





TE210.F5 S72 1983 c. 2 Stabilized fly ash as lightweight fill

TE210.F5 S72 1983 c. 2 Stabilized fly ash as lightweight fill

DEMCO

STABILIZED FLY ASH AS LIGHTWEIGHT FILL

Research Laboratory Section Testing and Research Division Research Project 81 TI-785 Research Report No. R-1226

.

Michigan Transportation Commission William C. Marshall, Chairman; Lawrence C. Patrick, Jr., Vice-Chairman; Hannes Meyers, Jr., Carl V. Pellonpaa, Weston E. Vivian, Rodger D. Young James P. Pitz, Director Lansing, June 1983

The information contained in this report was compiled exclusively for the use of the Michigan Department of Transportation. Recommendations contained herein are based upon the research data obtained and the expertise of the researchers, and are not necessarily to be construed as Department policy. No material contained herein is to be reproduced—wholly or in part—without the expressed permission of the Engineer of Testing and Research. This project was initiated as a result of a memorandum from D. F. Malott to K. A. Allemeier (December 15, 1981) in which it was requested that the Research Laboratory investigate the properties of cement-stabilized fly ash to determine its suitability as a relatively lightweight fill material and specifically to determine:

1) Ultimate unit weight of in-place stabilized fly ash where placed as an embankment near or below water level,

2) Susceptibility of the material to breakdown under repeated freezethaw cycles.

Two fly ash samples, from different sources, were furnished by the Michigan Foundation Co., Inc. for use in this study.

Testing Program

Three levels of cement treatment, 0, 5, and 10 percent by weight of the fly ash, were used with each of the two types of fly ash. Maximum AASHTO T-99 density and optimum moisture content were determined for each combination, results of which are shown in Figure 1.

Percent saturation at various heights above a water table were determined for each sample condition, using a membrane apparatus and desorption test procedure. Figure 2 shows the relationships between wet density and height of the material above a water table.

Cement-stabilized samples were tested for freeze-thaw durability in accordance with AASHTO T-136 test procedures. Unstabilized samples (fly ash alone) were unable to withstand the preliminary saturation required for the test. The deterioration of the cement-stabilized samples due to the freeze-thaw cycles is shown in Figure 3.

A limited study was also conducted to determine certain engineering characteristics of the fly ash used. These tests included determination of gradation, permeability, apparent cohesion and angle of internal friction, \emptyset , (by the triaxial test method), and frost susceptibility. These data are included in Tables 1 and 2. Figure 4 shows typical frost heave experienced by a fly ash sample.

Discussion of Results

As shown in Figures 3 and 4, our laboratory tests indicate cementstabilized fly ash, when compacted to T-99 density, deteriorated as a result of freeze-thaw action, and fly ash alone was highly susceptible to frost heaving. Our results are in conflict with those obtained by a commercial testing laboratory for a contractor using cement-stabilized fly ash as a



Figure 1. AASHTO T-99 moisture density relationships of portland cement fly ash mixtures.

base mixture (Appendix). These data were furnished to us by the Michigan Foundation Co., Inc.

Fly Ash	Portland Cement, percent	T-99 Density		Freeze-Thaw Durability T-136					Gradation		
		Dry, lb/cu ft	Opt., H ₂ O		Dry Density, lb/cu ft	No. of Cyclesto Failure		Frost Suscep- tibility,	Clay, percent	Silt, percent	Sand, percent
1	0	76	32		····		·	High	22	68	10
	5	74	30	31	74	8	45				
	10	78	27	28	78	11	48				
2	0	80	28					High	10	78	12
	5	78	29	29	77	9	50		·		
	10	84	26	22	79	8	47		87		~-

SUMMARY OF DENSITY, FREEZE-THAW DURABILITY, FROST SUSCEPTIBILITY, AND GRADATION TEST RESULTS

TABLE 1

The difference in results might be due to differences in the compacted densities used. Our tests were conducted in accordance with the AASHTO T-136 specification procedure, which calls for the T-99 compaction effort. The results shown in the Appendix were obtained using modified T-136 procedures in which the compactive effort was equivalent to that obtained in the T-180 test.

For design purposes, the wet density of fly ash and portland cementstabilized fly ash can be obtained from Figure 2. This figure indicates that the design weight of fly ash fills will depend on the type of fly ash used, the amount of treatment with cement, and the height of the fill above a water table. The detrimental influence of the high capillary sorption characteristics of fly ash could be diminished by placing a layer of granular material between the fill and the free water table. For the materials tested, saturated density varied from about 103 to 110 lb/cu ft.

The engineering properties of the fly ash samples tested (Table 2) indicate that its shearing resistance, as expressed by the angle of internal friction, \emptyset , may be dependent on density. When the dry density is equal to or greater than maximum T-99 density, the angle of internal friction, \emptyset , varies from 40 to 45 degrees. At slightly reduced density (73 lb/cu ft for sample 1), even when the water content was lower (64 percent saturation), the angle of internal friction was reduced from 45 to 29 degrees, as indicated in Table 2. Most permeability tests conducted on fly ash indicate it has a low permeability, generally less than 0.5 ft/day. One sample, how-



Figure 2. Percent saturation and wet density at various distances above the water table.

ever, as shown in Table 2, had a high permeability of 8.0 ft/day. The reason for such a high permeability value could not be determined.

Fly Ash	Percent Saturation	Dry Density, lb/cu ft	Apparent Cohesion, psi		Perme- ability, ft/day
1	100	76	0	45	0.4
	64	73	12	29	
-	100	80	6	40	0.1
2	100	81	1	43	8.0

TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF TRIAXIAL AND PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

Conclusions

The following properties of fly ash and portland cement-stabilized fly ash are indicated as a result of this study.

1) The design unit weight of fly ash and fly ash cement mixtures will vary with the height of the proposed fill above a water table or a capillary cut-off layer and on the percent cement added. Design unit weight, wet density, may be estimated directly from Figure 2.

2) The fly ash and fly ash cement mixtures are detrimentally affected by frost action when compacted to T-99 density so care should be taken to provide a proposed fill with sufficient cover to prevent freezing. Greater resistance to frost action at T-180 density is indicated by data provided by the Michigan Foundation Co., Inc., but freeze-thaw durability at higher density was not investigated in this study.

3) Fly ash has a design angle of internal friction, \emptyset , of approximately 40 degrees when compacted to 100 percent of T-99 density. A reduction of angle \emptyset may occur at densities slightly lower than 100 percent of T-99 density. In other respects, fly ash properties are similar to those of a fine silt.

Where readily and economically available, and if protected from freeze-thaw cycles, cement-stabilized fly ash could be used as a relatively lightweight fill material.



Figure 3. Effect of freeze-thaw cycles on cement-stabilized fly ash samples.



Figure 4. Frost heave of fly ash sample.

APPENDIX

7



April 23, 1981

soil and materials engineers, inc

34400 GLENDALE AVENUI-LIVONIA, MICHIGAN 48150 (313) 525-0310

XENNETH W. KBAMER, P.E. ROBERT M. HABRELD, P.E. JOSEPH D. JOACHIM, P.E. RICHARD D. ANDERSON, P.T. CHITTA GANGOPADHYAY, P.D. P.T. BANK A. HENDERSON LOWARD S. UNDOW JR., P.T.

Michigan Foundation Company, Inc. 110 West Jefferson Trenton, Michigan 48183

Attention: Mr. Wayne Hatchett

RE: Freeze-Thaw Test Fly Ash/Cement Base Mix SME Job No. 6497

Gentlemen:

A freeze-thaw test has been performed on one specimen of a fly ash/ cement base mixture. The specimen was molded using a 90/10 ratio of fly ash to cement (dry weights) with 23% water added during mixing. This water content was selected as the optimum based on the mixture's modified proctor curve. The specimen was compacted using the modified proctor compactive effort providing a dry density of 81.6 pcf.

The freeze-thaw testing generally followed the standard method of AASHTO T-136. Twelve cycles of freezing and thawing were conducted. At the conclusion of the 12th cycle, the specimen was oven dried to a constant mass. The specimen loss during the freeze-thaw testing was calculated to be 1%. Based on this loss and the general appearance of the specimen after testing, the frost susceptibility of the subject mixture is judged to be not significant.

If you have any questions concerning this information, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

SOIL AND MATERIALS ENGINEERS, INC.

a

Edward S. Lindow, Jr., P.E. Project Manager

ESL/sw

5pc: Enclosed



soil and materials

engineers, inc

34400 GLENDALE AVENUE LIVONIA, MICHIGAN 48150

(313) 525-0310

May 14, 1981

Michigan Foundation Company, Inc. 110 West Jefferson Trenton,Michigan 48183

Attention: Mr. Wayne Hatchett

RE: Freeze-Thaw Test Fly Ash/Cement Base - 92/8 Mix SME Job No. 6497

Gentlemen:

A freeze-thaw test has been performed on one specimen of a fly ash/ cement base mixture. The specimen was molded using a 92/8 ratio of fly ash to cement (dry weights) with 23% water added during mixing. This water content was selected as the optimum based on the mixture's modified proctor curve. The specimen was compacted using the modified proctor compactive effort providing a dry density of 81.6 pcf.

The freeze-thaw testing generally followed the standard method of AASHTO T-136. Twelve cycles of freezing and thawing were conducted. At the conclusion of the 12th cycle, the specimen was oven dried to a constant mass. The specimen loss during the freeze-thaw testing was calculated to be 4%. Based on this loss and the general appearance of the specimen after testing, the frost susceptibility of the subject mixture is judged to be not significant.

If you have any questions concerning this information, please contact us.

- 10 -

181117215

610108940

Very truly yours,

SOIL AND MATERIALS ENGINEERS

Edward S. Lindow, Jr., P.E. Project Manager

ESL/sw

5pc: Enclosed

KENNETH WERAAMER, PT ADDERT ME HARBELD PT JUSEPH DE JOACHMA PT RIGHAND OF ANDERSON, PT CHITTA GANGOPANHYAY PD DE PT FRANK ACHINDERSON

THWARD'S CHIRDW JR. P.L.