# **Prestressing Design of Beams**

September 30, 2017

**Project Manager:** Bradley Wagner, P.E. and David Juntunen, P.E.



## Submitted by

Haluk Aktan, Ph.D., P.E. Professor Western Michigan University (269) 276 – 3206 [haluk.aktan@wmich.edu](mailto:haluk.aktan@wmich.edu)

Upul Attanayake, Ph.D., P.E. Associate Professor Western Michigan University (269) 276 – 3217 [upul.attanayake@wmich.edu](mailto:upul.attanayake@wmich.edu)

Funda Yavuz, MSE. Graduate Research Assistant Western Michigan University (269) 276 – 3210 [funda.yavuz@wmich.edu](mailto:funda.yavuz@wmich.edu)



## **Western Michigan University**

Department of Civil & Construction Engineering College of Engineering and Applied Sciences Kalamazoo, MI 49008-5316 Fax: (269) 276 – 3211

#### **DISCLAIMER**

*"This publication is disseminated in the interest of information exchange. The Michigan Department of Transportation (hereinafter referred to as MDOT) expressly disclaims any liability, of any kind, or for any reason, that might otherwise arise out of any use of this publication or the information or data provided in the publication. MDOT further disclaims any responsibility for typographical errors or accuracy of the information provided or contained within this information. MDOT makes no warranties or representations whatsoever regarding the quality, content, completeness, suitability, adequacy, sequence, accuracy or timeliness of the information and data provided, or that the contents represent standards, specifications, or regulations."*

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This project is funded by the Michigan Department of Transportation. This project is carried out by the Michigan Department of Transportation Center of Excellence on Structural Durability. The authors would like to acknowledge the support and effort of Mr. Steve Kahl and Mr. David Juntunen for initiating this project. The authors also wish to acknowledge the continuing assistance of Brad Wagner and the Research Advisory Panel (RAP) members in contributing to the advancement of this study. Contribution of Ali Naif Inceefe, graduate research assistant, is greatly appreciated.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**NOTATION**

**PRESTRESSING DESIGN OF BULB-TEE BEAM**

**PRESTRESSING DESIGN OF BOX BEAM**

- **APPENDIX A - UNFACTORED MOMENT AND SHEAR IN INTERIOR AND EXTERIOR BULB-TEE BEAMS**
- **APPENDIX B - PRESTRESSING DESIGN OF BULB-TEE BEAM USING MAGNEL DIAGRAM**
- **APPENDIX C - TIME DEPENDENT REFINED CALCULATIONS OF PRESTRESSING LOSSES**
- **APPENDIX D - UNFACTORED MOMENT AND SHEAR IN INTERIOR BOX BEAMS**
- **APPENDIX E - PRESTRESSING DESIGN OF BOX BEAM USING MAGNEL**

**DIAGRAM**

- **APPENDIX F – STANDARD SHEAR DETAILS**
- **APPENDIX G – DERIVATION FOR BOTTOM FLANGE SHORTENING CALCULATION**
- **APPENDIX H – REFERENCES**

## **NOTATION**

*Text in Italics* designates MDOT policies and AASHTO LRFD Specifications. *Text in Italics with shading* designates MDOT practice.















**Prestressing Design of Bulb-tee Beam**

#### **OUTLINE**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **STEP 1. GEOMETRY**

Step 1.1. Superstructure

#### **STEP 2. MATERIAL PROPERTIES AND STRESS LIMITS**

Step 2.1. Concrete

Step 2.2. Steel and Prestressing Strand

Step 2.3. Stress Limits

#### **STEP 3. SECTION PROPERTIES**

Step 3.1. Noncomposite Section Properties

Step 3.2. Composite Section Properties

Step 3.2.1. Interior Beam

Step 3.2.2. Exterior Beam

#### **STEP 4. LOADS**

Step 4.1. Dead Loads

Step 4.2. Live Loads

#### **STEP 5. LIVE LOAD DISTRIBUTION FACTORS**

Step 5.1. Interior Beam Live Load Distribution Factor Step 5.1.1. Distribution factor for Moment

Step 5.1.2. Distribution factor for Shear

Step 5.2. Exterior Beam Live Load Distribution Factor

Step 5.2.1. Distribution factor for Moment

Step 5.2.2. Distribution factor for Shear

Step 5.3. Skew Reduction/Correction Factors

Step 5.3.1. Reduction Factor for Live Load Moment

Step 5.3.2. Correction Factor for Support Shear at Obtuse Corner

Step 5.4. Moment and Shear Distribution Factors Adjusted for Skew

## **STEP 6. MOMENT AND SHEAR DUE TO DEAD LOADS AND SUPPORT SETTLEMENT**

- Step 6.1. Moment and Shear at Prestress Release due to Beam Weight (Simple Span)
- Step 6.2. Moment and Shear in Noncomposite Section (Simple Span)
- Step 6.3. Moment and Shear in Composite Section due to Barrier and FWS Weight Step 6.3.1. Moment and Shear in Composite Section (Simple Span)

Step 6.3.2. Moment and Shear in Composite Section (Continuous Span)

Step 6.4. Moment and Shear in Composite Section due to Support Settlement

#### **STEP 7. MOMENT AND SHEAR DUE TO LIVE LOADS**

Step 7.1. Moment and Shear due to Design Truck Load or Axle Load

Step 7.2. Moment and Shear due to Design Lane Load

Step 7.3. Moment and Shear Summary

#### **STEP 8. INTERIOR BEAM PRESTRESS DESIGN**

Step 8.1. Lump-Sum Prestress Loss Estimation

Step 8.2. Prestressing Strand Design

Step 8.2.1. Bottom Tensile Stress at Midspan

Step 8.2.2. Required Number of Strands

Step 8.2.3. Strand Arrangement at Midspan

Step 8.2.4. Strand Arrangement at Beam End

Step 8.2.5. Stress Checks along Beam Length

Step 8.3. Loss of Prestress

Step 8.3.1. Initial Losses at Beam End

Step 8.3.2. Losses at Midspan

Step 8.4. Flexural Design Check for Strength Limit State

Step 8.4.1. Ultimate Moment

Step 8.4.2. Average Stress in Prestressing Steel

Step 8.4.3. Nominal Flexural Resistance

Step 8.4.4. Factored Flexural Resistance

Step 8.4.5. Maximum Reinforcement

Step 8.4.6. Minimum Reinforcement

- Step 8.5. Lifting Stress Check
- Step 8.6. Continuity Connection Check

Step 8.6.1. Negative Moment Connection at Strength Limit State

Step 8.6.2. Service State – Compressive Strength Check at Negative Moment Region

Step 8.6.3. Crack Control

Step 8.6.4. Positive Moment Connection at Strength Limit State

Step 8.7. Shear Design

Step 8.7.1. Critical Section for Shear

Step 8.7.2. Factored Moment and Shear at Critical Location

Step 8.7.3. Shear Strength Provided by Concrete

Step 8.7.4. Shear Reinforcement Requirements

Step 8.7.5. Maximum Nominal Shear Resistance

- Step 8.8. Interface Shear Transfer
- Step 8.9. Minimum Longitudinal Reinforcement Requirement
- Step 8.10. Anchorage Zone Reinforcement
- Step 8.11. Deflection and Camber

Step 8.11.1. Deflection due to Static Loads

Step 8.11.2. Deflection due to Live Load and Impact

Step 8.12. Bottom Flange Shortening During Stress Transfer

## **INTRODUCTION**

Design of a bulb-tee prestressed concrete beam of a two-span continuous for live load (CLL) highway bridge is demonstrated in this example.

The purpose of this example is to illustrate the design of a typical interior bridge beam for flexure, shear, and deflection under dead and live loads. A zero skew, 167 ft-6 in. long, two span continuous for live load, bulb-tee prestressed beam bridge is considered. The bridge superstructure consists of two similar spans. Each span consists of five beams spaced at 6 ft-10¾ in. on centers (**Figure 1**). Cross-sectional dimensions of the beam are shown in **Figure 2**. Beams are designed for composite behavior with a 9-in. thick cast-in-place concrete deck to resist superimposed dead, live, and impact loads. Superstructure includes one interior steel diaphragm (C10  $\times$  15.3) at midspan. Steel diaphragm weight is not considered due to its negligible effect on girder design.

The design is implemented in accordance with the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) policies documented in the Bridge Design Manual (BDM) and Bridge Design Guides (BDG) as of 04/30/2017. As needed, the stipulations in the AASHTO LRFD *Bridge Design Specifications*, 7<sup>th</sup> Edition, with 2015 and 2016 interims are considered. Certain material and design parameters are selected to be in compliance with MDOT practice reflected in the Bridge Design System (BDS), the MDOT legacy software.



**Figure 2. Beam cross-section (36 in. × 49 in.)**

## **STEP 1. GEOMETRY**



## **STEP 2. MATERIAL PROPERTIES AND STRESS LIMITS**

## **Step 2.1. Concrete**





*MDOT modulus of elasticity calculation is different from AASHTO LRFD 7<sup>th</sup> edition, 2016.* 

#### **Step 2.2. Steel and Prestressing Strand**



## **Step 2.3. Stress Limits**



#### **STEP 3. SECTION PROPERTIES**



#### **Step 3.2. Composite Section Properties**

Haunch thickness varies along the beam length; 2 in. thickness is assumed for the design.

#### **Step 3.2.1. Interior Beam**





#### **Step 3.2.2. Exterior Beam**



**Figure 3** and **Figure 4** show the geometry of the transformed interior and exterior beam cross-sections. A summary of cross-section properties are presented in **Table 1** and **Table 2**.



**Figure 3. Dimensions of the interior beam Figure 4. Dimensions of the exterior beam**

<b>Table 1. Interior Beam Properties</b>						
	Area, in. $2$	$Vb$ , in.	$Ayb$ , in. <sup>3</sup>	$A(y_{bc} - y_b)^2$ , in. <sup>4</sup>	I. in. $4$	$I + A(y_{bc} - y_b)^2$ , in. <sup>4</sup>
Beam	878.30	18.20	15,985.06	94,449.66	145,592.00	240,041.66
Haunch	79.64	37.00	2.946.68	5.659.61	26.55	5,686.16
Deck	605.25	42.50	25.723.13	117.445.68	4.085.44	121.531.12
Σ	1,563.19		44,654.87			367,258.94





#### **STEP 4. LOADS**

#### **Step 4.1. Dead Loads**

Dead loads on the composite structure: LRFD Art. 4.6.2.2.1

*Permanent loads (curbs and future wearing surface) may be distributed uniformly among all beams if the following criteria are met:*

- Width of the deck is constant **O.K.**
- Number of beams,  $N_b \ge 4$  **O.K.**
- The roadway part of the overhang,  $d_e \leq 3.0$  ft.  $d_e = 41$  in.  $- 14.5$  in.  $= 2$  ft  $- 2.5$  in. **O.K.**
- Effect of curvature in plan can be ignored because LRFD Art. 4.6.1.2.4b
	- o Girders are concentric
	- o Bearing lines are not skewed more than 10 degrees from radial
	- o The stiffness of the girders are similar
	- o The arc span divided by the girder radius in feet is less than 0.06 radians
- Cross-section of the bridge is consistent with LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.1-1 **O.K.**

The criteria are satisfied and the loads can be distributed equally to all 5 beams.

Beam weight,  $w_g = A_b w_c = 878.30 \text{ in.}^2/12^2 \times 0.145 \text{ kip/ft}^3 = 0.884 \text{ kip/ft}^3$ 

Cast-in-place concrete deck and haunch weight on interior beam

 $= [(b_{\text{eff}} t_s) + (b_{\text{tf}} t_h)] w_c$ 

 $=[(82.75 \text{ in.} \times 9 \text{ in.}) + (49 \text{ in.} \times 2 \text{ in.})]/12^2 \times 0.145 \text{ kip/ft}^3 = 0.849 \text{ kip/ft}^3$ 

Cast-in-place concrete deck and haunch weight on exterior beam

 $= [(b_{\text{eff}} t_{\text{s}}) + (b_{\text{tf}} t_{\text{h}})] w_{\text{c}}$ 

 $=[(82.38 \text{ in.} \times 9 \text{ in.}) + (49 \text{ in.} \times 2 \text{ in.})]/12^{2} \times 0.145 \text{ kip/ft}^{3} = 0.845 \text{ kip/ft}$ 

Future wearing surface weight,  $w_s$  = 0.025 ksf

*New bridges and bridge replacements shall be designed for a future wearing surface*  BDM Art. 7.01.04-H *load of 25 LBS/SFT*

Barrier or railing weight,  $w_b$  = 0.32 kip/ft BDG 6.29 Series

Wearing surface weight on one beam, wws

 $= (0.025 \text{ ksf})(32.0 \text{ ft})/(5 \text{ beams})$   $= 0.160 \text{ kip/ft per beam}$ where, clear roadway width is 32 ft.

Barrier weight,  $w_{bar} = (2 \text{ barriers}) (0.320 \text{ kip/ft})/(5 \text{ beams}) = 0.128 \text{ kip/ft per beam}$ 

Steel diaphragm weight is not considered due to its negligible effect on girder design. There is no utility attached to this bridge, and the deck formwork is removed after construction; thus, their weight is not included in this example.

#### **Step 4.2. Live Loads**

Load modifying factor for ductility, redundancy, and

operational importance,  $η$  = 1.0 *The load modifying factor, η (eta), related to ductility, redundancy, and operational importance, shall be considered for less important roads.* BDM Art. 7.01.04-B Typically 1.0 is used *Vehicular live loading on the roadways of bridges designated HL-93 Mod, shall*  BDM Art. 7.01.04-A *consist of 1.2 times the combination of the:* 

• *Design truck or single 60 kip load* 

• *Design lane load* 

Design truck is shown in **Figure 5**. Design lane load is 0.64 kip/ft. LRFD Art. 3.6.1.2.1



LRFD

Figure 3.6.1.2.2-1

#### **Figure 5. Characteristics of the design truck**

*Where 90% of two design trucks are combined with 90% of the effect of a lane load for*  BDM Art. 7.01.04-A *both negative moment and pier reactions per A.3.6.1.3, a 1.2 multiplier shall be applied to the resulting moment or load. Each design lane under consideration shall be occupied by either the design truck or single 60 kip load, coincident with the lane*  load, where applicable. The loads shall be assumed to occupy 10.0 ft transversely *within a design lane.*

*Vehicular live loading on the roadways of bridges designated HL-93 Mod, shall consist of 1.2 times the combination of the:* 

• *Design truck for continuity design*

• *Design lane load*

Design truck configuration is shown in **Figure 6**. Design lane load is  $0.64 \text{ kip/ft.}$  LRFD Art. 3.6.1.3.1





Design truck load is increased by a dynamic allowance factor Dynamic allowance, IM  $= 33\%$ LRFD Table 3.6.2.1-1

#### **STEP 5. LIVE LOAD DISTRIBUTION FACTORS**

The bridge geometry satisfies the conditions stipulated, as described in LRFD Art. 4.6.2.2 **Step 4.1**. Thus, allows the determination of live load moments and shears LRFD Art. 4.6.2.2.1 using the simplified factor formulas.

Bridge type for precast concrete I- or bulb-tee beams with a cast-in-place concrete deck is (k). LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.1-1

Number of 12 ft wide design lanes = the integer part of the ratio of  $(w/12)$  LRFD Art 3.6.1.1.1 where  $(w)$  is the clear roadway width, in ft, between the curbs.

From **Figure 1**,  $w = 32$  ft

Number of design lanes  $=$  integer part of  $(32/12) = 2$  lanes.

Hence, the above calculation shows the number of 12 ft wide lanes that can be accommodated within the clear roadway width.

#### **Step 5.1. Interior Beam Live Load Distribution Factor**

*Distribution factor calculations for moment and shear in interior beams include multiple presence factor.*  LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.2b-1 LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.3a-1

#### **Step 5.1.1. Distribution Factor for Moment**

For all limit states, except fatigue:

For two or more lanes loaded:

$$
\text{DFM} = 0.075 + \left(\frac{S}{9.5}\right)^{0.6} \left(\frac{S}{L}\right)^{0.2} \left(\frac{K_{g}}{12.0 \text{Lt}_{s}^{3}}\right)^{0.1} \qquad \text{LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.2b-1}
$$

where,  $DFM =$  distribution factor for moment in interior beam

 $S = beam spacing, ft$ 

 $L$  (=L<sub>ds</sub>) = beam span, ft

 $t_s$  = depth of concrete slab, in.

 $K_g$  = longitudinal stiffness parameter, in.<sup>4</sup> = n (I + Ae<sub>g</sub><sup>2</sup>) ) LRFD Eq. 4.6.2.2.1-1

 $n =$  modular ratio between beam and slab material LRFD Eq. 4.6.2.2.1-2

$$
=\frac{E_c(beam)}{E_c(deck)} = \frac{E_{cb}}{E_c} = \frac{4906}{3987} = 1.231
$$

LRFD Eq. 4.6.2.2.1-2 defines modular ratio between the beam and deck slab material, n. This modular ratio is the inverse of the modular ratio utilized in Step 3.2.1.

 $A = cross-sectional area of the beam (noncomposite section), in.<sup>2</sup>$ 

I = moment of inertia of the beam (noncomposite section), in.<sup>4</sup>

 $e_g$  = distance between centers of gravity of the beam and slab, in.

$$
= t_s/2 + t_h + y_t = 9/2 + 2 + 17.80 = 24.30
$$
in.

 $K_g = 1.231$  [145,592 + 878.30(24.30)<sup>2</sup>] = 817,654 in.<sup>4</sup>



To use DFM equations, the criteria below need to be satisfied:

For two or more lanes loaded:

$$
\text{DFM} = 0.075 + \left(\frac{\text{s}}{\text{s}}\right)^{0.6} \left(\frac{\text{s}}{\text{L}}\right)^{0.2} \left(\frac{\text{K}_{\text{g}}}{12.0 \text{L} \text{t}_3^3}\right)^{0.1}
$$
\n
$$
= 0.075 + \left(\frac{6.896}{9.5}\right)^{0.6} \left(\frac{6.896}{83.75}\right)^{0.2} \left(\frac{817,654}{12.0(83.75)(9)^3}\right)^{0.1}
$$

 $= 0.581$  lanes/beam

For one design lane loaded:

$$
\text{DFM} = 0.06 + \left(\frac{\text{s}}{14}\right)^{0.4} \left(\frac{\text{s}}{\text{L}}\right)^{0.3} \left(\frac{\text{Kg}}{12.0 \text{L}^3}\right)^{0.1} \text{LRFD} \text{Table 4.6.2.2.2b-1}
$$
\n
$$
= 0.06 + \left(\frac{6.896}{14}\right)^{0.4} \left(\frac{6.896}{83.75}\right)^{0.3} \left(\frac{817,654}{12.0(83.75)(9)^3}\right)^{0.1}
$$

 $= 0.420$  lanes/beam

Thus, the scenario with two or more lanes loaded controls, and  $DFM<sub>I</sub> = 0.581$  lanes/beam.

Fatigue of the reinforcement need not be checked for prestressed components LRFD Art. 5.5.3.1 *designed for extreme fiber tensile stress under Service III Limit State within the tensile stress limit specified in Table 5.9.4.2.2-1.*

In this example, tensile stresses are maintained below the limits specified in Table 5.9.4.2.2-1 with Service III Limit State. Hence, fatigue will not be considered.

#### **Step 5.1.2. Distribution Factor for Shear**

For two or more lanes loaded:

DFV = 0.2 + 
$$
\left(\frac{s}{12}\right) - \left(\frac{s}{35}\right)^2
$$

where,

 $DFV =$  distribution factor for shear in interior beam  $S = beam spacing, ft$ 

LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.3a-1 Distribution factor for shear is

DFV = 0.2 + 
$$
\left(\frac{6.896}{12}\right) - \left(\frac{6.896}{35}\right)^2 = 0.736
$$
 lanes/beam

For one design lane loaded:

DFV = 
$$
0.36 + \left(\frac{s}{25.0}\right) = 0.36 + \left(\frac{6.896}{25.0}\right) = 0.636
$$
 lanes/beam

Thus, the scenario with two or more lanes loaded controls, and

$$
DFV_I = 0.736
$$
lanes/beam

#### **Step 5.2. Exterior Beam Live Load Distribution Factor**

#### **Step 5.2.1. Distribution Factor for Moment**

For two or more lanes loaded:

$$
g = e g_{interior}
$$

$$
e = 0.77 + \frac{d_e}{9.1}
$$

LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.2d-1

where,  $g_{interior} =$  distribution factor for moment in interior beam

 $d_e$  = horizontal distance from the exterior beam web  $-1 \le d_e \le 5.5$ 

centerline to the interior edge of curb or traffic  $barrier = 2.208$  ft

 $e = 0.77 + 2.208/9.1 = 1.01$ 

 $g<sub>interior</sub> = 0.581$  lanes/beam  $g = (1.01) (0.581) = 0.587$  lanes/beam

*Live load distribution factor is calculated using the lever rule with one design lane*  LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.2d-1 *loaded.* 

Live load distribution factor can be calculated with the three rules shown below:

- Lever rule based on the resultant of truck load
- Lever rule based on the wheel load
- AASHTO LRFD Eq. C4.6.2.2.2d-1 for steel girder bridges. LRFD Art. 4.6.2.2.2d

When both wheel lines are located between the barrier and the  $1<sup>st</sup>$  interior beam, as shown in **Figure 7**, lever rule based on the resultant of the truck load is applied. In bridges with narrow beam spacing, there is a possibility to have one of the wheel lines located between the  $1<sup>st</sup>$  and 2<sup>nd</sup> interior beams; thus, lever rule based on the wheel load is applied.



**Figure 7. Position of the wheel load** 

Lever rule based on the resultant of truck load:



Single lane loaded controls, and  $DFM_E = 0.714$  lanes/beam



**Figure 8. Notional model for applying lever rule to three-girder bridges**



**Figure 9. Dimensions required for lever rule based on the resultant of truck load**

#### **Step 5.2.2. Distribution Factors for Shear**

For two or more lanes loaded:

$$
g = e g_{interior}
$$
  
 
$$
e = 0.6 + \frac{d_e}{10}
$$
  
 
$$
LRFD
$$
  
 
$$
Table 4.6.2.2.3b-1 
$$
-1 \le d_e \le 5.5
$$
$$

where,  $g_{interior} =$  distribution factor for shear in interior beam

 $d_e$  = horizontal distance from the exterior beam web centerline to the interior edge of curb or traffic barrier = 2.208 ft

e  $= 0.6 + 2.208/10 = 0.82$  $g<sub>interior</sub> = 0.736$  lanes/beam  $g = (0.82) (0.736) = 0.604$  lanes/beam

Live load distribution factor is calculated using the lever rule with one design lane loaded.

From **Step 5.2.1**, distribution factor for one design lane loaded,  $DFM_E = 0.714$  lanes/beam

Thus, the case of single lane loaded controls, and  $DFV_E$  = 0.714 lanes/beam

#### **Step 5.3. Skew Reduction/Correction Factors**

Skew reduction/correction factor calculation is not needed for a bridge of zero skew. Calculations shown in this step are for illustration purposes only.

#### **Step 5.3.1. Reduction Factor for Live Load Moment**

 $R_M = 1 - c_1(\tan\theta)^{1.5}$ 

1.5 LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.2e-1

where

$$
R_M = Reduction factor
$$

$$
c_1 = 0.25 \left(\frac{K_g}{12.0 L t_s^3}\right)^{0.25} \left(\frac{S}{L}\right)^{0.5}
$$

If  $\theta$  < 30° then c<sub>1</sub> = 0.0 If  $\theta$  > 60<sup>o</sup> use  $\theta$  = 60<sup>o</sup>



Since  $\theta$  < 30°, c<sub>1</sub> = 0.0 and R<sub>M</sub> = 1

**Step 5.3.2. Correction Factor for Support Shear at Obtuse Corner**

$$
C_V = 1.0 + 0.20 \left(\frac{12.0 \text{Lt}_s^3}{\text{K}_g}\right)^{0.3} \tan\theta
$$

LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.3c-1

where

 $C_V =$  Correction factor for live load shear

Use of this correction factor is subjected to the same criteria shown above; except,  $\theta$  range is between  $0^{\circ}$  and  $60^{\circ}$ .

Since  $\theta = 0^\circ$ ,  $C_V = 1$ 

#### **Step 5.4. Moment and Shear Distribution Factors Adjusted for Skew**

Distribution factor for moment in interior beam,

 $DFM_{IB} = (DFM_I)(R_M) = 0.581$  lanes/beam

Distribution factor for moment in exterior beam,

 $DFM_{EB} = (DFM_E) (R_M) = 0.714$  lanes/beam

Distribution factor for shear in interior beam,

 $DFV_{IB} = (DFV_I)$  = 0.736 lanes/beam

Distribution factor for shear in exterior beam,

$$
DFV_{EB} = (DFV_E)(C_V) = 0.714
$$
lanes/beam

Fatigue of the reinforcement need not be checked for fully prestressed components LRFD Art. 5.5.3.1 *designed with extreme fiber tensile stress under Service III Limit State and tensile stress limit specified in Table 5.9.4.2.2-1.*

In this example, tensile stresses are maintained below the limits specified in Table 5.9.4.2.2- 1 with Service III Limit State. Hence, fatigue is not considered.

#### **STEP 6. MOMENT AND SHEAR DUE TO DEAD LOADS AND SUPPORT SETTLEMENT**

Shear  $(V_x)$  and moment  $(M_x)$  of a simply supported beam with a span (L) under a uniformly distributed load (w) are:

$$
V_x = w(0.5L\text{-}x)\\ M_x = 0.5wx(L\text{-}x)
$$

where x is the distance from the support.

Shear  $(V_x)$  and moment  $(M_x)$  of a beam with two equal spans (L) and a uniformly distributed load (w) are:

$$
V_x = w(3L/8 - x)
$$

$$
M_x = wx(3L/8 - x/2)
$$

where x is the distance measured from the outer support to the middle support. Since the bridge spans are equal, above equations are applicable for both spans. Shear and moment diagrams are shown below.



#### **Step 6.1. Moment and Shear at Prestress Release due to Beam Weight (Simple Span)**

Full beam length  $(L_b)$  of 85.25 ft is used at the time of prestress release. Beam self-weight is the only load.

As an example, moment and shear due to a beam weight of 0.884 kip/ft at 4.9375 ft from the beam end are calculated as follows:

$$
M_{gr} = 0.5wx(L - x) = 0.5(0.884)(4.9375)(85.25 - 4.9375) = 175.27 \text{ kip-fit}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{gr} = w(0.5L - x) = (0.884)(0.5 \times 85.25 - 4.9375) = 33.32 \text{ kip}
$$

Moment and shear due to beam self-weight at release are shown in **Table 3** - **Table 6**.

#### **Step 6.2. Moment and Shear in Noncomposite Section (Simple Span)**

In this analysis, span length is now the design span  $(L_{ds})$  of 83.75 ft, distance between bearing centerlines. The self-weight of beam, deck, haunch, and diaphragm loads are applied to the noncomposite, simple span structure.

As an example, moment and shear at 4.1875 ft from support due to beam weight of 0.884 kip/ft and deck and haunch weight of 0.849 kip/ft are calculated as follows:

Moment and shear due to beam self-weight

 $M_g = 0.5$ wx(L - x) = 0.5 (0.884)(4.1875)(83.75 – 4.1875) = 147.26 kip-ft  $V_g = w(0.5L - x) = (0.884)(0.5 \times 83.75 - 4.1875)$  = 33.32 kip

Moment and shear due to deck and haunch self-weight

 $M_D = 0.5$ wx(L - x) = 0.5(0.849)(4.1875)(83.75 – 4.1875) = 141.43 kip-ft  $V_D = w(0.5L - x) = (0.849)(0.5 \times 83.75 - 4.1875)$  = 32.00 kip

The respective moment and shear values are shown in **Table 3** - **Table 6.** 

#### **Step 6.3. Moment and Shear in Composite Section due to Barrier and FWS Weight**

*Continuous for live load prestressed concrete beams shall be designed as simple Continuous for tive toad prestressed concrete beams shall be designed as simple* BDM Art.7.02.18.A6<br>span beams for all positive dead load and live load moments.

Moment and shear due to barrier and future wearing surface (FWS) weight are also calculated for simple and continuous spans.

#### **Step 6.3.1. Moment and Shear in Composite Section (Simple Span)**

Barrier and FWS loads on the composite, simple span structure are applied to calculate the maximum positive moments.

As an example, moment and shear at 4.1875 ft from a support due to a barrier weight of 0.128 kip/ft and FWS weight of 0.160 kip/ft are calculated as follows:

Moment and shear due to barrier weight

 $M_b$  = 0.5wx(L - x) = 0.5 (0.128)(4.1875)(83.75 – 4.1875) = 21.32 kip-ft  $V_b$  = w(0.5L - x) = (0.128)(0.5 × 83.75 – 4.1875) = 4.82 kip

Moment and shear due to FWS weight



The respective simple span moment and shear values are shown in **Table 3** - **Table 6.** 

#### **Step 6.3.2. Moment and Shear in Composite Section (Continuous Span)**

Barrier and FWS loads acting on the composite, continuous structure are applied to calculate the maximum negative moment at the intermediate pier. The design span  $(L_{ds})$  of 83.75 ft plus 1 ft (i.e., 84.75 ft) is used in this calculation, assuming that the distance between bearing centerlines at the intermediate pier is 2 ft.

As an example, moment and shear at 4.1875 ft from an abutment support due to a barrier weight of 0.128 kip/ft and FWS weight of 0.160 kip/ft are calculated as follows:

Moment and shear due to barrier weight

$$
M_b = wx(3L/8 - x/2) = (0.128)(4.1875)(3 \times 84.75/8 - 4.1875/2) = 15.91 \text{ kip-fit}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_b = w(3L/8 - x) = (0.128)(3 \times 84.75/8 - 4.1875) = 3.53 \text{ kip}
$$

Moment and shear due to FWS weight

$$
M_{ws} = wx(3L/8 - x/2) = (0.160)(4.1875)(3 \times 84.75/8 - 4.1875/2) = 19.89 \text{ kip-fit}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{ws} = w(3L/8 - x) = (0.160)(3 \times 84.75/8 - 4.1875) = 4.42 \text{ kip}
$$

The respective continuous span moment and shear values are shown in **Table 3** - **Table 6.** 

#### **Step 6.4. Moment and Shear in Composite Section due to Support Settlement**

*A negative moment caused by a 1 in. settlement of a substructure unit is imposed when non-spread footings are used. A settlement of 2 in. is imposed when the bridge is on spread footings.* 

The negative moment due to settlement is reduced for creep. A creep factor,  $\phi_{cr}$ , of 0.368 is used. PCI (1978)

Moment and shear developed along interior and exterior beams are calculated by imposing a 1 in. settlement at the right abutment as shown in **Figure 10a**. The resultant moment and shear values are multiplied by  $\phi_{cr}$  and shown in **Figure 10b** and **c**. The results are also presented in **Table 3** - **Table 6**. Similarly, effect of settlement at the left abutment needs to be considered for design. This will only change the sign of shear force developed in the beam because of equal spans. This calculation can be lengthy with bridges of three or more spans, and settlement at each support needs to be considered.



(c) Shear due to support settlement adjusted for creep

**Figure 10. Moment and shear in an interior beam due to a 1 in. settlement at the right abutment**

#### **STEP 7. MOMENT AND SHEAR DUE TO LIVE LOADS**

Moment and shear envelopes per lane are usually calculated using structural analysis software.

A simple span is used to calculate maximum positive moment due to live loads, while a continuous span is used to calculate maximum negative moment due to live loads at an intermediate pier.

The following equations can be used to calculate simple span maximum bending moment per lane for HS20 truck loading. FHWA (2003)



\* x is the distance from the left support to the section being considered, ft

L is the span length, note that there is a minimum span length requirement to use the listed equations.

The equations from the above table are used for service and strength limit states.

Rear axle spacing variation from 14 ft to 30 ft is considered.

Do not use the above equations for fatigue limit state calculations.

The following equations can be used to calculate simple span maximum shear force per lane for HS20 truck loading. FHWA (2003)



\* x is the distance from the left support to the section being considered, ft

 L is the span length, note that there is a minimum and maximum span length requirement to use the listed equations.





\* x is the distance from the left support to the section being considered, ft

L is the span length, note that there is a minimum span length requirement to use the listed equations. Equations in the above table are applicable only for a rear axle spacing of 30 ft.

The following equations can be used to calculate simple span maximum bending moment and shear per lane for an axle loading of P.



(b) Moment diagram for the given position of P (c) Shear diagram for the given position of P **Figure 11. Simple span moment and shear force for a single axle load**

#### **Step 7.1. Moment and Shear due to Design Truck or Axle Load**

For all limit states except fatigue:

The moment per beam due to truck  $(M<sub>LT</sub>)$  or axle load  $(M<sub>LA</sub>)$  and shear per beam due to truck ( $V_{LT}$ ) or axle load ( $V_{LA}$ ) are expressed as follows:

Interior beam,

 $M_{LT}$  or  $M_{LA}$  = (moment per lane)(factor for HL-93 Mod)(DFM<sub>IB</sub>)(1+IM)

 $=$  (moment per lane)(1.2)(0.581)(1+0.33)

 $=$  (moment per lane)(0.927) kip-ft

 $V_{LT}$  or  $V_{LA}$  = (shear force per lane)(factor for HL-93 Mod)(DFV<sub>IB</sub>)(1+IM)

 $=$  (shear force per lane)(1.2)(0.736)(1+0.33)

 $=$  (shear force per lane)(1.175) kips

Exterior beam,

 $M_{LT}$  or  $M_{LA}$  = (moment per lane)(factor for HL-93 Mod)(DFM<sub>EB</sub>)(1+IM)

 $=$  (moment per lane)(1.2)(0.714)(1+0.33)

 $=$  (moment per lane)(1.140) kip-ft

 $V_{LT}$  or  $V_{LA}$  = (shear force per lane)(factor for HL-93 Mod)(DFV<sub>EB</sub>)(1+IM)

 $=$  (shear force per lane)(1.2)(0.714)(1+0.33)

 $=$  (shear force per lane)(1.140) kips

The following example shows moment,  $M<sub>LT</sub>$  and  $M<sub>LA</sub>$ , calculation of an interior beam at midspan:

Design span,  $L_{ds}$  = 83.75 ft Distance from support to mid span,  $x = 0.5L_{ds} = 41.875$  ft L = 83.75 ft > 28 ft,  $x = 41.875$  ft > 14 ft,  $x/L = 0.5$ Moment due to HS-20 truck  $= \frac{72(x)[(L-x) - 4.67]}{L}$  $\frac{1}{L}$  - 112 Moment due to HS-20 truck at midspan  $= 72$  (41.875)[(83.75 - 41.875) – 4.67]/83.75 – 112  $= 1227.38$  kip – ft Moment in an interior beam due to HS-20 truck, M<sub>LT</sub>, at midspan = 0.927 × 1227.38 = **1137.78 kip- ft** Moment due to 60 kip axle load at midspan  $=$  PL/4 = 60  $\times$  83.75/4 = 1256.25 kip – ft Moment in an interior beam due to 60 kip axle load, MLA, at midspan = 0.927 × 1256.25 = **1164.54 kip- ft** *Controls*

Therefore, the moment due to 60 kip axle load (MLA) at midspan and the lane load moment  $(M<sub>LL</sub>)$  at midspan are combined to calculate live load moment for design.

The following example shows shear,  $V_{LT}$  and  $V_{LA}$ , calculation of an interior beam at bearing centerline:

 $L = 83.75$  ft > 42 ft,  $x = 0$  ft,  $x/L = 0$ Shear due to HS-20 truck  $= \frac{72[(L-x) - 9.33]}{L}$ L Shear due to HS-20 truck at bearing centerline  $= 72$  [(83.75 - 0) - 9.33]/83.75 = 63.98 kip Shear in an interior beam due to HS-20 truck,  $V_{LT}$ , at bearing centerline = 1.175 × 63.98 = **75.18 kip** *Controls* Shear at bearing centerline due to 60 kip axle load  $= P = 60$  kips Shear in an interior beam due to 60 kip axle load, VLA, at bearing centerline  $= 1.175 \times 60 = 70.50$  kip

Therefore, shear due to HS-20 truck load  $(V_{LT})$  at bearing centerline and the lane load shear  $(V_{LL})$  at bearing centerline are combined to calculate live load shear for design.

 $M<sub>LT</sub>, M<sub>LA</sub>, V<sub>LT</sub>,$  and  $V<sub>LA</sub>$  at selected sections for interior and exterior beams are given in **Appendix A**.

#### **Step 7.2. Moment and Shear due to Design Lane Load**

Moment ( $M_x$ ) and shear force ( $V_x$ ) per lane at a section located a distance of x from the left support due to a uniformly distributed load of 0.64 kip/ft (**Figure 12**) can be calculated using the following equations:

$$
M_x = \frac{0.64}{2} (x)(L - x)
$$
  

$$
V_x = \frac{0.64}{2} \frac{(L - x)^2}{L} \text{ for } x \le 0.5L
$$

where,  $M_x$  is in kip-ft/lane,  $V_x$  is in kip/lane, and L and x are in ft.



**Figure 12. Design lane load for shear force calculation**

Lane load shear and moment per beam are as follows:

Interior beam,

 $M_{LL}$  = (moment per lane)(factor for HL-93 Mod)(DFM<sub>IB</sub>)

 $=$  (moment per lane)(1.2)(0.581)

 $=$  (moment per lane)(0.697) ft-kips

 $V_{LL}$  = (lane load shear force)(factor for HL-93 Mod)(DFV<sub>IB</sub>)

 $=$  (lane load shear force)(1.2)(0.736)

 $=$  (lane load shear force)(0.883) kips

Exterior beam,

 $M_{LL}$  = (moment per lane)(factor for HL-93 Mod)(DFM<sub>EB</sub>)

 $=$  (moment per lane)(1.2)(0.714)

 $=$  (moment per lane)(0.857) ft-kips

 $V_{LL}$  = (lane load shear force)(factor for HL-93 Mod)(DFV<sub>EB</sub>)

 $=$  (lane load shear force)(1.2)(0.714)

 $=$  (lane load shear force)(0.857) kips

The following example shows moment due to lane load,  $M_{LL}$ , calculation at midspan of an interior beam:

L =  $83.75$  ft and x =  $41.875$  ft Moment due to lane load  $M_x = \frac{0.64}{2} (x)(L - x)$ Moment at midspan due to lane load  $= (0.64/2)(41.875)(83.75 - 41.875)$  $= 561.13$  kip-ft

Moment in an interior beam due to lane load, M<sub>LL</sub>, at midspan = (0.697)(561.13) = **391.11 kip-ft**

The following example shows shear,  $V_{LL}$ , calculation at the bearing centerline of an interior beam:  $L = 83.75$  ft and  $x = 0$  ft

Shear at bearing centerline due to lane load,  $V_x = 0.64$ 2  $(L - x)^2$ L

Shear at bearing centerline due to lane load

$$
= (0.64/2)(83.75 - 0)^{2}/83.75
$$
  
= 26.80 kip

Shear in an interior beam due to lane load,  $V_{LL}$ , at bearing centerline

$$
= 0.883 \times 26.80 = 23.66 kip
$$

MLL and VLL at selected sections for interior and exterior beams are given in **Appendix A**.

### **Step 7.3. Moment and Shear Summary**

### **Simple span live load moment, HL – 93 Mod with impact (MHL-M), at midspan**

 $M<sub>LA</sub>$  at midspan >  $M<sub>LT</sub>$  at midspan

Therefore,  $M_{LA}$  and  $M_{LL}$  at midspan are combined to calculate the live load moment,  $HL - 93$  Mod with impact ( $M_{HL-M}$ ), at midspan.

From **Step 7.1**,

Moment in an interior beam due to 60 kip axle load, MLA, at midspan

 $= 1164.54$  kip- ft

From **Step 7.2**,

Moment in an interior beam due to lane load, MLL, at midspan

 $= 391.11$  kip-ft

Therefore,

#### MHL-M = 1164.54 + 391.11 = **1555.65 kip-ft**

Simple span live load moment,  $M_{HL-M}$ , of interior and exterior beams are given in **Table 3** and **Table 5**, respectively.
#### Simple span live load shear,  $HL - 93$  Mod with impact ( $V_{HL-M}$ ), at bearing centerline

 $V_{LT}$  at bearing centerline  $V_{LA}$  at bearing centerline

Therefore,  $V_{LT}$  and  $V_{LL}$  at the bearing centerline are combined to calculate the live load shear,  $HL - 93$  Mod with impact ( $V_{HL-M}$ ), at the bearing centerline.

# From **Step 7.1**,

Shear in an interior beam due to HS-20 truck, VLT, at bearing centerline

 $= 75.18$  kip

# From **Step 7.2**,

Shear in an interior beam due to lane load,  $V_{LL}$ , at bearing centerline

$$
= 23.66 \,\mathrm{kip}
$$

Therefore,

# VHL-M = 75.18 + 23.66 = **98.84 kip**

Simple span live load shear,  $V_{HL-M}$ , of interior and exterior beams are given in **Table 4** and **Table 6**, respectively.

## **Continuous span live load moment, HL – 93 Mod with impact (MHL-M), over pier**



In this example, 60 kip axle load is not included, assuming the bridge is subjected to normal traffic load.

**Step 6.3.2**, design span for continuous span  $= 84.75$  ft

Live load, described in **Figure 6** in **Step 4.2**, is applied and a maximum moment of **– 1,103 kip-ft** is calculated over the pier.

Moment in an interior beam due to 90% of the combined HS-20 truck,

MLT, over the pier

 $= (0.927)(-1,103)(0.90) = -920.23$  kip- ft

Using the equation in **Step 6**, the moment over the pier due to 0.64 kip/ft lane load

 $= -wL^2/8 = -(0.64)(84.75)^2/8 = -574.61$  kip- ft

Moment in an interior beam due to 90% of the lane load,

MLL, over the pier

 $= (0.697)(-574.61)(0.90) = -360.45$  kip- ft

 $M_{LT}$  and  $M_{LL}$  over the pier are combined to calculate the live load moment,  $HL - 93$ Mod with impact  $(M<sub>HL-M</sub>)$ , over the pier.

Therefore,

MHL-M = (-920.23) + (-360.45) = **-1280.68 kip-ft**

Continuous span live load moment, M<sub>HL-M</sub>, of interior and exterior beams are given in **Table 3** and **Table 5**, respectively.

# Continuous span live load shear, HL – 93 Mod with impact (V<sub>HL-M</sub>), over pier

In this example, 60 kip axle load is not included, assuming the bridge is subjected to normal traffic load.

**Step 6.3.2**, design span for continuous span  $= 84.75$  ft

Live load, described in **Figure 6** in **Step 4.2**, is applied and a maximum shear of **-69.90 kip** is calculated over the pier.

Shear in an interior beam due to 90% of the combined HS-20 truck, VLT, over the pier

 $= (1.175)(-69.90)(0.90) = -73.92$  kip

Using the equation in **Step 6**, the shear over the pier due to 0.64 kip/ft lane load

 $= -5wL/8 = -5(0.64)(84.75)/8 = -33.90$  kip

Shear in an interior beam due to 90% of the lane load,

VLL, over the pier

$$
= (0.883)(-33.90)(0.90) = -26.94 \text{ kip}
$$

VLT and VLL over the pier are combined to calculate the live load shear, HL – 93 Mod with impact  $(V<sub>HL-M</sub>)$ , over the pier.

Therefore,

# $V_{HL-M} = (-73.92) + (-26.94) = -100.86$  kip

Continuous span live load shear, V<sub>HL-M</sub>, of interior and exterior beams are given in **Table 4** and **Table 6**, respectively.

		For service and strength limit state checks											
	At release	Noncomposite		<b>Composite section</b>									
			section		Simple span		Continuous span <sup>+</sup>						
Location		(simple span)				$HL - 93$ Mod				$HL - 93$ Mod			
			Deck and	Barrier.	Future wearing	with impact,	Settlement,	Barrier,	Future wearing surface, $M_{ws}$	with impact,			
	Beam, $M_{gr}$ Beam, $M_g$		haunch. $M_D$	$M_{b}$	surface, $M_{ws}$	$M_{\rm HL\text{-}M}$	$M_{\rm s}$	$M_{b}$		$M_{\rm HL\text{-}M}$			
Beam end	0.00												
CL of bearing	28.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	$\Omega$			
Transfer location*	109.11	81.09	77.81	11.74	14.67	224.85	0.00	11.36	14.21	19.18			
$0.10 \times L_{ds}$	307.17	279.15	267.85	40.40	50.50	581.48	$-0.02$	29.48	36.85	46.49			
$0.20 \times L_{ds}$	524.28	496.26	476.18	71.82	89.78	1020.39	$-0.24$	49.99	62.48	64.86			
$0.30 \times L_{ds}$	679.36	651.34	624.99	94.27	117.84	1315.49	$-1.20$	61.51	76.89	55.11			
$0.40 \times L_{ds}$	772.41	744.39	714.27	107.74	134.67	1493.87**	$-4.08$	64.06	80.07	17.21			
$0.50 \times L_{ds}$ (Midspan)	803.43	775.40	744.03	112.23	140.28	$1555.65^{*}$	$-10.39$	57.63	72.04	$-48.80$			
$0.60 \times L_{ds}$	772.41	744.39	714.27	107.74	134.67	$1493.87***$	$-21.16$	42.22	52.78	$-142.94$			
$0.70 \times L_{ds}$	679.36	651.34	624.99	94.27	117.84	1315.49	$-35.90$	17.83	22.29	$-265.21$			
$0.80 \times L_{ds}$	524.28	496.26	476.18	71.82	89.78	1020.39	$-52.20$	$-15.53$	$-19.41$	$-415.61$			
$0.90 \times L_{ds}$	307.17	279.15	267.85	40.40	50.50	581.48	$-66.42$	$-57.87$	$-72.34$	$-727.71$			
Transfer location*	109.11	81.09	77.81	11.74	14.67	224.85	$-75.12$	$-101.60$	$-126.99$	$-1156.70$			
CL of bearing	28.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	$-78.57$	$-109.19$	$-136.49$	$-1230.26$			
Span $2 - 0$		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	$-79.98$	$-114.23$	$-142.78$	$-1280.68$			

**Table 3. Unfactored Moment in Interior Beam (kip-ft)**

 $L_{ds}$  – Design span of 83.75 ft

\* Transfer location is at 36 in. (=  $60 \times$  Strand diameter) from beam end.

\*\* 60 kip axle load moment is higher than HS-20 design truck load moment.

+ 60 kip axle load is not included in continuous span analysis.

		For service and strength limit state checks										
				<b>Composite section</b>								
Location	At release	<b>Noncomposite section</b> (simple span)			Simple span		Continuous span <sup>+</sup>					
				Barrier,	Future wearing	$HL - 93$ Mod			Settlement, Barrier, Future wearing	$HL - 93$ Mod		
	Beam, $V_{gr}$	Beam, $V_{g}$	Deck and haunch, V <sub>D</sub>	V <sub>b</sub>	surface, $V_{ws}$	with impact, $V_{HL-M}$	$V_{s}$	V <sub>b</sub>	surface, $V_{ws}$	with impact, $V_{HL-M}$		
Beam end	37.70											
CL of bearing	37.03	37.03	35.54	5.36	6.70	98.84	0.94	4.06	5.07	9.18		
Transfer location*	35.04	35.04	33.63	5.07	6.34	94.04	0.94	3.68	4.59	7.65		
$0.10 \times L_{ds}$	29.63	29.63	28.43	4.29	5.36	85.82	0.94	2.98	3.73	4.92		
$0.20 \times L_{ds}$	22.22	22.22	21.32	3.22	4.02	73.30	0.94	1.91	2.39	$-2.01$		
$0.30 \times L_{ds}$	14.81	14.81	14.21	2.14	2.68	61.34	0.94	0.84	1.05	$-17.4$		
$0.40 \times L_{ds}$	7.41	7.41	7.11	1.07	1.34	$50.74***$	0.94	$-0.23$	$-0.29$	$-32.46$		
$0.50 \times L_{ds}$ (Midspan)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	$41.13***$	0.94	$-1.30$	$-1.63$	$-46.79$		
$0.60 \times L_{ds}$	$-7.41$	$-7.41$	$-7.11$	$-1.07$	$-1.34$	$-50.74**$	0.94	$-2.38$	$-2.97$	$-59.82$		
$0.70 \times L_{ds}$	$-14.81$	$-14.81$	$-14.21$	$-2.14$	$-2.68$	$-61.34$	0.94	$-3.45$	$-4.31$	$-71.73$		
$0.80 \times L_{ds}$	$-22.22$	$-22.22$	$-21.32$	$-3.22$	$-4.02$	$-73.30$	0.94	$-4.52$	$-5.65$	$-82.36$		
$0.90 \times L_{ds}$	$-29.63$	$-29.63$	$-28.43$	$-4.29$	$-5.36$	$-85.82$	0.94	$-5.59$	$-6.99$	$-91.84$		
Transfer location*	$-35.04$	$-35.04$	$-33.63$	$-5.07$	$-6.34$	$-94.04$	0.94	$-6.51$	$-8.14$	$-98.95$		
CL of bearing	$-37.03$	$-37.03$	$-35.54$	$-5.36$	$-6.70$	$-98.84$	0.94	$-6.66$	$-8.33$	$-100.07$		
Span $2 - 0$		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.94	$-6.76$	$-8.45$	$-100.86$		

**Table 4. Unfactored Shear in Interior Beam (kip)**

L<sub>ds</sub> – Design span of 83.75 ft

\* Transfer location is at 36 in.  $(= 60 \times$  Strand diameter) from beam end.

\*\* 60 kip axle load shear is higher than HS-20 design truck load shear.

+ 60 kip axle load is not included in continuous span analysis.

		For service and strength limit state checks										
				<b>Composite section</b>								
<b>Location</b>	At release		<b>Noncomposite section</b>		Simple span				Continuous span <sup>+</sup>			
		(simple span)		Barrier,	Future wearing	HL-93 Mod	Settlement,		Barrier, Future wearing	$HL - 93$ Mod		
	Beam, $M_{gr}$	Beam, $M_{\rm g}$	Deck and haunch, M <sub>D</sub>	$M_{b}$	surface, $M_{ws}$	with impact, $\rm M_{HL\text{-}M}$	$M_{s}$	$M_{b}$	surface, $M_{ws}$	with impact, $M_{HL-M}$		
Beam end	0.00											
CL of bearing	28.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Transfer location*	109.11	81.09	77.50	11.74	14.67	276.32	0.00	11.36	14.21	23.76		
$0.10 \times L_{ds}$	307.17	279.15	266.79	40.40	50.50	714.08	$-0.02$	29.48	36.85	57.64		
$0.20 \times L_{ds}$	524.28	496.26	474.29	71.82	89.78	1257.40	$-0.24$	49.99	62.48	80.47		
$0.30 \times L_{ds}$	679.36	651.34	622.51	94.27	117.84	1625.39	$-1.20$	61.51	76.89	68.46		
$0.40 \times L_{ds}$	772.41	744.39	711.44	107.74	134.67	$1846.91**$	$-4.08$	64.06	80.07	21.63		
$0.50 \times L_{ds}$ (Midspan)	803.43	775.40	741.08	112.23	140.28	1923.79**	$-10.39$	57.63	72.04	$-60.02$		
$0.60 \times L_{ds}$	772.41	744.39	711.44	107.74	134.67	$1846.91***$	$-21.16$	42.22	52.78	$-176.48$		
$0.70 \times L_{ds}$	679.36	651.34	622.51	94.27	117.84	1625.39	$-35.90$	17.83	22.29	$-327.79$		
$0.80 \times L_{ds}$	524.28	496.26	474.29	71.82	89.78	1257.40	$-52.20$	$-15.53$	$-19.41$	$-513.91$		
$0.90 \times L_{ds}$	307.17	279.15	266.79	40.40	50.50	714.08	$-66.42$	$-57.87$	$-72.34$	$-900.00$		
Transfer location*	109.11	81.09	77.50	11.74	14.67	276.32	$-75.12$	$-109.19$	$-136.49$	$-1291.70$		
CL of bearing	28.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	$-78.57$	$-109.19$	$-136.49$	$-1521.58$		
Span $2 - 0$	۰	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	$-79.98$	$-114.23$	$-142.78$	$-1581.07$		

**Table 5. Unfactored Moment in Exterior Beam (kip-ft)**

L<sub>ds</sub> – Design span of 83.75 ft

\* Transfer location is at 36 in. ( $= 60 \times$  Strand diameter) from beam end.

\*\* 60 kip axle load moment is higher than HS-20 design truck load moment.

+ 60 kip axle load is not included in continuous span analysis.

		For service and strength limit state checks										
	At release			<b>Composite section</b>								
Location		<b>Noncomposite section</b> (simple span)			Simple span				Continuous span <sup>+</sup>			
				Barrier,	Future wearing	HL-93 Mod	Settlement, Barrier,		Future wearing	$HL - 93$ Mod		
	Beam, Vgr	Beam, $Vg$	Deck and	$V_{b}$	surface, $V_{ws}$	with impact,	$V_{s}$	V <sub>b</sub>	surface, $V_{ws}$	with impact,		
			haunch, V <sub>D</sub>			$\rm V_{HL\text{-}M}$				$V_{HL-M}$		
Beam end	37.70											
CL of bearing	37.03	37.03	35.39	5.36	6.70	95.45	0.94	4.06	5.07	8.95		
Transfer location*	35.04	35.04	33.49	5.07	6.34	91.36	0.94	3.68	4.59	7.46		
$0.10 \times L_{ds}$	29.63	29.63	28.32	4.29	5.36	83.19	0.94	2.98	3.73	4.80		
$0.20 \times L_{ds}$	22.22	22.22	21.24	3.22	4.02	71.37	0.94	1.91	2.39	$-1.96$		
$0.30 \times L_{ds}$	14.81	14.81	14.16	2.14	2.68	59.44**	0.94	0.84	1.05	$-16.99$		
$0.40 \times L_{ds}$	7.41	7.41	7.08	1.07	1.34	49.52**	0.94	$-0.23$	$-0.29$	$-31.68$		
$0.50 \times L_{ds}$ (Midspan)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	$40.13***$	0.94	$-1.30$	$-1.63$	$-45.68$		
$0.60 \times L_{ds}$	$-7.41$	$-7.41$	$-7.08$	$-1.07$	$-1.34$	$-49.52**$	0.94	$-2.38$	$-2.97$	$-58.40$		
$0.70 \times L_{ds}$	$-14.81$	$-14.81$	$-14.16$	$-2.14$	$-2.68$	$-59.44$ <sup>**</sup>	0.94	$-3.45$	$-4.31$	$-70.02$		
$0.80 \times L_{ds}$	$-22.22$	$-22.22$	$-21.24$	$-3.22$	$-4.02$	$-71.37$	0.94	$-4.52$	$-5.65$	$-80.40$		
$0.90 \times L_{ds}$	$-29.63$	$-29.63$	$-28.32$	$-4.29$	$-5.36$	$-83.19$	0.94	$-5.59$	$-6.99$	$-89.65$		
Transfer location*	$-35.04$	$-35.04$	$-33.49$	$-5.07$	$-6.34$	$-91.36$	0.94	$-6.51$	$-8.14$	$-94.91$		
CL of bearing	$-37.03$	$-37.03$	$-35.39$	$-5.36$	$-6.70$	$-95.45$	0.94	$-6.66$	$-8.33$	$-97.69$		
Span $2 - 0$		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.94	$-6.76$	$-8.45$	$-98.34$		

**Table 6. Unfactored Shear in Exterior Beam (kip)**

L<sub>ds</sub> – Design span of 83.75 ft

\* Transfer location is at 36 in.  $(= 60 \times$  Strand diameter) from beam end.

\*\* 60 kip axle load shear is higher than HS-20 design truck load shear.

+ 60 kip axle load is not included in continuous span analysis.

# **Combined loads for service and strength limit states – Simple span**

Service I Limit State Moment for Noncomposite Section

 $1.0 (M_g + M_D)$  $1.0$  (775.40 + 744.03) = 1519.43 kip-ft

Service I Limit State Moment for Composite Section  $1.0 (M_b + M_{ws}) + 1.0 (M_{HL-M})$  $1.0$  (112.23 + 140.28) + 1.0 (1555.65) = 1808.16 kip-ft

Service III Limit State Moment for Noncomposite Section  $1.0 (M_g + M_D)$  $1.0$  (775.40 + 744.03) = 1519.43 kip-ft

Service III Limit State Moment for Composite Section

 $1.0 (M_b + M_{ws}) + 0.8 (M_{HL-M})$  $1.0$  (112.23 + 140.28) + 0.8 (1555.65) = 1497.03 kip-ft

Strength I Limit State Moment

 $1.25 (M_g + M_D + M_b) + 1.50 (M_{ws}) + 1.75 (M_{HL-M})$  $1.25 (775.40 + 744.03 + 112.23) + 1.50 (140.28) + 1.75 (1555.65) = 4972.38$  kip-ft

Load combinations and load factors are given in **Table 7**. Load factors for permanent loads are given in **Table 8**.

Simple span service and strength limit state moment and shear values of interior and exterior beams are given in **Table 9** to **Table 12**.

	DC												Use One of These at a Time	
Load Combination <b>Limit State</b>	DD DW EН EV ES EL PS CR SΗ	LL IΜ CE BR PL LS	WA	WS	WL	FR	ΤU	ТG	SE	ЕQ	BL	IC	CT	$_{CV}$
<b>Strength I</b> (unless noted)	Yp	1.75	1.00			1.00	0.50/1.20	$\gamma_{TG}$	YSE					
Strength II	Yb	1.35	1.00			1.00	0.50/1.20	$\gamma_{TG}$	YSE	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	—			
Strength III	Yp		1.00	1.4 $\bf{0}$		1.00	0.50/1.20	$\gamma_{TG}$	YSE					
Strength IV	$\gamma_p$		1.00	-	—	1.00	0.50/1.20	—		—	—		—	—
Strength V	Yp	1.35	1.00	0.4 $\bf{0}$	1.0	1.00	0.50/1.20	$\gamma_{TG}$	YSE					
Extreme Event I	Yp	γEQ	1.00	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	1.00		$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	1.00	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$			
<b>Extreme</b> Event II	Yp	0.50	1.00			1.00					1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Service I	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.3 $\bf{0}$	1.0	1.00	1.00/1.20	$\gamma_{TG}$	YSE					
Service II	1.00	1.30	1.00	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	1.00	1.00/1.20	▃						
Service III	1.00	0.80	1.00			1.00	1.00/1.20	YTG	YSE					
Service IV	1.00		1.00	0.7 0		1.00	1.00/1.20		1.0					
Fatigue I- LL. IM & CE only		1.50												
Fatigue II- LL. IM & CE only		0.75												

**Table 7. Load Combinations and Load Factors (LRFD Table 3.4.1-1)**

**Table 8. Load Factors for Permanent Loads, γ<sup>p</sup> (LRFD Table 3.4.1-2)**

	Load Factor		
	Maximum	Minimum	
DC: Component and Attachments	1.25	0.90	
$DC$ : Strength IV only		1.50	0.90
DD: Downdrag	Piles, $\alpha$ Tomlinson Method	14	0.25
	Piles, $\lambda$ Method	1.05	0.30
	Drilled shafts, O'Neill and Reese (1999) Method	1.25	0 35
	DW: Wearing Surfaces and Utilities	1.50	0.65
EH: Horizontal Earth Pressure			
Active		1.50	0.90
At-Rest		1.35	0.90
AEP for anchored walls		1.35	N/A
	<b>EL:</b> Locked-in Construction Stresses	1.00	1.00
EV: Vertical Earth Pressure			
Overall Stability ٠		1 0 0	N/A
٠	Retaining Walls and Abutments	135	1.00
<b>Rigid Buried Structure</b>		1 30	0.90
<b>Rigid Frames</b>		135	0.90
<b>Flexible Buried Structures</b>			
	o Metal Box Culverts and Structural Plate Culverts with Deep Corrugations	15	09
$\circ$	Thermoplastic culverts	13	09
All others $\circ$		1.95	09
ES: Earth Surcharge		1.50	0.75

#### **Table 9. Factored Moment in Interior Beam (kip-ft) Table 10. Factored Shear in Interior Beam (kip)**



#### **Table 11. Factored Moment in Exterior Beam (kip-ft) Table 12. Factored Shear in Exterior Beam (kip)**



# **STEP 8. INTERIOR BEAM PRESTRESS DESIGN**

Based on moment values shown in **Table 3** and **Table 5**, interior and exterior beams are designed. This example demonstrates the design of an interior beam. The required number of strands is usually governed by concrete tensile stresses at the bottom fiber for Service III Limit State load combination at the section of maximum moment (midspan). The required number of strands is calculated for the midspan.

# **Step 8.1. Lump Sum Prestress Loss Estimation**



Prestress losses range from 15% to 25%. An initial lump sum loss of 20% is assumed. The loss assumption will be reviewed upon calculating detailed losses following the strand design. Naaman (2012)

The ratio of effective stress to stress in prestressing steel after losses, but prior to transfer,  $\eta$  = 0.80 Effective stress in prestressing steel after losses,  $f_{pe} = \eta f_{pi} = 162.0$  ksi

# **Step 8.2. Prestressing Strand Design**

# **Step 8.2.1. Bottom Tensile Stress at Midspan**

Bottom tensile stress due to applied dead and live loads using Service III Limit State load combination

$$
f_{b} = \frac{(M_{g} + M_{D})}{S_{b}} + \frac{(M_{b} + M_{ws} + 0.8M_{HL-M})}{S_{bc}}
$$

where,



 $M_g$  = moment due to beam weight (kip-in)

 $M_D$  = moment due to deck and haunch weight (kip-in)

 $S_b$  = section modulus of a noncomposite beam for bottom fiber (in.<sup>3</sup>)

 $M_b$  = moment due to barrier weight (kip-in)

 $M_{ws}$  = moment due to future wearing surface (kip-in)

 $M_{HL-M}$  = moment due to HL-93 Mod live load (kip-in)

 $S_{bc}$  = section modulus for a bottom fiber of the composite beam (in.<sup>3</sup>)

Using moments from **Table 3**, bottom tensile stress due to applied loads at midspan are calculated, as follows: LRFD Table 5.9.4.2.2-1

$$
f_b = -\frac{(775.40 + 744.03) \times 12}{8000} - \frac{(112.23 + 140.28 + 0.8 \times 1555.65) \times 12}{12855}
$$
  
= -3.68 ksi

#### **Step 8.2.2. Required Number of Strands**

Allowable tensile stress for concrete with bonded steel and subjected to not worse than moderate corrosion condition, LRFD Table 5.9.4.2.2-1

$$
\bar{f}_{\text{ts}} = -0.19\sqrt{f_{\text{c}}'} = -0.19\sqrt{7.5} = -0.52 \text{ ksi}
$$

The required precompressive stress at the bottom fiber of the beam is the difference between allowable tensile stress for concrete and bottom

tensile stress due to applied loads:

$$
f_{\rm pb} = \bar{f}_{\rm ts} - f_{\rm b} = -0.52 - (-3.68) = 3.16 \,\text{ksi}
$$

The strand center of gravity location, measured from the bottom of the beam, is 5% to 15% of the beam depth. As an initial assumption, location is assumed as 6% of beam depth,

$$
e_{pg} = y_b - 0.06h = 18.20 - 0.06 \times 36 \approx 16.00
$$
 in.

The required precompressive stress at the bottom fiber  $(f_{pb})$  due to total prestressing force after all losses, Fe:

$$
f_{pb} = \frac{F_e}{A_b} + \frac{F_e e_{pg}}{S_b}
$$
  
3.16 =  $\frac{F_e}{878.30} + \frac{F_e \times 16.00}{8000}$   
3.16 =  $\left(\frac{1}{878.30} + \frac{16.00}{8000}\right) F_e$ 

Solving for Fe,

The required F<sub>e</sub> = 1006.83 kip  
The prestressing force per strand = 
$$
A_{ps}f_{pe}
$$
  
= 0.217 × 162 = 35.15 kip  
The required number of strands = 1006.83/35.15 = 28.64  $\approx$  29 (rounded up)

An iterative procedure is implemented to arrive at a satisfactory strand configuration and associated eccentricity. Twenty-nine (29) strands with an eccentricity of 16 in. is the initial trial. As eccentricity decreases, the required number of strands increases. After the iterative process, 32 strands with an eccentricity of 15.14 in. are calculated. See **Step 8.2.3** for strand eccentricity (epg) calculation process.

> $F_e = \eta F_i$  = prestressing force after all losses (kip)  $F_i$  = prestressing force at release (kip)

Therefore,

 $F_e = 32 \times 35.15 = 1,124.80$  kip  $F_i = F_e/\eta = 1,124.8/0.8 = 1,406.00$  kip

#### **Step 8.2.3. Strand Arrangement at Midspan**

Strand arrangement at midspan is shown in **Figure 13**. Based on the arrangement, distance between center of gravity of the strands and the bottom fiber of the beam at midspan (ybs) is calculated.



**Figure 13. Strand arrangement at midspan**

#### **Step 8.2.4. Strand Arrangement at Beam End**

Beam end stresses need to be checked at transfer because this stage almost always controls. Also, losses with time will reduce the concrete stresses.

Transfer length = 
$$
60 \times (Strand dia.) = 60 \times 0.6 = 36
$$
 in. LRFD Art. 5.11.4

As shown below, the beam top fiber tensile stress  $(f_{top})$  at the transfer location LRFD is calculated using moments from **Table 3**. Since beam end strand pattern is Table 5.9.4.1.2-1 yet to be designed, the beam stresses at transfer length are checked with the strand pattern at midspan.

$$
f_{top} = \frac{F_i}{A_b} - \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_t} + \frac{M_{gr}}{S_t}
$$
  
\n
$$
f_{top} = \frac{1406}{878.30} - \frac{1406 \times 15.14}{8179} + \frac{109.11 \times 12}{8179} = -0.84
$$
ksi  
\nAllowable concrete tensile stress at release,  
\n
$$
\overline{f}_{ti} = 0.24 \sqrt{f'_{ci}} = 0.24 \times \sqrt{6.3} = -0.60
$$
ksi  
\n
$$
|f_{top}| > |\overline{f}_{ti}|
$$
 NOT O.K.

As shown below, the beam bottom fiber compression stress (f<sub>bottom</sub>) at the transfer location is calculated using moments from **Table 3**. Art. 5.9.4.1.1

$$
f_{bottom} = \frac{F_i}{A_b} + \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_b} - \frac{M_{gr}}{S_b}
$$
  
\n
$$
f_{bottom} = \frac{1406}{878.30} + \frac{1406 \times 15.14}{8000} - \frac{109.11 \times 12}{8000} = 4.10 \text{ ksi}
$$
  
\nAllowable concrete compressive stress at release,  
\n
$$
\bar{f}_{ci} = 0.6 f'_{ci} = 0.6 \times 6.3 = 3.78 \text{ ksi}
$$

$$
f_{\text{bottom}} > \bar{f}_{\text{ci}}
$$
 NOT O.K.

The top and the bottom stresses exceed stress limits at the transfer location. Stresses need to be reduced by debonding, draping, or a combination thereof.

# *MDOT preference is debonding. However, draping is allowed for bulb tee sections.*

# In this example, draped strands are designed.

The longitudinal strand profile along the span is designed and shown in **Figure 14** for the half length of the beam. In this example, draping point is located at  $0.4 L_b$ . This position of draping is most often valid due to the relationship between standard section depth and span.

The principle process and comprehensive design procedure, using a Magnel diagram, for draping or debonding in order to satisfy the stress limits along the beam length is described in **Appendix B**.



**Figure 14. Longitudinal strand profile along half span of the beam**

Strand arrangement at beam end is shown in **Figure 15**.



**Figure 15. Strand arrangement at the end of beam**

# **Step 8.2.5. Stress Checks along Beam Length**

Stress checks are performed at multiple locations along the beam as shown in the **Table 13**.

Location)		Stress at release (ksi)	Stress in service (ksi)			
			Top		<b>Bottom</b>	
	Top	<b>Bottom</b>	Service I Limit State		Service III Limit	<b>Condition</b>
			Permanent and transient loads Permanent loads	<b>State</b>		
			(DL and LL with impact) (DL only)			
Beam end	0.00	0.00 <sub>1</sub>				O.K.
CL of bearing	$-0.05$	0.86	$-0.07$	$-0.07$	0.72	O.K.
Transfer length	$-0.23$	3.47	0.07	$-0.08$	2.48	O.K.
$0.10 \times L_{ds}$	$-0.06$	3.30	0.80	0.40	1.67	O.K.
$0.20 \times L_{ds}$	0.09	3.14	1.60	0.89	0.77	O.K.
$0.30 \times L_{ds}$	0.16	3.08	2.12	1.21	0.18	O.K.
$0.40 \times L_{ds}$	0.13	3.10	2.38	1.35	$-0.12$	O.K.
Midspan	0.18	3.06	2.52	1.44	$-0.27$	O.K.

**Table 13. Stress Checks along the Half Beam Length**

 $-$  Tension  $+$  Compression

*Stress in strands is assumed to vary linearly along the transfer length for service limit state as per LRFD Art. 5.11.4.1.* 

The last column in the above table shows if stress limits are satisfied.

## **Step 8.3. Loss of Prestress**

## **Step 8.3.1. Initial Loss at Beam End**

Before strand release, two short-term losses occur: relaxation and elastic shortening.

#### **Relaxation Loss**

*Note: The equation for*  $\Delta f_{pRh}$  *is no longer in the AASHTO LRFD 7<sup>th</sup> edition, 2016.* 

$$
\Delta f_{pR\text{.}bt} = \frac{\log(24.0t)}{40.0} \left[ \frac{f_{pj}}{f_{py}} - 0.55 \right] f_{pj} \quad \text{MDOT (2002)}
$$

where,

 $\Delta f_{pR\_bt}$  = relaxation loss before transfer, ksi  $t =$  duration of transfer, days

 $f_{\text{pi}} = f_{\text{pi}} + \Delta f_{\text{pR\_bt}} = \text{initial stress in strands, ksi}$ 

*The tendon stress limits are specified in Table 5.9.3-1* LRFD Art .5.9.3

 $f_{\text{pi}}$  = stress in strands prior to transfer = 0.75 $f_{\text{pu}}$  = 202.5 ksi LRFD Table 5.9.3-1  $f_{\text{pu}}$  = ultimate tensile strength of prestressing strands = 270 ksi BDM Art. 7.02.18.A1  $f_{\text{py}}$  = yield strength of prestressing strands = 0.9 $f_{\text{pu}}$  = 243 ksi LRFD Table 5.4.4.1-1

The relaxation losses are controlled by the fabrication schedule.

Assumption:

 $\Delta f_{pR \, bt1}$  = Initial relaxation loss at one day (t = 1 day) = 2 ksi

$$
f_{pj} = f_{pi} + \Delta f_{pR_b} = 202.50 + 2 = 204.50 \text{ ksi}
$$

$$
\Delta f_{pR_b} = \frac{\log(24.0 \times 1)}{40.0} \left[ \frac{204.50}{243} - 0.55 \right] 204.50 = 2.06 \text{ ksi}
$$

The difference between assumed initial loss ( $\Delta f_{pR_b}$  bt) and the second iteration ( $\Delta f_{pR_b}$  bt) is small. Hence,  $\Delta f_{pR_b}$  is used without performing further iterations.

$$
\frac{|\Delta f_{\rm pR\_bt1} - \Delta f_{\rm pR\_bt2}|}{\Delta f_{\rm pR\_bt1}} \times 100 = 3.0\% \Rightarrow \Delta f_{\rm pR\_bt} = \Delta f_{\rm pR\_bt2}
$$

#### **Elastic Shortening Loss**

$$
\Delta f_{\text{pES}} = \frac{E_{\text{p}}}{E_{\text{ci}}} f_{\text{cgp}} \qquad \text{LRFD Art. 5.9.5.2.3a} \qquad \text{LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.2.3a-1}
$$

where,

 $\Delta f_{\text{pES}}$  = elastic shortening loss, ksi

 $E_p$  = modulus of elasticity of prestressing steel = 28,500 ksi

 $E_{ci}$  = modulus of elasticity of beam at transfer = 4,631 ksi

 $f_{\text{cgp}}$  = the sum of concrete stress at the center of gravity of

prestressing strands due to the prestressing force and selfweight of the beam

$$
= \frac{P_i}{A_b} + \frac{P_i e_{end}^2}{I_b} - \frac{M_{gr} e_{end}}{I_b}
$$

where:

 $P_i$  = prestress force at transfer

Relaxation loss  $(\Delta f_{pR_b})$ , calculated in **Step 8.3.1**, is a time dependent loss of prestress when a tendon is held at a constant strain. Since the stress in strands prior to transfer is 202.50 ksi (i.e., 0.75f<sub>pu</sub>), and  $\Delta_{fpR\_bt}$  is 2.06 ksi, strands are pulled to achieve a stress of 204.56 ksi. At the time the strands are cut, the relaxation losses would take place and the remaining stress in strands would be 202.50 ksi.

Elastic shortening loss takes place with beam shortening when the strands are cut. With this loss, the stress in strands at transfer will fall below 0.75f<sub>pu</sub>.

*Since, elastic shortening losses are not known, AASHTO LRFD suggests assuming* LRFD C5.9.5.2.3a *a 10% loss (i.e., 0.75 × 0.9 = 0.68).* 

Hence,  $0.70f_{\text{pu}}$  is initially assumed for stress at transfer.

 $P_i$  = (area of strand)  $\times$  (stress at transfer)  $= A_{ps} \times$  Number of strands  $\times$  0.70f<sub>pu</sub>  $= 0.217 \times 32 \times 189 = 1312.42$  kip

 $e_{end}$  = eccentricity of strands at end of beam

Eccentricity at the end of the transfer length is used for e<sub>end</sub>.

*Transfer length*  $= 60 \times (diameter \ of \ a \ strand) = 60 \times 0.6 \ in. = 36 \ in.$  LRFD Art. 5.11.4.2

Thus, e<sub>end</sub> is calculated at a distance of 36 in. from beam end.

Distance from beam end to bearing centerline  $= 9$  in. Distance to centroid of strands from the beam bottom at 36 in.

$$
= (17 \times 2 + 10 \times 4 + 3 \times 26.80 + 2 \times 28.80)/32
$$
  
= 6.63 in.  
e<sub>end</sub> = y<sub>b</sub> - 6.63 = 18.20 - 6.63  
= 11.57 in.  
A<sub>b</sub> = area of beam = 878.30 in.<sup>2</sup>  
I<sub>b</sub> = moment of inertia of the beam = 145,592 in.<sup>4</sup>

Moment at 36 in. from the beam end at release due to beam self-weight

$$
= 109.11 \text{ kip-ft} = 1,309.32 \text{ kip-in}
$$
  

$$
f_{cgp_1} = \frac{1312.42}{878.30} + \frac{1312.42 \times 11.57^2}{145592} - \frac{1309.32 \times 11.57}{145592} = 2.60 \text{ ksi}
$$
  

$$
\Delta f_{pES_1} = \frac{E_p}{E_{ci}} f_{cgp} = \frac{28500}{4631} \times 2.60 = 16.00 \text{ ksi}
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.2.3a-1

Elastic shortening reduces the prestressing force, which in return reduces elastic shortening. Thus, an iterative process is required as shown below:

1<sup>st</sup> iteration:

$$
P_i = \text{force at transfer} = A_{ps} \times \text{Number of strands} \times (f_{pi} - \Delta f_{pES\_1})
$$
  
= 0.217 × 32 × (202.50 – 16.00) = 1295.06 kip  

$$
f_{cgp_2} = \frac{1295.06}{878.30} + \frac{1295.06 × 11.57^2}{145592} - \frac{1309.32 × 11.57}{145592} = 2.56 ksi
$$

$$
\Delta f_{pES\_2} = \frac{28500}{4631} × 2.56 = 15.75 ksi
$$

$$
2^{nd}\text{ iteration:}
$$

$$
P_i = \text{force at transfer} = A_{ps} \times \text{Number of strands} \times (f_{pi} - \Delta f_{pES_2})
$$
  
= 0.217 × 32 × (202.50 – 15.75) = 1296.79 kip  

$$
f_{cgp_3} = \frac{1296.79}{878.30} + \frac{1296.79 × 11.57^2}{145592} - \frac{1309.32 × 11.57}{145592} = 2.56 ksi
$$

$$
\Delta f_{pES_3} = \frac{28500}{4631} \times 2.56 = 15.75 ksi
$$

With two iterations the solution converges. Thus,  $\Delta f_{pES} = 15.75$  ksi Initial losses at beam end =  $\Delta f_{\text{DES}} + \Delta f_{\text{D}R_{\text{bt}}}$  $= 15.75 + 2.06 = 17.81$  ksi

#### **Step 8.3.2. Losses at Midspan**

$$
\Delta f_{\text{pT}} = \Delta f_{\text{pES}} + \Delta f_{\text{pLT}}
$$
\nLRFD Art. 5.9.5.1\nLRFD Eq. 5.9.5.1-1

where,

 $\Delta f_{pT}$  = total losses, ksi

- $\Delta f_{\text{PES}}$  = sum of all losses or gains due to elastic shortening or extension at the time of application of prestress and/or external loads, ksi
- $\Delta f_{pLT}$  = losses due to long-term shrinkage and creep of concrete, and relaxation of steel, ksi

#### **Elastic Shortening Losses**

$$
\Delta f_{\rm pES} = \frac{E_{\rm p}}{E_{\rm ci}} f_{\rm cgp}
$$
 \t\t\t\tLRFD Eq. 5.9.5.2.3a-1

where,

 $\Delta f_{\text{PES}} =$  elastic shortening loss, ksi

 $E_p$  = modulus of elasticity of prestressing steel = 28,500 ksi

 $E_{ci}$  = modulus of elasticity of beam at transfer = 4,631 ksi

 $f_{\text{cgp}}$  = the sum of concrete stress at the center of gravity of prestressing strands due to the prestressing force at transfer and the self-weight of the beam at maximum moment location

$$
= \frac{P_i}{A_b} + \frac{P_i e_{pg}^2}{I_b} - \frac{M_{gr} e_{pg}}{I_b}
$$

 $e_{pg}$  = eccentricity of strands at midspan at transfer = 15.14 in.

 $M_{gr}$  = moment due to beam weight at release at midspan = 803.43 kip-ft

 $A_b$  = area of beam = 878.30 in.<sup>2</sup>

 $I<sub>b</sub>$  = moment of inertia of the beam = 145,592 in.<sup>4</sup>

$$
P_i
$$
 = prestressing force at transfer = A<sub>ps</sub> × Number of strands × (0.70f<sub>pu</sub>)

$$
= 0.217 \times 32 \times 189 = 1312.42
$$
kip

*Since, elastic shortening losses are not known, AASHTO LRFD suggests assuming a 10% loss (i.e., 0.75 × 0.9 = 0.68).*  LRFD C5.9.5.2.3a

Hence,  $0.70f_{\text{pu}}$  is the initial assumption for stress at transfer.

$$
f_{cgp_1} = \frac{1312.42}{878.30} + \frac{1312.42 \times 15.14^2}{145592} - \frac{803.43 \times 12 \times 15.14}{145592}
$$
  
= 2.56 ksi

Initial elastic losses,  $\Delta f_{\text{pES}_1} = \frac{28500}{4631} \times 2.56 = 15.75$  ksi LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.2.3a-1

1<sup>st</sup> iteration:

$$
P_i = \text{force at transfer} = A_{ps} \times \text{Number of strands} \times (f_{pi} - \Delta f_{pES\_1})
$$
  
\n
$$
= 0.217 \times 32 \times (202.50 - 15.75) = 1296.80 \text{ kip}
$$
  
\n
$$
f_{cgp_2} = \frac{1296.80}{878.30} + \frac{1296.80 \times 15.14^2}{145592} - \frac{803.43 \times 12 \times 15.14}{145592} = 2.52 \text{ ksi}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta f_{pES\_2} = \frac{28500}{4631} \times 2.52 = 15.51 \text{ ksi}
$$
  
\n
$$
2^{nd} \text{ iteration:}
$$
  
\n
$$
P_i = \text{force at transfer} = A_{ps} \times \text{Number of strands} \times (f_{pi} - \Delta f_{pES\_2})
$$
  
\n
$$
= 0.217 \times 32 \times (202.50 - 15.51) = 1298.50 \text{ kip}
$$
  
\n
$$
f_{cgp_3} = \frac{1298.50}{878.30} + \frac{1298.50 \times 15.14^2}{145592} - \frac{803.43 \times 12 \times 15.14}{145592} = 2.52 \text{ ksi}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta f_{pES\_3} = \frac{28500}{4631} \times 2.52 = 15.51 \text{ ksi}
$$

Iterations converge to losses presented below;



Elastic shortening losses:

$$
\Delta f_{pES} = 15.51 \text{ ksi}
$$

# **Time-Dependent Losses (Approximate Estimate)**

The losses due to long-term creep and shrinkage of concrete, and relaxation of steel,  $\Delta f$ <sub>PLT</sub>, shall be estimated using the following equation:

$$
\Delta f_{\rm PLT} = 10.0 \frac{f_{\rm pi} A_{\rm ps}}{A_{\rm b}} \gamma_{\rm h} \gamma_{\rm st} + 12.0 \gamma_{\rm h} \gamma_{\rm st} + \Delta f_{\rm PR}
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.3-1

where,

 $f_{pi}$  = stress in prestressing steel immediately prior to transfer  $= 202.50$  ksi  $A_{ps} = A_{pst} = total area of prestressing strands = 0.217 \times 32$  $= 6.944$  in.<sup>2</sup>  $A_b$  = area of beam= 878.30 in.<sup>2</sup>  $H =$  relative humidity = 75% (Michigan climate) LRFD Fig. 5.4.2.3.3-1  $\gamma_h$  = correction factor for relative humidity of the ambient air  $= 1.7 - 0.01H = 1.7 - 0.01 \times 75 = 0.95$ LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.3-2

 $\gamma_{\rm st}$  = correction factor for specified concrete strength at time of LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.3-3 prestress transfer

$$
= \frac{5}{1 + f'_{ci}} = \frac{5}{1 + 6.3} = 0.68
$$
  
\n $\Delta f_{pR}$  = relaxation loss = 2.40 ksi LRFD Art. 5.9.5.3

Therefore,

$$
\Delta f_{\text{PLT}} = 10.0 \frac{202.50 \times 6.944}{878.30} \times 0.95 \times 0.68 + 12.0 \times 0.95 \times 0.68 + 2.40
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta f_{\text{PLT}} = 20.49 \text{ ksi}
$$

Total losses at midspan

$$
\Delta f_{pT} = \Delta f_{pES} + \Delta f_{pLT}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta f_{pT} = 15.51 + 20.49 = 36
$$
ksi

Ratio of effective prestress after losses to stress prior to transfer, η

$$
= \frac{f_{\rm pi} - \Delta f_{\rm pT}}{f_{\rm pi}} = \frac{202.50 - 36}{202.50} = 0.82
$$

The losses calculated with the approximate estimate are 18%, and sufficiently close to the lump sum estimate of 20%. Hence, the stress limit checks will not be repeated.

Detailed estimates of time-dependent losses are included in **Appendix C**.

#### **Step 8.4. Flexural Design Check for Strength Limit State**

#### **Step 8.4.1. Ultimate Moment**



The ultimate moment at midspan is calculated from moments given in **Table 3**.

$$
M_u = 1.25(M_g + M_D + M_b) + 1.5(M_{ws}) + 1.75(M_{HL-M})
$$
  
= 1.25(775.40 + 744.03 + 112.23) + 1.5(140.28) + 1.75(1555.65)  
= 4972.38 kip-fit

#### **Step 8.4.2. Average Stress in Prestressing Steel**

Average stress in prestressing steel when  $f_{pe} \ge 0.5f_{pu}$  LRFD Art. 5.7.3.1.1

$$
f_{ps} = f_{pu} \left( 1 - k \frac{c}{d_p} \right)
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.1.1-1

where,

 $f_{\text{pu}}$  = specified tensile strength of prestressing steel = 270 ksi

$$
k = 2 \left( 1.04 - \frac{f_{py}}{f_{pu}} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= 0.28 \text{ for low relationship strength}
$$
\n
$$
LRFD \text{ Table C5.7.3.1.1-1}
$$

 $= 0.28$  for low relaxation strands

 $\mathcal{Y}$ 

# $= 6066.00$  kip – ft

 $=\left[6.944\times257.15\times\left(43.94-\frac{6.35}{2}\right)\right]$ 

 $=$   $[A_{ps}f_{ps} (d_{p} - \frac{a}{2}) + A_{s}f_{s} (d_{s} - \frac{a}{2}) - A_{s}f_{s} (d_{s} - \frac{a}{2})$ 

*Deck reinforcement can be included in nominal flexural resistance calculation if amounts are known. In most cases, the deck reinforcement contribution is very small and can be omitted.* 

Nominal flexural resistance,  $M_n$ 

The average stress in prestressing steel,  $f_{ps} = 270 (1$ 

# **Step 8.4.3. Nominal Flexural Resistance** LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.2.2-1

c  $\frac{c}{d_p} = \frac{7.47}{43.94} = 0.17 < 0.375$  O.K. Depth of the equivalent stress block, a  $= \beta_1 c = 0.85 \times 7.47 = 6.35$  in.

The beam is tension controlled if  $c/d_p \leq 0.375$ 

When c extends below the deck thickness, t<sub>s</sub>, rectangular section assumption will not be valid and a T-section analysis is required.

Therefo

$$
\beta_1 = \text{stress factor of compression block} = 0.85
$$
\nLRFD Art. 5.7.2.2

\nherefore,

\n
$$
6.944 \times 270 + 0 = 0
$$

$$
6.944 \times 270 + 0 - 0
$$
\n
$$
6.944 \times 270 + 0 - 0 = 7.47
$$

re,  

$$
c = \frac{6.944 \times 270 + 0 - 0}{0.95(4.0)(0.95)(92.752) + 0.29(6.944)} = 7.47 \text{ in.}
$$

$$
c = \frac{6.944 \times 270 + 0 - 0}{0.85(4.0)(0.85)(82.752) + 0.28(6.944)\left(\frac{270}{270}\right)} = 7.47 \text{ in}
$$

$$
c = \frac{6.944 \times 270 + 0 - 0}{0.85(4.0)(0.85)(82.752) + 0.28(6.944)\left(\frac{270}{43.94}\right)} = 7.4
$$

$$
c = \frac{6.944 \times 270 + 0 - 0}{7.47} = 7.47
$$

flexural resistance, ksi 
$$
f's = stress
$$
 in mild steel compression reinforcement at nominal

 $A_{ps} = A_{pst}$  = total area of prestressing steel = 32 × 0.217 = 6.944 in.<sup>2</sup>

$$
= stress in mild steel compression re
$$

Since  $c < t_s = 9$  in., rectangular section assumption

fpu  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ 

 $A_s$  = area of mild steel tension reinforcement = 0 in.<sup>2</sup>

 $f'_c$  = compressive strength of deck concrete = 4.0 ksi  $f_s$  = stress in mild steel tension reinforcement at nominal

 $b_{\text{eff}}$  = effective width of compression flange = 82.752 in.

 $A<sub>s</sub>$  = area of mild steel compression reinforcement = 0 in.<sup>2</sup>

flexural resistance, ksi

section

 $=\frac{A_{ps}f_{pu}+A_{s}f_{s}-A'_{s}f'_{s}}{f_{s}}$ 

 $0.85f'_{c}β_1b_{eff} + kA_{ps}$ 

$$
LRFD Eq. 5.7
$$

LRFD Art. 5.7.2.1.

LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.1.1-4

$$
\left(1 - 0.28 \frac{7.47}{43.94}\right) = 257.15
$$
ksi

 $\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$  + 0 – 0 $\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$ 

 $d_p$  = distance from extreme compressive fiber to centroid of prestressing strands  $= h_c - v_{bs} = 47 - 3.06 = 43.94$  in.

 $c = distance$  between the neutral axis and extreme compressive fiber for rectangular

#### **Step 8.4.4. Factored Flexural Resistance**



### **Step 8.4.5. Maximum Reinforcement** LRFD Art. 5.7.3.3.1 and Art. 5.5.4.2.1

The check for maximum reinforcement limits was discontinued by AASHTO in 2005. Adequate ductility is ensured with a tension controlled design of the beam (See **Step 8.4.2**). When beam flexural resistance is not tension-controlled, the resistance factor for the Strength Limits State I is decreased.

# **Step 8.4.6. Minimum Reinforcement** LRFD Art. 5.7.3.3.2

*At any section, the amount of prestressed and nonprestressed tensile reinforcement should be adequate to develop a factored flexural resistance, Mr, equal to the lesser of:*

• *1.2 times the cracking strength determined on the basis of elastic stress distribution and the modulus of rupture, and,*

• *1.33 times the factored moment required by the applicable strength load combination.*

Check at midspan:

$$
M_{cr} = \gamma_3 \left[ (\gamma_1 f_r + \gamma_2 f_{cpe}) S_c - M_{dnc} \left( \frac{S_c}{S_{nc}} - 1 \right) \right]
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.3.2-1

where,



concrete structures.

$$
f_r = \text{concrete modulus of rupture} = 0.24 \sqrt{f'_c}
$$
\n
$$
= 0.24 \sqrt{7.5} = 0.657 \text{ ksi}
$$
\n
$$
\text{LRFD Art. 5.4.2.6}
$$

 $f_{\text{cpe}}$  = compressive stress in concrete due to effective prestress (after allowance for all losses) at the extreme fiber of the section where tensile stress is generated by externally applied loads

$$
= \frac{\eta F_i}{A_b} + \frac{\eta F_i e_{pg}}{S_b} = \frac{0.8 \times 1406}{878.30} + \frac{0.8 \times 1406 \times 15.14}{8000} = 3.41 \text{ ksi}
$$

 $M<sub>dnc</sub> = total unfactored dead load moment on the monolithic or noncomposite$ section

$$
= M_g + M_D = (775.40 \text{ kip-ft} + 744.03 \text{ kip-ft}) \times 12 \text{ in./ft} = 18,233.16 \text{ kip-in.}
$$

 $S_c$  = S<sub>bc</sub> = section modulus for the extreme fiber of the composite section where tensile

stress is generated by externally applied loads

$$
= 12,855 \text{ in.}^3
$$

 $= 8,000$  in.<sup>3</sup>

 $S_{nc}$  =  $S_b$  = section modulus for the extreme fiber of the monolithic or noncomposite section where tensile stress is generated by externally applied loads

$$
M_{cr} = 1.0 \times \left[ (1.6 \times 0.657 + 1.1 \times 3.41) \times 12855 - 18233.16 \times \left( \frac{12855}{8000} - 1 \right) \right] / 12
$$
  
= 4222.25 kip – ft  
1.2M<sub>cr</sub> = 5066.70 kip-ft  
1.33M<sub>u</sub> = 6613.27 kip-ft  
Min (1.2M<sub>cr</sub>; 1.33M<sub>u</sub>) =1.2M<sub>cr</sub>  
M<sub>r</sub> (= 6066.00 kip-ft) ≥ 1.2M<sub>cr</sub> (=5066.70 kip-ft) **O.K.**

# **Step 8.5. Lifting Stress Check**

*MDOT practice is to check stresses at draping point and over the supports/lifting points due to the cantilever moment. The check is preformed by assuming support/lifting point locations at 3.0 ft from the beam end (Figure 16). If the stress conditions are not satisfied, the supports are moved towards the beam end. MDOT procedure does not consider the dynamic effects, and a dead load multiplier of 1 is used.*



**Figure 16. Moment and shear diagrams for lifting stress check**

Moment at a distance of x from a support  $=\frac{wLx}{2} - \frac{w}{2}(H + x)^2$ 

Distance between temporary support or lifting points and beam end,  $H = 3$  ft

# **Stresses over Temporary Support**

Moment at temporary support/lifting,  $M = \frac{-wH^2}{2}$  where  $w = w_g$  $M = \frac{-w_g H^2}{2} = \frac{-0.884 \times 3^2}{2}$  $= -3.98$  kip – ft Allowable concrete tensile stress,  $\bar{f}_{tt}$ tt  $= 0.24 \sqrt{f'_{\text{ct}}}$ Allowable concrete compressive stress,  $\vec{f}_{ct}$  = 0.6 f<sub>ct</sub> where,

 $f_{ct}$  = concrete compressive strength for lifting stress check

$$
=\frac{f_c + f_{ci}}{2} = \frac{7.5 + 6.3}{2} = 6.9
$$
ksi

Hence,

 $\bar{f}_{tt} = 0.24\sqrt{6.9} = 0.630$  ksi  $\bar{f}_{\text{ct}}$  = 0.60 × 6.9 = 4.140 ksi

Prestressing strand eccentricity ( $e_{pg}$ ) at 3 ft = 11.57 in.

The tensile stresses are calculated and compared to the allowable stresses at top:

$$
\frac{F_i}{A_b} - \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_t} + \frac{M}{S_t} \ge (-f_{tt})
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1406}{878.30} - \frac{1406 \times 11.57}{8179} + \frac{-3.98 \times 12}{8179} = -0.394 \text{ ks} \ge -0.630 \text{ ks} \qquad \textbf{0. K.}
$$

The compressive stresses are calculated and compared to the allowable stresses at bottom:

$$
\frac{F_i}{A_b} + \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_b} - \frac{M}{S_b} \leq (f_{ct})
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1406}{878.30} + \frac{1406 \times 11.57}{8000} - \frac{-3.98 \times 12}{8000} = 3.640 \text{ ksi} < 4.140 \text{ ksi}
$$
\n0. K.

#### **Stresses at Draping Point**

According to **Figure 14** and **Figure 16** the distance between temporary support/lifting point and draping point,  $L_{dra}$  = 34.1 – 3.0 = 31.1 ft

Moment at draping point, M

$$
= w_g \frac{L}{2} L_{\text{dra}} - \frac{w_g}{2} (H + L_{\text{dra}})^2
$$

where,

L = L<sub>b</sub>  
\nM = 0.884 × 
$$
\frac{85.25}{2}
$$
 × 31.1 -  $\frac{0.884}{2}$  × (3 + 31.1)<sup>2</sup>  
\n= 657.90 kip – ft

According to **Figure 13**

Prestressing strand eccentricity ( $e_{pg}$ ) at draping point (i.e., at 34.1 ft) = 15.14 in. The tensile stresses are calculated and compared to the allowable stresses at top:

$$
\frac{F_i}{A_b} - \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_t} + \frac{M}{S_t} \ge (-f_{tt})
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1406}{878.30} - \frac{1406 \times 15.14}{8179} + \frac{657.90 \times 12}{8179} = -0.037 \text{ ksi} > -0.630 \text{ ksi}
$$
\n0. K.

The compressive stresses are calculated and compared to the allowable stresses at bottom:

$$
\frac{F_i}{A_b} + \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_b} - \frac{M}{S_b} \le (f_{ct})
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1406}{878.30} + \frac{1406 \times 15.14}{8000} - \frac{657.90 \times 12}{8000} = 3.275 \text{ ksi} < 4.140 \text{ ksi}
$$
\n0. K.

*Some highway agencies require shear checks at the support and lifting points. However, as per MDOT practice, shear check is omitted.*

# **Step 8.6. Continuity Connection Check**

#### **Step 8.6.1. Negative Moment Connection at Strength Limit State**

The scope of this example is limited to prestressed concrete beam design. Hence, the continuity connection check calculations are not included. The steps below can be followed to complete the continuity connection check:



- d) Calculate the ultimate moment over the pier  $(M_u)$  using Strength I limit state.
- e) Check if  $M_u < M_r$  LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.2.1-1

# **Step 8.6.2. Service State – Compressive Strength Check at Negative Moment Region**

In the negative moment region, girder bottom flange is subjected to additional compressive stress proportional to the negative moment at the section. The critical section for compression check is established as the section in the negative moment region where compression due to prestressing is the greatest. Hence, the critical section is at a distance equal to the transfer length measured from the beam end. Analysis is performed by assuming elastic cracked section to check if compressive strength on beam bottom flange exceeds  $0.6f$ .

Tension reinforcement needs to be distributed to control flexural cracking. LRFD Art. C5.7.3.4

- (a) Calculate the overall thickness of the component, h.
- (b) Calculate the thickness of concrete cover measured from extreme tension fiber to center of the flexural reinforcement located closest thereto,  $d_{c}$ ,

(c) Calculate 
$$
\beta_s = 1 + \frac{d_c}{0.7(h - d_c)}
$$

- (d) Determine the exposure factor,  $\gamma_e$
- (e) Calculate the tensile stress in the mild steel reinforcement at the service limit state,  $f_{ss}$
- (f) Check if  $f_{ss} \leq 0.6f_{y}$ . Otherwise, change the amount of steel to satisfy the condition.
- (g) Maintain the spacing, s, of mild steel reinforcement in the layer closest to the tension face such that  $s \leq \frac{700 \gamma_c}{\beta_s f_{ss}} - 2d_c$ LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.4-1

# **Step 8.6.4. Positive Moment Connection at Strength Limit State**

A positive moment may develop at intermediate piers under the effect NCHRP (2004) of prestressing, permanent loads, and creep and shrinkage (if creep and shrinkage is considered in the design). Reinforcement is provided at beam bottom flange at intermediate piers to resist the factored positive moment  $(M_u)$  at negative moment locations. FHWA (2003)

*MDOT currently does not perform this calculation.*

# **Step 8.7. Shear Design**

Transverse shear reinforcement is required when  $V_u > 0.5\phi(V_c + V_p)$  LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.4-1 where,

 $V<sub>u</sub>$  = total factored shear force

 $V_c$  = shear strength provided by concrete

- $V_p$  = component of the effective prestressing force in the direction of the applied shear
- $\phi$  = resistance factor for shear = 0.9 LRFD Art. 5.5.4.2.1

Transverse shear is evaluated to identify the length of beam requiring reinforcement. Even if  $V_u \leq 0.5\phi(V_c + V_p)$ , shear reinforcement is provided in beams at the maximum spacing.

In this example, transverse shear design procedure is demonstrated for the critical section near the support.

#### **Step 8.7.1. Critical Section for Shear**

The critical section near the support is taken as the effective shear depth,  $d_v$ , from the internal face of the support. LRFD Art. 5.8.3.2

- $d_v$  = effective shear depth (i.e., distance between resultants of tensile and compressive forces) LRFD Art. 5.8.2.9
	- $= (d_e a/2) \ge$  greater of 0.9d<sub>e</sub> or 0.72h<sub>c</sub>
- $d_e$  = effective depth from extreme compression fiber to centroid of the tensile force in the tensile reinforcement
- $a =$  depth of compression block

 $h_c$  = overall depth = 47 in.

For flexural members, the distance between the resultants of the tensile and compressive forces due to flexure can be determined from: LRFD Eq. C5.8.2.9-1

$$
d_v = \frac{M_n}{A_s f_y + A_{ps} f_{ps}}
$$

where,  $M_n = 6066.00$  kip-ft = 72,792 kip-in.  $A_{\text{ps}} = A_{\text{pst}} = 6.944 \text{ in.}^2$ fps = 257.15 ksi (From **Step 8.4.2)**  $A_s = 0$  in<sup>2</sup>.

Therefore,  $d_v = 40.77$  in. Check if  $d_v \geq Max (0.9d_e, 0.72h_c)$ Since only prestressing strands are present,  $d_e = d_p = 43.94$  in. **(Step 8.4.2)**, and  $d_v \geq Max$  (39.55 in., 33.84 in.) **O.K.** LRFD Art. 5.8.2.9

Bearing width is not yet established, and conservatively, the distance to the critical section for shear can be measured from the bearing centerline.

Distance to critical section for shear from bearing centerline,  $x_{cr}$ 

 $= d_v = 40.77$  in.  $= 0.04$ L<sub>ds</sub>

# **Step 8.7.2. Factored Moment and Shear at Critical Location**

In designing continuous for live load (CLL) bridges, the larger value for shear represents the required strength calculated for both simply supported and continuous spans.

As shown in **Table 4**, shear in continuous span, V<sub>HL-M</sub>, governs. Hence, the design is performed accordingly. The following tables show moment and shear at the critical seciton for shear,  $0.04L<sub>ds</sub>$ .



*The load factor for settlement, γSE, should be considered on a project-specific basis. In lieu of*  LRFD *project specific information to the contrary, γSE, may be taken as 1.0. Load combinations*  Art. 3.4.1 *which include settlement shall also be applied without settlement.*

Without project specific requirements, shear design is performed with  $\gamma_{\rm SE} = 1.0$ Factored moment at critical section  $(0.04L<sub>ds</sub>)$ ,  $M<sub>u</sub>$ 

$$
= 1.25(M_g + M_D + M_b) + 1.5(M_{ws}) + 1.75(M_{HL-M}) + 1.0(M_s)
$$
 LRFD Table 3.4.1.1  
= 1.25(113.18 + 108.60 - 93.40) + 1.5(-116.70)  
+ 1.75(-1078.45) + 1.0(-76.95) (Strength I)

 $= -1978.81$  kip-ft

Factored shear at critical section  $(0.04L_{ds})$ ,  $V_u$ 

$$
= 1.25 (Vg + VD + Vb) + 1.5 (Vws) + 1.75 (VHL-M) + 1.0 (VS)
$$
 LRFD Table 3.4.1.1  
= 1.25 (-34.23 - 32.84 - 6.4) + 1.5 (-7.9)  
+ 1.75 (-97.8) + 1.0 (-0.94)

 $= -275.78$  kip

#### **Step 8.7.3. Shear Strength Provided by Concrete**

Concrete contribution to nominal shear resistance,  $V_c$ LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.3-3

$$
= 0.0316 \beta \sqrt{f_c'} b_v d_v
$$

where,

 $β =$  factor indicating the ability of diagonally cracked concrete to transmit tension and shear

 $b_v$  = effective web width taken as the minimum web width within the depth  $d_v$ 

The following calculations are performed for evaluating β.

# **Calculation of Strain in Flexural Reinforcement**

Strain in flexural reinforcement,  $\varepsilon_s$ 

$$
= \frac{\left|\frac{M_{u}}{d_{v}}\right| + 0.5N_{u} + \left|V_{u} - V_{p}\right| - A_{ps}f_{po}}{(E_{s}A_{s} + E_{p}A_{ps})}
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.4.2-4

where,

 $N_u$  = applied factored normal force at critical section = 0 kip

 $V_p$  = component of the effective prestressing force in the direction of the applied shear,

 $=$  (Force per strand)(Number of draped strands)(sin  $\psi$ )

 $\Psi$  = drape angle = 3.50°

$$
V_p = 35.154 \times 5 \times \sin(3.50^\circ) = 10.73
$$
 kip

 $A_{ps}$  = area of prestressing strands on the flexural tension side of the member  $= 27 \times 0.217 = 5.86$  in.<sup>2</sup>

 $f_{po}$  = parameter taken as modulus of elasticity of prestressing strands multiplied by the locked-in difference in strain between the prestressing strands and the surrounding concrete

For prestressed members, f<sub>po</sub> can be assumed 0.7f<sub>pu</sub> LRFD Art. 5.8.3.4.2

 $= 0.7 \times 270 = 189$  ksi

*Within the transfer length, fpo shall be increased linearly from zero at the location where the bond between the strands and concrete commences to its full value at the end of the transfer length.* LRFD Art. 5.8.3.4.2

In this example, 
$$
d_v
$$
 > transfer length of 36 in. (i.e., 60 × Strand dia.)  
\n $|M_u| > |V_u - V_p| d_v$   
\n $|M_u| = 1978.81 \times 12 = 23,737.44 \text{ kip-in}$   
\n $||V_u - V_p|| d_v = |275.78 - 10.73| \times 40.77 = 10806.09 \text{ kip-in}$   
\n $|M_u| > |V_u - V_p| d_v \rightarrow O.K.$   
\n
$$
\epsilon_s = \frac{|-1978.81 \times 12|}{40.77} + 0 + |275.78 - 10.73| - 5.86 \times 189}{(0 + 28500 \times 5.86)} = -0.0016 < 0
$$

*If ε<sup>s</sup> calculated from Eq. 5.8.3.4.2-4 is negative, it can be taken as zero or recalculated with the denominator of Eq. 5.8.3.4.2-4 replaced by*  $(E_sA_s + E_pA_{ps} + E_cA_c)$ *. However,*  $\varepsilon$ <sub>*s*</sub> *should not be taken as less than*  $-0.40 \times 10^{-3}$ *.* LRFD Art.5.8.3.4.2

where,

LRFD Fig.

 $A_c$  = area of concrete on the flexural side of the member (**Figure 17**)  $= 493.44$  in.<sup>2</sup> 5.8.3.4.2.2



**Figure 17. Area of the concrete on the flexural tension side**

*The flexural tension side of the member shall be taken as the half-depth containing the flexural tension zone.* LRFD Fig. 5.8.3.4.2-1

$$
\varepsilon_{\rm s} = \frac{|-1978.81 \times 12|}{40.77} + 0 + |275.78 - 10.73| - 5.86 \times 189
$$
\n
$$
(4906 \times 493.44 + 28500 \times 5.86)
$$

 $= -0.0001 > -0.00040$  **O.K.** 

#### **β and θ Calculation**

Assume that the section contains at least the minimum transverse reinforcement.

 $\beta$  = factor indicating ability of diagonally cracked concrete to transmit tension and shear  $=\frac{4.8}{1+750 \epsilon_{\rm s}}$  $=\frac{4.8}{1+750\times(-1\times10^{-4})}=5.19$ LRFD Eq.5.8.3.4.2-1  $\theta$  = angle of inclination of diagonal compressive stress  $= 29 + 3500 \times \varepsilon_s = 28.65^\circ$  LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.4.2-3 *MDOT procedure is to iterate for β and θ since the AASHTO LRFD 7th edition (2016) equations are not yet implemented in the BDS.*  Shear is carried by the web. Thickness of the web,  $t_w = 8$  in. Shear strength provided by concrete,  $V_c$ = 0.0316β $\sqrt{\mathrm{f'_{c}}}\mathrm{t_{w}}\mathrm{d_{v}}$  $= 0.0316 \times 5.19 \times \sqrt{7.5} \times 8 \times 40.77 = 146.49$  kip LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.3-3 **Step 8.7.4. Shear Reinforcement Requirements** Check if the following condition is satisfied;  $V_{\rm u} > 0.5\phi \ (V_{\rm c} + V_{\rm p})$  LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.4-1

$$
\phi = 0.9
$$
 for normal weight concrete LRFD Art. 5.5.4.2.1

 $275.78 \text{ kip} > 0.5 \times 0.9 \times (146.49 + 10.73) = 70.75 \text{ kip}$  **O.K.** 

Therefore, the transverse shear reinforcement is required.

# **Area of Shear Reinforcement**

$$
\frac{V_{\rm u}}{\Phi} \le V_{\rm n} = V_{\rm c} + V_{\rm s} + V_{\rm p}
$$
\nLRFD Eq. 5.8.3.3-1

Strength required from shear reinforcement,

$$
V_s = \frac{v_u}{\phi} - V_c - V_p = \frac{275.78}{0.9} - 146.49 - 10.73 = 149.20 \text{ kip}
$$

Shear strength provided by reinforcement;

$$
V_s = \frac{A_v f_{yh} d_v (cot\theta + cot\alpha) sin\alpha}{s}
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.3-4

where,

 $A<sub>v</sub>$  = area of shear reinforcement within a distance of s

 $s =$  spacing of shear reinforcements

 $f_{\text{yh}}$  = specified yield strength of shear reinforcement = 60 ksi

 $\alpha$  = angle of inclination of shear reinforcement to longitudinal axis

 $= 90^{\circ}$  (i.e., vertical shear reinforcement)

Area of shear reinforcement for a spacing s

$$
A_{v} = \frac{v_{s}s}{f_{yh}d_{v}cot\theta} = \frac{149.20 \times s}{60 \times 40.77 \times cot28.65^{\circ}} = 0.033(s) \text{ in.}^{2}
$$

Therefore,  $s = A_v / 0.033$ Select, #4-2 leg stirrups. Thus,  $A_v = 0.4$  in.<sup>2</sup> Spacing,  $s = (0.4)/(0.033) = 12.12$  in.

# **Spacing of Shear Reinforcement**



#### **Use #4 – 2 leg stirrups at 9 in. spacing**



A larger spacing of shear reinforcement could have been specified. However, minimum interface shear reinforcement requirements will necessitate additional steel. (See **Step 8.8**)

Check the adequacy of the section with shear reinforcement;

$$
\frac{V_{\rm u}}{\Phi} \le V_{\rm n} = V_{\rm c} + V_{\rm s} + V_{\rm p}
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.3-1

where,  $\phi = 0.9$  for normal weight concrete LRFD Art. 5.5.4.2.1

 $V_n = 146.49 + 198.99 + 10.73 = 356.21$  kip

$$
\frac{V_{\rm u}}{\Phi} = \frac{275.78}{0.9} = 306.42 \text{ kip} \le V_{\rm n} = 356.21 \text{ kip} \quad \textbf{O.K.}
$$

#### **Minimum Reinforcement Requirement**

The area of shear reinforcement should be more than

$$
0.0316\sqrt{f'_c}\frac{t_w s}{f_{yh}} = 0.0316\sqrt{7.5}\frac{(8)(9)}{60} = 0.104 \text{ in.}^2 < A_v \text{ provided}
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.5-1  
OK.

#### **Step 8.7.5. Maximum Nominal Shear Resistance**

In order to ensure that shear reinforcement yields before web crushing, an upper limit of  $V<sub>n</sub>$ is defined.

Since 
$$
V_n = 0.25f'_{c}t_wd_v + V_p
$$
 and  $V_n = V_c + V_s + V_p$   
\n $V_c + V_s \le 0.25f'_{c}t_wd_v$   
\n $V_c + V_s = 146.49 + 198.99 = 345.48$  kip  
\n $0.25f'_{c}t_wd_v = 0.25 \times 7.5 \times 8 \times 40.77 = 611.55$  kip  
\n $V_c + V_s \le 0.25f'_{c}t_wd_v$   
\nO.K.

The procedure shown in **Step 8.7** is repeated at the end of **Step 8.8** to calculate the changing stirrup spacing along the beam.

#### **Step 8.8. Interface Shear Transfer**

#### **Factored Horizontal Shear LRFD Art. 5.8.4**  $V_{\text{hi}} = \frac{V_{\text{u}}}{d}$  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathsf{v}}$ LRFD Eq. C5.8.4.2-7

where,

 $V_{hi}$  = horizontal factored shear force per unit length of the beam at the strength limit state

 $V<sub>u</sub>$  = factored shear force at a specified section due to superimposed loads

 $d_v$  = distance between tensile and compressive force resultants

 $= 40.77$  in.

The critical section location is the same location where the critical section for vertical shear is defined (i.e.,  $0.04L_{ds}$ ).

Factored shear at critical section  $(0.04L_{ds})$ ,  $V_{u}$ 

$$
= 1.25 (Vg + VD + Vb) + 1.5 (Vws) + 1.75 (VHL-M) + 1.0 (VS)
$$
 LRFD Table 3.4.1.1  
= 1.25 (-34.23 - 32.84 - 6.4) (Strengh I)  
+ 1.5 (-7.9) + 1.75 (-97.8) + 1.0 (-0.94) = -275.78 kip

Therefore, the applied horizontal shear,  $V_{hi} = \frac{V_u}{d_v} = \frac{275.78}{40.77} = 6.76 \frac{kip}{in}$  LRFD Eq. C5.8.4.2-7

# **Required Nominal Resistance**

$$
V_{ni} = \frac{V_{hi}}{\phi} = \frac{6.76}{0.9} = 7.51 \frac{kip}{in.}
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.8.4.1-1

# **Required Interface Shear Reinforcement**

The nominal shear resistance at the interface,  $V_{ni}$ 

$$
= cA_{cv} + \mu [A_{vf} f_{yh} + P_c]
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.8.4.1-3

where,



Solving for Avf

$$
V_{ni} = cA_{cv} + \mu [A_{vf} f_{yh} + P_c]
$$
\n
$$
7.51 = 0.28 \times 49 + 1.0 (A_{vf} \times 60 + 0) \rightarrow A_{vf} = -0.104 in.^2 < 0
$$
\n
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.8.4.1-3
$$

Hence, the resistance provided by cohesion is greater than the stress developed under the applied force, and the minimum required interface reinforcement is provided.

# **Minimum Interface Shear Reinforcement**

Minimum  $A_{\rm vf} \ge (0.05A_{\rm cv})/f_{\rm vh}$  LRFD Eq. 5.8.4.4-1

Vertical shear reinforcement of  $#4 - 2$  leg stirrup (i.e.,  $A_v = 0.4$  in.<sup>2</sup>) at 9-in. spacing is provided from the beam extending into the deck.

Therefore,  $A_{\rm vf} = A_{\rm v} \times 12/s = 0.533$  in.<sup>2</sup>/ft

0.05 Acv/fyh = (0.05× btf∙× 1) /fyh = 0.05(49 × 1)/60 = 0.041 in.2 /in. = 0.492 in.<sup>2</sup> /ft Avf > 0.05 Acv/fyh **O.K**.

#### **Maximum Nominal Shear Resistance**

$$
V_{ni} \le \min (K_1 f^{\prime}{}_{c} A_{cv}; K_2 A_{cv})
$$
\n
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.8.4.1-4\nand Eq. 5.8.4.1-5
$$

where,



 $=1.8$  ksi (for a cast-in-place concrete slab with normal weight concrete)

 $K_1f'_cA_{cv} = 0.3 \times 4 \times 49 = 58.80$  kip/in.

$$
K_2 A_{cv} = 1.8 \times 49 = 88.20 \text{ kip/in.}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{ni, provided} = cA_{cv} + \mu [(A_{vf}/12)f_{yh} + P_c]; \text{ where, } A_{vf} = 0.533 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft}
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.8.4.1-3  
\n
$$
= 0.28 \times 49 + 1.0 [(0.533/12) \times 60 + 0) = 16.39 \text{ kip/in.}
$$

16.39 kip/in. < Min (58.80 kip/in.; 88.20 kip/in.) **O.K.** The shear reinforcement spacing at  $0.1L_{ds}$  along the half beam length are given in **Table 14**. Standard shear details are presented in **Appendix F**.





\* NR: Not required

Since shear reinforcement placement is symmetrical about the midspan, continuous for live load moment and shear are assumed equal at both ends of the beam.

smax is calculated using LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.7-1 and LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.7-2.

#### **Step 8.9. Minimum Longitudinal Reinforcement Requirement**

Longitudinal reinforcement should be proportioned such that the following condition is satified at each section:

$$
A_{\rm ps}f_{\rm ps} + A_{\rm s}f_{\rm y} \ge \frac{M_{\rm u}}{d_{\rm v}\phi_{\rm f}} + 0.5\frac{N_{\rm u}}{\phi_{\rm c}} + \left(\left|\frac{V_{\rm u}}{\phi_{\rm v}} - V_{\rm p}\right| - 0.5V_{\rm s}\right)\cot\theta \qquad \text{LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.5-1}
$$

where,

 $A<sub>s</sub>$  = area of nonprestressed tension reinforcement

 $f_y$  = specified minimum yield strength of reinforcing steel

 $A_{ps}$  = area of prestressing steel at the tension side of the section

 $f_{ps}$  = average stress in prestressing steel at the location for which the nominal resistance is required

 $M_u$  = factored moment at the section corresponding to the factored shear force

 $N_u$  = applied factored axial force

 $V<sub>u</sub>$  = factored shear force at section

 $V_s$  = shear resistance provided by shear reinforcement ( $V_s$  <  $V_u/\phi$ )

 $V_p$  = component of the effective prestressing force in the direction of the applied shear

 $d_v$  = effective shear depth

 $\phi$  = resistance factor as appropriate for moment, shear, and axial resistance

 $\theta$  = angle of inclination of diagonal compressive stresses

#### **Required Reinforcement at Face of Bearing**

For simple supports with  $M_{\text{u}}=0$  kip-ft and  $N_{\text{u}}=0$  kip, the longitudinal reinforcement on the flexural tension side of the beam at the inside edge of the bearing shall satisfy the following:

$$
A_{\rm s}f_{\rm y} + A_{\rm ps}f_{\rm ps} \ge \left(\frac{V_{\rm u}}{\Phi} - 0.5V_{\rm s} - V_{\rm p}\right)\cot\theta
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.5-2

When the bearing size is not yet established, the above equation can be applied at the bearing centerline for conservative results. Consequently, the assumed failure crack for this analysis radiates from the centerline of the bearing (i.e., 9 in. from the end of the beam).

Factored shear force at the bearing centerline,  $V_{\mu}$  $= -278.09$  kip LRFD Table 3.4.1.1 (Strength I)

Shear resistance,  $V_s$ , provided by shear reinforcement with 9 in. stirrup spacing is calculated:

$$
V_s = \frac{A_v f_{yh} d_v \cot \theta}{s} = \frac{0.40 \times 60 \times 40.77 \times \cot 28.65}{9} = 198.99 \text{ kip}
$$
  
where

where

 $\theta$  = 28.65 ° at the centerline of the bearing

*In determining the tensile force that the reinforcement is expected to resist at the inside edge of the bearing area, the values of*  $V_u$ *,*  $V_s$ *,*  $V_p$ *, and*  $\theta$ *, calculated for the section*  $d_v$  *from the face of the support may be used.* LRFD C5.8.3.5

$$
\left(\frac{V_u}{\phi} - 0.5V_s - V_p\right)\cot\theta = \left(\frac{278.09}{0.9} - 0.5 \times 198.99 - 10.73\right)\cot 28.65^\circ = 363.80 \text{ kip}
$$

The assumed crack plane crosses the centroid of the group of 27 straight strands at a distance of 14 in. (i.e.,  $9 + 2.74$  in.  $\times$  cot 28.65°) from the beam end.

The transfer length = 36 in. from the beam end  $(60 \times$  strand diameter). LRFD 5.11.4.1 Five (5) draped strands do not contribute to the tensile capacity since they are not located within the flexural tension zone of the beam.

The available prestress  $(f_{ps})$  from 27 straight strands at 14 in. is a fraction of the effective prestress,  $f_{pe}$ , of 162 ksi (i.e.,  $0.8 \times 0.75f_{pu}$ ).

$$
A_{ps}f_{ps} + A_s f_y = 27 \times 0.217 \times 162 \times \left(\frac{14}{36}\right) + 0
$$
  
= 369.12 kip > 363.80 kip **O.K.**  
LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.5-2

If the bearing width is 9 in., the failure crack will extend from the edge of the bearing, and the assumed crack plane crosses the centroid of the group of 27 straight strands at a distance of 18.37 in. (i.e.,  $9 + 9/2 + 2.74$  in.  $\times$  cot 28.65°) from the beam end.

$$
A_{ps}f_{ps} + A_s f_y = 27 \times 0.217 \times 162 \times \left(\frac{18.37}{36}\right) + 0
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.5-2  
= 484.33 kip > 363.80 kip **O.K.**

#### **Step 8.10. Anchorage Zone Reinforcement** LRFD Art. 5.10.10.1

Design of the anchorage zone reinforcement is based on the force in the strands just prior to transfer.

 $P_{pi}$  = force in the strands prior to transfer

 $= 32 \times 0.217 \times 202.50 = 1,406$  kip

The bursting resistance,  $P_r = f_s A_s$  LRFD Eq. 5.10.10.1 - 1

where,

 $A<sub>s</sub>$  = total area of vertical reinforcement located within a distance of h/4,

from the end of the beam

 $f_s$  = stress in steel  $\leq$  20 ksi

The bursting resistance,  $P_r \geq 0.04 P_{pi}$ 

$$
P_r \ge 0.04
$$
 (1,406) = 56.25 kip

Solving for the required area of steel,  $A_{s,req} = P_r/f_s$ 

 $= 56.25/20 = 2.81$  in.<sup>2</sup>

At least 2.81 in.<sup>2</sup> of vertical transverse reinforcement is required within a distance of  $h/4 = 36/4 = 9$  in.

**Starting at 3 in. from the beam end, use three #4 – 2 leg stirrups at 3 in. spacing.**  BDG 7.11.01

> $A_s = 3 \times 2 \times 0.20$  in.<sup>2</sup> = 1.2 in.<sup>2</sup>  $A_s < A_{\text{area}}$  **NOT O.K.**

In most cases, it is difficult to satisfy the anchorage zone requirement. Therefore, until more clarity is brought to the anchorage zone design (such as the use of strut and tie models), designer should be aware that the time of prestress release is most critical. Specifically, end zone reinforcement that is less than the required steel area is consistently used in actual production without objectionable cracking at the member end. PCI (2011) Section 8.3.1.6.

# **Confinement Reinforcement**

Reinforcement is provided to confine the prestressing steel in the LRFD Art. 5.10.10.2bottom flange for a distance of 1.5d from the beam end. The reinforcement may not be less than #3 deformed bars with spacing not exceeding 6 in. The reinforcement should be of a shape that will confine the strands.

For the bulb-tee, this confining steel shall be provided for a distance of  $1.5h = 1.5 \times 36 = 54$  in.

LRFD Art. 5.10.10.1
#### **Step 8.11. Deflection and Camber**

Please note that camber sign convention is positive when deflections are negative.

Long-term deflection may be taken as the instantaneous deflection LRFD Art. 5.7.3.6.2 *multiplied by a factor 4.0, if the instantaneous deflection is based on gross moment of inertia of the beam.*

However, a factor 4.0 is not appropriate for this type of precast PCI (2011) Ch. 9.4 construction. It is recommended that the designer follow the guidelines of the owner agency for which the bridge is being designed or follow a rigorous, time-dependent analysis.

This example demonstrates MDOT deflection calculation practices.

#### **Step 8.11.1. Deflection due to Static Loads**

Deflection is calculated using the modulus of elasticity of concrete and the gross cross-section properties of the noncomposite precast beam.

Camber due to prestressing force at transfer,  $\Delta_p$ 

$$
\Delta_{\rm p} = \frac{F_{\rm i}(0.098 \mathrm{e}_{\rm pgm} + 0.027 \mathrm{e}_{\rm pge})L_{\rm b}^{2}}{E_{\rm ci}I_{\rm b}}
$$
 Libby (1977)  
Chapter 6-3

where,

 $F_i$  = total prestressing force after transfer = 1,406 kip  $e_{\text{pgm}}$  = eccentricity of prestresssing force at midspan = 15.14 in.  $e_{pge}$  = eccentricity of prestressing force at beam end = 11.23 in.  $L_b$  = beam length at transfer = 85.25 ft  $L_{ds}$  = beam length at erection = 83.75 ft  $E_{ci}$  = modulus of elasticity of beam at transfer = 4,631 ksi  $E_{cb}$  = modulus of elasticity of beam in service = 4,906 ksi  $I<sub>b</sub>$  = moment of inertia of the beam = 145,592 in.<sup>4</sup>

$$
\Delta_{\rm p} = \frac{1406 \times (0.098 \times 15.14 + 0.027 \times 11.23) \times (85.25 \times 12)^2}{4631 \times 145592}
$$
 MDOT (2002)  
= 3.90 in.  $\uparrow$ 

Deflection due to beam weight at transfer,  $\Delta_b$ 

 $\Delta_{\rm b} = \frac{0.104 M_{\rm gr} L_{\rm b}^2}{F_{\rm c} L_{\rm b}}$  $E_{ci}I_b$ where,  $M_{gr}$  = moment due to beam weight = 803.43 kip-ft  $= 9641.16$  kip-in MDOT (2002)  $\Delta_{\rm b}$  =  $\frac{0.104 \times 9641.16 \times (85.25 \times 12)^2}{4631 \times 145592}$  = 1.56 in. ↓

Resultant camber at transfer,  $\Delta_{int} = \Delta_p + (-\Delta_b)$  $= 3.90 - 1.56 = 2.34$  in. ↑

Long term camber due to prestress, ∆Lp

 $\Delta_{\rm Lp} = \Delta_{\rm p} [1.9 + 0.6(I_{\rm b}/I_{\rm c})]$ where,  $I_c$  = moment of inertia of composite section

$$
\Delta_{\text{Lp}} = 3.90 [1.9 + 0.6 \times (145,592/367,259)]
$$
  
= 8.34 in.  $\uparrow$ 

Long term camber due to beam weight, ∆Lb

$$
\Delta_{\text{Lb}} = \Delta_{\text{b}} [2.1 + 0.7(I_{\text{b}}/I_{\text{c}})]
$$
  
= 1.56 [2.1 + 0.7 × (145,592/367,259)]  
= 3.71 in.  $\downarrow$ 

Net ultimate beam camber =  $\Delta_{\text{Lp}} + (-\Delta_{\text{Lb}})$  $= 8.34 - 3.71 = 4.63$  in.  $\uparrow$ 

Long term beam deflection due to slab and haunch weight (ult),  $\Delta$ <sub>s</sub>

$$
\Delta_{\rm s} = \frac{5 \text{wL}_{\rm b}^4}{384 E_{\rm cb} I_{\rm b}} \left[ 1 + \alpha_{\rm s} C_{\rm u} \frac{I_{\rm b}}{I_{\rm c}} \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
\alpha_{\rm s} = 0.60
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{\rm u} = 1.8
$$
  
\n
$$
E_{\rm cb} = 4,906 \text{ ksi}
$$
  
\n
$$
\text{w} = \text{slab and haunch weight} = 0.878 \text{ kip/ft}
$$
  
\n
$$
5 \times \frac{0.878}{3} \times (85.25 \times 12)^4 \text{ r}
$$

$$
\Delta_{\rm s} = \frac{5 \times \frac{0.070}{12} \times (85.25 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 4906 \times 145592} \left[ 1 + 0.60 \times 1.8 \times \frac{145592}{367259} \right]
$$
  
= 2.09 in.

Deflection due to forms and reinforcement weight, ∆fr

$$
\Delta_{\rm fr} = \frac{5 \text{wL}_{\rm b}^4}{384 \text{E}_{\rm cb} \text{I}_{\rm b}}
$$

where,

where, 
$$
w =
$$
 forms and reinforcement weight =  $20 \times (82.75/12) = 137.92$  lb/ft

Weight of forms and reinforcement is assumed to be 20 lb/ft<sup>2</sup> Beam spacing  $= 82.75$  in.

$$
\Delta_{\text{fr}} = \frac{5 \times \frac{0.13792}{12} \times (85.25 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 4906 \times 145592}
$$
  
= 0.23 in.  $\downarrow$ 

Deflection due to barrier and future wearing surface weight,  $\Delta_{\text{bfws}}$ 

 $\Delta_{\text{bfws}}$ = 2.3  $\times$  $5wL_b^4$ 384 $E_{cb}I_c$ where,  $w = w_{bar} + w_{ws} = 0.128 + 0.16 = 0.288$  kip/ft  $w_{bar} = \text{barrier weight} = 0.128 \text{ kip/ft}$  $w_{ws}$  = wearing surface weight = 0.16 kip/ft

$$
\Delta_{\text{bfws}} = 2.3 \times \frac{5 \times \frac{0.288}{12} \times (85.25 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 4906 \times 367259}
$$
  
= 0.44 in.

#### **Step 8.11.2. Deflections due to Live Load and Impact**

Live load deflection limit  $= L_{ds}/800$ 

$$
= 83.75 \times 12/800 = 1.26
$$
 in.

If owner requires the optional live load criteria, the deflection is the greater LRFD Art. 2.5.2.6.2 of: LRFD Art. 3.6.1.3.2

- That resulting from the design truck plus impact,  $\Delta_{LT}$ , or
- That resulting from 25% of the design truck plus impact,  $\Delta_{LT}$ , taken together with the design lane load,  $\Delta_{LL}$ .

The dynamic load allowance must be included in the calculation of live load deflection. LRFD Art. 2.5.2.6.2

All the beams are assumed to deflect equally due to the applied live load. Therefore, the distribution factor for deflection, DFD LRFD Art. 2.5.2.6.2

= Number of lanes/Number of beams

$$
= 2/5 = 0.4
$$
lanes/beam

$$
Deflection = \frac{\text{COEFF}}{I_c} \left(\frac{E_s}{E_c}\right) \left(\frac{No.of \text{ Design Lines}}{No.of \text{ Beams}}\right) \text{ (Multiple Pressure Factor)} \qquad \text{MDOT (2002)}
$$

COEFF for lane load and truck load are derived for steel beams with  $E_s = 29 \times 10^6$  psi. Hence, when the deflection is calculated using the above equation,  $E_s = 29 \times 10^6$  psi should be used.

For lane load, COEFF =  $0.000496L<sup>4</sup>$ where,  $L =$  Span in ft. For truck load, COEFF =  $0.0894$  ( $L^3 - 555L + 4780$ ) OR  $= 0.0794(L^3 - 368L + 2400)$ MDOT (2002)

Multiple presence factor of 1.0 is used for the bridge with 2 design lanes. LRFD Table 3.6.1.1.2- 1

#### **Deflection due to Lane Load**

 $COEFF = 0.000496L^4 = 0.000496 (83.75)^4 = 24,402$ Deflection,  $\Delta_{LL} = \frac{24402}{367259} \left( \frac{29 \times 10^6}{4.906 \times 10^6} \right) \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)$  $_{5}^{-}$ )  $1.0 = 0.16$  in. LRFD Art. 2.5.2.6.2

#### **Deflection due to Design Truck and Impact**

COEFF =  $0.0894$  ( $L^3$  – 555L + 4780) =  $0.0894$  (83.75<sup>3</sup> – 555  $\times$  83.75 + 4780)  $= 48,788$ OR  $= 0.0794$  ( $L^3 - 368L + 2400$ )  $= 0.0794$  (83.75<sup>3</sup>  $-368 \times 83.75 + 2400$ )  $= 44,385$ 

With impact, COEFF = 
$$
48,788 \times 1.33 = 64,888
$$
  
Definition,  $\Delta_{LT} = \frac{64888}{367259} \left( \frac{29 \times 10^6}{4.906 \times 10^6} \right) \left( \frac{2}{5} \right) 1.0 = 0.42$  in.

#### **Live Load Deflection**

Live load deflection = Max (Δ<sub>LT</sub>, 0.25Δ<sub>LT</sub> + Δ<sub>LL</sub>)

\n
$$
= \text{Max} (0.42, 0.25 \times 0.42 + 0.16) = 0.42 \text{ in.}
$$
\n0.42 in. < L<sub>ds</sub>/800 = 1.26 in.

\n0.K.

#### **Step 8.12. Bottom Flange Shortening During Stress Transfer**

Bottom flange shortening = 
$$
\frac{F_i L}{A_b E_{ci}} + \frac{8\Delta_{int}}{L} \left(\frac{I_b}{S_b}\right)
$$

where,

- $F_i$  = total prestressing force at transfer = 1,406 kip
- L = beam length =  $85.25$  ft

 $A_b$  = area of beam = 878.30 in.<sup>2</sup>

 $E_{ci}$  = modulus of elasticity of beam at transfer = 4,631 ksi

 $\Delta_{\text{int}}$  = camber at transfer = 2.34 in.

- $I<sub>b</sub>$  = moment of inertia of the beam = 145,592 in.<sup>4</sup>
- $S_b$  = section modulus for bottom fiber = 8,000 in.<sup>3</sup>

Bottom flange shortening =  $\frac{1406 \times (85.25 \times 12)}{878.30 \times 4631} + \frac{8 \times 2.34}{85.25 \times 12} \left( \frac{145592}{8000} \right) = 0.687$  in.

**Prestressing Design of Box Beam**

#### **OUTLINE**

#### **STEP 1. GEOMETRY**

Step 1.1. Superstructure

#### **STEP 2. MATERIAL PROPERTIES AND STRESS LIMITS**

Step 2.1. Concrete

Step 2.2. Steel and Prestressing Strand

Step 2.3. Stress Limits

#### **STEP 3. SECTION PROPERTIES**

Step 3.1. Noncomposite Section Properties

Step 3.2. Composite Section Properties

Step 3.2.1. Interior Beam

#### **STEP 4. LOADS**

Step 4.1. Dead Loads

Step 4.2. Live Loads

#### **STEP 5. LIVE LOAD DISTRIBUTION FACTORS**

Step 5.1. Interior Beam Live Load Distribution Factors

Step 5.1.1. Distribution Factor for Moment

Step 5.1.2. Distribution Factor for Shear

Step 5.2. Skew Reduction/Correction Factors

Step 5.2.1. Reduction Factor for Live Load Moment

Step 5.3. Moment and Shear Distribution Factors Adjusted for Skew

# **STEP 6. MOMENT AND SHEAR DUE TO DEAD LOADS AND SUPPORT**

#### **SETTLEMENT**

- Step 6.1. Moment and Shear at Prestress Release due to Beam Weight (Simple Span)
- Step 6.2. Moment and Shear in Noncomposite Section (Simple Span)
- Step 6.3. Moment and Shear in Composite Section due to Barrier and FWS Weight Step 6.3.1. Moment and Shear in Composite Section (Simple Span) Step 6.3.2. Moment and Shear in Composite Section (Continuous Span)

Step 6.4. Moment and Shear in Composite Section due to Support Settlement

#### **STEP 7. MOMENT AND SHEAR DUE TO LIVE LOADS**

Step 7.1. Moment and Shear due to Design Truck or Axle Load

- Step 7.2. Moment and Shear due to Design Lane Load
- Step 7.3. Moment and Shear Summary

#### **STEP 8. INTERIOR BEAM PRESTRESS DESIGN**

- Step 8.1. Lump-Sum Prestress Loss Estimation
- Step 8.2. Prestressing Strand Design
	- Step 8.2.1. Bottom Tensile Stress at Midspan
	- Step 8.2.2. Required Number of Strands
	- Step 8.2.3. Strand Arrangement at Midspan
	- Step 8.2.4. Strand Arrangement at Beam End
	- Step 8.2.5. Stress Checks along Beam Length
- Step 8.3. Loss of Prestress
	- Step 8.3.1. Initial Losses at Beam End
	- Step 8.3.2. Losses at Midspan
- Step 8.4. Flexural Design Check for Strength Limit State
	- Step 8.4.1. Ultimate Moment
	- Step 8.4.2. Average Stress in Prestressing Steel
	- Step 8.4.3. Nominal Flexural Resistance
	- Step 8.4.4. Factored Flexural Resistance
	- Step 8.4.5. Maximum Reinforcement
	- Step 8.4.6. Minimum Reinforcement
- Step 8.5. Lifting Stress Check
- Step 8.6. Continuity Connection Check
	- Step 8.6.1. Negative Moment Connection at Strength Limit State
	- Step 8.6.2. Service State Compressive Strength Check at Negative Moment Region
	- Step 8.6.3. Crack Control
	- Step 8.6.4. Positive Moment Connection at Strength Limit State
- Step 8.7. Shear Design
	- Step 8.7.1. Critical Section for Shear
	- Step 8.7.2. Factored Moment and Shear at Critical Location
	- Step 8.7.3. Shear Strength Provided by Concrete
	- Step 8.7.4. Shear Reinforcement Requirements
	- Step 8.7.5. Maximum Nominal Shear Resistance
- Step 8.8. Interface Shear Transfer
- Step 8.9. Minimum Longitudinal Reinforcement Requirement
- Step 8.10. Anchorage Zone Reinforcement
- Step 8.11. Deflection and Camber

Step 8.11.1. Deflection due to Static Loads

Step 8.11.2. Deflection due to Live Load and Impact

Step 8.12. Bottom Flange Shortening During Stress Transfer

## **INTRODUCTION**

Design of a spread box beam of a four span highway bridge is demonstrated in this example.

The purpose of this example is to illustrate the design of a typical interior bridge beam for flexure, shear, and deflection under dead and live loads. The total length of this 25 degree skew, four span, continuous for live load bridge is, 220 ft and 6 in. The bridge is symmetrical with inner two of 55 ft and outer two of  $55$  ft  $-3$  in. spans. Each span consists of twelve box beams spaced at 6 ft  $-3$  in. on centers (**Figure 1**). Cross-sectional dimensions of the beam are shown in **Figure 2**. Beams are designed for composite behavior with a 9-in. thick cast-in-place concrete deck. Superstructure includes one interior concrete diaphragm of  $8$  in.  $\times$  20 in. at the middle of each span.

The design is implemented in accordance with the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) policies documented in the Bridge Design Manual (BDM) and Bridge Design Guides (BDG) as of 04/30/2017. As needed, the stipulations in the AASHTO LRFD *Bridge Design*  Specifications, 7<sup>th</sup> Edition, with 2015 and 2016 interims are considered. Certain material and design parameters are selected to be in compliance with MDOT practices reflected in the Bridge Design System (BDS), the MDOT legacy software.



**Figure 1. Bridge cross-section**



**Figure 2. 21 in. × 36 in. beam cross-section**

### **STEP 1. GEOMETRY**





#### **STEP 2. MATERIAL PROPERTIES AND STRESS LIMITS**

### **Step 2.1. Concrete**





*MDOT modulus of elasticity calculation is different from the AASHTO LRFD 7th edition, 2016*

#### **Step 2.2. Steel and Prestressing Strand**



#### **Step 2.3. Stress Limits**



# **STEP 3. SECTION PROPERTIES**<br>Step 3.1 Noncomposite Section Propositi



#### **Step 3.2. Composite Section Properties**

Haunch thickness varies along the beam length; a uniform thickness of 2 in. is assumed for the design.

#### **Step 3.2.1. Interior Beam**

Effective flange width,  $b_{eff}$  = 6.25 ft LRFD Art. 4.6.2.6.1 Modular ratio between slab and beam concrete,

$$
n = \frac{E_c(\text{slab})}{E_c(\text{beam})} = \frac{E_c}{E_c b} = \frac{3,987}{4,749} = 0.84
$$

Modular ratio between slab and beam concrete, n, is needed to calculate transformed section width of deck slab for composite section properties.





Figure 3 shows the geometry of the transformed interior beam cross-section. A summary



**Table 1. Interior Beam Properties**



#### **STEP 4. LOADS**

#### **Step 4.1. Dead Loads**

Dead loads on the composite structure: LRFD Art. 4.6.2.2.1 *Permanent loads (curbs and future wearing surface) may be distributed uniformly among all beams if the following criteria are met:* • Width of the deck is constant **O.K.** • Number of beams,  $N_b \ge 4$  **O.K.** • The roadway part of the overhang,  $d_e \leq 3.0$  ft.  $d_e = 38$  in.  $-20$  in.  $= 1$  ft  $-6$  in. **O.K.** • Effect of curvature in the plan can be ignored because LRFD Art. 4.6.1.2.4b o Girders are concentric o Bearing lines are not skewed more than 10 degrees from radial o The stiffness of the girders are similar o The arc span divided by the girder radius in feet is less than 0.06 radians. • Cross-section of the bridge is consistent with LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.1-1 **O.K.** The criteria are satisfied and the loads can be distributed equally to all 12 beams. Beam weight,  $w_g$  = 0.486 kip/ft BDG 6.65.02A Cast-in-place concrete deck and haunch weight on interior beam  $=[(b_{\text{eff}} t_s) + (b_{\text{tf}} t_h)]w_c = [(75 \text{ in.} \times 9 \text{ in.}) + (36 \text{ in.} \times 2 \text{ in.})]/12^2 \times 0.145 \text{ kip/ft}^3$  $= 0.752$  kip/ft Future wearing surface weight,  $w_s$  = 0.025 ksf *New bridges and bridge replacements shall be designed for a future wearing surface*  BDM Art. 7.01.04-H *load of 25 LBS/SFT* Barrier or railing weight,  $w_b$  = 0.265 kip/ft BDG 6.29.17 Wearing surface weight on one beam,  $w_{ws}$  $= (0.025 \text{ ks}) (72.0 \text{ ft}) / (12 \text{ beams})$   $= 0.150 \text{ kip/ft}$  per beam where, clear roadway width is 72 ft. Barrier weight on one beam, wbar  $= (2 \text{ barriers}) (0.265 \text{ kip/ft})/(12 \text{ beams}) = 0.044 \text{ kip/ft per beam}$ Concrete diaphragm weight on an interior beam,  $w_{cd}$  $= A_{cd}Sw_c = (160/12^2) \times 6.25 \times 0.145 = 1.007$  kip

There is no utility attached to this bridge, and the deck formwork is removed after construction; thus, their weight is not included in this example.

#### **Step 4.2. Live Loads**

Load modifying factor for ductility, redundancy, and

operational importance,  $η$  = 1.0 *The load modifying factor, η (eta), related to ductility, redundancy, and operational importance, shall be considered for less important roads.* BDM Art. 7.01.04-B Typically 1.0 is used *Vehicular live loading on the roadways of bridges designated HL-93 Mod, shall*  BDM Art. 7.01.04-A *consist of 1.2 times the combination of the:* 

• *Design truck or single 60 kip load* 

• *Design lane load* 

Design truck is shown in **Figure 4**. Design lane load is 0.64 kip/ft. LRFD Art. 3.6.1.2.1



LRFD

Figure 3.6.1.2.2-1

**Figure 4. Characteristics of the design truck**

*When 90% of two design trucks are combined with 90% of the effect of a lane load for*  BDM Art. 7.01.04-A *both negative moment and pier reactions per A.3.6.1.3, a 1.2 multiplier shall be applied to the resulting moment or load. Each design lane under consideration shall be occupied by either the design truck or single 60 kip load, coincident with the lane load, where applicable. The loads shall be assumed to occupy 10.0 ft transversely within a design lane.*

Design truck configuration for continuity design is shown in **Figure 5**. LRFD Art. 3.6.1.3.1





Design truck load is increased by a dynamic allowance factor Dynamic allowance, IM  $= 33\%$ LRFD Table 3.6.2.1-1

#### **STEP 5. LIVE LOAD DISTRIBUTION FACTORS**

The bridge geometry satisfies the conditions stipulated, as described in LRFD Art. 4.6.2.2 Step 4.1. Thus, allows the determination of live load moments and shears LRFD Art. 4.6.2.2.1 using the simplified factor formulas.

Bridge type for precast concrete spread box beams with a cast-in-place concrete deck is (b). LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.1-1

Number of 12 ft wide design lanes = the integer part of the ratio of  $(w/12)$  LRFD Art 3.6.1.1.1 where  $(w)$  is the clear roadway width, in ft, between the curbs.

> From **Figure 1**,  $w = 72$  ft Number of design lanes  $= 72$  ft/12 ft  $= 6$  lanes.

#### **Step 5.1. Interior Beam Live Load Distribution Factor**

*Distribution factor calculations for moment and shear in interior beams include multiple presence factor.* LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.2b-1 LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.3a-1

#### **Step 5.1.1. Distribution Factor for Moment**

For all limit states, except fatigue:

With two or more lanes loaded:

$$
DFM = \left(\frac{S}{6.3}\right)^{0.6} \left(\frac{Sd}{12.0L^2}\right)^{0.125}
$$
 LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.2b-1

where

 $DFM =$  distribution factor for moment in interior beam

 $S = beam spacing, ft$ 

 $L$  (=L<sub>ds</sub>) = beam span, ft

 $d =$  depth of beam, in.

To use DFM equations, the criteria below need to be satisfied:



With two or more lanes loaded:

$$
DFM = \left(\frac{S}{6.3}\right)^{0.6} \left(\frac{Sd}{12.0L^2}\right)^{0.125}
$$
  
=  $\left(\frac{6.25}{6.3}\right)^{0.6} \left(\frac{6.25 \times 21}{12.0 \times (53.167)^2}\right)^{0.125} = 0.497$  lanes/beam

With one design lane loaded:

$$
DFM = \left(\frac{S}{3.0}\right)^{0.35} \left(\frac{Sd}{12.0L^2}\right)^{0.25}
$$
  
=  $\left(\frac{6.25}{3.0}\right)^{0.35} \left(\frac{6.25 \times 21}{12.0 \times (53.167)^2}\right)^{0.25} = 0.322$  lanes/beam

LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.2b-1

Thus, two or more lanes loaded case controls, and

 $DFM<sub>I</sub> = 0.497$  lanes/beam.

Fatigue of the reinforcement need not be checked for prestressed components LRFD Art. 5.5.3.1 *designed for extreme fiber tensile stress under Service III Limit State within the tensile stress limit specified in Table 5.9.4.2.2-1.*

In this example, tensile stresses are maintained below the limits specified in Table 5.9.4.2.2-1 with Service III Limit State. Hence, fatigue will not be considered.

#### **Step 5.1.2. Distribution Factor for Shear**

With two or more lanes loaded:

$$
DFV = \left(\frac{s}{7.4}\right)^{0.8} \left(\frac{d}{12.0 \text{L}}\right)^{0.1}
$$
 Table 4.6.2.2.3a-1

where,

 $DFV =$  distribution factor for shear in interior beam

 $S = beam spacing, ft$ 

 $L$  (=L<sub>ds</sub>) = beam span, ft

 $d =$  depth of beam, in.

Distribution factor for shear is

DFV = 
$$
\left(\frac{6.25}{7.4}\right)^{0.8} \left(\frac{21}{12.0 \times (53.167)}\right)^{0.1}
$$
 = 0.621 lanes/beam

For one design lane loaded:

$$
DFV = \left(\frac{S}{10}\right)^{0.6} \left(\frac{d}{12.0L}\right)^{0.1}
$$
  
=  $\left(\frac{6.25}{10}\right)^{0.6} \left(\frac{21}{12.0 \times (53.167)}\right)^{0.1}$  = 0.536 lanes/beam

LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.3a-1

LRFD

Thus, two or more lanes loaded case controls, and

 $DFV<sub>I</sub> = 0.621$  lanes/beam

#### **Step 5.2. Skew Reduction/Correction Factors**

#### **Step 5.2.1. Reduction Factor for Live Load Moment**

 $R_M = 1.05 - 0.25 \tan \theta \le 1.0$  LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.2e-1

where

 $R_M$  = Reduction factor

If  $\theta$  > 60<sup>o</sup> use  $\theta$  = 60<sup>o</sup>



 $R_M = 1.05 - 0.25 \tan 25^{\circ}$  $= 1.05 - 0.25 \times \tan 25^{\circ} = 0.933 \le 1.0$  **O.K.** 

#### **Step 5.3. Moment and Shear Distribution Factors Adjusted for Skew**

Distribution factor for moment in interior beam,

 $DFM_{IB} = (DFM_I)(R_M)$  $= (0.497)(0.933) = 0.464$  lanes/beam

Distribution factor for shear in interior beam,

 $DFV_{IB} = (DFV_I) = 0.621$  lanes/beam

*Fatigue of the reinforcement need not be checked for fully prestressed components designed with extreme fiber tensile stress under Service III Limit State and tensile stress limit specified in Table 5.9.4.2.2-1.* LRFD Art. 5.5.3.1

In this example, tensile stresses are maintained below the limits specified in Table 5.9.4.2.2- 1 with Service III Limit State. Hence, fatigue is not considered.

#### **STEP 6. MOMENT AND SHEAR DUE TO DEAD LOADS AND SUPPORT SETTLEMENT**

Shear  $(V_x)$  and moment  $(M_x)$  of a simply supported beam with a span (L) under a uniformly distributed load (w) are:

$$
V_x = w(0.5L - x)
$$

$$
M_x = 0.5wx(L - x)
$$

where, x is the distance from the support.

Interior beam moment and shear of this four span continuous for live load structure are calculated and given in **Table 2** and **Table 3**, respectively.

#### **Step 6.1. Moment and Shear at Prestress Release due to Beam Weight (Simple Span)**

Full beam length  $(L_b)$  of 54.625 ft is used at the time of prestress release. Beam self-weight is the only load.

As an example, moment and shear due to a beam weight of 0.486 kip/ft at 6.0458 ft from the beam end are calculated as follows:

$$
M_{gr} = 0.5wx(L - x) = 0.5(0.486)(6.0458)(54.625 - 6.0458) = 71.37 \text{ kip-fit}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{gr} = w(0.5L - x) = (0.486)(0.5 \times 54.625 - 6.0458) = 10.34 \text{ kip}
$$

Moment and shear due to beam self-weight at release are shown in **Table 2** - **Table 3**.

#### **Step 6.2. Moment and Shear in Noncomposite Section (Simple Span)**

In this analysis, span length is now the design span  $(L_{ds})$  of 53.167 ft, distance between bearing centerlines. The self-weight of beam, deck, haunch, and diaphragm loads are applied to the noncomposite, simple span structure.

As an example, moment and shear at 5.3167 ft from support due to beam weight of 0.486 kip/ft and deck and haunch weight of 0.752 kip/ft are calculated as follows:

Moment and shear due to beam self-weight

$$
M_g = 0.5wx (L - x) = 0.5(0.486)(5.3167)(53.167 - 5.3167) = 61.82 \text{ kip-fit}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_g = w(0.5L - x) = (0.486)(0.5 \times 53.167 - 5.3167) = 10.34 \text{ kip}
$$

Moment and shear due to deck and haunch self-weight

$$
M_D = 0.5wx(L - x) = 0.5(0.752)(5.3167)(53.167 - 5.3167) = 95.66 \text{ kip-fit}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_D = w(0.5L - x) = (0.752)(0.5 \times 53.167 - 5.3167) = 15.99 \text{ kip}
$$

The respective moment and shear values are shown in **Table 2** - **Table 3.** 

#### **Step 6.3. Moment and Shear in Composite Section due to Barrier and FWS Weight**

*Continuous for live load prestressed concrete beams shall be designed as simple span beams for all positive dead load and live load moments.*  BDM Art. 7.02.18A-6

Moment and shear due to barrier and future wearing surface (FWS) weight are also calculated for simple and continuous spans.

#### **Step 6.3.1. Moment and Shear in Composite Section (Simple Span)**

Barrier and FWS loads on the composite, simple span structure are applied to calculate the maximum positive moments.

As an example, moment and shear at 5.3167 ft from a support due to a barrier weight of 0.044 kip/ft and a FWS weight of 0.150 kip/ft are calculated as follows:

#### Moment and shear due to barrier weight

 $M_b$  = 0.5wx(L - x) = 0.5(0.044)( 5.3167)(53.167 – 5.3167) = 5.60 kip-ft  $V_b$  = w (0.5L - x) = (0.044)(0.5 × 53.167 – 5.3167) = 0.94 kip

Moment and shear due to FWS weight

$$
M_{ws} = 0.5wx(L - x) = 0.5 (0.150)(5.3167)(53.167 - 5.3167) = 19.08 \text{ kip-fit}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{ws} = w(0.5L - x) = (0.150)(0.5 \times 53.167 - 5.3167) = 3.19 \text{ kip}
$$

The respective simple span moment and shear values are given in **Table 2** - **Table 3.** 

#### **Step 6.3.2. Moment and Shear in Composite Section (Continuous Span)**

The respective continuous span moment and shear values are calculated and shown in **Table 2** - **Table 3.** 

#### **Step 6.4. Moment and Shear in Composite Section due to Support Settlement**

*A negative moment caused by a 1 in. settlement of a substructure unit is imposed when non-spread footings are used. A settlement of 2 in. is imposed when the bridge is on spread footings.* 

The negative moment due to settlement is reduced for creep. A creep factor,  $\phi_{cr}$ , of 0.368 is used. PCI (1978)

Moment and shear due to settlement at abutments and intermediate supports are evaluated to identify the controlling case. In this example, 1 in. settlement at the middle pier develops the greatest moment and shear along the span. Interior beam resultant moment and shear values are multiplied by  $\phi_{cr}$  as shown in **Figure 6b** and **c**. The moment and shear values are also presented in **Table 2** - **Table 3**.



(c) Shear due to support settlement adjusted for creep  $(V_s)$ **Figure 6. Moment and shear of an interior beam due to a 1 in. settlement at the middle pier**

#### **STEP 7. MOMENT AND SHEAR DUE TO LIVE LOADS**

Please refer to *Bulb-tee Beam Design Example* for truck and axle load moment and shear calculation procedures.

#### **Step 7.1. Moment and Shear due to Design Truck or Axle Load**

For all limit states except fatigue:

The interior beam moment due to truck  $(M<sub>LT</sub>)$  or axle load  $(M<sub>LA</sub>)$ , and the interior beam shear due to truck  $(V_{LT})$  or axle load  $(V_{LA})$  are expressed as follows:



 $=$  (shear force per lane)(0.991) kip

MLT, MLA, VLT, and VLA at selected sections for an interior beam are given in **Appendix D**.

#### **Step 7.2. Moment and Shear due to Design Lane Load**

Please refer to *Bulb-tee Beam Design Example* for lane load moment and shear calculation procedures.

The interior beam moment and shear due to lane load are as follows:

 $M_{LL}$  = (moment per lane)(factor for HL-93 Mod)(DFM<sub>IB</sub>)

 $=$  (moment per lane)(1.2)(0.464) kip-ft

 $=$  (moment per lane)(0.557) kip-ft

 $V_{LL}$  = (lane load shear force)(factor for HL-93 Mod)(DFV<sub>IB</sub>)

- $=$  (lane load shear force)(1.2)(0.621) kip
- $=$  (lane load shear force)(0.745) kip

MLL and VLL at selected sections of an interior beam are given in **Appendix D**.

#### **Step 7.3. Moment and Shear Summary**

Unfactored moment and shear of interior beam are given in **Table 2** and **Table 3**, respectively.

Simple span service and strength limit state moment and shear values of interior beam are given in **Table 4** and **Table 5**. Refer to *Bulb-tee Beam Design Example* for the calculation procedure of combined loads.



#### **Table 2. Unfactored Moment in Interior Beam (kip-ft)**

 $L_{ds}$  – Design span

\* Transfer location is at 36 in.  $(= 60 \times$  Strand diameter) from beam end.

\*\* 60 kip axle load moment is higher than HS-20 design truck load moment.

+ 60 kip axle load is not included in continuous span analysis.

Note: The critical section for shear is not shown since it is yet to be determined. See **Step 8.7** for shear calculation details.

Location	At release	For service and strength limit state checks									
		<b>Noncomposite section</b> (simple span)			<b>Composite section</b>						
					Simple span			Continuous span <sup>+</sup>			
	Beam, $\rm V_{\rm gr}$	Beam, $V_g$	Deck and haunch, V <sub>D</sub>	Concrete Diaphragm, $V_{cd}$	Barrier, $V_{b}$	Future wearing surface, $V_{ws}$	$HL - 93$ Mod with impact, $V_{HL-M}$	Settlement, $V_{\rm s}$	Barrier, $V_{b}$	Future wearing surface, $V_{ws}$	$HL - 93$ Mod with impact, $V_{HL-M}$
Pier 1 CL								4.29	1.30	4.42	66.82
Beam end	13.27							4.29	1.29	4.40	66.54
CL of bearing	12.92	12.92	19.99	0.51	1.17	4.00	72.14	4.29	1.26	4.29	65.42
Transfer location <sup>®</sup>	11.82	11.82	18.28	0.51	1.07	3.65	68.55	4.29	1.16	3.95	61.93
$0.10 \times L_{ds}$	10.34	10.34	15.99	0.51	0.94	3.19	63.79	4.29	1.02	3.49	57.24
$0.20 \times L_{ds}$	7.75	7.75	11.99	0.51	0.70	2.39	55.69	4.29	0.79	2.69	49.14
$0.30 \times L_{ds}$	5.17	5.17	8.00	0.51	0.47	1.60	47.84	4.29	0.56	1.89	41.12
$0.40 \times L_{ds}$	2.58	2.58	4.00	0.51	0.23	0.80	40.24	4.29	0.32	1.10	33.32
$0.50 \times L_{ds}$ (Midspan)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.00	0.00	32.90	4.29	0.09	0.30	25.59
$0.60 \times L_{ds}$	$-2.58$	$-2.58$	$-4.00$	$-0.51$	$-0.23$	$-0.80$	$-40.24$	4.29	$-0.15$	$-0.50$	18.82
$0.70 \times L_{ds}$	$-5.17$	$-5.17$	$-8.00$	$-0.51$	$-0.47$	$-1.60$	$-47.84$	4.29	$-0.38$	$-1.30$	12.32
$0.80 \times L_{ds}$	$-7.75$	$-7.75$	$-11.99$	$-0.51$	$-0.70$	$-2.39$	$-55.69$	4.29	$-0.61$	$-2.09$	6.53
$0.90 \times L_{ds}$	$-10.34$	$-10.34$	$-15.99$	$-0.51$	$-0.94$	$-3.19$	$-63.79$	4.29	$-0.85$	$-2.89$	1.48
Transfer location <sup>*</sup>	$-11.82$	$-11.82$	$-18.28$	$-0.51$	$-1.07$	$-3.65$	$-68.55$	4.29	$-0.98$	$-3.35$	$-1.07$
CL of bearing	$-12.92$	$-12.92$	$-19.99$	$-0.51$	$-1.17$	$-4.00$	$-72.14$	4.29	$-1.08$	$-3.69$	$-2.97$
Beam end	$-13.27$							4.29	$-1.11$	$-3.80$	$-3.58$
Pier 2 CL								4.29	$-1.12$	$-3.83$	$-3.73$

**Table 3. Unfactored Shear in Interior Beam (kip)**

 $L_{ds}$  – Design span

\* Transfer location is at 36 in.  $(= 60 \times$  Strand diameter) from beam end.

\*\* 60 kip axle load shear is higher than HS-20 design truck load shear.

+ 60 kip axle load is not included in continuous span analysis.

Note: The critical section for shear is not shown since it is yet to be determined. See **Step 8.7** for shear calculation details.

#### **Table 4. Factored Moment in Interior Beam (kip-ft) Table 5. Factored Shear in Interior Beam (kip)**



The critical section for shear is not shown since it is yet to be determined. See **Step 8.7** for shear calculation details.

#### **STEP 8. INTERIOR BEAM PRESTRESS DESIGN**

This example demonstrates the design of an interior beam. The required number of strands is usually governed by concrete tensile stresses at the bottom fiber for Service III Limit State load combination at the section of maximum moment (midspan). The required number of strands is calculated for the midspan.

#### **Step 8.1. Lump Sum Prestress Loss Estimation**



Prestress losses range from 15% to 25%. An initial lump sum loss of 20% Naaman (2012) is assumed. The loss assumption will be reviewed upon calculating detailed losses following the strand design.

The ratio of effective stress to stress in prestressing steel after losses but

prior to transfer,  $\eta$  = 0.80

Effective stress in prestressing steel after losses,  $f_{pe} = \eta f_{pi} = 162.0$  ksi

#### **Step 8.2. Prestressing Strand Design**

#### **Step 8.2.1. Bottom Tensile Stress at Midspan**

Bottom tensile stress due to applied dead and live loads using a Service III Limit State load combination

$$
f_{b} = \frac{(M_{g} + M_{D} + M_{cd})}{S_{b}} + \frac{(M_{b} + M_{ws} + 0.8M_{HL-M})}{S_{bc}}
$$

where,

 $f<sub>b</sub>$  = concrete tensile stress due to applied loads at bottom fiber of the beam (ksi)

 $M_g$  = moment due to beam weight (kip-in)

 $M_D$  = moment due to deck and haunch weight (kip-in)

 $M_{cd}$  = moment due to concrete diaphragm weight (kip-in)

 $S_b$  = section modulus of a noncomposite beam for bottom fiber (in.<sup>3</sup>)

 $M_b$  = moment due to barrier weight (kip-in)

 $M_{ws}$  = moment due to future wearing surface (kip-in)

 $M_{HL-M}$  = moment due to HL-93 Mod live load (kip-in)

 $S_{bc}$  = section modulus for bottom fiber of the composite beam (in.<sup>3</sup>)

Using moments from **Table 2**, bottom tensile stress due to applied loads at LRFD midspan are calculated as follows: Table 5.9.4.2.2-1

$$
f_b = -\frac{(171.49 + 265.34 + 13.42) \times 12}{2360}
$$

$$
-\frac{(15.53 + 52.93 + 0.8 \times 695.51) \times 12}{5207}
$$

$$
= -3.73 \text{ ksi}
$$

#### **Step 8.2.2. Required Number of Strands**

Allowable tensile stress for concrete with bonded steel and subjected to not worse than moderate corrosion condition, LRFD Table 5.9.4.2.2-1

$$
\bar{f}_{ts} = -0.19\sqrt{f'_c} = -0.19\sqrt{6.8} = -0.495
$$
ksi

The required precompressive stress at the bottom fiber of the beam is the difference between allowable tensile stress for concrete and bottom

tensile stress due to applied loads:

$$
f_{\rm pb} = \bar{f}_{\rm ts} - f_{\rm b} = -0.495 - (-3.730) = 3.235 \,\text{ksi}
$$

The strand center of gravity location, measured from the bottom of the beam, is 5% to 15% of the beam depth. As an initial assumption, location is assumed as 10% of beam depth due to the shallow profile,

$$
e_{pg} = y_b - 0.10h = 10.40 - 0.10 \times 21 = 8.30
$$
 in.

The required precompressive stress at the bottom fiber  $(f_{pb})$  due to total prestressing force after all losses, Fe:

$$
f_{pb} = \frac{F_e}{A_b} + \frac{F_e e_{pg}}{S_b}
$$
  
3.235 =  $\frac{F_e}{467} + \frac{F_e \times 8.30}{2360}$   
3.235 =  $\left(\frac{1}{467} + \frac{8.30}{2360}\right) \times F_e$ 

Solving for Fe,

The required F<sub>e</sub> = 571.73 kip  
The prestressing force per strand = 
$$
A_{ps}f_{pe}
$$
  
= 0.217 × 162 = 35.15 kip  
The required number of strands = 571.73/35.15 = 16.27  $\approx$  17 (rounded up)

An iterative procedure is implemented to arrive at a satisfactory strand configuration and associated eccentricity. Seventeen (17) strands with an eccentricity of 8.30 in. is the initial trial. As eccentricity decreases, the required number of strands will increase. After the iterative process, 20 strands with an eccentricity of 7.60 in. are calculated. See **Step 8.2.3** for strand eccentricity (e<sub>pg</sub>) calculation.

> $F_e = \eta F_i$  = prestressing force after all losses (kip)  $F_i$  = prestressing force at release (kip)

Therefore,

 $F_e = 20 \times 35.15 = 703.00$  kip  $F_i = F_e/\eta = 703.00/0.8 = 878.75$  kip

#### **Step 8.2.3. Strand Arrangement at Midspan**

Strand arrangement at midspan is shown in **Figure 7**. Based on the arrangement, distance between center of gravity of the strands and the bottom fiber of the beam at midspan (ybs) is calculated.



**Figure 7. Strand arrangement at midspan**

#### **Step 8.2.4. Strand Arrangement at Beam End**

Beam end stresses need to be checked only at transfer because this stage almost always controls the design. Also, losses with time will reduce the concrete stresses.

Transfer length =  $60 \times (Strand dia.) = 60 \times 0.6 = 36$  in. LRFD Art. 5.11.4

As shown below, the beam top fiber tensile stress  $(f_{top})$  at the transfer location is calculated using moments from **Table 2**. Since beam end strand pattern is yet to be designed, the beam stresses at transfer length are checked with the strand pattern at midspan. LRFD Table 5.9.4.1.2-1

$$
f_{top} = \frac{F_i}{A_b} - \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_t} + \frac{M_{gr}}{S_t}
$$
  
\n
$$
f_{top} = \frac{878.75}{467} - \frac{878.75 \times 7.60}{2320} + \frac{37.40 \times 12}{2320} = -0.80 \text{ ksi}
$$
  
\nAllowable concrete tensile stress at release,  
\n
$$
\bar{f}_{ti} = 0.24 \sqrt{f'_{ci}} = 0.24 \sqrt{6.4} = -0.607
$$
  
\n
$$
|f_{top}| > |\bar{f}_{ti}|
$$
 NOT O.K.

As shown below, the beam bottom fiber compression stress (f<sub>bottom</sub>) at the transfer location is calculated using moments from **Table 2**. LRFD Art. 5.9.4.1.1

$$
f_{bottom} = \frac{F_i}{A_b} + \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_b} - \frac{M_{gr}}{S_b}
$$



The top and the bottom stresses exceed stress limits at the transfer location. Stresses need to be reduced by debonding a selected number of strands or adding strands to beam top flange (cut strands). For debonding, the criteria given in **Table 6** needs to be satisfied.



Please refer to **Appendix E** for the process and a comprehensive design procedure for strand debonding in order to satisfy the stress limits.

The longitudinal strand profile along the span is designed. **Figure 8** and **Figure 9** shows the strand profile at release and in service, respectively.



**Figure 8. Longitudinal strand profile along half span of the beam at release**



**Figure 9. Longitudinal strand profile along half span of the beam in service**

Strand arrangement at beam end is shown in **Figure 10**.



**Figure 10. Strand arrangement at the end of beam**

#### **Step 8.2.5. Stress Checks along Beam Length**

Stress checks are performed along the beam to evaluate the adequacy of prestressing force after debonding. Four groups of prestressing strands are defined in **Figure 8** and **Figure 9**. **Figure 11** and **Figure 12** show prestressing force in each strand group at release and in service. **Table 7** shows prestressing force and eccentricity at release and in service.











Stress checks are performed at multiple locations along the beam and the results are shown in **Table 8**.

<b>Location</b>		Stress at release (ksi)	Stress in service (ksi)			
		<b>Bottom</b>	Top	<b>Bottom</b>	<b>Condition</b>	
	Top		Service I Limit State	Service III Limit <b>State</b>		
			Permanent and transient loads Permanent loads			
			(DL and LL with impact)	(DL only)		
Beam end	0.00	0.00				O.K.
CL of bearing	0.06	0.94	0.01	0.01	0.79	O.K.
Transfer length	0.24	3.87	0.58	0.43	2.66	O.K.
$0.10 \times L_{ds}$	0.36	3.82	1.21	0.86	2.01	O.K.
$0.20 \times L_{ds}$	0.61	3.88	2.15	1.53	0.62	O.K.
$0.30 \times L_{ds}$	0.79	3.70	2.04	1.22	0.65	O.K.
$0.40 \times L_{ds}$	0.90	3.60	2.46	1.52	0.20	O.K.
Midspan	0.93	3.56	2.60	1.63	0.04	O.K.

**Table 8. Stress Checks along the Half Beam Length**

 $-$  Tension  $+$  Compression

*Stress in strands is assumed to vary linearly along the transfer length for service limit state as per LRFD Art. 5.11.4.1.* 

The last column in the above table shows if stress limits are satisfied.

#### **Step 8.3. Loss of Prestress**

#### **Step 8.3.1. Initial Losses at Beam End**

Before strand release, two short-term losses occur: relaxation and elastic shortening

#### **Relaxation Loss**

*Note: The equation for*  $\Delta f_{pRh}$  *is no longer in the AASHTO LRFD 7<sup>th</sup> edition, 2016.* 

$$
\Delta f_{pR\text{.}bt} = \frac{\log(24.0t)}{40.0} \left[ \frac{f_{pj}}{f_{py}} - 0.55 \right] f_{pj} \quad \text{MDOT (2002)}
$$

where,

 $\Delta f_{pR\,bt}$  = relaxation loss before transfer, ksi

 $t =$  duration of transfer, days

 $f_{\text{pi}} = f_{\text{pi}} + \Delta f_{\text{pR\_bt}} = \text{initial stress in strands, ksi}$ 

*The tendon stress limits are specified in Table 5.9.3-1* LRFD Art .5.9.3

- $f_{\text{pi}}$  = stress in strands prior to transfer = 0.75 $f_{\text{pu}}$  = 202.50 ksi LRFD Table 5.9.3-1
	- $f_{\text{pu}}$  = ultimate tensile strength of prestressing strands = 270 ksi BDM Art. 7.02.18.A1

$$
f_{py}
$$
 = yield strength of prestressing strands = 0.9 $f_{pu}$  = 243 ksi LRFD Table 5.4.4.1-1

The relaxation losses are controlled by the fabrication schedule.

#### Assumption:

 $\Delta f_{pR \, bt1}$  = initial relaxation loss at one day (t = 1 day) = 2 ksi

$$
f_{pj} = f_{pi} + \Delta f_{pR_b} = 202.50 + 2 = 204.50 \text{ ksi}
$$

$$
\Delta f_{pR_b} = \frac{\log(24.0 \times 1)}{40.0} \left[ \frac{204.50}{243} - 0.55 \right] 204.50 = 2.06 \text{ ksi}
$$

The difference between assumed initial loss ( $\Delta f_{pR_b}$  bt) and the second iteration ( $\Delta f_{pR_b}$  bt) is small. Hence,  $\Delta f_{pR_b}$  is used without performing further iterations.

$$
\frac{|\Delta f_{\rm pR\_bt1} - \Delta f_{\rm pR\_bt2}|}{\Delta f_{\rm pR\_bt1}} \times 100 = 3\% \Rightarrow \Delta f_{\rm pR\_bt} = \Delta f_{\rm pR\_bt2}
$$

#### **Elastic Shortening Loss**

$$
\Delta f_{\text{pES}} = \frac{E_{\text{p}}}{E_{\text{ci}}} f_{\text{cgp}}
$$
\nLRFD Art. 5.9.5.2.3a\nLRFD Eq. 5.9.5.2.3a-1

where,

 $\Delta f_{\text{pES}}$  = elastic shortening loss, ksi

 $E_p$  = modulus of elasticity of prestressing steel = 28,500 ksi

 $E_{ci}$  = modulus of elasticity of beam at transfer = 4,631 ksi

 $f_{\text{cgp}}$  = the sum of concrete stress at the center of gravity of

prestressing strands due to prestressing force and the selfweight of the beam

$$
= \frac{P_i}{A_b} + \frac{P_i e_{end}^2}{I_b} - \frac{M_{gr} e_{end}}{I_b}
$$

where:

 $P_i$  = prestress force at transfer

Relaxation loss  $(\Delta f_{pR_b}t)$  calculated in **Step 8.3.1** is a time dependent loss of prestress when a tendon is held at a constant strain. Since the stress in strands prior to transfer is 202.50 ksi (i.e., 0.75f<sub>pu</sub>), and  $\Delta_{fpR\_bt}$  is 2.06 ksi, strands are stressed to 204.56 ksi. At the time the strands are cut, the relaxation losses would take place and the remaining stress in strands would be 202.50 ksi.

Elastic shortening loss takes place with beam shortening when the strands are cut. With this loss, the stress in strands at transfer will be below  $0.75f_{\text{pu}}$ .

*Since, elastic shortening losses are not known, AASHTO LRFD suggests assuming a 10% loss (i.e., 0.75 × 0.9 = 0.68).*  LRFD C5.9.5.2.3a

Hence,  $0.7f_{\text{pu}}$  is assumed for initial stress at transfer.

 $P_i$  = (area of strand)  $\times$  (prestress stress at transfer)

 $= A_{ps} \times$  Number of strands  $\times$  0.70f<sub>pu</sub>

 $= 0.217 \times 22 \times 189 = 902.29$  kip

(2 out of 24 strands are debonded at the beam end)

 $e_{end}$  = eccentricity of strands at end of beam

Thus, e<sub>end</sub> is calculated at a distance of 36 in. from beam end.

Distance from beam end to bearing centerline  $= 8.75$  in. Distance to centroid of strands from the beam bottom at 36 in.

$$
= (12 \times 2 + 6 \times 4 + 4 \times 18.50)/22
$$
  
= 5.55 in.  
e<sub>end</sub> = y<sub>b</sub> - 5.55= 10.40 - 5.55  
= 4.85 in.  
A<sub>b</sub> = area of beam = 467 in.<sup>2</sup>

 $I<sub>b</sub>$  = moment of inertia of beam = 24,600 in.<sup>4</sup>

Moment at 36 in. from the beam end at release due to beam self-weight

 $= 37.40$  kip-ft  $= 448.80$  kip-in

$$
f_{\rm cgp} = \frac{902.29}{467} + \frac{902.29 \times 4.85^2}{24600} - \frac{448.80 \times 4.85}{24600} = 2.71 \,\text{ksi}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta f_{\rm pES} = \frac{E_{\rm p}}{E_{\rm ci}} f_{\rm cgp} = \frac{28500}{4655} \times 2.71 = 16.59 \,\text{ksi}
$$
\n
$$
\text{LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.2.3a-1}
$$

Elastic shortening reduces the prestressing force, which in turn reduces elastic shortening. This effect is evaluated through an iterative process.



With four iterations, the solution converges. Thus,  $\Delta f_{\text{pES}} = 16.31$  ksi

Initial losses at beam end =  $\Delta f_{\text{DES}} + \Delta f_{\text{D}R_{\text{b}t}}$ 

$$
= 16.31 + 2.06 = 18.37
$$
ksi

#### **Step 8.3.2. Losses at Midspan**

$$
\Delta f_{\text{pT}} = \Delta f_{\text{pES}} + \Delta f_{\text{pLT}}
$$
\nLRFD Art. 5.9.5.1\nLRFD Eq. 5.9.5.1-1

where,

 $\Delta f_{\text{pT}}$  = total losses, ksi

- $\Delta f_{\text{PES}}$  = sum of all losses or gains due to elastic shortening or extension at the time of application of prestress and/or external loads, ksi
- $\Delta f_{pLT}$  = losses due to long-term shrinkage and creep of concrete, and relaxation of steel, ksi

#### **Elastic Shortening Losses**

$$
\Delta f_{\rm pES} = \frac{E_{\rm p}}{E_{\rm ci}} f_{\rm cgp}
$$
 \tLRFD Eq. 5.9.5.2.3a-1

where,

 $\Delta f_{\rm pES}$  = elastic shortening loss, ksi

 $E_p$  = modulus of elasticity of prestressing steel = 28,500 ksi

 $E_{ci}$  = modulus of elasticity of beam at transfer = 4,655 ksi

 $f_{cgp}$  = the sum of concrete stress at the center of gravity of prestressing strands due to the prestressing force at transfer and the self-weight of the beam at maximum moment location

$$
= \frac{P_i}{A_b} + \frac{P_i e_{pg}^2}{I_b} - \frac{M_{gr} e_{pg}}{I_b}
$$

 $e_{pg}$  = eccentricity of strands at midspan at transfer = 4.98 in.

 $M_{gr}$  = moment due to beam weight at release at midspan =181.27 kip-ft

 $A_b$  = area of beam = 467 in.<sup>2</sup>

 $I<sub>b</sub>$  = moment of inertia of beam = 24,600 in.<sup>4</sup>

*Since, elastic shortening losses are not known, AASHTO LRFD suggests assuming a 10% loss (i.e., 0.75 × 0.9 = 0.68).*  LRFD C5.9.5.2.3a

Hence,  $0.7f_{\text{pu}}$  is used as the initial assumption for the stress at transfer.

 $P_i$  = prestressing force at transfer =  $A_{ps} \times$  Number of strands  $\times$  0.70f<sub>pu</sub>

 $= 0.217 \times 24 \times 189 = 984.31$  kip

(24 strands include the top strands)

$$
f_{\rm cgp_1} = \frac{984.31}{467} + \frac{984.31 \times 4.98^2}{24600} - \frac{181.27 \times 12 \times 4.98}{24600}
$$
  
= 2.66 ksi

Initial elastic losses,  $\Delta f_{\text{pES}_1} = \frac{28500}{4655} \times 2.66 = 16.29 \text{ ksi}$  LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.2.3a-1

1<sup>st</sup> iteration:

$$
P_i = \text{force at transfer} = A_{ps} \times \text{Number of strands} \times (f_{pi} - \Delta f_{pES\_1})
$$
  
= 0.217 × 24 × (202.50 - 16.29) = 969.78 kip  

$$
f_{cgp_2} = \frac{969.78}{467} + \frac{969.78 × 4.98^2}{24600} - \frac{181.27 × 12 × 4.98}{24600}
$$
  
= 2.61 ksi  

$$
\Delta f_{pES\_2} = \frac{28500}{4655} \times 2.61 = 15.98 \text{ ksi}
$$
  

$$
2^{nd} \text{ iteration:}
$$
  

$$
P_i = \text{force at transfer} = A_{ps} \times \text{Number of strands} \times (f_{pi} - \Delta f_{pES\_2})
$$

 $= 0.217 \times 24 \times (202.50 - 15.98) = 971.40$  kip
$$
f_{cgp_3} = \frac{971.40}{467} + \frac{971.40 \times 4.98^2}{24600} - \frac{181.27 \times 12 \times 4.98}{24600}
$$
  
= 2.62 ksi  

$$
\Delta f_{pES,3} = \frac{28500}{4655} \times 2.62 = 16.04 ksi
$$

Iterations converge to losses as shown below;



Elastic shortening losses:

$$
\Delta f_{pES} = 16.04
$$
ksi

# **Time-Dependent Losses (Approximate Estimate)**

The long-term prestress loss,  $\Delta f_{PLT}$ , due to creep of concrete, shrinkage of concrete, and relaxation of steel shall be estimated using the following equation:

$$
\Delta f_{PLT} = 10.0 \frac{f_{pi} A_{ps}}{A_b} \gamma_h \gamma_{st} + 12.0 \gamma_h \gamma_{st} + \Delta f_{PR}
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.3-1

where,

fpi = stress in prestressing steel immediately prior to transfer = 202.50 ksi Aps = Apst = total area of prestressing strands = 0.217 × 24 = 5.208 in.2 Ab = area of beam= 467 in.2 H = relative humidity = 75% (Michigan climate) LRFD Fig.5.4.2.3.3-1 γh = correction factor for relative humidity of the ambient air = 1.7 – 0.01H = 1.7 – 0.01 × 75 = 0.95 LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.3-2

 $\gamma_{\rm st}$  = correction factor for specified concrete strength at time of LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.3-3 prestress transfer

$$
=\frac{5}{1+f'_{ci}}=\frac{5}{1+6.4}=0.68
$$

 $\Delta f_{pR}$  = relaxation loss = 2.40 ksi LRFD Art. 5.9.5.3

Therefore,

$$
\Delta f_{\rm PLT} = 10.0 \frac{202.50 \times 5.208}{467} \times 0.95 \times 0.68 + 12.0 \times 0.95 \times 0.68 + 2.40
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta f_{\rm PLT} = 24.74 \text{ ksi}
$$

Total losses at midspan

$$
\Delta f_{pT} = \Delta f_{pES} + \Delta f_{pLT}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta f_{pT} = 16.04 + 24.74 = 40.78
$$
ksi

Ratio of effective prestress after losses to stress prior to transfer, η

$$
= \frac{f_{\rm pi} - \Delta f_{\rm pT}}{f_{\rm pi}} = \frac{202.50 - 40.78}{202.50} = 0.80
$$

The losses calculated with the approximate estimate are 20%, and is equal to the lump sum estimate. Hence, the stress limit check will not be repeated.

#### **Step 8.4. Flexural Design Check for Strength Limit State**

#### **Step 8.4.1. Ultimate Moment**



The ultimate moment at midspan is calculated from moments given in **Table 3**.

$$
M_u = 1.25(M_g + M_D + M_{cd} + M_b) + 1.5(M_{ws}) + 1.75(M_{HL-M})
$$
  
= 1.25(171.49 + 265.34 + 13.42 + 15.53) + 1.5(52.93) + 1.75(695.51)  
= 1878.76 kip-fit

#### **Step 8.4.2. Average Stress in Prestressing Steel**

Average stress in prestressing steel when  $f_{pe} \ge 0.5f_{pu}$  LRFD Art. 5.7.3.1.1

$$
f_{ps} = f_{pu} \left( 1 - k \frac{c}{d_p} \right)
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.1.1-1

where,

 $f_{\text{pu}}$  = specified tensile strength of prestressing steel = 270 ksi

$$
k = 2 \left( 1.04 - \frac{f_{py}}{f_{pu}} \right)
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.1.1-2  
LRFD Table C5.7.3.1.1-1

 $= 0.28$  for low relaxation strands

 $d_p$  = distance from extreme compressive fiber to centroid of prestressing strands

 $= h_c - y_{bs} = 32 - 2.8 = 29.2$  in.

 $c =$  distance between the neutral axis and extreme compressive fiber for a rectangular section

$$
= \frac{A_{ps}f_{pu} + A_{s}f_{s} - A'_{s}f'_{s}}{0.85f'_{c}\beta_{1}b_{eff} + kA_{ps}\frac{f_{pu}}{d_{p}}}
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.1.1-4

 $A_{ps} = A_{pst}$  = total area of prestressing steel = 20 × 0.217 = 4.34 in.<sup>2</sup>

 $A_s$  = area of mild steel tension reinforcement = 0 in.<sup>2</sup>

 $A<sub>s</sub>$  = area of mild steel compression reinforcement = 0 in.<sup>2</sup>

 $f_c$  = compressive strength of deck concrete = 4.0 ksi

- $f_s$  = stress in mild steel tension reinforcement at nominal flexural resistance, ksi
- $f<sub>s</sub>$  = stress in mild steel compression reinforcement at nominal flexural resistance, ksi

 $b_{\text{eff}}$  = effective width of compression flange = 6.25 ft = 75 in.

 $\beta_1$  = stress factor of compression block = 0.85 LRFD Art. 5.7.2.2

Therefore,

$$
c = \frac{4.34 \times 270 + 0 - 0}{0.85(4.0)(0.85)(75) + (0.28)(4.34)\left(\frac{270}{29.2}\right)} = 5.14 \text{ in.}
$$

Since  $c < t_s = 9$  in., rectangular section assumption is valid.

The beam is tension controlled if  $c/d_p \le 0.375$ 

$$
\frac{c}{d_p} = \frac{5.14}{29.2} = 0.176 < 0.375 \text{ O.K.}
$$

Depth of the equivalent stress block, a  $= \beta_1 c = 0.85 \times (5.14) = 4.37$  in.

The average stress in prestressing steel,  $(f_{ps})$ 5.14  $\left(\frac{1}{29.2}\right)$  = 256.69 ksi

# **Step 8.4.3. Nominal Flexural Resistance** LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.2.2-1

Nominal flexural resistance,  $M_n$ 

$$
= A_{ps}f_{ps} (d_p - \frac{a}{2}) + A_s f_s (d_s - \frac{a}{2}) - A_s f_s (d_s - \frac{a}{2})
$$
  
= 4.34 × 256.69 × (29.2 -  $\frac{4.37}{2}$ ) + 0 - 0  
= 30095.64 kip - in. = 2507.97 kip - ft

*Deck reinforcement can be included in nominal flexural resistance calculation if amounts are known. In most cases, the deck reinforcement constribution is very small and can be omitted.* 

# **Step 8.4.4. Factored Flexural Resistance**



# **Step 8.4.5. Maximum Reinforcement** LRFD Art. 5.7.3.3.1 and Art. 5.5.4.2.1

The check for maximum reinforcement limits was discontinued by AASHTO in 2005. Adequate ductility is ensured with a tension-controlled design of the beam (See **Step 8.4.2**). When beam flexural resistance is not tension-controlled, the resistance factor for the Strength Limits State I is decreased.

# **Step 8.4.6. Minimum Reinforcement** LRFD Art. 5.7.3.3.2

*At any section, the amount of prestressed and nonprestressed tensile reinforcement should be adequate to develop a factored flexural resistance, Mr, equal to the lesser of:*

- *1.2 times the cracking strength determined on the basis of elastic stress distribution and the modulus of rupture, and,*
- *1.33 times the factored moment required by the applicable strength load combination.*

LRFD Art. 5.7.2.1.

Check at midspan:

$$
M_{cr} = \gamma_3 \left[ (\gamma_1 f_r + \gamma_2 f_{cpe}) S_c - M_{dnc} \left( \frac{S_c}{S_{nc}} - 1 \right) \right]
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.3.2-1

where,



$$
f_r
$$
 = concrete modulus of rupture  $= 0.24\sqrt{f'_c}$   
 $= 0.24\sqrt{6.8} = 0.626$  ksi LRFD Art. 5.4.2.6

 $f_{\text{cpe}}$  = compressive stress in concrete due to effective prestress (after allowance for all losses) at the extreme fiber of the section where tensile stress is generated by externally applied loads

$$
= \frac{\eta F_i}{A_b} + \frac{\eta F_i e_{pg}}{S_b} = \frac{0.8 \times 878.75}{467} + \frac{0.8 \times 878.75 \times 7.60}{2360} = 3.770 \text{ ksi}
$$

 $M<sub>dnc</sub> = total unfactored dead load moment on the monolithic or noncomposite$ section

$$
= M_g + M_D + M_{cd} = (171.49 \text{ kip-ft} + 265.34 \text{ kip-ft} + 13.42 \text{ kip-ft}) \times 12 \text{ in.}/\text{ft}
$$

 $= 5,403$  kip – in.

 $S_c$  = S<sub>bc</sub> = section modulus for the extreme fiber of the composite section where tensile stress is generated by externally applied loads

 $= 5,207$  in.<sup>3</sup>

 $S_{nc}$  =  $S_b$  = section modulus for the extreme fiber of the monolithic or noncomposite section where tensile stress is generated by externally applied loads

$$
= 2,360 \text{ in.}^{3}
$$
  
\n
$$
M_{cr} = 1.0 \times \left[ (1.6 \times 0.626 + 1.1 \times 3.770) \times 5207 - 5403 \times \left( \frac{5207}{2360} - 1 \right) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= 20290.82 \text{ kip} - \text{in.} = 1690.90 \text{ kip} - \text{ft}
$$
  
\n
$$
1.2M_{cr} = 2029.08 \text{ kip-ft}
$$
  
\n
$$
1.33M_{u} = 2498.75 \text{ kip-ft}
$$
  
\n
$$
\text{Min } (1.2M_{cr}; 1.33M_{u}) = 1.2M_{cr}
$$
  
\n
$$
M_{r} (= 2507.97 \text{ kip-ft}) \ge 1.2M_{cr} (= 2029.08 \text{ kip-ft})
$$
  
\n
$$
O.K.
$$

## **Step 8.5. Lifting Stress Check**

*MDOT practice is to check stresses at debonding point and over the supports/lifting points due to the cantilever moment. The check is performed by assuming support/lifting point locations at 3.0 ft from the beam end (Figure 13). If the stress conditions are not satisfied, the supports are moved towards the beam end. MDOT procedure does not consider the dynamic effects, and a dead load multiplier of 1 is used.*



**Figure 13. Moment and shear diagrams for lifting stress check**

Moment at a distance of x from a support =  $\frac{wLx}{2} - \frac{w}{2}(H + x)^2$ 

Distance between temporary support/lifting points and beam end,  $H = 3$  ft

#### **Stresses over Temporary Support**

Moment at temporary support/lifting point located at 3 ft,  $M = \frac{-wH^2}{2}$  where  $w = w_g$  $M = \frac{-w_g H^2}{2} = \frac{-0.486 \times 3^2}{2} = -2.19 \text{ kip} - \text{ft}$ Allowable concrete tensile stress,  $f_{tt}$  = 0.24 $\sqrt{f'_{ct}}$ Allowable concrete compressive stress,  $\bar{f}_{ct}$  = 0.60 f<sub>ct</sub> where,  $f_{\text{ct}}$  = concrete compressive strength for lifting stress check

$$
=\frac{f_c + f_{ci}}{2} = \frac{6.8 + 6.4}{2} = 6.60
$$
ksi

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\overline{\mathbf{f}}_{\text{tt}} &= 0.24 \times \sqrt{6.60} = 0.62 \text{ ksi} \\
\overline{\mathbf{f}}_{\text{ct}} &= 0.60 \times 6.60 = 3.96 \text{ ksi}\n\end{aligned}
$$

Prestressing strand eccentricity ( $e_{pg}$ ) at 3 ft = 4.86 in.

Prestressing force at 3 ft,  $F_i = 0.217 \times 22 \times 202.50 = 967$  kip

The tensile stresses are calculated and compared to the allowable stresses at top:

$$
\frac{F_i}{A_b} - \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_t} + \frac{M}{S_t} \ge (-f_{tt})
$$
\n  
\n
$$
\frac{967}{467} - \frac{967 \times 4.86}{2320} + \frac{-2.19 \times 12}{2320} = 0.034 \text{ ksi} > -0.620 \text{ ksi}
$$
\n0. K.

The compressive stresses are calculated and compared to the allowable stresses at bottom:

$$
\frac{F_i}{A_b} + \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_b} - \frac{M}{S_b} \le (f_{ct})
$$
\n
$$
\frac{967}{467} + \frac{967 \times 4.86}{2360} - \frac{-2.19 \times 12}{2360} = 4.07 \text{ ksi} > 3.96 \text{ ksi}
$$
\n**NOT 0. K.**

Since the stress check is not satisfied, the supports/lifting points need to be moved 1 ft closer to the beam ends.

Moment at temporary support/lifting point located at 2ft, M

$$
= \frac{-w_g H^2}{2} = \frac{-0.486 \times 2^2}{2}
$$
  
= -0.972 kip - ft

Prestressing strand eccentricity ( $e_{pg}$ ) at 2 ft = 4.86 in.

Prestressing force increases linearly withing the transfer length of 3 ft.

Hence,

Prestressing force at 2 ft,  $F_i = (0.217 \times 22 \times 202.50) \times (2/3) = 644.49$  kip

The tensile stresses are calculated and compared to the allowable stresses at top:

$$
\frac{F_i}{A_b} - \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_t} + \frac{M}{S_t} \ge (-f_{tt})
$$
\n
$$
\frac{644.49}{467} - \frac{644.49 \times 4.86}{2320} + \frac{-0.972 \times 12}{2320} = 0.025 \text{ ksi} > -0.62 \text{ ksi}
$$
\n**0. K.**

The compressive stresses are calculated and compared to the allowable stresses at bottom:

$$
\frac{F_i}{A_b} + \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_b} - \frac{M}{S_b} \leq (f_{ct})
$$
\n
$$
\frac{644.49}{467} + \frac{644.49 \times 4.86}{2360} - \frac{-0.972 \times 12}{2360} = 2.71 \text{ ks} < 3.96 \text{ ks}i
$$
\n**0. K.**

The stresses due to positive moment at midspan need to be checked with either 24 strands or 20 strands. The number of strands used for the check depends on the schedule for cutting the top strands (cut strands).

#### **Stresses at Debonding Point**

 $L = L_b$ 

The distance between temporary support/lifting point and debonding point, L<sub>deb</sub> (**Figure 8**)

 $= 5.5 - 2.0 = 3.5$  ft

Moment at debonding point, M

$$
= w_g \frac{L}{2} L_{\text{dra}} - \frac{w_g}{2} (H + L_{\text{dra}})^2
$$

where,

$$
M = 0.486 \times \frac{54.625}{2} \times 3.5 - \frac{0.486}{2} \times (2 + 3.5)^2
$$
  
= 39.11 kip – ft

Prestressing strand eccentricity ( $e_{pg}$ ) at debonding point (i.e., at 5.5 ft) = 4.98 in. (refer to **Figure 8** and **Table 7** for additional information)

The tensile stresses are calculated and compared to the allowable stresses at top:

$$
\frac{F_i}{A_b} - \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_t} + \frac{M}{S_t} \ge (-f_{tt})
$$
\n
$$
\frac{967}{467} - \frac{967 \times 4.98}{2320} + \frac{39.11 \times 12}{2320} = 0.20 \text{ ksi} > -0.62 \text{ ksi}
$$
\nO.K.

The compressive stresses are calculated and compared to the allowable stresses at bottom:

$$
\frac{F_i}{A_b} + \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_b} - \frac{M}{S_b} \leq (f_{ct})
$$
\n
$$
\frac{967}{467} + \frac{967 \times 4.98}{2360} - \frac{39.11 \times 12}{2360} = 3.91 \text{ ksi} < 3.96 \text{ ksi}
$$
\n0.K.

*Some highway agencies require shear checks at the support and lifting points. However, as per MDOT practice, shear check is omitted.*

#### **Step 8.6. Continuity Connection Check**

#### **Step 8.6.1. Negative Moment Connection at Strength Limit State**

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

The scope of this example is limited to prestressed concrete beam design. Hence, the continuity connection check is not included. As needed, the following steps can be followed to complete the continuity connection check:



## **Step 8.6.2. Service State – Compressive Strength Check at Negative Moment Region**

In the negative moment region, girder bottom flange is subjected to additional compressive stress proportional to the negative moment at the section. The critical section for compression check is established as the section in the negative moment region where compression due to prestressing is the greatest. Hence, the critical section is at a distance equal to the transfer length measured from the beam end. Analysis is performed by assuming elastic cracked section to check if beam bottom flange compressive strength exceeds  $0.6f'$ c.

#### **Step 8.6.3. Crack Control LRFD Art. 5.7.3.4**

Tension reinforcement needs to be distributed to control flexural cracking. LRFD Art. C5.7.3.4

- (a) Calculate the overall thickness of the component, h.
- (b) Calculate the thickness of concrete cover measured from extreme tension fiber to center of the flexural reinforcement located closest thereto,  $d_c$ .
- (c) Calculate  $\beta_s = 1 + \frac{d_c}{0.7(h-d_c)}$
- (d) Determine the exposure factor,  $\gamma_e$ .
- (e) Calculate the tensile stress in the mild steel reinforcement at the service limit state,  $f_{ss}$
- (f) Check if  $f_{ss} \leq 0.6f_{y}$ . Otherwise, change the amount of steel to satisfy the condition.
- (g) Maintain the spacing, s, of mild steel reinforcement in the layer closest to the tension face such that  $s \leq \frac{700 \gamma_c}{\beta_s f_{ss}} - 2d_c$ LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.4-1

#### **Step 8.6.4. Positive Moment Connection at Strength Limit State**

A positive moment may develop at intermediate piers under the effect NCHRP (2004) of prestressing, permanent loads, and creep and shrinkage (if creep and shrinkage is considered in the design). Reinforcement is provided at beam bottom flange at intermediate pierss to resist the factored positive moment  $(M_u)$  at negative moment locations. FHWA (2003)

*MDOT currently does not perform this calculation.*

#### **Step 8.7. Shear Design**

Transverse shear reinforcement is required when  $V_u > 0.5\phi(V_c + V_p)$  LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.4-1 where,

 $V<sub>u</sub>$  = total factored shear force

 $V_c$  = shear strength provided by concrete

- $V_p$  = component of the effective prestressing force in the direction of the applied shear
- $\phi$  = resistance factor for shear = 0.9 LRFD Art. 5.5.4.2.1

Transverse shear is evaluated to identify the length of beam requiring reinforcement. Even if  $V_u \leq 0.5\phi(V_c + V_p)$ , shear reinforcement is provided in beams at the maximum spacing.

In this example, transverse shear design procedure is demonstrated on the critical section near the support.

# **Step 8.7.1. Critical Section for Shear**

The critical section near the support is taken as the effective shear depth, d<sub>v</sub>, from the internal face of the support. LRFD Art. 5.8.3.2

 $d_v$  = effective shear depth (i.e., distance between resultants of tensile and compressive forces) LRFD Art. 5.8.2.9

 $= (d_e - a/2) \ge$  greater of 0.9d<sub>e</sub> or 0.72h<sub>c</sub>

- $d_e$  = effective depth from extreme compression fiber to centroid of the tensile force in the tensile reinforcement
- $a =$  depth of compression block
- $h_c$  = overall depth = 32 in.

For flexural members, the distance between the resultants of the tensile and compressive forces due to flexure can be determined from: LRFD Eq. C5.8.2.9-1

$$
d_v = \frac{M_n}{A_s f_y + A_{ps} f_{ps}}
$$

where,  $M_n = 2,508$  kip-ft  $A_{ps} = A_{pst} = 4.34$  in.<sup>2</sup>

fps = 256.69 ksi (from **Step 8.4.2)**  $A_s = 0$  in<sup>2</sup>.

Therefore,  $d_v = 27$  in. Check if  $d_v \geq Max (0.9d_e, 0.72h_c)$ 27 in. ≥ Max (26.28 in., 23.04 in.) **O.K.**

LRFD Art. 5.8.2.9

Bearing width is not yet established, and conservatively, the distance to the critical section of the shear can be measured from the bearing centerline.

Distance to critical section for shear from bearing centerline,  $x_{cr}$ 

 $= d_v = 27$  in.  $= 0.042$  L<sub>ds</sub>

# **Step 8.7.2. Factored Moment and Shear at Critical Location**

In designing continuous for live load (CLL) bridges, the larger value for shear represents the required strength calculated for both simple supported and continuous spans.

As shown in **Table 3**; shear in simple span,  $V_{HL-M}$ , governs. Hence, the design is performed accordingly. The following tables show moment and shear at the critical section for shear,  $0.042L$ ds.



Since simple span controls the shear design, moment and shear due to support settlement is not a concern.

Factored moment at critical section  $(0.042L_{ds})$ ,  $M_u$ 



$$
= 165.10 \text{ kip}
$$

# **Step 8.7.3. Shear Strength Provided by Concrete**

Concrete contribution to nominal shear resistance,  $V_c$ LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.3-3

$$
= 0.0316 \beta \sqrt{f'_c} b_v d_v
$$

where,

 $\beta$  = factor indicating the ability of diagonally cracked concrete to transmit tension and shear

 $b_v$  = effective web width taken as the minimum web width within the depth  $d_v$ 

The following calculations are performed for evaluating  $β$ .

# **Calculation of Strain in Flexural Reinforcement**

Strain in flexural reinforcement, ε<sup>s</sup>

$$
= \frac{\left|\frac{M_{u}}{d_{v}}\right| + 0.5N_{u} + \left|V_{u} - V_{p}\right| - A_{ps}f_{po}}{(E_{s}A_{s} + E_{p}A_{ps})}
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.4.2-4

where,

 $N_u$  = applied factored normal force at critical section = 0 kip

2

 $V_p$  = component of the effective prestressing force in the direction of the applied shear,  $= 0$  kip

 $A_{ps}$  = area of prestressing strands on the flexural tension side of the member

$$
=18 \times 0.217 = 3.91
$$
 in.

 $f_{po}$  = parameter taken as modulus of elasticity of prestressing strands multiplied by the

locked-in difference in strain between the prestressing strands and the surrounding concrete

For prestressed members,  $f_{po}$  can be assumed as  $0.7f_{pu}$  LRFD Art. 5.8.3.4.2  $= 0.7 \times 270 = 189$  ksi

*Within the transfer length, fpo shall be increased linearly from zero at the location where the bond between the strands and concrete commences to its full value at the end of the transfer length.* LRFD Art. 5.8.3.4.2

In this example,

 $d_v$  + the distance from beam end to the bearing centerline

$$
= 27 + 8.75
$$
 in.  $= 35.75$  in. *<* transfer length of 36 in.

Hence,

$$
f_{po} = 189 \times (35.75/36) = 187.69 \text{ ksi}
$$
\n
$$
|M_u| > |V_u - V_p| \, d_v
$$
\n
$$
|M_u| = 292.64 \times 12 = 3511.68 \text{ kip-in}
$$
\n
$$
||V_u - V_p|| \, d_v = |165.10 - 0| \times 27 = 4457.70 \text{ kip-in}
$$
\nLRFD Art. 5.8.3.4.2

 $|M_{\rm u}|$  <  $|V_{\rm u}$  -  $V_{\rm p}|$   $d_{\rm v}$   $\rightarrow$  **NOT O.K.** 

Hence,

$$
M_u = 4457.70 \text{ kip-in} = 371.48 \text{ kip-fit}
$$
  

$$
\epsilon_s = \frac{\frac{|371.48 \times 12|}{27} + 0 + |165.10 - 0| - 3.91 \times 187.69}{(0 + 28500 \times 3.91)} = -0.004 < 0
$$

*If ε<sup>s</sup> calculated from Eq. 5.8.3.4.2-4 is negative, it can be taken as zero or recalculated*  LRFD *with the denominator of Eq. 5.8.3.4.2-4 replaced by (EsAs + EpAps + EcAc). However, ε<sup>s</sup>* Art.5.8.3.4.2 *should not be taken as less than*  $-0.40 \times 10^{-3}$ *.* 

where,

LRFD Fig.

 $A_c$  = area of concrete on the flexural side of the member (**Figure 14**)  $= 291.81$  in.<sup>2</sup> 5.8.3.4.2.2



**Figure 14. Area of the concrete on the flexural tension side**

*The flexural tension side of the member shall be taken as the half-depth containing the flexural tension zone.* LRFD Fig. 5.8.3.4.2-1

$$
\varepsilon_{\rm s} = \frac{\frac{|371.48 \times 12|}{27} + 0 + |165.10 - 0| - 3.91 \times 187.69}{(0 + 28500 \times 3.91 + 291.81 \times 4749)}
$$
  
= -0.00027 > -0.40 × 10<sup>-3</sup> O.K.

## **β and θ Calculation**

Assume that the section contains at least the minimum transverse reinforcement.

 $\beta$  = factor indicating ability of diagonally cracked concrete to transmit tension and shear  $=\frac{4.8}{1+750\varepsilon_{\rm s}}$  $=\frac{4.8}{1+750\times(-2.7\times10^{-4})}=6.02$ LRFD Eq.5.8.3.4.2-1  $\theta$  = angle of inclination of diagonal compressive stress  $= 29 + 3500 \varepsilon_s = 28.06^\circ$  LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.4.2-3 *MDOT procedure is to iterate for β and θ since the AASHTO LRFD 7th edition (2016) equations are not yet implemented in the BDS.*

Shear is carried by the webs.

Total web thickness,  $t_{ws} = b_y = 10$  in. Shear strength provided by concrete,  $V_c$ 

$$
= 0.0316 \beta \sqrt{f'_c} b_v d_v
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.3-3  
= 0.0316 × 6.02 ×  $\sqrt{6.8}$  × 10 × 27 = 133.94 kip

# **Step 8.7.4. Shear Reinforcement Requirements**



Therefore, the transverse shear reinforcement is required.

# **Area of Shear Reinforcement**

$$
\frac{V_u}{\varphi} \leq V_n = V_c + V_s + V_p
$$

Strength required from shear reinforcement,

$$
V_s = \frac{V_u}{\phi} - V_c - V_p = \frac{165.10}{0.9} - 133.94 - 0 = 49.50 \text{ kip}
$$

LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.3-1

Shear strength provided by reinforcement;

$$
V_s = \frac{A_v f_{yh} d_v (cot\theta + cot\alpha) sin\alpha}{s}
$$
 Eq. 5.8.3.3-4

where,

 $A<sub>v</sub>$  = area of shear reinforcement within a distance s

 $s =$  spacing of shear reinforcements

 $f_{\text{yh}}$  = specified yield strength of shear reinforcement = 60 ksi

 $\alpha$  = angle of inclination of shear reinforcement to longitudinal axis

 $= 90^{\circ}$  (vertical shear reinforcement)

Area of shear reinforcement for a spacing s

$$
A_{\rm v} = \frac{V_{\rm s}s}{f_{\rm yh}d_{\rm v} \cot\theta} = \frac{49.50 \times s}{60 \times 27 \times \cot28.06^{\circ}} = 0.016(s) \text{ in.}^2
$$

Therefore,  $s = A_v / 0.016$ Select, #4 - 2 leg stirrups. Thus,  $A_v = 0.4$  in.<sup>2</sup> Spacing,  $s = (0.4) / (0.016) = 25$  in.

Shear reinforcement spacing provided in **Table 9** is calculated by considering  $d_v$  and associated parameters at the respective sections.

#### **Spacing of Shear Reinforcement**

 $v_{\rm u}$  < 0.125f'<sub>c</sub>

Maximum allowable spacing of shear reinforcement shall be checked. LRFD Art. 5.8.2.7 Check if the concrete shear stress limitation is satisfied; LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.7-1

$$
v_{u} = \frac{|V_{u} - \phi V_{p}|}{\phi t_{ws} d_{v}} = \frac{|165.10 - 0.9 \times 0|}{0.9 \times 10 \times 27} = 0.68 \text{ ksi}
$$
  
LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.9-1  
LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.7-1  
LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.7-1  
LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.7-2  
LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.7-2

Then,

 $s_{\text{max}} \le \min (0.8d_{\text{v}}^2, 24 \text{ in.})$  $s_{\text{max}} \le \min (21.6 \text{ in.}, 24 \text{ in.}) = 21.6 \text{ in.}$ 

#### **Use #4 – 2 leg stirrups at 12 in. spacing**

Shear resistance provided by shear reinforcement, V<sub>s</sub> LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.3-4  
= 
$$
\frac{A_v f_{yh} d_v \cot\theta}{s} = \frac{0.40 \times 60 \times 27 \times \cot 28.06^{\circ}}{12} = 101.30 \text{ kip}
$$

A larger spacing of shear reinforcement could have been specified. However, minimum interface shear reinforcement requirements will necessitate additional steel. (See **Step 8.8**)

Check the adequacy of the section with shear reinforcement;

LRFD

$$
\frac{V_{u}}{\phi} \le V_{n} = V_{c} + V_{s} + V_{p}
$$
\n
$$
V_{n} = 133.94 + 101.30 + 0 = 235.24 \text{ kip}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{165.10}{0.9} = 183.44 \text{ kip} \le 235.24 \text{ kip}
$$
\nO.K.

#### **Minimum Reinforcement Requirement**

The area of shear reinforcement should be more than

$$
0.0316\sqrt{f'_c}\frac{t_{ws}}{f_{yh}} = 0.0316\sqrt{6.8}\frac{(10)(12)}{60} = 0.16 \text{ in.}^2 < A_v \text{ provided}
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.5-1  
 **O.K.**

#### **Step 8.7.5. Maximum Nominal Shear Resistance**

In order to ensure that shear reinforcement yields before web crushing, an upper limit of  $V<sub>n</sub>$ is defined.

Since 
$$
V_n = 0.25f'_{\text{ctw}}d_v + V_p
$$
 and  $V_n = V_c + V_s + V_p$   
\n $V_c + V_s \le 0.25f'_{\text{ctw}}d_v$   
\n $V_c + V_s = 133.94 + 101.30 = 235.24 \text{ kip}$   
\n $0.25f'_{\text{ctw}}d_v = 0.25 \times 6.8 \times 10 \times 27 = 459.00 \text{ kip}$   
\n $V_c + V_s \le 0.25f'_{\text{ctw}}d_v$   
\n $V_s + V_s \le 0.25f'_{\text{ctw}}d_v$   
\nO.K.

The procedure shown in **Step 8.7** is repeated at the end of Step 8.8 to calculate the changing stirrup spacing along the span.

#### **Step 8.8. Interface Shear Transfer**

**Factored Horizontal Shear** LRFD Art. 5.8.4  $V_{\text{hi}} = \frac{V_{\text{u}}}{d}$  $d_v$ LRFD Eq. C5.8.4.2-7

where,

 $V<sub>hi</sub>$  = horizontal factored shear force per unit length of the beam at the strength limit state

 $V<sub>u</sub>$  = factored shear force at a specified section due to superimposed loads

 $d_v$  = distance between tensile and compressive force resultants = 27 in. = 0.042L<sub>ds</sub> Factored shear at critical section  $(0.042L_{ds})$ ,  $V_u$ LRFD Table 3.4.1.1

$$
= 1.25(Vg + VD + Vcd + Vb) + 1.5(Vws) + 1.75(VHL-M)
$$
 LRFD Table 3.4.1.1  
= 1.25(11.83 + 18.29 + 0.51 + 1.07) +1.5(3.65) + 1.75(68.57) (Strength I)  
= 165.10 kip

Therefore, the applied horizontal shear,  $V_{hi} = \frac{V_u}{d_v} = \frac{165.10}{27} = 6.11 \frac{kip}{in}$  LRFD Eq. C5.8.4.2-7

#### **Required Nominal Resistance**

$$
V_{ni} = \frac{V_{hi}}{\phi} = \frac{6.11}{0.9} = 6.79 \frac{kip}{in}
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.8.4.1-1

#### **Required Interface Shear Reinforcement**

The nominal shear resistance at the interface,  $V_{ni}$ 

$$
= cA_{cv} + \mu [A_{vf}f_{yh} + P_c]
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.8.4.1-3

where,



Solving for Avf

$$
V_{ni} = cA_{cv} + \mu [A_{vf} f_{yh} + P_c]
$$
  
6.79 = 0.28 × 36 + 1.0 (A<sub>vf</sub> × 60 + 0) → A<sub>vf</sub> = -0.055 in.<sup>2</sup> < 0 LRFD Eq. 5.8.4.1-3

Hence, the resistance provided by cohesion is greater than the stress developed under the applied force, and the minimum required interface reinforcement is provided.

#### **Minimum Interface Shear Reinforcement**

Minimum  $A_{\rm vf} \ge (0.05 \ A_{\rm cv})/f_{\rm yh}$  LRFD Eq. 5.8.4.4-1

Vertical shear reinforcement of  $#4 - 2$  leg stirrup (i.e.,  $A_v = 0.4$  in.<sup>2</sup>) at 12 in. spacing is provided from the beam extending into the deck.

Therefore, 
$$
A_{vf} = A_v \times 12/s = 0.40 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft}
$$
  
0.05  $A_{cv}/f_{yh} = (0.05 \times b_{tf} \times 1) / f_{yh} = 0.05(36 \times 1)/60 = 0.03 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.} = 0.36 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft}$   
 $A_{vf} > 0.05 A_{cv}/f_{yh}$  O.K.

#### **Maximum Nominal Shear Resistance**

$$
V_{ni} \le \min (K_1 \, \hat{r}_c \, A_{cv}; \, K_2 \, A_{cv})
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.8.4.1-4  
and Eq. 5.8.4.1-5

where,

 $K_1$  = fraction of concrete strength available to resist interface shear



 $K_2$  = limiting interface shear resistance LRFD 5.8.4.3

 $=1.8$  ksi (for a cast-in-place concrete slab with normal weight concrete)

$$
K_1 f_c A_{cv} = 0.3 \times 4 \times 36 = 43.2 \text{ kip/in.}
$$
  
\n
$$
K_2 A_{cv} = 1.8 \times 36 = 64.8 \text{ kip/in.}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{ni, provided} = cA_{cv} + \mu[(A_{vf}/12)f_{yh} + P_c]; \text{ where, } A_{vf} = 0.40 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft}
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.8.4.1-3

$$
= 0.28 \times 36 + 1.0 \times [(0.40/12) \times 60 + 0) = 12.08 \text{ kip/in.}
$$
  
12.08 kip/in.  $\langle$  Min (43.2 kip/in.; 64.8 kip/in.) **O.K.**

The spacing of shear reinforcement at  $0.1L_{ds}$  along the half beam length are given in **Table 9** and standard shear details are presented in **Appendix F**.

Location	$V_{u}/\phi$ (kip)	ß	$V_c$ (kip)	$V_p$ (kip)	$V_s$ (kip)	s(in.)	<b>Smax</b> (in.)	<b>Sprovided</b> (in.)
Critical section for shear	183.44	6.02	135.60	0.00	47.84	22.13	21.88	12
<b>Transfer location</b>	183.38	6.04	136.05	0.00	47.32	22.68	21.88	12
$0.10 \times L_{ds}$	167.93	5.62	126.76	0.00	41.17	29.13	21.88	12
$0.20 \times L_{ds}$	141.37	5.02	113.07	0.00	28.30	42.19	21.88	18
$0.30 \times L_{ds}$	115.34	4.84	107.77	0.00	7.57	155.83	21.61	18
$0.40\times L_{\rm ds}$	89.74	3.51	78.15	0.00	11.59	101.05	21.61	18
$0.50 \times L_{ds}$ (Midspan)	64.68	3.33	74.23	0.00	0.00	$NR^*$	21.61	18

**Table 9. The Spacing of Shear Reinforcement**

\* NR: Not Required

smax is calculated using LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.7-1 and LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.7-2.

# **Step 8.9. Minimum Longitudinal Reinforcement Requirement**

Longitudinal reinforcement should be proportioned such that the following condition is satified at each section:

$$
A_{ps}f_{ps} + A_s f_y \ge \frac{M_u}{d_v \phi_f} + 0.5 \frac{N_u}{\phi_c} + \left( \left| \frac{V_u}{\phi_v} - V_p \right| - 0.5 V_s \right) \cot \theta
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.5-1

where,

 $A_s$  = area of nonprestressed tension reinforcement

 $f_y$  = specified minimum yield strength of reinforcing steel

 $A_{ps}$  = area of prestressing steel at the tension side of the section

- $f_{ps}$  = average stress in prestressing steel at the location for which the nominal resistance is required
- $M_u$  = factored moment at the section corresponding to the factored shear force

 $N_u$  = applied factored axial force

 $V<sub>u</sub>$  = factored shear force at section

 $V_s$  = shear resistance provided by shear reinforcement ( $V_s < V_u/\phi$ )

 $V_p$  = component of the effective prestressing force in the direction of the applied shear

 $d_v$  = effective shear depth

- $\phi$  = resistance factor as appropriate for moment, shear, and axial resistance.
- $\theta$  = angle of inclination of diagonal compressive stresses

# **Required Reinforcement at Face of Bearing**

For simple supports with  $M_u = 0$  kip-ft and  $N_u = 0$  kip, the longitudinal reinforcement on the flexural tension side of the beam at the inside edge of the bearing shall satisfy the following:

$$
A_{s}f_{y} + A_{ps}f_{ps} \ge \left(\frac{V_{u}}{\phi} - 0.5V_{s} - V_{p}\right)\cot\theta
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.5-2

When the bearing size is not yet established, the above equation can be applied at the bearing centerline for conservative results. Consequently, the assumed failure crack for this analysis radiates from the centerline of the bearing (i.e., 8.75 in. from the end of the beam).

Factored shear force at the bearing centerline, Vu

 = 175.48 kip (**Table 5**) LRFD Table 3.4.1.1 (Strength I)

Shear resistance provided by shear reinforcement at 12 in. from the end of the beam is calculated as shown below:

$$
V_s = \frac{A_v f_{yh} d_v \cot \theta}{s} = \frac{0.40 \times 60 \times 27 \times \cot 28.06}{12} = 101.30 \text{ kip}
$$

where

 $\theta$  = 28.06<sup>o</sup> at the centerline of the bearing

*In determining the tensile force that the reinforcement is expected to resist at the inside edge of the bearing area, the values of*  $V_u$ *,*  $V_s$ *,*  $V_p$ *, and*  $\theta$ *, calculated for the section*  $d_v$  *from the face of the support may be used.* LRFD C5.8.3.5

$$
\left(\frac{V_{\rm u}}{\phi} - 0.5V_{\rm s} - V_{\rm p}\right)\cot\theta = \left(\frac{175.48}{0.9} - 0.5 \times 101.30 - 0\right)\cot 28.06^{\circ}
$$
  
= 270.76 kip

The assumed crack plane crosses the centroid of the group of 18 strands at a distance of 19.14 in. (i.e.,  $8.75 + 5.54$  in.  $\times$  cot 28.06°) from the beam end.

The transfer length is 36 in. from the end of the beam ( $60 \times$  strand diameter). LRFD 5.11.4.1 The available prestress from 18 strands at 19.14 in. is a fraction of the effective prestress,  $f_{pe}$ of 162 ksi (i.e.,  $0.8 \times 0.75$ f<sub>pu</sub>).

$$
A_{ps}f_{ps} + A_s f_y = 18 \times 0.217 \times 162 \times \left(\frac{19.14}{36}\right) + 0
$$
\n
$$
= 336.42 \text{ kip} > 270.76 \text{ kip} \quad \text{O.K.}
$$
\nLRFD Eq. 5.8.3.5-2

If the bearing width is 9 in., the failure crack will extend from the edge of the bearing, and the assumed crack plane crosses the centroid of the 18 strand group at a distance of 23.64 in.  $(i.e., 8.75 + 9/2 + 5.54 in. \times cot28.06^{\circ})$  from the beam end.

$$
A_{ps}f_{ps} + A_s f_y = 18 \times 0.217 \times 162 \times \left(\frac{23.64}{36}\right) + 0
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.8.3.5-2  
= 415.52 kip > 270.76 kip O.K.

#### **Step 8.10. Anchorage Zone Reinforcement** LRFD Art. 5.10.10.1

Design of the anchorage zone reinforcement is based on the force in the strands just prior to transfer.

 $P_{pi}$  = force in the strands prior to transfer

$$
= 22 \times 0.217 \times 202.50 = 967
$$
kip

The bursting resistance,  $P_r = f_s A_s$  LRFD Eq. 5.10.10.1 - 1

where,

 $A<sub>s</sub>$  = total area of vertical reinforcement located within a distance of h/4 from the end of the beam

 $f_s$  = stress in steel  $\leq$  20 ksi

The bursting resistance,  $P_r \geq 0.04 P_{pi}$ 

$$
P_r \geq 0.04~(967) = 38.68~\text{kip}
$$

Solving for the required area of steel,  $A_{s,req} = P_r/f_s$ 

 $= 38.68/20 = 1.93$  in.<sup>2</sup>

At least 1.93 in. $3 \text{ m}$  of vertical transverse reinforcement is required within a distance of  $h/4 = 21/4 = 5.25$  in.

**Starting at 2.5 in. from the beam end use two #4 – 2 leg stirrups at 2.5 in. spacing.**  BDG 7.11.01

> $A_s = 2 \times 2 \times 0.20$  in.<sup>2</sup> = 1.2 in.<sup>2</sup>  $A_{\rm s} < A_{\rm sreq}$ NOT O.K.

In most cases, it is difficult to satisfy the anchorage zone requirement. Therefore, until more clarity is brought to the anchorage zone design (such as the use of strut and tie models), designer should be aware that the time of prestress release is most critical. Specifically, end zone reinforcement that is less than the required steel area is consistently used in actual production without objectionable cracking at the member end. PCI (2011) Section 8.3.1.6.

# **Confinement Reinforcement**

Reinforcement is provided to confine the prestressing steel in the LRFD Art. 5.10.10.2bottom flange to a distance of 1.5h from the beam end. The reinforcement may not be less than #3 deformed bars with spacing not exceeding 6 in. The reinforcement should be a shape that will confine the strands.

For the box beam, this confining steel shall be provided for a distance of  $1.5h = 1.5 \times 21 = 31.5$  in.

LRFD Art. 5.10.10.1

# **Step 8.11. Deflection and Camber**

Please note that camber sign convention is positive when deflections are negative.

Long-term deflection may be taken as the instantaneous deflection LRFD Art. 5.7.3.6.2 *multiplied by a factor 4.0, if the instantaneous deflection is based on gross moment of inertia of the beam.*

However, a factor 4.0 is not appropriate for this type of precast PCI (2011) Ch. 9.4 construction. It is recommended that the designer follow the guidelines of the owner agency for which the bridge is being designed or follow a rigorous, time-dependent analysis.

This example demonstrates MDOT deflection calculation practices.

#### **Step 8.11.1. Deflection due to Static Loads**

Deflection is calculated using the modulus of elasticity of concrete and the gross cross-section properties of the noncomposite precast beam.

Camber due to prestressing force at transfer,  $\Delta_p$ 

$$
\Delta_{\rm p} = \frac{F_{\rm i}(0.098 \mathrm{e}_{\rm pgm} + 0.027 \mathrm{e}_{\rm pge})L_{\rm b}^{2}}{E_{\rm ci}I_{\rm b}}
$$
 Libby (1977)  
Chapter 6-3

where,

 $F_i$  = total prestressing force after transfer = 1,055 kip  $e_{\text{pgm}}$  = eccentricity of prestresssing force at midspan = 4.98 in.  $e_{\text{pge}}$  = eccentricity of prestressing force at beam end = 4.86 in.  $L_b$  = beam length at transfer = 54.625 ft  $L_{ds}$  = beam length at erection = 53.167 ft  $E_{ci}$  = modulus of elasticity of beam at transfer = 4,655 ksi  $E_{cb}$  = modulus of elasticity of beam in service = 4,749 ksi  $I<sub>b</sub>$  = beam moment of inertia = 24,600 in.<sup>4</sup>  $\Delta_p = \frac{1055 \times (0.098 \times 4.98 + 0.027 \times 4.86) \times (54.625 \times 12)^2}{h}$  $4655 \times 24600$  $= 2.45$  in.  $\uparrow$ MDOT(2002)

Deflection due to beam weight at transfer,  $\Delta_b$ 

$$
\Delta_{b} = \frac{0.104 M_{gr} L_{b}^{2}}{E_{ci} I_{b}}
$$
 MDOT(2002)  
where,  $M_{gr}$  = moment due to beam weight = 181.27 kip-fit  
= 2175.24 kip-in.  

$$
\Delta_{b} = \frac{0.104 \times 2175.24 \times (54.625 \times 12)^{2}}{4655 \times 24600} = 0.85 \text{ in.} \downarrow
$$

Resultant camber at transfer,  $\Delta_{int} = \Delta_p + (-\Delta_b)$  $= 2.45 - 0.85 = 1.6$  in.  $\uparrow$ 

Long term camber due to prestress, ∆Lp

 $\Delta_{\text{Lp}} = \Delta_{\text{p}} [1.9 + 0.6 (I_{\text{b}}/I_{\text{c}})]$ where,  $I_c =$  composite section moment of intertia  $= 103,611$  in.<sup>4</sup>  $\Delta_{\text{Lp}}$  = 2.45 [1.9 + 0.6 × (24600/103611)]  $= 5.00$  in.  $\uparrow$ 

Long term camber due to beam weight,  $\Delta_{\text{Lb}}$ 

$$
\Delta_{\text{Lb}} = \Delta_{\text{b}} [2.1 + 0.7 (\text{I}_{\text{b}}/\text{I}_{\text{c}})]
$$
  
= 0.85 [2.1 + 0.7 \times (24600/103611)]  
= 1.93 in.  $\downarrow$ 

Net ultimate beam camber =  $\Delta_{\text{Lp}} + (-\Delta_{\text{Lb}})$  $= 5.00 - 1.93 = 3.07$  in. ↑

$$
-3.00 - 1.95 = 3.07
$$
 m.

Long term beam deflection due to slab and haunch weight (ult),  $\Delta$ <sub>s</sub>

$$
\Delta_{\rm s} = \frac{5 \text{wL}_{\rm b}^4}{384 E_{\rm cb} I_{\rm b}} \left[ 1 + \alpha_{\rm s} C_{\rm u} \frac{I_{\rm b}}{I_{\rm c}} \right]
$$
  

$$
\alpha_{\rm s} = 0.60
$$
  

$$
C_{\rm u} = 1.8
$$

where,

$$
C_u = 1.8
$$
  
E<sub>cb</sub> = 4,749 ksi  
w = slab and haunch weight = 0.752 kip/ft

$$
\Delta_{\rm s} = \frac{5 \times \frac{0.752}{12} \times (54.625 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 4749 \times 24600} \left[ 1 + 0.60 \times 1.8 \times \frac{24600}{103611} \right]
$$
  
= 1.62 in.

Deflection due to forms and reinforcement weight,  $\Delta_{\text{fr}}$ 

$$
\Delta_{\rm fr} = \frac{5 \text{wL}_b^4}{384 E_{\rm cb} I_b}
$$

where, 
$$
w =
$$
 forms and reinforcement weight =  $20 \times (75/12) = 125$  lb/ft

Weight of forms and reinforcement is assumed to be 20 lb/ft<sup>2</sup> Beam spacing  $= 75$  in.

$$
\Delta_{\text{fr}} = \frac{5 \times \frac{0.125}{12} \times (54.625 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 4749 \times 24600}
$$
  
= 0.21 in.

Deflection due to barrier and future wearing surface weight,  $\Delta_{\text{bfws}}$ 

$$
\Delta_{\text{bfws}} = 2.3 \times \frac{5 \text{wL}_{\text{b}}^4}{384 \text{E}_{\text{cb}} \text{I}_{\text{c}}}
$$

where,

 $w = w_{bar} + w_{ws} = 0.044 + 0.150 = 0.194$  kip/ft  $w<sub>bar</sub> = barrier weight = 0.044 kip/ft$  $w_{ws}$  = wearing surface weight = 0.150 kip/ft

$$
\Delta_{\text{bfws}} = 2.3 \times \frac{5 \times \frac{0.194}{12} \times (54.625 \times 12)^4}{384 \times 4749 \times 24600}
$$
  
= 0.77 in.  $\downarrow$ 

# **Step 8.11.2. Deflection due to Live Load and Impact** LRFD Art. 2.5.2.6.2

Live load deflection limit  $= L_{ds} / 800$ 

 $= 53.167 \times 12/800 = 0.80$  in.

If owner requires the optional live load criteria, the deflection is the greater LRFD Art. 2.5.2.6.2 of: LRFD Art. 3.6.1.3.2

- That resulting from the design truck plus impact,  $\Delta_{LT}$ , or
- That resulting from 25% of the design truck plus impact,  $\Delta_{LT}$ , taken together with the design lane load,  $\Delta_{LL}$ .

The dynamic load allowance must be included in the calculation of live load deflection. LRFD Art. 2.5.2.6.2

All the beams are assumed to deflect equally due to the applied live load. Therefore, the distribution factor for deflection, DFD LRFD Art. 2.5.2.6.2

= Number of lanes/Number of beams

 $= 6/12 = 0.5$  lanes/beam Deflection =  $\frac{\text{COEFF}}{I_c} \left( \frac{E_s}{E_c} \right)$  $\frac{E_s}{E_c}$ ) (No.of Design Lanes) (Multiple Presence Factor) MDOT (2002)

COEFF for lane load and truck load are derived for steel beams with  $E_s = 29 \times 10^6$  psi. Hence, when the deflection is calculated using the above equation,  $E_s = 29 \times 10^6$  psi should be used.

For lane load, COEFF =  $0.000496L<sup>4</sup>$ where,  $L =$  Span in ft. For truck load, COEFF =  $0.0894$  ( $L^3 - 555L + 4780$ ) OR  $= 0.0794(L^3 - 368L + 2400)$ MDOT (2002)

Multiple presence factor of 0.65 is used for the bridge with 6 design lanes. LRFD Table 3.6.1.1.2-1

## **Deflection due to Lane Load**

 $COEFF = 0.000496L^4 = 0.000496(53.167)^4 = 3963$ Deflection,  $\Delta_{LL} = \frac{3963}{103611} \left( \frac{29 \times 10^6}{4.749 \times 10^6} \right) \left( \frac{6}{12} \right) 0.65 = 0.076$  in.

# **Deflection due to Design Truck and Impact**

COEFF =  $0.0894(L^3 - 555L + 4780) = 0.0894(53.167^3 - 555 \times 53.167 + 4780)$  $= 11225$ OR  $= 0.0794$  (L<sup>3</sup> – 368L + 2400) = 0.0794 (53.167<sup>3</sup> – 368 × 53.167 + 2400)  $= 10570$ 

With impact, COEFF = 
$$
11225 \times 1.33 = 14929
$$
  
Deflection,  $\Delta_{LT} = \frac{14929}{103611} \left( \frac{29 \times 10^6}{4.749 \times 10^6} \right) \left( \frac{6}{12} \right) 0.65 = 0.286$  in.

# **Live Load Deflection**

Live load deflection = Max 
$$
(\Delta_{LT}, 0.25\Delta_{LT} + \Delta_{LL})
$$

\n= Max  $(0.286, 0.25 \times 0.286 + 0.076) = 0.286$  in.

\n0.286 in. < L<sub>ds</sub>/800 = 0.80 in.

\n0.K.

## **Step 8.12. Bottom Flange Shortening During Stress Transfer**

Bottom flange shortening = 
$$
\frac{F_i L}{A_b E_{ci}} + \frac{8\Delta_{int}}{L} \left(\frac{I_b}{S_b}\right)
$$

where,

$$
F_i
$$
 = total prestressing force after transfer = 1,055 kip

L = beam length =  $54.625$  ft

 $A_b$  = area of beam = 467 in.<sup>2</sup>

$$
E_{ci}
$$
 = modulus of elasticity of beam at transfer = 4,655 ksi

 $\Delta_{\text{int}}$  = camber at transfer = 1.6 in.

 $I<sub>b</sub>$  = moment of inertia = 24,600 in.<sup>4</sup>

 $S_b$  = section modulus for bottom fiber = 2,360 in.<sup>3</sup>

Bottom flange shortening = 
$$
\frac{1055 \times (54.625 \times 12)}{467 \times 4655} + \frac{8 \times 1.6}{54.625 \times 12} \left(\frac{24600}{2360}\right) = 0.52 \text{ in.}
$$

# **APPENDIX A**

# **UNFACTORED MOMENT AND SHEAR IN INTERIOR AND EXTERIOR BEAMS**



#### **Table A-1. Unfactored Moment in Interior Beam (kip-ft)**

Lds – Design span of 83.75 ft

 $*$  Transfer location is at 36 in. (= 60  $\times$  Strand diameter) from beam end.  $*$  60 kip axle load is not included in continuous span analysis.

1. 
$$
M_{LT} = 1.2 \times 1.33 \times 0.581 \times HS-20
$$
  
4.  $M_{LT} = 1.2 \times 1.33 \times 0.581 \times 0.9 \times HS-20$ 

1. 
$$
M_{LT} = 1.2 \times 1.33 \times 0.581 \times HS - 20
$$
  
\n2.  $M_{LA} = 1.2 \times 1.33 \times 0.581 \times 60$   
\n3.  $M_{LL} = 1.2 \times 1.33 \times 0.581 \times 60$   
\n4.  $M_{LT} = 1.2 \times 1.33 \times 0.581 \times 60$ 

60 3. M<sub>LL</sub> =  $1.2 \times 0.581 \times 0.64$ <br>0.64

		For service and strength limit state checks											
				<b>Composite section</b>									
	At release		<b>Noncomposite section</b>		Simple span Continuous span <sup>+</sup>								
Location					Future	<b>HL</b> - 93 Mod					Future	<b>HL-93 Mod</b>	
	Beam, Vgr	Beam, Vg	Deck and haunch, V <sub>D</sub>	Barrier, V <sub>b</sub>	wearing surface, $V_{ws}$	Truck load with impact, V <sub>LT</sub> <sup>1</sup>	60 kip axle load with impact, $V_{LA}^2$	Lane load, $V_{LL}$ <sup>3</sup>	Settleme Barrier, $nt, V_s$ V <sub>b</sub>	wearing surface, V <sub>ws</sub>	Truck load with impact, V <sub>LT</sub> <sup>4</sup>	Lane load, $\rm{V_{LL}}^5$	
Beam end	37.70												
CL of bearing	37.03	37.03	35.54	5.36	6.70	75.18	70.50	23.66	0.94	4.06	5.07	$-6.94$	16.12
Transfer location*	35.04	35.04	33.63	5.07	6.34	72.04	67.89	22.00	0.94	3.68	4.59	$-6.94$	14.59
$0.05\times L_{ds}$	33.32	33.32	32.00	4.82	6.03	70.86	66.90	21.36	0.94	3.53	4.42	$-6.94$	13.99
$0.10 \times L_{ds}$	29.63	29.63	28.43	4.29	5.36	66.65	63.40	19.17	0.94	2.98	3.73	$-6.94$	11.86
$0.15 \times L_{ds}$	25.92	25.92	24.88	3.75	4.69	62.44	59.89	17.10	0.94	2.45	3.06	$-6.94$	9.73
$0.20 \times L_{ds}$	22.22	22.22	21.32	3.22	4.02	58.15	56.31	15.15	0.94	1.91	2.39	$-9.61$	7.60
$0.25\times L_{ds}$	18.52	18.52	17.77	2.68	3.35	53.94	52.81	13.31	0.94	1.38	1.72	$-15.23$	5.47
$0.30\times L_{ds}$	14.81	14.81	14.21	2.14	2.68	49.74	49.30	11.60	0.94	0.84	1.05	$-20.74$	3.34
$\overline{0.35} \times L_{ds}$	11.11	11.11	10.66	1.61	2.01	45.53	45.79	10.00	0.94	0.30	0.38	$-26.10$	1.21
$0.40\times L_{ds}$	7.41	7.41	7.11	1.07	1.34	41.24	42.22	8.52	0.94	$-0.23$	$-0.29$	$-31.54$	$-0.92$
$0.45 \times L_{ds}$	3.70	3.70	3.55	0.54	0.67	37.03	38.71	7.16	0.94	$-0.77$	$-0.96$	$-36.78$	$-3.05$
$0.50 \times L_{ds}$ (Midspan)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	32.82	35.21	5.92	0.94	$-1.30$	$-1.63$	$-41.61$	$-5.18$
$0.55 \times L_{ds}$	$-3.70$	$-3.70$	$-3.55$	$-0.54$	$-0.67$	$-37.03$	$-38.71$	$-7.16$	0.94	$-1.84$	$-2.30$	$-46.15$	$-7.31$
$0.60\times L_{ds}$	$-7.41$	$-7.41$	$-7.11$	$-1.07$	$-1.34$	$-41.24$	$-42.22$	$-8.52$	0.94	$-2.38$	$-2.97$	$-50.38$	$-9.44$
$0.65 \times L_{ds}$	$-11.11$	$-11.11$	$-10.66$	$-1.61$	$-2.01$	$-45.53$	$-45.79$	$-10.00$	0.94	$-2.91$	$-3.64$	$-54.40$	$-11.57$
$0.70 \times L_{ds}$	$-14.81$	$-14.81$	$-14.21$	$-2.14$	$-2.68$	$-49.74$	$-49.30$	$-11.60$	0.94	$-3.45$	$-4.31$	$-58.03$	$-13.70$
$0.75\times L_{ds}$	$-18.52$	$-18.52$	$-17.77$	$-2.68$	$-3.35$	$-53.94$	$-52.81$	$-13.31$	0.94	$-3.98$	$-4.98$	$-61.36$	$-15.83$
$0.80 \times L_{ds}$	$-22.22$	$-22.22$	$-21.32$	$-3.22$	$-4.02$	$-58.15$	$-56.31$	$-15.15$	0.94	$-4.52$	$-5.65$	$-64.40$	$-17.96$
$0.85\times L_{ds}$	$-25.92$	$-25.92$	$-24.88$	$-3.75$	$-4.69$	$-62.44$	$-59.89$	$-17.10$	0.94	$-5.06$	$-6.32$	$-67.19$	$-20.09$
$0/90 \times L_{ds}$	$-29.63$	$-29.63$	$-28.43$	$-4.29$	$-5.36$	$-66.65$	$-63.40$	$-19.17$	0.94	$-5.59$	$-6.99$	$-69.62$	$-22.22$
$0/95\times L_{ds}$	$-33.32$	$-33.32$	$-32.00$	$-4.82$	$-6.03$	$-70.86$	$-66.90$	$-21.36$	0.94	$-6.13$	$-7.66$	$-71.75$	$-24.35$
Transfer location*	$-35.04$	$-35.04$	$-33.63$	$-5.07$	$-6.34$	$-72.04$	$-67.89$	$-22.00$	0.94	$-6.51$	$-8.14$	$-73.07$	$-25.88$
CL of bearing	$-37.03$	$-37.03$	$-35.54$	$-5.36$	$-6.70$	$-75.18$	$-70.50$	$-23.66$	0.94	$-6.66$	$-8.33$	$-73.59$	$-26.48$
Span $2 - 0$		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	$\overline{0.00}$	0.94	$-6.76$	$-8.45$	$-73.92$	$-26.94$

**Table A-2. Unfactored Shear in Interior Beam (kip)**

L<sub>ds</sub> – Design span of 83.75 ft

\* Transfer location is at 36 in. (=  $60 \times$  Strand diameter) from beam end.<br>+ 60 kip axle load is not included in continuous span analysis.<br>1  $V_{xx} = 1.2 \times 1.33 \times 0.736 \times$  HS-20<br>2  $V_{xx} = 1.2 \times 1.33 \times 0.736$ 

$$
1.~V_{LT}=1.2\times1.33\times0.736\times HS\text{-}20
$$

4. 
$$
V_{LT} = 1.2 \times 1.33 \times 0.736 \times 0.9 \times HS-20
$$

2. 
$$
V_{LA} = 1.2 \times 1.33 \times 0.736 \times 60
$$
  
5.  $V_{LL} = 1.2 \times 0.736 \times 0.9 \times 0.64$ 

3.  $V_{LL} = 1.2 \times 0.736 \times 0.64$ 



#### **Table A-3. Unfactored Moment in Exterior Beam (kip-ft)**

Lds – Design span of 83.75 ft

\* Transfer location is at 36 in.  $(= 60 \times$  Strand diameter) from beam end.

<sup>+</sup> 60 kip axle load is not included in continuous span analysis.

1. 
$$
M_{LT} = 1.2 \times 1.33 \times 0.714 \times HS-20
$$
  
4.  $M_{LT} = 1.2 \times 1.33 \times 0.714 \times 0.9 \times HS-20$ 

2. M<sub>LA</sub> = 
$$
1.2 \times 1.33 \times 0.714 \times 60
$$
  
5. M<sub>LL</sub> =  $1.2 \times 0.714 \times 0.9 \times 0.64$ 

3.  $M_{LL} = 1.2 \times 0.714 \times 0.64$ 



#### **Table A-4. Unfactored Shear in Exterior Beam (kip)**

Lds – Design span of 83.75 ft

\* Transfer location is at 36 in. (=  $60 \times$  Strand diameter) from beam end.

<sup>+</sup> 60 kip axle load is not included in continuous span analysis.

1. 
$$
V_{LT} = 1.2 \times 1.33 \times 0.714 \times HS-20
$$

4. 
$$
V_{LT} = 1.2 \times 1.33 \times 0.714 \times 0.9 \times HS-20
$$

2. 
$$
V_{LA} = 1.2 \times 1.33 \times 0.714 \times 60
$$
  
3.  $V_{LL} = 1.2 \times 0.714 \times 0.64$   
5.  $V_{LL} = 1.2 \times 0.714 \times 0.9 \times 0.64$ 

# **APPENDIX B**

# **PRESTRESSING DESIGN OF BULB-TEE BEAM USING MAGNEL DIAGRAM**

# **STEP B-1. SECTION PROPERTIES**

# **Noncomposite Section**

Distance from the centroid to upper limit of kern,  $k_t = -S_b/A_b = -9.11$  in. Distance from the centroid to lower limit of kern,  $k_b = S_t/A_b = 9.31$  in.

**Definition**: The kern is the region on the cross-section in which a compressive force can be applied without generating any tensile stress within the cross-section.

Kern is calculated using an upper and lower limit  $(k<sub>t</sub>, k<sub>b</sub>)$  with respect to the centroid of the beam cross-section (**Figure B-1**). In this example, the positive axis is pointing downward towards the bottom fiber from the centroid.



**Figure B-1. Upper and lower limits of a kern in a prestressed beam**

# **Composite Section**

# **Interior beam:**

Distance from centroid to upper limit of the central kern,  $k_t = -S_{bc}/A_c = -8.22$  in. Distance from centroid to lower limit of the central kern,  $k_b = S_{tc}/A_c = 12.75$  in.

# **Exterior beam:**

Distance from centroid to upper limit of the central kern,  $k_t = -S_{bc}/A_c = -8.24$  in. Distance from centroid to lower limit of the central kern,  $k_b = S_{tc}/A_c = 12.73$  in.

# **STEP B-2. PRESTRESSING STRAND DESIGN**

# **Step B.2.1. Stress Conditions at Midspan**

Stresses at release and in service need to be maintained at or below the respective stress limits.

**Sign Convention**: Tensile stress is designated to be negative.

The following conditions and stress limits are considered:

# **At release**:

Noncomposite section top and bottom fibers stresses under a prestressing force and the beam's self-weight on the overall beam length should be below the allowable tension and compression stress limits.

Condition 1: Beam top fiber tensile stress check at release

$$
\frac{F_i}{A_b} - \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_t} + \frac{M_{gr}}{S_t} \ge (-\bar{f}_{ti})
$$
\n
$$
-\frac{F_i}{A_b} + \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_t} - \frac{M_{gr}}{S_t} \le \bar{f}_{ti}
$$
\nLRFD Table 5.9.4.1.2-1

where,

 $F_i$  = prestressing force at release (kip)

 $A_b$  = area of beam cross-section (in.<sup>2</sup>)

 $e_{pg}$  = eccentricity of strands with respect to girder centroid (in.)

 $S_t$  = section modulus for top fiber (in.<sup>3</sup>)

 $M_{\text{gr}}$  = moment due to beam weight at release (kip-ft)

 $f<sub>ti</sub>$  = allowable concrete tensile stress at release (ksi)

Condition 2: Beam bottom fiber compression stress check at release

$$
\frac{F_i}{A_b} + \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_b} - \frac{M_{gr}}{S_b} \le \bar{f}_{ci}
$$
 LRFD Art. 5.9.4.1.1

where,

 $S_b$  = section modulus of noncomposite beam for bottom fiber (in.<sup>3</sup>)

 $f_{ci}$  = allowable concrete compressive stress at release (ksi)

# **In service**:

Conditions are:

- Moment due to beam, cast-in-place deck, and haunch weight on noncomposite section.
- Moment due to non-structural elements (barrier and future wearing surface) weight and live load on composite section.

# Condition 3: Beam top fiber compression stress check under effective

prestress and permanent loads

$$
\frac{\eta F_i}{A_b} - \frac{\eta F_i e_{pg}}{S_t} + \frac{(M_g + M_D)}{S_t} + \frac{(M_b + M_{ws})}{S_{tc}} \le \overline{f}_{cpl}
$$
\nwhere,

\n $\eta = \text{ratio of effective stress after losses to prestressing steel stress}$ 

\nprior to transfer

\n $M_g = \text{moment due to beam weight (kip-in)}$ 

 $M_D$  = moment due to deck and haunch weight (kip-in)

 $M_b$  = moment due to barrier weight (kip-in)

 $M_{ws}$  = moment due to future wearing surface (kip-in)

 $S_{\text{tc}}$  = section modulus of composite beam for top fiber (in.<sup>3</sup>)

 $\bar{f}_{\text{cpl}}$  = allowable compressive stress for concrete subjected to

effective prestress and permanent loads (ksi)

Condition 4: Beam top fiber compression stress check under effective

prestress, permanent loads, and transient loads

LRFD

$$
\frac{\eta F_i}{A_b} - \frac{\eta F_i e_{pg}}{S_t} + \frac{(M_g + M_D)}{S_t} + \frac{(M_b + M_{ws} + M_{LT} + M_{LL})}{S_{tc}} \quad \text{Table 5.9.4.2.1-1}
$$

where,

 $\overline{f}_{\text{ctl}}$  = allowable compressive stress for concrete subjected to

effective prestress, permanent loads, and transient loads (ksi)

 $M<sub>LT</sub>$  = moment due to design truck load (kip-in)

 $M_{LL}$  = moment due to design lane load (kip-in)

Condition 5: Beam bottom fiber tension stress check under effective prestress, permanent loads, and transient loads LRFD Table 5.9.4.2.2-1

$$
\frac{\eta F_i}{A_b} + \frac{\eta F_i e_{pg}}{S_b} - \frac{(M_g + M_D)}{S_b} - \frac{(M_b + M_{ws} + 0.8M_{LT} + 0.8M_{LL})}{S_{bc}} \ge (-\bar{f}_{ts})
$$

$$
- \frac{\eta F_i}{A_b} - \frac{\eta F_i e_{pg}}{S_b} + \frac{(M_g + M_D)}{S_b} + \frac{(M_b + M_{ws} + 0.8M_{LT} + 0.8M_{LL})}{S_{bc}} \le \bar{f}_{ts}
$$

where,

 $S_{bc}$  = section of the composite beam modulus for bottom fiber (in.<sup>3</sup>)

 $f_{ts}$  = allowable tensile stress for concrete with bonded steel and

subjected to not worse than moderate corrosion condition (ksi)

To determine the required prestressing force at midspan, Service III limit state is used. For required prestressing force and associated eccentricity calculations and midspan stress checks, the five inequality conditions given above are merged graphically to demonstrate the iterative approach of the design.

In this process, the five conditions are rearranged to characterize five inequalities representing the relationship between eccentricity ( $e_{pg}$ ) and initial prestressing force ( $F_i$  =  $f_{pi}A_{ps}N$ ; where  $A_{ps}$  is the area of a prestressing strand and N is the number of strands).

In addition to five conditions, a sixth condition is imposed by limiting eccentricity of the prestressing strands that are to be bounded by a concrete cover. In this example, a 2 in. concrete cover is specified.

Please note the sign convention where tensile stresses are negative (-):

Condition 1:

$$
e_{pg} \le k_b + \left[\frac{1}{F_i}\right] (M_{gr} + \bar{f}_{ti} S_t)
$$

Condition 2:

$$
e_{pg} \le k_t + \left[\frac{1}{F_i}\right] (M_{gr} + \bar{f}_{ci} S_b)
$$

Condition 3:

$$
e_{pg} \ge k_b + \left[\frac{1}{\eta F_i}\right] \left[ \left(M_g + M_D\right) + \frac{(M_b + M_{ws})S_t}{S_{tc}} - \bar{f}_{cpl}S_t \right]
$$

Condition 4:

$$
e_{pg} \ge k_b + \left[\frac{1}{\eta F_i}\right] \left[ \left(M_g + M_D\right) + \frac{(M_b + M_{ws} + M_{LT} + M_{LL})S_t}{S_{tc}} - \bar{f}_{ctl}S_t \right]
$$

Condition 5:

$$
e_{pg} \geq k_t + \left[\frac{1}{\eta F_i}\right] \left[ \left( M_g + M_D \right) + \frac{(M_b + M_{ws} + 0.8 M_{LT} + 0.8 M_{LL}) S_b}{S_{bc}} - \bar{f}_{ts} S_b \right]
$$

Condition 6:

 $e_{\text{ng}} \leq y_{\text{b}} - 2$  in.

 $y<sub>b</sub>$  = distance from the centroid to the extreme bottom fiber of the noncomposite precast beam (in.)

The six inequalities are illustrated graphically in **Figure B-2**. The hatched area represents the feasibility domain of the prestressing force  $(F_i)$  and strand eccentricity ( $e_{pg}$ ) combinations that do not violate all five stress limits and the maximum allowable eccentricity limit.



**Figure B-2. Feasibility domain of epg and Fi at midspan**

# **Step B.2.2. Eccentricity at Midspan**

The strand center of gravity location, measured from the bottom of the beam, is 5-15% of the beam depth. As an initial assumption, location is set to 6% of the beam depth. Therefore,

$$
e_{pg} = y_b - 0.06 \times h = 16
$$
 in.

With  $e_{pg} = 16$  in. on y-axis,  $1/F_i$  located on x-axis and inside the feasibility domain is obtained from **Figure B-2**.



# **Step B.2.3. Feasibility Check at Midspan**

A check is required to confirm that  $F_i$  and  $e_{pg}$  remain in the feasibility domain.

As an example, Prestressing force at midspan of the beam,  $F_i = 1,406$  kips Hence,  $1/F_i = 1/1406 \text{ kip} = 7.11 \times 10^{-4} \text{ kips}^{-1}$ As per the strand arrangement shown in Figure 13 of bulb-tee beam design example,  $e_{pg} = 15.14$  in.

As shown in **Figure B-3**, the point defined by  $1/F_i = 7.11 \times 10^{-4}$  kips<sup>-1</sup> and  $e_{pg} = 15.14$  in. for service conditions is located within the feasibility domain. Hence, the strand arrangement at midspan satisfies all the stress limits considered in **Step B.2.1***.*



**Figure B-3. Strand arrangement check with feasibility domain**

## **Step B.2.4. Limiting Kern along Beam Length**

As discussed earlier, upper and lower limits of kern along the beam length define the required number of prestressing strands and their arrangement needed to satisfy the tension and compression stress limits along the beam length.

The process requires representing the controlling inequality condition derived from five stress inequalities, defined in **Step B.2.1**, without the effect of beam weight and moments. As an example, the stress inequality for Condition 1 (top fiber stresses at release) is reformulated in the steps shown below:

Equation from **Step B.2.1**

$$
e_{pg} \le k_b + \left[\frac{1}{F_i}\right] (M_{gr} + \bar{f}_{ti} S_t)
$$

With the effect of beam self-weight excluded, i.e.,  $M_{gr} = 0$ 

$$
e_{pg} \le k_b + \frac{\bar{f}_{ti} s_t}{F_i}
$$
; where,  $S_t = k_b A_b$ , and  

$$
S_t = k_b + \frac{\bar{f}_{ti} k_b A_b}{F_i}
$$

$$
e_{pg} \le k_b + \frac{a^2 b^2}{F_i}
$$

Defining stress at the beam centroid under initial prestressing force,  $f_{gi} = F_i/A_b$ 

$$
e_{pg} \le k_b (1 + \frac{\bar{f}_{ti}}{f_{gi}})
$$

Following a similar procedure, all five inequalities are written in the following format:

Condition 1:	Condition 2:	Condition 3:	Condition 4:	Condition 5:		
$e_{pg}$	$e_{pg}$	$\mathbf{e}_{\rm pg}$	<sup>1</sup> ctl	$I$ ts		
<sup>1</sup> ti.	$1$ Ci	$1_{\text{cpl}}$	$E_{pg}$	$e_{pg}$		
'gı	וסי	⊥σ	lσ	'g		

where,  $f_g$  = stress at the beam centroid due to effective prestressing force =  $(F_i \eta)/A_b$ 

For the given beam section and initial prestressing,

$$
f_g = (F_i \eta)/A_b = 1{,}280.8 \text{ psi}
$$
  

$$
f_{gi} = F_i/A_b = 1{,}601.7 \text{ psi}
$$

In the first two conditions,  $f_{ti}$  and  $f_{ci}$ , are used for defining the lower limit of kern.

$$
k_b = \min\left[k_b \left(1 + \frac{\bar{f}_{ti}}{f_{gi}}\right), k_t \left(1 + \frac{\bar{f}_{ci}}{f_{gi}}\right)\right]
$$
  

$$
k_b = \min[12.8 \text{ in.}, 12.4 \text{ in.}] = 12.4 \text{ in.}
$$

Hence, the first condition governs the lower limit of kern.

The other three conditions with  $f_{\text{ctl}}$ ,  $f_{\text{cpl}}$ , and  $f_{\text{ts}}$  are used to define the upper limit of the kern.

$$
k_{t}^{2} = \max \left[ k_{b} \left( 1 - \frac{\bar{f}_{cpl}}{f_{g}} \right), k_{b} \left( 1 - \frac{\bar{f}_{ctl}}{f_{g}} \right), k_{t} \left( 1 - \frac{\bar{f}_{ts}}{f_{g}} \right) \right]
$$
  

$$
k_{t}^{2} = \max[-15.2 \text{ in.}, -23.4 \text{ in.}, -12.8 \text{ in.}] = -12.8 \text{ in.}
$$

Hence, the fifth condition governs the upper limit of the kern.

**Figure B-4** shows the upper and lower limits of the kern  $(k_t$  and  $k_b)$ .

**Figure B-5** shows the upper and lower limits of the kern based on the stress limits  $(k<sub>t</sub>)$ <sup>+</sup> and  $k_b$ <sup>'</sup>).



Figure B-5. The upper and lower limits of the kern based on the stress limits ( $k_t$ ' and  $k_b$ ')

Half beam length =  $42 - 7\frac{1}{2}$ "

κì
#### **Step B.2.5. Upper and Lower Bound Eccentricity along the Beam Length**

The governing conditions that define the lower and upper limits of the kern are described in **Step B.2.4**.

In this calculation step, decreasing moment along the beam length from midspan to beam end will define the upper and lower eccentricity limits.

Upper limit of the kern ( $e_{pgu}$ ) is calculated with the  $5<sup>th</sup>$  condition

$$
e_{pgu} = k^{\cdot}_{t} + \left[\frac{1}{\eta F_i}\right] \left(M_g + M_D\right) + \frac{(M_b + M_{ws} + 0.8 M_{LT} + 0.8 M_{LL}) S_b}{S_{bc}}
$$

Lower limit of the kern ( $e_{pg}$ ) is calculated with the  $2<sup>nd</sup>$  condition

$$
e_{pgl} = k_b + \left[\frac{M_{gr}}{F_i}\right]
$$

Using the above two equations,  $e_{pgu}$  and  $e_{pgl}$  are calculated along the beam length and shown in Table B-1. Figure B-6 demonstrates e<sub>pgu</sub> and e<sub>pgl</sub> variation along the span. The hatched area represents the feasibility region for the center of gravity of prestressing strands without violating the stress limits at transfer and in service.

Location	$e_{pgu}$ (in.)	$e_{pgl}$ (in.)
Beam end		12.40
CL of bearing	$-12.81$	12.42
$0.05 \times L_{ds}$	$-8.45$	13.96
$0.10 \times L_{ds}$	$-4.55$	15.13
$0.15 \times L_{ds}$	$-1.12$	16.15
$0.20 \times L_{ds}$	1.84	17.04
$0.25 \times L_{ds}$	4.33	17.79
$0.30 \times L_{ds}$	6.35	18.41
$0.35 \times L_{ds}$	$7.91*$	18.89
$0.40\times L_{ds}$	$9.05*$	19.23
$0.45 \times L_{ds}$	9.73*	19.44
$0.50 \times L_{ds}$ (Midspan)	9.96*	19.51

**Table B-1. Upper and Lower Bounds of Eccentricity along the Half Span**

\* The moment due to axle load controls the design.

Note: Strand centroid is designed to be inside the lower limit of the kern.



**Figure B-6. Upper and lower limits of kern along the half beam length**

#### **Step B.2.6. Strand Arrangement**

The strand arrangement is defined so that  $F_i$  remains between the upper and lower limits of the kern.



**Figure B-6** shows strand arrangement at beam end and midspan cross sections.

**Figure B-7. Strand arrangement at midspan and over the supports**

### **Step B.2.7. Strand Profile**

The longitudinal strand profile along the span is designed. **Figure B-8** shows the strand profile along half span of the beam. In this example, to assure the satisfaction of stress limits along the beam length, draping point is located at 0.4Lds. Typically, the draping point is placed at 0.4L<sub>ds</sub>. This position of draping is often validated from the relationship between standard section depth and span. The objective of draping or harping is to maintain the eccentricity within upper and lower bounds of the kern along the span shown in **Figure B-6**. The green line in **Figure B-9** represents the eccentricity ( $e_{pg}$ ) along the half beam length.



**Figure B-8. Longitudinal strand profile along half beam length**



**Figure B-9. Position of strand center of gravity (epg) along the beam length**

# **APPENDIX C**

### **TIME DEPENDENT REFINED CALCULATIONS OF PRESTRESSING LOSSES**

#### **Time-Dependent Losses between Prestress Transfer and Deck Placement**



The construction schedule is assumed as follows:

Total loss between prestress transfer and deck placement is the summation of prestress losses from shrinkage and creep of concrete, and relaxation of prestressing strands.

### **Losses from Concrete Shrinkage** LRFD Art. 5.9.5.4.2a

 $61 - 4f$ <sub>ci</sub> + t

$$
\Delta f_{pSR} = \epsilon_{bid} E_p K_{id} \qquad \text{LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.4.2a-1}
$$

where,

 $\Delta f_{pSR}$  = prestress loss from shrinkage of concrete between time of transfer and deck placement, ksi

 $\varepsilon_{\text{bid}}$  = concrete shrinkage strain between the time of transfer and deck placement, in./in.

$$
\varepsilon_{\text{bid}} = k_{\text{vs}} k_{\text{hs}} k_{\text{f}} k_{\text{td}} 0.48 \times 10^{-3}
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.4.2.3.3-1

 $E_p$  = Modulus of elasticity of prestressing strands, ksi

 $K_{id}$  = transformed section coefficient that accounts for time-dependent interaction between concrete and bonded steel in the section being considered for time period between prestress transfer and deck placement

 $k_{vs}$  = the factor for the effect of volume-to-surface ratio (V/S) of the beam



k<sub>tdd</sub> will be used in  $\Psi_b(t_d,t_i)$  formulation to calculate creep of concrete between transfer and deck placement.

$$
\varepsilon_{\text{bid}} = 1.0 \times 0.95 \times 0.685 \times 0.607 \times 0.48 \times 10^{-3}
$$
  
\n
$$
= 1.90 \times 10^{-4} \text{ in.}/\text{in.}
$$
  
\n
$$
K_{\text{id}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{E_{p} A_{ps}}{E_{ci} A_{g}} \left(1 + \frac{A_{ge} e_{pg}^{2}}{I_{g}}\right) [1 + 0.7\Psi_{b}(t_{f}, t_{i})]}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Psi_{b}(t_{f}, t_{i}) = \text{girder creep coefficient at final time from loading introduced at transfer}
$$
  
\n
$$
= 1.9 \text{ k}_{vs} k_{hc} k_{f} k_{td} t_{i}^{-0.118}
$$
  
\n
$$
k_{hc} = \text{humidity factor for creep} = 1.56 - 0.008H
$$
  
\n
$$
k_{td} = \text{time development factor at deck placement for creep}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{t}{61 - 4f_{ci} + t}
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \text{matrix of concrete} = t_{f} - t_{i} = 20,000 - 0.75
$$
  
\n
$$
= 19,999.25 \text{ days}
$$
  
\n
$$
k_{td} = \frac{t}{61 - 4f_{ci} + t} = \frac{19999.25}{61 - 4 \times 6.3 + 19999.25} = 0.998 = k_{tdf}
$$

k<sub>tdf</sub> will be used in  $\Psi_b(t_f, t_d)$  formulation to calculate creep of concrete between deck placement and in-service.

$$
\Psi_{b}(t_{f}, t_{i}) = 1.9 \times 1.0 \times 0.96 \times 0.685 \times 0.998 \times 0.75^{-0.118} = 1.29
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.4.2.3.2-1

$$
K_{id} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{28500}{4631} \times \frac{0.217 \times 32}{878.30} \times \left(1 + \frac{878.30 \times 15.14^2}{145592}\right) \times \left[1 + 0.7 \times 1.29\right]}
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.4.2a-2  
= 0.819

The prestress loss from shrinkage of concrete between transfer and deck placement

$$
\Delta f_{pSR} = \epsilon_{bid} E_p K_{id}
$$
  
\n $\Delta f_{pSR} = 1.90 \times 10^{-4} \times 28500 \times 0.819 = 4.43$ ksi  
\nLRFD Eq. 5.9.5.4.2a-1

### **Loss due to Concrete Creep** LRFD Art. 5.9.5.4.2b

$$
\Delta f_{pCR} = \frac{E_p}{E_{ci}} f_{cgp} \Psi_b(t_d, t_i) K_{id}
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.4.2b-1

where,

 $\Delta f_{pCR}$ , = prestress loss from creep of beam concrete between transfer and deck placement  $\Psi_b(t_d,t_i)$  = girder creep coefficient at time of deck placement due to loading introduced at transfer

=1.9 kvs khc kf ktdd ti -0.118 =1.9 × 1.0 × 0.96 × 0.685 × 0.607 × 0.75-0.118 = 0.785 LRFD Eq. 5.4.2.3.2-1

09/30/2017 C - 2

$$
\Delta f_{pCR} = \frac{E_p}{E_{ci}} f_{cgp} \Psi_b(t_d, t_i) K_{id} = \frac{28500}{4631} \times 2.52 \times 0.785 \times 0.819
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.4.2b-1  
= 9.97 ksi

**Losses from Strand Relaxation** LRFD Art. 5.9.5.4.2c

$$
\Delta f_{pR1} = \frac{f_{pt}}{K_L} \left( \frac{f_{pt}}{f_{py}} - 0.55 \right)
$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.4.2c-1

where,

 $\Delta f_{pR1}$  = prestress loss from relaxation of strands between transfer and deck placement

 $f_{pt}$  = stress in prestressing strands immediately after transfer  $\geq 0.55 f_{py}$ 

 $=f_{\text{pi}} - \Delta f_{\text{PES}} = 202.50 - 15.51 = 186.99$  ksi

 $K_L$  = 30 for low relaxation strands and 7 for other prestressing steel, unless more accurate manufacture's data is available

$$
\Delta f_{\rm pR1} = \frac{f_{\rm pt}}{K_{\rm L}} \left( \frac{f_{\rm pt}}{f_{\rm py}} - 0.55 \right) = \frac{186.99}{30} \left( \frac{186.99}{243} - 0.55 \right) = 1.37 \,\text{ksi}
$$

For low-relaxation strands, the relaxation loss,  $\Delta f_{pR1} = 1.2$  ksi LRFD Art. 5.9.5.4.2c

#### **Time Dependent Losses after Deck Placement**

The total time-dependent losses between deck placement and in-service is the summation of prestress losses from shrinkage and creep of beam concrete, and the relaxation of strands.

#### **Losses due to Concrete Shrinkage** LRFD Art. 5.9.5.4.3a

$$
\Delta f_{pSD} = \epsilon_{bdf} E_p K_{df}
$$
 \t\t\t
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.4.2a-1
$$

where,

 $\Delta f_{pSD}$  = losses from shrinkage of beam concrete between deck placement and in-service

 $\varepsilon_{\text{bdf}}$  = shrinkage strain of beam between deck placement and in-service

$$
= \varepsilon_{\text{bif}} - \varepsilon_{\text{bid}}
$$

 $\varepsilon_{\text{hif}}$  = total shrinkage strain of beam concrete between transfer and in-service

$$
= k_{vs} k_{hs} k_{fdf} 0.48 \times 10^{-3}
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.4.2.3.3-1

 $= 1.0 \times 0.95 \times 0.685 \times 0.998 \times 0.48 \times 10^{-3} = 3.12 \times 10^{-4}$  in./in.

Therefore,  $\varepsilon_{\text{bdf}} = \varepsilon_{\text{bif}} - \varepsilon_{\text{bid}} = 3.12 \times 10^{-4} - 1.90 \times 10^{-4} = 1.22 \times 10^{-4}$  in./in.

 $K_{df}$  = transformed section coefficient that accounts for time-dependent interaction between concrete and bonded steel in the section being considered for the time between deck placement and in-service.

$$
K_{df} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{E_p}{E_{ci}} \frac{A_{ps}}{A_c} \left(1 + \frac{A_c e_{pc}^2}{I_c}\right) [1 + 0.7\Psi_b(t_f, t_i)]}
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.4.3a-2

- $e_{\text{pc}}$  = eccentricity of prestressing force with respect to centroid of composite section  $= e_{pg} + (y_{bc} - y_b) = 15.14 + (28.57 - 18.20) = 25.51$  in.
- $A_c$  = area of section from gross composite concrete section properties of the girder and the deck, and the deck-to-girder modular ratio  $= 1,563$  in.<sup>2</sup>
- $I_c$  = moment of inertia of gross composite concrete section calculated using material properties at service  $= 367,259$  in.<sup>4</sup>

$$
K_{df} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{28500}{4631} \times \frac{0.217 \times 32}{1563} \times \left(1 + \frac{1563 \times 25.51^2}{367259}\right) \times [1 + 0.7 \times 1.29]}
$$
  
= 0.836

Prestress loss from shrinkage of concrete between deck placement and in-service

 $\Delta f_{pSD} = \epsilon_{\text{bdf}} E_p K_{\text{df}} = 1.22 \times 10^{-4} \times 28500 \times 0.836 = 2.91$  ksi

#### **Losses due to Concrete Creep** LRFD Art. 5.9.5.4.3b

$$
\Delta f_{pCD} = \frac{E_p}{E_{ci}} f_{cgp} [\Psi_b(t_f, t_i) - \Psi_b(t_d, t_i)] K_{df} + \frac{E_p}{E_c} \Delta f_{cd} \Psi_b(t_f, t_d) K_{df} \quad \text{LRFD Eq.} \tag{1.87b}
$$

where,

 $\Delta f_{\text{pCD}}$  = prestress loss from creep of beam concrete between deck placement and in-service

 $E_c$  = modulus of elasticity for beam in service = 5,250 ksi

 $\Delta f_{cd}$  = change in concrete stress at centroid of prestressing strands from long-term losses between transfer and deck placement, combined with deck weight and superimposed loads

$$
= -(\Delta f_{pSR} + \Delta f_{pCR} + \Delta f_{pR1}) \frac{A_{ps}}{A_b} \left( 1 + \frac{A_b e_{pg}^2}{I_b} \right) - \left( \frac{M_D e_{pg}}{I_b} + \frac{(M_b + M_{ws}) e_{pc}}{I_c} \right)
$$
  
= -(4.43 + 9.97 + 1.37)  $\frac{0.217 \times 32}{878.30} \left( 1 + \frac{878.30 \times 15.14^2}{145592} \right)$   

$$
- \left( \frac{744.03 \times 12 \times 15.14}{145592} + \frac{(112.23 + 140.28) \times 12 \times 25.51}{367259} \right)
$$
  
= -1.436 ksi

 $\Psi_b(t_f, t_d)$  = girder creep coefficient at service due to loading at deck placement  $=1.9$  k<sub>vs</sub> k<sub>hc</sub> k<sub>f</sub> k<sub>tdf</sub> t<sub>d</sub><sup>-0.118</sup> LRFD Eq. 5.4.2.3.2-1

 $k_{td}$  = time development factor at service for creep =  $\frac{t}{61-4f_{ci}+t}$ LRFD Eq. 5.4.2.3.2-5  $t =$  maturity of concrete  $=$  t<sub>f</sub> - t<sub>d</sub> = 20,000 – 56 = 19,944 days  $k_{dt} = \frac{t}{61 - 4t}$  $61 - 4f_{\text{ci}} + t$  $=\frac{19944}{61-4\times63}$  $\overline{61 - 4 \times 6.3 + 19944} = 0.998$  $\Psi_b(t_f, t_d) = 1.9 \times 1.0 \times 0.96 \times 0.685 \times 0.998 \times 56^{0.118} = 0.775$ 

The prestress loss due to creep of concrete between deck placement and service

$$
\Delta f_{pCD} = \frac{28500}{4631} \times 2.52 \times [1.29 - 0.785] \times 0.836 + \frac{28500}{4906} \times (-1.436) \times 0.775 \times 0.836
$$
  
= 1.14 ksi

# **Losses due to Strand Relaxation** LRFD Art. 5.9.5.4.3c

The prestress loss due to relaxation of prestressing strands in composite section between time of deck placement and service,  $\Delta f_{pR2}$ 

$$
\Delta f_{pR2} = \Delta f_{pR1} = 1.37 \text{ ksi}
$$
LRFD Eq. 5.9.5.4.3c-1

Total losses at midspan

$$
\Delta f_{pT} = \Delta f_{pES} + \Delta f_{pLT}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta f_{pT} = \Delta f_{pES} + \Delta f_{pSR} + \Delta f_{pCR} + \Delta f_{pR1} + \Delta f_{pSR} + \Delta f_{pCR} + \Delta f_{pR2}
$$

$$
= 15.51 + 4.43 + 9.97 + 1.37 + 2.91 + 1.14 + 1.37
$$
  
= 36.70 ksi

Ratio of effective prestress after losses to stress prior to transfer, η

$$
=\frac{f_{\rm pi}-\Delta f_{\rm pT}}{f_{\rm pi}}=\frac{202.50-36.70}{202.50}=0.82
$$

The losses calculated with the refined analysis are 18%, and sufficiently close to the lump sum estimate of 20%.

# **APPENDIX D**

### **UNFACTORED MOMENT AND SHEAR IN INTERIOR BOX BEAMS**



#### **Table D-1. Unfactored Moment in Interior Beam (kip-ft)**

Lds – Design span

\* Transfer location is at 36 in. (=  $60 \times$  Strand diameter) from beam end. +  $60$  kip axle load is not included in continuous span analysis.



3. MLL =  $1.2 \times 0.464 \times 0.64$ 



#### **Table D-2. Unfactored Shear in Interior Beam (kip)**

 $L<sub>ds</sub>$  – Design span<br>\* Transfer location is at 36 in. (= 60 × Strand diameter) from beam end.

 $+$  60 kip axle load is not included in continuous span analysis.



3.  $V_{LL} = 1.2 \times 0.621 \times 0.64$ 

# **APPENDIX E**

### **PRESTRESSING DESIGN OF BOX BEAM USING MAGNEL DIAGRAM**

### **STEP E-1. SECTION PROPERTIES**

### **Noncomposite Section**

Distance from the centroid to upper limit of kern,  $k_t = -S_b/A_b = -5.05$  in. Distance from the centroid to lower limit of kern,  $k_b = S_t/A_b = 4.97$  in.

**Definition**: The kern is the region on the cross-section in which a compressive force can be applied without generating any tensile stress within the cross-section.

Kern is calculated using an upper and lower limit  $(k<sub>t</sub>, k<sub>b</sub>)$  with respect to the centroid of the beam cross-section (**Figure E-1**). In this example, the positive axis is pointing downward towards the bottom fiber from the centroid.



**Figure E-1. Upper and lower limits of a kern in a prestressed beam**

### **Composite Section**

#### **Interior beam:**

Distance from centroid to upper limit of the central kern,  $k_t = -S_{bc}/A_c = -4.76$  in. Distance from centroid to lower limit of the central kern,  $k_b = S_{tc}/A_c = 7.83$  in.

### **STEP E-2. PRESTRESSING STRAND DESIGN**

#### **Step E.2.1. Stress Conditions at Midspan**

Stresses at release and in-service need to be maintained at or below the respective stress limits.

**Sign Convention**: Tensile stress is designated to be negative.

The following conditions and stress limits are considered:

### **At release**:

Noncomposite section top and bottom fiber stresses under a prestressing force and the beam's self-weight on the overall beam length should be below the allowable tension and compression stress limits.

Condition 1: Beam top fiber tensile stress check at release

$$
\frac{F_i}{A_b} - \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_t} + \frac{M_{gr}}{S_t} \ge (-\bar{f}_{ti})
$$
\n
$$
-\frac{F_i}{A_b} + \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_t} - \frac{M_{gr}}{S_t} \le \bar{f}_{ti}
$$
\nLRFD Table 5.9.4.1.2-1

where,

 $F_i$  = prestressing force at release (kip)

 $A_b$  = area of beam cross-section (in.<sup>2</sup>)

 $e_{pg}$  = eccentricity of strands with respect to girder centroid (in.)

 $S_t$  = section modulus for top fiber (in.<sup>3</sup>)

 $M_{\text{gr}}$  = moment due to beam weight at release (kip-ft)

 $f<sub>ti</sub>$  = allowable concrete tensile stress at release (ksi)

Condition 2: Beam bottom fiber compression stress check at release

$$
\frac{F_i}{A_b} + \frac{F_i e_{pg}}{S_b} - \frac{M_{gr}}{S_b} \le \bar{f}_{ci}
$$
 LRFD Art. 5.9.4.1.1

where,

 $S_b$  = section modulus of noncomposite beam for bottom fiber (in.<sup>3</sup>)

 $f_{ci}$  = allowable concrete compressive stress at release (ksi)

### **In-service**:

Conditions are:

- Moment due to beam, cast-in-place deck, and haunch weight on noncomposite section.
- Moment due to non-structural elements (barrier and future wearing surface) weight and live load on composite section.

Condition 3: Beam top fiber compression stress check under effective prestress and permanent loads

$$
\frac{\eta F_i}{A_b} - \frac{\eta F_i e_{pg}}{S_t} + \frac{(M_g + M_D + M_{cd})}{S_t} + \frac{(M_b + M_{ws})}{S_{tc}} \le \bar{f}_{cpl}
$$
\nwhere,

\n
$$
\eta = \text{ratio of effective stress after losses to prestressing steel stress prior to transfer}
$$
\n
$$
M_g = \text{moment due to beam weight (kip-in)}
$$
\n
$$
M_D = \text{moment due to check and haunch weight (kip-in)}
$$
\n
$$
M_{CD} = \text{moment due to concrete diaphragm weight (kip-in)}
$$
\n
$$
M_{w} = \text{moment due to barrier weight (kip-in)}
$$
\n
$$
M_{w} = \text{moment due to future wearing surface (kip-in)}
$$
\n
$$
S_{tc} = \text{section modulus of composite beam for top fiber (in.3)}
$$
\n
$$
\bar{f}_{cpl} = \text{Allowable compressive stress for concrete subjected to effective prestress and permanent loads (ksi)}
$$

Condition 4: Beam top fiber compression stress check under effective

prestress, permanent loads, and transient loads

LRFD Table 5.9.4.2.1-1

LRFD

Table 5.9.4.2.2-1

$$
\frac{\eta F_{i}}{A_{b}} - \frac{\eta F_{i} e_{pg}}{S_{t}} + \frac{(M_{g} + M_{D} + M_{cd})}{S_{t}} \n+ \frac{(M_{b} + M_{ws} + M_{LT} + M_{LL})}{S_{tc}} \leq \bar{f}_{ctl}
$$
\nTable 5.9.4.2.1

where,

 $f_{\text{ctl}}$  = allowable compressive stress for concrete subjected to effective prestress, permanent loads, and transient loads (ksi)  $M_{LT}$  = moment due to truck load (kip-in)  $M_{LL}$  = moment due to lane load (kip-in)

Condition 5: Beam bottom fiber tension stress check under effective prestress, permanent loads, and transient loads

$$
\frac{\eta F_i}{A_b} + \frac{\eta F_i e_{pg}}{S_b} - \frac{(M_g + M_D + M_{cd})}{S_b} - \frac{(M_b + M_{ws} + 0.8M_{LT} + 0.8M_{LL})}{S_{bc}} \ge (-\bar{f}_{ts})
$$

$$
-\frac{\eta F_i}{A_b} - \frac{\eta F_i e_{pg}}{S_b} + \frac{(M_g + M_D + M_{cd})}{S_b} + \frac{(M_b + M_{ws} + 0.8M_{LT} + 0.8M_{LL})}{S_{bc}} \le \bar{f}_{ts}
$$

where,

- $S_{bc}$  = Section modulus of the composite beam for bottom fiber (in.<sup>3</sup>)
- $f_{ts}$  = Allowable tensile stress for concrete with bonded steel and
	- subjected to not worse than moderate corrosion condition (ksi)

To determine the required prestressing force at midspan, Service III limit state is used. For required prestressing force and associated eccentricity calculations and midspan stress checks, the five inequality conditions given above are merged graphically to demonstrate the iterative approach of the design.

In this process, the five conditions are rearranged to characterize five inequalities representing the relationship between eccentricity ( $e_{pg}$ ) and initial prestressing force ( $F_i$  =  $f_{pi}A_{ps}N$ ; where  $A_{ps}$  is the area of a prestressing strand and N is the number of strands).

In addition to five conditions, a sixth condition is imposed by limiting eccentricity of the prestressing strands that are to be bounded by a concrete cover. In this example, a 2 in. concrete cover is specified.

Please note the sign convention where tensile stresses are negative  $(-)$ ; Condition 1:

$$
e_{pg} \le k_b + \left[\frac{1}{F_i}\right] (M_{gr} + \bar{f}_{ti} S_t)
$$

Condition 2:

$$
e_{pg} \le k_t + \left[\frac{1}{F_i}\right] (M_{gr} + \bar{f}_{ci} S_b)
$$

Condition 3:

$$
e_{pg} \ge k_b + \left[\frac{1}{\eta F_i}\right] \left[ \left(M_g + M_D + M_{cd}\right) + \frac{(M_b + M_{ws})S_t}{S_{tc}} - \bar{f}_{cpl}S_t \right]
$$

Condition 4:

$$
e_{pg} \ge k_b + \left[\frac{1}{\eta F_i}\right] \left[ \left(M_g + M_D + M_{cd}\right) + \frac{(M_b + M_{ws} + M_{LT} + M_{LL})S_t}{S_{tc}} - \bar{f}_{ctl}S_t \right]
$$

Condition 5:

$$
e_{pg} \geq k_t + \Big[\frac{1}{\eta F_i}\Big] \big[(M_g + M_D + M_{cd}) + \frac{(M_b + M_{ws} + 0.8 M_{LT} + 0.8 M_{LL}) S_b}{S_{bc}} - \bar{f}_{ts} S_b\big]
$$

Condition 6:

$$
e_{pg} \le y_b - 2 \text{ in.}
$$

 $y<sub>b</sub>$  = distance from the centroid to the extreme bottom fiber of the

noncomposite precast beam (in.)

The six inequalities are illustrated graphically in **Figure E-2**. The hatched area represents the feasibility domain of the prestressing force  $(F_i)$  and strand eccentricity ( $e_{pg}$ ) combinations that do not violate all five stress limits and the maximum allowable eccentricity limit.



#### **Step E.2.2. Eccentricity at Midspan**

The strand center of gravity location, measured from the bottom of the beam, is 5% to 15% of the beam depth. As an initial assumption, location is set to 10% of beam depth. Therefore,

$$
e_{pg} = y_b - 0.10 \times h = 10.40 - 0.10 \times 21 = 8.3
$$
 in.

With  $e_{pg} = 8.3$  in. on the y-axis,  $1/F_i$  located on the x-axis and inside the feasibility domain is obtained from **Figure E-2**.



#### **Step E.2.3. Feasibility Check at Midspan**

A check is required to confirm that  $F_i$  and  $e_{pg}$  remain in the feasibility domain.

As an example, Prestressing force at midspan of the beam,  $F_i = 878.75$  kips Hence,  $1/F_i = 1/878.75$  kip  $= 1.14 \times 10^{-3}$  kips<sup>-1</sup>

As per the strand arrangement shown in Figure 7 of box-beam design example,  $e_{pg} = 7.60$  in.

As shown in **Figure E-3**, the point defined by  $1/F_i = 1.14 \times 10^{-3}$  kips<sup>-1</sup> and  $e_{pg} = 7.60$  in. for service condition is located within the feasibility domain. Hence, the strand arrangement at midspan satisfies all the stress limits considered in **Step E.2.1***.*



**Figure E-3. Strand arrangement check with feasibility domain**

#### **Step E.2.4. Limiting Kern along Beam Length**

As discussed earlier, upper and lower limits of kern along the beam length define the required number of prestressing strands and their arrangement needed to satisfy the tension and compression stress limits along the beam length.

The process requires representing the controlling inequality condition derived from five stress inequalities defined in **Step E.2.1***.* without the effect of beam weight and moments. As an example, the stress inequality for Condition 1 (top fiber stresses at release) is reformulated in the steps shown below:

Equation from **Step E.2.1**

$$
e_{pg} \le k_b + \left[\frac{1}{F_i}\right] (M_{gr} + \bar{f}_{ti} S_t)
$$

With the effect of beam self-weight excluded, i.e.,  $M_{gr} = 0$ 

$$
e_{pg} \le k_b + \frac{\bar{f}_{ti} s_t}{F_i}
$$
; where,  $S_t = k_b A_b$ , and  
 $e_{pg} \le k_b + \frac{\bar{f}_{ti} k_b A_b}{F_i}$ 

Defining stress at the beam centroid under initial prestressing force,  $f_{gi} = F_i/A_b$ 

$$
e_{pg} \le k_b (1 + \frac{\bar{f}_{ti}}{f_{gi}})
$$



where,  $f_g$  = stress at the beam centroid due to effective prestressing force =  $(\eta F_i)/A_b$ 

For the given beam section and initial prestressing,

$$
f_g = (F_i \eta)/A_b = 1{,}506 \text{ psi}
$$
  

$$
f_{gi} = F_i/A_b = 1{,}882 \text{ psi}
$$

In the first two conditions,  $f_{ti}$  and  $f_{ci}$ , are used for defining the lower limit of kern.

$$
k_b = \min\left[k_b \left(1 + \frac{\bar{f}_{ti}}{f_{gi}}\right), k_t \left(1 + \frac{\bar{f}_{ci}}{f_{gi}}\right)\right]
$$
  

$$
k_b = \min[6.6 \text{ in.}, 5.3 \text{ in.}] = 5.3 \text{ in.}
$$

Hence, the second condition governs the lower limit of kern.

The other three conditions with  $f_{\text{ctl}}$ ,  $f_{\text{cpl}}$ , and  $f_{\text{ts}}$  are used to define the upper limit of the kern.

$$
k_{t}^{2} = \max \left[ k_{b} \left( 1 - \frac{\bar{f}_{cpl}}{f_{g}} \right), k_{b} \left( 1 - \frac{\bar{f}_{ctl}}{f_{g}} \right), k_{t} \left( 1 - \frac{\bar{f}_{ts}}{f_{g}} \right) \right]
$$
  

$$
k_{t}^{2} = \max[-5.1 \text{ in.}, -8.5 \text{ in.}, -6.7 \text{ in.}] = -5.1 \text{ in.}
$$

Hence, the third condition governs the upper limit of the kern.

#### **Step E.2.5. Upper and Lower Bound Eccentricity along the Beam Length**

The governing conditions that define the lower and upper limits of the kern are described in **Step E.2.4**.

In this calculation step, decreasing moment along the beam length from midspan to beam end will define the upper and lower eccentricity limits.

Upper limit of the kern is calculated with the  $3<sup>rd</sup>$  condition:

$$
e_{pgu} = k_b + \left[\frac{1}{\eta F_i}\right] (M_g + M_D + M_{cd}) + \frac{(M_b + M_{ws})S_t}{S_{tc}}
$$

Lower limit of the kern is calculated with the  $2<sup>nd</sup>$  condition:

$$
e_{pgl} = k_t + \left[\frac{M_{gr}}{F_i}\right]
$$

Using the above two equations, e<sub>pgu</sub> and e<sub>pgl</sub> are calculated along the span and illustrated in **Table E-1. Figure E-4** demonstrates e<sub>pgu</sub> and e<sub>pgl</sub> variation along the span. The hatched area represents the feasibility region for the center of gravity of prestressing strands without violating the stress limits at transfer and in service.

opper and nower bounds of necessarily along the m		
Location	$e_{pgu}$ (in.)	e <sub>pgl</sub> (in.)
Beam end		5.30
CL of bearing	$-5.10$	5.43
$0.05 \times L_{ds}$	$-3.61$	5.87
$0.10 \times L_{ds}$	$-2.25$	6.27
$0.15 \times L_{ds}$	$-1.06$	6.62
$0.20 \times L_{ds}$	$-0.04$	6.93
$0.25 \times L_{ds}$	0.84	7.19
$0.30 \times L_{ds}$	1.57	7.40
$0.35 \times L_{ds}$	2.13	7.56
$0.40 \times L_{ds}$	2.55	7.68
$0.45 \times L_{ds}$	2.81	7.70
$0.50 \times L_{ds}$ (Midspan)	2.90	7.78

**Table E-1. Upper and Lower Bounds of Eccentricity along the Half Span**

Note: Strand centroid is designed to be inside the lower limit of the kern.



#### **Step E.2.5. Strand Arrangement**

The strand arrangement is defined after a trial and error process so that  $F_i$  remains between the upper and lower limits of the kern.



**Figure E-5** shows strand arrangement at midspan and beam end cross sections.

**Figure E-5. Strand arrangement at midspan and over the supports**

#### **Step E.2.6. Strand Profile**

The longitudinal strand profile along the beam length is designed. **Figure E-6** and **Figure E-7** show the strand profile along half length of the beam at release and in service, respectively. In this example, to satisfy the stress limits along the beam length, debonding point at the bottom of the beam is located at  $0.1L<sub>b</sub>$  from beam end while top strands (a.k.a. cut strands) are bonded up to  $0.25L<sub>b</sub>$  from beam end.

The objective of debonding is to maintain the eccentricity within the lower and upper bounds of the limit kern along the beam length. Adding cut strands at top of the beam for controlling the eccentricity by changing the total prestressing force and eccentricity complicates the design procedure. To assure the satisfaction of stress limits along the beam length, based on the designed strand profile, the upper and lower bounds of eccentricity should be recalculated at many sections along the span.





**Figure E-7. Longitudinal strand profile along half length of the beam in service**

# **APPENDIX F**

# **STANDARD SHEAR DETAILS**





**BEAM ELEVATION** 

**Figure F-1. Standard shear details of an interior bulb-tee beam**



**Figure F-2. Standard shear details of an interior box beam**

# **APPENDIX G**

## **DERIVATION FOR BOTTOM FLANGE SHORTENING CALCULATION**



Total bottom flange shortening at release;

$$
= \frac{PL}{AE} + 2Y = \frac{PL}{AE} + 2\left(\frac{2 \Delta_{init}}{0.5L}\right)\left(\frac{I_b}{S_b}\right)
$$

$$
= \frac{PL}{AE} + \frac{8\Delta_{init}}{L}\left(\frac{I_b}{S_b}\right)
$$

Note that 2Y in the above equation represents the deformation at both ends of the beam.

### Assume: 100 ft span with 3 in. initial camber.

Show that  $E = \Delta_{init}$ 



Solve for radius;

 $R^2 = 50^2 + (R - 0.25)^2 = 50^2 + R^2 - 0.5R + 0.0625$ 

Since 0.0625 is negligible,  $0.5R = 50^2$ 

 $R = 5000$  ft

$$
\alpha_{\text{rad}} = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{50}{5000}\right) = 0.01 \text{ rad}
$$

Length of arc =  $\hat{L} = R\alpha_{rad} = 5000 (0.01) = 50$  ft

Since the angle between T and R is 90 deg,

Length of tangent = T = R tan $\alpha$  = 5000 tan (0.01) = 50.002  $\approx$  50 ft

Therefore,  $T = \hat{L}$ 

$$
E = T \left( \tan \frac{I}{4} \right) = T \left( \tan \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) = 50 \tan \left( \frac{0.01}{2} \right) = 0.25 \text{ in.}
$$
  
 
$$
E = \Delta_{\text{init}} = 0.25 \text{ in.}
$$

# **APPENDIX H**

## **REFERENCES**

- AASHTO. (2014). *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*, Customary U.S. Units, 7<sup>th</sup> Ed., American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC.
- FHWA. (2003). *Comprehensive Design Example for Prestressed Concrete (PSC) Girder Superstructure Bridge with Commentary*, Report: FHWA NHI – 04 – 043, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC.
- Libby, J. R. (1977). Modern Prestressed Concrete, 2nd Ed., ISBN: 0-442-24781-8, Van Nostrand Reinhold Ltd., New York, NY.
- MDOT. (2017a). *Michigan Bridge Design Manual*, Michigan Department of Transportation, Lansing, MI.

<[http://mdotcf.state.mi.us/public/design/englishbridgemanual/>](http://mdotcf.state.mi.us/public/design/englishbridgemanual/) (Last access: 04/13/2017)

MDOT. (2017b). *Michigan Bridge Design Guide*, Michigan Department of Transportation, Lansing, MI.

[<http://mdotcf.state.mi.us/public/design/englishbridgeguides/>](http://mdotcf.state.mi.us/public/design/englishbridgeguides/) (Last access: 04/13/2017)

- MDOT. (2017c). *Road Design Manual*, Michigan Department of Transportation, Lansing, MI. < [http://mdotcf.state.mi.us/public/design/englishroadmanual/>](http://mdotcf.state.mi.us/public/design/englishroadmanual/) (Last access: 04/21/2017)
- MDOT (2002). Bridge Design System (BDS) output and example by Lazar from 2002, Michigan Department of Transportation, Lansing, Michigan.
- Naaman, A. E. (2012). *Prestressed Concrete Analysis and Design*, 3rd Ed., ISBN: 978 0 9674939 2 3, Techno Press 3000, Ann Arbor, MI.
- NCHRP. (2004). *Connection of Simple – Span Precast Concrete Girders for Continuity*, Report: 619, National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Washington, DC.
- Orr, D. M. F. (1986). "Magnel Diagrams for Composite Prestressed Concrete Beams", *Proceedings of Institution of Civil Engineers, Part 2 - Research and Theory*, 81(1), 71-80.
- PCI. (2011). PCI Bridge Design Manual, 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed., Precast/Prestressed Concrete Institute, Chicago,  $\Pi$ .
- PCI. (2010). PCI Design Handbook, 7<sup>th</sup> Ed., Precast/Prestressed Concrete Institute, Chicago, IL.
- PCI. (1978). Precast Segmental Box girder Bridge Manual, Precast/Prestressed Concrete Institute and Post-Tensioning Institute, Chicago, IL, and Phoenix, AZ.