DESIGN OF A HAMMERHEAD PIER AND FOUNDATIONS

Project Manager: Juan Alcantar, P.E.



Submitted By:

Upul Attanayake, Ph.D., P.E. Presidential Innovation Professor Western Michigan University (269) 276-3217 upul.attanayake@wmich.edu Yufeng Hu, Ph.D., P.E. Master Faculty Specialist Western Michigan University (269) 276-3310 <u>yufeng.hu@wmich.edu</u>



Western Michigan University

Department of Civil & Construction Engineering College of Engineering and Applied Sciences Kalamazoo, MI 49008-5316

May 10, 2023

DISCLAIMER

This publication is disseminated in the interest of information exchange. The Michigan Department of Transportation (hereinafter referred to as MDOT) expressly disclaims any liability, of any kind, or for any reason, that might otherwise arise out of any use of this publication or the information or data provided in the publication. MDOT further disclaims any responsibility for typographical errors or accuracy of the information provided or contained within this information. MDOT makes no warranties or representations whatsoever regarding the quality, content, completeness, suitability, adequacy, sequence, accuracy, or timeliness of the information and data provided, or that the contents represent standards, specifications, or regulations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project is funded by the Michigan Department of Transportation. It is carried out by the Michigan Department of Transportation Center of Excellence for Structural Durability at Western Michigan University. We, the authors, would like to acknowledge Mr. Juan Alcantar as the project manager and the advisory panel members for their assistance in finding relevant information, reviewing the drafts, and responding to our questions in a timely manner to successfully complete this example. We appreciate the contribution of Ms. Kanchani Basnayake and Mr. Harsha Amunugama for the development of this example.

Table of Contents

Section 7 Hammerhead Pier with Spread Footing

Step 7.1 Preliminary Dimensions

Step 7.2 Application of Dead Load

Step 7.3 Application of Live Load

Step 7.4 Application of Other Loads

Step 7.5 Combined Load Effects

Step 7.6 Pier Cap Design: Strut-and-Tie Method

Step 7.7 Pier Cap Design: Traditional Method

Step 7.8 Pier Column Design

Step 7.9 Geotechnical Design of the Footing

Step 7.10 Structural Design of the Footing

Section 8 Hammerhead Pier with Pile Foundation

Step 8.1 Preliminary Dimensions Step 8.2 Application of Dead Load Step 8.3 Application of Live Load Step 8.4 Application of Other Loads Step 8.5 Combined Load Effects Step 8.6 Pile Design

Step 8.7 Structural Design of the Footing

Section 7 Hammerhead Pier with Spread Footing Step 7.1 Preliminary Dimensions

Description

Þ

This section illustrates the design of a hammerhead pier supported by a spread footing for an interstate freeway bridge. The design is implemented in accordance with the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) policies published as of 09/30/2022. This design follows the requirements of the 9th Edition of the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specification, as modified and supplemented by the Bridge Design Manual

AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specification, as modified and supplemented by the Bridge Design Manual (BDM), Bridge Design Guides (BDG), and 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction (SSFC). Certain material and design parameters are selected to be in compliance with MDOT practice reflected in the Bridge Design System (BDS), the MDOT legacy software.

The pier is designed for the superstructure described in the *Two-Span Continuous Bridge Steel Plate Girder Design Example* developed by Attanayake et al. (2021). Refer to Section 2 of the *Design of Highway Bridge Abutments and Foundations Example* developed by Attanayake and Hu (2023) for the design criteria, bridge information, material properties, and soil types and properties.

4

These examples are available at https://mdotjboss.state.mi.us/SpecProv/trainingmaterials.htm#2108560.

The preliminary dimensions are selected based on site-specific conditions, highway agency standards, and past experience.

The following figure shows the pier geometry and dimensional variables:



The preliminary dimensions selected for this example are given below.

Pier cap length	$l_{cap} := W_{deck} = 63.75 \text{ ft}$
Pier cap end height	$h_{capend} := 5 ft$
Pier cap height	$h_{cap} := 11 ft$
Pier cap thickness	$t_{cap} := 4ft$
Length of the overhang	$l_{overhang} := 21.25 ft$
Column width	$w_{column} := 21.25 ft$
Column thickness	$t_{column} := 4ft$
Column height	$h_{column} := 14ft$
A spread footing is selected for this pier.	
Footing length	$l_{footing} := 32.25 ft$
Footing thickness	$t_{footing} := 3ft$
Footing width	$w_{footing} := 18ft$
Depth of soil above the footing	$h_{soil} := 3ft$

Note: The depth from the ground level to the bottom of the footing needs to be maintained at a minimum of 4 ft for frost depth. Typically, a one-foot deep soil profile is maintained with normal grading when the pier is at a median. The depth of the soil may change to 2 to 3 ft based on the pavement profile when the pier is closer to the pavement.

 $S = 9.719 \, ft$

 $Cover_{ft} := 4in$

 $l_{edge} := \frac{l_{cap} - S \cdot \left(N_{beams} - 1\right)}{2} = 2.719 \, \text{ft}$

BDM 8.02.N

BDG 5.16.01, 5.18.01, 5.22.01

Girder spacing

Distance from the exterior girder to the edge of the pier cap

Concrete Cover Requirements for Reinforcing Steel

Unless otherwise shown on the plans, the minimum concrete clear cover for reinforcement shall satisfy the following requirements:

Concrete cast against earth: 3 in.

For all other cases unless shown on plans: 2 in.

The following concrete cover is used since it is greater than the required minimum.

Cover for the footing

Since the concrete cover requirements for pier caps and columns are not provided in the BDM and BDG, the following dimensions are taken from the MDOT Sample Bridge Plans.

Cover for the pier cap	$Cover_{cap} := 3.5 ir$
Cover for the pier columns	$Cover_{col} := 4in$

Step 7.2 Application of Dead Load

Description

This step describes the application of the dead load on the hammerhead pier.

Dead Load Girder Reactions

The superstructure dead load reactions per bearing are taken from the *Steel Plate Girder Design Example*. All the beam seats are assumed to be at the same elevation.

When calculating superstructure loads on the substructure, 75% of the barrier dead load should be applied with the fascia beam load. The remaining 25% of the barrier load should be applied with the first interior girder load.

Note: The exterior and interior girder shear values presented in the *Steel Plate Girder Design Example* (Table 12 and 13) were calculated by equally distributing the barrier loads to all the girders. Therefore, the girder reactions over the pier due to barrier loads need to be recalculated as shown below.

Exterior Girders

Table 12 of the Steel Plate Girder Design Example

Reaction due to the weight of structural components and non-structural attachments (DC), including the stay-in-place formwork but excluding barrier weight $R_{DCEx noBarrier} := 161.4 \text{kip}$

Reaction due to 75% of the barrier weight (DB) on the exterior girder $R_{DCEx_barrier} := 44kip$ Total exterior girder reaction due to DC $R_{DCEx} := R_{DCEx_noBarrier} + R_{DCEx_barrier} = 205.4 \cdot kip$ Reaction due to the weight of the future wearing surface (DW) $R_{DWEx} := 26.6kip$ First Interior GirderTable 13 of the Steel Plate Girder Design Example

Reaction due to the weight of structural components and non-structural attachments (DC), including the stay-in-place formwork but excluding barrier weight

R_{DC1stIn noBarrier} := 190.4kip

 $R_{DC1stIn barrier} := 14.5 kip$

BDM 7.01.04.J

Reaction due to 25% of the barrier weight (DB) on the first interior girder

Total first interior girder reaction due to DC

Weight of the future wearing surface (DW)

Other Interior Girders

Table 13 of the Steel Plate Girder Design Example

 $R_{DC1stIn} := R_{DC1stIn noBarrier} + R_{DC1stIn barrier} = 204.9 \cdot kip$

Reaction due to the weight of structural components and non-structural attachments (DC), including the stay-in-place formwork but excluding barrier weight

 $R_{DCIn} := 190.4 kip$

 $R_{DWIn} := 26.4 kip$

Dead Load Calculation

Dead load of superstructure

Weight of structural components and non-structural attachments (DC)

Weight of future wearing surface (DW)

 $DC_{Sup} \coloneqq 2 \cdot R_{DCEx} + 2 \cdot R_{DC1stIn} + (N_{beams} - 4) \cdot R_{DCIn}$ $DC_{Sup} = 1.392 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip$

 $DW_{Sup} := 2 \cdot R_{DWEx} + (N_{beams} - 2) \cdot R_{DWIn} = 185.2 \cdot kip$

Pier cap weight
$$DC_{cap} := W_c \cdot t_{cap} \cdot \left[2 \cdot \left(\frac{h_{capend} + h_{cap}}{2} \right) \cdot l_{overhang} + h_{cap} \cdot w_{column} \right] = 344.25 \cdot kip$$
Pier column weight $DC_{column} := W_c \cdot t_{column} \cdot h_{column} \cdot w_{column} = 178.5 \cdot kip$ Pier footing weight $DC_{footing} := W_c \cdot w_{footing} \cdot t_{footing} \cdot l_{footing} = 261.225 \cdot kip$

Step 7.3 Application of Live Load

Description

This step describes the application of the live load on the hammerhead pier.

Girder Reactions Due to Live Load on a Single Lane

MDOT uses a modified version of the HL-93 loading in the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications. The combination of a single design truck load, a single 60-kip load (axle load), or a two design truck load for continuous spans, and a design lane load are multiplied by a factor of 1.2 to designate the design loading as HL-93 Mod.

Factor for HL-93 Mod	$f_{HL93Mod} \coloneqq 1.2$	BDM 7.01.04-A
Dynamic Load Allowance	IM := 0.33	LRFD Table 3.6.2.1-1

Several software programs are available for designers to calculate the maximum live load effects by developing 3D bridge models and simulating live load positions along and across the lanes. However, this example demonstrates a commonly used, easy-to-implement, approach for the same purpose. The process includes the following steps:

- 1. Develop a single line girder model representing girder cross-sections, effective deck cross-section, composite and noncomposite segments of the girder, and boundary conditions.
- 2. Apply relevant truck and lane loads as independent loads to calculate the maximum reaction per lane at the girder support over the pier. For example, refer to Table A-2 and A-4 in the *Steel Plate Girder Design Example* for loads and the format of results.
- 3. Multiply selected support reactions with applicable factors. For example, the support reaction due to truck load is multiplied by the impact factor. When the support reactions are due to the truck pair for continuous spans, both reactions due to truck and lane loads are multiplied by a factor of 0.9 to account for the 10% reduction specified in the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications.
- 4. Calculate an equivalent pair of wheel loads, P_{wheel}, that will result in the same support reactions developed by the truck load on a single lane.
- 5. Calculate an equivalent 10-ft long line load, W_{lane}, that will result in the same support reactions developed by the lane load applied on a single lane.
- 6. Apply these P_{wheel} and W_{lane} loads on the bridge deck to generate girder end reactions that will ultimately result in the maximum force effects in the pier cap, columns, and footing.

Since the load distribution factors in the LRFD Specifications are not used in this process to calculate girder end reactions, a multiple presence factor is applied to the truck and lane loads depending on the number of design lanes considered in the analysis.

MPF(lane) :=	1.2 if lane = 1	
	1.0 if lane = 2	
	0.85 if lane = 3	
	0.65 otherwise	

The *Steel Plate Girder Design Example* presents unfactored girder reactions for truck and lane loads. The following three live load cases are used in the *Steel Plate Girder Design Example* to determine the design forces and moments:

Case 1: design truck + design lane,

Case 2: a single 60-kip axle load + design lane, and

Case 3: 90% of two design trucks spaced a minimum of 50-ft apart + 90% of design lane.

Case 1 is the governing case for girder reactions over the pier. Case 1 reactions given in Appendix A of the *Steel Plate Girder Design Example* on a per-lane basis do not include the factors for HL-93 Mod and the dynamic load allowance.

Table A-2 and A-4 in the *Steel Plate Girder Design Example* present the exterior and interior girder reactions per lane. As shown in Table A-2 and A-4, the exterior girder reactions are slightly greater than the interior girder reactions. For this design, exterior girder reactions are used to calculate P_{wheel} and W_{lane} loads.

LRFD Table 3.6.1.1.2-1

LRFD 3.6.1.3.1

Since the load Case 1 (i.e. the design truck + lane load combination) produces the maximum reactions over the pier, the following loads are selected.

Maximum reaction at the girder supports over the pier due to the design truck load

 $V_{\text{Truck}} \coloneqq 67.6 \text{kip}$

Table A-2 of the Steel Plate Girder Design Example

Maximum reaction at the girder supports over the pier due to the design lane load

 $V_{Lane} := 40.5 kip$

Table A-2 of the Steel Plate Girder Design Example

The unfactored concentrated load representing the girder reaction per wheel line for pier cap and column design

$$P_{\text{wheel}} \coloneqq \frac{V_{\text{Truck}}}{2} \cdot f_{\text{HL93Mod}} \cdot (1 + \text{IM}) = 53.945 \cdot \text{kip}$$

Because of the damping effect of soil, only the static effects of the design truck or tandem are considered for the design of footings. Hence, the unfactored concentrated load per wheel line is calculated by excluding the dynamic load allowance as shown below.

$$P_{wheel_{ft}} := \frac{V_{Truck}}{2} \cdot f_{HL93Mod} = 40.56 \cdot kip$$

Next, the unfactored uniformly distributed load representing girder reactions over the pier due to the design lane load is calculated. This load is transversely distributed over a 10 ft wide strip. The dynamic load allowance is not applied to this load.

$$W_{lane} := \frac{V_{Lane} \cdot f_{HL93Mod}}{10ft} = 4.86 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

Critical Live Load Positions and Girder Reactions for Pier Cap and Column Design

This superstructure can accommodate a maximum of five (5) 12-ft wide design lanes. Therefore, the maximum live load effects on the pier cap, column, and footing are determined by considering a combination of one, two, three, four, or five loaded lanes. Since the width of the lane load is 10 ft and the axle is 6 ft, these loads are placed across the 12-ft wide lane to develop the girder end reactions that ultimately result in the maximum force effects on the pier cap, column, and footing.

The following figure illustrates the controlling truck and lane load positions when all five lanes are loaded. The Lane 5 load is placed closer to the barrier to develop the maximum moment in the overhang portion of the pier cap. LRFD 3.6.2.1

LRFD 3.6.1

LRFD 3.6.2.1



The next step is to compute the reactions due to the above loads at each of the seven bearing locations. The reactions are calculated by assuming that the deck is pinned at the interior girder locations while being continuous over the exterior girders.

Only Lane 5 loaded

$$R_{G5} := \frac{P_{wheel} \cdot (8.8125 \text{ ft} + 2.2125 \text{ ft}) + W_{lane} \cdot 10 \text{ ft} \cdot 5.8125 \text{ ft}}{S} = 90.261 \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$R_{F5} := P_{wheel} \cdot 2 + W_{lane} \cdot 10ft - R_{G5} = 66.228 \cdot kip$$

$$R_{A5} := 0$$
 $R_{B5} := 0$ $R_{C5} := 0$ $R_{D5} := 0$ $R_{E5} := 0$

Only Lane 4 loaded

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{R}_{F4} &\coloneqq \frac{\mathsf{P}_{wheel} \cdot (6.53125 \, \text{ft} + 0.53125 \, \text{ft}) + \mathsf{W}_{lane} \cdot 8.53125 \, \text{ft} \cdot (0.5 \cdot 8.53125 \, \text{ft})}{\mathsf{S}} = 57.399 \cdot \text{kip} \\ \mathsf{R}_{D4} &\coloneqq \frac{\mathsf{W}_{lane} \cdot 1.46875 \, \text{ft} \cdot (0.5 \cdot 1.46875 \, \text{ft})}{\mathsf{S}} = 0.539 \cdot \text{kip} \\ \mathsf{R}_{E4} &\coloneqq \mathsf{P}_{wheel} \cdot 2 + \mathsf{W}_{lane} \cdot 10 \, \text{ft} - \mathsf{R}_{F4} - \mathsf{R}_{D4} = 98.551 \cdot \text{kip} \\ \mathsf{R}_{A4} &\coloneqq 0 \qquad \mathsf{R}_{B4} \coloneqq 0 \qquad \mathsf{R}_{C4} \coloneqq 0 \qquad \mathsf{R}_{G4} \coloneqq 0 \end{split}$$

Only Lane 3 loaded

$$R_{E3} := \frac{P_{wheel} \cdot (4.25 \text{ ft}) + W_{lane} \cdot 6.25 \text{ ft} \cdot (0.5 \cdot 6.25 \text{ ft})}{\text{S}} = 33.357 \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$R_{C3} := \frac{P_{wheel} \cdot (1.75 \text{ ft}) + W_{lane} \cdot 3.75 \text{ ft} \cdot (0.5 \cdot 3.75 \text{ ft})}{\text{S}} = 13.23 \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$R_{D3} := P_{wheel} \cdot 2 + W_{lane} \cdot 10 \text{ ft} - R_{E3} - R_{C3} = 109.903 \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$R_{A3} := 0 \qquad R_{B3} := 0 \qquad R_{F3} := 0 \qquad R_{G3} := 0$$
Only Lane 2 loaded
$$P_{ext} = 1! (1.96875 \text{ ft}) + W_{lane} \cdot 3.96875 \text{ ft} \cdot (0.5 \cdot 3.96875 \text{ ft})$$

$$R_{D2} := \frac{P_{wheel} \cdot (1.96875ff) + W_{lane} \cdot 3.96875ff \cdot (0.5 \cdot 3.96875ff)}{S} = 14.866 \cdot kip$$

$$R_{B2} := \frac{P_{wheel} \cdot (4.03125ff) + W_{lane} \cdot 6.03125ff \cdot (0.5 \cdot 6.03125ff)}{S} = 31.471 \cdot kip$$

$$R_{C2} := P_{wheel} \cdot 2 + W_{lane} \cdot 10ff - R_{B2} - R_{D2} = 110.153 \cdot kip$$

$$R_{A2} := 0 \qquad R_{E2} := 0 \qquad R_{F2} := 0 \qquad R_{G2} := 0$$

Only Lane 1 loaded

$$R_{A1} := \frac{P_{wheel} \cdot (0.3125 \text{ft} + 6.3125 \text{ft}) + W_{lane} \cdot 8.3125 \text{ft} \cdot (0.5 \cdot 8.3125 \text{ft})}{S} = 54.049 \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$R_{C1} := \frac{W_{lane} \cdot 1.6875 \text{ft} \cdot (0.5 \cdot 1.6875 \text{ft})}{\text{S}} = 0.712 \cdot \text{kip}$$

 $R_{B1} \coloneqq P_{wheel} \cdot 2 + W_{lane} \cdot 10ft - R_{A1} - R_{C1} = 101.728 \cdot kip$

 $\label{eq:RD1} \textbf{R}_{D1} \coloneqq \textbf{0} \qquad \textbf{R}_{E1} \coloneqq \textbf{0} \qquad \textbf{R}_{F1} \coloneqq \textbf{0} \qquad \textbf{R}_{G1} \coloneqq \textbf{0}$

Unfactored Live Load Girder Reactions under Different Load Cases

The following are the calculations for live load girder reactions with Lane 5 loaded, Lanes 4 and 5 loaded, Lanes 3 to 5 loaded, Lanes 2 to 5 loaded, and all 5 lanes loaded cases:

Only Lane 5 loaded

$$\begin{split} & R_{A_1L} \coloneqq R_{A5} \cdot MPF(1) = 0 & R_{B_1L} \coloneqq R_{B5} \cdot MPF(1) = 0 & R_{C_1L} \coloneqq R_{C5} \cdot MPF(1) = 0 \\ & R_{D_1L} \coloneqq R_{D5} \cdot MPF(1) = 0 & R_{E_1L} \coloneqq R_{E5} \cdot MPF(1) = 0 & R_{F_1L} \coloneqq R_{F5} \cdot MPF(1) = 79.474 \cdot kip \\ & R_{G_1L} \coloneqq R_{G5} \cdot MPF(1) = 108.314 \cdot kip \end{split}$$

Lanes 4 and 5 loaded

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{A_2L} := (R_{A4} + R_{A5}) \cdot MPF(2) = 0 \\ &R_{C_2L} := (R_{C4} + R_{C5}) \cdot MPF(2) = 0 \\ &R_{E_2L} := (R_{E4} + R_{E5}) \cdot MPF(2) = 98.551 \cdot kip \\ &R_{G_2L} := (R_{G4} + R_{G5}) \cdot MPF(2) = 90.261 \cdot kip \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} & R_{B_{2L}} \coloneqq \left(R_{B4} + R_{B5} \right) \cdot MPF(2) = 0 \\ & R_{D_{2L}} \coloneqq \left(R_{D4} + R_{D5} \right) \cdot MPF(2) = 0.539 \cdot kip \\ & R_{F_{2L}} \coloneqq \left(R_{F4} + R_{F5} \right) \cdot MPF(2) = 123.627 \cdot kip \end{split}$$

Lanes 3 to 5 loaded

$$\begin{aligned} R_{A_{3L}} &\coloneqq \left(R_{A3} + R_{A4} + R_{A5} \right) \cdot MPF(3) = 0 \end{aligned} \qquad I \\ R_{C_{3L}} &\coloneqq \left(R_{C3} + R_{C4} + R_{C5} \right) \cdot MPF(3) = 11.245 \cdot kip \end{aligned} \qquad I \\ R_{E_{3L}} &\coloneqq \left(R_{E3} + R_{E4} + R_{E5} \right) \cdot MPF(3) = 112.122 \cdot kip \end{aligned} \qquad I \\ R_{G_{3L}} &\coloneqq \left(R_{G3} + R_{G4} + R_{G5} \right) \cdot MPF(3) = 76.722 \cdot kip \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} R_{B_3L} &\coloneqq \left(R_{B3} + R_{B4} + R_{B5} \right) \cdot MPF(3) = 0 \\ \text{ip} \quad R_{D_3L} &\coloneqq \left(R_{D3} + R_{D4} + R_{D5} \right) \cdot MPF(3) = 93.876 \cdot \text{kip} \\ \text{kip} \quad R_{F_3L} &\coloneqq \left(R_{F3} + R_{F4} + R_{F5} \right) \cdot MPF(3) = 105.083 \cdot \text{kip} \end{split}$$

Lanes 2 to 5 loaded

$$\begin{split} & R_{A_4L} \coloneqq \left(R_{A2} + R_{A3} + R_{A4} + R_{A5} \right) \cdot MPF(4) = 0 \\ & R_{B_4L} \coloneqq \left(R_{B2} + R_{B3} + R_{B4} + R_{B5} \right) \cdot MPF(4) = 20.456 \cdot kip \\ & R_{C_4L} \coloneqq \left(R_{C2} + R_{C3} + R_{C4} + R_{C5} \right) \cdot MPF(4) = 80.198 \cdot kip \\ & R_{D_4L} \coloneqq \left(R_{D2} + R_{D3} + R_{D4} + R_{D5} \right) \cdot MPF(4) = 81.45 \cdot kip \\ & R_{E_4L} \coloneqq \left(R_{E2} + R_{E3} + R_{E4} + R_{E5} \right) \cdot MPF(4) = 85.74 \cdot kip \\ & R_{F_4L} \coloneqq \left(R_{F2} + R_{F3} + R_{F4} + R_{F5} \right) \cdot MPF(4) = 80.358 \cdot kip \\ & R_{G_4L} \coloneqq \left(R_{G2} + R_{G3} + R_{G4} + R_{G5} \right) \cdot MPF(4) = 58.67 \cdot kip \end{split}$$

All 5 lanes loaded

$$\begin{split} & R_{A_{5L}} \coloneqq \left(R_{A1} + R_{A2} + R_{A3} + R_{A4} + R_{A5} \right) \cdot MPF(5) = 35.132 \cdot kip \\ & R_{B_{5L}} \coloneqq \left(R_{B1} + R_{B2} + R_{B3} + R_{B4} + R_{B5} \right) \cdot MPF(5) = 86.58 \cdot kip \\ & R_{C_{5L}} \coloneqq \left(R_{C1} + R_{C2} + R_{C3} + R_{C4} + R_{C5} \right) \cdot MPF(5) = 80.661 \cdot kip \\ & R_{D_{5L}} \coloneqq \left(R_{D1} + R_{D2} + R_{D3} + R_{D4} + R_{D5} \right) \cdot MPF(5) = 81.45 \cdot kip \\ & R_{E_{5L}} \coloneqq \left(R_{E1} + R_{E2} + R_{E3} + R_{E4} + R_{E5} \right) \cdot MPF(5) = 85.74 \cdot kip \\ & R_{F_{5L}} \coloneqq \left(R_{F1} + R_{F2} + R_{F3} + R_{F4} + R_{F5} \right) \cdot MPF(5) = 80.358 \cdot kip \\ & R_{G_{5L}} \coloneqq \left(R_{G1} + R_{G2} + R_{G3} + R_{G4} + R_{G5} \right) \cdot MPF(5) = 58.67 \cdot kip \end{split}$$

Girder Reactions Due to Live Load for Footing Design

Because of the damping effect of soil, the dynamic impact is excluded when the live load effects are calculated for the design of foundations. Calculations below include an equivalent pair of wheel loads, $P_{wheel_{ft}}$, that will result in the same support reactions developed by a truck load on a single lane without the dynamic amplification. As described below, this wheel load is applied on predefined lanes to calculate girder end reactions.

Only Lane 5 loaded

$$\begin{split} R_{G5_{ft}} &:= \frac{P_{wheel_{ft}} \cdot (8.8125 ft + 2.2125 ft) + W_{lane} \cdot 10 ft \cdot 5.8125 ft}{S} = 75.078 \cdot kip \\ R_{F5_{ft}} &:= P_{wheel_{ft}} \cdot 2 + W_{lane} \cdot 10 ft - R_{G5_{ft}} = 54.642 \cdot kip \\ R_{A5_{ft}} &:= 0 \qquad R_{B5_{ft}} := 0 \qquad R_{C5_{ft}} := 0 \qquad R_{D5_{ft}} := 0 \qquad R_{E5_{ft}} := 0 \end{split}$$

Only Lane 4 loaded

$$R_{F4_{ft}} := \frac{P_{wheel_{ft}} \cdot (6.53125ft + 0.53125ft) + W_{lane} \cdot 8.53125ft \cdot (0.5 \cdot 8.53125ft)}{S} = 47.672 \cdot kip$$

$$R_{D4_{ft}} := \frac{W_{lane} \cdot 1.46875 \text{ft} \cdot (0.5 \cdot 1.46875 \text{ft})}{\text{S}} = 0.539 \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$R_{E4_{ft}} := P_{wheel_{ft}} \cdot 2 + W_{lane} \cdot 10 \text{ft} - R_{F4_{ft}} - R_{D4_{ft}} = 81.508 \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$R_{A4_{ft}} := 0 \qquad R_{B4_{ft}} := 0 \qquad R_{C4_{ft}} := 0 \qquad R_{G4_{ft}} := 0$$

Only Lane 3 loaded

$$R_{E3_ft} := \frac{P_{wheel_ft} \cdot (4.25 \text{ ft}) + W_{lane} \cdot 6.25 \text{ ft} \cdot (0.5 \cdot 6.25 \text{ ft})}{\text{S}} = 27.504 \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$R_{C3_ft} := \frac{P_{wheel_ft} \cdot (1.75 \text{ ft}) + W_{lane} \cdot 3.75 \text{ ft} \cdot (0.5 \cdot 3.75 \text{ ft})}{\text{S}} = 10.819 \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$R_{D3_ft} := P_{wheel_ft} \cdot 2 + W_{lane} \cdot 10 \text{ ft} - R_{E3_ft} - R_{C3_ft} = 91.397 \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$R_{A3 \ ft} := 0$$
 $R_{B3 \ ft} := 0$ $R_{F3 \ ft} := 0$ $R_{G3 \ ft} := 0$

Only Lane 2 loaded

$$R_{D2_{ft}} := \frac{P_{wheel_{ft}} \cdot (1.96875 ft) + W_{lane} \cdot 3.96875 ft \cdot (0.5 \cdot 3.96875 ft)}{S} = 12.155 \cdot kip$$

$$R_{B2_{ft}} := \frac{P_{wheel_{ft}} \cdot (4.03125 ft) + W_{lane} \cdot 6.03125 ft \cdot (0.5 \cdot 6.03125 ft)}{S} = 25.919 \cdot kip$$

$$R_{C2_{ft}} := P_{wheel_{ft}} \cdot 2 + W_{lane} \cdot 10 ft - R_{B2_{ft}} - R_{D2_{ft}} = 91.646 \cdot kip$$

$$R_{A2_{ft}} := 0 \qquad R_{E2_{ft}} := 0 \qquad R_{F2_{ft}} := 0 \qquad R_{G2_{ft}} := 0$$

Only Lane 1 loaded

$$\begin{split} R_{A1_ft} &\coloneqq \frac{P_{wheel_ft} \cdot (0.3125ft + 6.3125ft) + W_{lane} \cdot 8.3125ft \cdot (0.5 \cdot 8.3125ft)}{S} = 44.925 \cdot kip \\ R_{C1_ft} &\coloneqq \frac{W_{lane} \cdot 1.6875ft \cdot (0.5 \cdot 1.6875ft)}{S} = 0.712 \cdot kip \\ R_{B1_ft} &\coloneqq P_{wheel_ft} \cdot 2 + W_{lane} \cdot 10ft - R_{A1_ft} - R_{C1_ft} = 84.083 \cdot kip \\ R_{D1_ft} &\coloneqq 0 \qquad R_{E1_ft} \coloneqq 0 \qquad R_{F1_ft} \coloneqq 0 \qquad R_{G1_ft} \coloneqq 0 \end{split}$$

The design live load should be placed to generate the maximum soil bearing pressure. The greatest eccentricity and loads to maximize the soil bearing pressure are developed when the live load is on all 5 lanes.

Girder end reactions due to live load on all five lanes

$$\begin{split} & R_{AFt_5L} \coloneqq \left(R_{A1_ft} + R_{A2_ft} + R_{A3_ft} + R_{A4_ft} + R_{A5_ft} \right) \cdot MPF(5) = 29.201 \cdot kip \\ & R_{BFt_5L} \coloneqq \left(R_{B1_ft} + R_{B2_ft} + R_{B3_ft} + R_{B4_ft} + R_{B5_ft} \right) \cdot MPF(5) = 71.501 \cdot kip \\ & R_{CFt_5L} \coloneqq \left(R_{C1_ft} + R_{C2_ft} + R_{C3_ft} + R_{C4_ft} + R_{C5_ft} \right) \cdot MPF(5) = 67.066 \cdot kip \\ & R_{DFt_5L} \coloneqq \left(R_{D1_ft} + R_{D2_ft} + R_{D3_ft} + R_{D4_ft} + R_{D5_ft} \right) \cdot MPF(5) = 67.659 \cdot kip \\ & R_{EFt_5L} \coloneqq \left(R_{E1_ft} + R_{E2_ft} + R_{E3_ft} + R_{E4_ft} + R_{E5_ft} \right) \cdot MPF(5) = 70.858 \cdot kip \\ & R_{FFt_5L} \coloneqq \left(R_{F1_ft} + R_{F2_ft} + R_{F3_ft} + R_{F4_ft} + R_{F5_ft} \right) \cdot MPF(5) = 66.505 \cdot kip \\ & R_{GFt_5L} \coloneqq \left(R_{G1_ft} + R_{G2_ft} + R_{G3_ft} + R_{G4_ft} + R_{G5_ft} \right) \cdot MPF(5) = 48.801 \cdot kip \end{split}$$

The total unfactored live load at the footing when all 5 lanes are loaded

 $R_{LLFooting} := R_{AFt_5L} + R_{BFt_5L} + R_{CFt_5L} + R_{DFt_5L} + R_{EFt_5L} + R_{FFt_5L} + R_{GFt_5L} = 421.59 \cdot kip$

Step 7.4 Application of Other Loads

Description

This step describes the application of braking force, wind load, temperature load, earth load, and vehicle collision load. Other loads, such as ice load and centrifugal force, are not applicable for this example. For illustrative purposes, the calculation of ice load and centrifugal force are presented in Appendix 5.B and 5.C.

PageContent19Braking Force19Wind Load24Temperature Load

- 24 Vertical Earth Load
- 24 Vehicle Collision Load

Braking Force

Since the abutments have expansion bearings, the fixed bearings at the pier resist the braking force along the longitudinal direction of the bridge.

The braking force (BR) shall be taken as the greater of:

- 25% of the axle weight of the design truck / tandem
- 5% of the design truck / tandem weight plus lane load.

The braking force is applied on all design lanes assuming that the bridge carries traffic in one direction.

Braking force per lane due to 25% of the axle weight of the design truck / tandem

 $BR_1 := 25\% \cdot (32kip + 32kip + 8kip) = 18 \cdot kip$

Braking force per lane due to 5% of the design truck / tandem weight plus lane load

$$BR_2 := 5\% \cdot \left(72kip + 0.64 \frac{kip}{ft} \cdot 2L_{span}\right) = 10 \cdot kip$$

Note: The MDOT practice, as reflected in BDS, is to take only 5% of the design truck plus lane load as the breaking force. In addition, the HL-93 modification factor is not included in the braking force calculation. This example follows MDOT practice.

Braking force selected for the design $BRK := BR_2 = 10 \cdot kip$

Next, calculate the braking force considering 1 to 5 loaded lanes.

Braking force due to 1 loaded lane	$BRK_{1L} := BRK \cdot MPF(1) = 12 \cdot kip$
Braking force due to 2 loaded lanes	$BRK_{2L} := 2BRK \cdot MPF(2) = 20 \cdot kip$
Braking force due to 3 loaded lanes	$BRK_{3L} := 3BRK \cdot MPF(3) = 25.5 \cdot kip$
Braking force due to 4 loaded lanes	$BRK_{4L} := 4BRK \cdot MPF(4) = 26 \cdot kip$
Braking force due to 5 loaded lanes	$BRK_{5L} := 5BRK \cdot MPF(5) = 32.5 \cdot kip$

The braking force is assumed to be equally shared by the bearings at the pier.

The braking force shall be assumed to act horizontally at a distance of 6 ft above the roadway surface.

Note: The MDOT practice is to apply the horizontal component of the breaking force at the bearings. The impact of the eccentricity of the load with respect to the bearing elevation is not considered.

Wind Load

Since the expansion bearings are located over the abutments, the fixed bearings at the pier resist the longitudinal component of the wind load acting on the superstructure.

Wind Load on Superstructure

To calculate the wind load acting on the superstructure, the total depth from the top of the barrier to the bottom of the girder is considered. Then, the wind exposure area is calculated by multiplying the tributary length for a specific direction and the superstructure depth. Finally, the wind load is calculated by multiplying the wind pressure and the wind exposure area.

Since the expansion bearings at the abutment are restrained in the transverse direction, the tributary length for the transverse direction wind load on the pier with fixed bearings is equal to one-half of each adjacent span. Because of the expansion bearings at the abutments, the entire bridge length is selected as the tributary length for the longitudinal direction.

LRFD 3.8.1.1, 3.8.1.2

LRFD 3.6.4

LRFD 3.6.4

Tributary length for the transverse wind load on superstructure	$L_{WindT} := L_{span} = 100 ft$	One half of each adjacent span
Tributary length for the longitudinal wind load on superstructure	$L_{WindL} := 2L_{span} = 200 \text{ft}$	Length of entire superstructure
Effective area for the transverse wind load on superstructure	$A_{WSuperT} := D_{total} \cdot L_{Wind}$	$T = 708.333 \text{ ft}^2$
Effective area for the longitudinal wind load on superstructure	$A_{WSuperL} := D_{total} \cdot L_{Wind}$	$L = 1.417 \times 10^3 \text{ ft}^2$
Basic wind speed (mph) for Strength III load combination	$V_{wStrIII} := 115$	LRFD Figure 3.8.1.1.2-1
Basic wind speed (mph) for Strength V load combination	$V_{wStrV} := 80$	LRFD Table 3.8.1.1.2-1
Basic wind speed (mph) for Service I load combination	$V_{wSerI} := 70$	LRFD Table 3.8.1.1.2-1
Gust effect factor	Gust := 1 LRFD	Table 3.8.1.2.1-1, no sound barrier
Drag coefficient, superstructure	$C_{DSup} := 1.3$	LRFD Table 3.8.1.2.1-2
Superstructure height (ft) when the height is less than 33 ft	Z := 33	LRFD 3.8.1.2.1
Wind exposure category for the site	В	
Pressure exposure and elevation coefficient for Strength III and Service IV load combinations	$K_{ZSup} \coloneqq \frac{\left(2.5 \cdot \ln\left(\frac{Z}{0.9832}\right)\right)}{345.6}$	$(+6.87)^2$ = 0.709 LRFD Eq. 3.8.1.2.1-2
The wind pressure acting on the superstructure i	s calculated for different load combi	nations. LRFD Eq. 3.8.1.2.1-1
Wind pressure on the superstructure (ksf), Strength III	$P_{ZSup.StrIII} \coloneqq 2.56 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot K$	$V_{\text{ZSup}} \cdot V_{\text{wStrIII}}^2 \cdot \text{Gust} \cdot \text{C}_{\text{DSup}} = 0.031$
Wind pressure on the superstructure (ksf), Strength V	$P_{ZSup.StrV} \coloneqq 2.56 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot V$	2 ·Gust·C _{DSup} = 0.021
Wind pressure on the superstructure (ksf), Service I	$P_{ZSup.SerI} \coloneqq 2.56 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot V_{v}$	$vSerI^2 \cdot Gust \cdot C_{DSup} = 0.016$
The superstructure wind load acting on the pier measured from a line perpendicular to the longit	depends on the wind attack angle w udinal axis of the bridge.	thich is LRFD 3.8.1.2.2
Since the span length and height of the bridge as following wind load components are used:	re less than 150 ft and 33 ft respectiv	LRFD 3.8.1.2.3a
 Transverse: 100 percent of the wind the longitudinal axis of Longitudinal: 25 percent of the transport of t	d load calculated based on the wind of the bridge Isverse load.	direction perpendicular to
Only the pier has fixed bearings. Therefore, the is equally shared by the bearings at the pier.	longitudinal component of the wind	l load on the superstructure

Total depth of the superstructure

 $D_{total} := h_{Railing} + t_{Deck} + t_{Haunch} + d_{Girder} = 7.083 \text{ ft}$

Wind load at each bearing due to the transverse wind loads on the superstructure, Strength III

Wind load at each bearing due to the transverse wind loads on the superstructure, Strength V

Wind load at each bearing due to the transverse wind loads on the superstructure, Service I

Wind load at each bearing due to the longitudinal wind loads on the superstructure, Strength III

Wind load at each bearing due to the longitudinal wind loads on the superstructure, Strength V

Wind load at each bearing due to the longitudinal wind loads on the superstructure, Service I

$$\begin{split} & \mathrm{WS}_{\mathrm{TStrIII}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ZSup.StrIII}} \cdot \mathrm{ksf} \cdot \mathrm{AWSuperT}}{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{beams}}} = 3.158 \cdot \mathrm{kip} \\ & \mathrm{WS}_{\mathrm{TStrV}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ZSup.StrV}} \cdot \mathrm{ksf} \cdot \mathrm{AWSuperT}}{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{beams}}} = 2.155 \cdot \mathrm{kip} \\ & \mathrm{WS}_{\mathrm{TSerI}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ZSup.SerI}} \cdot \mathrm{ksf} \cdot \mathrm{AWSuperT}}{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{beams}}} = 1.65 \cdot \mathrm{kip} \\ & \mathrm{WS}_{\mathrm{LStrIII}} \coloneqq \mathrm{WS}_{\mathrm{TStrIII}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{AWSuperL}}{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{WSuperT}}} \cdot 0.25 = 1.579 \cdot \mathrm{kip} \\ & \mathrm{WS}_{\mathrm{LStrV}} \coloneqq \mathrm{WS}_{\mathrm{TStrV}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{AWSuperL}}{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{WSuperT}}} \cdot 0.25 = 1.078 \cdot \mathrm{kip} \\ & \mathrm{WS}_{\mathrm{LSerI}} \coloneqq \mathrm{WS}_{\mathrm{TSerI}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{AWSuperL}}{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{WSuperT}}} \cdot 0.25 = 0.825 \cdot \mathrm{kip} \end{split}$$

The transverse load acting on the superstructure also applies a moment to the pier cap. This moment acts about the transverse centerline of the pier cap and induces vertical loads at the bearings, as illustrated in the following figure.



The following calculations show the moments about the longitudinal axis of the bridge due to transverse wind loads on the superstructure:

Strength III
$$M_{TStrIII} := P_{ZSup.StrIII} \cdot ksf \cdot A_{WSuperT} \cdot \frac{D_{total}}{2} = 78.285 \cdot kip \cdot ft$$
Strength V $M_{TStrV} := P_{ZSup.StrV} \cdot ksf \cdot A_{WSuperT} \cdot \frac{D_{total}}{2} = 53.433 \cdot kip \cdot ft$ Service I $M_{TSerI} := P_{ZSup.SerI} \cdot ksf \cdot A_{WSuperT} \cdot \frac{D_{total}}{2} = 40.91 \cdot kip \cdot ft$ Moment of inertia of the girders (as a group) $I_{girders} := 2 \cdot (3S)^2 + 2(2S)^2 + 2 \cdot S^2 = 2.645 \times 10^3 \text{ ft}^2$ The magnitude of the vertical forces acting on the bearings is calculated below.Vertical forces at bearings A and G, Strength III $R_{WS} \quad AGStrIII := \frac{M_{TStrIII} \cdot (3S)}{1 + 1 + 1 + 1} = 0.863 \cdot kip$

Igirders

21

Vertical forces at bearings A and G, Strength V
$$R_{WS_AGStrV} := \frac{M_{TStrV} \cdot (3S)}{I_{girders}} = 0.589 \cdot kip$$
Vertical forces at bearings A and G, Service I $R_{WS_AGSerI} := \frac{M_{TSerI} \cdot (3S)}{I_{girders}} = 0.451 \cdot kip$ Vertical forces at bearings B and F, Strength III $R_{WS_BFStrIII} := \frac{M_{TStrII} \cdot (2S)}{I_{girders}} = 0.575 \cdot kip$ Vertical forces at bearings B and F, Strength V $R_{WS_BFStrV} := \frac{M_{TSerI} \cdot (2S)}{I_{girders}} = 0.393 \cdot kip$ Vertical forces at bearings B and F, Strength V $R_{WS_BFStrV} := \frac{M_{TSerI} \cdot (2S)}{I_{girders}} = 0.301 \cdot kip$ Vertical forces at bearings B and F, Strength III $R_{WS_BFSerI} := \frac{M_{TSerI} \cdot (2S)}{I_{girders}} = 0.301 \cdot kip$ Vertical forces at bearings C and E, Strength III $R_{WS_CEStrIII} := \frac{M_{TStrII} \cdot (S)}{I_{girders}} = 0.288 \cdot kip$ Vertical forces at bearings C and E, Strength V $R_{WS_CEStrV} := \frac{M_{TStrIV} \cdot (S)}{I_{girders}} = 0.196 \cdot kip$ Vertical forces at bearings C and E, Strength V $R_{WS_CEStrV} := \frac{M_{TSerI} \cdot (S)}{I_{girders}} = 0.196 \cdot kip$ Vertical forces at bearings C and E, Strength V $R_{WS_CEStrV} := \frac{M_{TSerI} \cdot (S)}{I_{girders}} = 0.196 \cdot kip$

Note: The MDOT practice is to equally distribute the horizontal component of the transverse wind load to the bearings and neglect the effect of eccentricity. The above calculation is for illustrative purposes only. The vertical forces induced at the bearings by the eccentric transverse wind load are not considered in the design.

Vertical Wind Load

LRFD 3.8.2 The vertical upward wind load is calculated as 0.02 ksf times the width of the deck for the Strength III load combination. This line load is applied at the windward quarter of the deck width.

Note: Since the MDOT practice is not to consider the vertical wind load, it is excluded from the analysis and design presented in this example.

Wind Load on the Substructure

Drag coefficient for the substructure

 $C_{DSub} \coloneqq 1.6$ The wind pressure acting on the substructure is calculated for different load combinations.

Wind pressure on the substructure (ksf), Strength III	$P_{ZSub.StrIII} \coloneqq 2.56 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot K_{ZSup} \cdot V_{wStrIII}^{2} \cdot Gust \cdot C_{DSub} = 0.038$
Wind pressure on the substructure (ksf), Strength V	$P_{ZSub.StrV} := 2.56 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot V_{wStrV}^{2} \cdot Gust \cdot C_{DSub} = 0.026$
Wind pressure on the substructure (ksf), Service I	$P_{ZSub.SerI} \coloneqq 2.56 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot V_{wSerI}^{2} \cdot Gust \cdot C_{DSub} = 0.02$

LRFD Table 3.8.1.2.1-2

LRFD Eq. 3.8.1.2.1-1

For simplicity, apply the same pressure along the tran The transverse and longitudinal wind forces calculate the corresponding exposed areas are to be applied si act simultaneously with the superstructure wind loads	sverse and longitudinal directions. ed from the wind pressure acting on multaneously. These loads shall also s.
Height difference between the middle and end of the pier cap	$h_d := h_{cap} - h_{capend} = 6 ft$
Exposed area of the pier cap in the longitudinal direction	$A_{capL} := l_{cap} \cdot h_{cap} - 2\frac{1}{2}l_{overhang} \cdot h_{d} = 573.75 \text{ ft}^{2}$
Exposed area of the pier cap in the transverse direction	$A_{capT} := t_{cap} \cdot h_{cap} = 44 \text{ ft}^2$
The column height exposed to wind is the distance fi	rom the ground to the bottom of the cap.
Exposed area of the pier column in the longitudinal direction	$A_{colL} := w_{column} \cdot (h_{column} - h_{soil}) = 233.75 \text{ ft}^2$
Exposed area of the pier column in the transverse direction	$A_{colT} := t_{column} \cdot (h_{column} - h_{soil}) = 44 \text{ ft}^2$
Longitudinal Component of the Substructure Wind I	Load Acting on the Pier, WS _{SubL}
Strength III	WS _{SubL.StrIII} := $P_{ZSub.StrIII} \cdot ksf \cdot (A_{capL} + A_{colL}) = 31.014 \cdot kip$
Strength V	$WS_{SubL.StrV} := P_{ZSub.StrV} \cdot ksf \cdot (A_{capL} + A_{colL}) = 21.168 \cdot kip$
Service I	$WS_{SubL.SerI} := P_{ZSub.SerI} \cdot ksf \cdot (A_{capL} + A_{colL}) = 16.207 \cdot kip$
Transverse Component of the Substructure Wind Lo	ad Acting on the Pier, WS _{SubT}
Strength III	WS _{SubT.StrIII} := $P_{ZSub.StrIII} \cdot ksf \cdot (A_{capT} + A_{colT}) = 3.38 \cdot kip$
Strength V	$WS_{SubT.StrV} := P_{ZSub.StrV} \cdot ksf \cdot (A_{capT} + A_{colT}) = 2.307 \cdot kip$
Service I	WS _{SubT.SerI} := $P_{ZSub.SerI} \cdot ksf \cdot (A_{capT} + A_{colT}) = 1.766 \cdot kip$
These loads are applied at the centroid of the loaded column to the points of application of the resultant for	area for each direction. The distances from the bottom of the rce are required to calculate the moment due to wind loads.
Distance from the base of the column to the point o	f application of the longitudinal wind load on the substructure
$l_{cap} \cdot h_{cap} \cdot \left(h_{column} + \frac{h_{cap}}{2} \right) - \left(l_{column} + \frac{h_{cap}}{2} \right) - \left(l_{cap} + \frac{h_{cap}}{2} \right) + \left($	overhang $\cdot h_d$ $\cdot \left(h_{column} + \frac{1}{3}h_d \right) + A_{colL} \cdot \left(\frac{h_{column} - h_{soil}}{2} + h_{soil} \right)$
¹¹ WSSubL ·=	$A_{capL} + A_{colL}$

$H_{WSSubL} = 16.868 \text{ ft}$

Distance from the bottom of the column to the point of application of the transverse wind load on the substructure

$$H_{WSSubT} := \frac{A_{capT} \cdot \left(h_{column} + \frac{h_{cap}}{2}\right) + A_{colT} \cdot \left(\frac{h_{column} - h_{soil}}{2} + h_{soil}\right)}{A_{capT} + A_{colT}} = 14 \text{ ft}$$

Wind Load on Live Load

Since the individual span length and height of this girder bridge are less than 150 ft and 33 ft respectively, the following wind load components acting on the live load are used:

- 0.10 klf, transverse
- 0.04 klf, longitudinal.

The transverse and longitudinal components of the load acting on each bearing are:

$$WL_{TBearing} := \frac{0.1 \frac{kip}{ft} \cdot L_{WindT}}{N_{beams}} = 1.429 \cdot kip \qquad WL_{LBearing} := \frac{0.04 \frac{kip}{ft} \cdot L_{WindL}}{N_{beams}} = 1.143 \cdot kip$$

The wind load on live load acts at 6 ft above the roadway.

Note: The MDOT practice does not consider the eccentricity of the wind load acting on the live load. Only the horizontal force is distributed to the bearings.

The following figure shows the braking force and the wind load applied on the pier in the transverse and longitudinal direction of the bridge.



Temperature Load

Since this bridge has two equal spans and expansion bearings over the abutments, the center of movement in the longitudinal direction is located at the pier. Therefore, the bearing pads at the pier do not deform when the superstructure deforms due to change in temperature. As a result, the pier is not subjected to transverse forces.

Vertical Earth Load

Vertical earth load on the footing

 $EV_{Ft} := \gamma_s \cdot h_{soil} \cdot (w_{footing} \cdot l_{footing} - t_{column} \cdot w_{column}) = 178.38 \cdot kip$

Vehicle Collision Load

The draft language for incorporating AASHTO LRFD vehicle collision force is being reviewed by the bridge committee. Once approved, the AASHTO LRFD vehicle collision force shall be accounted for in the design of all new bridges, bridge replacements, and pier replacements.

MDOT's preference is to locate the pier outside of the clear zone as defined in Section 7.01.11 of the MDOT Road Design Manual. After the draft language is approved, the updated BDM will describe the the preference for accounting for the vehicle collision force when the pier cannot be located outside of the clear zone.

The pier design described in this example does not consider the vehicle collision force assuming that the pier is located outside of the clear zone defined in Section 7.01.11 of the MDOT Road Design Manual.

LRFD 3.8.1.3

LRFD 3.8.1.3

Step 7.5 Combined Load Effects

Description

This step presents the procedure of combining all load effects and calculating the total factored forces and moments acting at the pier cap, column, and footing.

Page Content

- 26 Pier Cap Load Effects
- 31 Pier Column Load Effects
- **33** Pier Footing Load Effects

Strength I, Strength III, Strength V and Service I limit states are considered for the analysis and design of the pier.

Strength I = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.75LL + 1.75BR + 1.5EH + 1.35EV + 1.75LS + 0.5TU

LRFD 3.4.1

LRFD 5.8.2

Strength III = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.5EH + 1.35EV + 1.0WS + 0.5TU

Strength V = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.35LL + 1.35BR + 1.0WS + 1.0WL + 1.5EH + 1.35EV + 1.35LS + 0.5TU

Service I = 1.0DC + 1.0DW + 1.0LL + 1.0BR + 1.0WS + 1.0WL + 1.0EH + 1.0EV + 1.0LS + 1.0TU

BR	=vehicular braking force
DC	= dead load of structural components and nonstructural attachments
DW	= dead load of the future wearing surface and utilities
EH	= horizontal earth pressure load
EV	= vertical pressure from the earth fill
LL	=vehicular live load
LS	= live load surcharge
WL	= wind on live load
WS	= wind load on structure

TU = force effect due to uniform temperature

Limit states that are not shown here either do not control or are not applicable.

Note: These load combinations should include the maximum and minimum load factors; only the maximum factors are shown for clarity.

Pier Cap Load Effects

In this example, the pier cap is designed using both the strut-and-tie method (STM) and the traditional method for illustrative purposes. Therefore, the load effects required for the STM and traditional method are calculated.

Load Effects for the Strut-and-Tie Method

For the STM, the pier cap self-weight is applied at each bearing location as a concentrated load based on the tributary width of the segment. For example, Girder A reaction includes the weight of the pier cap section located between the end of the cap and the midpoint between girders A and B. Similarly, Girder B reaction includes the weight of the pier cap section located between the midpoints of girders A - B and B - C.



Tributary weight of the pier cap on Girder A

$$Cap_{DC_A} := W_{c} \cdot t_{cap} \cdot \left(\frac{h_{capend} + h1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(l_{edge} + \frac{S}{2}\right) = 27.599 \cdot kip$$
$$Cap_{DC_B} := W_{c} \cdot t_{cap} \cdot \left(\frac{h1 + h2}{2}\right) \cdot S = 49.634 \cdot kip$$

Tributary weight of the pier cap on Girder B

Tributary weight of the pier cap on Girder C

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{\operatorname{DC}_{\operatorname{C}}} := \operatorname{W}_{\operatorname{c}} \cdot \operatorname{t_{cap}} \cdot \left[\frac{\operatorname{h2} + \operatorname{h_{cap}}}{2} \cdot \left(\operatorname{l_{overhang}} - \operatorname{l_{edge}} - 1.5S \right) + \left(2.5S + \operatorname{l_{edge}} - \operatorname{l_{overhang}} \right) \cdot \operatorname{h_{cap}} \right] = 62.82 \cdot \operatorname{kip}$$

Tributary weight of the pier cap on Girder D

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{DC}_{D} := W_{c} \cdot t_{cap} \cdot S \cdot h_{cap} = 64.144 \cdot kip$$

Due to symmetry, the tributary weights of the pier cap on Girder E, F, and G are equal to Girder C, B, and A, respectively.

 $Cap_{DC_E} := Cap_{DC_C} = 62.82 \cdot kip \quad Cap_{DC_F} := Cap_{DC_B} = 49.634 \cdot kip \quad Cap_{DC_G} := Cap_{DC_A} = 27.599 \cdot kip$

Strength I is the controlling limit state for the application of the STM.

Strength I = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.75LL + 1.75BR + 1.5EH + 1.35EV + 1.75LS + 0.5TU

Lane 5 loaded

$$\begin{split} & R_{uA_1L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCEx} + Cap_{DC_A} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWEx} + 1.75 R_{A_1L} = 331.149 \cdot kip \\ & R_{uB_1L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DC1stIn} + Cap_{DC_B} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{B_1L} = 357.768 \cdot kip \\ & R_{uC_1L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_C} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{C_1L} = 356.125 \cdot kip \\ & R_{uD_1L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_D} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{D_1L} = 357.78 \cdot kip \\ & R_{uE_1L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_E} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{E_1L} = 356.125 \cdot kip \\ & R_{uE_1L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_E} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{E_1L} = 356.125 \cdot kip \\ & R_{uF_1L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DC1stIn} + Cap_{DC_E} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{E_1L} = 496.847 \cdot kip \\ & R_{uG_1L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCEx} + Cap_{DC_G} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWEx} + 1.75 R_{G_1L} = 520.698 \cdot kip \\ \end{split}$$

Lanes 4 and 5 loaded

$$\begin{split} R_{uA_2L} &:= 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCEx} + Cap_{DC_A} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWEx} + 1.75 R_{A_2L} = 331.149 \cdot kip \\ R_{uB_2L} &:= 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DC1stIn} + Cap_{DC_B} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{B_2L} = 357.768 \cdot kip \\ R_{uC_2L} &:= 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_C} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{C_2L} = 356.125 \cdot kip \\ R_{uD_2L} &:= 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_D} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{D_2L} = 358.724 \cdot kip \\ R_{uE_2L} &:= 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_E} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{E_2L} = 528.59 \cdot kip \\ R_{uF_2L} &:= 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_F} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{F_2L} = 574.115 \cdot kip \\ R_{uG_2L} &:= 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCEx} + Cap_{DC_G} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWEx} + 1.75 R_{G_2L} = 489.106 \cdot kip \end{split}$$

Lanes 3 to 5 loaded

$$\begin{split} R_{uA_3L} &\coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCEx} + Cap_{DC_A} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWEx} + 1.75 R_{A_3L} = 331.149 \cdot \text{kip} \\ R_{uB_3L} &\coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DC1stIn} + Cap_{DC_B} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{B_3L} = 357.768 \cdot \text{kip} \\ R_{uC_3L} &\coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_C} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{C_3L} = 375.804 \cdot \text{kip} \\ R_{uD_3L} &\coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_D} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{D_3L} = 522.063 \cdot \text{kip} \\ R_{uE_3L} &\coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_E} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{E_3L} = 552.338 \cdot \text{kip} \\ R_{uF_3L} &\coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DC1stIn} + Cap_{DC_F} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{F_3L} = 541.663 \cdot \text{kip} \\ R_{uG_3L} &\coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCEx} + Cap_{DC_G} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWEx} + 1.75 R_{F_3L} = 465.413 \cdot \text{kip} \end{split}$$

Lanes 2 to 5 loaded

$$\begin{split} & R_{uA_4L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCEx} + Cap_{DC_A} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWEx} + 1.75 R_{A_4L} = 331.149 \cdot kip \\ & R_{uB_4L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DC1stIn} + Cap_{DC_B} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{B_4L} = 393.566 \cdot kip \\ & R_{uC_4L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_C} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{C_4L} = 496.472 \cdot kip \\ & R_{uD_4L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_D} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{D_4L} = 500.318 \cdot kip \\ & R_{uE_4L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_E} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{E_4L} = 506.171 \cdot kip \\ & R_{uF_4L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DC1stIn} + Cap_{DC_F} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{F_4L} = 498.394 \cdot kip \\ & R_{uG_4L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCEx} + Cap_{DC_G} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWEx} + 1.75 R_{E_4L} = 433.821 \cdot kip \end{split}$$

All 5 lanes loaded

$$\begin{split} &R_{uA_5L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCEx} + Cap_{DC_A} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWEx} + 1.75 R_{A_5L} = 392.63 \cdot kip \\ &R_{uB_5L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DC1stIn} + Cap_{DC_B} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{B_5L} = 509.282 \cdot kip \\ &R_{uC_5L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_C} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{C_5L} = 497.282 \cdot kip \\ &R_{uD_5L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_D} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{D_5L} = 500.318 \cdot kip \\ &R_{uE_5L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCIn} + Cap_{DC_C} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{E_5L} = 506.171 \cdot kip \\ &R_{uF_5L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DC1stIn} + Cap_{DC_F} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWIn} + 1.75 R_{F_5L} = 498.394 \cdot kip \\ &R_{uG_5L} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(R_{DCEx} + Cap_{DC_G} \right) + 1.5 \cdot R_{DWEx} + 1.75 R_{E_5L} = 433.821 \cdot kip \end{split}$$

	Lane 5 loaded	Lanes 4 and 5 loaded	Lanes 3 to 5 loaded	Lanes 2 to 5 loaded	All 5 lanes loaded
Girder A	331.15	331.15	331.15	331.15	392.63
Girder B	357.77	357.77	357.77	393.57	509.28
Girder C	356.13	356.13	375.80	496.47	497.28
Girder D	357.78	358.72	522.06	500.32	500.32
Girder E	356.13	528.59	552.34	506.17	506.17
Girder F	496.85	574.12	541.66	498.39	498.39
Girder G	520.70	489.11	465.41	433.82	433.82

Factored Girder Reactions for the Application of the Strut-and-Tie Method (kip)

Load Effects for the Traditional Method

Strength I is the controlling limit state for the design of the pier cap. Service I is the controlling serviceability limit state. The critical design location is at 21.25 ft from the end of the cap. The reactions at the two outermost bearings (F and G) and the pier cap overhang self-weight develop the critical moments and shear at this critical section. By examining the girder reactions under different live load cases, it is determined that the controlling live load effects may be developed under the Lane 5 loaded case or the Lanes 4 and 5 loaded case.

Self-weight of the pier cap overhang
$$DC_{CapOverhang} := \frac{h_{capend} + h_{cap}}{2} \cdot l_{overhang} \cdot t_{cap} \cdot W_{c} = 102 \cdot kip$$

Moment arm of self-weight of the pier cap overhang to the critical section

$$Arm_{DCOverhang} := \frac{l_{overhang} \cdot h_{capend} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot l_{overhang} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot h_{d} \cdot l_{overhang} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot l_{overhang}}{l_{overhang} \cdot h_{capend} + \frac{1}{2} h_{d} \cdot l_{overhang}} = 9.297 \text{ ft}$$
Distance from Girder G to the critical section
$$Arm_{G_cap} := l_{overhang} - l_{edge} = 18.531 \text{ ft}$$
Distance from Girder F to the critical section
$$Arm_{F_cap} := l_{overhang} - l_{edge} - S = 8.813 \text{ ft}$$

Strength I

Factored shear force at the critical section under the Lane 5 loaded case

$$V_{u_1LStrI} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(DC_{CapOverhang} + R_{DCEx} + R_{DC1stIn} \right) + 1.5 \cdot \left(R_{DWEx} + R_{DWIn} \right) + 1.75 \left(R_{F_1L} + R_{G_1L} \right)$$
$$V_{u_1LStrI} = 1.049 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip$$

Factored shear force at the critical section under the Lanes 4 and 5 loaded case

$$V_{u_{2L}StrI} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(DC_{CapOverhang} + R_{DCEx} + R_{DC1stIn} \right) + 1.5 \cdot \left(R_{DWEx} + R_{DWIn} \right) + 1.75 \left(R_{F_{2L}} + R_{G_{2L}} \right)$$
$$V_{u_{2L}StrI} = 1.094 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip$$

Controlling shear force at the critical section

$$V_{u_StrI} := max(V_{u_1LStrI}, V_{u_2LStrI}) = 1.094 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip$$

Factored moment at the critical section under the Lane 5 loaded case

$$M_u \ 1LStrI = 1.403 \times 10^4 \cdot kip \cdot ft$$

Factored moment at the critical location under the Lanes 4 and 5 loaded case

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M}_{u_2LStrI} &\coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \mathrm{DC}_{CapOverhang} \cdot \mathrm{Arm}_{DCOverhang} + \left(1.25 \cdot \mathrm{R}_{DCEx} + 1.5 \cdot \mathrm{R}_{DWEx} + 1.75 \cdot \mathrm{R}_{G_2L}\right) \cdot \mathrm{Arm}_{G_cap} \\ &+ \left(1.25 \cdot \mathrm{R}_{DC1stIn} + 1.5 \cdot \mathrm{R}_{DWIn} + 1.75 \cdot \mathrm{R}_{F_2L}\right) \cdot \mathrm{Arm}_{F_cap} \end{split}$$

$$M_{u_{2LStrI}} = 1.412 \times 10^4 \cdot kip \cdot ft$$

Controlling moment at the critical section

$$M_u \text{ StrI} := \max(M_u \text{ 1LStrI}, M_u \text{ 2LStrI}) = 1.412 \times 10^4 \cdot \text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}$$

 $M_{u_SerI} := \max(M_{u_1LSerI}, M_{u_2LSerI}) = 1.005 \times 10^{4} \cdot \text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}$

Λ

Service I

Factored shear force at the critical section under the Lane 5 loaded case

$$V_{u_{1LSerI}} \coloneqq \left(DC_{CapOverhang} + R_{DCEx} + R_{DC1stIn} \right) + \left(R_{DWEx} + R_{DWIn} \right) + \left(R_{F_{1L}} + R_{G_{1L}} \right)$$
$$V_{u_{1LSerI}} = 753.088 \cdot kip$$

Factored shear force at the critical section under the Lanes 4 and 5 loaded case

$$V_{u_{2}LSerI} := (DC_{CapOverhang} + R_{DCEx} + R_{DC1stIn}) + (R_{DWEx} + R_{DWIn}) + (R_{F_{2}L} + R_{G_{2}L})$$

$$V_{u_{2}LSerI} = 779.189 \cdot kip$$
Controlling shear force at the critical section
$$V_{u_{SerI}} := max(V_{u_{1}LSerI}, V_{u_{2}LSerI}) = 779.189 \cdot kip$$

Controlling shear force at the critical section

Factored moment at the critical section under the Lane 5 loaded case

$$M_{u_{1}LSerI} := DC_{CapOverhang} \cdot Arm_{DCOverhang} + (R_{DCEx} + R_{DWEx} + R_{G_{1}L}) \cdot Arm_{G_{cap}} \cdots + (R_{DC1stIn} + R_{DWIn} + R_{F_{1}L}) \cdot Arm_{F_{cap}}$$

$$M_{u_1LSerI} = 9.993 \times 10^3 \cdot kip \cdot ft$$

Factored moment at the critical section under the Lanes 4 and 5 loaded case

$$M_{u_{2L}SerI} \coloneqq DC_{CapOverhang} \cdot Arm_{DCOverhang} + (R_{DCEx} + R_{DWEx} + R_{G_{2L}}) \cdot Arm_{G_{cap}} \dots + (R_{DC1stIn} + R_{DWIn} + R_{F_{2L}}) \cdot Arm_{F_{cap}}$$
$$M_{u_{2L}SerI} = 1.005 \times 10^{4} \cdot kip \cdot ft$$

Controlling moment at the critical section

Pier Column Load Effects

Strength V is the controlling limit state for the design of the pier column under biaxial bending with an axial load. The critical section for the design is located at the column - footing connection. The critical load effects for the Strength V limit state are achieved by minimizing the axial effects while maximizing the transverse and longitudinal moments. This is accomplished by excluding the future wearing surface load and using minimum load factors for the dead loads. Since the live load placements indicate that the Lane 5 loaded case or Lanes 4 and 5 loaded case could develop the critical design forces and moments, Strength V combinations with respect to those two lane positions are evaluated.

Strength V = 0.9DC + 1.35LL + 1.35BR + 1.0WS + 1.0WL

To calculate the moments acting at the critical section of the column, the moment arms of various loads are calculated as shown below:

Moment arm of the vertical load at the Girder E bearing	$Arm_E := S = 9.719 \text{ ft}$
Moment arm of the vertical load at the Girder F bearing	$Arm_F := 2S = 19.438 \text{ ft}$
Moment arm of the vertical load at the Girder G bearing	$Arm_{G} := 3S = 29.156 \text{ft}$

The braking force, the wind load on the superstructure, and the wind load acting on the live load are all applied at the bearings as horizontal loads.

Distance from the column base to the top of the pier cap

$$Arm_{col} := h_{cap} + h_{column} = 25 \text{ ft}$$

Axial Force and Moment at the Base of the Pier Column

Next, the factored axial forces and moments at the base of the pier column are calculated for the Lane 5 loaded case and the Lanes 4 and 5 loaded case.

The Lane 5 Loaded Case

Factored axial load

$$N_{uColStrV_{1L}} \coloneqq 0.9 \cdot \left(DC_{Sup} + DC_{cap} + DC_{column} \right) + 1.35 \cdot \left(R_{F_{1L}} + R_{G_{1L}} \right)$$
$$N_{uColStrV_{1L}} \equiv 1.977 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip$$

Factored moment about the transverse axis of the pier column

$$M_{uTColStrV}$$
 1L = 7.008 × 10³ · kip · ft

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the pier column

$$\begin{split} M_{uLColStrV_1L} &\coloneqq 1.35 \cdot \left(BRK_{1L} \cdot Arm_{col} \right) \dots \\ &+ 1.0 \cdot \left(N_{beams} \cdot WS_{LStrV} \cdot Arm_{col} + WS_{SubL} \cdot StrV \cdot H_{WSSubL} \right) \dots \\ &+ 1.0 \cdot \left(N_{beams} \cdot WL_{LBearing} \cdot Arm_{col} \right) \\ M_{uLColStrV-1L} &= 1.151 \times 10^3 \cdot kip \cdot ft \end{split}$$

The Lanes 4 and 5 Loaded Case

Factored axial load

$$N_{uColStrV_2L} := 0.9 \cdot \left(DC_{Sup} + DC_{cap} + DC_{column} \right) \dots + 1.35 \cdot \left(R_{D_2L} + R_{E_2L} + R_{F_2L} + R_{G_2L} \right)$$
$$N_{uColStrV_2L} = 2.146 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$$

Factored moment about the transverse axis of the pier column

$$\begin{split} M_{uTColStrV_2L} &\coloneqq 1.35 \cdot \left(R_{E_2L} \cdot Arm_E + R_{F_2L} \cdot Arm_F + R_{G_2L} \cdot Arm_G \right) \dots \\ &+ 1.0 \cdot \left(N_{beams} \cdot WS_{TStrV} \cdot Arm_{col} + WS_{SubT.StrV} \cdot H_{WSSubT} \right) \dots \\ &+ 1.0 \cdot \left(N_{beams} \cdot WL_{TBearing} \cdot Arm_{col} \right) \end{split}$$

$$M_{uTColStrV 2L} = 8.749 \times 10^3 \cdot kip \cdot ft$$

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the pier column

$$M_{uLColStrV_2L} := 1.35 \cdot (BRK_{2L} \cdot Arm_{col}) \dots \\ + 1.0 \cdot (N_{beams} \cdot WS_{LStrV} \cdot Arm_{col} + WS_{SubL.StrV} \cdot H_{WSSubL}) \dots \\ + 1.0 \cdot (N_{beams} \cdot WL_{LBearing} \cdot Arm_{col})$$

$M_{uLColStrV_{2L}} = 1.421 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip \cdot ft$

Shear Forces at the Base of the Pier Column

Since the Strength III or Strength V limit state could develop the controlling shear forces at the base of the pier column, shear forces due to both limit states are calculated.

Strength III = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.0WS

Strength V = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.35BR + 1.0WS + 1.0WL

The shear parallel to the longitudinal axis of the pier (transverse shear) and the shear parallel to the transverse direction of the pier (longitudinal shear) are calculated as shown below.

Strength III

Factored transverse shear force	$V_{uTColStrIII} \coloneqq 1.0 \cdot \left(N_{beams} \cdot WS_{TStrIII} + WS_{SubT.StrIII} \right)$
	$V_{uTColStrIII} = 25.484 \cdot kip$
Factored longitudinal shear force	$V_{uLColStrIII} := N_{beams} \cdot WS_{LStrIII} + WS_{SubL.StrIII}$
	$V_{uLColStrIII} = 42.066 \cdot kip$

Strength V

Factored transverse shear
force
$$V_{uTColStrV} := 1.0 \cdot \left(N_{beams} \cdot WS_{TStrV} + WS_{SubT.StrV} + N_{beams} \cdot WL_{TBearing} \right)$$
$$V_{uTColStrV} = 27.394 \cdot kip$$

Factored longitudinal shear force

$$V_{uLColStrV} := 1.35 \cdot BRK_{5L} + 1.0 \cdot \left(N_{beams} \cdot WS_{LStrV} + WS_{SubL.StrV} + N_{beams} \cdot WL_{LBearing} \right)$$

 $V_{uLColStrV} = 80.587 \cdot kip$

The controlling transverse shear force

 $V_{uTCol} := max(V_{uTColStrIII}, V_{uTColStrV}) = 27.394 \cdot kip$ $V_{uLCol} := max(V_{uLColStrIII}, V_{uLColStrV}) = 80.587 \cdot kip$

The controlling longitudinal shear force

Pier Footing Load Effects

The bearing pressure distribution depends on the rigidity of the footing and the soil type and condition. The pier footings are usually rigid, and the assumption q = (P/A) + /- (Mc/I) is valid. For an accurate calculation of bearing pressure distribution, the footing may be analyzed as a beam on an elastic foundation.

The live load on all five lanes develop the critical load effects for the footing design.

Moment arm of Girder A and G reactions to the center of footing	$\operatorname{Arm}_{\operatorname{AG}} := 3S = 29.156 \mathrm{ft}$
Moment arm of Girder B and F reactions to the center of footing	$Arm_{BF} := 2S = 19.438 \text{ ft}$
Moment arm of Girder C and E reactions to the center of footing	$Arm_{CE} := S = 9.719 \text{ ft}$

For convenience, define the longitudinal axis of the footing as x-axis and the transverse axis as y-axis.

Strength I

Strength I = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.75LL + 1.75BR + 1.5EH + 1.35EV + 1.75LS + 0.5TU

Factored vertical force F _{VF}	$StrI := 1.25 \cdot (DC_{Sup} + DC_{cap} + DC_{column} + DC_{footing}) + 1.5DW_{Sup} \dots + 1.75R_{LLFooting} + 1.35 \cdot EV_{Ft}$
	$F_{VFtStrI} = 3.976 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$
Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the bridge	$V_{TFtStrI} := 0$
Factored shear force parallel to the longitudinal axis of the bridge	$V_{LFtStrI} := 1.75 \cdot BRK_{5L} = 56.875 \cdot kip$
Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing	$M_{XFtStrI} := 1.75 \cdot BRK_{5L} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) = 1.593 \times 10^3 \cdot kip \cdot ft$
Factored moment about the transverse ax	is of the footing
M 1.75 Γ(D	$(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}) \rightarrow (p$

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{YFtStrI}} \coloneqq 1.75 \cdot \left[\left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{GFt_5L}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{AFt_5L}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{Arm}_{\mathbf{AG}} + \left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{FFt_5L}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{BFt_5L}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{Arm}_{\mathbf{BF}} \dots \right] = 894.546 \cdot \mathrm{kip} \cdot \mathrm{ft} + \left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{EFt_5L}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{CFt_5L}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{Arm}_{\mathbf{CE}}$$

Note: DC, DW, and EV are symmetrically placed loads over the footing. Only the eccentrically placed traffic loading contributes to the moment about the transverse axis of the footing.

Strength III

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the bridge

Factored shear force parallel to the longitudinal axis of the bridge

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

$$M_{XFtStrIII} := N_{beams} \cdot WS_{LStrIII} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) + WS_{SubL.StrIII} \cdot (H_{WSSubL} + t_{footing})$$

 $M_{XFtStrIII} = 925.652 \cdot kip \cdot ft$

 $V_{TFtStrIII} := N_{beams} \cdot WS_{TStrIII} + WS_{SubT.StrIII} = 25.484 \cdot kip$

 $V_{LFtStrIII} := N_{beams} \cdot WS_{LStrIII} + WS_{SubL.StrIII} = 42.066 \cdot kip$

Factored moment about the transverse axis of the footing

$$M_{YFtStrIII} := N_{beams} \cdot WS_{TStrIII} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) + WS_{SubT.StrIII} \cdot (H_{WSSubT} + t_{footing})$$
$$M_{YFtStrIII} = 676.372 \cdot kip \cdot ft$$

Strength V

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Strength V} = 1.25\text{DC} + 1.5\text{DW} + 1.35\text{LL} + 1.35\text{BR} + 1.0\text{WS} + 1.0\text{WL} + 1.5\text{EH} + 1.35\text{EV} + 1.35\text{LS} + 0.5\text{TU} \\ \text{Factored vertical force} & F_{\text{VFtStrV}} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left(\text{DC}_{\text{Sup}} + \text{DC}_{\text{cap}} + \text{DC}_{\text{column}} + \text{DC}_{\text{footing}}\right) + 1.5\text{DW}_{\text{Sup}} \dots \\ & + 1.35 \cdot \text{R}_{\text{LLFooting}} + 1.35 \cdot \text{EV}_{\text{Ft}} \end{aligned}$$

$$F_{VFtStrV} = 3.807 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip$$

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the bridge

$$V_{TFtStrV} := N_{beams} \cdot (WS_{TStrV} + WL_{TBearing}) + WS_{SubT.StrV} = 27.394 \cdot kir$$

Factored shear force parallel to the longitudinal axis of the bridge

$$V_{LFtStrV} := 1.35 \cdot BRK_{5L} + N_{beams} \cdot (WS_{LStrV} + WL_{LBearing}) + WS_{SubL.StrV} = 80.587 \cdot kip$$

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

$$M_{XFtStrV} := 1.35 \cdot BRK_{5L} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) + N_{beams} \cdot WS_{LStrV} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) \dots + N_{beams} \cdot WL_{LBearing} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) + WS_{SubL.StrV} \cdot (H_{WSSubL} + t_{footing})$$

$$M_{XFtStrV} = 2.084 \times 10^{5} \cdot kip \cdot ft$$

Factored moment about the transverse axis of the footing

$$\begin{split} M_{YFtStrV} &\coloneqq 1.35 \Big[\left(R_{GFt_5L} - R_{AFt_5L} \right) \cdot Arm_{AG} + \left(R_{FFt_5L} - R_{BFt_5L} \right) \cdot Arm_{BF} \dots \Big] \dots \\ &+ \left(R_{EFt_5L} - R_{CFt_5L} \right) \cdot Arm_{CE} \\ &+ N_{beams} \cdot WS_{TStrV} \cdot \left(Arm_{col} + t_{footing} \right) + WS_{SubT.StrV} \cdot \left(H_{WSSubT} + t_{footing} \right) \dots \\ &+ N_{beams} \cdot WL_{TBearing} \cdot \left(Arm_{col} + t_{footing} \right) \end{split}$$

$$M_{YFtStrV} = 1.432 \times 10^3 \cdot kip \cdot ft$$

Service I

Service I = 1.0DC + 1.0DW + 1.0LL + 1.0BR + 1.0WS + 1.0WL + 1.0EH + 1.0EV + 1.0LS + 1.0TU

Factored vertical force

$$F_{VFtSerI} := (DC_{Sup} + DC_{cap} + DC_{column} + DC_{footing}) + DW_{Sup} \dots + R_{LLFooting} + EV_{Ft}$$

$$F_{VFtSerI} = 2.961 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$$

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the bridge

$$V_{TFtSerI} := N_{beams} \cdot (WS_{TSerI} + WL_{TBearing}) + WS_{SubT.SerI} = 23.317 \cdot kip$$

Factored shear force parallel to the longitudinal axis of the bridge

$$V_{LFtSerI} := BRK_{5L} + N_{beams} \cdot (WS_{LSerI} + WL_{LBearing}) + WS_{SubL.SerI} = 62.482 \cdot kip$$

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

$$\begin{split} M_{XFtSerI} &\coloneqq BRK_{5L} \cdot \left(Arm_{col} + t_{footing} \right) + N_{beams} \cdot WS_{LSerI} \cdot \left(Arm_{col} + t_{footing} \right) \dots \\ &+ N_{beams} \cdot WL_{LBearing} \cdot \left(Arm_{col} + t_{footing} \right) + WS_{SubL.SerI} \cdot \left(H_{WSSubL} + t_{footing} \right) \\ M_{XFtSerI} &= 1.618 \times 10^3 \cdot kip \cdot ft \end{split}$$

Factored moment about the transverse axis of the footing

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{YFtSerI}} &\coloneqq \left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{GFt_5L}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{AFt_5L}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{Arm}_{\mathbf{AG}} + \left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{FFt_5L}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{BFt_5L}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{Arm}_{\mathbf{BF}} \dots \\ &+ \left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{EFt_5L}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{CFt_5L}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{Arm}_{\mathbf{CE}} + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{beams}} \cdot \mathbf{WS}_{\mathbf{TStrV}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{Arm}_{\mathbf{col}} + \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{footing}}\right) \dots \\ &+ \mathbf{WS}_{\mathbf{SubT.StrV}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{WSSubT}} + \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{footing}}\right) + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{beams}} \cdot \mathbf{WL}_{\mathbf{TBearing}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{Arm}_{\mathbf{col}} + \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{footing}}\right) \end{split}$$

 $M_{YFtSerI} = 1.253 \times 10^3 \cdot kip \cdot ft$

Step 7.6 Pier Cap Design: Strut-and-Tie Method

Description

This step presents the pier cap design using the strut-and-tie method.

Page	Content
37	Geometry and Member Forces of the Strut-and-Tie Model
41	Bearing Size Check
43	Tension Tie Reinforcement Design
44	Stirrup Design
44	Bottom Strut Design
45	Diagonal Strut Design
45	Tension Tie Anchorage Check
47	Crack Control Reinforcement Check

47 Skin Reinforcement Design
Geometry and Member Forces of the Strut-and-Tie Model

When a structural member meets the definition of a deep component, the LRFD Bridge Design Specifications recommend, but do not mandate, that the STM be used to determine force effects and the required amount of reinforcing steel. The STM accounts for nonlinear strain distribution, nonuniform shear distribution, and the mechanical interaction of V_{u} , T_{u} and M_{u} .

A few key considerations in strut-and-tie modeling are as follows:

1. The truss must be in external and internal equilibrium.

2. A tie must be located at the centroid of the reinforcement that carries the tie force.

3. The angle between a strut and a tie entering the same node must be greater than 25°.

4. Reasonable and conservative assumptions and simplifications must be made when necessary.

5. In general, a model with fewest and shortest ties is the most efficient.

6. When using strut-and-tie modeling, design iterations may be necessary to determine the geometry of the model.

The strut-and-tie model of the pier cap is shown in the following figure. In this model, it is assumed that the top tie is at 6.5 in. from the top of the pier cap, and the bottom strut is at 5.5 in. from the bottom of the pier cap.



The strut and tie (i.e. truss member) forces were calculated for the Strength I limit state with different live load cases using a structural analysis software. Step 7.5 presents the calculation of girder reactions under different live load cases. The loads and the corresponding truss member forces are shown in the following figures. The truss members with red and blue axial force labels represent struts and ties, respectively.

LRFD 5.8.2.2







Loads and member forces under the all 5 lanes loaded case

Summary Table of Truss Member Forces

The following table summarizes the member forces (in kips) of the truss under different live load cases. Positive and negative values represent tension and compression, respectively. The maximum tension or compression force in each member is highlighted in yellow.

1					
Momber	Lane 5	Lanes 4 and	Lanes 3 to 5	Lanes 2 to 5	All five lanes
Member	loaded	5 loaded	loaded	loaded	loaded
AB	463.55	463.55	463.55	463.55	549.62
BC	991.38	991.38	991.38	1026.17	1258.13
CD	1068.63	1092	1065.67	1046.43	1140.01
DE	1071.34	1094.98	1068.32	1048.06	1140.4
EF	1494.99	1508.68	1431.07	1327.61	1327.61
FG	722.94	679.08	646.17	602.31	602.31
AI	-569.69	-569.69	-569.69	-569.69	-675.45
BI	185.76	185.76	185.76	185.76	220.25
BJ	-757.94	-757.94	-757.94	-807.86	-1017.31
CJ	-274.51	-250.46	-297.14	-473.06	-615.68
CK	-112.37	-145.91	-108.18	-30.91	167.23
DK	-357.78	-358.72	-522.06	-500.32	-500.32
EK	605.23	590.72	517.84	398.59	266.21
EL	-788.38	-950.27	-921.82	-790.3	-695.44
FL	-1107.76	-1190.33	-1126.18	-1040.67	-1040.67
FM	297.54	279.49	265.95	247.9	247.9
GM	-890.94	-836.88	-796.33	-742.28	-742.28
IJ	-485.42	-485.42	-485.42	-485.42	-575.54
JK	-991.38	-991.38	-991.38	-1026.17	-1258.13
KL	-1494.99	-1508.68	-1431.07	-1327.61	-1327.61
LM	-756.59	-710.69	-676.26	-680.36	-680.36

As described in Step 7.3 and 7.4, the loads are applied on the bridge superstructure to develop the maximum moment and shear in the pier cap segment DG. Once the design details are developed for this segment, the same details are used for the segment AD due to symmetry. Hence, the strut and tie forces and the forces at the nodes located in the pier cap segment DG are considered for the design. The following designs are described in this step:

- Bearing size check at nodes F and G
- Tension tie reinforcement design for ties EF and FG
- Stirrup design using the forces in the vertical tie FM, the only vertical tie in the pier cap segment DG.

Additionally, the tension tie anchorage check, the crack control reinforcement design, and the skin reinforcement design are performed.

Bearing Size Check

The nodes are characterized based on the strut and tie interaction at a node.

CCC: Nodes where only struts intersect (e.g. nodes J and L)

CCT: Nodes where a tie intersects the node from only one direction (e.g. nodes A, G, I, and M)

CTT: Nodes where ties intersect in two different directions (e.g. nodes B, C, D, E, F, and K)

The nominal resistance (P_n) at the bearing node face is calculated based on the limiting compressive stress and the effective area beneath the bearing device.

LRFD 5.8.2.5

By examining girder end reactions, the maximum reactions at nodes F and G are identified for the following:

- CTT node: the Lanes 4 and 5 loaded case developed the maximum load at node F.
 - CCT node: the Lane 5 loaded case developed the maximum load at node G.



Tension Tie Reinforcement Design

Tie EF Design

As per the forces in the summary table, the Lanes 4 and 5 loaded case generates the maximum tension in the tie. The required area of tension tie reinforcement at the top of the pier cap and between girders E and F is calculated.

The maximum force in the tie	$P_{uEF} := 1508.68 kip$	
Resistance factor for tension members	$\phi_{\text{tension}} \coloneqq 0.9$	LRFD 5.5.4.2
Required reinforcing steel area	$A_{st_EF} := \frac{P_{uEF}}{\phi_{tension} \cdot f_y} = 27.939 \cdot in^2$	LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.4-1
For the top reinforcement, try two rows of 9 No. 11 b	pars spaced at 5 in.	
Select the reinforcing steel bar size	$\operatorname{bar}_{\operatorname{EF}} := 11$	
Select the number of reinforcing steel bars	$n_{barEF} := 18$	
Select the reinforcing steel bar spacing	$S_{barEF} := 5in$	
Nominal diameter of a bar	$d_{barEF} := Dia(bar_{EF}) = 1.41 \cdot in$	
Cross-section area of a bar	$A_{barEF} := Area(bar_{EF}) = 1.56 \cdot in^2$	
Total reinforcing steel area provided	$A_{sProvided}_{EF} := n_{barEF} \cdot A_{barEF} = 28.08 \cdot i$	n^2
Check the adequacy of tie EF	Check := if $(A_{sProvided}_{EF} > A_{st}_{EF}, "OK")$	', "Not OK" = "OK"

Tie FG Design

As per the forces in the summary table, the Lane 5 loaded case generates the maximum tension in the tie. The required area of tension tie reinforcement at the top of the pier cap and between girders F and G is calculated.

The maximum force in the tie	$P_{uFG} := 722.94 \text{kip}$	
Required reinforcing steel area	$A_{st_FG} := \frac{P_{uFG}}{\phi_{tension} \cdot f_y} = 13.388 \cdot in^2$	LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.4-1
For the top reinforcement, try one row of 9 No. 11 ba	rs spaced at 5 in.	
Select the reinforcing steel bar size	$\operatorname{bar}_{\mathrm{FG}} \coloneqq 11$	
Select the number of reinforcing steel bars	$n_{barFG} := 9$	
Select the reinforcing steel bar spacing	$S_{barFG} := 5in$	
Nominal diameter of a bar	$d_{barFG} := Dia(bar_{FG}) = 1.41 \cdot in$	
Cross-section area of a bar	$A_{barFG} := Area(bar_{FG}) = 1.56 \cdot in^2$	

Smeared nodes are the interior nodes that are not bounded by a bearing plate. Since all the nodes in the bottom struts are smeared nodes, the evaluation of concrete stresses is unnecessary.

Use pairs of No. 5 double-legged stirrups at 8 in. spacing in the pier cap.

Try No. 5 bars as double-stirrups (i.e. with four legs). bar : $A_{\text{bar}} := \text{Area}(\text{bar}) = 0.31 \cdot \text{in}^2$ Cross-section area of a bar $A_{st} := leg \cdot A_{bar} = 1.24 \cdot in^2$ Total stirrup area provided

Required number of double-stirrups

Required reinforcing steel area

These stirrups need to be distributed over a length defined by the midpoint between Girder E and F and the midpoint between Girder F and G.

 $n_{stirrup} := \frac{A_{st}FM}{A_{ct}} = 4.444$

Length of the region	$L_{stirrup} := S = 9.719 $ ft
Required stirrup spacing	$s_{stirrup} := \frac{L_{stirrup}}{n_{stirrup}} = 26.246$

Crack Control Reinforcement

The pier cap is required to have an orthogonal grid of reinforcement to control the width of cracks. The maximum spacing of these reinforcements is limited to the smaller of d/4 and 12 in. Since the pier cap depth is 11 ft and the depth at the end of the overhang is 5 ft, 12 in. spacing controls.

Pier cap width	$\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{W}} \coloneqq \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{cap}} = 4 \mathrm{ft}$
Required spacing of vertical reinforcement for crack control	$s_{V} := \frac{A_{st}}{0.003 \cdot b_{W}} = 8.611 \cdot in$ LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.6-1
Required stirrup spacing	$s_{stirRequired} := \min(s_{stirrup}, s_v) = 8.611 \cdot in$
Check if the required spacing satisfies the maximum limit	Check := if (s _{stirRequired} < 20in, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"
Select a stirrup spacing	$s_{stir} := 8in$

Bottom Strut Check

width of the tension tie).

Stirrup Design

The vertical tension tie FM is designed to resist the factored tension force. Tie FM is the only vertical tie located in the pier cap segment DG. As per the forces in the summary table, the Lane 5 loaded case generates the maximum tension in the tie. This tension force is resisted by the stirrups provided within the specific tension tie region (i.e. the $P_{uFM} := 297.54 kip$ The maximum force in the tie $A_{st_FM} \coloneqq \frac{P_{uFM}}{\phi_{tension} \cdot f_y} = 5.51 \cdot in^2$

Total reinforcing steel area provided Check the adequacy of the FG

$$A_{sProvided}FG := n_{barFG} \cdot A_{barFG} = 14.04 \cdot in^2$$

Check := if
$$(A_{sProvided} FG > A_{st} FG, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$$

$$= 5$$
 leg := 4

$$\frac{\text{tirrup}}{\text{tirrup}} = 26.246 \cdot \text{in}$$

LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.4-1

LRFD C5.8.2.2

Diagonal Strut Check

The strut LF carries the largest diagonal compressive force. As per the forces in the summary table, the Lanes 4 and 5 loaded case generates the maximum compression in the strut.

 $P_{uLF} := 1190.33 kip$

The maximum force in the strut

Angle between LF and EF

Strut LF is connected to Node F. Ties EF, FM and FG are also connected to the same node.

 $w_{LF} := L_{\text{bearing}} \cdot \sin(\alpha_s) + 2 \cdot \text{centroid}_{\text{top}} \cdot \cos(\alpha_s) = 24.837 \cdot \text{in}$ Width of the strut Thickness of the strut $t_{LF} := t_{cap} = 48 \cdot in$ $A_{cn LF} := t_{LF} \cdot w_{LF} = 1.192 \times 10^3 \cdot in^2$ Effective cross-section area of the strut

Node F is a CTT node. The surface where Strut LF meets the node is a strut-to-node interface.

Resistance factor for the strut	$\phi_{strut} \coloneqq 0.7$	LRFD 5.5.4.2
Factored resistance of the strut	$P_{r_LF} := \phi_{strut} \cdot m \cdot v_{CTT} \cdot f_c \cdot A_{cn_LF} = 1$	752·kip
Check the adequacy of the strut	Check := if $(P_r LF > P_{uLF}, "OK", "Not G$	OK'' = "OK"

Tension Tie Anchorage Check

Tension ties shall be anchored in the nodal regions.

The longitudinal bars at the top of the pier cap must be developed at the inner edge of the bearing at Node G.

First, calculate the available embedment length to develop the bars beyond the edge of the bearing.

Available development length

The longitudinal bar size provided at the top of the pier cap

Diameter of the bar

Required development length for the straight epoxy-coated bars with spacing less than 6 in.



 $\alpha_{s} := \operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{h_{cap} - \operatorname{centroid}_{top} - \operatorname{centroid}_{bot}}{S}\right) = 45.817 \cdot \operatorname{deg}$

LRFD 5.8.2.4.2

Check := if $(l_d \text{ available} > l_d \text{ required}, "OK", "Not OK") = "Not OK"$ Check if $l_{d available} > l_{d required}$ Since an adequate length is not available to develop the bars, evaluate the possibility of using hooked bars to provide the required development length. The basic development length for a 90 degree hooked bar $l_{hb} := 38 \cdot \frac{d_{bar}}{\sqrt{\frac{f_c}{ksi}}} = 30.934 \cdot in$ LRFD Eq. 5.10.8.2.4a-2 Reinforcement confinement factor $\lambda_{\rm rc} := 0.8$ Coating factor for epoxy coated bars $\lambda_{cw} \coloneqq 1.2$ $\lambda_{\text{er}} \coloneqq \frac{A_{\text{st}} \in F}{A_{\text{sProvided EF}}} = 0.995$ Excess reinforcement factor Factor for normal weight concrete $\lambda := 1$ $l_{dh} := l_{hb} \cdot \frac{\left(\lambda_{rc} \cdot \lambda_{cw} \cdot \lambda_{er}\right)}{\lambda} = 29.547 \cdot in$ LRFD Eq. 5.10.8.2.4a-1 Required development length Check := $if(l_{available} > l_{dh}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$ Check the adequacy of the development length

The flexural reinforcement on the top of the pier cap is shown below. The bars at the top layer are hooked. The bars at the 2nd layer can be terminated after providing the required development length beyond the inside edge of the bearing at Girder F.



Crack Control Reinforcement Design

The pier cap is required to have an orthogonal grid of reinforcement to control the width of cracks. The area of crack control reinforcement in each direction should be equal to or greater than 0.003 times the width of the member and the spacing of the reinforcement in the respective direction.

The maximum spacing of these reinforcements is limited to the smaller of d/4 and 12 in. Since the pier cap depth is 11 ft and the depth at the end of the overhang is 5 ft, 12 in. spacing controls.

bar := 7

Horizontal Reinforcement

Select a trial bar size

Cross-section area of a bar

Select the number of bars

Select a vertical spacing between the bars

Check the adequacy of the crack control reinforcement

$n_{bar} := 4$ $s_{h} := 12in$ Check := if $\left(n_{bar} \cdot \frac{A_{bar}}{t_{cap} \cdot s_{h}} > 0.003, "OK", "Not OK" \right) = "OK"$ LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.6-2

Vertical Reinforcement

Two double-legged stirrups made of No. 5 bars were selected. The horizontal spacing of the stirrups is 8 in. The adequacy of stirrups to control horizontal crack width needs to be checked.

 $A_{\text{bar}} := \text{Area}(\text{bar}) = 0.6 \cdot \text{in}^2$

Selected bar size bar := 5 Number of legs in a stirrup leg = 4 $A_{\text{bar}} := \text{Area}(\text{bar}) = 0.31 \cdot \text{in}^2$ Cross-section area of a bar Horizontal spacing of stirrups $s_{stir} = 8 \cdot in$ Check := if $\left(leg \cdot \frac{A_{bar}}{t_{cap} \cdot s_{stir}} > 0.003, "OK", "Not OK" \right)$ Check the adequacy of the = "OK" LRFD Eq. crack control reinforcement 5.8.2.6-1 #7 BARS (TYP) #5 STIRRUPS

Skin Reinforcement Design

LRFD 5.6.7

Concrete flexural members with depths exceeding 3 ft have a tendency to develop excessively wide cracks in the upper parts of their tension zones. To reduce the width of these cracks, it is necessary to provide additional longitudinal reinforcing steel in the zone of flexural tension near the vertical side faces of their web. This additional steel, which is referred to as the longitudinal skin reinforcement, must be uniformly distributed along both side faces for a distance equal to d/2 closer to the flexural reinforcing steel, as shown below.

LRFD 5.8.2.6

b

Distance from the extreme compression fiber to the centroid of the extreme tension steel

The maximum spacing of skin reinforcement

Required area of skin reinforcement on each side face of the pier cap

One fourth of the required flexural tensile reinforcement

The required area of skin reinforcement on each side face of the pier cap, not to exceed one fourth of the flexural tensile reinforcement

The skin reinforcement shall be uniformly distributed along both side faces of the pier cap for a distance of $d_y/2$ closer to the flexural tension reinforcement, which is located at the top of the pier cap. Since No.7 bars at 12 in. spacing were selected as crack control horizontal reinforcing bars, it is necessary to check if they are adequate to act as the skin reinforcement.

Selected bar size for each side face

Selected reinforcing steel bar spacing

Cross-section area of a reinforcing bar

Check the adequacy of crack control reinforcing bars as the skin reinforcement

The crack control reinforcing bars selected for the pier cap are not sufficient to fulfil the skin reinforcement requirement.

Add one more No. 7 bar between the two horizontal No. 7 crack control bars on each side of the pier cap

Spacing of the skin reinforcing bars

'SKZ 4 0.5d₁ ft

 $s_{\min Skin} := \min\left(\frac{d_l}{6}, 12in\right) = 12 \cdot in$

$$A_{sk1} := 0.012 \cdot (d_l - 30in) \cdot \frac{in}{ft} = 1.182 \cdot \frac{in^2}{ft} \quad Ll$$
$$A_{sk2} := \frac{1}{ft} \cdot \frac{A_{st}EF}{ft} = 1.305 \cdot \frac{in^2}{ft}$$

$$A_{sk_required} := min(A_{sk1}, A_{sk2}) = 1.182 \cdot \frac{in^2}{ft}$$

Check := if
$$\left(A_{\text{bar}} \cdot \frac{12in}{s_{\text{h}} \cdot \text{ft}} > A_{\text{sk}_{\text{required}}}, "\text{OK"}, "\text{Not OK"}\right) = "\text{Not OK"}$$

bar := 7
$$s_h = 12 \cdot in$$

 $d_1 := h_{cap} - Cover_{cap} = 128.5 \cdot in$



$$s_h = 12 \cdot in$$

 $A_{bar} := Area(bar) = 0.6 \cdot in^2$



Check the adequacy of the skin reinforcement

Check := if $\left(A_{\text{bar}} \cdot \frac{12in}{s_{\text{sk}} \cdot ft} > A_{\text{sk}_required}, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK"$

Although the skin reinforcement is only required for a distance of $d_t/2$ nearest the flexural tension reinforcement, a common practice is to distribute them to the entire depth of the section.

The typical pier cap cross-sections are shown below.



Step 7.7 Pier Cap Design: Traditional Method

Description

This step presents the pier cap design using the traditional method.

Page	Content
51	Design for Flexure

54 Design for Shear

Regardless of the member dimensions, the traditional sectional design method is based on the following assumptions:

- The longitudinal strains vary linearly along the depth of the member.
- The shear distribution remains uniform over the depth of the member.

The traditional method requires separate designs for V_u and M_u at different locations along the member.

Strength I is the controlling limit state for the pier cap design. The Service I limit state is used to check the crack width control requirements. The critical design section is located at 21.25 ft from the end of the cap. The reactions at the two outermost bearings (Girders F and G) and the self-weight of the overhang contribute to the critical moments and forces at the section. Step 7.5 presents the controlling shear forces and moments at the critical section.

Design for Flexure LRFD 5.6.3.2 As a trial, consider the following for the top reinforcement: 1st row with 9 No. 11 bars spaced at 5 in. 2nd row with 5 No. 11 and 4 No. 10 bars. bar1 := 11 $n_{bar1} := 14$ bar2 := 10 $n_{bar2} := 4$ Nominal diameter of a No. 11 reinforcing bar $d_{har1} := Dia(bar1) = 1.41 \cdot in$ $A_{bar1} := Area(bar1) = 1.56 \cdot in^2$ Cross-section area of a No. 11 reinforcing bar $d_{har2} := Dia(bar2) = 1.27 \cdot in$ Nominal diameter of a No. 10 reinforcing bar $A_{har2} := Area(bar2) = 1.27 \cdot in^2$ Cross-section area of a No. 10 reinforcing bar $A_{sProvided_cap} := n_{bar1} \cdot A_{bar1} + n_{bar2} \cdot A_{bar2} = 26.92 \cdot in^2$ Total area of reinforcing steel provided as the top reinforcement The Strength I limit state moment at the critical section $M_u StrI = 1.412 \times 10^4 \cdot kip \cdot ft$ From Step 7.5 The design procedure consists of calculating the reinforcing steel area required to satisfy the moment demand and checking the selected steel area against the requirements and limitations for developing an adequate moment capacity, controlling crack width, and managing shrinkage and temperature stresses. Effective depth $d_e := h_{cap} - Cover_{cap} = 128.5 \cdot in$

 $b := t_{cap} = 4 \, \text{ft}$ $\beta_1 := \min \left[\max \left[0.85 - 0.05 \cdot \left(\frac{f_c - 4ksi}{ksi} \right), 0.65 \right], 0.85 \right] = 0.85$ LRFD 5.6.2.2 Stress block factor Solve the following equation of As to calculate the required area of steel to satisfy the moment demand. Use an

 $\phi_{f} \coloneqq 0.9$

Resistance factor for flexure

Width of the compression face of the member

assumed initial A_s value to solve the equation.

LRFD 5.5.4.2

Initial assumption

 $A_s := 1in^2$ Given $M_{u_StrI} = \phi_{f} \cdot A_{s} \cdot f_{y} \cdot \left[d_{e} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{A_{s} \cdot f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{c} \cdot b} \right) \right]$ LRFD 5.6.3.2 $A_{sRequired_cap} := Find(A_s) = 25.681 \cdot in^2$ Required area of steel Check := if (A_{sProvided} cap > A_{sRequired} cap, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK" Check if A_{sProvided} > A_{sRequired} $M_{CapacityCap} := \phi_{f} \cdot A_{sProvided_cap} \cdot f_{y} \cdot \left[d_{e} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{A_{sProvided_cap} \cdot f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{c} \cdot b} \right) \right]$ Moment capacity of the section with the provided steel $M_{CapacityCap} = 1.477 \times 10^4 \cdot kip \cdot ft$ $c := \frac{A_{sProvided_cap} \cdot f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{c} \cdot \beta_{1} \cdot b} = 15.52 \cdot in$ Distance from the extreme compression fiber to the neutral axis Check := if $\left(\frac{c}{d_e} < 0.6, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK"$ Check the validity of assumption, $f_s = f_v$

Limits for Reinforcement

The tensile reinforcement provided must be adequate to develop a factored flexural resistance at least equal to the lesser of the cracking moment or 1.33 times the factored moment from the applicable strength limit state load combinations.

Flexural cracking variability factor	$\gamma_1 := 1.6$ For concrete structures that are not precast segmental
Ratio of specified minimum yield strength to ultimate tensile strength of the nonprestressed reinforcement	$\gamma_3 := 0.67$ For ASTM A615 Grade 60 reinforcement
Section modulus	$S_c := \frac{1}{6} \cdot b \cdot h_{cap}^2 = 1.394 \times 10^5 \cdot in^3$
Cracking moment	$M_{cr} := \gamma_3 \cdot \gamma_1 \cdot f_r \cdot S_c = 5.176 \times 10^3 \cdot \text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}$
1.33 times the factored moment demand	$1.33 \cdot M_{u_StrI} = 1.878 \times 10^4 \cdot kip \cdot ft$
The factored moment to satisfy the minimum reinforcement requirement	$M_{req} := \min(1.33M_{u_StrI}, M_{cr}) = 5.176 \times 10^3 \cdot kip \cdot ft$
Check the adequacy of the section capacity	Check := if $(M_{CapacityCap} > M_{req}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$

Control of Cracking by Distribution of Reinforcement

Limiting the width of expected cracks under service conditions extends the service life. The width of potential cracks can be minimized through proper placement of the reinforcement. Checking for crack control assures that the actual stress in the reinforcement does not exceed the service limit state stress.

Spacing requirement for the mild steel reinforcement in the layer closest to the tension face

$$s \le \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_e}{\beta_s \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_c$$
 LRFD Eq. 5.6.7-1

LRFD 5.6.3.3

LRFD 5.6.7

53

Exposure factor for the Class 1 exposure condition

Distance from extreme tension fiber to the center of the closest flexural reinforcement

Ratio of flexural strain at the extreme tension face to the strain at the centroid of the reinforcement layer closest to the tension face

The position of the cross-section's neutral axis is determined through an iterative process to calculate the actual stress in the reinforcement. This process starts with an assumed position of the neutral axis.

Given

 $\mathbf{v} := 6.in$

Assumed distance from the extreme compression fiber to the neutral axis

Tensile force in the reinforcing steel due to service limit state moment

Stress in the reinforcing steel due to service limit state moment

 f_{ss} (not to exceed 0.6 f_v)

Position of the neutral axis

Required reinforcement bar spacing

Spacing of the steel reinforcement bars

Check if the spacing provided < the required spacing

Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement Requirement

The following calculations check the adequacy of the flexural reinforcing steel to control shrinkage and temperature stresses in the pier cap. $A_S \geq \frac{1.3bh}{2\,(b+h)\,f_y}$

For bars, the area of reinforcement per-foot (A_s), on each face and in each direction, shall satisfy

provided that

The following calculation evaluates the above limits to identify the minimum area of shrinkage and temperature reinforcement needed for the pier cap.

$$\gamma_{e} := 1.00$$

$$d_c := Cover_{cap} = 3.5 \cdot in$$

$$\beta_{\rm s} := 1 + \frac{d_{\rm c}}{0.7(h_{\rm cap} - d_{\rm c})} = 1.039$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot b \cdot x^{2} = \frac{E_{s}}{E_{c}} \cdot A_{s} \text{Provided}_{cap} \cdot (d_{e} - x)$$

$$x_{na} \coloneqq \text{Find}(x) = 29.764 \cdot \text{in}$$

$$T_{s} \coloneqq \frac{M_{u} \text{SerI}}{d_{e} - \frac{x_{na}}{3}} = 1 \times 10^{3} \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$f_{ss1} \coloneqq \frac{T_{s}}{A_{s} \text{Provided}_{cap}} = 37.773 \cdot \text{ksi}$$

$$f_{ss} \coloneqq \min(f_{ss1}, 0.6f_{y}) = 36 \cdot \text{ksi}$$

$$s_{bar} \text{Requred} \coloneqq \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_{e} \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{in}}}{\beta_{s} \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_{c} = 11.71$$

$$s_{bar} \coloneqq \frac{(b - 2 \cdot \text{Cover}_{cap})}{\beta_{s}} = 5.125 \cdot \text{in}$$

6 · in

8

 $0.11 \text{in}^2 \le A_{\text{S}} \le 0.6 \text{in}^2$



Design for Shear

A simplified design procedure can be used since the section is not subjected to an axial tension and contains at least the minimum amount of transverse reinforcement.

 $V_{u \text{ StrI}} = 1.094 \times 10^3 \cdot \text{kip}$ Maximum factored shear force at the From Step 7.5 critical section $b_{xy} := b = 48 \cdot in$ Effective width of the section a := $\frac{A_{sProvided_cap} \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f_a \cdot b} = 13.196 \cdot in$ Depth of the equivalent rectangular stress block $d_{v} := \max\left(d_{e} - \frac{a}{2}, 0.9 \cdot d_{e}, 0.72 \cdot h_{cap}\right) = 121.902 \cdot in$ LRFD Effective shear depth 5.7.2.8 Factor indicating the ability of diagonally $\beta := 2$ cracked concrete to transmit tension and shear $\theta := 45$ Angle of inclination of diagonal compressive stresses Nominal shear resistance of concrete $V_c := 0.0316 \cdot \beta \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot ksi} \cdot b \cdot d_v = 640.5 \cdot kip$ LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.3-3 $\phi_{v} := 0.9$ LRFD 5.5.4.2 Resistance factor for shear (for normal weight concrete) $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{u}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{StrI}}{\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{v}}} = 0.208 \cdot \mathbf{ksi}$ LRFD Eq. 5.7.2.8-1 Shear stress on the concrete Check := if $(v_u < 0.125 \cdot f_c, "Max. spacing = 24 in.", "Max. spacing = 12 in.") = "Max. spacing = 24 in."$ The maximum spacing of the transverse reinforcement shall not exceed 24 in. LRFD 5.7.2.6 Select trial stirrup size and number of legs bar := 5 leg := 4Select stirrup spacing s := 8in

Cross-section area of one leg of a stirrup

Total stirrup area

Check minimum transverse reinforcement requirement

$$\begin{aligned} A_{bar} &\coloneqq \operatorname{Area}(bar) = 0.31 \cdot \operatorname{in}^2 \\ A_{v} &\coloneqq \operatorname{leg} \cdot A_{bar} = 1.24 \cdot \operatorname{in}^2 \\ 0.0316 \cdot \beta \cdot \frac{\sqrt{f_c \cdot ksi} \cdot b \cdot s}{f_y} &= 0.701 \cdot \operatorname{in}^2 \\ \end{aligned}$$

Check $\coloneqq \operatorname{if} \left(A_v > 0.0316 \cdot \beta \cdot \frac{\sqrt{f_c \cdot ksi} \cdot b \cdot s}{f_y}, "OK", "Not OK" \right) = "OK"$

Shear resistance provided by stirrups

$$V_s := \frac{A_V \cdot f_V \cdot d_V \cdot \cot(\theta)}{s} = 699.905 \cdot \text{kip} \qquad \text{LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.3-4}$$

The nominal shear resistance, $\boldsymbol{V}_n,$ at the critical section is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{split} &V_{n1} := V_{c} + V_{s} = 1.34 \times 10^{3} \cdot \text{kip} \\ &V_{n2} := 0.25 f_{c} \cdot b \cdot d_{v} = 4.388 \times 10^{3} \cdot \text{kip} \\ &V_{n} := \min(V_{n1}, V_{n2}) = 1.34 \times 10^{3} \cdot \text{kip} \\ &V_{r} := \phi_{v} \cdot V_{n} = 1.206 \times 10^{3} \cdot \text{kip} \\ &Check := if(V_{r} > V_{u_StrI}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK" \end{split}$$

Factored shear resistance

Check if the factored shear resistance > the factored shear force

Step 7.8 Pier Column Design

Description

This step presents the column design.

Page Content

- 57 Preliminary Design
- 57 Design for Axial Load and Biaxial Bending
- 60 Design for Shear

Preliminary Design

Assumed section dimensions and reinforcement details are shown in the following figure:



Design for Axial Load and Biaxial Bending



The slenderness ratio about each axis of the column is calculated below.

The unbraced lengths used for the slenderness ratio about each axis is the full height of the pier, which is the height from the top of the footing to the top of the pier cap. Because of the expansion bearings at the abutment, the pier is not restrained against sway in the longitudinal direction of the bridge. Hence, the effective length factor in that direction, K_x , is taken as 2.1. The effective length factor in the transverse direction of the bridge, K_{v} is taken as 1.0 since the sway of the pier in that direction is prevented by the bridge superstructure.

Column moment of inertia about x-axis

$$I_{XX} \coloneqq \frac{\text{w}_{\text{column}} \cdot \text{t}_{\text{column}}^{3}}{12} = 2.35 \times 10^{6} \cdot \text{in}^{4}$$
$$I_{yy} \coloneqq \frac{\text{t}_{\text{column}} \cdot \text{w}_{\text{column}}^{3}}{12} = 6.633 \times 10^{7} \cdot \text{in}^{4}$$

Column moment of inertia about y-axis

LRFD 5.6.4.2

Radius of gyration about x-axis	$r_{xx} := \sqrt{\frac{I_{xx}}{A_{g_{col}}}} = 13.856 \cdot in$
Radius of gyration about y-axis	$r_{yy} := \sqrt{\frac{I_{yy}}{A_{g_{col}}}} = 73.612 \cdot in$
Effective length factor about the x-x axis	K _X := 2.1
Effective length factor about the y-y axis	K _y := 1.0
Length of the pier	$L_u := h_{column} + h_{cap} = 25 \text{ ft}$
Slenderness ratios about x- and y- axes	$\frac{K_{x} \cdot L_{u}}{r_{xx}} = 45.466 \qquad \frac{K_{y} \cdot L_{u}}{r_{yy}} = 4.075$
Check the slenderness ratio about x-axis	Check := if $\left(\frac{K_{x} \cdot L_{u}}{r_{xx}} < 100, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK"$ LRFD 5.6.4.3
Check the slenderness ratio about y-axis	Check := if $\left(\frac{K_{y} \cdot L_{u}}{r_{yy}} < 100, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK"$

The slenderness effects may not be considered when the slenderness ratio of an unbraced member is less than 22.

To calculate the moment magnification factor for the moment about the x-axis, the column flexural stiffness (EI) about x-axis needs to be defined. The calculation process requires defining (a) the ratio of maximum factored permanent load moments to the maximum factored total load moment, (b) the moment of inertia of the gross concrete section about the centroidal axis, and (c) the moment of inertia of longitudinal reinforcement about the centroidal axis.

For this pier, the force effects contributing to the moment about the x-axis are the braking force and wind loads acting on the structure and live load. Since none of these are permanent loads, the ratio of the maximum factored permanent load moments to the maximum factored total moment is zero..

 $\beta_d \coloneqq 0$

CD .

Ratio of the maximum factored permanent load moments to the maximum factored total moment

Number of equal spacings provided between reinforcing steel bars in the y-direction

$$\operatorname{spa}_{y} := \frac{\operatorname{t_{column}} - 2\operatorname{Cover}_{col}}{\operatorname{SP}_{y}} = 5.714 \cdot \operatorname{in}$$

Spacing of the reinforcing bars in the y-direction

$$spa_y := \frac{t_{column} - 2Cover_{col}}{SP_y} = 5.714$$

Moment of inertia of longitudinal steel about the x-axis

$$I_{sx} := \frac{\pi \cdot d_{bar}^{4}}{64} \cdot N_{bars} + 2 \cdot 42A_{bar} \cdot \left(\frac{7spa_{y}}{2}\right)^{2} + 4 \cdot A_{bar} \cdot \left(\frac{5spa_{y}}{2}\right)^{2} + 4 \cdot A_{bar} \cdot \left(\frac{3spa_{y}}{2}\right)^{2} + 4 \cdot A_{bar} \cdot \left(\frac{spa_{y}}{2}\right)^{2}$$
$$I_{sx} = 4.414 \times 10^{4} \cdot in^{4}$$

LRFD 5.6.4.3

The column flexural stiffness is the maximum of the following two values:

$$EI_{1} := \frac{\frac{E_{c} \cdot I_{xx}}{5} + E_{s} \cdot I_{sx}}{1 + \beta_{d}} = 2.984 \times 10^{9} \cdot \text{kip} \cdot \text{in}^{2}$$
LRFD Eq. 5.6.4.3-1

$$EI_{2} := \frac{\frac{E_{c} \cdot I_{xx}}{2.5}}{\left(1 + \beta_{d}\right)} = 3.408 \times 10^{9} \cdot \text{kip} \cdot \text{in}^{2}$$
LRFD Eq. 5.6.4.3-2

$$EI := \max(EI_1, EI_2) = 3.408 \times 10^9 \cdot \text{kip} \cdot \text{in}^2$$

 $\phi_{\mathrm{K}} \coloneqq 0.75$

Stiffness reduction factor for concrete members

The moment magnification factor is calculated as follows. As stated in Step 7.5, the Lane 5 loaded case and the Lanes 4 and 5 loaded case under the Strength V limit state are the critical load cases for the axial load and biaxial bending design of the column. Therefore, the moment magnification factors for these two load cases are calculated.

Euler buckling load

$$P_{e} := \frac{\pi^{2} \cdot EI}{(K_{x} \cdot L_{u})^{2}} = 8.475 \times 10^{4} \cdot kip$$
LRFD Eq. 4.5.3.2.2b-5

Moment magnification factor for Lane 5 loaded case

Moment magnification factor for Lanes 4 and 5 loaded case

$$\delta_{s_1L} \coloneqq \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{N_u \text{ColStrV}_1 L}{\phi_K \cdot P_e}\right)} = 1.032$$
$$\delta_{s_2L} \coloneqq \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{N_u \text{ColStrV}_2 L}{\phi_K \cdot P_e}\right)} = 1.035$$

2

LRFD Eq. 4.5.3.2.2b-4

LRFD Eq. 4.5.3.2.2b-4

LRFD 4.5.3.2.2a

The forces and moments acting at the base of the column are calculated in Step 7.5. The forces and moments from Lane 5 and Lanes 4 and 5 loaded cases are used to evaluate the adequacy of the column capacity.

Lane 5 loaded case

$$P_{u_{1L}} := N_{uColStrV_{1L}} = 1.977 \times 10^{3} \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$M_{uy_{1L}} := M_{uTColStrV_{1L}} = 7.008 \times 10^{3} \text{ ft} \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$M_{ux_{1L}} := M_{uLColStrV_{1L}} \cdot \delta_{s_{1L}} = 1.188 \times 10^{3} \text{ ft} \cdot \text{kip}$$
Lanes 4 and 5 loaded case

$$P_{u_{2L}} := N_{uColStrV_{2L}} = 2.146 \times 10^{3} \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$M_{uy_{2L}} := M_{uTColStrV_{2L}} = 8.749 \times 10^{3} \text{ ft} \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$M_{ux_{2L}} := M_{uLColStrV_{2L}} \cdot \delta_{s_{2L}} = 1.47 \times 10^{3} \text{ ft} \cdot \text{kip}$$

Resistance factor for compression

LRFD 5.6.4.5 Check if the factored axial load is greater or less than $0.1\phi f_c' A_g$ to select the appropriate equation for proportioning the member subjected to biaxial flexure and compression.

 $\phi_{axial} \coloneqq 0.75$

$$0.1 \cdot \phi_{axial} \cdot f_c \cdot A_{g col} = 2.754 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$$

$$Check := if \left(P_{u_{1L}} < 0.1 \cdot \phi_{axial} \cdot f_c \cdot A_{g_{col}}, "Use Eq. 5.6.4.5-3", "Use eq. 5.6.4.5-1" \right) = "Use Eq. 5.6.4.5-3"$$

$$Check := if \left(P_{u_{2L}} < 0.1 \cdot \phi_{axial} \cdot f_c \cdot A_{g_{col}}, "Use Eq. 5.6.4.5-3", "Use eq. 5.6.4.5-1" \right) = "Use Eq. 5.6.4.5-3"$$

Note: Instead of using M_{rx} and M_{rv} (with the AASHTO LRFD Eq. 5.6.4.5-3), following typical industry practice,

the factored resultant flexural resistance, Mr, of the column is used in the approximate calculation procedure described below.

$$M_{r} := 43990 \text{kip} \cdot \text{ft} \qquad \text{Calculated using a commercial software}$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{M_{ux}_{1L}^{2} + M_{uy}_{1L}^{2}}}{M_{r}} = 0.162 \qquad \frac{\sqrt{M_{ux}_{2L}^{2} + M_{uy}_{2L}^{2}}}{M_{r}} = 0.202$$

$$\text{Check} := \text{if}\left(\frac{\sqrt{M_{ux}_{1L}^{2} + M_{uy}_{1L}^{2}}}{M_{r}} \le 1, \text{"OK"}, \text{"Not OK"}\right) = \text{"OK"} \qquad \text{LRFD Eq. 5.6.4.5-3}$$

$$\text{Check} := \text{if}\left(\frac{\sqrt{M_{ux}_{2L}^{2} + M_{uy}_{2L}^{2}}}{M_{r}} \le 1, \text{"OK"}, \text{"Not OK"}\right) = \text{"OK"} \qquad \text{LRFD Eq. 5.6.4.5-3}$$

Although the column has a fairly large excess flexural capacity, an optimal column size is not considered for the following reasons:

- (1) In this design example, the requirements of the pier cap dictate the column dimensions (a reduction in the column width will increase the moment in the pier cap).
- (2) A short and squat column, such as the one in this example, generally has a relatively large excess capacity even when only minimally reinforced.

Design for Shear

The maximum factored shear forces parallel to the longitudinal and transverse axes of the column are presented in Step 7.5.

Factored shear parallel to the $V_{uTCol} = 27.394 \cdot kip$ longitudinal axis of the column Factored shear parallel to the transverse axis of the column

 $V_{uLCol} = 80.587 \cdot kip$

For simplicity, shear designs are carried out independently for longitudinal and transverse directions using the maximum shear force in each direction.

Since the column is not subjected to axial tension and contains at least the minimum amount of the transverse reinforcement, the simplified procedure is used.

LRFD 5.7.3.4.1

LRFD 5.5.4.2

Maximum factored shear force	$V_{uLCol} = 80.587 \cdot kip$	
Effective width of the section	$b_v := w_{column} = 21.25 \text{ ft}$	
Effective shear depth, conservatively taken as 0.72h	$d_{v} \coloneqq 0.72 \cdot t_{column} = 34.56 \cdot in$	LRFD 5.7.2.8
Factor indicating ability of diagonally cracked concrete to transmit tension and shear	$\beta \coloneqq 2$	
Nominal shear resistance of concrete	$V_c := 0.0316 \cdot \beta \cdot \lambda \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot ksi} \cdot b_V \cdot d_V = 964.7 \cdot kip$	LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.3-3
Resistance factor for shear	$\phi_{\rm V}=0.9$	LRFD 5.5.4.2
Check if the transverse reinforcement is required		LRFD 5.7.2.3
Check := if $(V_{uTCol} < 0.5 \varphi_V \cdot V_c, "She$	ear reinforcement NOT required", "Shear reinfor	cement required")
	Check = "Shear reinforcement NOT required"	

Shear Parallel to the Longitudinal Axis of the Column

Maximum factored shear force	$V_{uTCol} = 27.394 \cdot kip$	
Effective width of the section	$b_v := t_{column} = 4 \text{ft}$	
Effective shear depth, conservatively taken as 0.72h	$d_v := 0.72 \cdot w_{column} = 183.6 \cdot in$	LRFD 5.7.2.8
Factor indicating ability of diagonally cracked concrete to transmit tension and shear	$\beta := 2$	
Nominal shear resistance of concrete	$V_{c} := 0.0316 \cdot \beta \cdot \lambda \cdot \sqrt{f_{c} \cdot ksi} \cdot b_{v} \cdot d_{v} = 964.7 \cdot kip$	LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.3-3
Resistance factor for shear	$\phi_{\rm V} = 0.9$	LRFD 5.5.4.2
Check if the transverse reinforcement is required		LRFD 5.7.2.3

Check := if $(V_{uTCol} < 0.5 \varphi_V V_c, "Shear reinforcement NOT required", "Shear reinforcement required")$

Check = "Shear reinforcement NOT required"

Although the transverse reinforcement is not required for shear resistance, transverse confinement steel in the form of hoops, ties, or spirals is required for compression members.

Note: MDOT uses No. 4 as the minimum bar size to avoid damages during shipping and handling. BDM 7.04.01 G

The spacing of ties along the vertical axis of the column with single bars or bundles of No. 9 bars or smaller shall not exceed the lesser of the least dimension of the member or 12.0 in. Since the column has No. 10 single bars as the vertical reinforcement, select a spacing of 12 in. for the transverse confinement steel.

Use No. 4 bars as hoops at a spacing of 12 in. on center.

Step 7.9 Geotechnical Design of the Footing

Description

This step presents the geotechnical design of a spread footing considering the following strength and serviceability limit states:

- 1. bearing resistance strength limit state
- 2. settlement service limit state
- 3. sliding resistance strength limit state
- 4. load eccentricity (overturning) strength limit state.

Step 7.10 presents the structural design of the footing.

Page Content

- 63 Bearing Resistance Check
- 67 Settlement Check
- 67 Sliding Resistance Check
- 68 Eccentric Load Limitation (Overturning) Check

LRFD 10.6.1.1

Bearing Resistance Check

For eccentrically loaded footing, the use of a reduced effective area is allowed for bearing resistance or settlement calculation. The point of load application shall be at the centroid of the reduced area.

Note: As a practice, the average pressure and the values at the toe and heel under different load cases and limit states are provided to the MDOT Geotechnical Services Section for verification.

This example presents the LRFD and MDOT methods.

Strength I

Factored vertical force under dead load (DL)	$F_{VFtDL} := 1.25 \cdot \left(DC_{Sup} + DC_{cap} + DC_{column} + DC_{footing} \right) \dots + 1.5 DW_{Sup} + 1.35 \cdot EV_{Ft}$
	$F_{VFtDL} = 3.238 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$
Factored vertical force with live load	$F_{VFtStrI} = 3.976 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$ From Step 7.5
Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing	$M_{XFtStrI} = 1.593 \times 10^3 \cdot kip \cdot ft$ From Step 7.5
Factored moment about the transverse axis of the footing	$M_{YFtStrI} = 894.546 \cdot kip \cdot ft$ From Step 7.5
Eccentricity in the footing width direction	$e_{B} := \frac{M_{XFtStrI}}{F_{VFtStrI}} = 0.401 \text{ ft}$
Eccentricity in the footing length direction	$e_{L} := \frac{M_{YFtStrI}}{F_{VFtStrI}} = 0.225 \text{ ft}$
LRFD Method	
Effective footing width	$B_{eff} := w_{footing} - 2 \cdot e_B = 17.199 \text{ ft}$ LRFD Eq. 10.6.1.3-1
Effective footing length	$L_{eff} := l_{footing} - 2 \cdot e_L = 31.8 \text{ ft}$ LRFD Eq. 10.6.1.3-1
Bearing pressure	$q_{\text{bearing_StrI}} := \frac{F_{\text{VFtStrI}}}{B_{\text{eff}} \cdot L_{\text{eff}}} = 7.27 \cdot \text{ksf}$
MDOT Method	
Average bearing pressure under DL	$q_{\text{bearingDL}_StrI} := \frac{F_{\text{VFtDL}}}{w_{\text{footing}} \cdot l_{\text{footing}}} = 5.579 \cdot \text{ksf}$
Average bearing pressure	$q_{centerStrI} := \frac{F_{VFtStrI}}{w_{footing} \cdot l_{footing}} = 6.849 \cdot ksf$
Maximum bearing pressure	$q_{\text{maxStrI}} \coloneqq \frac{F_{\text{VFtStrI}}}{w_{\text{footing}} \cdot l_{\text{footing}}} \left(1 + 6 \cdot \frac{e_{\text{B}}}{w_{\text{footing}}} + 6 \cdot \frac{e_{\text{L}}}{l_{\text{footing}}}\right) = 8.051 \cdot \text{ksf}$

LRFD 10.6.1.3

Minimum bearing pressure
$$q_{minStrl} := \frac{F_{VFIStrl}}{w_{footing}^{-1} f_{looting}} \left(1 - 6 \cdot \frac{c_B}{w_{footing}} - 6 \cdot \frac{c_L}{l_{footing}}\right) = 5.648 \cdot ksf$$
Strengh IIIFactored vertical force $F_{VFIStrl III} = 3.238 \times 10^3$. kipFrom Step 7.5Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing $M_{XFIStrIII} = 925.652 \cdot kip \cdot ft$ From Step 7.5Factored moment about the transverse $M_{XFIStrIII} = 0.236 ft$ From Step 7.5Eccentricity in the footing width direction $c_B := \frac{M_XFIStrIII}{F_VFIStrIII} = 0.286 ft$ From Step 7.5Eccentricity in the footing length direction $c_L := \frac{M_YFIStrIII}{F_VFIStrIII} = 0.209 ft$ I.RFD Fq. 10.6.1.3-1Effective footing length $L_{eff} := w_{footing} - 2 \cdot c_B = 17.428 ft$ I.RFD Fq. 10.6.1.3-1Effective footing number to the footing length $L_{eff} := w_{footing} - 2 \cdot c_B = 17.428 ft$ I.RFD Fq. 10.6.1.3-1Effective footing number to get the footing length $L_{eff} := w_{footing} - 2 \cdot c_B = 17.428 ft$ I.RFD Fq. 10.6.1.3-1Effective footing number to get the footing length $L_{eff} := w_{footing} - 16 \cdot c_B = 5.837 \cdot ksf$ MDOT Method $q_{eenterStrIII} := \frac{F_VFIStrIII}{w_{footing}^{-1} f_{footing}} = 5.579 \cdot ksf$ Average bearing pressure $q_{minStrIII} := \frac{F_VFIStrIII}{w_{footing}^{-1} f_{footing}} = 6 \cdot \frac{c_L}{f_{footing}} = 6.327 \cdot ksf$ Minimum bearing pressure $q_{minStrIII} := \frac{F_VFIStrIII}{w_{footing}^{-1} f_{footing}} = 6 \cdot \frac{c_L}{f_{footing}} = 4.83 \cdot ksf$ Minimum bearing pressure $q_{minStrIII} := \frac{F_VFIStrIII}{w_{footing}^{-1} f_{footing}} = 6 \cdot \frac{c_L}{f_{footing}} = 4.83 \cdot ksf$ Strengt VFactored vertical force

Eccentricity in the footing length direction

LRFD Method

Effective footing width

Effective footing length

Bearing pressure

MDOT Method

Average bearing pressure

Maximum bearing pressure

Minimum bearing pressure

$$e_{L} := \frac{M_{YFtStrV}}{F_{VFtStrV}} = 0.376 \, ft$$

$$B_{eff} := w_{footing} - 2 \cdot e_B = 16.905 \text{ ft}$$
 LRFD Eq. 10.6.1.3-1
 $L_{eff} := l_{footing} - 2 \cdot e_L = 31.498 \text{ ft}$ LRFD Eq. 10.6.1.3-1

$$q_{\text{bearing}_StrV} := \frac{F_{VFtStrV}}{B_{\text{eff}} \cdot L_{\text{eff}}} = 7.15 \cdot \text{ksf}$$

$$q_{centerStrV} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtStrV}}{w_{footing} \cdot l_{footing}} = 6.559 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{maxStrV} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtStrV}}{w_{footing} \cdot l_{footing}} \left(1 + 6 \cdot \frac{e_B}{w_{footing}} + 6 \cdot \frac{e_L}{l_{footing}}\right) = 8.215 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{minStrV} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtStrV}}{w_{footing} \cdot l_{footing}} \left(1 - 6 \cdot \frac{e_B}{w_{footing}} - 6 \cdot \frac{e_L}{l_{footing}}\right) = 4.903 \cdot ksf$$

Service I

Factored vertical force under F _V dead load (DL)	$P_{FtDLSerI} := DC_{Sup} + DC_{cap} + DC_{column} + DC_{Ft} + DW_{Sup} + EV_{Ft}$	OC _{footing}
	$F_{VFtDLSerI} = 2.539 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$	
Factored vertical force with live load	$F_{VFtSerI} = 2.961 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$	From Step 7.5
Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing	$M_{XFtSerI} = 1.618 \times 10^3 \cdot kip \cdot ft$	From Step 7.5
Factored moment about the transverse axis of the footing	$M_{YFtSerI} = 1.253 \times 10^3 \cdot kip \cdot ft$	From Step 7.5
Eccentricity in the footing width direction	$e_{\rm B} := \frac{M_{\rm XFtStrI}}{F_{\rm VFtStrI}} = 0.401 {\rm ft}$	
Eccentricity in the footing length direction	$e_{L} := \frac{M_{YFtStrI}}{F_{VFtStrI}} = 0.225 \text{ ft}$	
LRFD Method		
Effective footing width	$B_{eff} := w_{footing} - 2 \cdot e_B = 17.199 ft$	LRFD Eq. 10.6.1.3-1
Effective footing length	$L_{eff} := l_{footing} - 2 \cdot e_L = 31.8 \text{ ft}$	LRFD Eq. 10.6.1.3-1

Bearing pressure
$$q_{\text{bearing}_SerI} \coloneqq \frac{F_{\text{VFt}SerI}}{B_{\text{eff}} \cdot L_{\text{eff}}} = 5.414 \cdot \text{ksf}$$

MDOT Method

$$q_{\text{bearingDL}_SerI} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtDLSerI}}{w_{\text{footing}} \cdot I_{\text{footing}}} = 4.374 \cdot \text{ksf}$$

l_{footing} /

 $q_{centerSerI} := \frac{F_{VFtSerI}}{w_{footing} \cdot l_{footing}} = 5.101 \cdot ksf$

Maximum bearing pressure

Average bearing pressure

Footing pressure under DL

$$q_{\text{maxSerI}} \coloneqq \frac{F_{\text{VFtSerI}}}{w_{\text{footing}} \cdot l_{\text{footing}}} \left(1 + 6 \cdot \frac{e_{\text{B}}}{w_{\text{footing}}} + 6 \cdot \frac{e_{\text{L}}}{l_{\text{footing}}} \right) = 5.995 \cdot \text{ksf}$$
$$q_{\text{minSerI}} \coloneqq \frac{F_{\text{VFtSerI}}}{w_{\text{footing}} \cdot l_{\text{footing}}} \left(1 - 6 \cdot \frac{e_{\text{B}}}{w_{\text{footing}}} - 6 \cdot \frac{e_{\text{L}}}{l_{\text{footing}}} \right) = 4.206 \cdot \text{ksf}$$

Minimum bearing pressure

Summary

LRFD Method

For the LRFD method, the controlling bearing pressure under strength limit states is

 $q_b := max(q_{bearing StrI}, q_{bearing StrIII}, q_{bearing StrV}) = 7.27 \cdot ksf$

The controlling bearing pressure needs to be checked with the factored bearing resistance of the soil provided by the Geotechnical Services Section.

MDOT Method

The controlling center, maximum, and minimum bearing pressure values under strength limit states are listed below.

 $q_{center} := max(q_{bearing StrI}, q_{bearing StrII}, q_{bearing StrV}) = 7.27 \cdot ksf$ $q_{max} := max(q_{maxStrI}, q_{maxStrIII}, q_{maxStrV}) = 8.215 \cdot ksf$

 $q_{\min} := \max(q_{\min}StrI, q_{\min}StrIII, q_{\min}StrV) = 5.648 \cdot ksf$

A summary of bearing pressure values is shown in the following table:

	Average bearing	Average bearing	Bearing pressure	Bearing presssure
	pressure DL only (psf)	pressure (psf)	max. (psf)	min. (psf)
Service	4374	5101	5995	4206
Strength	5579	7270	8215	5648
Allowable	Provided by the Geotechnical Services Section			

The Geotechnical Services Section uses these values for the verification of bearing resistance and settlement limits. If the bearing pressure exceeds the bearing strength of the soil, the size of the footing needs to be increased.

BDM 7.03.02G

Settlement Check

The Geotechnical Services Section uses the controlling bearing pressure from the service limit state to check if the total settlement of foundation is less than 1.5 in., the allowable limit.

For the LRFD method, the controlling bearing pressure for settlement analysis is

 $q_{b \text{ settlement}} := q_{bearing \text{ SerI}} = 5.414 \cdot \text{ksf}$

The Geotechnical Services Section uses this controlling bearing pressure to calculate the total settlement of the foundation.

For the MDOT method, the bearing pressures under the service limit state are provided to the Geotechnical Services Section to calculate the settlement.

Note: Besides the total settlement, considerations should be given to prevent the differential settlement between the abutments and pier from exceeding the tolerable differential settlement limit. Differential settlement limits are given in the *Steel Plate Girder Design Example*.

Sliding Resistance Check

LRFD 10.6.3.4

BDM 7.03.02F

BDM 7.03.02G 2b

Spread footings must be designed to resist lateral loads without sliding. The sliding resistance of a footing on cohesionless soil is a function of the normal force and the interface friction between the foundation and the soil.

The Geotechnical Services Section should provide a coefficient of sliding resistance (μ) for a design. MDOT typically uses a sliding resistance coefficient of 0.5 for cast-in-place concrete footings. Consult the Geotechnical Services Section to identify the most suitable coefficient for a specific design.

 $\mu := 0.5$

Coefficient of sliding resistance

The strength limit states are used for this check. Since the resistance is proportional to the vertical loads, the following conditions are used:

- Minimum load factors are used for all vertical loads.
- Maximum load factors are used for the loads that contribute to horizontal sliding forces.
- Live load is excluded.
- Since DW is the future wearing surface load, it is excluded.

The sliding resistance provided by the passive earth pressure is included in the design.

 $k_p := 3.3$ Passive earth pressure coefficient provided by the Geotechnical Services Section $p_p := k_p \cdot \gamma_s \cdot (h_{soil} + t_{footing}) = 2.376 \cdot ksf$ Passive earth pressure at the footing base $R_{ep} := \frac{1}{2} \cdot p_{p} \cdot (h_{soil} + t_{footing}) \cdot l_{footing} = 229.878 \cdot kip$ Nominal passive resistance of soil $\phi_{ep} := 0.5$ Resistance factor for passive resistance BDM 7.03.02F, LRFD Table 10.5.5.5.2-1 $\phi_{\tau} := 0.8$ Resistance factor for shear resistance BDM 7.03.02F, LRFD Table 10.5.5.5.2-1 between soil and foundation Strength I Factored shear force parallel to the $V_{LFtStrI} = 56.875 \cdot kip$ transverse axis of the footing Factored shear force parallel to the $V_{TFtStrI} = 0 \cdot kip$ longitudinal axis of the footing

Factored sliding force (Demand)

 $V_{sliding} := \sqrt{V_{LFtStrI}^2 + V_{TFtStrI}^2} = 56.875 \cdot kip$

 $V_{\text{resistance}} := \phi_{\tau} \cdot \mu \cdot F_{\text{VFtStrIMin}} = 854.631 \cdot \text{kip}$

 $V_{\text{sliding}} := \sqrt{V_{\text{LFtStrIII}}^2 + V_{\text{TFtStrIII}}^2} = 49.183 \cdot \text{kip}$

 $V_{\text{resistance}} := \phi_{\tau} \cdot \mu \cdot F_{\text{VFtStrIIIMin}} = 854.631 \cdot \text{kip}$

Check := if (V_{resistance} > V_{sliding}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"

Check := if (V_{resistance} > V_{sliding}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"

Minimum vertical load

$$F_{VFtStrIMin} := 0.9 \cdot \left(DC_{Sup} + DC_{cap} + DC_{column} + DC_{footing} \right) + 1.0 \cdot \left(EV_{Ft} \right) = 2.137 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$$

Sliding resistance

Check if $V_{resistance} > V_{sliding}$

Strength III

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the footing

Factored shear force parallel to the longitudinal axis of the footing

Factored sliding force (Demand)

Minimum vertical load

$$F_{VFtStrIIIMin} := 0.9 \cdot (DC_{Sup} + DC_{cap} + DC_{column} + DC_{footing}) + 1.0 \cdot (EV_{Ft}) = 2.137 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip$$

 $V_{LFtStrIII} = 42.066 \cdot kip$

 $V_{TFtStrIII} = 25.484 \cdot kip$

Sliding resistance (Capacity)

Check if V_{resistance} > V_{sliding}

Strength V

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the footing	$V_{LFtStrV} = 80.587 \cdot kip$			
Factored shear force parallel to the longitudinal axis of the footing	$V_{TFtStrV} = 27.394 \cdot kip$			
Factored sliding force (Demand)	$V_{sliding} := \sqrt{V_{LFtStrV}^2 + V_{TFtStrV}^2} = 85.115 \cdot kip$			
Minimum vertical load				
$F_{VFtStrVMin} := 0.9 \cdot \left(DC_{Sup} + DC_{cap} + DC_{column} + DC_{footing} \right) + 1.0 \cdot \left(EV_{Ft} \right) = 2.137 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$				
Sliding resistance (Capacity)	$V_{resistance} := \phi_{\tau} \cdot \mu \cdot F_{VFtStrVMin} = 854.631 \cdot kip$			
Check if $V_{resistance} > V_{sliding}$	Check := if (V _{resistance} > V _{sliding} , "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"			

Eccentric Load Limitation (Overturning) Check

The eccentricity of loading at the strength limit state, evaluated based on factored loads, shall **LRFD 10.6.3.3** not exceed one-sixth of the corresponding dimension measured from the centerline of the footing for stability.

The eccentricity in the footing length direction is not of a concern. The following calculations present the evaluation of the eccentricity in the footing width direction:

Strength I

Minimum vertical force

Moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

Eccentricity in the footing width direction measured from the centerline

1/6 of footing width

Check if the load eccentricity limitation is satisfied

Strength III

Minimum vertical force

Moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

Eccentricity in the footing width direction measured from the centerline

Check if the load eccentricity limitation is satisfied

Strength V

Minimum vertical force

Moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

Eccentricity in the footing width direction measured from the centerline

Check if the load eccentricity limitation is satisfied

$$F_{VFtStrIMin} = 2.137 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$$

 $M_{XFtStrI} = 1.593 \times 10^3 \text{ ft} \cdot kip$

$$e_{\rm B} := \frac{M_{\rm XFt} {\rm StrI}}{F_{\rm VFt} {\rm StrIMin}} = 0.745 \, {\rm ft}$$

 $\frac{\text{w}_{footing}}{6} = 3 \text{ ft}$ Check := if $\left(e_{B} < \frac{\text{w}_{footing}}{6}, \text{"OK"}, \text{"Not OK"} \right) = \text{"OK"}$

$$F_{VFtStrIIIMin} = 2.137 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$$

 $M_{XFtStrIII} = 925.652 \text{ ft} \cdot \text{kip}$

 $e_{\rm B} := \frac{M_{\rm XFt} StrIII}{F_{\rm VFt} StrIIIMin} = 0.433 \ {\rm ft}$

Check := if
$$\left(e_{B} < \frac{W_{footing}}{6}, "OK", "Not OK" \right) = "OK"$$

$$F_{VFtStrVMin} = 2.137 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip$$
$$M_{XFtStrV} = 2.084 \times 10^{3} \text{ ft} \cdot kip$$

$$e_{\rm B} := \frac{M_{\rm XFtStrV}}{F_{\rm VFtStrVMin}} = 0.976 \, {\rm ft}$$

Check := if
$$\left(e_{B} < \frac{w_{footing}}{6}, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK"$$

Step 7.10 Structural Design of the Footing

Description

81

This step presents the structural design of the pier footing.

Page Contents

71	Design for Flexure
71	- Transverse Reinforcement

75 - Longitudinal Reinforcement

80 Design for Shear

- 80 One-Way Shear at a Section Parallel to the Transverse Axis of the Footing
 - One-Way Shear at a Section Parallel to the Longitudinal Axis of the Footing
- 82 Two-Way Shear
- 83 Development Length of Reinforcement
- 84 Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement

For structural design of an eccentrically loaded foundation, a triangular or trapezoidal bearing pressure distribution shall be used.

LRFD 10.6.5

Design for Flexure

Transverse Reinforcement

The critical section A-A for the design of transverse flexural reinforcement is located at the face of the column, as shown in the following figure.



Distance from the edge of footing to the face of the column

$$l_{col_x} := \frac{w_{footing} - t_{column}}{2} = 7 \, \text{ft}$$

 $S_{XFt} := \frac{1}{6} l_{\text{footing}} \cdot w_{\text{footing}}^2 = 1.742 \times 10^3 \cdot \text{ft}^3$

Section modulus of the footing about the x-axis

As per the combined load effects presented in Step 7.5, the Strength I limit state is the governing case for flexural design.

Icol_x

18ft 4ft

Factored vertical force

 $F_{VFtStrI} = 3.976 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$

$$M_{XFtStrI} = 1.593 \times 10^3 \cdot kip \cdot f$$



Bearing pressure at the critical section

This example uses a simplified analysis method to determine the maximum moments at the face of the wall by selecting load factors to produce the maximum bearing pressure and minimum resisting loads. This method is conservative and eliminates the need for using multiple combinations.

As shown below, minimum load factors are used for the resisting forces (such as the overburden pressure and footing self-weight) to calculate the maximum moment at the face of the wall.

The moment demand at the critical section on a per-foot basis:

$$M_{ux} := q_{col_x} \cdot \frac{l_{col_x}^2}{2} + (q_{max_x} - q_{col_x}) \cdot \frac{l_{col_x}^2}{3} - 0.9 \cdot W_c \cdot t_{footing} \cdot \frac{l_{col_x}^2}{2} - 1.0\gamma_s \cdot h_{soil} \cdot \frac{l_{col_x}^2}{2}$$
$$M_{ux} = 165.665 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Flexural Resistance

The design procedure consists of calculating the reinforcing steel area required to satisfy the moment demand and checking the selected steel area against the requirements and limitations for developing an adequate moment capacity, controlling crack width, and managing shrinkage and temperature stresses.

Select a trial bar size	bar := 9	
Nominal diameter of a reinforcing steel bar	$d_{bx} := Dia(bar) = 1.128 \cdot in$	
Cross-section area of a reinforcing steel bar on the flexural tension side	$A_{\text{bar}} := \text{Area}(\text{bar}) = 1 \cdot \text{in}^2$	
The spacing of the main reinforcing steel bars in walls and lesser of 1.5 times the thickness of the member or 18 in.	d slabs shall not be greater than the	LRFD 5.10.3.2
The spacing of shrinkage and temperature reinforcement 12 in. for walls and footings greater than 18 in. For all other situations, 3 times the component the	shall not exceed the following: ickness but not less than 18 in.	LRFD 5.10.6
Note: MDOT limits reinforcement spacing to a maximum footings adjacent to roadways.	n of 18 in. in base walls and pier	BDG 5.22.01
Footing thickness	$t_{footing} = 3 ft$	
Selected a spacing for reinforcing steel bars	$s_{\text{bar}} := 8 \cdot \text{in}$	
Select a 1-ft wide strip for the design.	$A_1 \rightarrow 12$ in	
Area of tension steel in 1-ft wide strip	$A_{sProvide_x} := \frac{n_{bar} + 2m}{s_{bar}} = 1.5 \cdot in^2$	
Assume that the moment about the x-axis is greater than t along footing width direction at the bottom of the footing. direction directly on top of the bars along the width direct adjustments.	he moment about y-axis, and place the reinforce Then, place the reinforcing bars along footing ion. Later, verify this assumption and make nec	ng bars length essary
Effective depth	$d_{ex} := t_{footing} - Cover_{ft} = 32 \cdot in$	

Resistance factor for flexure

Width of the compression face of the section

$$\phi_{f} \coloneqq 0.9$$
$$b \coloneqq 12in$$

LRFD 5.5.4.2

LRFD 5.6.3.2

 $q_{col_x} \coloneqq q_{min_x} + \frac{\left(q_{max_x} - q_{min_x}\right)}{w_{footing}} \cdot \left(w_{footing} - l_{col_x}\right) = 7.053 \cdot ksf$
Stress block factor

 $\beta_1 = 0.85$

 $A_{sRequired x} := Find(A_s) = 1.194 \cdot in^2$

 $M_{\text{Provided}_x} = 206.074 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{\text{ft}}$

 $c := \frac{A_{s}Provide_x \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f_c \cdot \beta_1 \cdot b} = 3.46 \cdot in$

Check := if $(A_{sProvide x} > A_{sRequired x}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$

 $M_{Provided_x} := \phi_f \cdot A_{sProvide_x} \cdot f_y \cdot \underbrace{\left[d_{ex} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{A_{sProvide_x} \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f_c \cdot b} \right) \right]}_{0.85 \cdot f_c \cdot b}$

Check_ $f_s := if\left(\frac{c}{d_e} < 0.6, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK"$

Solve the following equation of As to calculate the required area of steel to satisfy the moment demand. Use an assumed initial A_s value to solve the equation. $A_{s} := 1 \text{in}^{2}$ Given $M_{ux} \cdot \text{ft} = \phi_{f} \cdot A_{s} \cdot f_{y} \cdot \left[d_{ex} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{A_{s} \cdot f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{c} \cdot b} \right) \right]$

Initial assumption

Required area of steel

Check if A_{sProvided} > A_{sRequired}

Moment capacity of the section with the provided steel

Distance from the extreme compression fiber to the neutral axis

Check the validity of assumption, $f_s = f_v$

Limits for Reinforcement

The tensile reinforcement provided must be adequate to develop a factored flexural resistance at least equal to the lesser of the cracking moment or 1.33 times the factor load combinations.

Flexural cracking variability factor

Ratio of specified minimum yield strength to ultimate tensile strength of the nonprestressed reinforcement

Section modulus

Cracking moment

1.33 times the factored moment demand

The factored moment to satisfy the minimum reinforcement requirement

Check the adequacy of section capacity

ored moment from the applicable strength limit state
$\gamma_1 := 1.6$ For concrete structures that are not precast segmental
$\gamma_3 := 0.67$ For ASTM A615 Grade 60 reinforcement
$S_{c} := \frac{1}{6} \cdot b \cdot t_{footing}^{2} = 2.592 \times 10^{3} \cdot in^{3}$
$M_{cr} := \frac{\gamma_3 \cdot \gamma_1 \cdot f_r \cdot S_c}{ft} = 96.254 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$
$1.33 \cdot M_{ux} = 220.334 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{\text{ft}}$
$M_{req} := \min(1.33M_{ux}, M_{cr}) = 96.254 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{\text{ft}}$
Check := if $(M_{D_{rowided}, x} > M_{row}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$

LRFD 5.6.3.3

Control of Cracking by Distribution of Reinforcement

Limiting the width of expected cracks under service conditions extends the service life. The width of potential cracks can be minimized through proper placement of the reinforcement. Checking for crack control assures that the actual stress in the reinforcement does not exceed the service limit state stress.

 $s \leq \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_e}{\beta_s \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_c$

 $d_c := Cover_{ft} = 4 \cdot in$

 $\beta_{\rm S} \coloneqq 1 + \frac{d_{\rm c}}{0.7 \left(t_{\rm footing} - d_{\rm c}\right)} = 1.179$

 $\gamma_e := 1.00$

The spacing requirement for the mild steel reinforcement in the layer closest to the tension face

Exposure factor for the Class 1 exposure condition

Distance from extreme tension fiber to the center of the closest flexural reinforcement

Ratio of flexural strain at the extreme tension face to the strain at the centroid of the reinforcement layer closest to the tension face

The calculation of tensile stress in nonprestressed reinforcement at the service limit state, f_{ss}, requires establishing the neutral axis location and the moment demand at the critical section.

The position of the section's neutral axis is determined through an iterative process to calculate the actual stress in the reinforcement. This process starts with an assumed position of the neutral axis.

Assumed distance from the extreme compression fiber to the neutral axis

Given
$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x}^2 = \frac{\mathbf{E}_s}{\mathbf{E}_c} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{s\text{Provide}} \mathbf{x} \cdot (\mathbf{d}_{ex} - \mathbf{x})$$

Position of the neutral axis

Vertical force and moment at the base of the footing under the Service I limit state

$$F_{VFtSerI} = 2.961 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip \qquad M_{XFtSerI} = 1.618 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip \cdot ft$$

$$q_{max} := \frac{F_{VFtSerI}}{w_{footing} \cdot l_{footing}} + \frac{M_{XFtSerI}}{s_{XFt}} = 6.03 \cdot ksf$$

Maximum and minimum bearing pressure

$$q_{\min} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtSerI}}{w_{footing} \cdot l_{footing}} - \frac{M_{XFtSerI}}{S_{XFt}} = 4.172 \cdot ksf$$
$$q_{colSerI} \coloneqq q_{\min} + \frac{(q_{\max} - q_{\min})}{w_{footing}} \cdot (w_{footing} - l_{col_x}) = 5.307 \cdot ksf$$

Bearing pressure at the critical section under the Service I limit state

$$M_{rSerI_x} \coloneqq q_{colSerI} \cdot \frac{l_{col_x}^2}{2} + (q_{max} - q_{colSerI}) \cdot \frac{l_{col_x}^2}{3} - W_c \cdot t_{footing} \cdot \frac{l_{col_x}^2}{2} - \gamma_s \cdot h_{soiI} \cdot \frac{l_{col_x}^2}{2}$$
$$M_{rSerI_x} = 121.98 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

LRFD 5.6.7

LRFD Eq. 5.6.7-1

$$\mathbf{x} := 5 \cdot \mathbf{in}$$

Given
$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x}^2 = \frac{\mathbf{E_s}}{\mathbf{E_c}} \cdot \mathbf{A_{sProvide}} \mathbf{x} \cdot (\mathbf{d_{ex}} - \mathbf{x})$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x}^2 = \frac{\mathbf{E}_s}{\mathbf{E}_c} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{sProvide} \mathbf{x} \cdot (\mathbf{d}_{ex})$$

 $\mathbf{x}_{na} \coloneqq \text{Find}(\mathbf{x}) = 7.062 \cdot \text{in}$

Tensile force in the reinforcing steel due to the service limit state moment

Stress in the reinforcing steel due to the service limit state moment

 f_{ss} (not to exceed 0.6 f_v)

Required reinforcement spacing

Check if the spacing provided < the required spacing

Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement

The following calculations check the adequacy of the flexural reinforcing steel to control shrinkage and temperature stresses in the footing.

 $T_{s} := \frac{M_{rSerI_x}}{d_{ex} - \frac{x_{na}}{3}} \cdot ft = 49.4 \cdot kip$

 $f_{ss1} := \frac{T_s}{A_s Provide_x} = 32.916 \cdot ksi$

 $f_{ss} := \min(f_{ss1}, 0.6f_v) = 32.916 \cdot ksi$

 $s_{barRequired} := \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_e \cdot \frac{kip}{in}}{\beta_s \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_c = 10.044 \cdot in$

Check := if(s_{bar} < s_{barRequired}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Minimum area of shrinkage and} \\ \text{emperature reinforcement} \end{array} \quad A_{\text{shrink.temp}} \coloneqq \min \left[\begin{array}{c} \left(0.60 \, \frac{\text{in}^2}{\text{ft}} \right) \\ \left[\left(0.11 \, \frac{\text{in}^2}{\text{ft}} \right) \\ \left[\frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{s}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{s}} \\$$

Therefore, the flexural design requires the use of No. 9 bars at 8.0 in. spacing ($A_s = 1.5 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft}$) as the transverse flexural reinforcement at the bottom of the footing.

Longitudinal Reinforcement

As shown in the following figure, the critical section B-B for the design of longitudinal flexural reinforcement is located at the face of the column:



Distance from the edge of footing to the face of the column

$$l_{col_y} := \frac{l_{footing} - w_{column}}{2} = 5.5 \text{ ft}$$

 $S_{YFt} := \frac{1}{6} w_{footing} \cdot l_{footing}^2 = 3.12 \times 10^3 \cdot ft^3$

Section modulus of the footing about y-axis

As per the combined load effects presented in Step 7.5, the Strength I limit state is the governing case for flexural design.

Factored vertical force

Factored moment about y-axis

 $F_{VFtStrI} = 3.976 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$

$$M_{YFtStrI} = 894.546 \cdot kip \cdot ft$$



Maximum and minimum bearing pressure

$$q_{max_y} := \frac{F_{VFtStrI}}{w_{footing} \cdot l_{footing}} + \frac{M_{YFtStrI}}{S_{YFt}} = 7.136 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{min_y} := \frac{F_{VFtStrI}}{w_{footing} \cdot l_{footing}} - \frac{M_{YFtStrI}}{S_{YFt}} = 6.563 \cdot ksf$$
Bearing pressure at the critical section

$$q_{col_y} := q_{min_y} + \frac{(q_{max_y} - q_{min_y})}{l_{footing}} \cdot (l_{footing} - l_{col_y}) = 7.038 \cdot ksf$$

This example uses a simplified analysis method to determine the maximum moments at the face of the column by selecting load factors to produce the maximum soil pressure and minimum resisting loads. This method is conservative and eliminates the need for using multiple combinations.

As shown below, minimum load factors are used for the resisting forces (such as the overburden pressure and footing self-weight) to calculate the maximum moment at the critical section.

The moment demand at the critical section on a per-foot basis

$$M_{uy} \coloneqq q_{col_y} \cdot \frac{l_{col_y}^2}{2} + (q_{max_y} - q_{col_y}) \cdot \frac{l_{col_y}^2}{3} - 0.9 \cdot W_c \cdot t_{footing} \cdot \frac{l_{col_y}^2}{2} - 1.0 \gamma_s \cdot h_{soil} \cdot \frac{l_{col_y}^2}{2}$$
$$M_{uy} = 95.871 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$
Check := if $(M_{ux} > M_{uy}, "Assumption is valid", "Revise design") = "Assumption is valid"$

Flexural Resistance

LRFD 5.6.3.2

The design procedure consists of calculating the reinforcing steel area required to satisfy the moment demand and checking the selected steel area against the requirements and limitations for developing an adequate moment capacity, controlling crack width, and managing shrinkage and temperature stresses.

Select a trial bar size	bar := 7	
Nominal diameter of a reinforcing steel bar	$d_{by} := Dia(bar) = 0.875 \cdot in$	
Cross-section area of a reinforcing steel bar on the flexural tension side	$A_{\text{bar}} := \text{Area(bar)} = 0.6 \cdot \text{in}^2$	
The spacing of the main reinforcing steel bars in walls an lesser of 1.5 times the thickness of the member or 18 in.	d slabs shall not be greater than the	LRFD 5.10.3.2
The spacing of shrinkage and temperature reinforcement 12 in. for walls and footings greater than 18 in. For all other situations, 3 times the component the	shall not exceed the following: nickness but not less than 18 in.	LRFD 5.10.6
Note: MDOT limits reinforcement spacing to a maximum footings adjacent to roadways.	n of 18 in. in base walls and pier	BDG 5.22.01
Footing thickness	$t_{footing} = 3 ft$	
Select a spacing for reinforcing steel bars	$s_{bar} := 8 \cdot in$	
Select a 1-ft wide strip for the design.		
Area of tension steel provided in a 1-ft wide strip	$A_{sProvided_y} := \frac{A_{bar} \cdot 12in}{s_{bar}} = 0.9 \cdot in^2$	
Effective depth	$d_{ey} := t_{footing} - Cover_{ft} - \frac{d_{bx}}{2} - \frac{d_{by}}{2} =$	30.999 · in
Resistance factor for flexure	$\phi_{f} \coloneqq 0.9$	LRFD 5.5.4.2
Width of the compression face of the section	b := 12in	
Stress block factor	$\beta_1 = 0.85$	
Solve the following equation of A_s to calculate the require assumed initial A_s value to solve the equation.	ed area of steel to satisfy the moment demand. I	Jse an

Initial assumption $A_s := 1in^2$ Given $M_{uy} \cdot ft = \phi_f \cdot A_s \cdot f_y \cdot \left[d_{ey} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{A_s \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f_c \cdot b} \right) \right]$ Required area of steel $A_{sRequired_y} := Find(A_s) = 0.703 \cdot in^2$ Check if $A_{sProvided} > A_{sRequired}$ Check := if $(A_{sProvided_y} > A_{sRequired_y}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$

Moment capacity of the section with the provided steel

Distance from the extreme compression

Check the validity of assumption, $f_s = f_v$

$$\begin{split} M_{Provided} &\coloneqq \varphi_{f} \cdot A_{sProvided_y} \cdot f_{y} \cdot \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} d_{ey} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{A_{sProvided_y} \cdot f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{c} \cdot b}\right) \\ f_{t} \\ M_{Provided} &= 121.97 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft} \\ c &\coloneqq \frac{A_{sProvided_y} \cdot f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{c} \cdot \beta_{1} \cdot b} = 2.08 \cdot in \\ Check_f_{s} &\coloneqq if\left(\frac{c}{d_{e}} < 0.6, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK" \end{split}$$

Limits for Reinforcement

fiber to the neutral axis

The tensile reinforcement provided must be adequate to develop a factored flexural resistance at least equal to the lesser of the cracking moment or 1.33 times the factored moment from the applicable strength limit state load combinations.

Flexural cracking variability factor

Ratio of specified minimum yield strength to ultimate tensile strength of the nonprestressed reinforcement

Section modulus

Cracking moment

1.33 times the factored moment demand

The factored moment to satisfy the minimum reinforcement requirement

Check the adequacy of section capacity

Control of Cracking by Distribution of Reinforcement

Limiting the width of expected cracks under service conditions extends the service life. The width of potential cracks can be minimized through proper placement of the reinforcement. Checking for crack control assures that the actual stress in the reinforcement does not exceed the service limit state stress.

The spacing requirement for the mild steel reinforcement in the layer closest to the tension face

Exposure factor for the Class 1 exposure condition

Distance from extreme tension fiber to the center of the closest flexural reinforcement

Ratio of flexural strain at the extreme tension face to the strain at the centroid of the reinforcement layer closest to the tension face

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &:= 1.6 \\ \text{For concrete structures that are not precast segmental} \\ \gamma_3 &:= 0.67 \\ \text{For ASTM A615 Grade 60 reinforcement} \\ \text{S}_c &:= \frac{1}{6} \cdot b \cdot t_{\text{footing}}^2 = 2.592 \times 10^3 \cdot \text{in}^3 \\ \text{M}_{cr} &:= \frac{\gamma_3 \cdot \gamma_1 \cdot f_r \cdot S_c}{ft} = 96.254 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{ft} \\ 1.33 \cdot M_{uy} &= 127.508 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{ft} \\ \text{M}_{req} &:= \min(1.33M_{uy}, M_{cr}) = 96.254 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{ft} \\ \text{Check} &:= \text{if} \left(M_{\text{Provided}} > M_{req}, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK" \end{aligned}$$

LRFD 5.6.7

LRFD 5.6.3.3

$$\begin{split} s &\leq \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_e}{\beta_s \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_c \\ \gamma_e &\coloneqq 1.00 \\ d_c &\coloneqq Cover_{ft} = 4 \cdot in \\ \beta_s &\coloneqq 1 + \frac{d_c}{0.7 \left(t_{footing} - d_c \right)} = 1.179 \end{split}$$

The calculation of tensile stress in nonprestressed reinforcement at the service limit state, f_{ss} , requires establishing the neutral axis location and the moment demand at the critical section.

The position of the cross-section's neutral axis is determined through an iterative process to calculate the actual stress in the reinforcement. This process starts with an assumed position of the neutral axis.

Assumed distance from the extreme compression fiber to the neutral axis

Given
$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot b \cdot x^2 = \frac{E_s}{E_c} \cdot A_{sProvided_y} \cdot (d_{ey} - x)$$

Position of the neutral axis

 $x_{na} := Find(x) = 5.528 \cdot in$

Vertical force and moment at the base of the footing under the Service I limit state

$$F_{VFtSerI} = 2.961 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$$
 $M_{YFtSerI} = 1.253 \times 10^3 \cdot kip \cdot ft$ From Step 7.5

Maximum and minimum bearing pressure

Bearing pressure at the critical section

under the Service I limit state

$$q_{max} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtSerI}}{w_{footing} \cdot l_{footing}} + \frac{M_{YFtSerI}}{S_{YFt}} = 5.502 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{min} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtSerI}}{w_{footing} \cdot l_{footing}} - \frac{M_{YFtSerI}}{S_{YFt}} = 4.699 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{colSerI} \coloneqq q_{min} + \frac{(q_{max} - q_{min})}{l_{footing}} \cdot (l_{footing} - l_{col_y}) = 5.365 \cdot ksf$$

The moment at the critical section under the Service I limit state

$$M_{rSerI_y} \coloneqq q_{colSerI} \cdot \frac{l_{col_y}^{2}}{2} + (q_{max} - q_{colSerI}) \cdot \frac{l_{col_y}^{2}}{3} - W_{c} \cdot t_{footing} \cdot \frac{l_{col_y}^{2}}{2} - \gamma_{s} \cdot h_{soil} \cdot \frac{l_{col_y}^{2}}{2}$$

$$M_{rSerI_y} = 70.279 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$
Tensile force in the reinforcing steel due to the service limit state moment
$$T_{s} \coloneqq \frac{M_{rSerI_y}}{d_{ey} - \frac{x_{na}}{3}} \cdot ft = 28.9 \cdot kip$$
Stress in the reinforcing steel due to service limit state moment
$$f_{ss1} \coloneqq \frac{T_{s}}{A_{s}Provided} = 32.14 \cdot ksi$$

 f_{ss} (not to exceed $0.6f_v$)

Required reinforcement spacing

Check if the spacing provided < the required spacing

$$f_{ss1} := \frac{r_s}{A_{sProvided_y}} = 32.14 \cdot ksi$$

$$f_{ss} := \min(f_{ss1}, 0.6f_y) = 32.14 \cdot ksi$$

$$s_{barRequired} := \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_e \cdot \frac{kip}{in}}{\beta_s \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_c = 10.48 \cdot in$$

Check := if(s_{bar} < s_{barRequired}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"

Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement

Check if the provided area of steel > the required area of shrinkage and temperature steel

Check := if $(A_{sProvided_y} > A_{shrink.temp}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$

Therefore, the flexural design requires the use of No. 7 bars at 8.0 in. spacing ($A_s = 0.9 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft}$) as the longitudinal flexural reinforcement at the bottom of the footing.

Design for Shear

One-Way Shear at a Section Parallel to the Transverse Axis of the Footing

The factored shear force at the critical section is computed by calculating the resultant force due to the bearing pressure acting on the footing base area that is outside of the critical section.

Note: Since the transverse and longitudinal load effects are considered independently, bearing pressure distribution along the footing length is uniform. Therefore, a 1-ft wide strip is considered for the design.

Effective width of the section

 $b = 12 \cdot in$

Depth of an equivalent rectangular stress block

Effective shear depth

$$d_{vx} := max \left(d_{ex} - \frac{a}{2}, 0.9 \cdot d_{ex}, 0.72 \cdot t_{footing} \right) = 30.529 \cdot in$$
 LRFD 5.7.2.8

 $a := \frac{A_{s}Provide_x \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f_c \cdot b} = 2.941 \cdot in$

As shown in the following figure, the critical section for shear is located at a distance d_{vx} from the face of the column:



Distance from end of the footing to the critical section for shear

$$l_{shear_x} \coloneqq l_{col_x} - d_{vx} = 4.456 \cdot ft$$
$$q_{d_x} \coloneqq q_{min_x} + \frac{\left(q_{max_x} - q_{min_x}\right)}{w_{footing}} \cdot \left(w_{footing} - l_{shear_x}\right) = 7.311 \cdot ksf$$

Bearing stress at the critical section for shear

Minimum load factors are used for the resisting forces (such as the overburden pressure and footing self-weight) to calculate the maximum shear at the critical section.

Factored shear demand at the critical section

$$V_{uFt_x} := \frac{\left(q_{max_x} + q_{d_x}\right)}{2} \cdot l_{shear_x} - 0.9 \cdot W_c \cdot t_{footing} \cdot l_{shear_x} - 1.0 \cdot \gamma_s \cdot h_{soil} \cdot l_{shear_x} = 30.178 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

For a concrete footing, in which the distance from the point of zero shear to the face of the base wall is less than 3d_v, the simplified procedure for nonprestressed sections can be used.

Check := if $\left(l_{col} \times < 3 \cdot d_{vx} \right)$, "Use the simplied method", "Do not use the simplified method" = "Use the simplied method"

 $\beta := 2$

Factor indicating the ability of diagonally cracked concrete to transmit tension and shear

Nominal shear resistance of concrete, V_n, is calculated as follows:

$$V_{c1} := 0.0316 \cdot \beta \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot ksi} \cdot b \cdot d_{vx} = 40.1 \cdot kip \qquad LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.3-3$$

$$V_{c2} := 0.25f_c \cdot b \cdot d_{vx} = 274.765 \cdot kip \qquad LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.3-2$$

$$V_n := \min(V_{c1}, V_{c2}) = 40.103 \cdot kip$$
Resistance factor for shear
$$\phi_V := 0.9 \qquad LRFD 5.5.4.2$$
Factored shear resistance (Capacity)
$$V_r := \phi_V \cdot V_n = 36.093 \cdot kip$$
Check if the shear capacity > the shear demand
$$Check := if\left(\frac{V_r}{ft} > V_uFt_x, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK"$$

One-Way Shear at a Section Parallel to the Longitudinal Axis of the Footing

The factored shear force at the critical section is the resultant force due to the bearing pressure acting on the footing base area located outside the critical section.

Note: Since the transverse and longitudinal load effects are considered independently, bearing pressure distribution along the footing width is uniform. Therefore, a 1-ft wide strip is considered for the design.

 $b = 12 \cdot in$

Effective width of the section

ck $a := \frac{A_{sProvided} y \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f_c \cdot b} = 1.765 \cdot in$

Depth of an equivalent rectangular stress block

Effective shear depth

$$d_{vy} := \max\left(d_{ey} - \frac{a}{2}, 0.9 \cdot d_{ey}, 0.72 \cdot t_{footing}\right) = 30.116 \cdot in$$
 LRFD 5.7.2.8

As shown in the following figure, the critical section for shear is located at a distance d_{vv} from the face of the column:



Distance from end of the footing to the critical section

$$l_{\text{shear } V} := l_{\text{col } V} - d_{VV} = 2.99 \cdot \text{ft}$$

 $q_{d_y} := q_{min_y} + \frac{\left(q_{max_y} - q_{min_y}\right)}{w_{footing}} \cdot \left(w_{footing} - l_{shear_y}\right) = 7.041 \cdot ksf$

LRFD 5.7.3.4.1

_ _ _ _ _

Minimum load factors are used for the resisting forces (such as the overburden pressure and footing self-weight) to calculate the maximum shear at the critical section.

Factored shear demand at the critical section

7

$$V_{uFt_y} := \frac{(q_{max_y} + q_{d_y})}{2} \cdot l_{shear_y} - 0.9 \cdot W_c \cdot t_{footing} \cdot l_{shear_y} - 1.0 \cdot \gamma_s \cdot h_{soil} \cdot l_{shear_y} = 18.909 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

For a concrete footing, in which the distance from the point of zero shear to the face of the column is less than $3d_v$, the simplified procedure for nonprestressed sections can be used.

Check := if $(l_{col x} < 3 \cdot d_{vy})$, "Use the simplied method", "Do not use the simplified method") = "Use the simplied method"

 $\beta := 2$

Factor indicating the ability of diagonally cracked concrete to transmit tension and shear

The nominal shear resistance of concrete, V_n, is calculated as follows.

$$V_{c1} := 0.0316 \cdot \beta \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot ksi \cdot b \cdot d_{vy}} = 39.6 \cdot kip$$

$$V_{c2} := 0.25f_c \cdot b \cdot d_{vy} = 271.045 \cdot kip$$

$$V_n := \min(V_{c1}, V_{c2}) = 39.56 \cdot kip$$

$$Q_v := 0.9$$

$$V_r := \varphi_v \cdot V_n = 35.604 \cdot kip$$

$$V_r := \varphi_v \cdot V_n = 35.604 \cdot kip$$

Check := if $\left(\frac{v_r}{ft} > V_{uFt_y}, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK"$

 $\mathbf{b}_{0} := 2 \cdot \left(\mathbf{w}_{column} + \mathbf{d}_{v_avg} \right) + 2 \cdot \left(\mathbf{t}_{column} + \mathbf{d}_{v_avg} \right) = 60.608 \, \mathrm{ft}$

Check if the shear capacity > the shear demand

Two-way Shear

Resistance factor for shear

Factored shear resistance (Capacity)

Two-way shear (punching shear) in the footing is checked at a critical perimeter around the pier column.

The critical perimeter around the column, b_0 , is located at a minimum of $0.5d_v$ from the LRFD 5.12.8.6.3 perimeter of the column.

An average effective shear depth, d_v , should be used since the two-way shear area includes both the x- and ydirections of the footing.

Average effective shear depth
$$d_{v_avg} := \frac{(d_{vx} + d_{vy})}{2} = 2.527 \text{ ft}$$

Critical perimeter

82

Ratio of long to short side of the critical perimeter

Nominal shear resistance

perimeter
$$\beta_{c} := \frac{w_{column}}{t_{column}} = 5.313$$

$$V_{n1_2way} := \left(0.063 + \frac{0.126}{\beta_{c}}\right) \cdot \sqrt{f_{c} \cdot ksi} \cdot b_{0} \cdot d_{v_avg} = 3.312 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip$$

$$V_{n2_2way} := 0.126 \cdot \left(\sqrt{f_{c} \cdot ksi} \cdot b_{0} \cdot d_{v_avg}\right) = 4.813 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip$$

$$LRFD Eq.$$

$$5.12.8.6.3-1$$

$$V_{n_2way} := \min(V_{n1_2way}, V_{n2_2way}) = 3.312 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$$

Factored shear resistance (Capacity))

To calculate the shear force acting on the critical perimeter, the average bearing pressure is used. The Strength I is the governing limit state.

Average bearing pressure

$$q_{average} := \frac{FVFtStrI}{w_{footing} \cdot l_{footing}} = 6.849 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft^2}$$

 $V_{r_2way} := \phi_v \cdot V_{n_2way} = 2.981 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$

Resultant shear force acting on the area outside of the critical perimeter (Demand)

$$V_{u_2way} := q_{average} \cdot \left[w_{footing} \cdot l_{footing} - \left(w_{column} + d_{v_avg} \right) \cdot \left(t_{column} + d_{v_avg} \right) \right] = 2.913 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$$

Check if the factored two-way shear resistance > the demand

Check := if
$$(V_{r_2way} > V_{u_2way}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$$

Development Length of Reinforcement

The flexural reinforcing steel must be developed on each side of the critical section for its **LRFD 5.10.8.1.2** full development length.

Longitudinal Direction of the Footing

Available development length $l_{dy_avail} := \frac{l_{footing} - w_{column}}{2} - Cover_{ft} = 62 \cdot in$

Assuming that the bars are at high stress, the required development length for No. 7 bars at 8 in. spacing

$$l_{dy.req} \coloneqq 21in$$

BDG 7.14.01

Check := if
$$(l_{dy_avail} > l_{dy.req}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$$

Transverse Direction of the Footing

Check if $l_{dyavail} > l_{dyreq}$

$$l_{dx_avail} := \frac{w_{footing} - t_{column}}{2} - Cover_{ft} = 80 \cdot in$$

Assuming that the bars are at high stress, the required development length for No. 9 bars at 8 in. spacing

$$l_{dx.req} := 35in \qquad BDG 7.14.01$$
Check if $l_{dx.avail} > l_{dx.req}$, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"

Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement

temperature steel

This shrinkage and temperature reinforcement requirement for the steel at the bottom of the footing was already checked and the requirements were satisfied.

The reinforcement at the top of the footing should satisfy the shrinkage and temperature reinforcement requirements.		LRFD 5.10.6
The spacing of shrinkage and temperature reinforcement shall not exceed the following: 12 in. for walls and footings greater than 18 in. For all other situations, 3 times the component thickness but not less than 18 in.		LRFD 5.10.6
Note: MDOT limits reinforcement spacing to a maximu adjacent to roadways.	um of 18 in. for pier footings	BDG 5.22.01
Select a trial bar size	bar := 6	
Nominal diameter of a reinforcing steel bar	$d_{\text{bar}} := \text{Dia}(\text{bar}) = 0.75 \cdot \text{in}$	
Cross-section area of a reinforcing steel bar	$A_{bar} := Area(bar) = 0.44 \cdot in^2$	
Select a spacing for reinforcing steel bars	$s_{barST} := 12 \cdot in$	
Provided horizontal reinforcement area	$A_{sProvidedST} \coloneqq \frac{A_{bar} \cdot 12in}{s_{barST}} = 0.44 \cdot in^2$	
Required shrinkage and temperature steel area in the transverse and longitudinal directions (calculated previously in the flexural design)	$A_{\text{shrink.temp}} = 0.334 \cdot \text{in}^2$	
Check if the provided area of steel > Check := the required area of shrinkage and	if (A _{sProvidedST} > A _{shrink.temp} , "OK", "Not	OK") = "OK"

Therefore, use No. 6 bars at 12.0 in. spacing ($A_s = 0.44 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft}$) as the shrinkage and temperature reinforcement at the top of the footing in both longitudinal and transverse directions.

The footing design presented in this step results in the following details:

- No. 9 bars @ 8.0 in. spacing ($A_s = 1.5 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft}$) as the transverse flexural reinforcement at the bottom of the footing
- No. 7 bars @ 8.0 in. spacing ($A_s = 0.9 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft}$) as the longitudinal flexural reinforcement at the bottom of the footing
- No. 6 bars @ 12.0 in. spacing (A_s=0.44 in.²/ft) as the shrinkage and temperature reinforcement at the top of the footing in both longitudinal and transverse directions.



Note: Certain details are not shown in this drawing for clarity of main reinforcement. Refer to MDOT Bridge Design Guides for additional details.

Section 8 Hammerhead Pier with Pile Foundation Step 8.1 Preliminary Dimensions

86

Description

►

This step presents the selected preliminary dimensions.

Refer to Section 2 of the *Design of Highway Bridge Abutments and Foundations Example* developed by Attanayake and Hu (2023) for the design criteria, bridge information, material properties, along with soil types and properties.

The preliminary dimensions are selected based on site-specific conditions, highway agency standards, and past experience.

The following figure shows the pier geometry and its associated dimensional variables:



The preliminary dimensions selected for this example are given below.

Pier cap length	$l_{cap} := W_{deck} = 63.75 \text{ft}$
Pier cap end height	$h_{capend} := 5ft$
Pier cap height	$h_{cap} := 11 ft$
Pier cap thickness	$t_{cap} := 4ft$
Length of the overhang	l _{overhang} := 21.25ft
Column width	$w_{column} := 21.25 ft$
Column thickness	$t_{column} := 4ft$
Column height	$h_{column} := 14ft$
Footing length	$l_{\text{footing}} \coloneqq 27 \text{ft}$
Footing thickness	$t_{footing} := 3.5 ft$
Footing width	$w_{footing} := 11 ft$
Depth of soil above the footing top	$h_{soil} := 3ft$

Note: The depth from the ground level to the bottom of the footing needs to be maintained at a minimum of 4 ft. for frost depth. Typically, a 1-ft deep soil profile is maintained with normal grading when the pier is at a median. The depth of the soil may change to 2 to 3 ft based on the pavement profile when the pier is closer to the pavement.

 $S = 9.719 \, ft$

 $Cover_{ff} := 4in$

 $l_{edge} := \frac{l_{cap} - S \cdot \left(N_{beams} - 1\right)}{2} = 2.719 \, \text{ft}$

BDM 8.02.N

BDG 5.16.01, 5.18.01, 5.22.01

Girder spacing

Distance from the exterior girder to the edge of the pier cap

Concrete Cover Requirements for Reinforcing Steel

Unless otherwise shown on the plans, the minimum concrete clear cover for reinforcement shall satisfy the following requirements:

For concrete cast against earth: 3 in.

For all other cases unless shown on plans: 2 in.

The following concrete cover dimension is selected since it is greater than the required minimum.

Cover for the top and side of footing

Since the concrete cover requirements for pier caps and columns are not provided in the BDM and BDG, the following dimensions are taken from the MDOT Sample Bridge Plans.

Cover for the pier cap	$Cover_{cap} := 3.5in$
Cover for the pier column	$Cover_{col} := 4in$

Step 8.2 Application of Dead Load

Description

This step describes the application of dead load on the pier.

Dead Load Girder Reactions

The superstructure dead load reactions per bearing are taken from the *Steel Plate Girder Design Example*. All the beam seats are assumed to be at the same elevation.

When calculating superstructure loads on the substructure, 75% of the barrier dead load should be applied with the fascia beam load. The remaining 25% of the barrier load should be applied with the first interior girder load.

Note: The exterior and interior girder shear values presented in the *Steel Plate Girder Design Example* (Table 12 and 13) were calculated by equally distributing the barrier loads to all the girders. Therefore, the girder reactions over the pier due to barrier loads need to be recalculated as shown below.

 Exterior Girders
 Table 12 of the Steel Plate Girder Design Example

 Reaction due to the weight of structural components and non-structural attachments (DC), including the stay-in-place formwork but excluding barrier weight
 RDCEx_noBarrier := 161.4kip

 Reaction due to 75% of the barrier weight (DB) on the exterior girder
 RDCEx_barrier := 44kip

 Total exterior girder reaction due to DC
 RDCEx := RDCEx_noBarrier + RDCEx_barrier = 205.4·kip

 Reaction due to the weight of the future wearing surface (DW)
 RDWEx := 26.6kip

 First Interior Girder
 Table 13 of the Steel Plate Girder Design Example

Reaction due to the weight of structural components and non-structural attachments (DC), including the stay-in-place formwork but excluding barrier weight

 $R_{DC1stIn_noBarrier} := 190.4 kip$

BDM 7.01.04.J

Reaction due to 25% of the barrier weight (DB) on the first interior girder $R_{DC1stIn_barrier} := 14.5 kip$

Total first interior girder reaction due to DC $R_{DC1stIn} := R_{DC1stIn} noBarrier + R_{DC1stIn}barrier = 204.9 \cdot kip$

Reaction due to the future wearing surface weight (DW)

Other Interior Girders

Table 13 of the Steel Plate Girder Design Example

Reaction due to the weight of structural components and non-structural attachments (DC), including the stay-in-place formwork but excluding barrier weight

 $R_{DCIn} := 190.4 kip$

 $R_{DWIn} := 26.4 kip$

Dead Load Calculation

Dead load of superstructure

Weight of structural components and non-structural attachments (DC)

 $DC_{Sup} := 2 \cdot R_{DCEx} + 2 \cdot R_{DC1stIn} + (N_{beams} - 4) \cdot R_{DCIn}$ $DC_{Sup} = 1.392 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip$

Weight of the future wearing surface (DW)

$$DW_{Sup} := 2 \cdot R_{DWEx} + (N_{beams} - 2) \cdot R_{DWIn} = 185.2 \cdot kip$$

Pier cap self-weight
$$DC_{cap} := W_c \cdot t_{cap} \cdot \left[2 \cdot \left(\frac{h_{capend} + h_{cap}}{2} \right) \cdot l_{overhang} + h_{cap} \cdot w_{column} \right] = 344.25 \cdot kip$$
Pier column self-weight $DC_{column} := W_c \cdot t_{column} \cdot h_{column} \cdot w_{column} = 178.5 \cdot kip$ Pier footing self-weight $DC_{footing} := W_c \cdot w_{footing} \cdot t_{footing} \cdot l_{footing} = 155.925 \cdot kip$

Step 8.3 Application of Live Load

92

Description

▶

The live load application procedure and relevant calculations are described in Step 7.3.

Step 8.4 Application of Other Loads

Description

The application of other loads include braking force, wind load, temperature load, earth load, and vehicle collision load. They are discussed in Step 7.4. Ice load and centrifugal force are not applicable for this example. For illustrative purposes, the calculation of ice load and centrifugal force is given in Appendix 5.B and 5.C.

Step 8.5 Combined Load Effects

Description

This step presents the procedure of combining all load effects and calculates the total factored forces and moments acting on the pier cap, columns, base wall, and footing.

Since the combined loadings on the pier cap, columns, and base wall are identical to Step 7.5, only the calculation of combined load effects at the base of the footing is presented.

Strength I, Strength III, Strength V, and Service I limit states are considered for the analysis and design of the pier.

Strength I = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.75LL + 1.75BR + 1.5EH + 1.35EV + 1.75LS + 0.5TU LRFD 3.4.1

Strength III = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.5EH + 1.35EV + 1.0WS + 0.5TU

Strength V = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.35LL + 1.35BR + 1.0WS + 1.0WL + 1.5EH + 1.35EV + 1.35LS + 0.5TU

Service I = 1.0DC + 1.0DW + 1.0LL + 1.0BR + 1.0WS + 1.0WL + 1.0EH + 1.0EV + 1.0LS + 1.0TU

BR	=vehicular braking force
DC	= dead load of structural components and nonstructural attachments
DW	= dead load of the future wearing surface and utilities
EH	= horizontal earth pressure load
EV	= vertical pressure from the earth fill
LL	= vehicular live load
LS	= live load surcharge
WL	= wind on live load

WS = wind load on structure

TU = force effect due to uniform temperature

Limit states that are not shown either do not control or are not applicable.

Note: These load combinations should include the maximum and minimum load factors; only the maximum factors are shown for clarity.

▶

Forces and Moments at the Pier Footing

The bearing pressure distribution depends on the rigidity of the footing along with the soil type and condition. The pier footings are usually rigid, and the assumption q = (P/A) +/- (Mc/I) is valid. For an accurate calculation of bearing pressure distribution, the footing may be analyzed as a beam on an elastic foundation.

The braking force, wind load on the superstructure, and wind load acting on the live load are applied at the bearings.

The live load on all five lanes develops the critical load effects for the footing design.

Moment arm of Girder A and G reactions to the center of footing	$Arm_{AG} := 3S = 29.156 \text{ fm}$
Moment arm of Girder B and F reactions to the center of footing	$Arm_{BF} := 2S = 19.438 \text{ ft}$
Moment arm of Girder C and E reactions to the center of footing	$Arm_{CE} := S = 9.719 ft$

For convenience, the x- and y- axes are defined as parallel to the longitudinal and transverse directions of the footing, respectively.

Strength I

Strength I = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.75LL + 1.75BR + 1.5EH + 1.35EV + 1.75LS + 0.5TU

Factored vertical force	$F_{VFtStrI} := 1.25 \cdot (DC_{Sup} + DC_{cap} + DC_{column} + DC_{footing}) + 1.5DW_{Sup} \dots$
	+ $1.75R_{LLFooting}$ + $1.35 \cdot EV_{Ft}$

$$\begin{split} F_{VFtStrII} &= 3.707 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip \\ Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the bridge \\Factored shear force parallel to the longitudinal axis of the footing \\Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing \\M_{YFtStrI} &= 1.75 \cdot BRK_{5L} = 56.875 \cdot kip \\Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing \\M_{YFtStrI} &= 1.75 \cdot BRK_{5L} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) = 1.621 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip \cdot ft \\Factored moment about the transverse axis of the footing \\M_{YFtStrI} &= 1.75 \cdot [(R_{GFt_5L} - R_{AFt_5L}) \cdot Arm_{AG} + (R_{FFt_5L} - R_{BFt_5L}) \cdot Arm_{BF} \dots] = 894.546 \cdot kip \cdot ft \\Factored moment about the transverse axis of the footing \\M_{YFtStrI} &= 1.25 \cdot (DC_{Sup} + DC_{cap} + DC_{column} + DC_{footing}) + 1.5DW_{Sup} \dots \\ + 1.35 \cdot EV_{Ft} \\Factored vertical force FVFtStrIII &= 1.25 \cdot (DC_{Sup} + DC_{cap} + DC_{column} + DC_{footing}) + 1.5DW_{Sup} \dots \\ + 1.35 \cdot EV_{Ft} \\Factored shear force parallel to the VTFtStrIII &= N_{beams} \cdot WS_{TStrIII} + WS_{SubT.StrIII} = 25.484 \cdot kip \\Factored shear force parallel to the VTFtStrIII &= N_{beams} \cdot WS_{LStrIII} + WS_{SubL.StrIII} = 42.066 \cdot kip \\Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing \\W_{XFtStrIII} &= N_{beams} \cdot WS_{LStrIII} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) + WS_{SubL.StrIII} \cdot (H_{WSSubL} + t_{footing}) = 946.685 \cdot kip \cdot ft \\Factored moment about the transverse axis of the footing \\M_{XFtStrIII} &= N_{beams} \cdot WS_{LStrIII} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) + WS_{SubL.StrIII} \cdot (H_{WSSubL} + t_{footing}) = 946.685 \cdot kip \cdot ft \\Factored moment about the transverse axis of the footing \\M_{YFtStrIII} &= N_{beams} \cdot WS_{TStrIII} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) + WS_{SubL.StrIII} \cdot (H_{WSSubL} + t_{footing}) \\M_{YFtStrIII} &= N_{beams} \cdot WS_{LStrIII} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) + WS_{SubL.StrIII} \cdot (H_{WSSubL} + t_{footing}) \\M_{YFtStrIII} &= N_{beams} \cdot WS_{TStrIII} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) + WS_{SubL.StrIII} \cdot (H_{WSSubL} + t_{footing}) \\$$

Strength V = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.35LL + 1.35BR + 1.0WS + 1.0WL + 1.5EH + 1.35EV + 1.35 LS + 0.5TU
Factored vertical force
$$F_{VFtStrV} := 1.25 \cdot \left(DC_{Sup} + DC_{cap} + DC_{column} + DC_{footing}\right) + 1.5DW_{Sup} \dots + 1.35 \cdot R_{LLFooting} + 1.35 \cdot EV_{Ft}$$

$$F_{VFtStrV} = 3.538 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$$

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the bridge

$$V_{TFtStrV} := N_{beams} \cdot (WS_{TStrV} + WL_{TBearing}) + WS_{SubT.StrV} = 27.394 \cdot kip$$

Factored shear force parallel to the longitudinal axis of the bridge

$$V_{LFtStrV} := 1.35 \cdot BRK_{5L} + N_{beams} \cdot (WS_{LStrV} + WL_{LBearing}) + WS_{SubL.StrV} = 80.587 \cdot kip$$

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

$$M_{XFtStrV} \coloneqq 1.35 \cdot BRK_{5L} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) + N_{beams} \cdot WS_{LStrV} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) \dots + N_{beams} \cdot WL_{LBearing} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) + WS_{SubL} \cdot StrV \cdot (H_{WSSubL} + t_{footing}) \dots$$

 $M_{XFtStrV} = 2.125 \times 10^3 \cdot kip \cdot ft$

Factored moment about the transverse axis of the footing

$$\begin{split} M_{YFtStrV} &\coloneqq 1.35 \begin{bmatrix} (R_{GFt_5L} - R_{AFt_5L}) \cdot Arm_{AG} + (R_{FFt_5L} - R_{BFt_5L}) \cdot Arm_{BF} & \dots \\ + (R_{EFt_5L} - R_{CFt_5L}) \cdot Arm_{CE} \\ + N_{beams} \cdot WS_{TStrV} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) + WS_{SubT.StrV} \cdot (H_{WSSubT} + t_{footing}) & \dots \\ + N_{beams} \cdot WL_{TBearing} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) \end{split}$$

$$M_{YFtStrV} = 1.445 \times 10^3 \cdot kip \cdot ft$$

Service I

Factored vertical force

$$F_{VFtSerI} := (DC_{Sup} + DC_{cap} + DC_{column} + DC_{footing}) + DW_{Sup} \dots$$
$$+ R_{LLFooting} + EV_{Ft}$$
$$F_{VFtSerI} = 2.754 \times 10^{3} \cdot kip$$

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the bridge

$$V_{TFtSerI} := N_{beams} \cdot (WS_{TSerI} + WL_{TBearing}) + WS_{SubT.SerI} = 23.317 \cdot kip$$

Factored shear force parallel to the longitudinal axis of the bridge

$$V_{LFtSerI} := BRK_{5L} + N_{beams} \cdot (WS_{LSerI} + WL_{LBearing}) + WS_{SubL.SerI} = 62.482 \cdot kip$$

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

$$M_{XFtSerI} := BRK_{5L} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) + N_{beams} \cdot WS_{LSerI} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) \dots + N_{beams} \cdot WL_{LBearing} \cdot (Arm_{col} + t_{footing}) + WS_{SubL.SerI} \cdot (H_{WSSubL} + t_{footing}) \dots$$

$$M_{XFtSerI} = 1.649 \times 10^3 \cdot kip \cdot ft$$

Factored moment about the transverse axis of the footing

The design of the pier cap and column is presented in Steps 7.6, 7.7, and 7.8. The subsequent steps of this example present the design of piles and the footing.

۲

Step 8.6 Pile Design

Description

This step presents the selection of pile type, the design of pile size and layout, and the evaluation of the lateral force resistance of piles.

Page Content

- 99 Pile Size and Layout Design
- 101 Lateral Force Resistance of Piles

Pile Size and Layout Design This example uses steel H piles since they are the most commonly used pile type in Michigan. Typically, pile type is selected after evaluating other possibilities, such as ground improvement techniques, other foundation types, and constructability. BDM 7.03.09.A5 Pile embd := 6in Pile embedment into the footing Note: A tremie seal is not used for this footing. If a tremie seal is used, the pile embedment into the footing is 1 ft. A tremie seal design is given in Appendix 4.A. The following parameters are considered to determine the pile layout: 1. Pile spacing: The depth of commonly used H-piles ranges from 10 to 14 inches. The LRFD 10.7.1.2 minimum pile spacing is controlled by the greater of 30 inches or 2.5 times the pile diameter. As a practice, MDOT uses 3 times the pile diameter as the spacing. HP 14X73 $b_{f} := 14.6in \quad d_{pile} := 13.6in$ Select a trial section for the piles $\text{Spacing}_{\min} := 3 \cdot b_{f} = 43.8 \cdot \text{in}$ Minimum spacing 2. Edge distance: The typical minimum edge distance for piles is 18 inches. BDM 7.03.09.A7 Pile edge distance PileEdgeDist := 18in Start the design by assuming a number of pile rows and the number of piles in each row. Number of pile rows $Pile_{row} := 3$ PilesInEachRow := 6 Number of piles in each row Total number of piles $N_{\text{piles}} := \text{Pile}_{\text{row}} \cdot \text{PilesInEachRow} = 18$ Spacing_X := $\frac{(l_{footing} - 2PileEdgeDist)}{PilesInEachRow - 1} = 57.6 \cdot in$ Pile spacing in the direction parallel to the x-axis Spacing_y := $\frac{w_{footing} - 2PileEdgeDist}{Pile_{row} - 1} = 48 \cdot in$ Pile spacing in the direction parallel to the y-axis Check if the pile spacing in the x- and y-directions is greater than the required minimum spacing for the selected pile section. Check := if (Spacing_{min} < Spacing_X, "OK", "Increase Spacing") = "OK" Check := if (Spacing_{min} < Spacing_v, "OK", "Increase Spacing") = "OK"



Service I

Pile reactions under the Service I limit state are needed in the flexural design of the footing.

$F_{VFtSerI} = 2.754 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$	M _{XFtSer}	_{:I} = 1.649	$\times 10^3 \cdot \text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}$	i M _{YF[†]}	$tSerI = 1.267 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$	• ft
Maximum pile reaction	P _{uMax}	x_SerI ^{∶=}	$\frac{F_{VFtSerI}}{N_{piles}} +$	$\frac{M_{XFtSerI}}{s_{XX}}$	$+\frac{M_{YFtSerI}}{S_{YY}} = 194.987$	∙kip
The controlling maximum pile reaction	P _{uMax} :=	max(P _{uN}	fax_StrI, Pul	Max_StrIII,	P_{uMax_StrV} = 248.83	8∙kip
Nominal pile resistance of commonly use	d steel H-piles				BDM 7.03.09.B.1	
HP 10X42 HP 10X57 HP 12X53 HP 12X74 HP 12X84 HP 14X73 HP 14X89	275 kips 350 kips 350 kips 500 kips 600 kips 500 kips 600 kips					
The resistance factor for driven piles assures resistance is verified using the FHWA-model.	ming that the not dified Gates Dyn	minal pile namic For	driving mula. φ _{dvn} := 0.5		BDM 7.03.09.B2	
Required minimum nominal pile resistance	e]	$R_{nReq} := \frac{P_{u}}{\varphi}$	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	.676∙kip	
Nominal pile resistance recommended by Services Section for the selected pile section	the Geotechnica	al	R _n := 500kip		BDM 7.03.09.B	
Resistance factor for driven piles		($\varphi_{\rm dyn} \coloneqq 0.5$		BDM 7.03.09.B2	
Factored nominal pile resistance]	$R_R := \varphi_{dyn}$	$R_n = 250 \cdot k$	ip	
Check if the factored nominal pile resistar the maximum pile reaction	nce > (Check := :	$if(R_R > P_{uN})$	Max, "OK",	"Not OK") = "OK"	
Lateral Force Resistance of	of Piles					

The lateral forces acting on the pier are assumed to be equally shared by the piles. Step 8.5 presents the lateral force calculations.

Note: Per MDOT practice, the typical lateral force resistance of a vertical pile is 12 kips. A pile bending (p-y) analysis may be performed by incorporating a soil-pile interaction to determine a more accurate lateral force resistance. Consult the Geotechnical Services Section for more information.

Lateral load resistance of a pile (from the Geotechnical Services Section)

 $P_{latProvided} := 12kip$

Strength I

<u>_</u>	
Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the bridge	$V_{TFtStrI} = 0$
Factored shear force parallel to the longitudinal axis of the bridge	$V_{LFtStrI} = 56.875 \cdot kip$
Required pile lateral force resistance parall the minor axis of the section (demand)	el to $P_{\text{ReqLatMinor}_StrI} := \frac{V_{\text{TFtStrI}}}{N_{\text{piles}}} = 0 \cdot \text{kip}$
Check if the lateral force resistance > the demand	Check := if(P _{lat} Provided > P _{ReqLat} Minor_StrI, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"
Required pile lateral force resistance parall the major axis of the section (demand)	el to $P_{\text{ReqLatMajor}_StrI} := \frac{V_{\text{LFtStrI}}}{N_{\text{piles}}} = 3.16 \cdot \text{kip}$
Check if the pile lateral force resistance > the demand	Check := $if(P_{latProvided} > P_{ReqLatMajor_StrI}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$
Strength III	
Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the bridge	$V_{TFtStrIII} = 25.484 \cdot kip$
Factored shear force parallel to the longitudinal axis of the bridge	$V_{LFtStrIII} = 42.066 \cdot kip$
Required pile lateral force resistance parall the minor axis of the section (demand)	el to $P_{\text{ReqLatMinor}_\text{StrIII}} := \frac{V_{\text{TFtStrIII}}}{N_{\text{piles}}} = 1.416 \cdot \text{kip}$
Check if the lateral force resistance > the demand	Check := $if(P_{latProvided} > P_{ReqLatMinor_StrIII}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$
Required lateral force resistance parallel to major axis of the section (demand)	the $P_{\text{ReqLatMajor}_\text{StrIII}} := \frac{V_{\text{LFtStrIII}}}{N_{\text{piles}}} = 2.337 \cdot \text{kip}$
Check if the lateral force resistance > the demand	Check := $if(P_{latProvided} > P_{ReqLatMajor_StrIII}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$
Strength V	
Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the bridge	$V_{TFtStrV} = 27.394 \cdot kip$
Factored shear force parallel to the	
longitudinal axis of the bridge	$V_{LFtStrV} = 80.587 \cdot kip$
longitudinal axis of the bridge Required lateral force resistance parallel to minor axis of the section (demand)	the $V_{LFtStrV} = 80.587 \cdot kip$ $P_{ReqLatMinor_StrV} := \frac{V_{TFtStrV}}{N_{piles}} = 1.522 \cdot kip$
longitudinal axis of the bridge Required lateral force resistance parallel to minor axis of the section (demand) Check if the lateral force resistance > the demand	$V_{LFtStrV} = 80.587 \cdot kip$ the $P_{ReqLatMinor_StrV} := \frac{V_{TFtStrV}}{N_{piles}} = 1.522 \cdot kip$ Check := if (P _{latProvided} > P_{ReqLatMinor_StrV}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"
longitudinal axis of the bridge Required lateral force resistance parallel to minor axis of the section (demand) Check if the lateral force resistance > the demand Required lateral force resistance parallel to major axis of the section (demand)	$V_{LFtStrV} = 80.587 \cdot kip$ the $P_{ReqLatMinor_StrV} := \frac{V_{TFtStrV}}{N_{piles}} = 1.522 \cdot kip$ $Check := if(P_{latProvided} > P_{ReqLatMinor_StrV}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$ the $P_{ReqLatMajor_StrV} := \frac{V_{LFtStrV}}{N_{piles}} = 4.477 \cdot kip$

Step 8.7 Structural Design of the Footing

Description

This step presents the structural design of the pier footing.

Page Contents

104	Design of Transverse Details
105	- Tension Tie Reinforcement Design
106	- Control of Cracking by Distribution of Reinforcement
106	- Diagonal Strut Check
107	- Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement Requirement
108	Design of Longitudinal Details
108	- Flexural Design
111	- Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement Requirement
111	Design for Shear
111	- One-Way Shear
112	- Two-Way Shear
114	Development Length of Reinforcement
114	Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement Requirement

Design of Transverse Details

The Strut-and-Tie Method (STM) is used for the design of deep footing and pile caps when the distance between the centers of applied load and the supporting reactions is less than two times the member depth.

LRFD 5.8.2.1

Footing thickness

reaction and a row of piles

$$t_{footing} = 3.5 \text{ ft}$$

$$S_{center} := \left(\frac{w_{footing}}{2} - \text{PileEdgeDist}\right) = 4 \text{ ft}$$

$$Check := if(S_{center} < 2t_{footing}, "Use STM", "No") = "Use STM"$$

Check if the STM is a suitable model for this footing

Distance between the column vertical

The following figure shows the Strut-and-Tie Model selected for the design of the footing in the transverse direction.



The centroid of the top chord is assumed to be located at a distance of 1/10th the footing thickness below the top of the footing. There are several options that the designer may consider when placing the top chord. Please refer to the **FHWA-NHI-17-071** *Strut-and-Tie Model (STM) for Concrete Structures* for additional details. Also, Step 7.6 in this example provides more details on this topic.

The tension tie is located at the centroid of the reinforcement that carries the tensile force at the bottom of the footing. The tensile reinforcement is located at 3 in. above the top of the piles.

Distance from the top of pile to the center of the transverse reinforcing steel bar

Select a trial bar size

Nominal diameter of a reinforcing steel bar

Cross-section area of a reinforcing steel bar on the flexural tension side

LRFD C5.8.2.2

$d_R := 3in$
bar := 9
$d_{bx} := Dia(bar) = 1.128 \cdot in$
$A_{\text{bar}} := \text{Area}(\text{bar}) = 1 \cdot \text{in}^2$

Note: As shown in the following calculations, the footing design is based on the maximum pile reaction. Based on the direction of loads considered in this example, the pile at Node 1 is subjected to the maximum vertical force. Therefore, the analysis and design is performed considering the forces in (a) the strut between nodes 1 and 2 and (b) the tie connected to Node 1.

Projected horizontal length of the strut

Projected vertical length of the strut

$$l_{a} := \frac{\left(w_{footing} - t_{column}\right)}{2} - \text{PileEdgeDist} + 6.\text{in} = 2.5 \text{ fr}$$
$$h_{a} := t_{footing} - \text{Pile}_\text{embd} - 3\text{in} - 0.1 \cdot t_{footing} = 2.4 \text{ ft}$$
$$\theta := \operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{h_{a}}{l_{a}}\right) = 43.831 \cdot ^{\circ}$$

Angle between the strut and tension tie Tension Tie Reinforcement Design

The first step is to calculate the average pile reaction in a row under strength and service limit states.

Average reaction of a pile in a row, Strength I P_{RowAv}

$$P_{\text{RowAvg}StrI} \coloneqq \frac{F_{\text{VFtStrI}}}{N_{\text{piles}}} + \frac{M_{\text{XFtStrI}}}{S_{\text{XX}}} = 234.874 \cdot \text{kip}$$

Average reaction of a pile in a row, Strength III

$$P_{RowAvg_StrIII} := \frac{F_{VFtStrIII}}{N_{piles}} + \frac{M_{XFtStrIII}}{S_{XX}} = 181.845 \cdot kip$$

Average reaction of a pile in a row, Strength V

$$P_{\text{RowAvg_StrV}} := \frac{F_{\text{VFtStrV}}}{N_{\text{piles}}} + \frac{M_{\text{XFtStrV}}}{S_{\text{XX}}} = 234.499 \cdot \text{kip}$$

 $= 182.423 \cdot kip$

LRFD 5.5.4.2

Controlling average reaction of the piles in a row under strength limit states

$$P_{RowAvg_Str} := max(P_{RowAvg_StrI}, P_{RowAvg_StrIII}, P_{RowAvg_StrV}) = 234.874 \cdot kip$$

Since only Service Limit State I is considered, the controlling average reaction of the piles in a row under Service Limit States

Tension force in the tension tie on a per-foot basis

$$T_{h} := \frac{P_{RowAvg_StrI}}{Spacing_{X}} \cdot \frac{l_{a}}{h_{a}} = 50.971 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

 $P_{RowAvg_SerI} := \frac{F_{VFtSerI}}{N_{piles}} + \frac{M_{XFtSerI}}{S_{XX}}$

Resistance factor for tension members

Required reinforcing steel area on a per-foot basis

$$A_{s_req} := \frac{T_h}{\phi_{tension} \cdot f_y} = 0.944 \cdot \frac{in^2}{ft} \qquad LRFD Eq. 5.8.2.4-1$$

 The spacing of the main reinforcing steel bars in walls and slabs shall not be greater than the
 LRFD 5.10.3.2

 lesser of 1.5 times the thickness of the member or 18 in.
 LRFD 5.10.6

 The spacing of shrinkage and temperature reinforcement shall not exceed the following:
 LRFD 5.10.6

 12 in. for walls and footings greater than 18 in.
 For all other situations, 3 times the component thickness but not less than 18 in.

 Note: MDOT limits reinforcement spacing to a maximum of 18 in.
 BDG 5.22.01

 Select a spacing for reinforcing steel bars
 shar := 12 · in

 $\phi_{\text{tension}} \coloneqq 0.9$

Area of tension steel provided on a per-foot basis

$$A_{sProvided_x} := \frac{A_{bar}}{s_{bar}} = 1 \cdot \frac{in^2}{ft}$$

Check the adequacy of tension tie reinforcement

Check := if
$$(A_{sProvided x} > A_{s req}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$$

Control of Cracking by Distribution of Reinforcement

Limiting the width of expected cracks under service conditions extends the service life. The width of potential cracks can be minimized through proper placement of the reinforcement. Checking for crack control assures that the actual stress in the reinforcement does not exceed the service limit state stress.

The spacing requirement for the mild steel reinforcement in the layer closer to the tension face

Exposure factor for the Class 1 exposure condition

For large concrete covers, use a 2 in. clear cover.

Distance from extreme tension fiber to the center of the closest flexural reinforcement

Ratio of flexural strain at the extreme tension face to the strain at the centroid of the reinforcement layer closest to the tension face

Next, calculate the tensile stress in the reinforcement at the service limit state, fss

Tensile force in the reinforcing steel due to the service limit state moment

Stress in the reinforcing steel due to the service limit state moment

 f_{ss} (not to exceed 0.6 f_v)

Required reinforcing steel bar spacing

Check if the spacing provided < the required spacing

Diagonal Strut Check

The compression force in the diagonal strut is calculated using static equilibrium.

$$P_{uStrut} := \frac{P_{RowAvg_StrI}}{\sin(\theta)} = 339.152 \cdot kip$$
$$d_{pile} = 13.6 \cdot in$$

Depth of the selected pile section

LRFD Eq. 5.6.7-1

$$d_{c} \coloneqq 2in + \frac{1}{2}d_{bx} = 2.564 \cdot in$$

$$\beta_{s} \coloneqq 1 + \frac{d_{c}}{0.7(t_{footing} - d_{c})} = 1.093$$

$$T_{h_SerI} := \frac{P_{RowAvg_SerI}}{Spacing_{x}} \cdot \frac{l_{a}}{h_{a}} = 39.588 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

$$f_{ss1} := \frac{T_{h_SerI}}{\phi_{tension} \cdot A_{sProvided_x}} = 43.987 \cdot ksi$$

$$f_{ss} := \min(f_{ss1}, 0.6f_{y}) = 36 \cdot ksi$$

$$s_{barRequired} := \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_{e} \cdot \frac{kip}{in}}{\beta_{s} \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_{c} = 12.664 \cdot in$$

Check := if (s_{bar} < s_{barRequired}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"

LRFD C5.6.7

 $s \le \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_e}{\beta_s \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_c$

 $\gamma_e := 1.00$



Node 1 is a CCT node at which a tie intersects only from one direction. The surface at which the diagonal strut meets the node is called the strut-to-node interface.

m := 1

Modification factor to account for confinement

Resistance factor for the strut

Concrete efficiency factor, assuming crack control reinforcement being present

Width of the strut

Compressive stress at the face of the node where the strut meets the node

Limiting compressive stress at the face of the node

Check the adequacy of the strut

Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement Requirement

LRFD 5.10.6 The following calculations check the adequacy of the flexural reinforcing steel to control shrinkage and temperature stresses in the footing.

 $f_{cu} := m \cdot v_{CCT} \cdot f_c = 2.1 \cdot ksi$

Required minimum area of shrinkage and temperature reinforcement

A_{shrink.temp} := min

Check if the provided area of steel > the required area of shrinkage and temperature steel

Check := if $(A_{sProvided_x} > A_{shrink.temp}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$

Therefore, the STM design requires the use of No. 9 bars at 12.0 in. spacing ($A_s = 1.0 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft}$) as the transverse reinforcement at the bottom of the footing.



 $w_{strut} := d_{pile} \cdot \sin(\theta) + 6in \cdot \cos(\theta) = 13.747 \cdot in$

Conservatively taken as 1.0

$$f_{c_strut} := \frac{P_uStrut}{\phi_{strut} \cdot w_{strut} \cdot Spacing_y} = 0.734 \cdot ksi$$

Check := if $(f_{c \text{ strut}} < f_{cu}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$

 $\left(0.60 \frac{\text{in}^2}{\text{ft}}\right)$

 $\max\left[\frac{\left(0.11 \frac{\text{in}^2}{\text{ft}}\right)}{\left[\frac{1.3 \cdot \text{w}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{t}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}}}{2(\text{max} + 1 \text{ max}) \text{ ft}}} \right] \right] = 0.345 \cdot \text{max}$

Design of Longitudinal Details

The reinforcement design in the longitudinal direction uses the traditional section method.

Flexural Design

For flexural design of the reinforcement along the longitudinal direction of the footing, the critical section is located at section B-B (at the face of the column).



Moment at the Face of the Column (Section B-B)

Since the footing is designed using a 1-ft wide strip, the pile forces on a per-foot basis are calculated.

Note: As per the MDOT practice, the maximum reactions of the piles at the end column are conservatively assumed to be equal.

Maximum pile reaction under strength limit states	$P_{uMax} = 248.838 \cdot kip$	From Step 8.6
Maximum pile reaction under service limit states	$P_{uMax_SerI} = 194.987 \cdot kip$	From Step 8.6
Applied factored load per-foot in the y-direction, strength limit state	$R_{y_Str} := \frac{3 \cdot P_u Max}{w_{footing}} = 67.865 \cdot \frac{kir}{ft}$	2
Applied factored load per-foot in the y-direction, service limit state	$R_{y_SerI} := \frac{3 \cdot P_{uMax_SerI}}{w_{footing}} = 53.1$	$78 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}}$
Distance from the center of the piles in the end column to section B-B	$\operatorname{Arm}_{y} := \frac{\left(l_{\text{footing}} - w_{\text{column}}\right)}{2} - $	PileEdgeDist = 1.375 ft
Moment about the y-axis on a per-foot basis at the critical section, strength limit state	$M_{uy} := R_{y_Str} \cdot Arm_y = 93.314 \cdot \frac{ki}{2}$	ip·ft ft
Moment about the y-axis on a per-foot basis at the critical section, service limit state	$M_{uy_SerI} := R_{y_SerI} \cdot Arm_y = 73.$	$12 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{\text{ft}}$
Flexural Resistance		
The design procedure consists of calculating the reinf	forcing steel area required to satisfy the	LRFD 5.6.3.2

The design procedure consists of calculating the reinforcing steel area required to satisfy the moment demand and checking the selected steel area against the requirements and limitations for developing an adequate moment capacity, controlling crack width, and managing shrinkage and temperature stresses.

Select a trial bar size

bar := 8
Nominal diameter of a reinforcing steel bar	$d_{by} := Dia(bar) = 1 \cdot in$	
Cross-section area of a bar on the flexural tension side	$A_{bar} := Area(bar) = 0.79 \cdot in^2$	
The spacing of the main reinforcing steel bars in lesser of 1.5 times the thickness of the member of	h walls and slabs shall not be greater than the or 18 in.	LRFD 5.10.3.2
The spacing of shrinkage and temperature reinfo 12 in. for walls and footings greater tha For all other situations, 3 times the com	orcement shall not exceed the following: an 18 in. ponent thickness but not less than 18 in.	LRFD 5.10.6
Note: MDOT limits reinforcement spacing to a r	maximum of 18 in.	BDG 5.22.01
Footing thickness	$t_{footing} = 3.5 ft$	
Select a spacing for the reinforcing steel bars	s _{bar} := 10in	
Provided area of tension steel in a 1-ft wide section	$A_{sProvided_y} := \frac{A_{bar} \cdot 12in}{s_{bar}} = 0.948 \cdot in$	n ²
The reinforcing bars in footing length direction a width direction. Therefore,	are placed on top of the reinforcing bars in the footir	ng di
Effective depth	$d_{ey} := t_{footing} - Pile_embd - 3in - \frac{d_{ey}}{d_{ey}}$	$\frac{65x}{2} - \frac{65y}{2} = 31.936 \cdot in$
Resistance factor for flexure	$\phi_{f} \coloneqq 0.9$	LRFD 5.5.4.2
Select a 1-ft wide strip for the design.		
Width of the compression face of the section	b := 12in	
Stress block factor	$\beta_1 = 0.85$	
Solve the following equation of A_s to calculate the assumed initial A_s value to solve the equation.	he required area of steel to satisfy the moment deman	nd. Use an
Initial assumption	$A_s := 1 in^2$	
	Given $M_{uy} \cdot ft = \phi_f \cdot A_s \cdot f_y \cdot \left[d_{ey} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \right]$	$\left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{y}}}{0.85 \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{b}}\right)$
Required area of steel	$A_{sRequired_y} := Find(A_s) = 0.663 \cdot in^2$	2
Check if $A_{sProvided} > A_{sRequired}$	Check := if (A _{sProvided_y} > A _{sRequired_y} , '	OK'', "Not OK'' = "OK''
Moment capacity of the section with the provided steel	$M_{\text{Provided}} \coloneqq \phi_{f} \cdot A_{s\text{Provided}} \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{y} \cdot \underbrace{\left[d_{ey} \right]}_{M_{\text{Provided}}} = 132.274 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{A_{sProvided} y \cdot f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{c} \cdot b} \right)$ ft
Distance from the extreme compression fiber to the neutral axis	$c := \frac{A_{sProvided} y \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f_c \cdot \beta_1 \cdot b} = 2.19 \cdot in$	

Check the validity of assumption, $f_s = f_v$

Limits for Reinforcement

The tensile reinforcement provided must be adequate to develop a factored flexural resistance at least equal to the lesser of the cracking moment or 1.33 times the factored moment from the applicable strength limit state load combinations.

 $\gamma_1 \coloneqq 1.6$

Flexural cracking variability factor

Ratio of specified minimum yield strength to ultimate tensile strength of the nonprestressed reinforcement

Section modulus

Cracking moment

1.33 times the factored moment demand

The factored flexural resistance required to satisfy the minimum reinforcement requirement

Check the adequacy of the section capacity

Control of Cracking by Distribution of Reinforcement

Limiting the width of expected cracks under service conditions extends the service life. The width of potential cracks can be minimized through proper placement of the reinforcement. Checking for crack control assures that the actual stress in the reinforcement does not exceed the service limit state stress.

 $s \leq \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_e}{\beta_s \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_c$

 $\gamma_e := 1.00$

The spacing requirement for the mild steel reinforcement in the layer closer to the tension face

Exposure factor for the Class 1 exposure condition

For large concrete cover, 2 in. is recommended.

Distance from the extreme tension fiber to the center of the closest flexural reinforcement

Ratio of flexural strain at the extreme tension face to the strain at the centroid of the reinforcement layer closest to the tension face

The calculation of tensile stress in nonprestressed reinforcement at the service limit state, f_{ss} , requires establishing the neutral axis location and the moment demand at the critical section.

The position of the section's neutral axis is determined through an iterative process to calculate the actual stress in the reinforcement. This process starts with an assumed position of the neutral axis.

heck_
$$f_s := if\left(\frac{c}{d_{ey}} < 0.6, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK"$$

$$Lheck_{1_{S}} := 11 \left(\frac{1}{d_{ey}} < 0.6, "OK", "Not OK" \right) = "OK"$$

LRFD 5.6.3.3

For concrete structures that are not precast segmental

For ASTM A615 Grade 60 reinforcement

$$S_{c} := \frac{1}{6} \cdot b \cdot t_{footing}^{2} = 3.528 \times 10^{3} \cdot in^{3}$$

$$M_{cr} := \frac{\gamma_{3} \cdot \gamma_{1} \cdot f_{r} \cdot S_{c}}{ft} = 131.013 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

$$1.33 \cdot M_{uy} = 124.108 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

$$M_{req} := min(1.33M_{uy}, M_{cr}) = 124.108 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$
Check := if(Mprovided > M_{req}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"

LRFD Eq. 5.6.7-1

LRFD C5.6.7

$$d_{c} := 2in + \frac{1}{2}d_{by} = 2.5 \cdot in$$

$$\beta_{s} := 1 + \frac{d_{c}}{0.7(t_{footing} - d_{c})} = 1.09$$

Assumed distance from the extreme compression fiber to the neutral axis

Position of the neutral axis

Tensile force in the reinforcing steel due to the service limit state moment

Stress in the reinforcing steel due to the service limit state moment

 f_{ss} (not to exceed 0.6 f_{v})

Required reinforcement bar spacing

Check if the spacing provided < the required spacing

Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement Requirement

The required minimum area of shrinkage and temperature reinforcement	$A_{shrink.temp} = 0.345 \cdot \frac{in^2}{ft}$ From transverse reinforcement design
Check if the provided area of steel > the required area of shrinkage and temperature steel	Check := if $\left(\frac{A_{sProvided}y}{ft} > A_{shrink.temp}, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK"$

Therefore, the flexural design requires the use of No. 8 bars at 10.0 in. spacing ($A_s = 0.948 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft}$) as the transverse reinforcement at the bottom of the footing.

Design for Shear

One-Way Shear

Since the STM was used for the design of transverse direction details, the one-way shear design is not required in the transverse direction. The following calculations present the one-way shear design in the longitudinal direction.

In the longitudinal direction of the footing, the factored shear force at the critical section is computed by calculating the total pile reaction force acting on the footing base that is outside of the critical section.

Depth of equivalent rectangular stress block

$$a := \frac{A_{sProvided} y f_{y}}{0.85 f_{c} b} = 1.859 in$$

Effective shear depth

$$d_{vy} := \max\left(d_{ey} - \frac{a}{2}, 0.9 \cdot d_{ey}, 0.72 \cdot t_{footing}\right) = 31.007 \cdot in$$
 LRFD 5.7.2.8

Since the piles are located inside the critical sections, there is no need to check one-way shear in the footing length directions.

LRFD 5.10.6

 $x := 5 \cdot in$

Given

 $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{2} = \frac{\mathbf{E}_{s}}{\mathbf{E}_{c}} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{sProvided} \mathbf{y} \cdot (\mathbf{d}_{ey} - \mathbf{x})$

 $x_{na} := Find(x) = 5.753 \cdot in$

 $T_{s} := \frac{M_{uy}_SerI}{d_{ey} - \frac{x_{na}}{3}} \cdot ft = 29.2 \cdot kip$

 $f_{ss1} := \frac{T_s}{A_{sProvided v}} = 30.833 \cdot ksi$

 $f_{ss} := \min(f_{ss1}, 0.6f_v) = 30.833 \cdot ksi$

 $s_{\text{barRequired}} := \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_e \cdot \frac{kip}{in}}{\beta_s \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_c = 15.82 \cdot in$

Check := if (s_{bar} < s_{barRequired}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"

Two-Way Shear

Two-way shear (punching shear) in the footing is checked at critical perimeters around the column and a pile.

Critical Perimeter around the Pier Column

The critical perimeter around the pier column, b_0 , is located at a minimum of $0.5d_v$ from LRFD 5.12.8.6.3 the perimeter of the column.

Note: An average effective shear depth, d_v , should be used since the two-way shear area includes both x-

and y- directions of the footing.

Effective depth in the transverse direction of the footing

Depth of equivalent rectangular stress block in the transverse direction

Effective shear depth in the transverse direction

Average effective shear depth

As shown in the following figure, the 1st and 3rd rows of piles are located outside the critical perimeter. The two piles in the end of the 2nd row are partially located outside the critical perimeter. They are conservatively considered to be outside the critical perimeter.



Critical perimeter

 $\mathbf{b}_{0} := 2 \cdot \left(\mathbf{w}_{column} + \mathbf{d}_{v_avg} \right) + 2 \cdot \left(\mathbf{t}_{column} + \mathbf{d}_{v_avg} \right) = 61.004 \, \mathrm{ft}$

Ratio of long to short side of the critical perimeter

Nominal shear resistance

$$\beta_{c} := \frac{w_{column} + v_{avg} + 2 (column + v_{avg}) = 0100 \text{ H}}{t_{column}}$$
$$\beta_{c} := \frac{w_{column}}{t_{column}} = 5.313$$
$$V_{n1_2way} := \left(0.063 + \frac{0.126}{\beta_{c}}\right) \cdot \sqrt{f_{c} \cdot \text{ksi} \cdot b_{0} \cdot d_{v_avg}} = 3.465 \times 10^{3} \cdot \text{kip}$$
$$V_{n2_2way} := 0.126 \cdot \left(\sqrt{f_{c} \cdot \text{ksi} \cdot b_{0} \cdot d_{v_avg}}\right) = 5.035 \times 10^{3} \cdot \text{kip}$$
$$LRFD \text{ Eq.}$$
$$5.12.8.6.3-1$$
$$V_{n_2way} := \min\left(V_{n1_2way}, V_{n2_2way}\right) = 3.465 \times 10^{3} \cdot \text{kip}$$

 $d_{ex} := t_{footing} - Pile_embd - 3in = 33 \cdot in$

 $d_{vx} := \max\left(d_{ex} - \frac{a_x}{2}, 0.9 \cdot d_{ex}, 0.72 \cdot t_{footing}\right) = 32.02 \cdot in$

 $a_{x} := \frac{A_{sProvided} x \cdot f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{c}} = 1.961 \cdot in$

 $d_{V avg} := \frac{\left(d_{VX} + d_{VY}\right)}{2} = 2.626 \text{ ft}$

Factored shear resistance (Capacity)	$V_{r_2way} := \phi_v \cdot V_{n_2way} = 3.118 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$			
The average pile reaction of the piles outside the critical perimeter (Strength I limit states governs.)				
	$P_{avg} := \frac{F_{VFtStrI}}{N_{piles}} = 205.928 \cdot kip$			
Number of piles outside the critical perimeter	N _{piles_outside} := 14			
Note: For piles located partially outside the critical perimeter, conservatively assume that they are completely outside the critical perimeter.				
Resultant force acting on the area outside of the critical perimeter (Demand)	$V_{u_2way} := N_{piles_outside} \cdot P_{avg} = 2.883 \times 10^3 \cdot kip$			
Check if the shear resistance > the demand	Check := if $(V_{r_2way} > V_{u_2way}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$			
Critical Perimeter around a Pile				
The critical perimeter around a pile, b _o , is located at	a minimum of $0.5d_v$ from the LRFD 5.12.8.6.3			
perimeter of the pile. When portions of the critical p the critical perimeter is limited by the footing edge.	erimeter are located off the footing,			
Flange width and depth of the selected pile section	$b_{f} = 14.6 \cdot in \qquad d_{pile} = 13.6 \cdot in$			
Check if the critical perimeter is off the footing in y-axis direction	OffFooting_y := if $\left(\frac{d_{pile}}{2} + \frac{d_{v_avg}}{2} > PileEdgeDist, "Yes", "No"\right)$ OffFooting_y = "Yes"			
Check if the critical perimeter is off the footing in x-axis direction	OffFooting_x := if $\left(\frac{b_f}{2} + \frac{d_{v_avg}}{2} > \text{PileEdgeDist}, "Yes", "No"\right)$ OffFooting_x = "Yes"			
Side length of the critical perimeter parallel to y-axis $b_{0y} := if \left(C_{0y}\right)$	DffFooting_y = "Yes", $\frac{d_{pile}}{2} + \frac{d_{v_avg}}{2} + PileEdgeDist, d_{pile} + d_{v_avg}$ $b_{0y} = 3.38 \text{ ft}$			
Side length of the critical perimeter parallel to x-axis $b_{0x} := if \left(OffFooting_x = "Yes", \frac{b_f}{2} + \frac{d_{v_avg}}{2} + PileEdgeDist, d_{pile} + d_{v_avg} \right)$				
Critical a crimetor	$b_{0x} = 5.421 \text{ ft}$			
Chucal perimeter	$b_0 := b_{0x} + b_{0y} = 6.801 \mathrm{m}$			
d _w /2 HP14X73				

Ratio of long-to-short sides of the critical perimeter	$\beta_{c} := \frac{\max(b_{0x}, b_{0y})}{\min(b_{0x}, b_{0y})} = 1.012$
Nominal shear resistance $V_{n1}_{2way} := \left(0.06\right)$	$63 + \frac{0.126}{\beta_c} \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot ksi} \cdot b_0 \cdot d_{v_avg} = 835.089 \cdot kip$
$V_{n2}_{2way} := 0.126$	$(6 \cdot (\sqrt{f_c \cdot ksi} \cdot b_0 \cdot d_{v_avg}) = 561.283 \cdot kip$ LRFD Eq. 5.12.8.6.3-1
	$V_{n_2way} := \min(V_{n_12way}, V_{n_22way}) = 561.283 \cdot kip$
Factored shear resistance (Capacity)	$V_{r_2way} := \phi_v \cdot V_{n_2way} = 505.155 \cdot kip$
Maximum pile reaction (Demand)	$P_{uMax} = 248.838 \cdot kip$
Check if the capacity > the demand	Check := if $(V_{r_2way} > P_{uMax}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$
Development Length of Reinforcem	ient
The flexural reinforcing steel must be developed on ea full development length.	ach side of the critical section for its LRFD 5.10.8.1.2
Longitudinal Direction of the Footing	
Available development length	l_{dv} avail := $\frac{l_{footing} - w_{column}}{1 - Cover_{ff}} = 30.5 \cdot in$
From flexural design	dy_avan 2 n
Longitudinal reinforcing steel bar size	No. 8
Bar spacing	12 in
Assuming that the bars are at high stress, the required bar development length	$l_{dy.req} \coloneqq 28in \qquad BDG 7.14.01$
Check if l _{dyavail} > l _{dyreq}	Check := $if(l_{dy_avail} > l_{dy.req}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$
Transverse Direction of the Footing	
Available development length	l_{dx} avail := $\frac{w_{footing} - t_{column}}{1 - Cover_{ff}} = 38 \cdot in$
From flexural design	
Longitudinal reinforcing steel bar size	No. 8
Bar spacing	8 in
Assuming that the bars are at high stress, the required bar development length	$l_{dx.req} := 28in$ BDG 7.14.01
Check if $l_{dx.avail} > l_{dx.req}$	Check := if $(l_{dx avail} > l_{dx,req}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$

Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement Requirement

This requirement for the steel at the bottom of the footing was already checked and satisfied.

The reinforcement along the longitudinal and transverse directions of the footing at the top should satisfy the shrinkage and temperature reinforcement requirement.

LRFD 5.10.6

The spacing of shrinkage and temperature reinforcement shall not exceed the following: 12 in. for walls and footings greater than 18 in. For all other situations, 3 times the component thickness but not less than 18 in.	LRFD 5.10.6		
Note: MDOT limits reinforcement spacing to a maximum of 18 in.	BDG 5.22.01		
Select a trial bar size bar := 6			
Nominal diameter of a reinforcing steel bar $d_{bx} := Dia(bar) = 0.75 \cdot in$			
Cross-section area of a reinforcing steel bar $A_{bar} := Area(bar) = 0.44 \cdot in^2$			
Select a spacing for reinforcing steel bars $s_{barST} := 12 \cdot in$			
Provided horizontal reinforcement area $A_{sProvidedST} := \frac{A_{bar}}{s_{barST}} = 0.44 \cdot \frac{in}{f}$	$\frac{1}{t}$		
Required shrinkage and temperature steel area (calculated during flexural design) $A_{shrink.temp} = 0.345 \cdot \frac{in^2}{ft}$			
Check if the provided area of steel > the required area of shrinkage and Check := $if(A_sProvidedST > A_{shrink.ten})$	np, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"		
temperature steel			
Therefore, the design requires the use of No. 6 bars at 12.0 in. spacing ($A_s = 0.44$ in. ² /ft) as the shrinkage and			

The footing design presented in this step provides the following details:

- No. 9 bars @ 12.0 in. spacing (A_s = 1.0 in.²/ft) as the transverse flexural reinforcement at the bottom of the footing
- No. 8 bars @ 10.0 in. spacing (A_s=0.948 in.²/ft) as the longitudinal flexural reinforcement at the bottom of the footing
- No. 6 bars @ 12.0 in. spacing (A_s=0.44 in.²/ft) as the shrinkage and temperature reinforcement at the top of the footing in both longitudinal and transverse directions.



Note: Certain details are not shown in this drawing for clarity of main reinforcement. Refer to MDOT Bridge Design Guides for additional details.