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## CLASS OBJECTIVES

## GEOMETRIC DESIGN

## What is Geometric Design?

- Physical Elements of Design
- Horizontal and Vertical Curves
- Grades
- Cross-Sectional Elements
- Cross-Slope and Superelevation
- Sight Distance
- Intersection and Interchange Design
- General Layout of the Roadway


## FUNCTIONAL CLASS

## AASHTO

- Provides Definitions for Various Functional Classes of Highways
- Design Criteria Vary According to the Type of Highway Facility
- Freeways
- Arterials
- Collectors
- Local Roads
- NHS/Non-NHS
- National Truck Network



## DESIGN VEHICLES

- Physical Characteristics
- Operating Characteristics
- Classes
- Passenger Car
- Buses
- Trucks (WB-50, WB-62, WB-67)
- Recreational Vehicles
- Bicycles



Exhibit 2-16. Minimum Turning Path for Interstate Semitrailer
(WB-20 [WB-65 and WB-67]) Design Vehicle


## IMPORTANT PRACTICES

## Make Field Visits to Existing Locations

## Get Old Plans and Look at Them

Obtain Traffic Volumes \& Review Safety
Keep Your Design Documents Up to Date
Get to Know Your Geometrics Area Engineer

## REFERENCES

MDOT

- Road Design Manual
- Bridge Design Manual
- Bridge Design Guides
- Standard Plans
- Geometric Design Guides
- Sight Distance Guidelines
- Roundabout Design Aid
- T\&S Geometric Design Guidance
- DDI Guide


## EMDOT


Michigan Department of Transportation

CaNo s.aft

PREPARED BY TRAFFIC AND SAFETY

## EMDOT

## REFERENCES

## AASHTO

- Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities
- A Policy on Design Standards - Interstate

System

- A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways \& Streets
- Roadside Design Guide



## DEFINITION OF TERMS

- Acceleration Lane - An auxiliary lane, including tapers, for the acceleration of vehicles entering another roadway.
- Arterial Road - A roadway which provides a high speed, high volume, network for travel between major points.
- Average Daily Traffic (ADT) - The average 24 hour traffic volume, based on a yearly total.
- Broken Back Curve - Two curves in the same direction joined by a short tangent distance.
- Collector Road - Roadway linking a local road to an arterial road, usually serving moderate traffic volumes.


## DEFINITION OF TERMS

- Compound Curve - Two connecting horizontal curves in the same direction having different radii (no tangent).
- Crash Analysis - A site specific safety review of crash data performed to identify whether or not a specific geometric design element has either caused, or contributed, to a pattern or concentration of crashes at the location in question. The analysis is a critical component used in determining the appropriate application of geometric design criteria and in the evaluation of design exception approval requests.


## DEFINITION OF TERMS

- Critical Grade - The grade and length that causes a typical truck or other heavy vehicle to have a speed reduction of 10 mph or greater.
- Cross Slope - Transverse slope rate of traveled lane or shoulder.

- Crown Runoff (also called Tangent Runout) - The distance necessary to remove adverse crown before transitioning into superelevation on curves. (Referred to as "C" distance in Standard Plan R-107 Series.)
- Deceleration Lane - An auxiliary lane that enables a vehicle to slow down and exit the highway with minimum interference from through traffic.


## DEFINITION OF TERMS

- Design Hour Volume (DHV) - The hourly volume used to design a particular segment of highway.
- Design Speed - A selected speed used to determine the various geometric design features of the roadway.
- Directional Design Hour Volume (DDHV) - The directional distribution of traffic during DHV
- Free Access Highway - A highway, with no control of access, usually having at grade intersections, which may or may not be divided.
- Freeway - A divided arterial highway with full control of access and grade separations at intersections. (Limited Access).


## DEFINITION OF TERMS

" Gore Area - The "V" area immediately beyond the divergence of two roadways bounded by the edges of those roadways. ( $2^{\prime}$ to $22^{\prime}$ points.)

- Grade Separation - A structure which provides for highway traffic to pass over or under another highway or the tracks of a railway.

- Horizontal Clearance - An operational offset which provides vehicle clearance for things such as mirrors on trucks and buses, and for opening curbside doors of parked vehicles. (1'6" minimum from face of curb.)


## DEFINITION OF TERMS

- Interchange - A system of interconnecting roadways in conjunction with grade separations providing for the interchange of traffic between two or more intersecting roadways.
- Level of Service - A qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream; generally described in terms of such factors as speed and travel time, freedom to maneuver, traffic interruptions, comfort and convenience, and safety. Levels of service are given letter designations from A to F, with LOS A representing the best operating conditions and LOS F the worst.


## DEFINITION OF TERMS

- Local Road - A road which serves primarily to provide access to farms, residences, businesses or other abutting properties.

- Passing Lane Section (PLS) - Extra lane(s) to provide additional capacity and reduce delay caused by slow moving vehicles, such as recreational vehicles, during peak periods. These are often desirable in areas where slower vehicles are not necessarily the result of long steep grades.


## DEFINITION OF TERMS

- Passing Relief Lane (PRL) - Common all-inclusive reference to a traffic lane provided for increased passing opportunities along a route, can be a Truck Climbing Lane (TCL) or a Passing Lane Section (PLS).
- Ramp - A connecting roadway between two intersecting roadways, usually at grade separations.
- Reverse Curve - Horizontal curves in the opposite direction joined by a short tangent distance or common point.


## DEFINITION OF TERMS

- Rollover - Algebraic difference in rate of cross slope between traveled lane and shoulder.
- Service Road (also Frontage Road) - A local street or road usually parallel and adjacent to a controlled access highway for service to abutting properties.
- Sight Distance - The unobstructed distance that can be viewed along a roadway - usually referenced to decision points for drivers.



## DEFINITION OF TERMS

- Spiral Curve Transition - A variable radii curve between a circular curve and the tangent. The radii of the transition and the curve are the same at the curve and increase to infinity at the tangent end of the transition.
- Superelevation - Tilting of the road surface on curves to help counter balance or offset the perceived "centrifugal force" on the vehicle.



## DEFINITION OF TERMS

- Superelevation Transition (sometimes referred to as superelevation runoff) - The length of highway needed to change the pavement cross slope from a section with adverse crown removed to a fully superelevated section or vice versa. (Referred to as the "L" distance in Standard Plan R-107 Series.)
- Truck Climbing Lane (TCL) - An extra lane for heavy vehicles slowed by the presence of a long steep "critical grade" that provides passing opportunities for non-slowed vehicles.



## QUESTIONS



## 3R / 4R WORK

## 4R PROJECTS



## EXAMPLES OF 4R WORK

New Roadways or Bridges

## Complete Removal and Replacement of Pavement (Including Subbase)

Major Alignment Improvements
Addition of Thru Lanes

Complete Bridge Deck or
Superstructure Replacement

## EXAMPLES OF 4R WORK

Intermittent Grade

Modifications that
Leave the Existing
Pavement in Service
for Less than $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$
of the Total Project Length


## 3R PROJECTS

RESURFACING RESTORATION REHABILITATION


## Code of Federal Regulations 23 CFR

"...work undertaken to extend the service life of an existing highway and enhance highway safety."

## EXAMPLES OF 3R WORK

Resurfacing, Milling, or Profiling

## Concrete Overlays and Inlays

Lane or Shoulder Widening (No Added Thru Lane)

## Roadway Base Corrections

Minor Alignment Improvements
Roadside Safety Improvements


## EXAMPLES OF 3R WORK

Signing, Pavement Markings, and Traffic Signals Intersection and Railroad Crossing Upgrades

## Pavement Joint Repair

## Passing Relief Lanes

Crush \& Shape and Resurfacing
Rubblize and Resurfacing

## EXAMPLES OF 3R WORK

Intermittent Grade Modifications that Leave the Existing Pavement in Service for More than $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ of the Total Project Length

## Bridge 3R Work is Defined in Chapter 12 of the MDOT...



## EXAMPLES OF 3R WORK



# Deep or Shallow Overlays 

Superstructure Repairs
Railing Replacements
Partial Deck or Superstructure Replacement
Deck Widening (No Added Through Lanes)
Substructure Repair or Replacement

# COMBINED 3R \& 4R PROJECTS 

## RDM Section 3.08.01C

3R Standards Apply Where 3R Work is Performed

4R Standards Apply Where 4R Work is Performed

Note: The Applicable Standards Apply
Where Other Work Types are Performed
(CPM, M-Funded, Signal \& Signing Corridor Projects, Etc.)

## 4R ROAD GUIDELINES

## Non-Freeway Reconstruction/New Construction

## 3R/4R Freeway Projects



RDM 3.11 \& RDM Appendix 3A
Design Criteria for Interstate Freeways Based on
"A Policy on Design Standards - Interstate System"
Non-Interstate Freeways Based on "AASHTO Green Book"

## RDM APPENDIX 3A

## Appendix 3A

GEOMETRIC DESIGN ELEMENTS New Construction / Reconstruction

| Element |  | Urban | Rural |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Speed | Freeway | The greater of posted speed, or 60 mph . | The greater of posted speed, or 70 mph . |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non Freeway (Arterial) | The greater of posted speed, or 30 mph . | The greater of posted speed, or $40 \mathrm{mph} .$. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Collector Roads | Posted speed (minimum). | Posted speed (minimum).. |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width | Freeway | 12 ft . | 12 ft . |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non Freeway (Arterial) | 12 ft , lanes are most desirable and should be used where practical. 11 ft . lanes are often used for low speed ( 45 mph design) <br> Lane widths of 10 ft . may be used in more constrained areas where truck and bus volumes are relatively low and speeds are less than 35 mph . <br> 12 ft . lanes on the National Network (NN). Design exceptions / variances are required to maintain existing narrower lanes. <br> A high burden of justification is required in a design exception / variance to reduce existing lane widths less than or equal to 12'-0". | Design Speed, (mph) | Minimum Lane Width, ft. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Under 400 | ADT, ve <br> 1500 <br> 1500 | 1500 to 2000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Over } \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 40 | $11^{*}$ | $11^{*}$ | 11* | 12 |
|  |  |  | 45 | $11^{*}$ | $11^{*}$ | $11^{*}$ | 12 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 11*** | 11*** | 12 | 12 |
|  |  |  | 60 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
|  |  |  | 65 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
|  |  |  | 70 75 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
|  |  |  | *12 ft. desirable |  |  |  |  |
|  | Collector Roads | Added turn lanes at intersections $10-12 \mathrm{ft}$. <br> Where right-of-way is restricted. $11 \mathrm{ft}$. <br> Industrial Areas 12 ft. | Design Speed, (mph) | Minimum Lane Width, ft. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ADT, vehicles/day |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Under $400$ | $\begin{aligned} & 400 \text { to } \\ & 1500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1500 \text { to } \\ 2000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Over } \\ & 2000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 20 | $10^{*}$ | $10^{*}$ | $11^{*}$ | 12 |
|  |  | Where shoulders are used, see guidelines for Rural Collectors | 25 30 | $10 *$ 10 | $10^{*}$ $10^{*}$ | $11^{*}$ $11^{*}$ | 12 |
|  |  |  | 35 | $10^{*}$ | $11^{*}$ | $11^{*}$ | 12 |
|  |  |  | 40 | $10^{*}$ | $11^{*}$ | $11^{*}$ | 12 |
|  |  |  | 45 | $10^{*}$ | 11** | 11** | 12 |
|  |  |  | 50 |  |  | $11^{*}$ | 12 |
|  |  |  | 55 | $11^{*}$ | $11^{*}$ | 12 | 12 |
|  |  |  | 60 | 11* | 11* | 12 | 12 |
|  |  |  | *12 ft. desirable |  |  |  |  |

## RDM APPENDIX 3A

## Appendix 3A <br> GEOMETRIC DESIGN ELEMENTS <br> New Construction / Reconstruction



## RDM APPENDIX 3A

Appendix 3A
GEOMETRIC DESIGN ELEMENTS
New Construction / Reconstruction

| Element |  | Urban \& Rural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design | Freeway | HS-25/HL93 |  |
| Loading | Non Freeway | State Trunkline | HS-25/HL93 |
| Structural |  | Local Roads Over Freeways and State Trunkline | HS-25/HL93 |
| Capacity <br> (Also see Bridge |  | Local Roads and Streets | Design according to county or city standards, HS20/HL93 min. |
| Design Manual) |  | Use HS-25/HL93 for all structures in an interchange regardless of route type |  |
| Horizontal Curve Radius | Freeway | See Standard Plan R-107-Series and Section 3.04.03 |  |
|  | Non Freeway (Arterial) |  |  |
|  | Collector Roads |  |  |
|  | Non Freeway (Arterial) |  |  |
|  | Collector Roads |  |  |

## RDM APPENDIX 3A

Appendix 3A
GEOMETRIC DESIGN ELEMENTS
New Construction / Reconstruction

| Maximum Grade |  |  | Maximum Grade (\%) for specified design speed (mph) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Type of Terrain | 50 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 60 |  |  |  | 65 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 75 |  |  |
|  |  | Level | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
|  |  | Rolling | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Grades 1\% steeper may be provided in urban areas. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Type of Terrain | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 30 |  | 35 | 40 |  | 45 | 50 |  |  | 55 | 60 |  | 40 | 45 |  | 50 | 55 |  | 60 |
|  |  | Level | 8 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 3 |
|  |  | Rolling | 9 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 7 | 7 |  |  | 6 | 6 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 4 |
|  |  | Type of | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Terrain | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |  | 55 | 60 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 |
|  |  | Level | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 |  | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
|  |  | Rolling | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 |  | 8 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Stopping Sight Distance | Follow $20116^{\text {th }}$ Edition of AASHTO "A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets" (AKA AASHTO Green Book). The MDOT Sight Distance Guidelines also provide detailed information on sight distance calculation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cross Slope | Traveled way cross slope $=2.0 \%$, Paved shoulder cross slope $=4.0 \%$ (Also see Section 6.05.05) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Superelevation Rate | AASHTO Method 5 "Curvilinear Relation" is used for new construction/reconstruction. Maximum rate of 7\%. (See Standard Plan R-107-Series.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AASHTO Method 1 "Straight Line Relation" is allowed when Method 5 is not feasible. Maximum rate of 6\%. (See Section 3.04.03) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | The above methods also apply to urban freeways and urban ramps, except the maximum rate is $5 \%$ for 60 mph design speed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vertical Clearance |  |  |  |  | NHS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Non NHS |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Freeway |  |  |  | 16'-0" |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $14^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non Freeway (Arterial) |  |  |  | 16'-0" |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $14^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | For pedestrian bridges provide 1 ft . additional clearance over non-freeway and 17 ft . minimum under clearance over freeways. A vertical clearance of $23^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ is required for grade separations over railroads. (See Bridge Design Manual 7.01.08 and Bridge Design Guides 5.24.03-04.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 3R/4R GUIDELINES

## Freeway Safety Considerations (3.11.03)

## Design Speed

Ramp Geometrics and Taper Lengths

## Vertical Curbs

## Sight Distance

Crown Location/Pavement Cross Slope

## 3R/4R GUIDELINES

## Safety Considerations (3.11.03)

Superelevation

Guardrail
and
Concrete Barrier

## Attenuation



Shoulder and Slopes

## 3R/4R GUIDELINES

## Safety Considerations (3.11.03)



Clear Zones<br>\&<br>Fixed Objects<br>Culvert End<br>Treatments

## 4R BRIDGE GUIDELINES

## MDOT Bridge Design Guides

Cover Most Design Elements for Most 4R Work

## MDOT Bridge Design Manual - Chapter 7

Deck Replacements and Underclearance Requirements

## BRIDGE DESIGN GUIDE

## (BDG 6.05.01A)



## BRIDGE DESIGN GUIDE



## BRIDGE DESIGN GUIDE

## (BDG 6.05.03)



## BRIDGE DESIGN GUIDE

## (BDG 6.06.01)



## BRIDGE DESIGN GUIDE

## (BDG 6.06.02)

| DRAWN BY: BLT | M[CHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION BUREAU OF HIGHWAY DEVELOPMENT | ISSUED: 02/14/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHECKED BY: <br> APPROVED BY $\qquad$ | SUBSTRUCTURE CLEARANCES RURAL STATE TRUNKLINES | SUPERSEDES:08/15/03 |



NOTES:

* MLN]MUX DIUENS[ON [S THE CLEAR ZONE DISTANCE GJVEN IN BR[DGE DESIGN GU[DE 6.06.05. USE THE MIDDLE OF RANGE AT THE APPROPRIATE DESIGN ADT. VHERE ROADWAY IS ON A CURVE NITH A RADJUS OF $2860^{\circ}$ OR LESS. DISTANCE TO TOE OF 1 ON 2 SLOPE SHOULD BE JNCREASED ON OUTSIDE OF CURVE PER BRIDGE DES[GN GUJOE 6.06.05A OR GUARDRA[L PROTECTJON OF SLOPE OR PJER SHOULD be provided.
+ IF distance to pjer or toe of 1 on 2 SLope is less than the clear zone distance provide GUARDRAJL PROTECTION OF PIER OR SLOPE.
approach slope facing traffic must be graded to 1 on 6 when the toe of the slope in front of the abutment is within the clear zone. see standard plan r-105-serjes.
** AT AUXILIARY LANE TAPER SEE BRIDGE DESIGN GULDE 6.06.01 AND CALCULATE CLEAR ZONE BASED ON THRU LANES. SEE SECTION 7.01 OF THE ROAD DESIGN MANUAL.
SECTIONS ARE APPLICABLE GENERALLY FOR STRUCTURES WITH APPROACHES ON F[LL OR WHEN DRAINAGE IS
 DITCH SECTION THROUGH STRUCTURE AS CALLED FOR ON EXPRESSNAY SECTION.
all dinensions are at richt angles to roadway


## BRIDGE DESIGN GUIDE

## (BDG 6.06.03)



## BRIDGE DESIGN GUIDE

## (BDG 6.06.04)

| DRAWN BY: BLT |  | ISSUED: 08/15/03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHECKED BY: VZ APPROVED BY: TGF | SUBSTRUCTURE CLEARANCES | SUPERSEDES: $11 / 27 / 01$ |


$90^{\circ}$ CROSSING OR MODERATELY SKEWED
COUNTY ROAD UNDER


CITY STREET UNDER
TRANSITION SLOPE AT FRONT OF ABUTMENT TO 1 ON 6 THPOUCH CONE APEAS IN ALL QUMORANTS. WHERE THERE IS NOT SUFFICIENT ROOM FOR 1 ON 6 SLOPES FOR FULL HEICHT OF EMBANMMENTS, BREAK
SLOPES STARTING WITH 1 ON 6 AT GROUND LINE AND EXTENDING TO INTERSECT THE 1 ON 2 SLOPES.
all dimensions are at right angles to county road.
**MINIMMM DIMENSION, MAY BE MODIFIED BY AGREEMENT WITH CITY OR SPECIAL CONDITIONS.


## DECK REPLACEMENT GUIDELINES

## MICHIGAN DESIGN MANUAL BRIDGE DESIGN - CHAPTER 7: LRFD

### 7.02.31 Deck Replacements (Cont.)

| CLEAR ROADWAY WIDTHS AND DESIGN LOADING FOR DECK REPLACEMENTS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Roadway |  | Minimum Clear Roadway Width | Minimum Design Loading |
| Non-Interstate Freeway |  | A, C | HS-20 |
| Interstate Freeway |  | B, C | HS-20 |
| Arterial <br> (Non-Freeway <br> Trunkline) | Rural | Exhibit 7-3. | HS-20 |
|  | Rural | Urban | Exhibit 6-6. |
| Local <br> (Non-Trunkline) | Rural | Exhibit 6-5., E | HS-20 |
|  | Urban | Exhibit 5-6. | HS-20 |

(A) The minimum clear roadway provided shall accommodate the pavement and full shoulders of the approach roadway or the minimum AASHTO requirements for lane and shoulder widths, whichever is greater.
(B) The minimum clear roadway provided shall accommodate the pavement and full shoulders of the approach roadway.
(C) For bridges in excess of $200^{\circ}-0^{\prime \prime}$ in length, where the nearest offset from the edge of traveled way to either curb or barrier is greater than $4^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ on the approaches, the nearest offset on the bridge shall be at least $4^{\prime}-0{ }^{\prime \prime}$ on each side. (12-5-2005)
(D) The minimum clear width on the bridge shall be the same as the curb-to-curb width of the street.
(E) The minimum clear roadway shall be the traveled way plus $1^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ to each curb face. However, consideration should be given to providing the same width as the curb-to-curb approach width if it is cost effective to do so.

## DECK REPLACEMENT GUIDELINES

## (BDM 7.02.31)

## MICHIGAN DESIGN MANUAL BRIDGE DESIGN - CHAPTER 7: LRFD

The tables shown below are derived from A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 2011, 6th Edition published by AASHTO and do not include clearances for bridge rail offiset. See the Bridge Design Guides for MDOT offset criteria. (7-20-2015) (3-21-2016)

| MINIMUM WIDTH OF TRAVELED WAY FOR RURAL ARTERIALS (FROM Exhibit 7-3.) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Traffic Volume (veh/day) |  |  |  |  |
| Design Speed(mph) | Under 400 | 400-1500 | 1500-2000 | over 2000 |
| Width of Traveled Way (ft)(a) |  |  |  |  |
| 40-45 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 24 |
| 50-55 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 24 |
| 60-75 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |


| MINIMUM CLEAR ROADWAY WIDTHS FOR RURAL ARTERIAL BRIDGES BEING |
| :---: | :---: |
| RECONSTRUCTED (FROM Exhibit 7-3.) |


| Design | Design Traffic Volumes (veh/day) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed(mph) | Under 400 | 400-1500 | 1500-20 | over 2000 |
|  | Width of Traveled Way (ft) |  |  |  |
| 20-30 | $20^{\text {(a) }}$ | 20 | 22 | 24 |
| 35-40 | $20^{\text {(a) }}$ | 22 | 22 | 24 |
| 45-50 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 24 |
| 55-60 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 24 |

On roadways to be reconstructed, a 22 ft traveled way may be retained where the alignment and safety records are satisfactory.
(a) A 18 ft minimum width may be used for roadways with design volumes under 250 veh/day.

## DECK REPLACEMENT GUIDELINES

## (BDM 7.02.31)

## MICHIGAN DESIGN MANUAL <br> BRIDGE DESIGN - CHAPTER 7: LRFD

Exhibit 6-6. MINIMUM ROADWAY WIDTHS FOR NEW AND RECONSTRUCTED BRIDGES
CARRYING RURAL COLLECTOR ROADS

| Design Traffic Volume(veh/day) | Minimum Roadway Width of Bridge | Design Loading Structural Capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400 and Under | Traveled way +2 ft (each side) | HS -20 |
| 400 to 1500 | Traveled way +3 ft (each side) | HS -20 |
| 1500 to 2000 | Traveled way +4 ft (each side) ${ }^{(a)}$ | HS -20 |
| over 2000 | Traveled way + shoulders ${ }^{(a)}$ | HS -20 |

Where the approach traveled way plus shoulders is surfaced, that surfaced width shall be carried across all structures.
a) For bridges in excess of 100 ft in length, the minimum width of traveled way plus 3 ft on each side will be acceptable.

| Exhibit 5-5. MINIMUM WIDTH OF TRAVELED WAY FOR LOCAL ROADS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Design Traffic Volumes (veh/day) |  |  |  |  |
| Design Speed(mph) | Under 400 | $400-1500$ | $1500-2000$ | over 2000 |  |
|  | 18 | Width of Traveled Way (ft) |  |  |  |
| 15 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 22 |  |
| $20-40$ | 20 | 20 | 22 | 24 |  |
| $45-50$ | 22 | 22 | 22 | 24 |  |
| $55-60$ | 22 | 24 | 24 |  |  |

Where the width of traveled way is shown as 24 ft , the width may remain 22 ft m on reconstructed
bridges where alignment and safety records are satisfactory.

| Exhibit $5-6 . ~ M I N I M U M ~ C L E A R ~ R O A D W A Y ~ W I D T H S ~ A N D ~ D E S I G N ~ L O A D I N G S ~ F O R ~ N E W ~ A N D ~$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| RECONSTRUCTED BRIDGES CARRYING RURAL LOCAL ROADS |  |  |  |

## VERTICAL CLEARANCE

## Bridge Design Manual, Section 7.01.08 Road Design Manual, Section 3.12

VERTICAL CLEARANCE REQUIREMENT TABLE (8-20-2009) (6-22-2015)

| Reufe Classilication Under the Structure | All Canstruction (Desiredt) | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { Canstruction } \\ \left(\text { Min }^{+}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Road 4R Construction (Min+) | Eridge 4R Construction (Min ${ }^{*}$ ) | 3R Canstruction (Min') |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freemays | 16:3* | 16:-9\% | 16:-9\% |  |  |
| NHS Acterials (Local 8 Trurkline) | $18^{\prime} \cdot 3^{*}$ | $16.0{ }^{\circ}$ | Maintain Existing ${ }^{+4}$ and $14^{\circ} \cdot \mathbf{- 0}^{\circ} \mathrm{Mr}$ | 16.-0\% | Maintain Existing" and $14^{-}-0^{-}$Min |
| Nan NHS Artarials (Local \& Trunkline) | 16:3' | 14-6 ${ }^{\circ}$ | Maintain Existing ${ }^{4}$ and $14^{\prime} \cdot$. $^{\circ} \mathrm{Mn}$ | Maintain Existing ${ }^{+}$ and 14'-6. Mn | Maintain Existing ${ }^{+1}$ and $14^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ Min |
| Collectors, Local Roads 8 Sperial Reules" ${ }^{11}$ | $14^{\prime} \cdot 9^{*}$ | 14.6 | Maintain Existing" ${ }^{*}$ and $14^{4}-0^{\circ} \mathrm{Mn}$ | Maintain Existing" and $14^{-}-6^{\circ} \mathrm{Mn}$ | Mainlain Existing" and $14^{-}-0^{-} \mathrm{Min}$ |

## 3R = Rehabilitation, Restoration, Resurfacing

- Minimum Vertical Clearance must be maintained over complete usable shoulder width.
** Existing vertical clearances greater than or equal to the minimums shown may be retained without a design exception. Vertical clearance reductions that fall below the minimums for new construction require a design exception. (6-22-2015)
... Existing vertical clearances may be retained (or increased) without a design exception unless a pattern of high load hits exist. Vertical clearance reductions below the standard (table value) require design exceptions. (5-27-2020)
(1) Special Routes are in Highly Urbanized Areas (where little if any undeveloped land exists adjacent to the roadway) where an altemate route of $16^{\prime}-\square^{\prime \prime}$ is available or has been designated. Bridges located over Special Routes in Highly Urbanized Areas can be found on the MDOT website at: http://mdotel.state.mi.us/public/design/files lenglishbridgemanual/Exempt Structures. pdf (5-28-2013)

Ramps and roadways connecting a Special Route and a $16^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ route require a vertical clearance minimum of $14^{\circ}-6^{*}$ (14 $-9^{*}$ desired). Ramps and roadways connecting two $16^{\circ}-0^{*}$ routes require a vertical clearance minimum of $16^{\prime}-0^{*}$ ( $16^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$ desired). (8-20-2009)

## $4 \mathbf{R}=\mathbf{R e c o n s t r u c t i o n}$

Information on the NHS systems can be obtained by contacting the Statewide Planning Section, Bureau of Transportation Planning or found on the MDOT website at
http://www.michigan,qov/mdol-nfc (11-28-2011)

Pedestrian bridges are to provide $1-0 "$ more underclearance than that required for a vehicular bridge. For Fraeways (Interstate and non Interstate), including Special Route Freeways, the desired underclearance shall be $17^{\prime}-3^{*}$ (minimum 17'-0"). (8-20-2009)

A vertical underclearance of $233^{\prime}-0^{*}$ is required for highway grade separations over railroads when constructing a new bridge or removing the existing superstructure. For preventative maintenance, rehabilitation and deck replacement projects the existing railroad vertical underclearance does not need to be increased unless requested by the Railroad. (11-28-2011)

Clearance signs are to be present for structures with underclearance of $16^{\circ}-0^{\circ}$ or less (show dimensions $2^{\prime}$ less than actual). See MDOT Traffic and Safety Siqn Design Placement, and Application Guidelines for additional information and guidelines.
(8-20-2009) (11-28-2011) (11-21-2013) (3-25-2019)

## 3R ROAD GUIDELINES

Design Guidelines for New/Reconstruct May not be Cost Effective

## Freeway

- RDM Section 3.11
(3R/4R Freeway Guidelines)
- 3R Freeway Allowances

Non-Freeway

- RDM Section 3.09
(Non-Freeway 3R Minimum Design Guidelines)


## 3R GUIDELINES

## Two Types of Non-Freeway 3R Guidelines

- NHS (National Highway System) - RDM 3.09.02A
- Non-NHS - RDM 3.09.02B


## 3R FREEWAY ALLOWANCES

## FHWA Letter:

## Michigan Division

315 W. Allegan Street, Room 201
Lansing, MI 48933
November 7, 2012
Mr. Gregory C. Johnson, P.E. Chief Operations Officer (B470) Michigan Department of Transportation Lansing, Michigan

## Dear Mr. Johnson:

Our office has recently revised our stance regarding minimum design speed to be used on Federal-aid freeway 3 R projects, for those roadways on which the posted speed limit has been increased. This policy change will be relevant to over 100 miles of freeway on which MDOT and MSP have posted increased speed limits in previous years.

The revised policy is as follows: As advised by our HQ office, an increase in posted speed limit on a given freeway segment would not be factored into project design speed for future $3 R$ projects. The 3R project could be designed using the design speed that had been established for the latest reconstruction of that road segment or, if none, then for the original freeway construction. Of course, all 3R projects regardless of design speed continue to be subject to the safety review specified in MDOT's 3R guidelines.
This determination is consistent with AASHTO's "A Policy on Design Standards Interstate System" dated January 2005 which FHWA has adopted as a standard. The AASHTO policy states, "The standards used for horizontal alignment, vertical alignment, and widths of median, traveled way, and shoulders for resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation projects may be the AASHTO interstate standards that were in effect at the time of original construction or inclusion into the interstate system." The effect of this recent change is to extend that approach to freeways off the Interstate as well.

The FHWA design speed requirement for 4 R projects continues to be the upwardly-revised speed limit. Additionally, a 3 R project that includes some spot or segment of 4 R construction would likewise have to use the higher design speed for the part of the project that includes the 4R work.

## 3R FREEWAY ALLOWANCES

## Design Speed:

"an increase in posted speed limit on a given freeway segment would not be factored into project design speed for future $3 R$ projects. The $3 R$ project could be designed using the design speed that had been established for the latest reconstruction of that road segment or, if none, then for the original freeway construction."

## 3R FREEWAY ALLOWANCES

## Geometric Design Elements:

"The standards used for horizontal alignment, vertical alignment, and widths of median, traveled way, and shoulders for resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation projects may be the AASHTO interstate standards that were in effect at the time of original construction or inclusion into the interstate system."

## 3R FREEWAY ALLOWANCES

## GEOMETRIC REQUIREMENTS FOR FREEWAY PROJECTS INVOLVING 3R WORK TYPES

| Geometric Design Element |  | Minimum Required Standard* | Compliance Determination |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Speed |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Horizontal Curve Radius (Rmin.) |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Longitudinal Grade (Min./Max.) |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Stopping Sight Distance (Horizontal and/or Vertical)) |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Lane Width |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Shoulder Width |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Superelevation |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Cross-Slope | (Excluding parabolic Parabolic cross-slopes still require a $\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DV}$ ) | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project <br> (Unless parabolic; Parabolic cross-slopes must be removed or a $D E / D V$ is required) | Compliance Assumed <br> (Unless parabolic; Parabolic cross-slopes must be removed or a $D E / D V$ is required) |
| Structural Capacity |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Vertical Clearance |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Acceleration/Deceleration Length |  | Existing Length | Compliance Assumed |

* If the project-wide Safety Review identifies a pattern of crashes associated with a particular design element (or elements), then that design element (or those elements) must be bought up to current standards (i.e. the existing design values may not be retained if they do not meet current standards).


### 3.09 .02 (continued)

## Non-Fr

## A. Non-Freeway, NHS



### 3.09.02 (continued)

## Non-Fr

| Geometric Elements | Non-Freeway, Non-NHS 3R Minimum Guidelines |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Speed | Posted Speed Minimum |  |  |
| Shoulder Width <br> NOTE: Minumum shoulder widths apply for posted speeds greater thant 45 mph . Restrictions such as right of way and roadside context senstivity issues may preclude the use of minimum shoulders within cify, village or township limits with posted speeds of 45 mph and less. | Current ADT Two-Way | Inside and Outside Shoulder Width |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 750 \\ 750-2000 \\ >2000 \end{gathered}$ | $2^{\prime-} 0^{\circ}$ (Gravel) <br> $3^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ (Paved) <br> $6^{\circ}-0^{*}\left(3^{3}-0^{*}\right.$ Paved) |  |
|  | MulE-Lane (Divided \& Undivided) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inside } \\ & \text { (Divided) } \end{aligned}$ | Outside <br> (Both sides for un-divided) |
|  |  | 3'-0* Paved | $6^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}\left(3^{3}-0^{*}\right.$ Paved) |
|  | See Bridge Design Manual Appendix 12.02 for Bridge Widths |  |  |
| Lane Width | ADT |  | Lane Width |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \leq 750 \\ & >750 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{\prime}-0^{*} \\ & 11^{\prime}-0^{*} \end{aligned}$ <br> $10^{6}-0^{*}$ lanes may be considered in urban areas for multi-lane un-divided (regardless of ADT) and multi-lane divided ( $A D T<10,000$ ). <br> $12^{2}-0^{*}$ lanes are desirable on the Priority Commercial Network (PCN) and the National Network (also known as the National Truck Network). Existing narrower lanes may be relained without Design Exceptions / Design Variances. Reduction of existing lane widths on the National Network to less than $12-0^{*}$ require a Design Exceptions / Design Variances request having a high burden of justification. |  |
| Design Loading Structural Capacity <br> (Existing Bridges to remain in place) | ADT <br> (Design Year) |  | hum Design Loading |
|  | 0.750 |  | H15 |
|  | > 750 |  | HS15 |
| Horizontal Curve Radius and <br> Stopping Sight Distance | Existing curve radius and stopping sight distance may be retained if the design speed of the existing curve is not more than 15 mph (horizontal) or 20 mph (vertical) below the project design speed and there is no crash concentration. Otherwise standards for new construction apply. See $20116^{\text {a }}$ Edition AASHTO Green Book or MDOT Sight Distance Guidelines. |  |  |
| Maximum Grade | Review crash data. Existing grade may be retained without crash concentration. |  |  |
| Cross Slopes | Traveled way $1.5 \%$ - $2 \%$, Shoulder see Section 6.05.05 |  |  |
| Superelevation Rate | Standard Plan R-107-Series or reduced maximum (6\%) Straight Line Superelevation Chart using the project design speed. |  |  |
| Vertical Clearance | See Section 3.12. |  |  |

## 3R GUIDELINES

Non-Freeway Safety Considerations (3.09.03)
Signing
Evaluation of Guardrail and Bridge Rail

## Tree Removal

(Crash Frequency, Curves, Sight Distance, Clear Zone, etc...)

Roadside Obstacles (Culvert Headwalls, Utility
Poles, etc...)

## 3R GUIDELINES

## Non-Freeway Safety Considerations (3.09.03)

## Cross Section Elements (Crown Location, Side Slopes)

## Crown Location:

Existing pavement crown point location may be retained on a project where the rate of resurfacing is less than $4^{\prime \prime}$ in thickness. Otherwise, standard crown location should be used.

## Side Slopes:

| Side Slopes |  |  | Current ADT Two-Way | Foreslope |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Twolane | $\leq 750$ | 1:3 |
|  |  | Two-Lane | > 750 | $1: 4$ |
|  |  |  | $\leq 10,000$ | 1:3 |
|  |  | Muti-Lane Undivided | > 10,000 | 1:4 |
|  |  | Multi-Lane Divided | All | 1:4 |

## 3R BRIDGE GUIDELINES

## MDOT Bridge Design Manual

## Chapter 12 - Most Design Elements

## Chapter 7 - Underclearance Requirements

## 3R BRIDGE GUIDELINES

## (BDM 12.05.01)

### 12.05.01

## Approved MDOT Railings

(5-1-2000) (11-25-2019) (9-28-2020)
Current MDOT approved railings are:
A. Bridge Barrier Railing, Type 6
(B-29-Series)
B. Bridge Barrier Railing, Type 7 (B-28-Series)
C. Bridge Railing, Aesthetic Parapet Tube (B-25-Series)
D. Bridge Railing, 2 Tube (B-21-Series)
E. Bridge Railing, Thrie Beam Retrofit (B-22\&23-Series)
F. Bridge Railing, 4 Tube (9-2-2003) (B-26-Series)
G. Bridge Railing, 3 Tube With Pickets (B-27-Series)
H. Bridge Railing, Concrete Block Retrofit (B-50-Series)
I. Bridge Barrier Railing, Type 6, Modified * (B-29-Series \& Bridge Design Guides)

* Type 6 modified (adhesive anchored) railing must only be used for non-NHS routes.


## 3R BRIDGE GUIDELINES

## (BDM Appendix 12.02)

| CLEAR ROADWAY WIDTHS AND DESIGN LOADING FOR <br> BRIDGES BEING REHABILITATED (3-26-2012) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Roadway |  | Minimum Clear Roadway Width | Minimum Design Loading |
| Non-Interstate Freeway | A, B | HS-20 |  |
| Interstate Freeway <br> Arterial <br> (Non-Freeway <br> Trunkline) | Rural | Urban | A, B |
|  | Rural | U | HS-20 |
|  | Urban | Exhibit 6-7. | HS-20 |
| Local <br> (Non-Trunkline) | Rural | Exhibit 6-5., E | HS-20 |
|  | Urban | Exhibit 5-7. | H 15 |

(A) As constructed.
(B) Consideration should be given to carrying the full shoulders of the approach roadway across the structure if it is cost effective to do 50 .
(C) The minimum clear roadway should accommodate the traveled way plus $2^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ on each side. (12-5-2005)
(D) The minimum clear width on the bridge shall be the same as the curb--to-curb width of the street.
(E) The minimum clear roadway shall be the traveled way plus $1^{1}-0$ " to each curb face. However, consideration should be given to providing the same width as the curb-to-curb approach width if it is cost effective to do so .

## 3R BRIDGE GUIDELINES

## (BDM Appendix 12.02)

The tables shown in this appendix are derived from A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 2011, 6th Edition, published by AASHTO and do not include clearances for bridge rail offset. See the Bridge Design Guides for MDOT offset criteria. (3-26-2012) (7-20-2015) (3-21-2016)

| Exhbit 6-7. STRUCTURAL CAPACITIES AND MINIMUM ROADWAY WIDTHS FOR BRIDGES BEING REHABILITATED CARRYING RURAL COLLECTOR ROADS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Traffic Volume(veh/day) | Design Loading Structural Capacity | Minimum Clear <br> Roadway Width ( ft$)^{(a)}$ |
| Under 400 400 to 1500 1500 to 2000 over 2000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } 15 \\ & \text { H } 15 \\ & \text { H } 15 \\ & \text { H } 15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 22 \\ & 24 \\ & 28 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

(a) Clear width between curbs or ralings, whichever is the lesser, shall be equal to or greater than the approach traveled way width, wherever practical.

The values in Exhibit 6-7. do not apply to structures with a total length greater than 100 ft . These structures should be analyzed individually by taking into consideration the clear width provided, safety, traffic volumes, remaining life of the structure, design speed, and other pertinent factors.

| Exhibit 6-5. Minimum WIDTH OF TRAVELED WAY FOR COLLECTOR ROADS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Design | Design Traffic Volumes (veh/day) |  |  |  |
| Speed(mph) | Under 400 | $400-1500$ | $1500-2000$ | over 2000 |
|  | Width of Traveled Way (ft) |  |  |  |
| $20-30$ | $20^{(a)}$ | 20 | 22 | 24 |
| $35-40$ | $20^{(a)}$ | 22 | 22 | 24 |
| $45-50$ | 20 | 22 | 22 | 24 |
| $55-60$ | 22 | 22 | 24 | 24 |

(a) A 18 ft minimum width may be used for roadways with design volumes under 250 veh/day.

On roadways to be reconstructed, a 22 ft traveled way may be retained where the alignment and safety records are satisfactory.

## 3R BRIDGE GUIDELINES

## (BDM Appendix 12.02)



| Exhibit 5-5. MINIMUM WIDTH OF TRAVELED WAY FOR LOCAL ROADS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Design Traffic Volumes (veh/day) |  |  |  |
| Design Speed(mph) | Under 400 | $400-1500$ | 1500 | -2000 |
| over 2000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18 | 20 | 20 | 22 |
| 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 24 |
| $20-40$ | 20 | 22 | 22 | 24 |
| $45-50$ | 22 | 22 | 24 | 24 |
| $55-60$ |  | Width of Traveled Way (ft) |  |  |

Where the width of traveled way is shown as 24 ft , the width may remain 22 ft m on reconstructed bridges where alignment and safety records are satisfactory.

## VERTICAL CLEARANCE

## Bridge Design Manual, Section 7.01.08 <br> Road Design Manual, Section 3.12 <br> VERTICAL CLEARANCE REQUIREMENT TABLE ( $8-20-2009$ ) (6-22-2015)

| Reufe Classification Under the Structure | All Canstuction (Desiredt) | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { Canstruction } \\ \left(\text { Min }^{+}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Road 4R } \\ & \text { Canstruction } \\ & \left(\text { Min }^{+}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Brictue 4R Construction ( $\mathrm{Min}^{*}$ ) | 3R Canstruction (Mn') |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freenays | 16:3* | 16-0\% | 16-0\% | $10^{\circ}-0^{\circ}$ | 16-0\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| NHS. Anterials (LDcal $\delta$ Trunkline) | $16^{1 / 3}$ | $10^{\circ}-0^{\circ}$ | Maintain Existing ${ }^{+1}$ and $14^{-}-\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\circ} \mathrm{Mn}$ | $10^{10 \%}$ | Maintain Existing" and 14-0 $0^{-}$Min |
| Nan NHS Attarials (Local \& Trunkline) | 16:3' | 14-6 | Maintain Existing" and $14^{\circ}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\circ} \mathrm{Mn}$ | Maintain Existing ${ }^{+}$ and $14^{-6} 6^{4} \mathrm{Mn}$ | Maintain Existing ${ }^{4 *}$ and $144^{\circ}-0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Min}$ |
| Collectors, Local Roads 8 Sperial Reuies ${ }^{14}$ | 14.9** | 14'6 ${ }^{\circ}$ | Maintain Existing" ${ }^{*}$ and $14^{-}-0^{\circ} \mathrm{Mn}$ | Maintain Existing" and $14^{-6} \mathrm{Gn}$ | Maintain Existing"* and $14^{-} 0^{-1}$ Min |

## 3R = Rehabilitation, Restoration, Resurfacing

- Minimum Vertical Clearance must be maintained over complete usable shoulder width.
* Existing vertical clearances greater than or equal to the minimums shown may be retained without a design exception. Vertical clearance reductions that fall below the minimums for new construction require a design exception. (6-22-2015)
... Existing vertical clearances may be retained (or increased) without a design exception unless a pattern of high load hits exist. Vertical clearance reductions below the standard (table value) require design exceptions. (5-27-2020)
(1) Special Routes are in Highly Urbanized Areas (where little if any undeveloped land exists adjacent to the roadway) where an alternate route of $16^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ is available or has been designated. Bridges located over Special Routes in Highly Urbanized Areas can be found on the MDOT website at http://mdotef.state.mi.us/public/design/files lenglishbridgemanual/Exempt Structures. pdf (5-28-2013)

Ramps and roadways connecting a Special Route and a $16^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ route require a vertical clearance minimum of $14^{\circ}-6^{*}$ (144-9 $9^{\prime}$ desired). Ramps and roadways connecting two $16^{\circ}-0^{*}$ routes require a vertical clearance minimum of $16^{\prime}-0^{*}$ ( $16^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$ desired). (8-20-2009)

## $4 \mathbf{R}=\mathbf{R e c o n s t r u c t i o n}$

Information on the NHS systems can be obtained by contacting the Statewide Planning Section, Bureau of Transportation Planning or found on the MDOT website at
http://www.michigan,qov/mdol-nfc (11-28-2011)

Pedestrian bridges are to provide $1-0 "$ more underclearance than that required for a vehicular bridge. For Freeways (Interstate and non Interstate), including Special Route Freeways, the desired underclearance shall be $17^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ (minimum 17'-0'). (8-20-2009)

A vertical underclearance of $23^{\prime}-0^{*}$ is required for highway grade separations over railroads when constructing a new bridge or removing the existing superstructure. For preventative maintenance, rehabilitation and deck replacement projects the existing railroad vertical underclearance does not need to be increased unless requested by the Railroad. (11-28-2011)

Clearance signs are to be present for structures with underclearance of $16^{\circ}-0^{\circ}$ or less (show dimensions $2^{\prime \prime}$ less than actual). See MDOT Traffic and Safety Siqn Design Placement, and Application Guidelines for additional information and guidelines.
(8-20-2009) (11-28-2011) (11-21-2013) (3-25-2019)


## QUESTIONS



## DESIGN SPEED

## DESIGN SPEED (RDM 3.06)

## Design Speed

- Selected Speed
- Used to Determine Various Geometric Design Features of the Roadway


## Once Selected...

- All Pertinent Design Features Should be Related to It to Obtain a Balanced Design


## DESIGN SPEED (RDM 3.06)



Design Roadway Geometrics for 4R Projects Based on an MDOT Recommended Project Design Speed 5 mph Greater than the Posted Speed

Research shows that Operating Speeds are Typically Greater than the Posted Speeds.

## DESIGN SPEED

## 3R / 4R Freeway Projects

Recommended Design Speed: 5 mph Greater than Posted Speed
Minimum Design Speed: The Greater of Posted Speed, or 70 mph
3R Freeway Allowance: The Design Speed at the Time of Construction or the Last 4R Project

## "Urban" Freeway Projects

Recommended Design Speed: 5 mph Greater than Posted Speed Minimum Design Speed: The Greater of Posted Speed, or 60 mph 3R Freeway Allowance: The Design Speed at the Time of Construction or the Last 4R Project

## Freeway Clear Zones



Design Speed - 70 mph

## DESIGN SPEED (RDM Appendix 3A)



## DESIGN SPEED Non-Freeway, NHS, 3R (3.09.02 A)

## MICHIGAN DESIGN MANUAL

ROAD DESIGN
3.09 .02 (continued)


## DESIGN SPEED

Non-Freeway, Non-NHS, 3R (3.09.02 B)
MICHIGAN DESIGN MANUAL ROAD DESIGN

### 3.09 .02 (continued)



Posted Speed Minimum

| of-way exiats to inctude shoulberz. At lower speedd, minimum shoulders are deairable. | Mult-Lane (Divided \&Undivided) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inside } \\ \text { (Divided) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Outside <br> (Both sides for un-divided) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3-0. Paved | $8^{1}-0^{\prime \prime}\left(33^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}\right.$ Paved) |
| Lane Width | ADT | Lane Wdth |  |
|  | 5750 | $10^{--8}$ |  |
|  | 750 | $11^{1-a^{\prime}}$ |  |
|  |  | 100-0" lanes may be considered in urban areas for multi-lane un-divided (regardless of ADT) and multi-lane divided (ADT $<10,000$ ). |  |
|  |  | $12^{-}-0^{*}$ lanes are desirable on the Prionity Commercial Network (PCN) and the National Netwock (also known as the National Truck Nehwork). Existing narrower lanes may be retained without design exceptions. Reduction of existing lane wioths onthe National Network to less than $12-0^{\prime}$ require a design exception request having a high burden of justification. |  |
| Bridge Width, Structural Capacity \& Horizontal Clearances | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADT } \\ \text { (Design Year) } \end{gathered}$ | Minimum Design Loading | Usable Width |
|  | 0-750 | H15 | Wiath of traveed way. |
|  | 751-1500 | HS15 | Wicth of traveled way. |
| (Existing Bridges to remain in place) | 1501-2000 | HS15 | Wdth of traveled way plus $\%$ each side |
|  | 2001-4000 | HS15 | Wdith of traveled way plus 2 each side. |
|  | $>4000$ | HS15 | Wdth of traveled way plus 3 each side |
| Horizontal / Vertical Alignment and Stopping Sight Distance |  |  |  |
| Grade | Review crash data. Evisting grade may be retained without crash concentration |  |  |
| Cross Stopes | Traveled way $1.5 \%-2 \%$, Shoulder see Section 6.05 .05 |  |  |
| Superelevation | Standard Plan R-107-Series or reduced maximum (e\%) Straight Line Superelevation Chart using the project design speed. |  |  |
| Vertical Clearance | See Section 3.12 |  |  |

## DESIGN SPEED (RDM 3.06)

## Geometric Design Elements that Do Not Meet Current Standards (or Allowances) Based on Minimum Design Speeds Require:

- A Formal Safety Review
- A Crash Analysis
- Documented Justification in the Form of:
- A Design Exception (Form DE26)
- A Design Variance (Form DV26)
> "If the highest attainable design corresponds to criteria for speeds less than the minimum design speed, a design exception or design variance must be submitted for approval."


# DESIGN SPEED (RDM 3.06) 

## Documentation Required for Each Geometric Element

## No Blanket Design Exceptions

A Design Speed Reduction for Individual Geometric Elements Does Not Redefine the Overall "Project Design Speed"

## DESIGN SPEED (RDM 3.06)

Additional Allowances to Retain Existing Horizontal and Vertical Alignments and Stopping Sight Distances Based on a Range of Reduced Design Speeds are Provided Under the 3R Non-Freeway Guidelines (3.09.02A \& 3.09.02B)


## QUESTIONS



## SIGHT DISTANCE

## SIGHT DISTANCE

## SIGHT DISTANCE GUIDELINES

According to the 2004 AASHTO, 2005 MMUTCD, and Michigan Department of Transportation Guidelines

## SIGHT DISTANCE

"Sight distance is the distance along a roadway throughout which an object of specified height is continuously visible to the driver. This distance is dependent on the height of the driver's eye above the road surface, the specified object height above the road surface, and the height and lateral position of sight obstructions within the driver's line of sight."

(2011 AASHTO, Section 3.2.6)

## SIGHT DISTANCE

## Four Types

Stopping Sight Distance
Passing Sight Distance

## Decision Sight Distance

Intersection Sight Distance

## SIGHT DISTANCE

## Stopping Sight Distance...

...is the minimum sight distance required along a roadway to enable a vehicle traveling at or near the design speed to stop before reaching a stationary object in its path.

(2011 AASHTO, Section 3.2.2)

## Brake Reaction Distance + Braking Distance

## SIGHT DISTANCE

## Stopping Sight Distance

BRAKE REACTION DISTANCE 1.47 Vt

BRAKING DISTANCE $1.075 \mathrm{~V}^{2} / \mathrm{a}$

$$
\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Design} \text { Speed (mph) }
$$

$t=$ Brake Reaction Time ( 2.5 seconds assumed)

$$
a=\text { Deceleration Rate }\left(11.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2} \text { assumed }\right)
$$

Simplified...

$$
\mathrm{SSD}=3.675 \mathrm{~V}+0.096 \mathrm{~V}^{2}
$$

## SIGHT DISTANCE



Exhibit 4 Parameters Considered in Determining the Length of a Crest Vertical Curve to Provide Sight Distance (2004 AASHTO, Exhibit 3-70, 268)

HEIGHT OF EYE 3.5 ft

HEIGHT OF OBJECT
2.0 ft

## SIGHT DISTANCE

| Design Speed (mph) | Brake Reaction Distance <br> (ft) | Braking Distance on Level (ft) | Stopping Sight Distance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Calculated <br> (ft) | Design (ft) |
| 15 | 55.1 | 21.6 | 76.7 | 80 |
| 20 | 73.5 | 38.4 | 111.9 | 115 |
| 25 | 91.9 | 60.0 | 151.9 | 155 |
| 30 | 110.3 | 86.4 | 196.7 | 200 |
| 35 | 128.6 | 117.6 | 246.2 | 250 |
| 40 | 147.0 | 153.6 | 300.6 | 305 |
| 45 | 165.4 | 194.4 | 359.8 | 360 |
| 50 | 183.8 | 240.0 | 423.8 | 425 |
| 55 | 202.1 | 290.3 | 492.4 | 495 |
| 60 | 220.5 | 345.5 | 566.0 | 570 |
| 65 | 238.9 | 405.5 | 644.4 | 645 |
| 70 | 257.3 | 470.3 | 727.6 | 730 |
| 75 | 275.6 | 539.9 | 815.5 | 820 |
| 80 | 294.0 | 614.3 | 908.3 | 910 |
| Exhibit 1. Stopping Sight Distance (2004 AASHTO Exhibit 3-1, 112 |  |  |  |  |

## SIGHT DISTANCE

## Horizontal Sightline Offset...

...is the minimum distance required between the roadside and an obstruction, measured from the centerline of the inside lane to the face of the obstruction.
(2011 AASHTO, Section 3.3.12)

## $\mathrm{HSO}=\mathrm{R}[1-\cos ((28.65 S) / \mathrm{R})]$

$\mathrm{R}=$ Radius of Curve (feet)
HSO $=$ Horizontal Sightline Offset (feet)
SSD $=$ Stopping Sight Distance $($ feet $)$

## SIGHT DISTANCE



## HEIGHT OF SIGHT LINE

2.75 ft

## SIGHT DISTANCE

## Decision Sight Distance...

"....is the distance required for a driver to detect an unexpected or otherwise difficult-to-perceive information source or condition in a roadway environment that may be visually cluttered, recognize the condition or its threat potential, select an appropriate speed and path, and initiate and complete complex maneuvers."
(2011 AASHTO, Section 3.2.3)

## SIGHT DISTANCE

## Decision Sight Distance

Avoidance Maneuvers
A and B
$\mathrm{d}=1.47 \mathrm{Vt}+1.075 \mathrm{~V}^{2} / \mathrm{a}$

## Avoidance Maneuvers

C, D, and E
$\mathrm{d}=1.47 \mathrm{Vt}_{\mathrm{m}}$

$$
\mathrm{V}=\text { Design Speed (mph) }
$$

$t=$ Pre-maneuver Time (See Exhibit 3-3)
$a=$ Deceleration Rate ( $11.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ assumed)
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}=$ Total Pre-Maneuver and Maneuver Time

HEIGHT OF EYE
3.5 ft

HEIGHT OF OBJECT
2.0 ft

## SIGHT DISTANCE

| Quick Chart for Decision Sight Distance |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Speed (mph) | Decision Sight Distance (ft) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Avoidance Maneuver |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | B | C | D | E |
| 30 | 220 | 490 | 450 | 535 | 620 |
| 35 | 275 | 590 | 525 | 625 | 720 |
| 40 | 330 | 690 | 600 | 715 | 825 |
| 45 | 395 | 800 | 675 | 800 | 930 |
| 50 | 465 | 910 | 750 | 890 | 1030 |
| 55 | 535 | 1030 | 865 | 980 | 1135 |
| 60 | 610 | 1150 | 990 | 1125 | 1280 |
| 65 | 695 | 1275 | 1050 | 1220 | 1365 |
| 70 | 780 | 1410 | 1105 | 1275 | 1445 |
| 75 | 875 | 1545 | 1180 | 1365 | 1545 |
| 80 | 970 | 1685 | 1260 | 1455 | 1650 |
| Avoidance Maneuver A: Stop on Rural Road - $(t=3.0 \mathrm{sec})$ <br> Avoidance Maneuver B: Stop on Urban Road $-(t=9.1 \mathrm{sec})$ <br> Avoidance Maneuver C: Speed/Path/Direction Change on Rural Road ( $t_{m}$ varies between 10.2 and 11.2 sec ) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avoidance Maneuver D: Speed/Path/Direction Change on Suburban Road ( $t_{m}$ varies between 12.1 and 12.9 sec ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avoidance Maneuver E: Speed/Path/Direction Change on Urban Road ( $t_{m}$ varies between 14.0 and 14.5 sec ) |  |  |  |  |  |

## SIGHT DISTANCE

## Passing Sight Distance...

...is the distance required for a passing vehicle to be able to see a sufficient distance ahead, clear of traffic, to complete the passing maneuver without cutting off the passed vehicle before meeting an opposing vehicle.

## (2011 AASHTO, Section 3.2.4)

Minimum Passing Sight Distance is the Sum of

## Four Distances

$$
d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}+d_{4}
$$

## SIGHT DISTANCE

## Passing Sight Distance $-d_{1}$

Distance Traversed During Perception and Reaction Time and During the Initial Acceleration to the Point of Encroachment on the Opposing Lane.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{d}_{1} & =1.47 t_{\mathbf{i}}\left[\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{m}+\left(\mathbf{a t}_{\mathbf{i}} / 2\right)\right] \\
t_{i} & =\text { Time of Initial Maneuver }(\mathrm{sec}) \\
\mathrm{a} & =\text { Average Acceleration }(\mathrm{mph} / \mathrm{s}) \\
\mathrm{v} & =\text { Average Speed of Passing Vehicle }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{m}=$ Difference in Speed of Passed Vehicle and Passing Vehicle (mph)

## SIGHT DISTANCE

## Passing Sight Distance $-\mathbf{d}_{2}$

Distance Traveled while the Passing Vehicle Occupies the Left Lane.

$$
d_{2}=1.47 \mathrm{vt}_{2}
$$

$\mathrm{t}_{2}=$ Time Passing Vehicle Occupies the Left Lane

$$
\mathrm{v}=\text { Average Speed of Passing Vehicle }
$$



## SIGHT DISTANCE

## Passing Sight Distance - $\mathbf{d}_{3}$

Distance Between the Passing Vehicle at the End of its Maneuver and the Opposing Vehicle

$$
d_{3}=100 \text { to } 250 \mathrm{ft}
$$

Length was Found in the Passing Study to Vary

## SIGHT DISTANCE

## Passing Sight Distance - $\mathrm{d}_{4}$

Distance Traversed by an Opposing Vehicle for Two-Thirds of the Time the Passing Vehicle Occupies the Left Lane, or $2 / 3$ of $\mathrm{d}_{2}$

$$
d_{4}=2 d_{2} / 3
$$



## SIGHT DISTANCE



## SIGHT DISTANCE

| Design Speed (mph) | Assumed Speeds (mph) |  | Passing Sight Distance <br> (ft) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Passed Vehicle | Passing Vehicle | From Exhibit 9 | Rounded for Design |
| 20 | 18 | 28 | 706 | 710 |
| 25 | 22 | 32 | 897 | 900 |
| 30 | 26 | 36 | 1088 | 1090 |
| 35 | 30 | 40 | 1279 | 1280 |
| 40 | 34 | 44 | 1470 | 1470 |
| 45 | 37 | 47 | 1625 | 1625 |
| 50 | 41 | 51 | 1832 | 1835 |
| 55 | 44 | 54 | 1984 | 1985 |
| 60 | 47 | 57 | 2133 | 2135 |
| 65 | 50 | 60 | 2281 | 2285 |
| 70 | 54 | 64 | 2479 | 2480 |
| 75 | 56 | 66 | 2578 | 2580 |
| 80 | 58 | 68 | 2677 | 2680 |

Exhibit 10 Passing Sight Distance for Design of Two-Lane Highways
(2004 AASHTO, Exhibit 3-7, 124)

HEIGHT OF EYE
3.5 ft

HEIGHT OF OBJECT
3.5 ft

## SIGHT DISTANCE

## Passing Sight Distance - Pavement Markings

Warrants for Placing No-Passing Zone Markings On
Existing and Proposed Highways

| 85th- <br> Percentile or <br> Posted or <br> Statutory <br> Speed Limit <br> (mph) | Minimum <br> Passing Sight <br> Distance <br> (ft) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 450 |
| 30 | 500 |
| 35 | 550 |
| 40 | 600 |
| 45 | 700 |
| 50 | 800 |
| 55 | 900 |
| 60 | 1000 |
| 65 | 1100 |
| 70 | 1200 |

Exhibit 12 Minimum Passing Sight Distances for Pavement Marking Criteria
(2011 MMUTCD, Table 3B-1, page 352)

## SIGHT DISTANCE

## Intersection Sight Distance...

...is the sight distance needed to allow the drivers of stopped vehicles to decide when to enter or cross an intersecting roadway. (2011 AASHTO, Section 9.5)


## SIGHT DISTANCE

## Intersection Sight Distance

$$
\mathrm{ISD}=1.47 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{V}=\text { Design Speed of Major Road (mph) }
$$

$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ Time Gap for Minor-Road Vehicle to Cross the Major Road (sec)

## SIGHT DISTANCE

| Design Vehicle | Time Gap (t) <br> (seconds) at Design Speed <br> of Major Road |
| :---: | :---: |
| Passenger Car | 7.5 |
| Single-Unit Truck | 9.5 |
| Combination Truck | 11.5 |
| Note Time gaps shown are for a stopped vehicle to turn left onto a two-lane road with no median and |  |
| approach grades of 3 percent or less. The table values require adjustment as follows: |  |
| For Two-Way Roadways with More than Two Lanes: |  |
| Add 0.5 seconds for passenger cars or 0.7 seconds for trucks for each additional lane, from the |  |
| left, in excess of one, to be crossed by the left-turning vehicle. |  |
| For Minor Road Approach Grades: <br> If the rear wheels of the design vehicle are located on an upgrade which exceeds 3 percent, <br> Add 0.2 seconds for each percent of grade. |  |

## Exhibit 16 Time Gap for Case B1 - Left-Turn from Stop

 (2004 AASHTO, Exhibit 9-54, 660)
## SIGHT DISTANCE

| Design Vehicle | Time Gap (t $\mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{g}}$ (seconds) at Design Speed |
| :---: | :---: |
| of Major Road |  |$|$| Passenger Car | 6.5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Single-Unit Truck | 8.5 |
| Combination Truck | 10.5 |
| Note: Time gaps shown are for a stopped vehicle to turn right onto or cross a two-lane road with no <br> median and approach grades of 3 percent or less. The table values require adjustment as follows: |  |
| For Roadways with More than Two Lanes: <br> For crossing a major road with more than two lanes, add 0.5 seconds for passenger cars or 0.7 <br> seconds for trucks for each additional lane to be crossed, and for narrow medians that cannot <br> store the design vehicle. |  |
| For Minor Road Approach Grades: <br> If the rear wheels of the design vehicle are located on an upgrade which exceeds 3 percent, <br> add 0.1 seconds for each percent of grade. |  |

Exhibit 19 (2004 AASHTO, Exhibit 9-57, 664)
Time Gap for Case B2 - Right-Turn from Stop and Case B3 - Crossing Maneuver

## SIGHT DISTANCE

Quick Charts for Intersection Sight Distance

| Design Speed <br> (mph) | Stopping Sight <br> Distance (ft) | Intersection Sight Distance <br> for Passenger Cars (ft) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calculated | Design |
| 15 | 80 | 165.4 | 170 |
| 20 | 115 | 220.5 | 225 |
| 25 | 155 | 275.6 | 280 |
| 30 | 200 | 330.8 | 335 |
| 35 | 250 | 385.9 | 390 |
| 40 | 305 | 441.0 | 445 |
| 45 | 360 | 496.1 | 500 |
| 50 | 425 | 551.3 | 555 |
| 55 | 495 | 606.4 | 610 |
| 60 | 570 | 661.5 | 665 |
| 65 | 645 | 716.6 | 720 |
| 70 | 730 | 771.8 | 775 |
| 75 | 820 | 826.9 | 830 |
| 80 | 910 | 882.0 | 885 |
| 7 |  |  |  |

Note: The given intersection sight distance values are for a stopped passenger car to turn left onto a two-lane road with no median and minor road approach grades of 3 percent or less. For other conditions, the sight distance must be recalculated.

Design Intersection Sight Distance - Case B1 - Left-Turn from Stop
(2004 AASHTO, Exhibit 9-55, 661)

## SIGHT DISTANCE

| Design Speed (mph) | Stopping Sight Distance (ft) | Intersection Sight Distance for Passenger Cars (ft) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calculated | Design |
| 15 | 80 | 143.3 | 145 |
| 20 | 115 | 191.1 | 195 |
| 25 | 155 | 238.9 | 240 |
| 30 | 200 | 286.7 | 290 |
| 35 | 250 | 334.4 | 335 |
| 40 | 305 | 382.2 | 385 |
| 45 | 360 | 430.0 | 430 |
| 50 | 425 | 477.8 | 480 |
| 55 | 495 | 525.5 | 530 |
| 60 | 570 | 573.3 | 575 |
| 65 | 645 | 621.1 | 625 |
| 70 | 730 | 668.9 | 670 |
| 75 | 820 | 716.6 | 720 |
| 80 | 910 | 764.4 | 765 |
| Note: The given intersection sight distances are for a stopped passenger car to turn right onto, or cross, a two-lane road with no median and minor road approach grades of 3 percent or less. For other conditions, the sight distance must be recalculated. |  |  |  |
| Design Intersection Sight Distance - Case B2 - Right-Turn from Stop and Case B3 - Crossing Maneuver (2004 AASHTO, Exhibit 9-58, 664) |  |  |  |

## SIGHT DISTANCE

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
3.5^{\prime} & \text { EYE HEI GHT } \\
3.5^{\prime} & \text { OBJECT HEI GHT }
\end{array}
$$


(5.5'T0 6. Ø' TYP.)

Figure 1. Measurement of Intersection Sight Distance


## QUESTIONS



Problem 1: Non-Freeway, Non-NHS Corridor with a 3R Work Type
Undivided, Two-Lane Roadway
$\mathrm{ADT}=12,500$
Level Terrain
Posted Speed Limit is 55 mph 2350' Radius Horizontal Curve
a). What is the minimum allowable design speed?
b). Minimum required Stopping Sight Distance?
c). Minimum required Horizontal Sightline Offset (HSO)?
d). Minimum required Intersection Sight Distance?

## Left-Turns:

Right-Turns/Crossing:

## Problem 1: Non-Freeway, Non-NHS Corridor with a 3R Work Type

(Solutions) Undivided, Two-Lane Roadway
ADT $=12,500$
Level Terrain
Posted Speed Limit is 55 mph
2350' Radius Horizontal Curve
a). What is the minimum allowable design speed?

```
55 mph (minimum)
(RDM 3.09.02B)
(60 mph still preferred, if feasible).
```

b). Minimum required Stopping Sight Distance?

$$
495 \text { ' for } 55 \mathrm{mph}
$$ (570'for 60 mph$)$

c). Required Horizontal Sightline Offset (HSO)?

```
13.0' for 55 mph
(17.3'for 60 mph)
```

d). Required Intersection Sight Distance?

## Left-Turns:

610'for 55 mph
(665'for 60 mph)
(MDOT Sight Distance Guidelines)
Right-Turns/Crossing: 530' for 55 mph
(575'for 60 mph$)$

## Problem 2: Non-Freeway, NHS Corridor with a 4R Work Type

 Divided Roadway, 36' Median Width, 3 Lanes in Each Direction ADT $=36,000$Level Terrain
Posted Speed Limit is 45 mph
1800’ Radius Horizontal Curve
a). What is the MDOT recommended design speed?
b). What is the minimum allowable design speed?
c). Required Stopping Sight Distance?
d). Required Horizontal Sightline Offset (HSO)?
e). Required Intersection Sight Distance?

* (Assume the Design Vehicle is a Passenger Car)
* (Further assume a design speed of 50 mph is utilized)


## Left-Turns:

Right-Turns/Crossing:

Problem 2: Non-Freeway, NHS Corridor with a 4R Work Type
(Solutions) $\quad \mathrm{ADT}=36,000$
Level Terrain
Posted Speed Limit is 45 mph
1800’ Radius Horizontal Curve
a). What is the MDOT recommended design speed?

```
50 mph
(RDM 3.06)
```

b). What is the minimum allowable design speed?

$$
45 \mathrm{mph}
$$

(RDM Appendix 3A)
c). Required Stopping Sight Distance?

```
425, (50 mph design speed.)
360'(45 mph design speed)
```

d). Required Horizontal Sightline Offset (HSO)?
12.5’ (50 mph design speed)
9.0' $(45$ mph design speed $)$ (MDOT Sight Distance Guidelines)
e). Required Intersection Sight Distance?

* (Assumed Design Vehicle is a Passenger Car)
* (Assumed design speed is 50 mph )


## Right-Turns/Crossing:

$(6.5$ sec. $)(1.47)(50)=480$ 'for Right-Turn Movement
(MDOT Sight Distance Guidelines)
$(6.5 \mathrm{sec} .+0.5 \mathrm{sec}).(1.47)(50)=515$ ' for Crossing Movement

- Use 515' to cover both movements

Left-Turns/Crossing:
$(6.5$ sec. +0.5 sec. $)(1.47)(50)=515$ ' for Crossing Movement
(MDOT Sight Distance Guidelines)
$(7.5 \mathrm{sec}).(1.47)(50)=555^{\prime}$ for Left-Turn Movement

- Use 555' to cover both movements


## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT



# Major Factor in Determining 

## Safety

## Driving Comfort

Highway Capacity

## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

## Important Factors to Consider...

Passing Sight Distance on Two-Lane, Two-Way Roadways Should be Maximized

Curves Should be as Flat as Possible and Abrupt Changes in Alignment Avoided

## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

## Important Factors to Consider...

Broken Back Curves Should be Avoided

Minimum Distance Between Curves Should be the Sum of the Transitions Plus Crown Runout Lengths

## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

## Minimum Radius

- Limiting Value of Curvature for a Given Design Speed
- Determined from the Maximum Rate of Superelevation and the Maximum Side Friction Factor
- To be Avoided Wherever Practical


## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

## Minimum Radius

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\min }=\frac{\mathrm{V}^{2}}{15\left(0.01 e_{\max }+f_{\max }\right)}
$$

$\mathrm{R}=$ Radius (feet)
$\mathrm{V}=$ Design Speed (mph)
$e=$ Rate of Superelevation (\%)
$f=$ Side Friction Factor (From AASHTO)

## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

## Minimum Radius



## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

## Minimum Radius

Straight
Line
Method


## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

## Minimum Curve Length

Minimum

15 x Design Speed

Preferred $30 \times$ Design Speed



## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

## Compound Curves



Use With Caution


Open Highway - Ratio of Flatter Radius to Sharper Radius 1.5 to 1

Ramps - Ratio of Flatter Radius to Sharper Radius 2 to 1

## Sharper Curves in Advance of Flatter Curves

## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

## Remember the Four Types...

## Stopping Sight Distance

Passing Sight Distance
Decision Sight Distance
Intersection Sight Distance
"The designer must be aware that both horizontal and vertical alignments need to be considered when designing for sight distance."

## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

## Stopping Sight Distance

$$
\mathrm{SSD}=\frac{\mathrm{R} \cos ^{-1}\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{HSO}}{\mathrm{R}}\right)}{28.65}
$$

## Horizontal Sightline Offset

$$
\mathrm{HSO}=\mathrm{R}[1-\cos ((28.65 \mathrm{SSD}) / \mathrm{R})]
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { R = Radius of Curve (feet) } \\
\text { HSO }=\text { Horizontal Sightline Offset (feet) } \\
\text { SSD }=\text { Stopping Sight Distance }(\text { feet })
\end{gathered}
$$

## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT



HEIGHT OF SIGHT LINE
2.75 ft

COMPONENTS FOR DETERMINING HORIZONTAL SICHT DISTANCE

## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

| Design Speed | Stopping Sight Distance <br> (Design) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 155 |
| 30 | 200 |
| 35 | 250 |
| 40 | 305 |
| 45 | 360 |
| 50 | 425 |
| 55 | 495 |
| 60 | 570 |
| 65 | 645 |
| 70 | 730 |
| 75 | 820 |

## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

## Intersection Sight Distance

- Generally, 7.5 Seconds of Entering Sight Distance is Used
- Passenger Vehicle Stopped on a Minor Road, Grade $\mathbf{3 \%}$ Max, Turning Left on a Two-Lane Roadway
- Additional 0.5 Seconds Added for Each Lane


## Clear Vision

For At Grade Intersections it is Very Important for Safety Reasons, Particularly on High Speed Trunklines

## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT



## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

## Spirals




IRAL TRANSITIONS SHOULD BE USED ON NEW ALIGNMENTS, BASED ON E deSign speed of the curve and the radius as shown in the bLE. THE TABLE GIVES THE MAXIMUM RADIUS IN WHICH A SPIRAL OULD BE USED.


## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

## Standard Plan R-107

| SPIRAL CURVE TRANSITIONS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DESIGN } \\ & \text { SPEED } \\ & \text { (MPH) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MAXIMUM } \\ & \text { RADIUS } \\ & (\text { FEET } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { DEIGN } \\ & \text { SPEED } \\ & \text { (MPH) } \end{aligned}$ | MAX [MUM RADIUS (FEET) |
| 30 | 456 | 60 | 1822 |
| 35 | 620 | 65 | 2138 |
| 40 | 810 | 70 | 2479 |
| 45 | 1025 | 75 | 2846 |
| 50 | 1265 | 80 | 3238 |
| 55 | 1531 | ¢ | ¢\%) |

## HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

- Horizontal Deflections
- Undesirable - Should be Avoided Wherever Practical
- Should Not be Used on New Construction
- Should be Limited to 3R Jobs (i.e. Existing Deflections)
- Should be Limited to Low-Speed Roads (i.e. Posted Speeds of 45 mph or Less)
- Deflections Should Not Exceed the Rates Given in Geometric Design Guide GEO-650 (i.e. $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{W}^{*} \mathrm{~V}$ or $1 /$ Design Speed)



## QUESTIONS



## VERTICAL ALIGNMENT

## VERTICAL ALIGNMENT

## Based on Several Factors

Design Speed
Existing Terrain
Drainage Considerations
Bridge Elevations \& Locations
Cross Road Elevations \& Locations
Earthwork Balance
Coordination with Horizontal Alignment

## VERTICAL ALIGNMENT



Establishes the Profile Grade of the Roadway
Two Basic Components

## GRADES

## Maximum Grades Depend On :

- Functional Class of the Roadway
- Urban or Rural
- Design Speed
- Terrain
- Scope of Work



## GRADES

## Minimum Grades: (RDM 3.03.02D)

- Typically Dictated by/Related to Drainage Considerations
- Uncurbed Roadways
- Minimum Longitudinal Grade of 0\% (level) Acceptable
- Independent Ditches When Grade < 0.30\%
- Curbed Roadways
- Minimum Longitudinal Grade of $0.30 \%$
- Desirable Minimum of $0.50 \%$


## GRADES <br> (RDM Appendix 3A)

Appendix 3A
GEOMETRIC DESIGN ELEMENTS New Construction / Reconstruction

|  |  | Maximum Grade (\%) for specified design speed (mph) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| त | Type of Terrain | 50 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 65 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 75 |  |  |
| 3 | Level | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| ¢ | Rolling | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
|  |  | Grades 1\% steeper may be provided in urban areas. Curbed roadway 0.3\% min, $0.5 \%$ desirable minimum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Type of | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 30 |  | 35 | 40 |  | 45 | 50 |  | 55 | 60 |  | 40 | 45 |  | 50 | 55 |  | 60 |
|  | Level | 8 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |
|  | Rolling | 9 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 4 |
|  |  | Curbed roadway 0.3\% min , 0.5\% desirable minimum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Type of | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Terrain | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 |
|  | Level | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
|  | Rolling | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 |

Stopping Sizint Follow current edition of AASHTO "A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets" (AKA AASHTO Green Book). The MDOT Sight Dist Distance Cross Slope

Superelevation es also provide detaled information on sight distance calculation
Traverinacross slope $=2.0 \%$, Paved shoulder cross slope $=4.0 \%$ (Also see Section 6.05.05)

AASHTO Method 1 "Straight Linemvn_or (see Section 3.04.03)


|  | NHS | Non NHS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway | $16^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 14'-6" |
| Non Freeway (Arterial) | $16^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $14^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Collectors \& "Special Routes" | $14^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ ( 1 ft greater than Michigan legal vehicle height.) | $14^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ |
| For pedestrian bridges provide 1 ft . additional clearance over non-freeway and 17 ft . minimum under clearance over freeways. A vertical clearance of $23^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ is required for grade separations over railroads. (See Bridge Design Manual 7.01.08 and Bridge Design Guides 5.24.03-04.) |  |  |

Horizontal
Clearance /
See definition of terms in this chapter. Also, see Bridge Design Guides, Section 6
Bridge Width

## GRADES



Tangent Grade Lines are Connected \& Smoothed Out by Use of Parabolic Vertical Curves.

## VERTICAL CURVES (RDM 3.03.02)



## VERTICAL CURVES (RDM 3.03.02)


$\mathrm{A}=$ Algebraic Difference in Gradients, $\mathrm{g}_{2}-\mathrm{g}_{1}$ (In Percent)
$\mathrm{L}=$ Total Length of Vertical Curve (In Feet)
K = Rate of Vertical Curvature, L/A
VPC $=$ The Vertical Point of Curvature
VPI = The Vertical Point of Intersection
$\mathrm{VPT}=$ The Vertical Point of Tangency

## VERTICAL CURVES (RDM 3.03.02)

## AASHTO Controls (Crest)

Based on Stopping Sight Distance
Minimum Length Must Provide Sight Distance S
Assumes 3.5’ \& 2.0' Eye/Object Heights


Figure 1.0: Sight Distance Possibilities

## SIGHT DISTANCE



Exhibit 3-70. Parameters Considered in Determining the Length of a Crest Vertical Curve to Provide Sight Distance

HEIGHT OF EYE 3.5 ft

HEIGHT OF OBJECT
2.0 ft

## CREST VERTICAL CURVES

$$
\mathrm{S}<\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{~L}=\frac{\mathrm{AS}^{2}}{100\left(\sqrt{2 \mathrm{~h}_{1}}+\sqrt{2 \mathrm{~h}_{2}}\right)^{2}}
$$

$$
(3-41)
$$

S $>\mathbf{L}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=2 \mathrm{~S}-\frac{200\left(\sqrt{\mathrm{~h}_{1}}+\sqrt{\mathrm{h}_{2}}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{~A}} \tag{3-42}
\end{equation*}
$$

L = Length of Vertical Curve (ft) $\quad \mathrm{S}=$ Sight Distance (ft)
A = Algebraic Difference in Grades (percent) $\quad h_{1}=$ Height of Eye Above Roadway Surface (ft)

$$
\mathrm{h}_{2}=\text { Height of Object Above Roadway Surface (ft) }
$$

## VERTICAL CURVES

## AASHTO Controls (Crest)

$$
\mathbf{S}<\mathbf{L}
$$


$(3-43)$


$$
\mathrm{L}=2 \mathrm{~S}-\frac{2158}{\mathrm{~A}}
$$

S $>\mathbf{L}$

## SSD CREST CURVES

| US Customary |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stopping <br> Design <br> speed <br> sight <br> (mph) | distance <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Rate of vertical <br> curvature, $K^{a}$ |  |
| 15 | 80 | Calculated | Design |  |
| 20 | 115 | 3.0 | 3 |  |
| 25 | 155 | 6.1 | 7 |  |
| 30 | 200 | 11.1 | 12 |  |
| 35 | 250 | 29.0 | 19 |  |
| 40 | 305 | 43.1 | 29 |  |
| 45 | 360 | 60.1 | 44 |  |
| 50 | 425 | 83.7 | 81 |  |
| 55 | 495 | 113.5 | 114 |  |
| 60 | 570 | 150.6 | 151 |  |
| 65 | 645 | 192.8 | 193 |  |
| 70 | 730 | 246.9 | 247 |  |
| 75 | 820 | 311.6 | 312 |  |
| 80 | 910 | 383.7 | 384 |  |

## VERTICAL CURVES AASHTO Controls (Sag)

- Based on Headlight Illumination Sight Distance
- Minimum Length Must Provide Adequate Sight Distance
- Assumes 2.0’ Object Height

- Assumes 2.0’ Headlight Height with $1{ }^{\circ}$ Upward Divergence of Light Beam


## SAG VERTICAL CURVES

(AASHTO Equations 3-47 through 3-50)

## When S < L

$$
\mathrm{L}=\frac{\mathrm{AS}^{2}}{200\left[2.0+\mathrm{S}\left(\tan 1^{\circ}\right)\right]} \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{\mathrm{AS}^{2}}{400+3.5 \mathrm{~S}}
$$

## When $\mathrm{S}>\mathrm{L}$

$$
\mathrm{L}=2 \mathrm{~S}-\frac{200\left[2.0+\mathrm{S}\left(\tan 1^{\circ}\right)\right]}{\mathrm{A}} \text { or } 2 \mathrm{~S}-\frac{400+3.5 \mathrm{~S}}{\mathrm{~A}}
$$

L = Length of Sag Vertical Curve (ft) $\quad \mathrm{S}=$ Light Beam Distance ( ft ) A = Algebraic Difference in Grades (percent)

## SSD SAG CURVES

| US Customary |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design speed (mph) | Stopping sight distance | Rate of vertical curvature, $K^{a}$ |  |
|  | (ft) | Calculated | Design |
| 15 | 80 | 9.4 | 10 |
| 20 | 115 | 16.5 | 17 |
| 25 | 155 | 25.5 | 26 |
| 30 | 200 | 36.4 | 37 |
| 35 | 250 | 49.0 | 49 |
| 40 | 305 | 63.4 | 64 |
| 45 | 360 | 78.1 | 79 |
| 50 | 425 | 95.7 | 96 |
| 55 | 495 | 114.9 | 115 |
| 60 | 570 | 135.7 | 136 |
| 65 | 645 | 156.5 | 157 |
| 70 | 730 | 180.3 | 181 |
| 75 | 820 | 205.6 | 206 |
| 80 | 910 | 231.0 | 231 |

## VERTICAL ALIGNMENT

## General Controls

- Minimum Desirable Length of Vertical Curves Should be 3 X Design Speed
- Smooth Grade Line with Gradual Changes
- Avoid
- Hidden Dips/Roller Coaster Profile
- Avoid Broken Back Vertical Curves
- Desirable to Reduce Grades at At-Grade Intersections on Roadways with Moderate to Steep Grades


## VERTICAL ALIGNMENT

## General Controls (Continued)

- Sag Vertical Curves Should Be Avoided in Cuts Unless Adequate Drainage Can Be Provided


## Feathering (RDM 6.03.11C)

- Where Discontinuing HMA Resurfacing...
...Transition at a Rate of $3 / 4$ " Vertical per $25^{\prime}$ Linear


## VERTICAL ALIGNMENT

- Vertical Deflections
- Undesirable - Should be Avoided Wherever Practical
- Should Not be Used on New Construction
- Should be Limited to 3R Jobs
- Should be Limited to Low-Speed Roads (i.e. Posted Speeds of 45 mph or Less)
- Maximum 1\% Algebraic Grade Differential



## QUESTIONS



## COORDINATION OF HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ALIGNMENT

## COORDINATION OF HORIZONTAL \& VERTICAL ALIGNMENT

- Curve and Grade Should be in Proper Balance
- Vertical Curvature Imposed on Horizontal Curvature or Vice Versa
- Sharp Horizontal Curvature Should Not Be Introduced At or Near the Top of a Pronounced Crest Curve



## COORDINATION OF HORIZONTAL \& VERTICAL ALIGNMENT

- Sharp Horizontal Curvature Should Not Be Introduced At or Near the Bottom of a Steep Grade Near the Low Point of a Pronounced Sag Curve
- Horizontal Curvature and Profile Should Be Made as Flat as Possible at Intersections

Ramps

- Design Speed of Vertical Alignment Must Meet or Exceed Design Speed of Horizontal Alignment


## PLAN



Tangent Alignment

PROFILE


Avoid designing little local dips in an otherwise long, uniform grade. These dips usually result from a desire to balance cut and fill and to reduce overhaul.

Profile with tangent alignment

$$
-A-
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PROFILE } \\
& \text { This combination is deficient for two reosons. The tangent between } \\
& \text { the curves is too short, and the reverse occurs on a crest. } \\
& \text { Short tangent on a crest between two horizontal curves } \\
& \text {-D- }
\end{aligned}
$$

## PLAN



This combination presents a poor appearance - the horizontal curve looks like a sharp angle.

Sharp angle appearance

$$
-E-
$$

## PLAN



PROFILE


When horizontal and vertical curves coincide, a very satisfactory oppearonce results.

Coinciding curves in horizontal and vertical dimension

$$
-\mathrm{F}-
$$



Very long flat curves, even where not required by a design speed and regardless of profile, also have a pleasing appearance when the central angle is very small.

Horizontal alignment with small central angles. - $\mathrm{H}-$


The upper line is an example of poor design because the alignment consists of a long tangent with short curves, whereas the balance between the curves and tangents in the lower alignment is the preferred design.

Horizontal alignment should be balanced


## QUESTIONS



## LANE WIDTH

## LANE WIDTH

## Lane Width Impacts

Driver's Safety and Comfort

- Wider Lanes Provide for More Desirable Lateral Clearance (Especially Commercial Vehicles on Two-Lane, Two-Way Roads)

Highway Level of Service


- Narrow Lanes Force Drivers to Operate with Less than Desirable Lateral Clearances Between Opposing Traffic, Adjacent Traffic and Roadside Obstacles


## LANE WIDTH

## Lane Width Impacts

## Highway Capacity (Two Lane Rural Roads)

- 12' Lane Width (or More) - Usually Will Not Reduce Capacity
- 11' Lane Width - Capacity Reduction of 7\%
- 10’ Lane Width - Capacity Reduction of 16\%

Additional Costs to Provide 12' Lanes Over Narrower
Lanes is Partially Offset by Reduced Surface and Shoulder Maintenance

## LANE WIDTH

## Appendix 3A

GEOMETRIC DESIGN ELEMENTS
New Construction / Reconstruction


## 3R FREEWAY ALLOWANCES

## GEOMETRIC REQUIREMENTS FOR FREEWAY PROJECTS INVOLVING 3R WORK TYPES

| Geometric Design Element |  | Minimum Required Standard* | Compliance Determination |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Speed |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Horizontal Curve Radius (Rmin.) |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Longitudinal Grade (Min./Max.) |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Stopping Sight Distance (Horizontal and/or Vertical)) |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Lane Width |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Shoulder Width |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Superelevation |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Cross-Slope | (Excluding parabolic Parabolic cross-slopes still require a $\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DV}$ ) | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project <br> (Unless parabolic; Parabolic cross-slopes must be removed or a $D E / D V$ is required) | Compliance Assumed <br> (Unless parabolic; Parabolic cross-slopes must be removed or a $D E / D V$ is required) |
| Structural Capacity |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Vertical Clearance |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Acceleration/Deceleration Length |  | Existing Length | Compliance Assumed |

* If the project-wide Safety Review identifies a pattern of crashes associated with a particular design element (or elements), then that design element (or those elements) must be bought up to current standards (i.e. the existing design values may not be retained if they do not meet current standards).


## LANE WIDTH

## Non-Freeway, NHS, 3R (3.09.02 A)



## LANE WIDTH

## Non-Freeway, Non-NHS, 3R (3.09.02 B)



## LANE WIDTH

## Freeway Ramps:

- 16' Lane Width Used for One-Lane Ramps
- 12' Lane Width Used for "Slip" Ramps
- 12’ Lane Widths Used for Multi-Lane Ramps
- Greater Lane Widths May Be Required to Accommodate Off-Tracking of Large Vehicles on Small Radius Curves


## Collector-Distributor (C-D) Roads:

- 16’ Lane Width Used for One-Lane C-D Roads
- 12' Lane Widths Used for Multi-Lane C-D Roads


## LANE WIDTH



Note: $\mathrm{A}=$ predominantly P vehicles, but some consideration for SU trucks.
$B=$ sufficient SU vehicles to govern design, but some consideration
for semitrailer combination trucks.
$C=$ sufficient bus and combination-trucks to govern design.
Note: $\mathrm{A}=$ predominantly P vehicles, but some consideration for SU trucks
$B=$ sufficient $S U$ vehicles to govern design, but some consideration for semitrailer combination trucks.
$C=$ sufficient bus and combination-trucks to govern design
Exhibit 3-51. Design Widths of Pavements for Turning Roadways


## QUESTIONS



## SHOULDER WIDTH

## SHOULDER WIDTH

## Advantages of Using Paved or Improved Shoulders

- Accommodates Stopped Vehicles
- Provides Increased Lateral Clearance
- Provides Lateral Support for Subbase, Base, and Surface Courses
- Provides for Mail Delivery, Bus Stops, Possible Bike Paths
- Sight Distance is Improved in Cut Section, Thereby Potentially Improving Safety
- Space is Provided for Maintenance Operations such as Snow

Removal and Storage

## SHOULDER WIDTH

- Shoulder - Measured from the edge of the traveled way to the intersection of the shoulder slope and foreslope planes (the hinge point).

- Hinge Point - The point of intersection between the shoulder slope and the foreslope.


## SHOULDER WIDTH

- Shoulder Drop-Off - Condition where edge of pavement is higher than the abutting shoulder
- Shoulder Ribbon - Paved shoulder (usually HMA material) placed normally on a two-lane, two-way roadway, typically 3 ' wide, used to mitigate shoulder drop-off.


## Usable Shoulder - (AASHTO 2011 Definition)

"...the actual width that can be used when a driver makes an emergency or parking stop."
May include rounding at hinge point if foreslope is 1:4 or flatter. Valley gutter and the gutter pan of Type G curb \& gutter can be considered part of the useable shoulder.
Gutter pans of mountable curb \& gutter types (Types B \& D) may be considered part of the usable shoulder width where constrained conditions exist.

## SHOULDER WIDTH



To Construct Hard Surfaced Shoulders Adjacent to Travel Lanes on State Trunklines


To Place a Strip of Aggregate (Gravel) Between the Edge of Paved Shoulder and the Shoulder Hinge Point (minimum 1' in width) for Stabilization

## SHOULDER WIDTH

New Urban or Rural Construction Projects Should Include Full Shoulders, Where Practical

Flush Shoulders are Required for New Urban Freeways, however, this May Not Apply to Urban Freeway Reconstruction


## SHOULDER WIDTH

## Freeway Design Criteria

Road Design Manual (Appendix 3A \& Appendix 6A) (section 3.11.01)

- Standards Do Not Differ between New Construction and Reconstruction. An Allowance is Provided for 3R Work Types.
- 3 or More Lanes Directional - Median Shoulder Width Should be the Same as the Right Shoulder Width
- Truck Traffic Exceeds 250 DDHV - Use 12’ Paved Width Shoulders on Non-Interstate Freeways; Consider Using 12’ Paved Width Shoulders on Interstate Freeways (Confer with Geometrics Unit)
- Ramp Gores Should be Paved to the 22’ Point


## SHOULDER WIDTH

## Appendix 3A



## SHOULDER WIDTH

Non-Freeway Design Criteria
Road Design Manual Appendix 3A (4R) \& 3.09.02 (3R)


## Widths are Determined by

Type of Work: 4R or 3R
Highway Classification
Average Daily Traffic
Design Speed

## SHOULDER WIDTH

## Non-Freeway, 4R (Appendix 3A)



## SHOULDER WIDTH

## Non-Freeway, 3R, NHS

(3.09.02 A)


## SHOULDER WIDTH

## Non-Freeway, 3R, Non-NHS

(3.09.02 B)

| 3.09.02 (continued) | MICHIGAN DESIGN MANUAL ROAD DESIGN |  |  |  | Inside and Outside Shoulder Width |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shoulder Width <br> Minimum shoulder widths apply for: <br> Rural: Posted speeds greater than 45 mph . <br> Urban: Posted speeds greater than 45 mph where sufficient right-of-way exists to include shoulders. At lower speeds, minimum shoulders are desirable. |  |  | Current ADT Two-Way |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $2^{\prime}-0$ " (Gravel) |
|  |  |  |  | $750-2000$ |  | 3'-0" (Paved) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -0" (3'00' Paved) |
| Lane Wath |  |  |  | Multi-Lane (Divided \& Undivided) | Inside (Divided) | Outside <br> (Both sides for un-divided) |
|  |  |  |  | 3'-0' Paved | $6^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}\left(3^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}\right.$ Paved) |
|  |  |  | Usable Went |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\xrightarrow{0 .-750}$ | $\underset{\text { H15 }}{\substack{\text { H515 }}}$ | Went of taves way |  |  |  |
|  | - | ${ }_{\text {HSt15 }}$ | Weth of traneded way pus |  |  |  |
|  | 2001-4000 | HS15 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $>4000$ | HS15 | Weth of trovetes way $p$ lus 3 each 5 bie |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Horizontal / Vertical } \\ \text { Alignment and Stopping } \\ \text { Sight Distance } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Crass }}{\text { copees }}$ | Review crash data. Evisting grade may be retained without crash concentration. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Superelevation | Standard Plan R-107-Series or reduced maximum (6\%) Straight Line SuperelevationChart using the project design speed. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Veritial cleasane | See Section 3.12 |  |  |  |  |  |

## SHOULDER WIDTH

Adjacent to Truck Climbing Lanes and Passing Relief Lanes Road Design Manual 3.09.05

Adjacent to Right Turn Lane

## 4'/(3' Paved) Shoulder or Curb \& Gutter

Adjacent to Auxiliary Lanes of 1.0 Mile or Less (Freeway)
2011 AASHTO Page 10-76

SHOULDER WIDTHS

| APPLICATION | MINIMUM REQUIRED SHOULDER WIDTH | REFERENCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3RI4R Frocemay (Typical Wadth) | Variabie: As specifed in the Michigan Road Design Marual: <br> Chapter 3, Appendix 3-A; <br> Chapter E, Appendix 6.A | Michigan Road Design Manual: <br> Chapter 3, Appendix 3-A; <br> Chapter Ei, Appendix 6.A |
| 4R Non-Freeway (Typical Width) | Variatile: As specifed in the Micrigan Road Deslign Manual: Chapter 3. Appendix 3-A. | Michigan Rood Design Manual: Chapter 3, Appendix 3.A. |
| 3R Non-Freeway, NHS (Typical Width) | Variatia: As specifed in the Michigan Raad Design Manual: Sectian 3.09.02A | Michigan Road Design Manual: Section 3.09.02A |
| 3R Non-Fineway, Non-NHS (Typical Width) | Variable: As specifed in the Michioan Raad Deaign Manual: Section 3.09.028 | Michigan Road Desion Manual: Sedtion 3.09 .028 |
| Alocliary Lane (Less than or oqual to one mie in langht) | Width should dessimbly match the shoulder widths an adjacent readway sections. A minimum wisth of $6.0^{\prime}$ is allowable. | Michigan Road Design Manual: Section 6.05.04F |
|  |  | 2011 AASHTO, p. 10.75 |
| Auciliary Lane <br> (Graater than one mile in length) | Typical mainline shoulder width as referenced above for roadway and wark types (3R4R, FreewayiNon-Freeway, etc.) | Michigan Road Design Manual: <br> Chapter 3, Appendix 3-A; <br> Chapter fi, Appendix 6-A; <br> Section 3.09.02A: <br> Section 3.09 .02 B |
| Lefl-Tum Passing Flare | 4. $0^{\prime}$ tatal wiftiva. $0^{\prime}$ paved wisth; -ORcurt \& gutter along tangent portion onlf. | Geometrics Unt Intemal Policy/Practice; FHWA Concumence (e-mail) |
|  |  | 2011 AASHTO, p. 3-134 |
| Right-Tum Lane | $4.0^{\circ}$ total widtiv/3.0 paved wisth, -ORcurt $\&$ gutter along tangent (storage) partion only. | Michigan Road Design Manual: <br> Chapter 3, Appendix 3.A; <br> FHWA Concumence (e-mail) |
|  |  | 2011 AASHTO, p. 9-124 |
| Passing Lane Section | Width should dessimbly match the shoulder withis on adjacent readway secilons. A minimum 4 . $\sigma^{\prime}$ total widttr/3. 0 poved wisth shoubser is allowable. | Michigan Road Design Manual: Section 3.09.05C |
|  |  | 2011 AASHTO, p. 3-134 |
| Thuck Climhing Lane | Width shouid desirably match the shoulder widths on adjacent readway sections. A minimum 4.0 tolal widtr 3.0 paved woth shoulder is allowable. | Michigan Rood Design Manual: <br> Sedion 3.09.058: <br> Section E.05.04E |
|  |  | 2011 AASHTO, p. 3-129 |
| C-D Road (One Lane) (Use 16' Lane With) | $8.0^{\prime}$ fotal with $7.0^{\prime}$ paved with on right: $6.0^{\prime}$ sotal widthy. $4.0^{\circ}$ pared wiath on let. (The same as an interchange Ramp). | Geometrics Unt Intemal Policy/Practice (Tatal pevedid entha 27 , imiating struisan: Excemax MBBTG ty 1 |
| C-D Road (Two ar Mare Lanes) (Use 12' Lane Widih) | 10.0 paved width an right; $8,0^{\prime}$ total widtiv $4.0^{\prime}$ paved wisth on ist. (The same as a four-lane frogenay). | 2011 AASHTO. <br> p. 8.34; p. 10-81 |
| Inderchange Ramp | $8.0^{\prime}$ total wittriv. ${ }^{\circ}$. paved with on right, 6.0' tatal widtri/4.0' paved wisth on let. | Michigan Road Desion Manual: <br> Chanter 3. Adoendix 3-A: <br> Chapter 5, Appendix 6.A |

## SHOULDERS

## Corrugations in Paved Shoulders

Refer to R-112-H for Freeway and Non-Freeway Corrugations




## QUESTIONS



## Problem 3: Non-Freeway, NHS Corridor with a 4R Work Type Divided Arterial, $36^{\prime}$ Median Width <br> 3 Lanes in Each Direction ADT $=36,000$ Rolling Terrain Posted Speed 40 mph

a. What is the MDOT recommended design speed?
b. What is the required lane width?
c. Required shoulder widths - left and right?
d. Required Stopping Sight Distance?
e. Maximum Allowable Grade (\%)?

Problem 3: | Non-Freeway, NHS Corridor with a 4R Work Type |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Solutions) | Divided Arterial, 36' Median Width |
|  | 3 Lanes in Each Direction |
|  | ADT $=36,000$ |
|  | Rolling Terrain |
|  | Posted Speed 40 mph |

a. What is the MDOT recommended design speed?

## 45 mph

(RDM 3.06)
(A 40 mph minimum design speed may be used per RDM Appendix 3A)
b. What is the required lane width?

Rural: 12,
Urban: 12' Desirable/11' Minimum (Unless NTN; then 12' min.) (RDM Appendix 3A)
Urban Restricted Area: 10’(Almost Never Applies)
c. Required shoulder widths - left and right?

Rural and/or Urban: 8' Right and 8' Left Desirable.
[However, No Shoulders are Required Due to Low Speed (Posted Speed Limit < 50 mph).]
d. Required Stopping Sight Distance?

360'
(305' if 40 mph design speed is used)
(MDOT Sight Distance Guidelines)
e. Maximum Allowable Grade (\%)?

Rural: $\quad 6 \%$ ( 40 mph or 45 mph design speed)
Urban: $7 \%$ (45 mph design speed)
(RDM Appendix 3A)
$8 \%$ (40 mph design speed)

## Problem 4:

Non-Freeway, Non-NHS Corridor with a 3R Work Type Undivided, 2-lane Arterial
ADT $=12,300$
Rolling Terrain
Posted Speed 55 mph
a. What is the minimum allowable design speed?
b. What is the required lane width?
c. Required shoulder widths - left and right?
d. Required Stopping Sight Distance?
e. Maximum Allowable Grade (\%)?

| Problem 4: | Non-Freeway, Non-NHS Corridor with a 3R Work Type |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Undivided, 2-lane Arterial |
| (Solutions) | ADT $=12,300$ |
|  | Rolling Terrain |
|  | Posted Speed 55 mph |

a. What is the minimum allowable design speed?

55 mph Minimum
(RDM 3.06 and RDM 3.09.02B)
b. What is the required lane width?

11’Minimum
(RDM 3.09.02B)
12' Desirable on the NTN, but existing widths of less than 12' may be retained.
c. Required shoulder width?

Rural: 6' Total / 3' Paved
(RDM 3.09.02B)
Urban: 6' Total / 3' Paved - If Not Constrained by ROW.
If ROW Not sufficient, No Shoulders are Required.
d. Required Stopping Sight Distance?

495
(MDOT Sight Distance Guidelines)
e. Maximum Allowable Grade (\%)?

If there are $\underline{N O}$ crash patterns/concentrations related to the existing longitudinal grades, the existing grades may be retained (i.e. No Maximum).
(RDM 3.09.02B)

If there IS a crash pattern related to the existing longitudinal grades, then the maximum grades are as follows.

## Rural: 5\%

(RDM Appendix 3A)
Urban: 6\%

## CURB \& GUTTER (RDM 6.06)

## CURB \& GUTTER (RDM 6.06)

## Purpose

## Control and Direct Drainage

Visually and Physically Define the Travel Way

Define Driveway Locations

Promote Aesthetics of Roadside Development

## C\&G IN CONJUNCTION WITH SHOULDER

Curb \& Gutter Should Not be Used on Roadways with Flush Shoulders, if Feasible

Curb \& Gutter Should Not be Used Adjacent to the Travel Lane Where Posted Speeds are 50 mph or Greater

Roll Curb \& Gutter is Frequently Used to Define the Radii of Rural Crossroad Intersections, but These are Placed Beyond the Edge of Shoulder and Therefore are not Adjacent to the Traveled Way

## CURB \& GUTTER IN CONJUNCTION WITH SHOULDER

## MDOT Standard Plan R-30-G

Curb \& Gutter Should Not be Used Where Open Drainage Ditches Can be Utilized


## CURB \& GUTTER (RDM 6.06.06)

Most Curb and Curb \& Cutter Types are Defined as Eith Mountable/Roll or Barrier

## MDOT's Detail "B"

May be Used at Any Posted Speed
Usually Used on the Back of Flush Shoulders at Rural Intersections

## MDOT's Detail "D"

May be Used at Any Posted Speed
Primarily for Drainage and In Conjunction with Guardrail Sections

## CURB \& GUTTER (RDM 6.06.06)

## Most Curb and Curb \& Gutters aro-Defined as Either Mountable/Roll (r Barrier

## MDOT's Detail "C"

May be Used Where Posted Speeds are 35 mph or Less
Typical Usage is with Sidewalks, Trees, or Utility Poles Close to Edge of Pavement, Parking Areas, or to Match Existing Pavement

## MDOT's Detail "F"

May be Used Where Posted Speeds are $\mathbf{4 5} \mathbf{~ m p h}$ or Less
May be Used to Replace Detail "C", or in Place of Detail "B" or "D"

## CURB \& GUTTER (RDM 6.06)

## MDOT's Detail 'G'" (Urban Freeway Curb)

- Considered Mountable
- Usually Used on Urban Freeways (Only in Cut Sections), in front of Earth Berms, or Adjacent to Retaining Walls at the Back of Shoulder

Refer to RDM 6.06.10 and MDOT Special Detail R-33-G

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION<br>BUREAU OF HIGHWAY DEVELOPMENT STANDARD PLAN FOR

> CONCRETE VALLEY GUTTER AND URBAN FREEWAY CURB


These Curb Details May be Used at Non-Freeway Locations when Approved.

## CURB \& GUTTER (RDM 6.06)

Concrete Valley Gutter - Developed to be Used on Freeways in Order to Provide Flush Shoulders

Replaced Curb \& Gutter That was Previously Used Between the Travel Lane and the Paved Shoulder


To Place Concrete Valley Gutter at the Outside Edge of the Shoulder and Adjacent to CMB or Single Face Barrier, if Present

## CURB \& GUTTER (RDM 6.06)

## Bridge Approach Curb \& Gutter Details

Should be Determined by the Bridge Designer
If a Road Plan is Included with the Bridge Plan, the Quantities will be in the Road Plan

Refer to RDM 6.06.08 and MDOT Special Detail R-32-F


## QUESTIONS



## CROSS SLOPE

## CROSS SLOPE / CROWN

- Cross Slope - Transverse slope rate of travel lane or shoulder.
- Normal Crown - Uniform slope towards the outside edge of pavement


## Undivided Roadways

Typically Crowned at Centerline or Edge of Center Lane

## Divided Roadways

May be Crowned at Centerline or at Inside or Outside Edge of Pavement

## CROSS SLOPE / CROWN



EACH PAVEMENT SLOPES Two hays



Each pavement slopes one vay

Exhibit 4-3. Roadway Sections for Divided Highway (Basic Cross-Slope Arrangements)

## CROSS SLOPE / CROWN

## The Department Uses a Standard Cross Slope of

## 2.0\%

Allowable Cross Slope Variances for 3R Projects are Given in RDM 3.09.02

A Design Exception is Needed for Cross Slopes that are
Less than the Required Minimums, Greater than 2\%, or Parabolic in Nature.

## Except...

## CROSS SLOPE / CROWN

## Three or More Lanes Inclined in the Same Direction

(Free Access Curbed Highways)

- Slope May be Increased After the First Two Lanes from the Crown Line
- Up to $1 \%$
- When Existing Side Conditions Do Not Allow the Preferred Uniform Standard Crown Rate

- Requires Additional Transition in Superelevated Sections


## CROSS SLOPE / CROWN

Appendix 3A
GEOMETRIC DESIGN ELEMENTS
New Construction / Reconstruction


## CROSS SLOPE / CROWN



* RIGHT (OUTSIDE 1: CONSIDER $12^{\prime}$ PAVED SHOULDER WHERE TRUCK TRAFFIC EXCEEDS 250 DOHV.
* LEFT (MEDIAN): FOR THREE OR MDRE DRIVING LANES, USE A $10^{\circ}$ PAVED SHOULDER SECTION. CONSIDER $12^{\prime}$ PAVED SHOULDER WHERE TRUCK TRAFFIC EXCEEDS 250 DDHV AND THREE OR MDRE DRIVING LANES EXIST.
** SHDULDER THICKHESS DETERNINATION NUST ALSO FOLLOM OTHER DEPARTMENT GUIDELINES INCLUDING THE HMA MIXTURE AND SELECTION GUIDELINES.


## CROSS SLOPE / CROWN



* Shoulder thickness determination must also follow other department guidelines including the hma mixture and selection guidel ines
** FOR LOCATION OF LONGITUDINAL JOINT, SEE STANDARO PLAN R-42-SERIES


## CROSS SLOPE / CROWN

## Bridge Design Guides (6.05.01)



## CROSS SLOPE / CROWN

## Bridge Design Guides (6.05.03)



* TRANSItION SHOULDER SLOPE ON APPROACH TO MATCH APPROACH ROAD ShOULDER SLOPE.


## CROSS SLOPE / CROWN

## Non-Freeway, NHS, 3R (3.09.02 A)



## CROSS SLOPE / CROWN

## Non-Freeway, Non-NHS, 3R (3.09.02 B)



## CROSS SLOPE / CROWN

## Where Resurfacing is Less Than 4"...

The Crown Point May be Retained in Its Existing Location 2\% Cross Slope Should be Established or Maintained

## Where Resurfacing is 4"or More...

The Crown Point Should be Moved to Meet Current Standards

## CROSS SLOPE / CROWN

## Shoulder Slopes

## Road Design Manual 6.05.05 and Standard Plan R-107

- Standard Slope for Gravel or Earth Surfaced Shoulder and Shoulder Ribbon is $6 \%(0.06 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$
- Standard Slope for Paved Shoulder is $4 \%$ ( $0.04 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ )
- Standard Slopes for Superelevated Sections

See R-107


## CROSS SLOPE / CROWN

## Shoulder Slopes

Slope Rates Between 4\% and 6\% are Generally Acceptable for Aggregate Shoulders

Slope Rates Between 4\% and 6\% May be Used for Paved Shoulders if Side Conditions are Constrained, and if it Does Not Result in a Rollover of Greater Than 6\%

Slopes of Less Than 4\% (Except on Bridges or in Superelevated Sections) or Greater Than 6\% (Except in Superelevated Sections) Require a Design Exception

## CROSS SLOPE / CROWN

## Shoulder Slopes

Do NOT Change Slope Rates Within the Plane of the Shoulder - Keep Paved and Unpaved in Same Plane


## CROSS SLOPE / CROWN

## Retaining an Existing Parabolic Crown will Require a Design Exception

The Desirable Rollover (Algebraic Difference in Cross Slope) between Traveled Lanes and Shoulders is 6\% or Less

MDOT Maximum Rollover: 6\% AASHTO/FHWA Maximum Rollover: $8 \%$
(Design Exceptions Required if These Values are Exceeded)


## QUESTIONS



## SUPERELEVATION

## SUPERELEVATION

- Superelevation - The banking of the roadway in the direction of the curve to help counter balance the perceived "centrifugal force" on the vehicle


## The Appropriate Rate of Superelevation is Determined From...

- Design Speed
- Curve Radius
- Maximum Allowable Side Friction Factor
- Superelevation Method



## SUPERELEVATION

## Michigan's Climate Limits Superelevation to $7 \%$ on...



- Rural Freeways
- Free Access Trunklines
- Rural Ramps

> For Maximum Superelevation on "Urban" Freeways (DS $=60 \mathrm{mph}$ ) and Ramps See R-107

## SUPERELEVATION

## Obtaining Superelevation Rates

## Preferred

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107

## Minimum

Straight Line Method (RDM 3.04.03)
Interpolating between the AASHTO $6 \%$ and $8 \% \mathrm{E}_{\max }$ charts is not appropriate! Interpolating within the R-107 cautiom or Straight-Line charts is allowable!

If the Straight-Line superelevation rates
cannot be met, a Design Exception/Design Variance is required.

## SUPERELEVATION

| Appendix 3A <br> GEOMETRIC DESIGN ELEMENTS <br> New Construction / Reconstruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Grade |  |  | Maximum Grade (\%) for specified design speed (mph) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 永 | Type of Terrain | 50 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 65 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 75 |  |  |
|  |  | Level |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |
|  |  | Rolling |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | des 1 | steepe | er may | provid | ded in 4 | urban | eas. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Type of | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Terrain | 30 |  | 35 | 40 |  | 45 | 50 |  | 55 | 60 |  | 40 | 45 |  | 50 | 55 | 60 |  |
|  |  | Level | 8 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |
|  |  | Rolling | 9 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 5 | 5 | 4 |  |
|  |  | Type of | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Terrain | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 |
|  |  | Level | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
|  |  | Rolling | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Stopping Sight Distance | Follow $20111^{\text {tm }}$ E Edition of AASHTO "A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets" (AKA AASHTO Green Book). The MDOT Sight DistanceGuidelines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cranolore | Traveled way cross slope $=2.0 \%$, Paved shoulder cross slope $=4.0 \%$ (Also see Section 6.05.05) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AASHTO Method 5 "Curvilinear Relation" is used for new construction/reconstruction. Maximum rate of 7\%. (See Standard Plan R-107-Series.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AASHTO Method 1 "Straight Line Relation" is allowed when Method 5 is not feasible. Maximum rate of 6\%. (See Section 3.04.03) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | The above methods also apply to urban freeways and urban ramps, except the maximum rate is $5 \%$ for 60 mph design speed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VerticalClearance |  |  |  |  |  | NHS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - - iontivis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Freeway |  |  |  |  | Or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $14^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non Freeway (Arterial) |  |  |  |  | $16^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $14^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Collectors \& "Special Routes" |  |  |  | $14^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ ( 1 ft greater than Michigan legal vehicle height.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $14^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | For pedestrian bridges provide 1 ft . additional clearance over non-freeway and 17 ft . minimum under clearance over freeways. A vertical clearance of $23^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ is required for grade separations over railroads. (See Bridge Design Manual 7.01.08 and Bridge Design Guides 5.24.03-04.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SUPERELEVATION

## Point of Rotation (RDM 3.04.01)

Crowned Multi-Lane Roadways


Single-Lane or Unidirectionally Crowned Roadways (i.e. Ramps)


## SUPERELEVATION

## Point of Rotation (RDM 3.04.01)

Special consideration should be given to superelevating an odd number of lanes (i.e. three-lane or five-lane sections) as the point of rotation should be determined by site conditions. See Standard Plan R-107-Series.


## SUPERELEVATION

## Superelevation Transitions (RDM 3.04.02)

## Consists Of...

## Tangent Runout

(Crown Runout (C) )
Length of Roadway Needed to Accomplish a Change in
Outside-Lane Cross Slope
from the Normal Cross
Slope Rate to Zero (Flat) or Vice Versa

## Superelevation Transition

 (Transition (L) )Length of Roadway Needed to Accomplish a Change in Outside-Lane Cross Slope from Zero (Flat) to Full Superelevation or Vice Versa

## SUPERELEVATION

## Superelevation Transitions (RDM 3.04.02)

## Relative Gradient Along the Edges of the Pavement

## (Delta Percent), ( $\Delta \%$ )

- Dependent on Superelevation Rates and Design Speeds
- May be Increased as Needed Up to the Maximum Relative Gradient for the Design Speed
- Requires a Design Variance if Values Exceed the Maximum for the Design Speed


## SUPERELEVATION

## Superelevation Transitions (RDM 3.04.02)



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



Road Cross Section


## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION



## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107



SUPERELEVATED FINISHED SECTION

## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107



PLAN GRADE AND POINT OF ROTATJON (SUPERELEVATED)

RAMPS

LEGEND
NC = NORMAL CROWN RATE
$W=$ DISTANCE IN FEET FROM POINT OF ROTATION TO FARTHEST OUTSIDE EDGE
$D=W \times N C$
$e=$ RATE OF SUPERELEVATION
$S=W \times e$
C = CROWN RUNOUT / TANGENT RUNOUT (ADVERSE CROWN REMOVED)

L = TRANSITION LENGTH OR SUPERELEVATION RUNOFF OF INSIDE OR OUTSIDE EDGE OF PAVEMENT
$\Delta \%=$ SUPERELEVATION TRANSITION SLOPE DF PAVEMENT EDGES

HIGH SIDE SHOULDER CHART

| WHEN RATE OF FULL SUPERELEVATION IS | SHOULDER SLDPE <br> AT FULL SUPERELEVATION EQUALS |
| :---: | :---: |
| FROM 2\% TO 3\% | Rate of superelevation minus NORMAL SHOULDER SLOPE |
| 3\% TD AND [NCLUD]NG 5\% |  |
| OVER 5\% |  |

## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107



| 720 | 4.6 | 0.58 | 5.4 | 0.57 | 6.3 | 0.55 | 6.9 | 0.54 | $\mathrm{R} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{M}\left[\mathrm{N} .=794^{\prime}\right.$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 700 | 4.7 | 0.59 | 5.5 | 0.57 | 6.3 | 0.56 | 6.9 | 0.54 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 600 | 5.0 | 0.60 | 5.9 | 0.59 | 6.7 | 0.57 | $R$ | MI. |


| 600 | 5.0 | 0.60 | 5.9 | 0.58 | 6.7 | 0.57 | R MIN. $=614^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 500 | 5.4 | 0.61 | 6.4 | 0.60 | 7.0 | 0.58 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 450 | 5.7 | 0.62 | 6.6 | 0.61 | $8.41 N_{0}=464^{\prime}$ |  |


| 450 | 5.7 | 0.62 | 6.6 | 0.61 | $\mathrm{R} M \mathrm{MN} .=464^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400 | 6.0 | 0.63 | 6.8 | 0.61 |  |


| 400 | 6.0 | 0.63 | 6.8 | 0.61 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 350 | 6.3 | 0.64 | 7.0 | 0.62 |


| 350 | 6.3 | 0.64 | 7.0 | 0.62 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| 300 | 6.7 | 0.65 | R M[N. $=327^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 265 | 6.9 | 0.66 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 225 | 7.0 | 0.66 |


| 225 | 7.0 | 0.66 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

R MIN. $=222^{\prime}$

NOTES:
LOOP RANPS SHALL HAVE A 7\% RATE OF SUPERELEVATJON.
THE RATE OF SUPERELEVATJON FOR CURVES APPROACHJNG RAMP TERMINALS (STOPPING COND]T[ON) SHOULD BE LIM]TED TO 5\% MAX.
IF DELTA VALUES FRDN THE CHART CANNOT BE OBTALNED FOR THE DESIGN RADIUS, USE THE NAXIMUM DELTA VALUE FOR THE CDRRESPONDING SPEED.
FOR RAD[] LESS THAN THOSE TABULATED, (BUT NOT LESS THAN R MIN.), USE e mor. MAXIMIM SUPERELEVATJON FOR URBAN FREEWAYS AND URBAN RAMPS ( M ]TH A 60 MPH DES[GN SPEED) IS $5 \%$. OTHERWISE $e$ mox $=7 \%$.

## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107

```
THE CRDON FOJHT AND PONNT OF ROTATIOH IELL NLPNLLLY EE AT THE
CENTER OF THO-HLNE LND FOUR-LLIE UNTVIDED PLMENENTS AND AT THE
```



```
POJNT OF ROTATJON WLLL NORWLLLY BE AT THE THSLDE EDGES OF DTVIDED
PavDEETS.
```

THE CROWN POINT AND POINT OF ROTATION WILL NORMALLY BE AT THE CENTER OF TWO-LANE AND FOUR-LANE UNDIVIDED PAVEMENTS AND AT THE EDGE OF AN INSIDE LANE OF FIVE-LANE UNDIVIDED PAVEMENTS. THE POINT OF ROTATION WILL NORMALLY BE A THE INSIDE EDGES OF DIVIDED PAVEMENTS.

## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107

The Crown is to be rewove in slpereleyation sectiog.

THE CROWN IS TO BE REMOVED IN SUPERELEVATED SECTIONS.

## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107

ON URBAN SERVICE ROADS AND URBAN FREE ACCESS TRUNKLINE CURVES WHERE DRIVEWAYS ARE PREVALENT, AND WHERE NORMAL SUPERELEVATION CANNOT BE OBTAINED, A MINIMUM OF 1.5\% TO $2 \%$ SUPERELEVATION IN THE DIRECTION OF THE CURVE MAY BE USED TO REMOVE THE ADVERSE CROWN.

## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107

DES[CN MCO[FICATION DF TRLNSITIOHS, PODNT OF ROTATLOA, AND CRONLS


## DESIGN MODIFICATION OF TRANSITIONS, POINT OF ROTATION,

 AND CROWNS MAY BE NECESSARY TO IMPROVE RIDING QUALITY AND APPEARANCE.
## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107

THE LOCATION, LENGTH OF SUPERELEVATION TRANSITIONS, CROWN RUNOFF LENTGHS, SUPERELEVATION RATES, AND POINT OF ROTATION WILL BE AS SPECIFIED ON THE PLANS.

## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107

 THAN TRANSITION SLOPE LENGTHS.
## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107

SPIRAL TRANSITIONS SHOULD BE USED ON NEW ALIGNMENTS, BASED ON THE DESIGN SPEED OF THE CURVE AND THE RADIUS AS SHOWN IN THE TABLE. THE TABLE GIVES THE MAXIMUM RADIUS IN WHICH A SPIRAL SHOULD BE USED.

## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107

BEGIN THE HIGH SIDE SHOULDER TRANSITION AT THE PAVEMENT CROWN RUN OUT POINT (CROWN REMOVED). TRANSITION THE SHOULDER IN THE DISTANCE "L" TO THE SHOULDER SLOPE RATE REQUIRED AT FULL PAVEMENT SUPERELEVATION.

## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107

IF THE RATE OF FULL PAVEMENT SUPERELEVATIONS IS GREATER THAN THE NORMAL SHOULDER SLOPE, BEGIN THE LOW SIDE SHOULDER TRANSITION WHEN THE PAVEMENT REACHES THE SAME PLANE AND SLOPE RATE AS THE NORMAL SHOULDER.

## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107

WHEN TRANSITJOHJNG THE SHOULDER SLITEE TO/FROA A BR[DCE SECTLON, CALCULATE THE TRANSITLON DISTANCE USIHG THE SUPERELEVATIOH TRAHSCTIOK SLOPE (AT) REOUIRED FOR THE CUIFVE, OR IN TANGENT SECTIOHS, USE THE MINNLMUN VALUE FOR SUPERELEVATJOH TRAHSITJON SLDPE (AH) GIVEN IN THE TABLE, [N THE COLIIN FOR THE SPEED OF THE ROADHAY. (TRANS]TLON DISTAMCE $=$ SHOULDER WIDTH $\times$ (RATE OF ER[DGE SHOULLDER SIJPERELEVATJON MJHUS RATE OF ROAD SHOULDER SUPERELEVAT[ON] $\times 100$ / $4 \%$ )

## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107

| SPIRAL CURVE TRANSITIONS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { DESIGN } \\ & \text { SPEED } \\ & \text { (MPH) } \end{aligned}$ | MAXIMUM <br> RADIUS (FEET) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DESIGN } \\ & \text { SPEED } \\ & \text { (MPH) } \end{aligned}$ | MAX [MUM RADIUS (FEET) |
| 30 | 456 | 60 | 1822 |
| 35 | 620 | 65 | 2138 |
| 40 | 810 | 70 | 2479 |
| 45 | 1025 | 75 | 2846 |
| 50 | 1265 | 80 | 3238 |
| 55 | 1531 | -1\% | - |

## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107



## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107



## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107



## SUPERELEVATION

## MDOT Standard Plan R-107



## SUPERELEVATION



Use $7 \%$ superelevation for loop ramps ( 500 Standard Plan R-107-Series). However, special consideration should be given to curves which approach a ramp terminal (stopping
condition). If relative gradent ( $\Delta \%$ ) values from the tables cannot be obtained for the design radius, use $\Delta \%_{\text {mos }}$ for the corresponding design speed.
For radii less than those tabulated (but not less than Rmin) use
For radil
Maximum superelevation for urban freeways and urban ramps (with 60 mph design speed) is $5 \%$, otherwise $\mathrm{e}_{\text {max }}=6 \%$,


## QUESTIONS


a. What is the design speed?
b. What is the lane width?
c. Paved shoulder width - left and right?
d. Stopping sight distance?
e. Maximum grade $\%$ ?
f. Cross-slope for the traveled way and shoulders in a normal crown section?
g. Minimum required superelevation rate for a horizontal curve with $\mathrm{R}=1800^{\prime}$ ?
h. Superelevation rate for a horizontal curve with $\mathrm{R}=1800^{\prime}$ using Standard Plan $\mathrm{R}-107$ ?
a. What is the design speed?

$$
55 \mathrm{mph} / 50 \mathrm{mph}
$$

(RDM 3.06 / RDM Appendix 3A)
b. What is the lane width?

## Rural or Urban: 12'

(RDM Appendix 3A)
c. Paved shoulder width - left and right?

Rural: $\quad 8^{\prime}$ Right and $8^{\prime}$ Left

Urban: $\quad 8^{\prime}$ Right and $8^{\prime}$ Left - If Not Constrained by ROW.
Where ROW is Not Sufficient, No shoulders are required.
d. Stopping sight distance?

495'(55 mph ) 425’(50 mph) (MDOT Sight Distance Guidelines)
e. Maximum grade $\%$ ?

Rural: 4\% ( 50 mph or 55 mph design speed)
(RDM Appendix 3A)
Urban: $5 \%$ ( 55 mph design speed) / $6 \%$ ( 50 mph design speed)
f. Cross-slope for the traveled way and shoulders in a normal crown section?

| Traveled Way: | $2.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Shoulders: | $4.0 \%$ |

(RDM Appendix 3A)
g. Minimum required superelevation rate for a horizontal curve with $\mathrm{R}=1800^{\prime}$ ?

| $3.5 \%$ | $(55 \mathrm{mph})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2.8 \%$ | $(50 \mathrm{mph})$ |$\quad$ (Straight-Line Superelevation Rate - RDM 3.04.03)

h. Superelevation rate for a horizontal curve with R=1800' using Standard Plan R-107?
$5.7 \%$ ( 55 mph )
(R-107 Superelevation Rate - Standard Plan R-107)
5.1\% (50 mph)

## Problem 6:

Given the following information:
Design Speed $=50 \mathrm{mph}$
R = 2500 feet
Straight Line Superelevation Chart


Calculate the following:

a. Superelevation Rate
b. Delta Percent Value
c. Crown Runout Length (C)
d. Superelevation Transition Length (L)
e. Superelevation Transition Distribution/Placement Inside and Outside of the Curve.
f. Determine the Required Horizontal Sightline Offset (HSO) to Ensure Adequate Stopping Sight Distance. Is the Required HSO Provided in this Case?
g. Determine the K Value Based on the Proposed Vertical Alignment. Does this K Value Meet for the Design Speed of the Roadway?

## Problem 6:

(Solutions)
Given the following information:
Design Speed $=50 \mathrm{mph}$
$\mathrm{R}=2500$ feet
Straight Line Superelevation Chart


Determine/Calculate the following:
a. Superelevation Rate: $\mathbf{2 . 0 \%}$
(RDM 3.04.03)
b. Delta Percent Value:
c. Crown Runout Length (C):
$(2 \%)\left(12^{\prime}\right) / 0.40=60^{\prime}$

## (RDM 3.04.03)

(MDOT Standard Plan R-107)
d. Superelevation Transition Length (L): $(2 \%)\left(12^{\prime}\right) / 0.40=60^{\prime} ;\left(20^{\prime}\right.$ into curve 40 ' out of curve $)$.
e. Superelevation Transition Distribution/Placement Inside and Outside of the Curve.
At PC: $: L=\underline{\text { Sta. } 10+00}+20^{\prime}=\underline{\text { Sta } .10+20-60^{\prime}=\underline{9+60}}$.
$C=\underline{\text { Sta. } 9+60-60 '=\underline{\text { Sta. } 9+00} .}$
L: 9+60 to 10+20.
$C: 9+00$ to $9+60$.

At PT: $L=\underline{\text { Sta. } 15+00-20^{\prime}=\underline{\text { Sta }} .14+80+60^{\prime}=\underline{15+40} . . . . . ~ . ~}$
$C=\underline{\text { Sta. } 15+40}+60^{\prime}=\underline{\text { Sta. } 16+00}$
$\frac{L: 14+80 \text { to } 15+40 .}{C: 15+40 \text { to } 16+00 .}$
f. Determine the required Horizontal Sightline Offset (HSO) to Ensure Adequate Stopping Sight Distance. Is the Required HSO Provided in this Case?
D.S. $=50 \mathrm{mph}, \mathrm{R}=2500^{\prime}:$ Required $\mathrm{HSO}=9.0^{\prime} . \underline{\text { Yes; 12.0' of HSO is Provided }}$.
g. Determine the K Value Based on the Proposed Vertical Alignment. Does this K Value Meet for the Design Speed of the Roadway?
$K=L / A=300 / 5=\underline{60} . \underline{\text { No; } K(s a g)=60 \text { Does Not Meet for } 50 \mathrm{mph} ; \text { it Meets for } 35 \mathrm{mph}}$.
(NOTE: This Would be an Allowable Vertical Alignment for a 3 N Non-Freeway Project).

## Problem 7:

Given the following information:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Design Speed }=60 \mathrm{mph} \\
& \mathrm{R}=2050 \\
& \text { Straight Line Superelevation Chart }
\end{aligned}
$$



Determine/Calculate the following:
a. Superelevation Rate:
b. Delta Percent Value:
c. Crown Runout Length (C):
d. Superelevation Transition Length (L):
e. Superelevation Transition Distribution/Placement Inside/Outside of the Curve:
f. Determine the Required Horizontal Sightline Offset (HSO) to Ensure Adequate Stopping Sight Distance. Is the Required HSO Provided in this Case?
g. Determine the K Value Based on the Proposed Vertical Alignment. Does this K Value Meet for the Design Speed of the Roadway?

## Problem 7:

Given the following information:
Design Speed $=60 \mathrm{mph}$ R = 2050'
Straight Line Superelevation Chart


Calculate the following

a. Superelevation Rate: $\mathbf{3 . 9 \%}$
b. Delta Percent Value: 0.40
(RDM 3.04.03)
(RDM 3.04.03)
c. Crown Runout Length $(\mathrm{C}): \mathrm{C}=\left(24^{\prime}\right)(2 \%) / 0 \cdot 40=\underline{\mathbf{1 2 0}{ }^{\prime}}$.
d. Superelevation Transition Length $(\mathrm{L}): \mathrm{L}=\left(24^{\prime}\right)(3.9 \%) / 0.40=\underline{\mathbf{2 3 4}} \cdot \quad(\underline{\mathbf{7 8}} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{~ i n} / \mathbf{1 5 6}$ ' out $)$.
e. Superelevation Transition Distribution/Placement Inside/Outside of the Curve:

PC: $L=\underline{\text { Sta. } 10+00}+78^{\prime}=\underline{\text { Sta }} .10+78-234^{\prime}=\underline{\text { Sta. } .8+44} . \quad C=\underline{\text { Sta } . ~} 8+44-120 \prime=\underline{\text { Sta } . ~ 7+24}$.
Crown Runout: Sta. $7+24$ to Sta. $8+44$. Super Transition: Sta. $8+44$ to Sta. 10+78.
PT: $L=\underline{\text { Sta. } 15+00-78^{\prime}=\underline{\text { Sta. }} 14+22+234^{\prime}=\underline{\text { Sta. } 16+56} . ~ C=\underline{\text { Sta. } 16+56}+120 \prime=\underline{\text { Sta }} .17+76}$ Super Transition: Sta. 14+22 to Sta. 16+56. Crown Runout: Sta. $16+56$ to Sta. 17+76.
f. Determine the Required Horizontal Sightline Offset (HSO) to Ensure Adequate Stopping Sight Distance. Is the Required HSO Provided in this Case?
D.S. $=60 \mathrm{mph}, \mathrm{R}=2050^{\prime}: \underline{\text { Required } H S O=19.8^{\prime}} . \underline{\text { No; the Proposed HSO is Only 12.0' }}$
g. Determine the K Value Based on the Proposed Vertical Alignment. Does this K Value Meet for the Design Speed of the Roadway?
$K=L / A=400 / 4.5=\underline{88.9} . \quad$ No; $K($ sag $)=88$ Does Not Meet for $60 \mathrm{mph} ;$ it Meets for 45 mph.
(NOTE: This Would be an Allowable Vertical Alignment for a 3R, Non-Freeway Project).

## INTERCHANGE DESIGN

## INTERCHANGE - GENERAL

- Interchange - A system of interconnecting roadways in conjunction with grade separations providing for the interchange of traffic between two or more intersecting roadways



## INTERCHANGE - GENERAL



- Two Types
- System
- Service
- Configuration Based on Service Demand
- Spacing
- Rural
- Urban
- Approach to Structures
- Sight Distance


## INTERCHANGE

## Design Principles

- Interchanges Should be Designed to Best Serve the Projected Design Hourly Volume Safely and Efficiently


## Operational Uniformity Concept

- Interchange Design Should Reinforce Driver Expectancies that Conform with (or Reinforce) a Prior Experience


## INTERCHANGE LAYOUT

## Road Design Manual (3.07.02 B)

- Adequate Visibility on Ramps
- Sight Distance at Least as Long as SSD
- Clear View of Entire Exit Terminal
- Exit Nose
- Section of Ramp Roadway Beyond Gore
- Exit Ramps Should Begin Where the Freeway is on a Tangent
- Exit in Advance of Structure
- Loop Ramps Beyond a Structure Usually Need a Parallel Deceleration Lane


## INTERCHANGE LAYOUT

## Road Design Manual (3.07.02 B)

- Extreme Care Exercised to Avoid Left-Hand Entrances and Exits
- Avoid Lane Drops between Closely Spaced Interchanges
- Loop Ramps should be Designed with a Minimum Radius of 260' If Possible
- Gore Areas Should be Designed as Flat as Possible
- Consistency Should be Provided in Interchange and Ramp Design and Utilization


## INTERCHANGE

## Spacing

- Impacts Freeway Operations
- Capacity/Congestion
- Urban Areas
- Difficult to Get Spacing - 1 Mile Spacing Desirable
- Rural Areas
- 2 Mile Spacing Desirable



## INTERCHANGE




## RAMP TYPES



## INTERCHANGE



## minimum English lengThs for tapered entrance ramps

| RANP <br> DES[GN <br> SPEED <br> (NPH) | PERCENT GRADE OF THROUGH ROADWAY | $\begin{gathered} \text { TAPER }=65: 1 \\ \triangle=0^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \\ \text { ROADWAY } \\ \text { DES[GN SPEED } \\ =75 \mathrm{MPH} \\ \mathrm{~T}=780 \mathrm{FT} \\ \mathrm{LgOP}=390 \mathrm{FT} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TAPER }=60: 1 \\ & \Delta=0^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 17^{\circ} \\ & \text { ROADWAY } \\ & \text { DESIGN SPEED } \\ & =70 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \mathrm{~T}=720 \mathrm{FT} \\ & \text { Lgop }=360 \mathrm{FT} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TAPER }=55: 1 \\ \Delta=1^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 30^{\circ} \\ \text { ROADWAY } \\ \text { DESIGN SPEED } \\ =60 \mathrm{MPH} \\ \mathrm{~T}=660 \mathrm{FT} \\ \mathrm{Lgap}=330 \mathrm{FT} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TAPER=50:1 } \\ & \Delta=1^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ <br> ROADWAY <br> DESIGN SPEED $\begin{gathered} =55 \text { to } 50 \mathrm{MPH} \\ T=600 \mathrm{FT} \\ \text { Lgap }=300 \mathrm{FT} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TAPER }=45: 1 \\ & \Delta=1^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ <br> ROADWAY <br> DES[GN SPEED = <br> 45 or less MPH $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{T} & =540 \mathrm{FT} \\ \mathrm{Lg} \mathrm{Op} & =270 \mathrm{FT} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}} \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} Q \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}} \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (F T) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{o}} \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (F T) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}} \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}} \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 20 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 978 | 27.1 | 912 | 27.2 | 660 | 24.0 | 506 | 22.2 | 450 | 22.0 |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 1630 | 37.1 | 1520 | 37.4 | 1100 | 32.0 | 810 | 28.2 | 450 | 22.0 |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 2528 | 50.9 | 2280 | 50.0 | 1540 | 40.0 | 1094 | 33.9 | 608 | 25.5 |
| 25 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 948 | 26.6 | 852 | 26.2 | 612 | 23.2 | 500 | 22.0 | 450 | 22.0 |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 1580 | 36.4 | 1420 | 35.7 | 1020 | 30.6 | 780 | 27.6 | 450 | 22.0 |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 2528 | 50.9 | 2201 | 48.7 | 1479 | 38.9 | 1092 | 33.9 | 608 | 25.5 |
| 30 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 906 | 26.0 | 810 | 25.5 | 555 | 22.0 | 500 | 22.0 | 450 | 22.0 |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 1510 | 35.3 | 1350 | 34.5 | 910 | 28.6 | 670 | 25.4 | 450 | 22.0 |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 2492 | 50.4 | 2160 | 48.0 | 1365 | 36.9 | 972 | 31.5 | 608 | 25.5 |
| 35 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 852 | 25.2 | 738 | 24.3 | 550 | 22.0 | 500 | 22.0 | 450 | 22.0 |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 1420 | 33.9 | 1230 | 32.5 | 800 | 26.6 | 550 | 23.0 | 450 | 22.0 |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 2450 | 49.7 | 2030 | 45.9 | 1200 | 33.9 | 798 | 28.0 | 608 | 25.5 |
| 40 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 696 | 22.8 | 600 | 22.0 | 550 | 22.0 | 500 | 22.0 | 450 | 22.0 |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 1160 | 29.9 | 1000 | 28.7 | 550 | 22.0 | 500 | 22.0 | 450 | 22.0 |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 2088 | 44.2 | 1700 | 40.4 | 825 | 27.0 | 725 | 26.5 | 608 | 25.5 |
| 45 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 650 | 22.0 | 600 | 22.0 | 550 | 22.0 | 500 | 22.0 | 450 | 22.0 |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 1040 | 28.0 | 820 | 25.7 | 550 | 22.0 | 500 | 22.0 | 450 | 22.0 |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 1924 | 41.6 | 1435 | 36.0 | 825 | 27.0 | 725 | 26.5 | 608 | 25.5 |
| 50 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 650 | 22.0 | 600 | 22.0 | 550 | 22.0 | 500 | 22.0 |  |  |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 780 | 24.0 | 600 | 22.0 | 550 | 22.0 | 500 | 22.0 |  |  |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 1482 | 34.8 | 1080 | 30.0 | 825 | 27.0 | 725 | 26.5 |  |  |
| 55 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 650 | 22.0 | 600 | 22.0 | 550 | 22.0 | 500 | 22.0 |  |  |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 650 | 22.0 | 600 | 22.0 | 550 | 22.0 | 500 | 22.0 |  |  |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 1268 | 31.5 | 1080 | 30.0 | 825 | 27.0 | 725 | 26.5 |  |  |
| 60 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 650 | 22.0 | 600 | 22.0 | 550 | 22.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 650 | 22.0 | 600 | 22.0 | 550 | 22.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 1268 | 31.5 | 1080 | 30.0 | 825 | 27.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 65 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 650 | 22.0 | 600 | 22.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BEThEEN -3 AND +3 | 650 | 22.0 | 600 | 22.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 1268 | 31.5 | 1080 | 30.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 650 | 22.0 | 600 | 22.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 650 | 22.0 | 600 | 22.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 1268 | 31.5 | 1080 | 30.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 650 | 22.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 650 | 22.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 1268 | 31.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## INTERCHANGE



## INTERCHANGE

MINJMUM ENGLISH LENGTHS FOR PARALLEL ENTRANCE


## NOTES:

1. The designer has the flexibility to choose either the toper type ramp or the parallel type ramp. However. be used within an interchange and corridor. Uniformity in design is needed to aid driver expectancy. On sharp curves, it may be preferable to use parallel type ramps.
2. Select design speed based on a combination of the superelevation rate and the radius of the curve. See also chapter 3 of the NOOT Rood Design Manual
3. If an additional through lane is provided or the entrance ramp joins the mainline on the high side (outside) of the curve, use GE0-101-Series.
4. If the through pavement is curved, plot offsets for taper and connect with appropriate curve.
5. Prepare detail grodes and profiles from Section A-A to section B-B.
6. The value of Lo or Lgop, whichever produces the greater distance downstream from the $2 \mathrm{ft}(0.6 \mathrm{~m})$ point, is suggested for use in the design of the ramp entrance. a is the occeleration distance. Lgap is the minimum distance required to find a gop in traffic and merge onto the mainline.
7. Spirals transitions should be used on new ramp al igntents based on the design speed of the curve and the rodius os shown in the toble of the Rood Standard PIan $\mathrm{R}-107$-Series. The table gives the maximum radius in which a spiral should be used.
8. The maximum algebraic difference in pavenent cross slope between the mainline and the ramp ouxiliary lane should not exceed $5 \%$
9. The cross slope in the gore orea between the $2 \mathrm{ft}(0.6 \mathrm{~m})$ point and the $22 \mathrm{ft}(6.6 \mathrm{~m})$ point should not exceed $8 \%$, with a $6 \%$ maximum al gebraic difference in cross slope between the gore and the adjacent paved Iane. This algrebraic difference also opplies within crowned gores.
10. The design speed of the ramp vertical alignment should meet or exceed the design speed of the romp horizontal olignment.
11. The mainline shoulder width should extend along the ram to where the gore is 2 ft $(0.6 \mathrm{~m})$ wide. Use a $1: 25$ taper transition where it joins the ramp shoulder paving.
12. Each ramp should be carefully studied to provide maximum vision at its merge points. See Geometric Design Guide GEO-300-Series.
13. These design concepts are for new construction. where modification may be needed for retrofitting to existing road features, consult the Geometric Design Unit of Lansing Traffic and Safety

## INTERCHANGE



MINIMUM ENGLISH LENGTHS FOR TAPERED EXIT RAMPS

| RAMP DESJGN SPEED(MPH) (MPH | PERCENT GRADE OF THROUGH RDADWAY | $\begin{gathered} \text { TAPER=30:1 } \\ \triangle=1.54^{\prime} 33^{a} \\ \text { ROADWAY } \\ \text { DESIGN SPEED } \\ =75 \mathrm{MPH} \\ \mathrm{~L}_{d} \text { min }=330 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TAPER=30:1 } \\ & \triangle==^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 33^{a} \\ & \text { RDADWAY } \\ & \text { DESIGN SPEED } \\ & =70 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{~min}=330 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TAPER }=25: 1 \\ \triangle=2^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 26^{N} \\ \text { ROADNAY } \\ \text { OESIGN SPEED } \\ =60 \mathrm{MPH} \\ \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{d}} \text { min }=300 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TAPER }=25: 1 \\ \triangle=2^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 26^{\omega} \\ \text { ROADWAY } \\ \text { DESIGN SPEED } \\ =55 \mathrm{NPH} \\ \text { TO } 50 \mathrm{NPH} \\ \mathrm{~L}_{d} \mathrm{~min}=300 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TAPER=25:1 } \\ \Delta=2^{*} 17^{\prime} 26^{\circ} \\ \text { RONDWAY } \\ \text { DES [GN SPEED } \\ =45 \mathrm{MPH} \\ \text { OR LESS } \\ \mathrm{L}_{d} \text { min }=300 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{d}} \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} Q \\ (F T) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{d}} \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L} d \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} Q \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L} d \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} Q \\ (F T) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ld} \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} a \\ (F T) \end{gathered}$ |
| 20 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 744 | 36.8 | 684 | 34.8 | 576 | 35.1 | 528 | 33.2 | 390 | 27.6 |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 620 | 32.7 | 570 | 31.0 | 480 | 31.2 | 440 | 29.6 | 325 | 25.0 |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 558 | 30.6 | 513 | 29.1 | 432 | 29.3 | 396 | 27.9 | 300 | 24.0 |
| 25 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 720 | 36.0 | 660 | 34.0 | 552 | 34.1 | 492 | 31.7 | 354 | 26.2 |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 600 | 32.0 | 550 | 30.4 | 460 | 30.4 | 410 | 28.4 | 300 | 24.0 |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 540 | 30.0 | 495 | 28.5 | 414 | 28.6 | 369 | 26.8 | 300 | 24.0 |
| 30 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 690 | 35.0 | 624 | 32.8 | 516 | 32.7 | 456 | 30.3 | 300 | 24.0 |
|  | BETNEEN -3 AND +3 | 575 | 31.2 | 520 | 29.4 | 430 | 29.2 | 380 | 27.2 | 300 | 24.0 |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 518 | 29.3 | 468 | 27.6 | 387 | 27.5 | 342 | 25.7 | 300 | 24.0 |
| 35 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 642 | 33.4 | 588 | 31.6 | 486 | 31.5 | 420 | 28.8 | 300 | 24.0 |
|  | BETNEEN -3 AND +3 | 535 | 29.9 | 490 | 28.4 | 405 | 28.2 | 350 | 26.0 | 300 | 24.0 |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 482 | 28.1 | 441 | 26.7 | 365 | 26.6 | 315 | 24.6 | 300 | 24.0 |
| 40 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 588 | 31.6 | 528 | 29.6 | 420 | 28.8 | 342 | 25.7 | 300 | 24.0 |
|  | BETNEEN -3 AND +3 | 490 | 28.4 | 440 | 26.7 | 350 | 26.0 | 300 | 24.0 | 300 | 24.0 |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 441 | 26.7 | 396 | 25.2 | 315 | 24.6 | 300 | 24.0 | 300 | 24.0 |
| 45 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 528 | 29.6 | 468 | 27.6 | 360 | 26.4 | 300 | 24.0 | 300 | 24.0 |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 440 | 26.7 | 390 | 25.0 | 300 | 24.0 | 300 | 24.0 | 300 | 24.0 |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 396 | 25.2 | 351 | 23.7 | 300 | 24.0 | 300 | 24.0 | 300 | 24.0 |
| 50 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 468 | 27.6 | 432 | 26.4 | 300 | 24.0 | 300 | 24.0 |  |  |
|  | BETNEEN -3 AND +3 | 390 | 25.0 | 360 | 24.0 | 300 | 24.0 | 300 | 24.0 |  |  |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 351 | 23.7 | 330 | 23.0 | 300 | 24.0 | 300 | 24.0 |  |  |
| 55 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 468 | 27.6 | 432 | 26.4 | 300 | 24.0 | 300 | 24.0 |  |  |
|  | BETNEEN -3 AND +3 | 390 | 25.0 | 360 | 24.0 | 300 | 24.0 | 300 | 24.0 |  |  |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 351 | 23.7 | 330 | 23.0 | 300 | 24.0 | 300 | 24.0 |  |  |
| 60 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 468 | 27.6 | 432 | 26.4 | 300 | 24.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | BETNEEN -3 AND +3 | 390 | 25.0 | 360 | 24.0 | 300 | 24.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 351 | 23.7 | 330 | 23.0 | 300 | 24.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 65 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 468 | 27.6 | 432 | 26.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 390 | 25.0 | 360 | 24.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 351 | 23.7 | 330 | 23.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 468 | 27.6 | 432 | 26.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 390 | 25.0 | 360 | 24.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 351 | 23.7 | 330 | 23.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 468 | 27.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BETWEEN -3 AND +3 | 390 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 351 | 23.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## INTERCHANGE



MINIMUM ENGLISH LENGTHS FOR PARALLEL EXIT RAMPS

| RAMP DESIGN SPEED (MPH ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PERCENT } \\ & \text { GRADE } \\ & \text { OF } \\ & \text { THROUGH } \\ & \text { ROADWAY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TAPER }=30: 1 \\ \Delta=1^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 33^{v} \\ \text { ROADWAY } \\ \text { DES]GN SPEED } \\ =75 \mathrm{NPH} \\ 0=23^{\prime} \\ \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{~min}=350^{\prime} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TAPER }=30: 1 \\ \triangle=1^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 33^{\mu} \\ \text { ROADKYY } \\ \text { DES[GN SPEED } \\ =70 \mathrm{HPH} \\ 0=23^{\prime} \\ \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{~min}=350^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TAPER }=25: 1 \\ \triangle=2^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 26^{\circ} \\ \text { ROADNAY } \\ \text { DESIGN SPEED } \\ =60 \mathrm{MPH} \\ 0=24^{\prime} \\ \mathrm{L}_{d} \text { min }=300^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TAPER }=25: 1 \\ \Delta=2^{*} 17^{\prime} 26^{*} \\ \text { RADAWAY } \\ \text { DESIGN SPEED } \\ =55 \mathrm{NPH} \\ \text { TO } 50 \mathrm{MPH} \\ 0=24^{\prime} \\ \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{d}} \text { min }=300^{\prime} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TAPER }=25: 1 \\ \Delta=2^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 26^{*} \\ \text { ROADMAY } \\ \text { DES[GN SPEED } \\ =45 \text { HPH } \\ \text { OR LESS } \\ 0=24^{\prime} \\ L_{\mathrm{d}} \text { min }=300^{\prime} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~d} \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~d} \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ld} \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~d} \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~d} \\ (\mathrm{FT}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 20 | -3 TD LESS THAN -5 | 744 | 684 | 576 | 528 | 390 |
|  | BETMEEN -3 AND +3 | 620 | 570 | 480 | 440 | 325 |
|  | +3 TD LESS THAN +5 | 558 | 513 | 432 | 396 | 300 |
| 25 | -3 T0 LESS THAN -5 | 720 | 660 | 552 | 492 | 354 |
|  | EETMEEN -3 AND +3 | 600 | 550 | 460 | 410 | 300 |
|  | +3 T0 LESS THAN +5 | 540 | 495 | 414 | 369 | 300 |
| 30 | -3 T0 LESS THAN -5 | 690 | 624 | 516 | 456 | 300 |
|  | EETMEEN -3 AND +3 | 575 | 520 | 430 | 380 | 300 |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 518 | 468 | 387 | 342 | 300 |
| 35 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 642 | 588 | 486 | 420 | 300 |
|  | EETMEEN -3 AND +3 | 535 | 490 | 405 | 350 | 300 |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 482 | 441 | 365 | 315 | 300 |
| 40 | -3 TD LESS THAN -5 | 588 | 528 | 420 | 342 | 300 |
|  | EETMEEN -3 AND +3 | 490 | 440 | 350 | 300 | 300 |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 441 | 396 | 315 | 300 | 300 |
| 45 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 528 | 468 | 360 | 300 | 300 |
|  | EETMEEN -3 AND +3 | 440 | 390 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
|  | +3 T0 LESS THAN +5 | 396 | 351 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 50 | -3 TD LESS THAN -5 | 468 | 432 | 300 | 300 |  |
|  | EETMEEN -3 AND +3 | 390 | 360 | 300 | 300 |  |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 351 | 350 | 300 | 300 |  |
| 55 | -3 TD LESS THAN -5 | 468 | 432 | 300 | 300 |  |
|  | EETMEEN -3 AND +3 | 390 | 360 | 300 | 300 |  |
|  | +3 TD LESS THAN +5 | 351 | 350 | 300 | 300 |  |
| 60 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 468 | 432 | 300 |  |  |
|  | EETMEEN -3 AND +3 | 390 | 360 | 300 |  |  |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 351 | 350 | 300 |  |  |
| 65 | -3 TD LESS THAN -5 | 468 | 432 |  |  |  |
|  | EETMEEN -3 AND +3 | 390 | 360 |  |  |  |
|  | +3 TO LESS THAN +5 | 351 | 350 |  |  |  |
| 70 | -3 TD LESS THAN -5 | 468 | 432 |  |  |  |
|  | EETMEEN -3 AND +3 | 390 | 360 |  |  |  |
|  | +3 TD LESS THAN +5 | 351 | 350 |  |  |  |
| 75 | -3 TO LESS THAN -5 | 468 |  |  |  |  |
|  | EETMEEN -3 AND +3 | 390 |  |  |  |  |
|  | +3 TD LESS THAN +5 | 351 |  |  |  |  |

Note: When an Ld value of 300' is used for malniline design speeds of 60 mph and less. the paraliel portion of the ramp is omitted, and the ramp taper connects directly with the malniline taper to form a unlform deflection ( $\Delta$ ).

NOT TO SCALE

NOTES:

1. The designer has the flexibility to choose the taper type ramp or the parallel type ramp. However, the same type of entrance and exit ramp should be used within an interchange and corridor. Uniformity in design is needed to aid driver expectancy. On sharp curves, it may be preferable to use parallel type ramp.
2. Select design speed based on a combination of the superelevation rate and the radius of the curve. See also chapter 3 of the MDOT Rood Design Manual.
3. If an additional through lane is provided or the exit ramp leaves the moinline on the high side (outside) of the curve, use GEO-131-Series.
4. If the through pavement is curved, plot offsets for toper and connect with the appropriate curve
5. Prepared detail grodes and profiles from Section B-8 through Section A-A.
6. Spirals transitions should be used on new ramp alignments based on the design speed of the curve and the radius os shown in the toble of the Rood Standard PIan R-107-Series. The toble gives the moximum radius in which a spiral should be used.
7. The maximum ol gebroic difference in povement cross slope between the moinline and the ramp auxiliary Iane should not exceed $5 \%$.
8. The cross slope in the gore ared between the $2 \mathrm{ft}(0.6 \mathrm{~m})$ point and the $22 \mathrm{ft}(6.6 \mathrm{~m})$ point should not exceed $8 \%$, with a $6 \%$ maximum al gebraic difference in cross slope between the gore and the odjacent paved Iane. This algebraic difference also applies within cromed gores.
9. The design speed of the ramp vertical alignment should meet or exceed the design speed of the ramp horizontal alignment.
10. The mainline shoulder width should extend along the ramp to where the gore is 2 ft $(0.6 \mathrm{~m})$ wide. Use a $1: 25$ toper transition where it joins the ramp shoulder poving.
11. Each ramp will be carefully studied to provide moximum vision at its merge points See Geometric Design Guide Geo-300-Series.
12. Caution must be used in positioning a taper type deceleration lane on a left turning highway. The exit should begin before or after the P.C. or S.T. to avoid having the appearance of an extension of the mainline to the motorist. Consider using a parallel type deceleration lane.
13. The sight distance in odvance of the exit ramp gore should be at least $25 \%$ langer than the minimum stopping sight distance for the design speed of the mainline.
14. These design concepts are for new construction. Where modification may be needed for retrofitting to existing road features, consult with the Geometric Design Unit of Lansing Traffic and Safety.

## INTERCHANGE

## Upgrading Existing One-Lane ENTRANCE Ramps on "3R" Freeway Projects

## Tapered GEO-100 <br> (Meet $L_{a}$ and $L_{\text {gap }}$ )

Locate 12' Width Point
Stub on Length of Parallel 12'
Lane as Needed to Achieve
Required $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\text {gap }}$ Distances
Add 300' Closing Taper

## Parallel GEO-101 <br> $\left(\right.$ Meet $L_{a}$ and $\left.L_{\text {gap }}\right)$

Locate End of 12' Parallel Lane Stub on Length of Parallel 12'
Lane as Needed to Achieve
Required $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\text {gap }}$ Distances
Add 300' Closing Taper

## INTERCHANGE

## Upgrading Existing One-Lane EXIT Ramps on " 3 R" Freeway Projects

## Tapered GEO-130

(Meet $\mathbf{L}_{d}$ )

## Locate 12' Width Point

Stub on Length of Parallel 12'
Lane as Needed to Achieve Required $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{d}}$ Distance

Add 300' Opening Taper

## Parallel GEO-131

(Meet $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{d}}$ )
Locate End of 12' Parallel Lane
Stub on Length of Parallel 12'
Lane as Needed to Achieve Required $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{d}}$ Distance

Add 300' Opening Taper

## INTERCHANGE

| US Customary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway design speed (mph) | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 |
| Ramp design speed (mph) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper range (85\%) | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 48 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 |
| Middle range ( $70 \%$ ) | 20 | 25 | 30 | 33 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 45 | 50 | 55 |
| Lower range (50\%) | 15 | 18 | 20 | 23 | 25 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 35 | 40 |
| Corresponding minimum radius (ft) | To Determine Minimum Radius and Design Speed of Ramp See Standard Plan R-107 or Straight Line Chart |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Exhibit 10-56. Guide Values for Ramp Design Speed as Related to Highway Design Speed

## INTERCHANGE

## 12' Width Entrance and Exit Slip Ramps

Slip Ramps Connect a Freeway to a Parallel Service Road Not a Perpendicular Crossroad

Only for Use on True "Urban" Freeways Maximum Design Speed 60 mph

Minimum Radii of $1145^{\prime}$ (Maximum Curvature of $5^{\circ}$ ) for Any Horizontal Curves

## INTERCHANGE



## INTERCHANGE







DETAIL 4
THREE LANE RAMP TERMINAL
** Widening for odditional lane(s) should occur on the outside of the romo (furthest from the moinline freewoy).
When it is not desirable to ood lane(s) to the autside of When it is not desirable to odd lane(s) to the autside of the ramp. The desired widening should de clear ly shom on the Dlons. See olso Stondcrd PIon R-42-Series.
NOT TO SCALE


at unsignolized ramp terminols. it is desircble to redirect the left turns right from the ramp to on odjocent directionol crossover when the median width con occomodate truck troffic (ME-65).

DETAIL 5
PARCLO ENTRANCE AND EXIT TERMINAL


NOTES:

1. The dimensions used on this Geometric Design Guide are typical.
2. Where feasible, joint line and lane line markings shall coincide.
3. See Stondord PIon R-42-Series for joint loyout.
4. Clear vision areas and sight distance along the ramp and its terminals must be according to current MDOT proctice. No hidden ramp or disappearing crossroad grodes will be permitted.
5. Provide intersection sight distance ot all exit ramp terminals.
6. Alternate Typical A may be used when construction and maintenance issues make the $13.5^{\prime}(4.1 \mathrm{~m})$ curb setbock undesiroble or the crossrood is curbed.

## CLEAR VISION AREAS

- Geometric Design Guidance 1.1.3
- Geometric Design Guide GEO-300-D
- Ramps
- Crossroad
- Terminals
- Merge/Diverge Areas


### 1.1.3 Clear Vision Areas

In order to enhance the safe and efficient movement of traffic, the acquisition of certain properties (or portions thereof) at intersections sometimes is necessary. The following guidelines should be followed.

Clear vision areas will be obtained at all at-grade intersections of trunklines with other roads or streets in rural areas including freeway ramps. Interchange ramps are considered trunkline.

Clear vision areas will not be obtained within urban areas as determined by the Bureau of Transportation Planning's urban area boundary description and map. Clear vision areas will not be obtained within rural areas contiguous to sections of trunkline where urban conditions exist to the extent that 50 percent or more of the trunkline frontage is occupied by residential, business, or industrial development.

The Region/TSC Traffic and Safety Representative reviews each case from a traffic operational and safety standpoint and recommends one of the following courses of action: acquire all or part of area, defer acquisition in particular quadrant to future date, or eliminate all clear vision.

For additional information and guidance regarding clear vison areas, please refer to MDOT Geometric Design Guide GEO-300 and the Michigan Road Design Manual, Chapter 5. Right Of Way.

## CLEAR VISION AREAS

### 1.1.3 Clear Vision Areas

In order to enhance the safe and efficient movement of traffic, the acquisition of certain properties (or portions thereof) at intersections sometimes is necessary. The following guidelines should be followed.

Clear vision areas will be obsinged at all at-grade intersections of trunklines with other roads or streets in rural areas 社. diding freeway ramps. Interchange ramps are considered trunkline.

Clear vision areas will not be obtained within urban areas as determined by the Bureau of Transportation Planning's urban area boundary description and map. Clear vision areas will not be obtained within rural areas contiguous to sections of trunkline where urban conditions exist to the extent that 50 percent or more of the trunkline frontage is occupied by residential, business, or industrial development.

The Region/TSC Traffic and Safety Representative reviews each case from a traffic operational and safety standpoint and recommends one of the following courses of action: acquire all or part of area, defer acquisition in particular quadrant to future date, or eliminate all clear vision.

For additional information and guidance regarding clear vison areas, please refer to MDOT Geometric Design Guide GEO-300 and the Michigan Road Design Manual, Chapter 5. Right Of Way.

## L.A. ROW \& CLEAR VISION AREAS



## L.A. ROW \& CLEAR VISION AREAS

GEO-300-D
(Sheet 5 of 6)


## L.A. ROW \& CLEAR VISION AREAS

## GEO-300-D

(Sheet 3 of 6 )

illustrative guide for vision requirements


## QUESTIONS



## INTERSECTION DESIGN

## INTERSECTIONS



- Intersection - The general area where two or more roadways join or cross, including the roadway and roadside facilities for traffic movements within the area.


## INTERSECTIONS

Types<br>T - Three Leg<br>4 - Leg<br>Multi-Leg



## INTERSECTIONS

Provide Ease/Control of Access Consistent with the Function of Intersecting Roadways

The...

Efficiency
Safety
Speed
Delay
Capacity
...of the Facility Depend on the Design


## INTERSECTION DESIGN ELEMENTS



## INTERSECTIONS



DEFINEO BY PHYSICAI AREA

## Kеер <br> Access Points Out of

 Functional
## Intersection

 AreaDEFINED BY FUNCTIONAL INTERSECTION AREA
Exhibit 9-1. Physical and Functional Intersection Area

## INTERSECTIONS

## Intersecting Roads Should Meet At Right Angles

 $75^{\circ}$ to $105^{\circ}$ Desirable

Side Roads
Landings $\leq 2 \%$


## Adequate ISD \& Clear Vision Corners Should be Provided

## TURNED IN ROADWAYS

## GEO-640



## TRAFFIC AND SAFETY NOTE 612A

## SUBJECT:

## Clear Vision Areas

## PURPOSE:

## Provide Guidance on When to Obtain Clear Vision Areas

## COORDINATING UNIT: Geometric Design Unit

INFORMATION: In order to enhance the safe and efficient movement of traffic, the acquisition of certain properties, or portions thereof, at intersections sometimes is necessary. The following guidelines should be followed.

Clear vision areas will be obtained at all at-grade intersections of trunklines with other roads or streets in rural areas including freeway ramps. Interchange ramps are considered trunkline.

Clear vision areas will not be obtained within urban areas as determined by the Bureau of Transportation Planning's urban area boundary description and map. Clear vision areas will not be obtained within rural areas contiguous to sections of trunkline where urban conditions exist to the extent that 50 percent or more of the trunkline frontage is occupied by residential, business, or industrial development.

The Region/TSC Traffic and Safety Representative reviews each case from a traffic operational and safety standpoint and recommends one of the following courses of action: acquire all or part of area, defer acquisition in particular quadrant to future date, or eliminate all clear vision.

## INTERSECTIONS



## INTERSECTIONS



## Treating superelevation/intersection conflicts:

Assuming it is unfeasible to include proper crossroad work per G-650 by using vertical curves, the maximum algebraic difference in cross-slope at a crossroad should be $4 \%$, to prevent vehicle smagging or bottoming out.


Example:
Trunkline superelevation $=7 \%$
T-intersection
Crossroad on high side of super


1. Prior to the intersection, transition down the right tum lane or shoulder at the same delta $\%$ as the trunkline.
2. Reverse the process beyond the intersection.

## Points of consideration:

1. Is the existing super on the trunkline parabolic?
2. How much of a grade raise is expected on the high side to upgrade the super to R-107 or the Straight Line chart?
3. How far down will work have to occur on the crossroad in order to tie-in to the mainline properly?
4. Are excessive intersection breaks ( $>4 \%$ on both the high side and low side) being created due to the super upgrade?

## super upgrade?

5. What impact will a large grade raise have on nearby ditches, sidewalks, or sideslopes?
6. Four leg intersection - ensure adequate sight distance is available over the high side of super for vehicles turning left out of either side of the crossroad and for thru vehicles on the trumkline to see approaching crossroad vehicles. "This is especially critical at intersections that are signalized or will have future signalization.*

## UNCURBED INTERSECTIONS

M[WIMIMM PAVED APRON
Mil Paved should
ZIID Paved as per plans


APPROACH TREATMENT DETAIL II


NOT TO SCALE


## GEO-650

## CURBED INTERSECTIONS

APPROACH TREATMENT DETAIL III
M[H]MM PAVED APRON
S Paved shoulder V17 Paved os per plans

1. MINIMUM CURBED CONNECTION Curbed rodt should be used on malar collector
rocods, when gravel occanulation and/or vehicle roads. when gravel ocaumulation and/or vehicle encroochment is a problefnl. or when roadside control is desircmie.


NOT TO SCALE
 FILE:PV RD TS $6 e 0 /$ /mbot trof $6 E 0-650-0.0$ REV. 03/19/2010 FLAM DATE:

## GEO-650




## GEO-650



TYPE 5: TWO TO THREE LANE TRANSITION FOR CENTER LANE FOR LEFT TURNS
(RIGHT TURN LANE OPTIONAL)


THRU LANE SHIFT L (TYP)


L= langth in feat (metars)
$S=$ posted speed in moh (kph
$y=$ offset in feet (metars)

TYPE 5: MODIFIED (LEFT TURN LANE), FOR T-INTERSECTIONS


NOT TO SCALE


## GEO-650



## TABLE OF RADII FOR DESIGN VEHICLES <br> SEE HOTE 4

TABLE 1 (R*)

| TURN FRDM $12^{\prime}(3.6 \mathrm{~m})$ OUTSLDE LANE TO$12^{\prime}(3.6 \mathrm{~m})$ OUTSIDE LANE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DES[GN VEHICLES | AMGLES DF TURN |  |  |
|  | $60^{*-79 *}$ | $80^{\circ}-99^{\circ}$ | $100^{\circ}-120^{*}$ |
| P | $30^{\prime} \quad(9 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{R}$ | 30' (9m)R | 30' (9m/R |
| SU | $50^{\prime} \quad(15 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{R}$ | $50^{\prime}(15 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{R}$ | $40^{\prime}(12 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{R}$ |
| WE-50 | $90^{\prime}$ [27m)R | $80^{\prime}(24 m) \mathrm{R}$ | $60^{\prime} \quad(18 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{R}$ |
| WB-65 | $170^{\prime}$ ( 51 m ) R | $110^{\prime}(33 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{R}$ | $80^{\prime}(24 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{R}$ |

TABLE 2 (R**)

| TURN FROM $12^{\prime}$ ( 3.6 m ) OUTS[DE LANE TO $20^{\prime}$ ( 6 m ) OUTSJDE LANE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DESJGN VEHJCLES | ANGLES OF TURN |  |  |
|  | $60^{*}-79^{*}$ | $80^{\circ}-99^{\circ}$ | $100^{\circ}-120^{\circ}$ |
| P | $30^{\prime} \quad(9 m) \mathrm{R}$ | $30^{\prime} \quad(9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{R}$ | $30^{\prime} \quad(9 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{R}$ |
| SU | $30^{\prime} \quad(9 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{R}$ | $30^{\prime} \quad(9 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{R}$ | $30^{\prime} \quad(9 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{R}$ |
| WB-50 | $50^{\prime} \quad(15 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{R}$ | $50^{\prime}(15 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{R}$ | $40^{\prime}$ ( 12 m ) R |
| WB-65 | $70^{\prime}(21 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{R}$ | $60^{\prime} \quad(18 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{R}$ | $50^{\prime}(15 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{R}$ |

## GEO-650



1. Design vehicles; $\mathrm{P}=$ Passenger Car, $\mathrm{SU}=$ Single Unit Truck ( $30^{\prime}$ ( 9 m ) overall), WB-50=Tractor-Trailer Combination (50' (15m) wheelbase), WB-65=]nterstate SemiTrailer ( $65^{\prime}$ ( 19.8 m ) wheelbase).
2. Angle of intersection of approach road and state highway should not be less than 60 degrees ar more than 120 degrees.
3. The above tables are to be used as a guide, turning vehicle templates or AutoTurn should be used for verlfication.
4. When a state highway intersects a one way approach, in non-turning quadrants the radius shall be a maximum of $10^{\prime}(3 \mathrm{~m})$.
5. On the National Truck Network and Green Route Intersectlons where trucks turn, a WB-65 ]nterstate Semi-Trailer is the design vehicle.
6. For dual turns - consult the Geometric Review and Congestion Analysis Unit, Division of Operations.

## GEO-650



NOTES:

1. An intersecting road as herein defined may be a city street, county road or state highway.
2. $12^{\prime}(3.6 \mathrm{~m})$ wide lanes are to be used unless conditions require narrower lanes.
3. On harizontal curves, the cross slope on turn lanes should be the same as the through pavement, where physical constraints do not make this practical the max lmum al lowable algebralc difference in cross-slope between the turn lane and mainline is $5 \%$ with a desirable maximum of $4 \%$.
4. See Standard PIan R-30-Series for curb and gutter details.
5. Clear vision areas should be considered at all intersections.
6. Alternate Typical A may be used when construction and mintenance make the $13.5^{\prime}$ ( 4.1 m ) curb setback undesirable or the crossroad is curbed.
7. Current AASHTO "A Policy on Geametric Design of Highways and Streets" and MDOT Guidelines should be used for sight distance requirements.
8. See Traffic \& Safety Note 614A for guidance on nearside and farside lane drops at intersections.
9. These design concepts are for new construction. Where madification may be needed for retrofitting to existing road features, consult the Geometric Review and Congestion Analysis Unit, Division of Operations.

## ROUNDABOUTS

## Potential Benefits:

$>$ Reduced Delay
> Reduced Conflict Points
> Reduced Crash Severity
$>$ Reduced Bridge Width
> Reduced Design Requirements

## ROUNDABOUTS



Yield at Entry

Deflected at Entry

Flared at Entry

Traffic Calming

## ROUNDABOUTS



## ROUNDABOUTS

## Common Misconceptions:

$>$ Do Not Accommodate Large Trucks
> Difficult to Navigate/Confusing to Motorists

## ROUNDABOUTS

| Number of Roundabouts (Existing/Constructed) | Location | County | Time of Construction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \text { (2 trunkline) } \end{aligned}$ | US-23/8 Mile Road Interchange 8 Mile Road and Whitmore Lake Road | Washtenaw/ Livingston |  |
| 2 | US-23/N. Territorial Road Interchange | Washtenaw |  |
| 1 | M-52 at Church/Broad Street | Lenawee |  |
| 1 | US-41 at Grove Street | Marquette |  |
| 1 | US-41 at Marquette Hospital Drive | Marquette |  |
| 2 | 1-94 at Sprinkle Rd. interchange | Kalamazoo |  |
| 1 | US-12 at old M-205 and Five Points Road | Cass |  |
| 2 | M-72 near US-31. Acme | Grand Traverse |  |
| 1 | US-131 at Fife Lake Road | Grand Traverse |  |
| 1 | M-52 at Werkner Rd. | Washtenaw |  |
| 1 | US-41/M-28 at $2^{\text {aj }}$ St | Marquette |  |
| 1 | M-11 at Remembrance Rd., Walker | Kent | September 2015 |
| 1 | M-30 at WB US-10 Ramps, Sanford | Midland | June, 2015 |
| 1 | US-10 BR/ M-20 at Patrick Road, Midland | Midland | 2014 |
| 1 | M-37/M-115 east junction near Mesick | Wexford | September 2013 |
| 2 | US-23/US-223 interchange | Monroe | August 2013 |
| 1 | M-93 at Camp Grayling' Howe Road, Grayling Township | Crawford | 2012 |
| 1 | M-5 at Pontiac Trail, Commerce Township | Oakland | 2012 |
| 2 | the 1-94 at Main Street interchange, Mattawan | Van Buren | September 2011 |
| 2 | US-23 at Geddes Road interchange, Ann Arbor and Ann Arbor Township | Washtenaw | October 2010 |
| 1 | US-41/M-28 at Front Street, Marquette | Marquette | September 2010 |
| 2 | 1-94 Business Loop/Main Street at Riverview Drive and at 5 th Street, Benton Harbor | Berrien | November 2009 |
| 1 | M-46 at M-37/ Newaygo Rd | Muskepon | October 2009 |
| 1 | US-127 Business Route at Mission Road, Clare | Isabella | June 2009 |
| 2 | M-53 at 26 Mile Road interchange | Macomb | July 2009 |
| 1 | M-43 at the intersection of 72 nd St . County Road (CR) 689 and 12th Avenue CR 384, South Haven | Van Buren | November 2008 |
| 2 | M-14 at Maple Road interchange, Ann Arbor | Washtenaw | July 2007 |
| 2 | 1-75/M-81 interchange | Saginaw | December 2006 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3 \\ \text { (2 trunkline) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | US-23 at Lee Rd. Interchange (US23/Lee Rd./ Whitmore Lake Rd.) | Livingston | 2006 |
| 1 | M-53 at $181 / 2$ Mile (Van Dyke) Road, Sterling Heights | Macomb | June 2005 |
| Total: |  |  |  |
| 44 | 30 |  |  |

## IN MICHIGAN

| Number of Roundabouts <br> (Planned/Proposed) | Location | County | Time of Construction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1-75BL/Mackinac Trai/3 Mile Road |  | February 2018 Letting |
| 2 | 1-94/Cooper Street Interchange |  | June 2018 Letting |
| 1 | NB 1-75 Ramps at Bristol Rd. |  |  |
| 2 | US-23/US-12 Interchange |  |  |
| 1 | M-343 at G Avenue | Kalamazoo |  |
| Total: |  |  |  |
| 7 | 5 |  |  |



Roundabout in Saginaw County at I-75@M-81
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## DIVIDED ROADWAY INTERSECTIONS

## Michigan Vehicle Code

"...where a highway includes two roadways 30 feet or more apart, then every crossing of each such divided highway by an intersecting highway shall be regarded as a separate intersection..."

## MMUTCD

Provides direction for use and placement of TCD's at divided highway intersections





| Type of Maneuver |  | M - Min. width of median $\mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{m})$ for design vehicle |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | P | SU | BUS | WB-50 | WB-65 |
| Left <br> Lone <br> to <br> Inner <br> Lone <br> Ler |  | $\begin{gathered} 44^{\prime} \\ (13.4 \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76^{\prime} \\ (23.2 \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80^{\prime} \\ (24 \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82^{\prime} \\ (25 \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82^{\prime} \\ & (25 \mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Left } \\ & \text { Lone } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { Lond } \\ & \text { Lone } \end{aligned}$ | $=\sqrt{1}=\frac{1}{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32^{\prime} \\ (9.8 \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64^{\prime} \\ (19.5 \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68^{\prime} \\ (20.7 \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70^{\prime} \\ (21 \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 701 \\ & (21 \mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 22^{\prime} \\ (6.7 \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54^{\prime} \\ (16.5 \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58^{\prime} \\ (17.7 \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60^{\prime} \\ (18 \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60^{\prime} \\ & (18 m) \end{aligned}$ |

* To occommodote WB-65 semi-trucks, provide $36^{\prime}(11 \mathrm{~m})$ crossover width or $4^{\prime}(1.2 \mathrm{~m})$ poved areo behind curb
on the inside rodius. from spring poin on the inside rodius. from spring poin to spring point.

Vehicle Codes and Length of Design Vehicle - ft (m)
$\mathrm{P}=$ Possenger. $19^{\prime}(5.8 \mathrm{~m})$
SU $=$ Single Unit Truck. $30^{\circ}(9 \mathrm{~m})$ BUS $=$ Bus. $40^{\prime}(12 \mathrm{~m})$
*B-50 $=$ Semi-Truck Medium Size, $55^{\prime}$ ( 16.5 m ) * 8 -65 $=$ Semi-Truck Lorge Size. $70^{\circ}$ (21m)

## NOTES:

1. Crossovers should be colled for by their respective detoil number or detailed in the plans.
2. Crossover details are to be used on free-access facilities only.
3. Bi-directional crossovers should have a minimum width of $30^{\prime}(9 \mathrm{~m})$ at intersecting streets or commerciol or ivewoys which are 30 gm or less in width. For intersecting streets or commercial driveways that have a width of greater than $30^{\prime}(9 \mathrm{~m})$, the width of the crossover should motch the cross street width
4. Desirobly, free-access crossover grodes should not exceed $3 \%$; steeper grades require special study.
5. For type of curb on crossovers, see Sec. 6.06.06 of Road Design Manual .
6. For typical joint layouts on concrete pavement, see Standard Plan R-42-Series.
7. These design concepts are for new construction. Where modification may be needed for retrofitting to existing rood feotures, consult the Geometric Review and Congestion Analysis Unit, Division of Operotions.
8. Current AASHTO "A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets" and MDOT Guidelines should be used for sight distance requirements.

NOT TO SCALE
wLCHIGAN OEPAFTIENT CF TRANSPOATATLON TRAFFJC AND SAFETY CEOVETRIC OESJGN GULDE O6/10/2014
TLE: PA RD TS Ceo/moot trof CEO-670-E.097

## CROSSOVERS

## Truck Loon Detail



## INDIRECT LEFT TURNS

## Advantages Safety <br> Capacity <br> Efficiency

## Disadvantages <br> Adverse Distance <br> Weaving

## INDIRECT LEFT TURNS



## DIRECT LEFT TURNS



1. Ensure design vehicle can turn opposite another design vehicle without encroaching. This can be verified with turning templates. Widen the median opening as needed.
2. Ensure (in high speed areas) that the left turn bay is placed such that a median shoulder can still be provided.
3. Ensure that there is adequate storage length for left-turning vehicles.
4. Ensure that once the design vehicle completes the left-turn that it does not encroach into the crossroad traffic's outbound lanes.

This can be verified with turning templates.

## RIGHT TURN LANES AND TAPERS

(Geometric Design Guidance Document 1.1.4)
(Formerly Traffic and Safety Note 604A)

- At Any Intersection Where a Capacity Analysis Determines a Right Turn Lane is Required for a Desired LOS
- Crash Experience, Engineering Judgment, Indicates a Right Turn Lane will Improve Operations
- Any Unsignalized Intersection which Satisfies the Criteria on the Following Charts...

RIGHT
(Geomet (Form



NOTE: For posted speeds at or under 45 mph , peak hour right turns greater than 40 vph , and total peak hour approach less than 300 vph , adjust right turn volumes.

Adjust peak hour
Right turns $=$ Peak hour Right turns - 20
*If a center left-turn lane exists (ie 3 or 5 lane roadway), subtract the number of left turns in approach volume form the total approach volume to get an adjusted total approach volume.

Sample Problem: The Design Speed is 55 mph . The Peak Hour Approach Volume is 300 vph. The Number of Right Turns in the Peak Hous is 100 vph . Determine if a right turn lane is recommended.

Solution: Figure indicates that the intersection of 300 vph and 100 vph is located above the upper trend line; thus, a right-turn lane may be recommended.

## IPERS
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## LEFT TURN LANES AND FLARES

## (Geometric Design Guidance Document 1.1.5) (Formerly Traffic and Safety Note 605A)

- Unsignalized Intersections on Two-Lane Highways: Charts for $35 \mathrm{mph}, 45 \mathrm{mph}$, and 55 mph
- Unsignalized Intersections on Four-Lane Highways: Chart for any/all speeds
- Any Intersection where...
- Crash Experience
- Traffic Operations
- Sight Distance Concerns
...Indicate that a Left Turn would Improve Operations


## LEFT

## (Geomet (Forn

## Two-Lane Highways



## Instructions:

1. The family of curves represent the percentage of left turns in advancing volume ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ). The designer should locate the curve for the actual percentage of left turns. When this is not an even increment of 5 , the designer should estimate where the curve lies.
2. Read $V_{A}$ and $V_{D}$ into the chart and locate the intersection of the two volumes.
3. Note the location of the point in $\# 2$ relative to the line in \#1. If the point is to the right of the line, then a left-turn lane is recommended. If the point is to the left of the line, then a left-turn is not recommended based on traffic volumes.

## ARES
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## LEFT 1

## (Geometr (Form

## Four-Lane

 Highways

NOTE:

## LARES

lent 1.1.5)
605A)

When $\mathrm{V}_{0}<400 \mathrm{vph}$ (dashed line), a Left-Tum Lane is Not Normally Warranted Unless The Advancing Volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{A}\right)$ in The Same Direction as the Left-Tuming Traffic Exceeds $400 \mathrm{vph}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}>400 \mathrm{vph}\right)$.

## DRIVEWAY PASSING FLARES

## (Geometric Design Guidance Document 1.2.3) (Formerly Traffic and Safety Note 603A)

- Function of Peak Hour Left Turn Volume and 24 Hour Two-Way Volume
- Prohibit Left Turns
- Provide Driveway Passing Flare
- Cost Should be Borne by Developer
- See GEO-650-D for Design Considerations


### 1.2.3 Traffic Volume Guidelines for Driveway Passing Flares

DRI
Driveways serving large developments along state trunkline highways frequently generate large numbers of left-turns. On two-lane, two-way roadways, this situation can aggravate the efficiency of traffic operations and often make shoulder maintenance difficult. In such situations, prohibition of left-turns at driveways to large developments or construction of driveway passing flares should be considered.

In an attempt to alleviate the types of problems outlined above, the following chart is provided showing the relationship between peak hour left-turns and 24 -hour volumes. When peak hour leftturns and 24 -hour volumes fall within the area above and to the right of the trend line, left-turns should be prohibited or a driveway passing flare be installed. If a driveway passing flare is constructed, the entire cost should be borne by the developer. For additional information and geometric design guidance regarding driveway passing flares, please refer to Geometric Design Guide GEO-650.

## RES
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TWO-WAY 24 HOUR VOLIME

NOTE: This chart is based on Total Development and is for Two-Way Roadways.

### 1.2.2 Spacing for Commercial Drives and Streets

SPACING
The spacing of access for commercial driveways and streets is an important element in the planning, design, and operation of roadways. Access points are the main location of crashes and congestion. Their location and spacing directly affect the safety and functional integrity of the roadway.

Region Review: The Region/TSC Utility and Permit Engineer shall forward the site plan and the access request to the Region/TSC Traffic and Safety Representative for review. In general, one access point is adequate for a single business. When one-way pair driveways (In-Out) are requested and the inside traffic circulation promotes such operation, these driveways may be considered as a single access point. In some cases multiple access points are requested. In this case, the Region/TSC Traffic and Safety Representative may require a traffic impact study from the business owner/property owner to justify the need for the multiple accesses. A copy of the following information may be sent to the business owner/property owner to outline the traffic analysis needed.

Unsignalized Access Spacing: Adjacent accesses should be spaced as far apart as on-site circulation allows. In some cases the Region/TSC Traffic and Safety Representative may require that the business owner/property owner redesign his site plan, and relocate the access point to meet the desirable spacing distance. Table 1 shows the desirable unsignalized access spacing as a function of posted speed. These distances are based on average acceleration and deceleration considered adequate to maintain good traffic operations. The sight distance at the access points must also be investigated.

| Posted Speed <br> $m p h(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Center-to-Center of Access <br> feet (meters) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $25(40)$ | $130 \quad(40)$ |
| $30(50)$ | $185 \quad(55)$ |
| $35(60)$ | $245 \quad(75)$ |
| $40(60)$ | $300(90)$ |
| $45(70)$ | $350(105)$ |
| $50(80)$ and above | $455(140)$ |

Table 1

Lack of Sufficient Frontage to Maintain Adjacent Spacing: In the event that a particular parcel lacks sufficient frontage to maintain adequate spacing, the Region/TSC Traffic and Safety and Utility and Permit Engineers have the following options.

1. Choose the next lowest spacing from Table 1. For example, on 30 mph ( 50
$\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ roadway requiring $185 \mathrm{ft}(56 \mathrm{~m})$ spacing, the distance may be reduced
to no less than $130 \mathrm{ft}(40 \mathrm{~m})$ which is the spacing from $25 \mathrm{mph}(40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr})$
$\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ roadway requiring $185 \mathrm{ft}(56 \mathrm{~m})$ spacing, the distance may be reduced
to no less than $130 \mathrm{ft}(40 \mathrm{~m})$ which is the spacing from $25 \mathrm{mph}(40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr})$ speed.
2. Encourage a shared driveway with the adjacent owners. In such case the driveway midpoint may be located at the property line between two parcels. However, all parties must agree to the joint driveway in writing.
3. Provide an access point to the side street when it is possible.
4. In areas where frontage roads or service drives exist or can be constructed, individual properties shall be provided access to these drives rather than directly to the main highway.
5. After all the above options are exhausted, an access point may be allowed within the property limits as determined by the Region/TSC Traffic and Safety and the Utility and Permit Engineers.

Intersection Corner Clearance: AASHTO specifically states that driveways should not be situated within the functional boundary of at-grade intersections. This boundary includes the longitudinal limits of auxiliary lanes. An access point may be allowed within the above boundary if the entire property frontage is located within this boundary. In all quadrants of an intersection access points should be located according to the dimensions shown in Figure 1.

Conflict Reductions: Restricting or prohibiting left turns at unsignalized access points aligned across from each other can greatly reduce safety and operational problems. A typical four-legged intersection, such as where two accesses line up across a four-lane roadway, has 36 conflict points. By prohibiting left turns and through movements the number of conflicts can be reduced from 36 to four, as illustrated in Figure 2.

In cases where these movements cannot be prohibited, the Region/TSC Traffic and Safety Representative may choose to offset the access points. Table 2 provides the desirable distances between two access points on the opposite side of the roadway.

| Posted Speed <br> $m p h(k m / h r)$ | Desirable Offset Between Access Points on <br> Opposite Sides of the Roadway Center-to-Center of <br> Access On Undivided Highways <br> feet (meters) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $25(40)$ | $255 \quad(80)$ |
| $30(50)$ | $325 \quad(100)$ |
| $35(60)$ | $425 \quad(130)$ |
| $40(60)$ | $525 \quad(160)$ |
| $45(70)$ | $630(190)$ |
| $50(80)$ and above | $750 \quad(230)$ |

Table 2


### 1.1.6 Near Side/Far Side Lane Drops

The following guidelines, based on an ITE report, are qualitative in order to encourage the evaluation of lane drops at intersections on an individual basis:

## General

1. Engineering judgment is the primary basis for determining the appropriate intersection lane drop, near-side or far-side. Additionally, engineering judgment should prevail when applying the distances recommended in these guidelines to specific traffic conditions.
2. Intersection capacity, intersection turning volumes (especially right turns), parking and right of way restrictions, design speed, lighting, and safety are significant considerations in the evaluation of the appropriate intersection lane drop, either near-side or far-side.
3. The Decision Sight Distance concept is applicable to the geometric design and placement of traffic control devices for both near-side and far-side intersection lane drops.
4. Intersection lane drops present the driver with a high judgment, complex driving situation and, therefore, the most effective signing and pavement marking is recommended (please refer to the appropriate figures).
5. Far-side intersection lane drops are preferred over near-side. To some extent both types of lane drops have been used for different purposes (far-side for capacity; near-side for operations).
6. Intersection lane drops can be associated with an interim condition before a highway widening is extended at a future date. If it is planned to continue the widening, a far-side lane drop has the advantage of placing the beginning of the new construction well beyond the intersection (please refer to the appropriate figures).

## Near-Side Intersection Lane Drop

1. A near-side intersection lane drop is applicable at an urban area intersection with a heavy right turn volume and is not recommended for use in a high speed, unlighted rural area. The "trap lane" should be avoided except where extenuating circumstances such as a heavy right turn volume and/or where a far-side intersection lane drop is not feasible due to constraints (e.g. prohibitive right of way costs).
2. The Decision Sight Distance concept can be applied to the placement of traffic control devices for near-side intersection lane drops. The distances traveled during the reaction time (detection, recognition, decision, response) plus the vehicle maneuver time will produce the total Decision Sight Distance values required for various posted speeds (please refer to Table 1). These Decision Sight Distance values, in addition to allowances for queue lengths (assumed signalized intersection), will establish reasonable sign and pavement marking locations (please refer to the top figure).
3. The signing and pavement markings for near-side intersection lane drops need special emphasis. An advance warning sign, THRU TRAFFIC MERGE LEFT (W4-7), is recommended. Advance street name signs and special pavement markings in the dropped lane will also reinforce the advance warning sign and provide motorists with the necessary guidance to react and maneuver the vehicle safely and effectively to avoid the "trap lane"
(please refer to the top figure). In addition, lane control signs (R3-7 series) or RIGHT LANE MUST TURN RIGHT (R3-7R) support the use of the right turn lane. The same sign should be used at both locations.

## Far-Side Intersection Lane Drop

1. A far-side intersection lane drop is applicable to both an urban and rural areas, and is
considered to be the preferred intersection lane drop treatment (please refer to the bottom considered to be the preferred intersection lane drop treatment (please refer to the bottom figure).
2. At unsignalized intersections, Decision Sight Distance can be utilized to determine the length beyond the intersection at which the lane should be dropped using the values indicated in Table 1.
3. At signalized intersections, a two part analysis is required. Adequate vehicle storage
beyond the intersection, brought about by the release of vehicles from the traffic signal,
must be considered in addition to the Decision Sight Distance requirement. The larger of
beyond the intersection, brought about by the release of vehicles from the traffic signal,
must be considered in addition to the Decision Sight Distance requirement. The larger of the values calculated using these analyses will provide the required length beyond the intersection as measured from the stop bar.
4. Proper taper lengths ( L ) are calculated from the following formulas:
$\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{W} \times \mathrm{S}$, for S greater than or equal to 45 mph , or, $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{WS}^{2} / 60$, for S less than 45 mph (where $\mathrm{W}=$ width in feet and $\mathrm{S}=$ speed in mph ).
5. Effective signing and pavement markings are necessary components to ensure a successful
lane drop operation. The signing and pavement markings shown in the bottom sketch are recommended for far-side intersection lane drops.
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| Posted <br> speed <br> $(\mathbf{m p h})$ | Decision sight distance (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E |
| 30 | 220 | 490 | 450 | 535 | 620 |
| 35 | 275 | 590 | 525 | 625 | 720 |
| 40 | 330 | 690 | 600 | 715 | 825 |
| 45 | 395 | 800 | 675 | 800 | 930 |
| 50 | 465 | 910 | 750 | 890 | 1030 |
| 55 | 535 | 1030 | 865 | 980 | 1135 |
| 60 | 610 | 1150 | 990 | 1125 | 1280 |
| 65 | 695 | 1275 | 1050 | 1220 | 1365 |
| 70 | 780 | 1410 | 1105 | 1275 | 1445 |
| 75 | 875 | 1545 | 1180 | 1365 | 1545 |
| 80 | 970 | 1685 | 1260 | 1455 | 1650 |

Avoidance Manuever A: Stop on rural road $-t=3.0 \mathrm{~s}$
Avoidance Manuever B: Stop on urban road $-t=9.1 \mathrm{~s}$
Avoidance Manuever C: Speed/path/direction change on rural road - $t$ varies between 10.2 and 11.2 s

Avoidance Manuever D: Speed/path/direction change on suburban road - $t$ varies between 12.1 and 12.9 s
Avoidance Manuever E: Speed/path/direction change on urban road - $t$ varies between 14.0 and 14.5 s

Decision Sight Distance
TABLE 1


## QUESTIONS



## DESIGN EXCEPTIONS DESIGN VARIANCES

## DESIGN ELEMENTS



Design Speed

Superelevation Rate 11
Vertical Clearance
Maximum
Grade

Shoulder Width

Structural Capacity
Stopping Sight Distance
(Horizontal \& Vertical)

## Lane Width

Cross Slope
Horizontal Curve Radius

Superelevation Transition

## DESIGN EXCEPTIONS / VARIANCES (RDM 3.08.01E)

| Non-Standard Design Element (NHS and Non-NHS) <br> (See Section 3.11.01 for DE Criteria for 3R freeway work) | Applicability of <br> Design Exception (DE) <br> Design Variance (DV) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Design Speed |  |
|  | $\geq 50$ MPH | $<50 \mathrm{MPH}$ |
| Design Speed < Posted Speed | DE | DE |
| Lane Width* | DE | DV |
| Shoulder Width | DE | DV |
| Horizontal Curve Radius* | DE | DV |
| Superelevation Rate | DE | DV |
| Superelevation Transition* | DV | DV |
| Maximum Grade* | DE | DV |
| Stopping Sight Distance <br> (Horizontal and Vertical)* | DE | DV |
| Cross Slope | DE | DV |
| Vertical Clearance | DE | DE |
| Design Loading Structural Capacity | DE | DE |
| Ramp Acceleration / Deceleration Length | DV | DV |
| *Values based on design speeds Iess than posted |  |  |

[^0]
## DESIGN CRITERIA

## 3R PROJECTS

Road Design Manual 3.09 \& 3.11.01

NHS
Road Design Manual 3.09.02A

Non-NHS
Road Design Manual 3.09.02B

## 4R PROJECTS

Road Design Manual 3.11

Freeway
Road Design Manual Appendix 3A

Non-Freeway
Road Design Manual Appendix 3A

ROAD DESIGN

### 3.09.02 (continued)



## MICHIGAN DESIGN MANUAL ROAD DESIGN

### 3.09.02 (continued)

## RDM 3.09.02B



## GEOMETRIC REQUIREMENTS FOR FREEWAY PROJECTS INVOLVING 3R WORK TYPES

## 3R FREEWAY ALLOWANCES

| Geometric Design Element |  | Minimum Required Standard * | Compliance Determination |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Speed |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Horizontal Curve Radius (Rmin.) |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Longitudinal Grade (Min./Max.) |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Stopping Sight Distance (Horizontal and/or Vertical)) |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Lane Width |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Shoulder Width |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Superelevation |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Cross-Slope | (Excluding paraboilic Parabolic cross-siopes still require a DE/DV) | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4R project <br> (Unless parabolic; Parabolic cross-slopes must be removed or a $D E / D V$ is required) | Compliance Assumed <br> (Unless parabolic; Parabolic cross-slopes must be removed or a $D E / D V$ is required) |
| Structural Capacity |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Vertical Clearance |  | Standard at the time of construction or the most recent 4 R project | Compliance Assumed |
| Acceleration/Deceleration Length |  | Existing Length | Compliance Assumed |
| * If the project-wide Safety Review identifies a pattern of crashes associated with a particular design element (or elements), then that design element (or those elements) must be bought up to current standards (i.e. the existing design values may not be retained if they do not meet current standards). |  |  |  |

## RDM APPENDIX 3A

## Appendix 3A

GEOMETRIC DESIGN ELEMENTS New Construction / Reconstruction

| Element |  | Urban | Rural |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Speed | Freeway | The greater of posted speed, or 60 mph . | The greater of posted speed, or 70 mph . |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non Freeway (Arterial) | The greater of posted speed, or 30 mph . | The greater of posted speed, or 40 mph .. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Collector Roads | Posted speed (minimum). | Posted speed (minimum).. |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width | Freeway | 12 ft . | 12 ft . |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non Freeway (Arterial) | 12 ft , lanes are most desirable and should be used where <br> practical. $11 \mathrm{ft}$. lanes are often used for low speed ( 45 mph <br> design)Design <br> Speed, <br> $(\mathrm{mph})$ |  | Minimum Lane Width, ft. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Under 400 | $\begin{aligned} & 400 \text { to } \\ & 1500 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1500 \text { to } \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Over } \\ & 2000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Lane widths of 10 ft . may be used in more constrained areas where truck and bus volumes are relatively low and speeds are less than 35 mph . | 40 | $11^{*}$ | $11^{*}$ | ${ }^{11^{*}}$ | 12 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 11* | 11* | 11* | 12 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 11** | 11** | 12 | 12 |
|  |  |  | 55 | $11^{*}$ | $11 *^{*}$ | 12 | 12 |
|  |  | 12 ft . lanes on the National Network (NN). Design exceptions / variances are required to maintain existing narrower lanes. A high burden of justification is required in a design exception / variance to reduce existing lane widths less than or equal to 12'-0". | 60 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
|  |  |  | 65 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
|  |  |  | 70 75 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
|  |  |  | *12 ft. desirable |  |  |  |  |
|  | Collector Roads | Added turn lanes at intersections $10-12 \mathrm{ft}$. <br> Where right-of-way is restricted. $11 \mathrm{ft}$. <br> Industrial Areas $12 \mathrm{ft}$. | Design Speed, (mph) | Minimum Lane Width, ft. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ADT, vehicles/day |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Under 400 | $\begin{aligned} & 400 \text { to } \\ & 1500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1500 \text { to } \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Over } \\ & 2000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 20 | 10* | 10* | 11* | 12 |
|  |  | Where shoulders are used, see guidelines for Rural Collectors | 25 30 | $10 *$ $10 *$ | $10 *$ 10 | $11^{*}$ $11^{*}$ | 12 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 10* | 11* | 11* | 12 |
|  |  |  | 40 | $10^{*}$ | 11** | 11** | 12 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 10* | 11* | 11* | 12 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 10* | 11* | 11* | 12 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 11*** | 11*** | 12 | 12 |
|  |  |  | 60 | 11* | 11* | 12 | 12 |

## RDM APPENDIX 3A

## Appendix 3A

GEOMETRIC DESIGN ELEMENTS New Construction / Reconstruction


## RDM APPENDIX 3A

Appendix 3A GEOMETRIC DESIGN ELEMENTS New Construction / Reconstruction

| Element |  | Urban \& Rural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Loading Structural Capacity (Also see Bridge Design Manual) | Freeway | HS-25/HL93 |  |
|  | Non Freeway | State Trunkline | HS-25/HL93 |
|  |  | Local Roads Over Freeways and State Trunkline | HS-25/HL93 |
|  |  | Local Roads and Streets | Design according to county or city standards, HS20/HL93 min. |
|  |  | Use HS-25/HL93 for all structures in an interchange regardless of route type |  |
| Horizontal Curve Radius | Freeway | See Standard Plan R-107-Series and Section 3.04.03 |  |
|  | Non Freeway (Arterial) |  |  |
|  | Collector Roads |  |  |
|  | Non Freeway (Arterial) |  |  |
|  | Collector Roads |  |  |

## RDM APPENDIX 3A

## Appendix 3A

GEOMETRIC DESIGN ELEMENTS
New Construction / Reconstruction

| Maximum Grade |  |  | Maximum Grade (\%) for specified design speed (mph) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Type of Terrain | 50 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 65 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 75 |  |  |
|  |  | Level | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
|  |  | Rolling | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Grades 1\% steeper may be provided in urban areas. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Type of | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Terrain | 30 |  | 35 | 40 |  | 45 | 50 |  | 55 | 60 |  | 40 | 45 |  | 50 | 55 |  | 60 |
|  |  | Level | 8 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |
|  |  | Rolling | 9 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 4 |
|  |  | Type of | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Terrain | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 |
|  |  | Level | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
|  |  | Rolling | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Stopping Sight Distance | Follow $20116^{\text {th }}$ Edition of AASHTO "A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets" (AKA AASHTO Green Book). The MDOT Sight Distance Guidelines also provide detailed information on sight distance calculation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cross Slope | Traveled way cross slope $=2.0 \%$, Paved shoulder cross slope $=4.0 \%$ (Also see Section 6.05.05) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Superelevation Rate | AASHTO Method 5 "Curvilinear Relation" is used for new construction/reconstruction. Maximum rate of 7\%. (See Standard Plan R-107-Series.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AASHTO Method 1 "Straight Line Relation" is allowed when Method 5 is not feasible. Maximum rate of 6\%. (See Section 3.04.03) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | The above methods also apply to urban freeways and urban ramps, except the maximum rate is $5 \%$ for 60 mph design speed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vertical Clearance | Freeway |  |  |  | NHS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Non NHS |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 16'-0" |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $14^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non Freeway (Arterial) |  |  |  | 16'-0" |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $14^{\prime}-6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Collectors \& "Special Routes" |  |  |  | $14^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ ( 1 ft . greater than Michigan legal vehicle height.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14'-6" |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | For pedestrian bridges provide 1 ft . additional clearance over non-freeway and 17 ft . minimum under clearance over freeways. A vertical clearance of $23^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ is required for grade separations over railroads. (See Bridge Design Manual 7.01.08 and Bridge Design Guides 5.24.03-04.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




## Design Exception Crash Analysis Instructions

## REQUIRED ELEMENTS OF A COMPREHENSIVE DESIGN EXCEPTION REQUEST CRASH ANALYSIS

1) Subject heading which includes a description of the project (route number, control section, P.R. number, control section and P.R. beginning and ending mile points, and job number).
2) A statement that the crash analys's is in relation to a specific design exception request (as opposed to a project wide analysis)
3) A statement indicating which geometric feature the design exception has been requested for, and the location to which it applies (Control Section or P.R. number and mile points)-
4) A description of the existing condition or value of the geometric feature in question.
5) A description of the proposed condition or value of the geometric feature in question.
6) A statement detaing what the standard value is for the geometric feature in question, and a reference to the appropriate governing Standard or Guide
7) A description of the crash data used in the anaysis (time span and mile point limits of the data query). Th's should be the most recent four years for which crash data is avalable, using the Safety Management System in TMS.
8) A summary of the total numbers and types of crashes found in the analysis.
9) A statement that the crash types associated with the geometric feature in question were specfically investigated in detail. Refer to Table A to determine which crash types are associated with which geometric features. This detailed investigation shall include a review of all crash reports (UD-10's) for these crash types. If there are a large number of crashes of the associated types, a representative sample of UD-10's may be selected for review (as opposed to all of them).
10) A statement that the analysis did not (or conversely, did) find a pattern or concentration of crashes associated wth the geometric feature for which the design exception has been requested.

## TABLE A

## Design Exception Crash Analysis Instructions

| GEOMETRIC FEATURE TO WHICH DESIGN EXCEPTION APPLIES | ASSOCIATED CRASH TYPES |
| :---: | :---: |
| Deslan Speed | All Crash Types |
| Lane Wioth | Sideswipe, Flxed-Object, Run-Off, Overtum |
| Shoulder Wioth | Sideswipe, Fbxed-oblect, Run-Off, Overium |
| Bridoe Widit | Sidesulpe, Flxed-Oblect |
| Struchural Capacity | N/A |
| Horlzontal Allgnment | Fixed-Object, Run-Off, Overtum, Sidesulpe, Head-On |
| Vertical Allgnment | Rear-End, Sldeswipe, Head-On, Flxed-Object, Run-Off. Overturn. Angle |
| Longituclnal Grade | Rear-End, Sideswipe, Head-On |
| Stopping Sight Distance * | Rear-End, Sldeswpe, Head-On, Flxed-Object, Run-Off, Overturn, Angle |
|  | Too Letile: Rear-End, Percent Wet, Percent icy |
| Cross-Sioperrai-Over | Too Great Flxed-Oblect, Run-Oft, Overturn |
| Superelevation | Fixed-Object, Run-Off, Overtum, Sideswlpe, Head-On |
| Vertical Clearance | Hioh-Load Hits |
| Horlzontal Clearance (Excluding Clear Zone) | Sidesmipe, Rear-End, Head-On, Flxed-Object |
| Ramp Acceleration or Deceleration Length | Sideswipe, Rear-End, Flxed-COject, Run-Off. Overturn |

*At night, the available sight cistance through sag vertical curves is largely determined by heodlight ilhmination distance. Therefore, when reviewing crashes in relation to sag vertical curves, particular attention should be paid to right-time crashes, including animal collisions. A high percentage of night-time crashes could indicate a crash pattem related to insufficient stopping sight distance. Whale animal collisions are not generally inchaded In crash malyses che to the large uncertainty as to their causes and/or evact locations. they should not be summarily dismissed, either. Animal crashes can be taken with together with the crash data set as a whole, and can sometimes help identify crash patterns specifically related to restricted sight distance.

When performing a crash analysis as part of a design exception request, focus the review on the crash types which are associated with the geomenic fenture in question. Use the table above to determine which crash types are associnted with each geometric feature. Also, consider only the crashes which have occurred in the vicimity of the subject geomerric feature (not necessanly project wide). It is usually sufficient to set the mile point limits of the crash data query to a few humdred feet on either side of the geomentic fenture in question.

Crash malysis is, by its nature, an inexact and subjective evercise. There will often times be uncertainty as to whether or not a particular geometric femure contribated towards a given crash. The information provided in the UD-10's, along with engineening judgement, can usually resolve any questions adequately.


## QUESTIONS



## 'roblem 8:

Given the following information:
Radius: 3500 ft
Design Speed: 60 M.P.H
PC: $100+50$
PT: $104+20$


PI


Given the following curve information, determine the following design criteria using R-107:

- Proposed Superelevation Rate
- Delta Percent Value
- Shoulder Cross-Slopes in Superelevated Section (High-Side and Low-Side)
- Crown Runout Length (C) and Superelevation Transition Length (L)
- Placement of Superelevation Transition with Respect to the PC and PT


## Answers:

Superelevation $=4.2 \%$
Delta $=0.40$
Shoulder Cross-slopes $=$ Same as Super (4.2\%) on Low-Side and -1.0\% on High-Side
Super Transition Length $=\left(12^{\prime} \times 4.2 \%\right) / 0.40=\underline{\mathbf{1 2} 6 f t}$
$126 \mathrm{ft} / 3=42 \mathrm{ft}$
PC Station $100+50+42 \mathrm{ft}=$ Sta. $100+92-126 \mathrm{ft}=$ Sta. $\underline{99+66}$
PT Station $\underline{104+20}-42 \mathrm{ft}=$ Sta. $\underline{103+78}+126 \mathrm{ft}=$ Sta. $\underline{105+04}$
Super Transition from Station 99+66 to Station 100+92 (PC)
Super Transition from Station 103+78 to 105+04 (PT)
Crown Runout $=(12$ ' $\times 2 \%) / 0.40=\mathbf{6 0 f t}$
PC Station 100+50:

PT Station 104+20:
Crown Runout Sta. $105+04$ + 60ft=Sta. $105+64$. Crown Runout from Sta. $105+04$ to Sta. $105+64$.


## 'roblem 9:

Given the following information:
Radius: 2500 ft
Design Speed: 45 M.P.H
PI
PC: $56+10$


Given the following curve information, determine the following design criteria using R-107:

- Proposed Superelevation Rate
- Delta Percent Value
- Shoulder Cross-Slopes in Superelevated Section (High-Side and Low-Side)
- Crown Runout Length (C) and Superelevation Transition Length (L)
- Placement of Superelevation Transition with Respect to the PC and PT


## Answers:

Superelevation $=\underline{\mathbf{3 . 5 \%}}$
Delta $=\underline{0.44}$
Shoulder Cross-slopes $=\underline{4.0 \%}($ Low-Side $)$ and $-\underline{1.0 \%}($ High-Side $)$


Super Transition $=\mathrm{L} 1+\mathrm{L} 2$
$\mathrm{L} 1=\left(12^{\prime} \times 2.0 \%\right) /(0.5 \times 0.44)=109 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{L} 2=[24, \times(3.5 \%-2 \%)] /(0.44)=82 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L} 1+\mathrm{L} 2=109 \mathrm{ft}+90 \mathrm{ft}=\underline{\mathbf{1 9 1} \mathrm{ft}}$
$191 \mathrm{ft} / 3=\underline{64 f t}$
PC Station $\underline{56+10}+64 \mathrm{ft}=$ Sta. $\underline{56+74}-191 \mathrm{ft}=$ Sta. $\underline{54+83}$
PT Station $\underline{84+20}-64 \mathrm{ft}=$ Sta. $\underline{83+56}+191 \mathrm{ft}=$ Sta. $\underline{85+47}$
Super Transition from Station $54+83$ to Station $56+74$ (PC)
Super Transition from Station $83+56$ to $85+47$ (PT)
Crown Runout $=\left(12^{\prime} \times 2 \%\right) /(0.5 \times 0.44)=\underline{109 f t}$
PC Station $56+10 \quad$ Sta. $\underline{54+83}-109 \mathrm{ft}=$ Sta. $\underline{53+74}$
PT Station $84+20 \quad$ Sta. $\overline{85+47}+109 \mathrm{ft}=$ Stat $\underline{86+56}$
Crown Runout at station $53+74$ to $54+83$
Crown Runout at station $85+47$ to $86+56$



[^0]:    *Values based on design speeds less than posted.

