CERTIFICATION & ACCEPTANCE - Type 1

(For Road, Bridge CPM, Bridge Repair & Rehabilitation projects)

PROGRAM DATA

To be filled in by Design Engineer or Project Manager. (Attach print of title sheet for the project)

| Date: | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Route Number: | Project Length: | Prop | posal I.D.: | control section-job number) | |
| | | _ | | control section-job number) | |
| Federal Aid Roadway System: | □ NHS | | Other | | |
| Submitted for Authorization: | ☐ MDOT Ove | rsight \square | FHWA PODI (See Project SOA if a | uthorization is required) | |
| Location: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Type of Work: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| This project is scheduled to be adv | vertised for the | Letting. | | | |
| Existing roadway/bridge # of lanes | | <u> </u> | | | |
| Proposed roadway/bridge # of land | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| The preliminary engineering for the | | | | WORK OIT THIS PE JOD NO. | |
| ☐ is com | | is not complete. | | | |
| Force account work will be charge | d to this project in the | amount of \$ | | payable to | |
| | | or | | | |
| Check one box in each section be | low: | | | | |
| ☐ This project is on a FH | WA approved STIP-TI | ٥. | | | |
| ☐ This project is not requ | ired to be on the STIP | TIP. | | | |
| ☐ This project is classifie | This project is classified as a State Non-Major. | | | | |
| ☐ This project is classifie | d as a type Ca | tegorical exclusion. (| C.1 is not valid for | "A" Phase) | |
| | ☐ Environmental requirements for this project were cleared under Environmental Impact Statement/ROD or Environmental Assessment/FONSI dated | | | | |
| ☐ This project does not re | ☐ This project does not require acquisition of additional right of way-including grading permits, easements, etc. | | | | |
| ☐ A ROW certification ha | s been issued for this | project. | | | |
| ☐ A ROW certification is | expected to be issued | by | | | |

MDOT 0265 (12/16) Page 2 of 10

This certification must be completed for all projects, including (1) MDOT OVERSIGHT and FHWA PODI/POCI and (2) federally funded or state funded projects. Projects meeting the conditions stated on the forms listed below may be used in place of this form:

- Certification & Acceptance Type 2 (12/14)
- Certification & Acceptance Type 3 (12/14)

For road projects that include bridge work, the Bridge Design Unit will complete the STRUCTURE section. For bridge projects that include approach work the Road Design Unit will complete the ROAD DESIGN section. Each line will be completed with either a yes, no, or not applicable (N/A) as stated below the blank line. The Project Manager (Lansing, Region or TSC) will assure and be responsible for, by signature, that each item within the Certification & Acceptance form is completed prior to turning in the plan/proposal package to the Specifications and Estimates Unit. Incomplete Certification & Acceptance forms will be returned to the Project Manager. The form must be complete before the plan/proposal package will be processed by the Specifications and Estimates unit.

Environmental

(Yes or N/A)

This project has been classified as a Categorical Exclusions, a copy of Environmental Classification Form 1775 is attached. There has been no significant change in the scope of work since the project was environmentally classified/cleared. {Categorical Exclusions that have no work outside the shoulders/curbs, do not require a detour or have no environmental concerns may not have a completed Environmental Classification Form 1775.}

(Yes or N/A)

The project has been compared to the project description in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)/ Record of Decision or the Environmental Assessment/Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), as appropriate. No significant changes have occurred. It has been less than three years since federal concurrence in the environmental document. {If significant changes in the project (scope of work, project limits, etc.) have occurred or more than three years have passed, coordinate further action with Environmental Analysis Unit (517-373-2227).

NOTE: One, and only one of the above two categories must be YES

(Yes or N/A)

Any required mitigation measures in the environmental document have been included in the plan/ proposal package. Copies of any required mitigation measures in the environmental document are attached. {Such measures could involve construction, noise, archeological and historic sites, wetlands, floodplain encroachments, etc.}. For those requiring mitigation measures that are not included, an explanation for the proposed change and subsequent acceptance/approval is attached. Adequate soil erosion control measures have been provided and are included in the plans.

(Yes or N/A)

Permits, if required, have been obtained and included in the proposal. Provisions for handling special conditions of permits have been incorporated in the plan/proposal package, as needed. (See Environmental Classification Form 1775 for permit information.) If a permit is required and has not been received from DEQ, and the exception procedure is being utilized: a risk analysis has been performed, an approval memo signed by the Region Engineer, and a Notice to Bidders identifying any restrictive conditions is included in the package.

| | <u>REQUIF</u> Yes | RED No |
|--|----------------------|-----------|
| DEQ-Surface Water Quality (Sanitary) | | |
| DEQ-Drinking Water & Radiological Protection | | |
| DEQ-Water Resources Division | | |
| Corps of Engineers | | |
| Coast Guard-Navigation Clearance | | |
| FAA/Local Airport - Clearance | | |
| EPA | | |
| NPDES-Notice of Coverage(> 5 acres) | | |
| Other | | |
| | | |

MATERIALS

(Yes or N/A) Warranti

<u>Warranties</u>. Bridge painting and pavement are approved for warranty clauses. Also, other materials may have warranties if properly justified and approved by FHWA (see 23 CFR 635.413).

(Yes or N/A)

Salvaged Highway Materials (guardrails, signs, etc.) delivered to MDOT or other public agencies.

- 1. A non-federal participating item must be set up to cover cost of transporting salvaged material to an off-site location.
- 2. A salvage value must be credited to the project if the item's value is more than \$5000. (No credit is necessary if the material will be used on another federal- aid project).

(Yes or N/A)

Materials furnished by a public agency. A public interest finding is necessary to document that the cost of material is not more than a contract average bid price (See 23 CFR 635.407).

(Yes or N/A)

Alternate products. When necessary to specify a brand name material, a minimum of two brand names "or approved equal" must be specified. Single brand names can only be specified if one of the following apply:

- 1. Certification is made to FHWA that there are no suitable alternatives.
- 2. Certification is made to FHWA that the item is needed for synchronization with existing highway facilities. For example: the item is needed to match existing items for ease of maintenance.
- 3. The item is part of an experimental work plan (See 23 CFR 635.411).

(Yes or N/A)

Non-standard items are covered by special provisions, supplemental specifications or special details in proposal or plans. {All 7000 code numbers not covered in the current Standard Specifications for Construction must have a special provision included in the proposal. All items with item code numbers that are not presently covered by the current Standard Specifications for Construction should have either a Supplemental Specification or a Special Provision written to cover this item (Contact the Specifications and Estimate Unit for information).}

(Yes or N/A)

If experimental features are included, an approved work plan has been approved for the experimental feature {See 23 CFR sec.511}.

(Yes or N/A)

A waiver for the Buy America requirements has been obtained for certain steel and iron items permanently incorporated in this project. Waiver documentation has been placed in ProjectWise.

DESIGN

| (Yes or N/A) | The issues raised at the Scope Verification Meeting and THE Plan Review Meeting have been addressed including FHWA comments (PODI/POCI), as appropriate. (Copies of the scope verification and THE Plan Review letters are attached) |
|----------------------------|---|
| (Yes or N/A) | - A value engineering study (VE) has been performed for this project with the last 3 years. (Required on all road projects that have a total estimated cost based on the environmental document greater than \$25 million and required on all bridge projects with a cost based on the environmental document greater than \$20 million). A copy of the VE recommendation summary with final decisions for all recommendations is attached. |
| (Yes) | The design of the project is in compliance with the applicable MDOT and Interstate Standards, AASHTO and 3R guidelines. (Copies of any Design Exceptions are attached.) |
| (Yes) | The format of the plans is in compliance with FAPG 630B, Supplement. |
| (Yes or N/A) | Clear roadside cross sections are provided according to 3R guidelines or AASHTO Roadside Design Guide criteria. |
| (Yes or N/A) | Landscaping projects where there is a separate job number for landscaping, should Have at least 1/4% of the expenditure for planting native wildflowers. A waiver must be requested for exceptions. (See 23 CFR 752.11). {Attach a copy of the waiver, if appropriate (see Roadside Development Section for information).} |
| | |
| | REVIEW BY OTHER DESIGN UNITS |
| (Yes or N/A) | REVIEW BY OTHER DESIGN UNITS - Pavement design analysis is in accordance with the Department's design practices. The analysis has been reviewed by the Pavement Design Engineer if paving costs are over \$1,000,000. A copy of the Pavement Design Recommendation Memorandum is attached. |
| (Yes or N/A) (Yes or N/A) | - Pavement design analysis is in accordance with the Department's design practices. The analysis has been reviewed by the Pavement Design Engineer if paving costs are over \$1,000,000. A copy of the |
| | Pavement design analysis is in accordance with the Department's design practices. The analysis has been reviewed by the Pavement Design Engineer if paving costs are over \$1,000,000. A copy of the Pavement Design Recommendation Memorandum is attached. Lighting, if required, is in accordance with AASHTO Guide. The Electrical Unit has reviewed any |
| (Yes or N/A) | Pavement design analysis is in accordance with the Department's design practices. The analysis has been reviewed by the Pavement Design Engineer if paving costs are over \$1,000,000. A copy of the Pavement Design Recommendation Memorandum is attached. Lighting, if required, is in accordance with AASHTO Guide. The Electrical Unit has reviewed any electrical items included in the plans. Water main and sanitary sewer relocations within the project limits have been reviewed by the Design Engineer-Municipal Utilities. The Municipal Utilities Design unit has reviewed any water main or sanitary sewer items included in the plans. N/A may only be used if no water main or sanitary |

MDOT 0265 (12/16) Page 5 of 10

STRUCTURES

(To be completed by Bridge Unit Leader)

| (Yes or N/ | A) Geotechnical Recommendations have been received and incorporated into the plans. |
|------------|---|
| (Yes or N/ | Hydraulic and Scour Analyses have been completed by the Hydraulics/Hydrology Unit. Hydraulic information and recommended scour countermeasures are included in the design plans. |
| (Yes) | Recommendations from the Scope Verification Meeting including a type, size and location study, (if required) have been approved by the Supervising Engineer of Bridge Design. |
| (Yes) | Preliminary Plans (35% +/-) have been approved by the Supervising Engineer of Bridge Design. |
| | |
| - | Signature – Design Engineer – Bridge Date |

MDOT 0265 (12/16) Page 6 of 10

GOVERNMENTAL AND RAILROAD COORDINATION

| (Initials) | Required city, county, township, and/c | or private party agreements have be | en initiated. | | |
|-------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| , | | | | | |
| AGRMNT NO | Name of party | Purpose | | | |
| AGRMNT NO | Name of party | Purpose | | | |
| AGRMNT NO | Name of party | Purpose | | | |
| AGRMNT NO | Name of party | Purpose | | | |
| (Initials or N/A) | - Any construction that impacts an at g been coordinated with the Office of clauses and railroad force account au | Rail. All required agreements, sp | | | |
| | FORCE | ACCOUNT WORK | | | |
| (Yes or N/A) | An affirmative finding of cost effectiveness for force account work (if required under the program responsibilities of the division) has been transmitted to Design Division. The document includes who will do the work and how it should be coordinated with the contractor. | | | | |
| | UTILITY | COORDINATION | | | |
| (To be in | nitialed by Region/TSC Utility Coordinato | r or Utilities Coordinator-Developme | ent Services Division) | | |
| (Initials) | The Utilities Status Report is included required, will either be relocated prior to Bidders – Utility Coordination do Authorization has been given to util reimbursement. | to contract award or have been ider cument. Some utility work may | ntified in the proposal's Notice be included in the contract | | |
| | ROAD DE | SIGN/CONSULTANTS | | | |
| | (On projects where a De | esign Engineer-Bridge is the Project Manage | r) | | |
| (Yes or N/A) | Items of work included in the plans that reviewed for compliance with MDOT st | t were prepared by a Design Unit-R andards, guidelines and procedures | oad or Consultant, have been | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Signature – Design Engineer – Road | | Date | | |

MDOT 0265 (12/16) Page 7 of 10

TRAFFIC AND SAFETY PROJECT REVIEW

| Control Section: | | | Date: | | |
|-------------------|----------|------|---|--|--|
| Job Number: | | | Route Number: | | |
| Location: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Signature | Initials | Date | Unit / Section | | |
| Signing | | | Traffic Signs and Delineation Unit | | |
| Pavement Marking | | | Permanent signing and permanent pavement markings have been reviewed and found in compliance with the MMUTCD, except as noted below or on attached sheet. | | |
| Signal Operations | | | Traffic Signals Unit | | |
| Signal Design | | | Traffic signal control devices have been reviewed and found in compliance with the MMUTCD, except as noted below or on attached sheet. | | |
| | | | Geometric Safety Unit | | |
| Area Geometrics | | | All issues from the Scope Verification, base, and THE Plan Review have been resolved, except as noted below or on the attached sheet. | | |
| | | | | | |
| Comments | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

OEC MEETING REVIEW AND APPROVAL

| | Traffic and Safety (Regional or | TSC) | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| (Initials) | Provisions for maintenance of traffic, staging of signals have been reviewed and found adequate. | construction, permanent signing, markings and | | | | | | | |
| | Construction (Regional or TSC) | | | | | | | | |
| (Intials) | The plan/proposal package has been reviewed and Estimates Unit for advertising and letting. | d approved for submittal to the Specifications and | | | | | | | |
| | Quality Assurance-Lan | sing | | | | | | | |
| (Initials) | The plan/proposal package has been reviewed for procedures. | r compliance with MDOT standards, policies and | | | | | | | |
| | Comments- OEC Attende | dees | | | | | | | |
| (Initials) | | | | | | | | | |
| (Initials) | | | | | | | | | |
| (Initials) | | | | | | | | | |
| (Initials) | | | | | | | | | |
| (Initials) | | | | | | | | | |
| (Initials) | | | | | | | | | |
| (Initials) | | | | | | | | | |
| language has bee | lysis has been completed and justifies the use of a en included in the Progress Clause and the Special Pr | rovision has been included in the Proposal. | | | | | | | |
| incorporated into page 9 if PODI/F Acceptance has | rors Check (OEC) Meeting was held (date: the final plan/proposal package submitted to the S POCI) of the Certification & Acceptance have been copeen sent to the FHWA Area Engineer (if PODI/POCI DEC Meeting will remain in the project file until the co | ompleted. A copy of the completed Certification & I). A copy of the marked-up plan/proposal package | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Signature – Project Manager | Date | | | | | | | |

MDOT 0265 (12/16) Page 9 of 10

FHWA PROJECT DESIGN REVIEW AND/OR APPROVAL FORM

(Required on PODI/POCI projects only)

| Federal Project Number: | FHWA Representative: |
|---|--|
| State Project Number: | OEC Meeting Date: |
| | |
| Co | omments |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Reviewed and/or approved by FHWA in accordance with the FHWA comments, if any, are noted above. | e PODI Project Sawardship & Oversight Agreement (SOA). |
| | |
| Signature - FHWA Area Engineer | |

MDOT 0265 (12/16) Page 10 of 10

SPECIFICATION AND ESTIMATE UNIT PROJECT REVIEW

| | (does or does not) have he percent of DBE participa | | | • | Business _%. | |
|--|---|-------------------|---------|---------------|-----------------|--|
| • • • | Approval has been given by the Engineer of Quality Assurance for an advertising period which is less than four (4) weeks for the following reasons: | | | | | |
| ——— The estimated | unit prices have been revi | ewed and are reas | onable. | | | |
| Engineering a | nd contingency charges are | e%. | | | | |
| The Certification & Acceptance fo have been reviewed and approve | . , | | • | ager. The abo | ve items | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | _ | | | |
| Supervisor, Spec | ifications & Estimates Unit | | | Date | ; | |