5000	12,285.00	6.5000	12,285.00		
600V 3-1/C #2 DIRECT BURIAL								
CABLE IN CONDUIT	6900139	2,890.00 LFT	4.8000	13,872.00	4.8000	13,872.00		
	6900212	8	1.4000	798.00	1.4000	798.00		
3 #4 OVERHEAD LINE	6900215	8	2.2000	22,979.00	2.2000	22,979.00		
OVERHEAD L	6900217	770.00 LFT	2.4000	1,848.00	2.4000	1,848.00		
LIGHT STANDARD 30' MOUNTING HEIGHT						•		•
_	6900318	4.00 EACH	1750.0000	7,000.00	1750.0000	7,000.00		-
LIGHT STANDARD 45' MOUNTING HEIGHT				,		_		
WITH 12' DOUBLE ARM ON MEDIAN WALL	6900523	29.00 EACH	1576.0000	45,704.00	1576.0000	45,704.00		
SDOIUM	6900612		180.0000	720.00	180.0000	720.00		
400W HIGH PRESSURE SODIUM LUMINAIRE	6900613	58.00 EACH	190.0000	11,020.00	190.0000	11,020.00		
							-	
BARRIE	6907001	30.00 EACH	162.0000	4,860.00	162.0000	4,860.00		
LIGH								
HEIGHT WITH 12', ARM ON FRANGIBLE			-					
TRANSFORMER BASE & FOUNDATION	6907002	2.00 EACH	270.0000	540.00	270.0000	540.00		

128
Š
REPORT

P 1304

TABULATION

BIDS

WORK TYPE & LOCATION

1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR, BIT RESURFACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE
RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACEMENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHTIN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF
EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON 1-75 FROM 1-375 NORTHERLY
TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT
RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND
ON 1-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE
FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY. copy of the bids received, read, hereby certify that this is a true and

and tabulated for this project.

O% WBE

20% DBE

TONY ANGELO CEMENT CONSTICHAMPAGNE-WEBBER INC MICDITHER CONTRACTORS

HIGAN

RUCTION

Administrator, Financial Services

AMOUNT UNIT PRICE 240.00 16,679.00 2,700.00 1,920.00 40,710.00 26,429.00 31,372.00 9,470.00 8,337.00 5,253.00 23,430.00 33,370.00 735.00 1,083.00 543.00 780.00 5,040.00 23,200.00 10,305.00 1,350.00 8,750.00 1,448.00 5,679.00 19,125.00 AMOUNT 불 UNIT PRICE 270.0000 480.0000 3.0000 1.3000 120.0000 9.8000 1.5000 2.2000 2.0000 4.2000 5.1000 6.0000 . 7500 210.0000 4.0000 1283.0000 1450.0000 710.0000 . 6000 1145,0000 10.0000 35,0000 11.2500 FRASER 2051 33,370.00 735.00 1,083.00 16,679.00 2,700.00 1,920.00 40,710.00 26,429.00 31,372.00 9,470.00 8,337.00 5,253.00 23,430.00 780.00 5,040.00 23,200.00 10,305.00 1,350.00 8,750.00 240.00 543.00 1,448.00 5,679.00 19,125.00 Ξ AMOUNT 120.0000 9.8000 1.5000 270.0000 480.0000 3.0000 1.3000 2.2000 2.0000 4.2000 5.1000 6.0000 0520 UNIT PRICE 710.0000 4.0000 1283.0000 .0000 10.0000 9009 1450.0000 210.0000 1145.0000 11.2500 COMPANY IVO 2.00 EACH 52.00 EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH EACH SYD EACH 14,260.00 LFT 4,735.00 LFT 1,985.00 LFT 1,030.00 LFT 47.00 EACH 75.00 LFT 95.00 LFT QUANTITY 362.00 LFT 130.00 LFT LFT LFT 362.00 LFT 9,465.00 LFT 1,700.00 LFT 13.00 E 10.00 E 4.00 E 13,570.00 L 20,330.00 L 1,040.00 24.00 16.00 135.00 250.00 3,905.00 6907003 6907005 6907009 6907011 6907025 6907052 6907054 6907017 6907019 5907062 5907064 6910176 6910447 6917005 6917012 3907015 6907023 6907007 6907058 6917015 6907056 3907060 5907071 6907072 6917013 90702 CODE 4 - 3" SCHEDULE 80 CONDUIT HUNG TD STRUCTURES REMOVE LIGHT STANDARD 30' MOUNTING HEIGHT WITH 12' ARM REMOVE STEEL MESSENGER ATTACHED STRUCTURE 3 #6 & 6 #4 OVERHEAD LINE FIT UP WOOD POLE AS A TEMPORARY REMOVE TEMPORARY LIGHTING UNIT TRAFFIC DETECTOR LOOP TYPE III REMOVING BITUMINOUS PATCHES IMPEDANCE MATCHING TRANSFORMER #8 AWG I/C EQUIPMENT GROUNDING #6 AWG I/C EQUIPMENT GROUNDING REMOVE TEMPORARY SERVICE POLE LIGHT STANDARD FOUNDATION RF TRANSMISSION CABLE SHIELDED PAIR COMMS CABLE DIRECT BURIAL CONDUIT 4-4" DIRECT BURIAL CONDUIT, 6-3" STEEL MESSENGER ATTACHED James WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION 1-3"CONDUIT UNDER PAVEMENT 2-3"CONDUIT UNDER PAVEMENT 4-3"CONDUIT UNDER PAVEMENT TEMPORARY LIGHTING UNITS MULTICONDUCTOR SIGNAL HANDHOLE (TYPE "D") AND AUDIO CABLE REMOVE HANDHOLE 3/C POWER CABLE SERVICE POLE TO STRUCTURE SINGLE ARM Belong CONDUCTOR CONDUCTOR

PROPOSAL 93-06083

JOB NO. 30613A 30614A

CONTROL SECTION

ON JUNE 9 1993 FEDERAL PROJECT NO.

IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420

SET PAGE

82251 82111

	128
P1304 (1/89)	REPORT NO.

PROPOSAL 93-06083

HECEIVED AT LANSING
WORK TYPE & LOCATION
1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE PAV'T REPAIR, BIT RESURFACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE
RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACE—
MENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHT—
IN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF
EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON 1-75 FROM I-375 NORTHERLY
TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT
RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND
ON 1-375 FROM JEFFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE
FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY.

JOB NO. 30613A 30614A

> 82251 82111

ON JUNE 9 1993 FEDERAL PROJECT NO. (IM 75-1(420) IM 75-1(420)

Ξ

SET 2 PAGE 12 AT 10:30 A.M. CONTROL SECTION

TABULATION BDS I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the bids received, read,

and labutated for this project.

Adm

TONY ANGELO CEMENT CONSTCHAMPAGNE-WEBBER INC MICOTHER CONTRACTORS RUCTION
COMPANY

	AMOUNT							•							-						٠			
	UNIT PRICE							·																
M	AMOUNT	9,135.00	20,340.00	2,820.00	2,632.00	4,500.00	57,000.00	4,900.00	2,000.00	15,000.00	537,400.00	28,895.00	1500,000.00	34,500.00	2,500,00	45,000.00	1.00	450.00	82,741.50	82,741.50	13, 180.00	13, 180.00	139,590.00	
FRASER	UNIT PRICE	315,0000	1130.0000	940.0000	658.0000	250,0000	750,0000	4900.0000	2000.0000	15000.0000	537400.0000	28895,0000	50000.00005	34500.0000	2500.0000	45000.0000	1.0000	450.0000	82741.5000	82741.5000	13180.0000	13180.0000	33.0000	
I₩	AMOUNT	9,135.00	20,340.00	2,820.00	37,200.00	4,500.00	57,000.00	6,000.00	2,000.00		537,400.00	28,895.00 28895,0000	1537, 125, 001	34,500.00	67,570.00	36, 500.00	10,000.00	450.00	88, 100.00	88,100.00	22, 180.00	22,180.00	139,590.00	
NOVI OBSO	UNIT PRICE	315.0000	1130.0000	940,0000	658.0000	250.0000	750.0000	6000.0000	2000.0000	5000,0000	537400.0000		1537125.0000		67570,0000	36500,0000	10000 .00001	450.0000	88 100 . 0000	88100.0000	22180.0000	22180.0000	33.0000	
•	QUANTITY	29.00 EACH	18.00 EACH	3.00 EACH	4.00 EACH 48.00 EACH	18.00 EACH	7.500.00 HRS.			L SUM	₩ns 1 00 ' .	EACH	1.00 LSUM #	EACH			1.00 LSUM	1.00 EACH	1.00 LSUM	1.00 LSUM	1.00 LSUM	1.00 LSUM	4,230.00 SYD	
	CODE	6917017	6917019	6917021 6917023	6917025 6917103	6917105	6917107	2077007	2077009	2140001	6127011	6127021	6230001	6260021	6310054	6310055	6317010	6900590	6917113	6917115	6917117	6917119	4520013	
ministrator, Financial Services	WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION	O1 HANDHOLE (TYPE"O") (REMOVAL AND SALVAGE)	INSTALL HANDHOLE - TYPE "D" (SALVAGED)	COVER CITY 111, 411H HEAVE COVER COVER HANDHDLE (TYPE "O") MODIFIED	_ =	TRAFFIC DETECTOR LOOP TYPE I	TRAFFIC DETECTOR LOOP TYPE IV	PHOTOIONIZATION DETECTOR	MAGNETIC LOCATOR	PROJECT CLEANUP	REMOVE AND REPLACE CUSHIONING WALL HEX - FOAM ATTENUATOR (8-BAY	NARROW), FURNISHED	MOBILIZATIDN	OVERHEAD TRUSS, TYPE C, 75'	MINOR TRAFFIC DEVICES	FLAG CONTROL	LIGHTING FOR NIGHT PAVING INSTALL NEW FRANGIBLE	TRANSFORMER BASE	Bound 1-75)	Bound 1-750	T-75 (1-75) Court Placed (NOTE: BOOKER PARTY	Wim Equipment Flaces (South Bound 1-75)	REINFORCED 11"	

	128
ଚ	õ
(1/83)	ORT
P130	띪

PROPOSAL 93-06083

RECEIVED AT LANSING
WORK TYPE & LOCATION

1.1 MILE OF CONCRETE
FACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE
FACING & CUSHION WALL; 2.3 MILES OF CONCRETE
RECONSTRUCTION, STORM SEWER, X-LEAD REPLACEMENT, SIGNING & LIGHTING REPLACEMENT, WEIGHTIN-MOTION DETECTION DEVICE INC 1.0 MILE OF
EUROPEAN PAV'T SECT ON 1-75 FROM I-375 NORTHERLY
TO PIQUETTE AVE EUROPEAN SECT FROM WARREN AVE EXIT
RAMP NORTHERLY TO SO OF PIQUETTE AVE (NB ONLY) AND
ON I-375 FROM JEFERSON AVE RAMP NORTHERLY TO THE
FISHER FWY INTERCHANGE IN DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY. **TABULATION**

30613A 30614A

82251

AT 10:30 A.M CONTROL SECTION

ON JUNE 9 1993 FEDERAL PROJECT NO. IM 75-1(420)

IM 75-1(420

SET PAGE

BDS

I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the bids received, read, and tabulated for this project.

Administrator, Financial Services		
WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION	CODE	QUANTITY
01		
GALVANIZED STEEL OVERHEAD TRUSS,		
TYPE D, 105'	6267001	1.00 EAC

III. Project: 20% UBE 0% WBE	18 E							•
-			TONY ANGELO CEMENT CONSTCHAMPAGNE-WEBBER INC MICOTHER CONTRACTORS RUCTION HIGAN	CEMENT CONST	CHAMPAGNE-WE HIGAN	BBER INC MIC	OTHER CONTRAC	STORS
			בסוק אונים					
or, Financial Services			NOVI	IW	FRASER OOK 1	MI		
WORK ITEM DESCRIPTION	CODE	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
ANIZED STEEL OVERHEAD TRUSS, D, 105'	6267001 PART 01	1.00 EACH 1 SUBTOTAL	37500.000	0 37,500.00 47,384,495.60	37500.0000	0 37,500.00		
		TOTAL	. 17	17,384,495.60	17	17,893,038.40		
							*	
						· · · · · ·		
	-							,

·	NOTE	08-23-93 35P-1		082493 35P2			08-25-93	35P-3	_			08-26-93 35P-4			
IM 82251 30613A 35P	% PAY ADJ		2	0 0		6	-	<i>,</i> 0			2	O m			2
CONTROL SEC IM 82251 JOB NUMBER 30613A GRADE CONC. 35P	PD		0	Ø		0					0				0
CONT JOB N GRADI	g		1.789			5.852					2.127				0.716
	STD DEV		796.202			216.907					558,746				400
0500	LOT AVERAGE		4924.5			4769.4					4688.7				3786.5
400000000000000000000000000000000000000	INIT. STRENGTH TEST, PSI	4361.5 5487.5 0 0		4911.5 4609.5	4468 4947 4911	0	4892.5	5449.5	4246.5	4042.5		3786.5	00	0	
		4344 5656		5018 4539	4397 5000 5071		4919	5485	4830	4016		3804	<u></u>		
	STRENGTH, PSI	4379 5319		4805 4680	4539 4894 4751		4866	5414	4782	4069		3769		•	
CONCRETE QA	EN ID #	1502		1501			1515	2				1401			
CONCE	SPECIMEN ID #	LOT NO		LOT NO			LOT NO)				1401			

			Τ			 		Τ			<u></u>							γ					
	1	NOTE	09-07-93	35P5			_	09-09-93	35F-6				09-25-93	7-465				09-26-93	35P8				
()	35P	% PAY ADJ	ı				0					0					2						2
OL SE JMBER	CONC	G															0						0
CONTROL SEC JOB NUMBER	GRADE CONC	Ø					1.556					0.892					1.408						4.588
		STD DEV			•		400					400					1165.35						440,480
•	3500	LOT AVERAGE					4122.5					3857					5141.666						5521.25
	Design Strength	INIT. STRENGTH TEST, PSI	4122.5			0	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	3857	0	000	00		4215	6450	0	00		2629	2962	5325	2000	0 0	
		H, PSI	4140			 **		3786					4230	6500	,			5220	6010	5270	4690		
·		STRENGTH, PSI	4105					3928					4200	6400		-		6370	2920	5380	0156		- Annual Company of the Company of t
ETE QA		EN ID #	1545					1557					1437					1438			•		
CONCRETE QA		SPECIMEN ID #	LOT NO 1545					COT NO					LOT NO		•			LOT NO					

	1		-				Γ					T					
NOTE	09-28-93 35P-9		10-19-93 35P-10	2			10-20-93	354-11				10-22-93	35P-12				
% PAY ADJ						2					2						2
PD											0						0
Ø		5.399				4.302					2.430						2.796
STD DEV		493.311				392.055					752.935						372.014
3500 LOT AVERAGE		6163.75				5187					5330						4540.5
工	646 651 624 544	0	4695	4990	5695		5560	4380 5710	4755	6245 0	×	4545	4965	4845	4250	4097.5	
	6320 6550 6260 5480		4650 5110	5310	2660		5800	5930	4740	9300		4600	4990	4810	4160	4090	
STRENG	6600 6470 6220 5410		4740 5100	4670	5730		5320	5490	4770	6190	***************************************	4490	4940	4880	4340	4105	
EN ID #	1403						1851					1823					
SPECIM	LOT NO						LOT NO					LOT NO					
	STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STD Q PD % PAY AVERAGE DEV ADJ	# STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STD Q PD % PAY	# STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STD Q PD % PAY TEST, PSI AVERAGE DEV ADJ NOTE 6600 6320 6460 6550 6510 6220 6280 6240 6240 5445 5410 5480 5445 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	# STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STD Q PD % PAY LOT TEST, PSI AVERAGE DEV ADJ NOTE 6600 6320 6550 6240 6540 6540 5410 5480 0 6240 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	# STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STD Q PD % PAY NOTE 6460 6320 6540 6520 6260 6240 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	# STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STD Q PD % PAY LOT G6000 6320 6460 6510 6520 6220 6260 6240 6460 65410 5480 5410 5480 6163.75 493.311 5.399 0 2 10-19-5100 5110 5105 5520 5380 5520 5380 5520 5380 5520 5380 5520 5380 5695 6595 6530 6595 6595 6595 6595 6595 6595 6595 659	# STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT TEST, PSI AVERAGE DEV ADJ NOTE 6600 6320 6540 6520 6540 6240 6240 6240 6240 6240 6240 6240 62	Text D # STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STD C PD % PAY NOTE	Table STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT TEST, PSI AVERAGE DEV ADJ NOTE STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH LOT ADJ NOTE STRENGTH LOT ADJ NOTE STRENGTH LOT ADJ NOTE STRENGTH LOT ADJ NOTE STRENGTH STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH LOT ADJ NOTE STRENGTH STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH	Figure STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STD Q PD % PAV NOTE	Testion Strength 3500 Strength LOT STD Q PD % PAY NUTE STD G PD % PAY NUTE STD G PD % PAY NUTE STD G PD % PAY NUTE STD G PD % PAY NUTE STD G STD G STD G G STD G G STD G G STD G G G G G G G G G	Testion Strength	Testing Strength 3500 September Test, Psi Average Dev September Design Strength LOT STD GP September Test, Psi Average Dev Abo September S	1403 6600 6320 6560	1403 STRENGTH, PS INIT. STRENGTH LOT STD Q PD % PAY NOTE	Testion Strength STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH S	TEST PSI STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH, PSI INIT. STRENGTH LOT STRENGTH LOT

								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. ,,,
	NOTE	11-06-93 35P-17		11-08-93 35P-18		11-09-93 35P-19		11-12-93 35P-20		
CONTROL SEC IM 82251 JOB NUMBER 30613A GRADE CONC. 35P	% PAY ADJ		-1.222		2		S			2
OL SEC MBER CONC.	PD		16,11		0		0			0
CONTR JOB NU GRADE	O		1,008		1.14		6.804			2.556
	STD DEV		661.049		704.934		285,584			750.752
CORC	LOT AVERAGE		4166.833		4306,666		5443,333			5419
Cosion Strongth	INIT. STRENGTH TEST, PSI	4		4140 3700 5080 0		5395 5395 5185 0 0		5810 4290 5525	5180 6290 0	
		3804 4565 4565		4070 3680 5170		5930 5410 5130		5870 4260 5390	5250 6300	
	STRENGTH, PSI	3008 4636 4423		4210 3720 4990		5570 5380 5240		5750 4320 5660	5110 6280	
CONCRETE OA	EN ID #	824		833		855		893		
CONCE	SPECIMEN ID #	LOT NO 824		COT NO		FOT NO		LOT NO		

		NOTE	11-15-93	35P-21					<u></u>		***	-										
EC IM 82251 R 30613A	C. 35P	% PAY ADJ	1	<u></u>			0						2					2				2
CONTROL SEC IM 82251 JOB NUMBER 30613A	GRADE CON	α D					2 409						ERR					ERR				ERR
1		STD DEV					959 235						0					0				0
	3200	LOT AVERAGE					5811 666						ERR					ERR				ERR
	Design Strength	INIT. STRENGTH TEST, PSI	4705	6405	0	0	0	0	0	0 (00	00		0 0	0	00	0		00	00	000	D
			4950	6370	}					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												
_		STRENGTH, PSI	4460	6440				,														A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
CONCRETE QA	THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	SPECIMEN ID #	LOT NO 213				VERNA ARTE ARTE ARTE ARTE ARTE ARTE ARTE ART	HAMINATON IN THE PARTY OF THE P					The state of the s							***************************************		

	NOTE	9-9-93	25P-1						8-18-83	25P-2	• • •				9-20-93	25P-3						9-23-93	25P-4					
CONTROL SEC IM82251 JOB NUMBER 30613A GRADE CONC, 25P	% PAY ADJ						C	7						2							0							2
OL SEC IMBER CONC.	PD						C	>						0							0							0
CONTR JOB NU GRADE	Ø						7 08	4. CO						10.21							20.75							5.377
. 4	STD DEV						200 667	020.007						110.942							84.1249							325.757
0500	LOT AVERAGE						7 7386	2.1000						3633.5							4246							4251.6
Design Strength	INIT. STRENGTH TEST, PSI	4069.5	3981	4175.5	3370.5	3742	0	2020	0000	3/61.5	4,00	0 0	0		4290	4149	4299	0	0	0		3680	4450	4441	4396.5	4290.5	0	
		4158	3822	4282	3379	3715		2040	0100	3545	<u>+</u>				4458	3963	4210					3680	4441	4423	4423	4335		
·	STRENGTH, PSI	3981	4140	4069	3362	3769		0030	0250	3878	†				4122	4335	4388	-				3680	4459	4459	4370	4246		
CONCRETE QA	SPECIMEN ID #																											

									Τ																		····	
		NOTE	10-27-93	25P5					11-8-93	25P-6			-	٠		11-9-93	25P-7											
C IM82251	5, 25P	% PAY ADJ						0							0							0						2
CONTROL SEC IM82251 JOB NUMBER 30613A	3ADE	A PD						3.855							3.268							5.461						ERR
OS		SID DEV						400							742.103							274.485						0
	2500	LOI AVERAGE						4042							4925,625							3999						EBB
,	Design Strength	INII. SIHENGIH TEST, PSI	4042	0 0		O C	0	The state of the s	4845	4840	4107.5	5910	0	0		3645	4280	4275	3910	3885	0		0	0	0	0	00	
	1	T,	3980						4880	4900	4110	2800				3660	4450	4300	3930	3890								
		SIMENGIM, PU	4014						4810	4780	4105	6020				3630	4140	4250	3890	3880						_		
CONCRETE QA		SPECIMEN ID #			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Assembly home of the control of the							-													

		NOTE	69.				11-24-93	50-P-20			<u> </u>			·						
EC IM82251 R 30613A		% PAY ADJ				508					0				0	1				2
CONTROL SEC IM82251 JOB NUMBER 30613A	GRADE CONC.	Q PD				. 10					10.17				aan					ERR
1		STD DEV		-		112,694					75.6637				C					0
	5000	LOT AVERAGE				2000					5770				FRR					ERR
	Design Strength	INIT, STRENGTH TEST, PSI	5070	.5060	o o .	The second secon	5745	5855 5710		00	# 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1	0	000	00	0	0	00	00	0	
	-		5130	5060			5790	5800)											
		STRENGTH, PSI	5010	2000			2200	5910 5630								-				
CONCRETE QA		SPECIMEN ID #												-						THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

		T						T	
	NOTE	9-30-93 LOT 55P-1 816 SYD		10-02-93 LOT 55P-2		10-05-93 LOT 55P-3		10-07-93 55P-4	
CONTROL SEC IM 82251 JOB NUMBER 30613A GRADE CONC. 55P	% PAY ADJ		0	· ·	2		2	(N
CONTROL SEC JOB NUMBER GRADE CONC.	PD		0		0		0	(5
CONTROL SEC JOB NUMBER GRADE CONC	Ø		1.937	7700	3.811		8.902		2.3/5
. d. 	STD	1800 to 1800 to 1800 to 1800 to 1800 to 1800 to 1800 to 1800 to 1800 to 1800 to 1800 to 1800 to 1800 to 1800 to	400	007	400		149.849	•	400
CC U	LOT AVERAGE		6275	7.700	7024.5		6834		6450
450	Design Suerigui INIT. STRENGTH TEST, PSI	6275 0 0 0 0		7024.5 0 0 0 0		6935 6830 6965 6855 6585 0		6450 0 0 0	
		6370		9839		7150 7010 6990 7200 6940		6480	
	STRENGTH, PSI	6180		7113		6720 6650 6940 6510 6230		6420	
ETE QA	# QI NE	1418		1421		1499		1485	
CONCRETE QA	SPECIMEN ID #	LAB NO 1418		LAB NO	\neg	LAB NO		LAB NO	

		8-93			-12-93 P-6			93		· <u>.</u>	15-93				·	-
10	NOTE	10-08- 55P-5		ा०	10			10-14 55P-7			10-15	55P-8				
α	% PAY ADJ						C			2					2	
CONTROL SEC JOB NUMBER GRADE CONC	<u>Q</u>			0			C			0					0	
CON JOB N GRAD	<u>o</u>			5 2.062			35.91			3.166					3.140	!
	SID			409.756			40.0697			444.812					374.489	
5500	AVERAGE			6345			6939.25			6908.333					9299	
Design Strength	TEST, PSI	6350 6070 6590	6875 5840 0		6995 6935 6935	6927		6395 7150 7180	000	0104	6560	6655	6200 6725	0		
HT.		5680 5840 6810	6670 5840		6790	7094		6330 7080 7110		7140	6480	6550	6750			
A STRENGTH PSI	0002	6300	7080 5840	0000	7080	6760		6460 7220 7250		7340	6640	6760	6700			
CONCRETE QA SPECIMEN ID #	11450	7 2 -		1870	2		1,00	<u>0</u>		1813						
SPECIN	I OT NO 1452) -)		ON TO			ON TO			LOT NO						

2. Layer-One

Layer-One was placed over the lean concrete base once it obtained the required strength and completed the wet cure. Specifications required the lean concrete base to be wet cured for seven days. Some areas were cured less than 7 days with the approval of the engineer. Prior to placement all foreign materials were removed and the surface thoroughly cleaned. The first layer was batched, delivered, placed, and finished prior to layer-two being placed.

Class 50P Layer-One f'(c) = 5000 PSI

CONTRACTOR: Ajax Paving

PROJECT : I-75 European Pavement SOURCE OF CONCRETE: Ajax Paving CONSTRUCTION TYPE: Bottom-Layer PLACEMENT: Slip Form

WEIGHTS PER CUBIC YARD	(SATURATED, SURFACE-DRY)
	YIELD, CU FT
Cement-Lafarge Type I, LB F.AFederal Marine Pit No. 95-9, 2NS, LB C.APresque Isle Pit No.71-47, 6AA LS, LB WATER, LB (GAL-US) TOTAL AIR, %	588 2.99 1305 7.86 1705 10.51 243 (29.2) 3.91 6.5 +/- 1.5 1.76
	TOTAL 27.00
Water Reducing Admixture - Type A, OZ Air Entrainment, OZ-US	17.64 7.4
WATER/CEMENT RATIO, LBS/LB SLUMP, IN CONCRETE UNIT WEIGHT, PCF	0.41 1.50 142.2
Specification: Slump Range 0-3" Air Content 6.5 +/- 1.5 Max. W/C Ratio 0.42	

Absorption: CA 1.2, FA 0.7

3. Layer-Two

The concrete for layer-two was produced at a separate batch plant then delivered and placed after the finishing operation of layer-one. The intent of the wet on wet construction was to provide a good bond between both layers. The elapsed time between screeding the bottom layer and placement of the top layer should not exceed 30 minutes. The maximum time after unloading the bottom layer and placement of the top layer should be less than 45 minutes.

Class 55P Layer-Two f'(c) = 5500 PSI

CONTRACTOR: Ajax Paving
PROJECT: I-75 European Pavement
SOURCE OF CONCRETE: Koenig Fuel & Supply
CONSTRUCTION TYPE: Top-Layer Exposed Aggregate Surface
PLACEMENT: Slip Form

	WEIGHTS PER CUBIC YARD	(SATURATED, SURFACE-DRY)
Cement-Lafarge F.AKoenig Sa C.AOntario 1 WATER, LB (GAI TOTAL AIR, %	and & Gravel - 2NS Nat., LB	YIELD, CU FT 752 3.83 1004 6.09 1960 10.87 280 (33.6) 4.49 6.5 +/- 1.5 1.76
Water Reducing Air Entrainmen	Admixture - Type A, OZ-US t. OZ-US	TOTAL 27.03
WATER/CEMENT R SLUMP, IN CONCRETE UNIT	ATIO, LBS/LB	13.2 0.37 1.50 147.8
Specification:	Slump Range 0-3" Air Content 6.5 +/- 1.5 Max. W/C Ratio 0.40 Absorption: CA 0.5, FA 0.7	
6AA Modified: 100 percent crushed basalt Maximum size 0.31 (8 mm) Maximum passing the No.5 (4 mm) sieve shall be 3% Maximum passing the No. 200 sieve shall be 2% Aggregate Wear Index (AWI) shall be 300 minimum		